

Brussels, 24 May 2023

WK 6781/2023 INIT

LIMITE

TELECOM COMPET MI CODEC

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

## **WORKING DOCUMENT**

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Working Party on Telecommunications and Information Society
Subject:	Gigabit Infrastructure Act - PT comments macro table (doc. 6845/23)

Delegations will find in annex the PT comments macro table on Gigabit Infrastructure Act. (doc. 6845/23).

EN

Deadline: 24 May 2023

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on measures to reduce the cost of deploying gigabit electronic communications networks and repealing Directive 2014/61/EU (Gigabit Infrastructure Act)	Proposal for a  REGULATION DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL  on measures to reduce the cost of deploying gigabit electronic communications networks and repealing Directive 2014/61/EU (Gigabit Infrastructure Act)	We understand that an intervention at the EU level should preferably follow the form of a Directive, that would establish a minimum level of requirements (higher than what is currently in force) mandatory for all MS. Alternatively, GIA could expressly establish the possibility for MS to maintain more demanding provisions. The objective of harmonisation, although desirable, should not override the main objective of this legal instrument, which is: to ensure Gigabit connectivity to all citizens and companies in the EU. Suggestions were introduced throughout this document, in line with this understanding.
THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning		
of the European Union, and in particular Article		
114 thereof,		
Having regard to the proposal from the		
European Commission,		
After transmission of the draft legislative act to		
the national parliaments,		
Having regard to the opinion of the European		
Economic and Social Committee <sup>1</sup> ,		
Having regard to the opinion of the Committee		
of the Regions <sup>2</sup> ,		
Acting in accordance with the ordinary		

OJ C,, p. OJ C,, p. 

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
legislative procedure,		
Whereas:		
		<i>"</i>
(1) The digital economy has been changing		
the internal market profoundly over the last		
decade. The Union's vision is a digital economy		
that delivers sustainable economic and social		
benefits based on excellent and secure		
connectivity for everybody and everywhere in		
Europe. A high-quality digital infrastructure		
based on very high capacity networks underpins		
almost all sectors of a modern and innovative		
economy. It is of strategic importance to social		
and territorial cohesion and overall for the		
Union's competitiveness and digital leadership.		
Therefore, people as well as the private and		
public sectors should have the opportunity to be		
part of the digital economy.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(2) The rapid evolution of technologies, the		
exponential growth in broadband traffic and the		
increasing demand for advanced very high-		
capacity connectivity have further accelerated		<u></u>
during the COVID-19 pandemic. As a result, the		
targets laid down in the Digital Agenda in 2010 <sup>3</sup>		
have mostly been met, but they have also		
become obsolete. The share of households		
having access to 30 Mbps internet speeds has		
increased from 58.1% in 2013 to 90% in 2022.		
Availability of only 30 Mbps is no longer		
future-proof and not aligned with the new		
objectives set in Directive (EU) 2018/1972 of		
the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>4</sup> for		
ensuring connectivity and widespread		
availability of very high capacity networks.		

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, 19.05.2010, COM(2010)245.

Directive (EU) 2018/1972 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2018 establishing the European Electronic Communications Code (OJ L 321, 17.12.2018, p. 36).

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Therefore, in the Decision (EU) 2022/2481 of		
the European Parliament and Council <sup>5</sup> , the EU		
set updated targets for 2030 that better		
correspond to the expected connectivity needs		C.*/
of the future where all European households		
should be covered by a gigabit network, with all		
populated areas covered by 5G.		
(3) To achieve those targets, there is a need		
for policies to speed up and lower the costs of		
the deployment of very high-capacity fixed and		
wireless networks across the Union, including		
proper planning, coordination and the reduction		
of administrative burdens.		
(4) Directive 2014/61/EU, which was		
adopted in response to the need for policies to		

Decision (EU) 2022/2481 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2022 establishing the Digital Decade Policy Programme 2030 (OJ L 323, 19.12.2022, p. 4).

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
lower the costs of broadband deployment,		
included measures on infrastructure sharing,		
civil works coordination and the reduction of		
administrative burdens. To further facilitate the		<u>_ " //                                 </u>
roll-out of very high capacity networks,		
including fibre and 5G, the European Council,		
called in its Conclusions on Shaping Europe's		
Digital Future of 9 June 2020, called for a		
package of additional measures to support		
current and emerging network deployment		
needs, including by reviewing Directive		
2014/61/EU.		
(5) The roll-out of very high capacity		
networks (as defined in Directive (EU)		
2018/1972) across the Union requires		
substantial investment, a significant proportion		
of which is the cost of civil engineering works.		
Sharing physical infrastructure would limit the		
need for costly civil engineering works and		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
make advanced broadband roll-out more		
effective.		
(6) A major part of the costs of deploying		
very high capacity networks can be attributed to		
inefficiencies in the roll-out process related to:		
(i) the use of existing passive infrastructure		
(such as ducts, conduits, manholes, cabinets,		
poles, masts, antenna installations, towers and		
other supporting constructions); (ii) bottlenecks		
related to the coordination of civil works; (iii)		
burdensome administrative procedures to grant		
permits; and (iv) bottlenecks in in-building		
deployment of networks, which lead to high		
financial barriers, particularly in rural areas.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(7) Directive 2014/61/EU of the European		
Parliament and of the Council <sup>6</sup> , which was		
adopted in response to the need to lower the		
costs of broadband deployment, included		C*/
measures on infrastructure sharing, civil works		
coordination and the reduction of administrative		
burdens. To further facilitate the roll-out of very		
high capacity networks, including fibre and 5G,		
the European Council, in its Conclusions on		
Shaping Europe's Digital Future of 9 June 2020,		
called for a package of additional measures to		
support current and emerging network		
deployment needs, including by reviewing		
Directive 2014/61/EU.		
(8) The measures set out in Directive		
2014/61/EU contributed to less costly		

Directive 2014/61/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 on measures to reduce the cost of deploying high-speed electronic communications networks (OJ L 155, 23.5.2014, p. 1).

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
deployments of high-speed electronic		
communications networks. However, these		
measures should be strengthened to further		
reduce costs and speed up network deployment.		<u></u>
(9) Measures aiming to make using public		
and private existing infrastructures more		
efficient and reduce costs and obstacles in		
carrying out new civil engineering works should		
contribute substantially to ensuring a fast and		
extensive deployment of very high capacity		
networks. These measures should maintain		
effective competition without harming the		
safety, security and smooth operation of the		
existing infrastructure.		
(10) Some Member States have adopted		
measures to reduce the costs of broadband roll-		
out, including by going beyond the provisions of		
Directive 2014/61/EU. However, those		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
measures are still very different across Member		
States and have led to different results across the		
Union. Scaling up some of those measures		
across the Union and taking new reinforced		
measures could significantly contribute to the		
better functioning of the digital single market.		
Moreover, differences in regulatory		
requirements and inconsistent implementation		
of Union rules sometimes prevent cooperation		
across utility companies. The differences may		
also raise barriers to entry for new undertakings		
providing or authorised to provide public		
electronics communications networks or		
associated facilities, as defined in Directive		
(EU) 2018/1972 ('operators'). These differences		
may also close off new business opportunities,		
hindering the development of an internal market		
for the use and deployment of physical		
infrastructures for very high capacity networks.		
Moreover, the measures notified in the national		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
roadmaps and implementation reports adopted		
by Member States under Commission		
Recommendation (EU) 2020/1307 <sup>7</sup> neither		
cover all the areas of Directive 2014/61/EU nor		C*/
address all issues in a consistent and complete		
manner. This is despite how essential it is to		
take action across the whole roll-out process and		
across sectors to achieve a coherent and		
significant impact.		
(11) This Regulation aims to strengthen and	(11) This Regulation Directive aims to	We believe that the claim of a perceived
harmonise rights and obligations applicable	strengthen and harmonise rights and obligations	inability of undertakings to achieve economies
across the Union to accelerate the roll-out of	applicable across the Union to accelerate the roll-out of very high capacity networks and	of scale due to persistent fragmentation of electronic communications markets in
very high capacity networks and cross-sector	cross-sector coordination	individual national markets should be removed
coordination. Due to the persistent		
fragmentation of electronic communications		
markets in individual national markets,		

Commission Recommendation (EU) 2020/1307 of 18 September 2020 on a common Union toolbox for reducing the cost of deploying very high capacity networks and ensuring timely and investment-friendly access to 5G radio spectrum, to foster connectivity in support of economic recovery from the COVID-19 crisis in the Union (OJ L 305, 21.9.2020, p. 33).

