

Interinstitutional files: 2021/0207(COD)

Brussels, 27 July 2021

WK 9873/2021 INIT

LIMITE

CLIMA
ENV
AVIATION
MI
IND
ENER
CODEC

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

INFORMATION

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Working Party on the Environment
N° Cion doc.:	10917/21 ADD 2 + ADD 3
Subject:	ETS Revision - aviation: Impact assessment - Indicative Checklist

Based on the Interinstitutional Agreement (IIA) on Better Law-Making of 13 April 2016 and following a Coreper decision on examination of Commission impact assessments (IAs), it was agreed that IAs are to be examined at working party level with the help of an indicative checklist. To this end and with a view to the discussions on the above proposal, delegations will find attached the indicative checklist, which is intended to help delegations prepare their views on the IA as part of their consideration of the Commission's proposal.

Please note that the checklist is purely indicative and non-exhaustive, and should be used in a flexible way taking into account what is relevant and appropriate for each legislative file. Delegations are not required to provide written replies, but can do so if they wish.

Examination of Commission IAs in the Council

in the context of the consideration of Commission proposals

- Indicative Checklist for Working Party Chairs -

	Title of proposal	
IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT accompanying the document Proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL amending Directive 2003/87/EC as regards aviation's contribution to the Union's economy-wide emission reduction target and appropriately implementing a global market-based measure		
Lead	d DG	TREE.1.A (Environment and Climate change unit)
1.	Context of the IA	
a)	European Parlian	
	☐ Commission	☐ Council ☐ Parliament
b)	Is the policy conto	ext explained clearly?
	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Partly
	Comments:	
c)	Is the legal basis	of the initiative clear and appropriate?
	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Partly
	Comments:	

Problem definition
Are the existence, scale and consequences of the problem clearly demonstrated?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
Comments:
Is the analysis of the problem supported by evidence, including comments and studies submitted by Member States or stakeholders during consultations?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
Comments:
Is any gap in evidence acknowledged?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
Comments:
Methodology
appropriate methodology applied? Are the methodological choices, limitations and ertainties clearly set out?
es No Partly
nments:

4.	Policy objectives
a)	Does the IA set out clear policy objectives, including general aims and more specific/operational objectives? Yes No Partly
	Comments:
b)	Do the policy objectives correspond to the identified problems? Yes No Partly Comments:
c)	Are the policy objectives consistent with the broad EU policy strategies and the Strategic Agenda?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Are the objectives linked to measurable monitoring indicators? Yes No Partly
	Comments:

5.	Subsidiarity & Proportionality
a)	Is the Union's competence clearly established?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Does the IA analyse whether the proposed action is consistent with the principle of subsidiarity, and are necessity and added value of EU action clearly demonstrated?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Does the IA analyse whether the proposed action is consistent with the principle of proportionality?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Does the IA take into account action already taken or planned at EU or MS level?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
Com	nments:

6.	Policy Options
a)	Which of the following options does the IA identify to meet the objectives? (more than one answer is possible)
	☐ No EU action ☐ Policy alternatives
	☐ Alternatives to regulation ☐ Further harmonization
	Comments:
b)	Are the most affected public/stakeholders identified?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Does the IA contain elements on how public and stakeholders consultations informed the policy options?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Where relevant, are there reasons given for discarding options that were favoured during public and stakeholders consultations?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:

7.	Analysis of impacts
a)	Are the criteria used to determine the impact of the different policy options transparent?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Are the impacts of the different policy options set out in a comparable format? Yes No Partly Comments:
c)	Where appropriate, are both the short and long-term costs and benefits of the different policy options taken into consideration?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Are impacts on affected public and stakeholders clearly analysed, for each policy option, in particular for the selected option?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:

8. Specific aspects included in the IA	N.	
Where applicable, indicate whether the impact has been suqualitative and quantified terms, and whether the data an appropriate.		
a) Economic impacts		
Impacts on competition		
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No	
If not, please elaborate:		
Impacts on consumers		
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No	
If not, please elaborate:		
Impacts on competitiveness		
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No	
If not, please elaborate:		

Impacts on Small and Medium Enterprises, including micro-enterprises ¹		
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No	
If not, please elaborate:		
Administrative burdens and compliance costs, especially for bu	usinesses	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No	
If not, please elaborate:		
Digital aspects (including on the development of the Digital Sir	ngle Market)	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No	
If not, please elaborate:		
Futureproofing (degree to which proposal is future proof and innovation-friendly?)		
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No	
If not, please elaborate:		

Impact assessments should assess SME impacts, and should also analyse the case for allowing (a) exemptions for micro-enterprises with <10 employees and <€2 mio turnover or balance sheet, and (b) lighter regimes for SMEs. See http://ec.europa.eu/governance/impact/key_docs/docs/meg_guidelines.pdf.

b) Social impacts ²	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
c) Environmental impacts ³	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
d) Impacts on individual Member States, regional or local authorities (territorial impacts)	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	
If not, please elaborate:	
9. Opinion of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board (RSB) of the Commission	
Are the comments and recommendations of the RSB considered in the IA report?	
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly	
Comments:	

See also Guidance for assessing Social Impacts within the Commission Impact Assessment system (http://ec.europa.eu/smart-regulation/impact/key docs/docs/guidance for assessing social impacts.pdf)

e.g. impacts on employment and labour markets, social inclusion and protection of particular groups, public health and safety, etc.

e.g. impacts on climate, air and water quality, use of the renewable or non-renewable resources, the likelihood or scale of environmental risks, use of energy etc.

Available by searching by Commission DG and date of publication at the following website http://ec.europa.eu/governance/impact/ia carried out/cia 2012 en.htm

10.	Monitoring, transposition, compliance
a)	Will the proposed indicators enable the intended effects to be measured?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Are those responsible for monitoring (and compliance) identified?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Are operational monitoring and evaluation arrangements proposed?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Does the IA address the impact of the proposed transposition deadline for MS?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
11.	<u>Summary</u>
Mai	n issues proposed for discussion during the WP meeting on the Commission's IA:
1.	
2.	
3.	
	etc.