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
undertakings providing or authorised to provide		
electronic communications networks are unable		
to achieve economies of scale. This can have a		
strong downstream effect on cross-border trade		C.//
and services provision, since many services can		
only be provided where an adequately		
performant network is in place across the Union.	While ensuring an improved level playing field,	
While ensuring an improved level playing field,	this Regulation Directive does not prevent	
this Regulation does not prevent national	national measures in compliance with Union law that serve to promote the joint use of	
measures in compliance with Union law that	existing physical infrastructure or enable a more	
serve to promote the joint use of existing	efficient deployment of new physical infrastructure by complementing the rights and	
physical infrastructure or enable a more efficient	obligations laid down in this Regulation	
deployment of new physical infrastructure by	<b>Directive</b> .	
complementing the rights and obligations laid		
down in this Regulation. For example, Member		
States could extend provisions on civil works		
coordination also to privately funded projects or		
require that more information on physical	For example, Member States could extend provisions on civil works coordination also to	
infrastructure or planned civil works is provided	privately funded projects or require that more	
to a single information point in electronic	information on physical infrastructure or planned civil works is provided to a single	

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
format, provided that they do not violate Union	information point in electronic format, provided	
law including the provisions of this Regulation.	that they do not violate Union law including the provisions of this Regulation Directive.	
(12) To ensure legal certainty, including	12) To ensure legal certainty, including	
regarding specific regulatory measures imposed	regarding specific regulatory measures imposed	
under Directive (EU) 2018/1972, under Title II,	under Directive (EU) 2018/1972, under Title II,	
Chapters II to IV and Directive 2002/77/EC <sup>8</sup> ,	Chapters II to IV and Directive 2002/77/EC9,	
the provisions of these directives should prevail	the provisions of these directives should prevail	
over this Regulation.	over this Directive Regulation.	
(13) It can be significantly more efficient for		
operators, in particular new entrants, to reuse		
existing physical infrastructure, including that of		
other utilities, to roll out very high capacity		
networks or associated facilities. This is the		
case, in particular, in areas where no suitable		

Commission Directive 2002/77/EC of 16 September 2002 on competition in the markets for electronic communications networks and services (OJ L 249, 17.9.2002, p. 21). Commission Directive 2002/77/EC of 16 September 2002 on competition in the markets for electronic communications networks and services (OJ L 249, 17.9.2002, p. 21).

		~
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
electronic communications network is available		
or where it may not be economically feasible to		
build new physical infrastructure. Moreover,		
synergies across sectors may significantly		
reduce the need for civil works relating to the		
deployment of very high capacity networks.		
This reuse can also reduce the social and		
environmental costs linked to these works, such		
as pollution, noise and traffic congestion.		
Therefore, this Regulation should apply not only	Therefore, this Regulation Directive should	
to operators but also to owners or holders of	apply not only to operators but also to owners or	
rights to use extensive and ubiquitous physical	holders of rights to use extensive and ubiquitous	
infrastructure suitable to host electronic	physical infrastructure suitable to host electronic	
communications network elements, such as	communications network elements, such as	
physical networks for the provision of		
electricity, gas, water and sewage and drainage		
systems, and heating and transport services. In		
the case of holders of rights, this does not		
change any property rights of third parties.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(14) To improve the deployment of very high	To improve the deployment of very high	
capacity networks in the internal market, this	capacity networks in the internal market, this	
Regulation should lay down rights for	Regulation Directive should	
undertakings providing public electronic		C*//
communications networks or associated		
facilities (including undertakings of a public		
nature) to access physical infrastructure		
regardless of its location under fair and		
reasonable terms consistent with the normal		
exercise of property rights. The obligation to		
give access to the physical infrastructure should		
be without prejudice to the rights of the owner		
of the land or of the building in which the		
infrastructure is located.		
(15) In particular, taking into account the fast		
development of providers of wireless physical		
infrastructure such as 'tower companies', and		
their increasingly significant role as providers of		
access to physical infrastructure suitable to		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
install elements of wireless electronic		
communications networks, such as 5G, the		
definition of 'network operator' should be		
extended beyond undertakings providing or		<u>-"//</u>
authorised to provide electronic		
communications networks and operators of		
other types of networks, such as transport, gas		
or electricity, to include undertakings providing		
associated facilities, which thus become subject	which thus become subject	
to all the obligations and benefits set out in the	to all the obligations and benefits set out in the	
Regulation, except the provisions regarding in-	Regulation Directive, except the provisions	
building physical infrastructure and access.	regarding in-building physical infrastructure and	
	access.	
(16) In view of their low degree of		
differentiation, the physical facilities of a		
network can often host a wide range of		
electronic communications network elements at		
the same time without affecting the main service		
provided and with minimum adaptation costs.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
These elements include those capable of		
delivering broadband access services at speeds		
of at least 100 Mbps in line with the		
technological neutrality principle. Therefore,		<u>-"//</u>
physical infrastructure, that is intended to only		
host other elements of a network without		
becoming an active network element itself, such		
as dark fibre, can in principle be used to		
accommodate electronic communications		
cables, equipment or any other element of		
electronic communications networks, regardless		
of its current use or its ownership, security		
concerns or future business interests of the		
infrastructure's owner. The physical		
infrastructure of public electronic		
communications networks can in principle also		
be used to accommodate elements of other		
networks. Therefore, in appropriate cases,		
public electronic communications network		
operators may give access to their networks so		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
that other networks can be deployed. Without		
prejudice to the pursuit of the specific general		
interest linked to the provision of the main		
service, synergies between network operators		<u>-"//</u>
should at the same time be encouraged to		
contribute to achieving the digital targets set out		
in Decision (EU) 2022/2481.		
(17) In the absence of a justified exception,		
physical infrastructure elements owned or		
controlled by public sector bodies, even when		
they are not part of a network, can also host		
electronic communications network elements		
and should be made accessible to facilitate		
installing network elements of very high		
capacity networks, in particular wireless		
networks. Examples of physical infrastructure		
elements are buildings, entries to buildings, and		
any other asset, including street furniture, such		
as light poles, street signs, traffic lights,		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
billboards, bus and tramway stops and metro		
stations. It is for Member States to identify		
specific buildings owned or controlled by public		
sector bodies in their territories where access		<u>_"</u> /
obligations cannot apply, for example, for		
reasons of architectural, historical, religious or		
natural value.		
(18) This Regulation should be without	(18) This Regulation Directive should be	
prejudice to any specific safeguard needed to	without prejudice to any specific safeguard	
ensure safety and public health, the security and	needed to ensure safety and public health, the	
integrity of the networks, in particular critical	security and integrity of the networks, in	
infrastructure, as defined by national law, and to		
ensure that the main service provided by the		
network operator is not affected, in particular in		
networks used for the provision of water		
intended for human consumption. However,		
general rules in national legislation prohibiting		
network operators from negotiating access to		
physical infrastructures by undertakings		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
providing or authorised to provide electronic		
communications networks or associated		
facilities could prevent creating a market for		
access to physical infrastructure. Such general		<u>-"//</u>
rules should therefore be abolished. At the same	At the same	
time, the measures set out in this Regulation	time, the measures set out in this Regulation	
should not prevent Member States from	Directive should not prevent Member States	
incentivising utility operators to give access to	from incentivising utility operators to give	
infrastructure by excluding revenue generated	access to infrastructure by excluding revenue	
from the access to their physical infrastructure	generated	
when calculating end-user tariffs for their main		
activity or activities, in accordance with		
applicable Union law.		
(19) In order to ensure legal certainty and	(19) In order to ensure legal certainty and	
avoid disproportionate burdens on network	avoid disproportionate burdens on network	
operators resulting from the simultaneous	operators resulting from the simultaneous	
application of two distinct access regimes to the	application of two distinct access regimes to the	
same physical infrastructure, physical	same physical infrastructure, physical	
infrastructure subject to access obligations	infrastructure subject to access obligations	

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
imposed by national regulatory authorities	imposed by national regulatory authorities	
pursuant to Directive (EU) 2018/1972 or access	pursuant to Directive (EU) 2018/1972 or access	
obligations resulting from the application of	obligations resulting from the application of	
Union State aid rules should not be subject to	Union State aid rules should not be subject to	C*/
access obligations set out in this Regulation for	access obligations set out in this Regulation	
as long as such access obligations remain in	Directive for as long as such access obligations	
place. However, this Regulation should be	remain in place. However, this Regulation	
applicable where a national regulatory authority	Directive should be applicable where a national	
has imposed an access obligation under	regulatory authority has imposed an access	
Directive (EU) 2018/1972 that limits the use	obligation under Directive (EU) 2018/1972 that	
that can be made of the physical infrastructure	limits the use that can be made of the physical	
concerned. For instance, this could occur when	infrastructure concerned.	
an operator planning to connect base stations		
requests access to existing physical		
infrastructure to which access obligations are		
imposed in the market for access to wholesale		
dedicated capacity <sup>10</sup> .		

Commission Recommendation (EU) 2020/2245 of 18 December 2020 on relevant product and service markets within the electronic communications sector susceptible to ex ante regulation in accordance with Directive (EU) 2018/1972 of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing the European Electronic Communications Code, 18.12.2020, C(2020) 8750, OJ L 439, 29.12.2020, p. 23.

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(20) To ensure proportionality and preserve		
investment incentives, a network operator or		
public sector body should have the right to		<u>_ " //                                 </u>
refuse access to specific physical infrastructure		
for objective and justified reasons. In particular,		
a physical infrastructure for which access has		
been requested could be technically unsuitable		
due to specific circumstances, or because of lack		
of currently available space or future needs for		
space that are sufficiently demonstrated, for		
instance, in publicly available investment plans.		
To ensure proportionality and preserve		
investment incentives, a network operator or		
public sector body may refuse access to specific		
physical infrastructure. To avoid any potential		
distortion of competition or any possible abuse		
of the conditions to refuse access, any such		
refusal should be duly justified and based on		
objective and detailed reasons. For example		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
such reasons would not be considered objective		
where an undertaking providing or authorised to		
provide electronic communications networks		
has deployed physical infrastructure thanks to		<u>_ " //                                 </u>
civil works coordination with a network		
operator other than an electronic		
communications network operator and refuses		
to grant access based on an alleged lack of		
availability of space to host the elements of very		
high capacity networks which results from		
decisions made by the undertaking under its		
control. In such case, a competition distortion		
could arise if there is no other VHCN in the area		
concerned by the access request. Similarly, in		
specific circumstances, sharing the		
infrastructure could jeopardise safety or public		
health, network integrity and security, including		
that of critical infrastructure, or could endanger		
the provision of services that are primarily		
provided over the same infrastructure.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Moreover, where the network operator already		
provides a viable alternative means of wholesale		
physical access to electronic communications		
networks that would meet the needs of the		<u></u>
access seeker, such as dark fibre or fibre		
unbundling, access to the underlying physical		
infrastructure could have an adverse economic		
impact on its business model, in particular that		
of wholesale-only operators, and incentives to		
invest. It may also risk an inefficient duplication		
of network elements. The assessment of the fair		
and reasonable character of the terms and		
conditions for such alternative means of		
wholesale physical access should take into		
account, inter alia, the underlying business		
model of the undertaking providing or		
authorised to provide public electronic		
communications networks granting access and		
the need to avoid any reinforcement of the		
significant market power, if any, of either party.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(21) To facilitate the reuse of existing		
physical infrastructure, where operators request		
access in a specified area, network operators and		
public sector bodies that own or control physical		
infrastructure should make an offer for the		
shared use of their facilities under fair and		
reasonable terms and conditions, including		
price, unless access is refused for objective and		
justified reasons. Public sector bodies should		
also be required to offer access under non-		
discriminatory terms and conditions. Depending		
on the circumstances, several factors could		
influence the conditions under which such		
access is granted. These include: (i) any		
additional maintenance and adaptation costs; (ii)		
any preventive safeguards to be adopted to limit		
adverse effects on network safety, security and		
integrity; (iii) any specific liability arrangements		
in the event of damages; (iv) the use of any		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
public subsidy granted for the construction of		
the infrastructure, including specific terms and		
conditions attached to the subsidy or provided		
under national law in compliance with Union		<u>_ " //                                 </u>
law; (v) the ability to deliver or provide		
infrastructure capacity to meet public service		
obligations; and (vi) any constraints stemming		
from national provisions aiming to protect the		
environment, public health, public security or to		
meet town and country planning objectives.		
(22) Investments in physical infrastructure of		
public electronic communications networks or		
associated facilities should directly contribute to		
the objectives set out in Decision (EU)		
2022/2481 and avoid opportunistic behaviour.		
Therefore, any obligation of access to existing		
physical infrastructure or coordination of civil		
works should fully take into account a number		
of factors such as (i) the economic viability of		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
those investments based on their risk profile; (ii)		
any time schedule for the return on investment;		
(iii) any impact that the access has on		
downstream competition and consequently on		
prices and return on investment; (iv) any		
depreciation of the network assets at the time of		
the access request; (v) any business case		
underpinning the investment, in particular in the		
physical infrastructure used for providing very		
high capacity network services; and (vi) any		
possibility previously offered to the access		
seeker to co-deploy.		
(23) Public sector bodies that own or control		
physical infrastructure may lack sufficient		
resources, experience or the necessary technical		
knowledge to engage in negotiations with		
operators on access. To facilitate access to these		
public sector bodies' physical infrastructure, a		
body could be appointed to coordinate the		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
access requests, provide legal and technical		
advice for negotiating access terms and		
conditions, and make relevant information on		
such physical infrastructure available via a		<u>-"//</u>
single information point. The coordinating body		
could also support public sector bodies in		
preparing model contracts and monitor the		
outcome and the length of time of the access		
requests process. The body could also help if		
disputes arise on access to physical		
infrastructure that public sector bodies own or		
control.		
(24) To ensure consistency of approaches		
among Member States, the Commission, in		
close cooperation with the Body of European		
Regulators for Electronic Communications		
(BEREC), could provide guidance on applying		
the provisions on access to physical		
infrastructure, including but not only on the		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
application of fair and reasonable conditions.		
The views of stakeholders and national dispute		
settlement bodies should be duly taken into		
account in the preparation of the guidance.		<u>-"//</u>
(25) Operators should have access to		
minimum information on physical infrastructure		
and planned civil works in the area of		
deployment. This will enable them to effectively		
plan deploying very high capacity networks and		
ensure the most effective use of existing		
physical infrastructure, suitable for rolling out		
such networks, and planned civil works. Such		
minimum information is a pre-requisite to assess		
the potential for using existing physical		
infrastructure or coordinating the planned civil		
works in a specific area, as well as to reduce		
damage to any existing physical infrastructures.		
In view of the number of stakeholders involved		
(covering publicly and privately financed civil		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
works as well as existing or planned physical		
infrastructure) and to facilitate access to that		
information (across sectors and borders), the		
network operators and public sector bodies		C*//
subject to transparency obligations should		
proactively (rather than upon request) provide		
and maintain such minimum information via a		
single information point. This will simplify		
managing requests to access such information		
and enable operators to express their interest in		
accessing physical infrastructure or coordinating		
civil works, for which timing is critical. The		
minimum information on planned civil works		
should be provided via a single information		
point as soon as the information is available to		
the network operator concerned and, in any		
event and where permits are required, no later		
than 3 months before the permit application is		
first submitted to the competent authorities.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(26) The minimum information should be		
made available promptly via the single		
information point under proportionate, non-		
discriminatory and transparent terms so that		<u>-"//</u>
operators can submit their requests for		
information. The single information point		
should consist of a repository of information in		
electronic format, where information can be		
accessed and requests can be made online using		
digital tools, such as webpages, digital		
applications, and digital platforms. The		
information made available may be limited to		
ensure network security and integrity, in		
particular that of critical infrastructure, national		
security, or to safeguard legitimate operating		
and business secrets. The single information		
point does not have to host the information as		
long as it ensures that links are available to		
other digital tools, such as web portals, digital		
platforms or digital applications, where the		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
information is stored. The single information		
point may provide additional functionalities,		
such as access to additional information or		
support to the process of requests for access to		C*/
existing physical infrastructure or to coordinate		
civil works.		
(27) In addition, if the request is reasonable,		
in particular if needed to share existing physical		
infrastructures or coordinate civil works,		
operators should be granted the possibility to		
make on-site surveys and request information on		
planned civil works under transparent,		
proportionate and non-discriminatory conditions		
and without prejudice to the safeguards adopted		
to ensure network security and integrity,		
protection of confidentiality, as well as		
operating and business secrets.		
(28) Advanced transparency of planned civil		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
works via single information points should be		
incentivised. This can be done by easily		
redirecting operators to such information		
whenever available. Transparency should also		C.//
be enforced by making permit-granting		
applications subject to prior publication of		
information on planned civil works via a single		
information point.		
(29) The discretion that Member States retain		
to allocate the functions of the single		
information points to more than one competent		
body should not affect their ability to effectively		
fulfil those functions. Where more than one		
single information point is set up in a Member		
State, a single national digital entry point		
consisting of a common user interface should		
ensure seamless access to all single information		
points by electronic means. The single		
information point should be fully digitised and		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
provide easy access to the relevant digital tools.		
This will enable network operators and public	This will enable network operators and public	
sector bodies exercise their rights and comply	sector bodies exercise their rights and comply	
with the obligations set out in this Regulation.	with the obligations set out in this Regulation	<u>_"</u> //
This includes fast access to the minimum	Directive.	
information on existing physical infrastructure		
and planned civil works, electronic		
administrative procedures for granting permits		
and rights of way, and the applicable conditions		
and procedures. As part of this minimum		
information, the single information point should		
give access to georeferenced information on the		
location of existing physical infrastructure and		
planned civil works. To facilitate this, Member		
States should provide automated digital tools for		
the submission of the georeferenced information		
and conversion tools to the supported data		
formats. These could be made available to		
network operators and public sector bodies		
responsible for providing this information via		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
the single information point. Furthermore,		
where georeferenced location data are available		
via other digital tools, such as the INSPIRE		
Geoportal under Directive 2007/2/EC of the		C./
European Parliament and of the Council <sup>11</sup> , the		
single information point could provide user-		
friendly access to this information.		
(30) To ensure proportionality and security,		
the requirement to provide information on		
existing physical infrastructure via the single		
information point need not apply for the same		
reasons as those justifying a refusal of an access		
request. In addition, providing information on		
existing physical infrastructure via the single		
information point could, in very specific cases,		
be burdensome or disproportionate for network		

Directive 2007/2/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 March 2007 establishing an Infrastructure for Spatial Information in the European Community (INSPIRE) (OJ L 108, 25.4.2007, p. 1).

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
operators and public sector bodies. This could		
arise, for example, where the mapping of		
relevant assets is not yet available and it would		
be very costly to map or where access requests		C*//
are expected to be very low in certain areas of a		
Member State or in respect to certain specific		
physical infrastructure. Where it appears that		
providing information is disproportionate based		
on a detailed cost-benefit analysis, network		
operators and public sector bodies should not be		
obliged to provide such information. Member		
States should conduct such detailed cost-benefit		
analysis based on a consultation with		
stakeholders on demand for access to existing		
physical infrastructure, and the analysis should		
be updated regularly. The consultation process		
and its outcome should be made public, and the		
specific physical infrastructure to be exempted		
from this obligation should be notified to the		
Commission.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(31) To ensure consistency, the competent		
bodies performing the functions of the single		
information point, the national regulatory		<u></u>
authorities fulfilling their tasks under Directive		
(EU) 2018/1972 or other competent authorities,		
such as national, regional or local authorities in		
charge of cadastre or the implementation of		
Directive 2007/2/EC (INSPIRE), as appropriate,		
should consult and cooperate with each other.		
The purpose of such cooperation should be to		
minimise the efforts in complying with		
transparency obligations on network operators		
and public sector bodies, including the		
undertakings designated with significant market		
power ('SMP' operators), to make information		
available about their physical infrastructure;		
Where a different data set on physical		
infrastructure of the SMP operator is required		
such cooperation should result in establishing		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
useful interlinks and synergies between the		
SMP-related database and the single		
information point and proportionate common		
practices of data collection and data provision to		<u>-"//</u>
deliver results that are easily comparable.		
Cooperation should also aim at facilitating		
access to information on physical infrastructure,		
in light of national circumstances. If regulatory		
obligations are modified or withdrawn, the		
parties affected should be able to agree on the		
best solutions to adapt the collection and		
provision of physical infrastructure data to the		
newly applicable regulatory requirements.		
(32) The transparency obligation for the		
coordination of civil works need not apply to		
civil works for reasons of national security or in		
an emergency. This could be the case, for civil		
works performed if there is a risk of public		
danger as a result of degradation processes to		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
civil engineering works and their associated		
installations, which are caused by destructive		
natural or human factors and are needed to		
ensure their safety or their demolition. For		<u>_ " //                                 </u>
reasons of transparency, Member States should		
notify the types of civil works falling under		
those circumstances to the Commission and		
publish them via a single information point.		
(33) To ensure significant savings and		
minimise inconveniences to the area affected by		
the deployment of new electronic		
communications networks, regulatory		
constraints preventing as a general rule the		
negotiation among network operators of		
agreements to coordinate civil works to deploy		
very high capacity networks should be		
prohibited. If civil works are not financed by		
public means, this Regulation should be without		
prejudice to the possibility for network		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
operators to conclude civil works coordination		
agreements according to their own investment		
and business plans and their preferred timing.		
		- //
(34) Member States should maximise the		
results of civil works fully or partially financed		
by public means, by exploiting the positive		
externalities of those works across sectors and		
ensuring equal opportunities to share the		
available and planned physical infrastructure to		
deploy very high capacity networks. The main		
purpose of civil works financed by public means		
should not be adversely affected. However,		
timely and reasonable requests to coordinate the		
deployment of elements of very high capacity		
networks should be met by the network operator		
carrying out the civil works concerned directly		
or indirectly (for example, through a sub-		
contractor) under proportionate, non-		
discriminatory and transparent terms. For		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
example, the requesting operator should cover		
any additional costs, including those caused by		
delays and keep changes to the original plans to		
a minimum Such provisions should not affect		<u>_ " //                                 </u>
the right of Member States to reserve capacity		
for electronic communications networks even in		
the absence of specific requests. This will		
enable Member States to meet future demand		
for physical infrastructures to maximise the		
value of civil works or to adopt measures giving		
similar rights to operators of other types of		
networks, such as transport, gas or electricity, to		
coordinate civil works.		
(35) In some cases, in particular for		
deployments in rural, remote or scarcely		
populated areas, the obligation to coordinate		
civil works might put at risk the financial		
viability of such deployments and eventually		
disincentivize investments carried out under		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
market terms. Therefore, a request to an		
undertaking providing or authorised to provide		
public electronic communications networks to		
coordinate civil works might be considered		~ * //
unreasonable under specific circumstances. This		
should be the case, in particular, if the		
requesting undertaking providing or authorised		
to provide electronic communications networks		
did not state its intention to deploy very high		
capacity networks in that area (either as a new		
deployment, an upgrade or an extension of a		
network) and there had been a forecast or		
invitation to declare an intention to deploy very		
high capacity networks in designated areas		
(pursuant to Article 22 of Directive (EU)		
2018/1972) or a public consultation under		
Union State aid rules. If more than one of those		
forecasts, invitations and/or public consultations		
have occurred, only the lack of an expression of		
interest at the most recent occasion covering the		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
period during which the request for coordination		
of civil works is made should be considered. To		
ensure the possibility to access the deployed		
infrastructure in the future, the undertaking		<u>- " //                                 </u>
providing or authorised to provide public		
electronic communications networks performing		
the civil works should guarantee that it will		
deploy physical infrastructure with sufficient		
capacity, taking into account the guidance		
provided by the Commission. This is without		
prejudice to the rules and conditions attached to		
the assignment of public funds and the		
application of State aid rules.		
(36) To ensure consistency of approaches, the		
Commission, in close cooperation with the		
Body of European Regulators (BEREC), could		
provide guidance on applying the provisions on		
civil work coordination, including but not only		
on apportioning of costs. The views of		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
stakeholders and national dispute settlement		
bodies should be duly taken into account in the		
preparation of the guidance.		
		37
(37) Effective coordination can help reduce		
costs and delays as well as deployment		
disruption, which can be caused by problems on		
site. One example where coordination of civil		
works can provide clear benefits are cross-sector		
projects to deploy 5G corridors along transport		
paths, such as road, rail and in-land waterways.		
These projects can often also require design		
coordination or co-design based on early		
cooperation between the project participants. As		
part of the co-design, the parties concerned may		
agree in advance on physical infrastructure		
deployment paths and the technology and		
equipment to be used, before the coordination of		
civil works. Therefore, the request for		
coordination of civil works should be filed as		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
soon as possible.		
(38) A number of different permits for		
deploying elements of electronic		<u></u>
communications networks or associated		
facilities may be necessary in order to protect		
national and Union general interests. These can		
include digging, building, town planning,		
environmental and other permits as well as		
rights of way. The number of permits and rights		
of way required for deploying different types of		
electronic communications networks or		
associated facilities and the local character of		
the deployment could involve applying different		
procedures and conditions, which can cause		
difficulties in the network deployment.		
Therefore, to facilitate deployment, all rules on		
the conditions and procedures applicable to		
granting permits and rights of way should be		
streamlined and consistent at national level.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
While preserving the right of each competent		
authority to be involved and maintain its		
decision-making prerogatives in accordance		
with the subsidiarity principle, all information		
on the procedures and general conditions		
applicable to granting permits for civil works		
and rights of way should be available via single		
information points. This could reduce		
complexity and increase efficiency and		
transparency for all operators and particularly		
new entrants and smaller operators not active in		
that area. Moreover, operators should have the		
right to submit their requests for permits and		
rights of way in electronic format via a single		
information point. Those undertakings should		
also be able to retrieve information in electronic		
format about the status of their requests and		
whether they have been granted or refused.		
(20) P :		
(39) Permit-granting procedures should not		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
be barriers to investment or harm the internal		
market. Member States should therefore ensure		
that a decision on whether or not to grant		
permits on the deployment of elements of very		<u>-"//</u>
high capacity networks or associated facilities is		
made available within 4 months from the receipt		
of a complete permit request. This is without		
prejudice to other specific deadlines or		
obligations laid down for the proper conduct of		
the procedure, which are applicable to the		
permit-granting procedure in accordance with		
national or Union law. Competent authorities		
should not restrict, hinder or make the		
deployment of very high capacity networks or		
associated facilities economically less attractive.		
Specifically, they should not prevent procedures		
for granting permits and rights of way from		
proceeding in parallel, where possible, or		
require operators to obtain one type of		
authorisation before they can apply for other		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
types of authorisations. Competent authorities		
should justify any refusal to grant permits or		
rights of way under their competence, based on		
objective, transparent, non-discriminatory and		~ *//
proportionate conditions.		
(40) To avoid undue delays, competent		
authorities must determine the completeness of		
the permit request within 15 days from its		
receipt. The permit request should be deemed		
complete unless the competent authority invites		
the applicant to provide any missing information		
within that period. For reasons of equal		
treatment and transparency, the competent		
authorities should not consider permit requests		
for civil works to be admissible if the minimum		
information required under this Regulation has		
not been made available via a single information		
point within 3 months before the first permit		
request is submitted to the competent		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
authorities. Where, in addition to permits, rights	Where, in addition to permits, rights	
of way are required for deploying elements of	of way are required for deploying elements of	
very high capacity networks, competent	very high capacity networks, competent	
authorities should, by way of derogation from	authorities should, by way of derogation from	C*//
Article 43 of Directive (EU) 2018/1972, grant	Article 43 of Directive (EU) 2018/1972, grant	
such rights of way within 4 months from the	such rights of way within 4 months from the	
receipt of the request. Other rights of way not	receipt of the request. Other rights of way not	
needed in conjunction with permits for civil	needed in conjunction with permits for civil	
works should continue to be granted within 6	works should continue to be granted within 6	Is this explanation needed?
months in accordance with Article 43 of	months in accordance with Article 43 of	
Directive (EU) 2018/1972. Operators that suffer	Directive (EU) 2018/1972.	
damage due to the delay of a competent		
authority to grant permits or rights of way		
within the applicable deadlines should have the		
right to compensation.		
(41) In order to ensure uniform conditions for	(41) In order to ensure <b>minimum</b> uniform	The aim of the proposal is to allow Member
the implementation of Article 7 of this	conditions for the implementation of Article 7	States to define other situations benefiting from
Regulation, implementing powers should be	of this Regulation Directive in what concerns	the exemption of permits.
conferred on the Commission. Those powers	the exemptions from the requirement of	The second proposal pretends to limitate the

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
should be exercised in accordance with	permits, implementing powers should be	intervention of the Comission, considering that
Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European	conferred on the Commission	article 7 also states tacit aprovals, which must
Parliament and of the Council <sup>12</sup> . The		be mantained under the national legislation
exemptions from the requirement for permits set		rules
out at Union level by way of an implementing		
act, could be applied to different categories of		
infrastructure (such as masts, antennae, poles		
and underground cables) under certain specified		
conditions, for which building permits, digging		
permits or other types of permits may be		
initially required. They could also be applied to		
technical upgrades of existing maintenance		
works or installations, small-scale civil works,		
such as trenching, and renewals of permits.		
(42) In order to ensure that the procedures for		
granting such permits and rights of way are		

Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
completed within reasonable deadlines, as		
appears from certain modernising and good		
administrative practices at national level, it is		
necessary to draw up principles for		<u>_ " //                                 </u>
administrative simplification. This should		
include inter alia limiting the obligation of prior		
authorisation to cases in which it is essential and		
introducing tacit approval by the competent		
authorities after a certain period of time has		
elapsed. Moreover, the categories of		
deployments exempted from permits under		
Union law should no longer be subject to		
permits under national law.		
(43) To facilitate the deployment of elements		
of very high capacity networks, any fee related		
to a permit, other than rights of way, should be		
limited to the administrative costs related to		
processing the permit request according to the		
principles established in Article 16 of Directive		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(EU) 2018/1972. In the case of rights of way,		
the provisions established in Articles 42 and 43		
of Directive (EU) 2018/1972 apply.		
(44) Achieving the targets set out in Decision		
(EU) 2022/2481 requires that, by 2030, all end		
users at fixed locations are covered by a gigabit		
network up to a network termination point and		
all populated areas are covered by next-		
generation wireless high-speed networks with at		
least 5G-equivalent performance, in accordance		
with the principle of technological neutrality.		
Providing gigabit networks up to the end user		
should be facilitated, in particular through fibre-		
ready in-building physical infrastructure.		
Providing for mini-ducts during the construction		
of a building has only a limited incremental		
cost, while equipping buildings with gigabit		
infrastructure may represent a significant part of		
the cost of deploying a gigabit network.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Therefore, all new buildings or buildings subject		
to a major renovation should be equipped with		
physical infrastructure and in-building fibre		
wiring, enabling the connection of end users to		
gigabit speeds. New multi-dwelling buildings		
and multi-dwelling buildings subject to major		
renovation should also be equipped with an		
access point, accessible to one or more		
undertakings providing or authorised to provide		
public electronic communications networks.		
Moreover, building developers should provide		
for empty ducts from every dwelling to the		
access point, located in or outside the multi-		
dwelling building. Major renovations of existing		
buildings at the end user's location to enhance		
energy performance (pursuant to Directive		
2010/31/EU of the European Parliament and of		
the Council <sup>13</sup> ) provide an opportunity to also		

Directive 2010/31/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 May 2010 on the energy performance of buildings (OJ L 153, 18.6.2010, p. 13).

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
equip those buildings with fibre-ready in-		
building physical infrastructure, in-building		
fibre wiring and, for multi-dwelling buildings,		
an access point.		
(45) The prospect of equipping a building		
with fibre-ready in-building physical		
infrastructure, an access point or in-building		
fibre wiring may be considered disproportionate		
in terms of costs, namely for new single		
dwellings or buildings undergoing major		
renovation works. This may be based on		
objective grounds, such as tailor-made cost		
estimates, economic reasons linked to the		
location, or urban heritage conservation or		
environmental reasons (for example, for specific		
categories of monuments).		
(46) Prospective buyers and tenants should be		
able to identify buildings that are equipped with		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
fibre-ready in-building physical infrastructure,		
an access point and in-building fibre wiring and		
that therefore have considerable cost-saving		
potential. The fibre readiness of buildings		<u>_ " //                                 </u>
should also be promoted. Member States should	Member States should therefore develop a	
therefore develop a compulsory 'fibre-ready'	compulsory 'fibre-ready' label for buildings	
label for buildings equipped with such	equipped with such infrastructure, an access	
infrastructure, an access point and in-building	point and in-building fibre wiring in accordance	
fibre wiring in accordance with this Regulation.	with this Regulation Directive.	
(47) Undertakings providing or authorised to		
provide public electronic communications		
networks deploying gigabit networks in a		
specific area could achieve significant		
economies of scale if they could terminate their		
network to the building's access point by using		
existing physical infrastructure and restoring the		
affected area. This should be possible		
irrespective of whether a subscriber has		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
expressed explicit interest for the service at that		
moment in time and provided that the impact on		
private property is minimised, Once the network		
is terminated at the access point, the connection		
of an additional customer is possible at a		
significantly lower cost, in particular by means		
of access to a fibre-ready vertical segment		
inside the building, where it already exists. That		
objective is also fulfilled when the building		
itself is already equipped with a gigabit network		
to which access is provided to any public		
communications network provider, which has an		
active subscriber in the building, under		
transparent, proportionate and non-		
discriminatory terms and conditions. That could		
in particular be the case in Member States that		
have taken measures under Article 44 of		
Directive (EU) 2018/1972.		
(48) In order to contribute to ensuring		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
availability of gigabit networks to end users,		
new buildings and majorly renovated buildings		
should be equipped with fibre-ready in-building		
physical infrastructure, in-building fibre wiring		<b>-</b> "//
and, in the case of multi-dwelling buildings, an		
access point. Member States should have a		
degree of flexibility to achieve this. This	This Regulation Directive, therefore, does not	
Regulation, therefore, does not seek to	seek to harmonise rules on related costs,	
harmonise rules on related costs, including the	including the recovery of costs of equipping	
recovery of costs of equipping buildings with	buildings with fibre-ready in-building physical	
fibre-ready in-building physical infrastructure,	infrastructure, in-building fibre wiring and an	
in-building fibre wiring and an access point.	access point.	
(49) In line with the subsidiarity principle and		
to take national circumstances into account,		
Member States should adopt the standards or		
technical specifications necessary for the		
purpose of equipping newly constructed or		
majorly renovated buildings with fibre-ready in-		
building physical infrastructure and in-building		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
fibre wiring; and new or majorly renovated		
multi-dwelling buildings with an access point.		
Those standards or technical specifications		
should set out at least: the building access point		<u>-"//</u>
specifications; fibre interface specifications;		
cable specifications; socket specifications;		
specifications for pipes or micro-ducts;		
technical specifications needed to prevent		
interference with electrical cabling, and the		
minimum bend radius. Member States should		
make the issuance of building permits		
conditional on compliance of the relevant new		
building or major renovation works project		
requiring a building permit with the standards or		
technical specifications based on a certified test		
report. Member States should also set up		
certification schemes for the purpose of		
demonstrating compliance with the standards or		
technical specifications as well as for qualifying		
for the 'fibre-ready' label. Moreover, to avoid	Moreover, to avoid	

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
an increase in red tape related to the certification	an increase in red tape related to the certification	
scheme set up under this Regulation, Member	scheme set up under this Regulation Directive.	
States should take into account the procedural		
requirements applied to certification schemes		<u></u> *//
pursuant to Directive 2010/31/EU and also		
consider the possibility to enable the combined		
launch of both request procedures.		
(50) In view of the social benefits stemming		
from digital inclusion and taking into account		
the economics of deploying very high capacity		
networks, where there is neither existing passive		
or active fibre-ready infrastructure serving end		
users' premises nor alternatives to providing		
very high capacity networks to a subscriber, any		
public communications network provider should		
have the right to terminate its network to a		
private premise at its own cost, provided that the		
impact on private property is minimised, for		
example, if possible, by reusing the existing		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
physical infrastructure available in the building		
or ensuring full restoration of the affected areas.		
(51) Requests for access to the in-building	Requests for access to the in-building physical	
physical infrastructure should fall under the	infrastructure should fall under the scope of this	
scope of this Regulation, whereas a request for	Regulation Directive	
access to fibre wiring is to fall under the scope		
of Directive (EU) 2018/1972. Moreover, access		
to in-building physical infrastructure could be		
refused if access to in-building fibre wiring is		
made available under fair, reasonable and non-		
discriminatory terms and conditions, including		
price.		
(52) To ensure consistency of approaches, the		
Commission, in close cooperation with BEREC,		
could provide guidance on the applications of		
provisions on access to in-building physical		
infrastructure, including but not only on the		
terms and conditions thereof. The views of		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
stakeholders and national dispute settlement		
bodies should be duly taken into account in the		
preparation of the guidance.		
(53) To foster the modernisation and agility	,	
of administrative procedures and reduce the cost		
of and time spent on the procedures for		
deploying very high capacity networks, the		
services of single information points should be		
performed fully online. To that end, single		
information points should provide easy access to		
the necessary digital tools, such as web portals,		
digital platforms, and digital applications. The		
tools should give access in an efficient manner		
to the minimum information on existing		
physical infrastructure and planned civil works		
and the possibility to request information. Such		
digital tools should also give access to the		
electronic administrative procedures for		
granting permits and rights of way and related		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
information on the applicable conditions and		
procedures. Where more than one single		
information point is set up in a Member State,		
all single information points should be easily		<u>-"//</u>
and seamlessly accessible, by electronic means,		
via a single national digital entry point. This		
entry point should have a common user		
interface ensuring access to the online single		
information points. The single national digital		
entry point should facilitate interaction between		
operators and competent authorities performing		
the functions of the single information points.		
(54) Member States should be allowed to rely	(54) Member States should be allowed to rely	
on, and where necessary improve, digital tools,	on, and where necessary improve, digital tools,	
such as web portals, digital platforms, and	such as web portals, digital platforms, and	
digital applications that might already be	digital applications that might already be	
available at local, regional or national level to	available at local, regional or national level to	
provide the functions of the single information	provide the functions of the single information	
point provided they comply with the obligations	point provided they comply with the obligations	

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
set out in this Regulation. This includes access	set out in this Regulation Directive. This	
through a single national digital entry point and	includes access through a single national digital	
the availability of all the functionalities set out	entry point and the availability of all the	
in this Regulation. To comply with the 'once-	functionalities set out in this Regulation	C*/
only' data minimisation and accuracy principles,	Directive.	
Member States should be allowed to integrate		
more digital platforms or applications		
supporting the single information points, as		
appropriate. For example, the digital platforms		
or applications supporting the single		
information points on existing physical		
infrastructure could be interconnected or fully or		
partially integrated with the ones for planned		
civil works and granting permits.		
(55) To ensure the effectiveness of the single	To ensure the effectiveness of the single	
information points provided for under this	information points provided for under this	
Regulation, Member States should ensure	Regulation Directive,	
adequate resources as well as readily available		
relevant information on a specific geographical		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
area. The information should be presented with		
the right level of detail to maximise efficiency		
in view of the tasks assigned, including at the		
local cadastre. In that regard, Member States		
could consider the possible synergies and		
economies of scale with the points of single		
contact within the meaning of Article 6 of		
Directive 2006/123/EC of the European		
Parliament and of the Council <sup>14</sup> and other		
planned or existing e-government solutions with		
a view to building on existing structures and		
maximising the benefits for users. Similarly, the		
Single Digital Gateway provided for in		
Regulation (EU) 2018/1724 of the European		
Parliament and of the Council <sup>15</sup> should link to		
the single information points.		

14

Directive 2006/123/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006 on services in the internal market (OJ L 376, 27.12.2006, p. 36).

Regulation (EU) 2018/1724 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 October 2018 establishing a single digital gateway to provide access to information, to 15 procedures and to assistance and problem-solving services and amending Regulation (EU) No 1024/2012 (OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 1).

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(56) The costs for setting-up the single	The costs for setting-up the single national	
national digital entry point, the single	digital entry point, the single information points	
information points and the digital tools needed	and the digital tools needed to comply with the	
to comply with the provisions of this Regulation	provisions of this Regulation Directive	C*//
could be fully or partly eligible for financial		
support under Union funds, such as the		
European Regional Development Fund -		
specific objective: a more competitive and		
smarter Europe by promoting innovative and		
smart economic transformation and regional		
ICT <sup>16</sup> ; the Digital Europe Programme <sup>17</sup> -		
specific objective: deployment and best use of		
digital capacities and interoperability and the		
Recovery and Resilience Facility <sup>18</sup> - pillars on		
digital transformation and on smart, sustainable		

. .

Article 3(1)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2021/1058 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 on the European Regional Development Fund and on the Cohesion Fund (OJ L 231, 30.6.2021, p. 60)

Article 8 of Regulation (EU) 2021/694 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2021 establishing the Digital Europe Programme and repealing Decision (EU) 2015/2240 (OJ L 166, 11.5.2021, p. 1)

Article 3 of Regulation (EU) 2021/241 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 February 2021 establishing the Recovery and Resilience Facility (OJ L 57, 18.2.2021, p. 17)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
and inclusive growth, including economic		
cohesion, jobs, productivity, competitiveness,		
research, development and innovation, and a		
well-functioning internal market with strong		<u>-"//</u>
SMEs, provided they comply with the		
objectives and eligibility criteria therein.		
(57) In the event of a disagreement on		
technical and commercial terms and conditions		
during commercial negotiations on access to		
physical infrastructure or coordination of civil		
works, each party should be able to call on a		
national dispute settlement body to impose a		
solution on the parties to avoid unjustified		
refusals to meet the request or the imposition of		
unreasonable conditions. When determining		
prices for granting access to or cost-sharing for		
coordinated civil works, the dispute settlement		
body should ensure that the access provider and		
network operators planning civil works have a		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
fair opportunity to recover their costs incurred		
in providing access to their physical		
infrastructure or coordinating their planned civil		
works. This should take into account the		~ * //
appropriate Commission guidance, any specific		
national conditions, any tariff structures put in		
place and any previous imposition of remedies		
by a national regulatory authority. The dispute		
settlement body should also take into account		
the impact of the requested access or		
coordination of planned civil works on the		
business plan of the access provider or network		
operators planning civil works, including their		
investments made or planned, in particular		
investments in the physical infrastructure to		
which the request refers.		
(58) To avoid delays in network		
deployments, the national dispute settlement		
body should settle the dispute in a timely		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
manner and, in any event, at the latest within 4		
months from receipt of the request to settle the		
dispute in the case of disputes on access to		
existing physical infrastructure and 1 month	— and 1 month	Elimination necessary because the one month
when it concerns transparency on physical	when it concerns transparency on physical	term is insuficient to analyse the facts and
infrastructure, coordination of planned civil	infrastructure, coordination of planned civil	comply with the procedures demanded under
works and transparency on planned civil works.	works and transparency on planned civil works	portuguese law.
Exceptional circumstances justifying a delay in		
the settlement of a dispute could be beyond the		
control of the dispute settlement bodies, such as		
insufficient information or documentation that is		
necessary to take a decision, including the views		
of other competent authorities that need to be		
consulted or the high complexity of the file.		
(59) Where disputes arise on access to the		
physical infrastructure, planned civil works or		
information thereof to deploy very high capacity		
networks, the dispute settlement body should		
have the power to resolve such disputes by		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
means of a binding decision. In any case,		
decisions of such a body should be without		
prejudice to the possibility of any party to refer		
the case to a court or to conduct a prior or		<u>-"/</u>
parallel conciliation mechanism to the formal		
dispute settlement, which could take the form of		
mediation or an additional round of exchanges.		
(60) In accordance with the principle of	In accordance with the principle of subsidiarity,	
subsidiarity, this Regulation should be without	this Directive Regulation should be without	
prejudice to the possibility of Member States to	prejudice to the possibility of Member States to	
allocate regulatory tasks to the authorities best	allocate regulatory tasks to the authorities best	
suited to fulfil them in accordance with the	suited to fulfil them in accordance with the	
national constitutional system of attribution of	national constitutional system of attribution of	
competences and powers and the requirements	competences and powers and the requirements	
set out in this Regulation. To reduce the	set out in this Directive Regulation	
administrative burden, Member States should be		
allowed to appoint an existing body or maintain		
the competent bodies already appointed		
pursuant to Directive (EU) 2014/61/EU.		
		<u>'</u>

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Information on the tasks allocated to the		
competent body or bodies should be published		
via a single information point and notified to the		
Commission, unless already done pursuant to		<u>-"//</u>
Directive (EU) 2014/61/EU. The discretion that		
Member States retain to allocate the functions of		
the single information point to more than one		
competent body should not affect their ability to		
effectively fulfil those functions.		
(61) The designated national dispute		
settlement body and the competent body		
performing the functions of the single		
information point should ensure impartiality,		
independence and structural separation towards		
the parties involved, exercise their powers		
impartially, transparently and in a timely		
manner; and have the appropriate competencies		
and resources.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(62) Member States should provide for	(62) Member States should provide for	
appropriate, effective, proportionate and	appropriate, effective, proportionate and	
dissuasive penalties in the event of non-	dissuasive penalties in the event of non-	
compliance with this Regulation or with a	compliance with this Directive Regulation	<u>_"</u> //
binding decision adopted by the competent		
bodies, including cases where a network		
operator or public sector body knowingly or		
grossly and negligently provides misleading,		
erroneous or incomplete information via a single		
information point.		
(63) Since the objectives of this Regulation	Since the objectives of this Directive Regulation	
aiming at facilitating the deployment of physical	aiming at facilitating the ()	
infrastructures suitable for very high capacity		
networks across the Union cannot be		
sufficiently achieved by the Member States		
because of persistent divergent approaches as		
well as the slow and ineffective transposition of		
Directive 2014/61/EU but can rather, by reason		
of the scale of the network deployments and		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
investment required, be better achieved at Union	Drawing suggestions	
level, the Union may adopt measures, in		
accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as		
set out in Article 5 TEU. In accordance with the		
principle of proportionality, as set out in that		
Article, this Regulation does not go beyond	this Directive Regulation does not go beyond	
what is necessary in order to achieve those	what is necessary in order to achieve those	
objectives.	objectives.	
(64) This Regulation respects fundamental	(64) This Directive Regulation respects	
rights and observes the principles recognised in	fundamental rights and observes the principles	
the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the	recognised in the Charter of Fundamental Rights	
European Union, in particular this Regulation	of the European Union, in particular this	
seeks to ensure full respect for the right to	Directive Regulation seeks to ensure full	
private life and the protection of business	respect for the right to private life and the	
secrets, the freedom to conduct business, the	protection of business secrets, the freedom to	
right to property and the right to an effective	conduct business, the right to property and the	
remedy. This Regulation has to be applied in	right to an effective remedy. This Directive	
accordance with those rights and principles.	Regulation must be transposed has to be	
	applied in accordance with those rights and	

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
	principles.	
(65) This Regulation includes provisions		
covering all the substance areas covered by		<u>- '//</u>
Directive 2014/61/EU, which should therefore		
be repealed.		
(66) A period of six months between the	(66) A period of six months between the entry	Should this Act become a Directive, (66) will
entry into force and the application aims to give	into force and the application aims to give	have to be amended. Proposal:
sufficient time to Member States to ensure their	sufficient time to Member States to ensure their	«The obligation to transpose this Directive into
national legislation does not contain any	national legislation does not contain any	national law should be confined to those
obstacles to the uniform and effective	obstacles to the uniform and effective	provisions which represent a substantive
application of this Regulation. The period of 6	application of this Regulation. The period of 6	amendment as compared to the repealed
months is without prejudice to the specific rules	months is without prejudice to the specific rules	Directive. »
in this Regulation on the delayed application of	in this Regulation on the delayed application of	Additionally an article will have to be added
specific provisions as specified therein. Member	specific provisions as specified therein. Member	establishing the transposition deadline.
States are to withdraw national provisions	States are to withdraw national provisions	
overlapping with this Regulation or	overlapping with this Regulation or	Proposal:
contradicting it by the time it starts to apply. As	contradicting it by the time it starts to apply. As	Article x
regards adopting new legislation during this	regards adopting new legislation during this	Member States shall adopt and publish, by

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
period, it follows from Article 4(3) TEU that	period, it follows from Article 4(3) TEU that	, the laws, regulations and
Member States have a duty of sincere	Member States have a duty of sincere	administrative provisions necessary to
cooperation not to take action that would	cooperation not to take action that would	comply with this Directive. They shall
conflict with prospective Union legal rules,	conflict with prospective Union legal rules	immediately communicate the text of those
		measures to the Commission.
		Member States shall apply those measures
		from
HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE	
	REGULATION	
Article 1		
Subject matter and scope		
This Regulation aims to facilitate and	1. This Directive Regulation aims to	This Regulation proposal may result in a
stimulate the roll-out of very high capacity		underutilisation of the potential of a revised
networks by promoting the joint use of existing		legislative instrument to promote the
physical infrastructure and by enabling a more		deployment of VHCNs or may even have a
efficient deployment of new physical		negative impact on it,

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
infrastructure so that such networks can be		it is important that the GIA is a directive and
rolled out faster and at a lower cost.		not a regulation in order to allow Member States
		to adjust provisions at national level according
		to the national circumstances it important to
		reduce the costs not only of VHCN deployment
		but also of (i) all State aid funded ECN
		deployments in order to ensure that public funds
		are used as efficiently as possible and of (ii) the
		deployment of network elements which can
		contribute to VHCN deployment e.g. fibre roll-
		out in general, as this also contributes to the
		achievement of the EU connectivity targets for
		2030. therefore we suggest to adapt the scope of
		the GIA accordingly.
2. If any provision of this Regulation conflicts	2. If any provision of this Directive Regulation	
with a provision of Directive (EU) 2018/1972 or		
Directive 2002/77/EC, the relevant provision of		
those Directives shall prevail.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
3. Member States may maintain or introduce	3. Member States may maintain or introduce	It is necessary to ensure that Member States
measures in conformity with Union law which	measures in conformity with Union law which	have the possibility to maintain or introduce
contain more detailed provisions than those set	go beyond the minimum requirements	measures going beyond the GIA with a view to
out in this Regulation where they serve to	established by this Directive with a view to	better achieving the aim of the GIA.
promote the joint use of existing physical	contain more detailed provisions than those set	this important aspect would be best ensured
infrastructure or enable a more efficient	out in this Regulation where they serve to	through the legal certainty that would come with
deployment of new physical infrastructure.	promote the joint use of existing physical	an article in a directive that explicitly states that
	infrastructure or enable a more efficient	measures going beyond the directive are
	deployment of new physical infrastructure.	possible, as for legal reasons such an article
		does not seem to be possible in a regulation.
		It is also important to underline that the
		requirements of this Directive are minimum and
		mandatory.
		Adittionaly we underline that Broadband Cost
		Reduction Directive object is the deployment of
		high speed electronic communication networks,
		GIA object is the very high capacity networks
		and Portuguese legal framework is aplicable to
		all electronic comuunication networks. So, since
		GIA is supposed to repeal BCRD, it should be

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		clarified what legal framework will be aplicable
		to other communications networks.
4. By way of exception to paragraph 3, Member	4. By way of exception to paragraph 3, Member	In order to remark the de minimis nature of this
States shall not maintain or introduce in their	States shall not maintain or introduce in their	Diretive.
national law provisions diverging from those	national law provisions diverging or less	Regarding the reference that operates to article 8
laid down in Article 3(3) and (6), Article 4(4),	demanding from those laid down in Article 3(3)	(7) please see correponding comments.
Article 5(2) and (4), Article 6(2) and Article	and (6), Article 4(4), Article 5(2) and (4),	
8(7) and (8).	Article 6(2) and Article 8(7) and (8).	
Article 2		
Definitions		
For the purposes of this Regulation, the	For the purposes of this Regulation Directive	
definitions in Directive (EU) 2018/1972 apply.		
The following definitions also apply:		
(1) 'network operator' means:		

Commission proposal	Drofting Suggestions	Comments
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	
(a) an operator as defined in Article 2, point		
(29), of Directive (EU) 2018/1972;		
(b) an undertaking providing a physical		
infrastructure intended to provide:		
(i) a service of production, transport or		
distribution of:		
- gas;		
- electricity, including public lighting;		
- heating;		
- water, including disposal or treatment of		
wastewater and sewage, and drainage systems;		
(ii) transport services, including railways, roads,		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
ports and airports;		
(2) 'physical infrastructure' means:		
(a) any element of a network that is	(a) any element of a network that is	the wording of the definition provided in Article
intended to host other elements of a network	intended to host other elements of a network	2(2) lit. (a) is not fully clear. Entries to buildings
without becoming an active element of the	without becoming an active element of the	and any other assets including street furniture
network itself, such as pipes, masts, ducts,	network itself, such as pipes, masts, ducts,	are not elements of networks that are intended to
inspection chambers, manholes, cabinets,	inspection chambers, manholes, cabinets,	host other elements of networks.
antenna installations, towers and poles, as well	antenna installations, towers and poles, as well	
as buildings or entries to buildings, and any	as buildings or entries to buildings, and also any	where such items are not suitable for network
other asset including street furniture, such as	other assets including street furniture, such as	deployment, access will be refused based on
light poles, street signs, traffic lights, billboards,	light poles, street signs, traffic lights, billboards,	either Article 3(3) lit. (a) or (b) of the legislative
bus and tramway stops and metro stations;	bus and tramway stops and metro stations, these	proposal.
	latter either being part of a network or not,	
	as long as owned or controlled by public	As it is recognized in (b) [deleted] those last
	sector bodies.	mentioned assets are not part of a network.
(b) where they are not part of a network and	(b) where they are not part of a network and	Deleted according to previous suggestion.
are owned or controlled by public sector bodies:	are owned or controlled by public sector bodies:	

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
buildings or entries to buildings, and any other	buildings or entries to buildings, and any other	
asset including street furniture, such as light	asset including street furniture, such as light	
poles, street signs, traffic lights, billboards, bus	poles, street signs, traffic lights, billboards, bus	
and tramway stops and metro stations.	and tramway stops and metro stations.	
Cables, including dark fibre, as well as elements		
of networks used for the provision of water		
intended for human consumption as defined in		
_		
Article 2, point 1, of Council (EU) 2020/2184 of		
the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>19</sup>		
are not physical infrastructure within the		
meaning of this Regulation;		
(3) 'civil works' means every outcome of		
building or civil engineering works taken as a		
whole that is sufficient in itself to fulfil an		
economic or technical function and entails one		

Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
or more elements of a physical infrastructure;		
(4) 'public sector body' means a State, regional		
or local authority, a body governed by public		~*//
law or an association formed by one or several		
such authorities or one or several such bodies		
governed by public law;		
(5) 'bodies governed by public law' means		
bodies that have all of the following		
characteristics:		
(a) they are established for the specific		
purpose of meeting needs in the general interest,		
not having an industrial or commercial		
character;		
(b) they have legal personality;		
(c) they are financed, in full or for the most		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
part, by state, regional or local authorities or by		
other bodies governed by public law; or are		
subject to management supervision by those		
authorities or bodies; or have an administrative,		<u>-"//</u>
managerial or supervisory board, more than half		
of whose members are appointed by state,		
regional or local authorities or by other bodies		
governed by public law;		
(6) 'in-building physical infrastructure' means		
physical infrastructure or installations at the end		
user's location, including elements under joint		
ownership, intended to host wired and/or		
wireless access networks, where such access		
networks are capable of delivering electronic		
communications services and connecting the		
building access point with the network		
termination point;		
(7) 'in-building fibre wiring' means optical fibre		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
cables at the end user's location, including		
elements under joint ownership, intended to		
deliver electronic communications services and		
connecting the building access point with the		<u>_ " //                                 </u>
network termination point;		
(8) 'fibre-ready in-building physical		
infrastructure' means in-building physical		
infrastructure intended to host optical fibre		
elements;		
(9) 'major renovation works' means building or		
civil engineering works at the end user's		
location encompassing structural modifications		
of the entire in-building physical infrastructure		
or a significant part thereof and that require a		
building permit;		
(10) 'permit' means an explicit or implicit		
decision or set of decisions taken		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
simultaneously or successively by one or several		
competent authorities that are needed for an		
undertaking to carry out building or civil		
engineering works necessary for the deployment		C1 //
of elements of very high capacity networks;		
(11) 'access point' means a physical point,		
located inside or outside the building, accessible		
to one or more undertakings providing or		
authorised to provide public electronic		
communications networks, where connection to		
the fibre-ready in-building physical		
infrastructure is made available.		
Article 3		
Access to existing physical infrastructure		
1. Upon written request of an operator, public		
sector bodies owning or controlling physical		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
infrastructure or network operators shall meet		
all reasonable requests for access to that		
physical infrastructure under fair and reasonable		
terms and conditions, including price, with a		~ * //
view to deploying elements of very high		
capacity networks or associated facilities. Public		
sector bodies owning or controlling physical		
infrastructure shall meet all reasonable requests		
for access also under non-discriminatory terms		
and conditions. Such written requests shall		
specify the elements of the physical		
infrastructure for which the access is requested,		
including a specific time frame.		
2. When determining prices as part of fair and		
reasonable terms and conditions for granting		
access, network operators and public sector		
bodies owning or controlling physical		
infrastructure shall take into account the		
following:		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(a) the need to ensure that the access		
provider has a fair opportunity to recover the		
costs it incurs in order to provide access to its		C*//
physical infrastructure, taking into account		
specific national conditions and any tariff		
structures put in place to provide a fair		
opportunity for cost recovery; in the case of		
electronic communications networks, any		
remedies imposed by a national regulatory		
authority shall also be taken into account.		
(b) the impact of the requested access on the		
access provider's business plan, including		
investments in the physical infrastructure to		
which the access has been requested;		
(c) in the specific case of access to physical	To be deleted	These overly prescriptive provisions concerning
infrastructure of operators, the economic		the determination of prices as part of fair and
viability of those investments based on their risk		reasonable terms and conditions under Article

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
profile, any time schedule for the return on		3(2) generate concerns and, therefore, we
investment, any impact of access on		suggest to remove the conditions laid out in
downstream competition and consequently on		Article 3(2) lit (c).
prices and return on investment, any		C*//
depreciation of the network assets at the time of		
the access request, any business case		
underpinning the investment at the time it was		
made, in particular in the physical		
infrastructures used for the provision of		
connectivity, and any possibility previously		
offered to the access seeker to co-invest in the		
deployment of the physical infrastructure,		
notably pursuant to Article 76 of Directive (EU)		
2018/1972, or to co-deploy alongside it.		
3. Network operators and public sector bodies		
owning or controlling physical infrastructure		
may refuse access to specific physical		
infrastructure based on one or more of the		
following conditions:		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(a) there is a lack of technical suitability of		
the physical infrastructure to which access has		
been requested to host any of the elements of		
very high capacity networks referred to in		
paragraph 2;		
(b) there is a lack of availability of space to		
host the elements of very high capacity		
networks or associated facilities referred to in		
paragraph 2, including after having taken into		
account the future need for space of the access		
provider that is sufficiently demonstrated;		
(c) the existence of safety and public health		
concerns;		
(d) concerns for the integrity and security of		
any network, in particular critical national		
infrastructure;		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(e) the risk of serious interferences of the		
planned electronic communications services		
with the provision of other services over the		<u>_`</u> //
same physical infrastructure; or		
(f) the availability of viable alternative		According to the Portuguese legal framework
means of wholesale physical access to electronic		the availability of viable alternative means is not
communications networks provided by the same		considered ground for refusing access to the
network operator and suitable for the provision		requested infrastructure. Although this provision
of very high capacity networks, provided that		already exists in the BCRD, it has not been
such access is offered under fair and reasonable		transposed into the Portuguese framework,
terms and conditions.		which is more demanding in this context. And
		the access price to such alternatives is cost
		oriented.
In the event of a refusal to provide access, the		
network operator or the public sector body		
owning or controlling physical infrastructure		
shall communicate to the access seeker, in		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
writing, the specific and detailed reasons for	in writing, the specific and detailed reasons	To allow the possibility to set a shorter deadline,
such refusal within 1 month from the date of the	for such refusal as soon as possible and not	without prejudice to the maximum time limit set
receipt of the complete request for access.	later than one month within 1 month from the	by European Union Law
	date of the receipt of the complete request for	C1 //
	access.	
4. Member States may establish a body to		
coordinate access requests to physical		
infrastructure owned or controlled by public		
sector bodies, provide legal and technical advice		
through the negotiation of access terms and		
conditions, and facilitate the provision of		
information via a single information point		
referred to in Article 10.		
5. Physical infrastructure which is already		
subject to access obligations imposed by		
national regulatory authorities pursuant to		
Directive (EU) 2018/1972 or resulting from the		
application of Union State aid rules shall not be		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
subject to the obligations set out in paragraphs		
2, 3 and 4, for as long as such access obligations		
are in place.		
6. Public sector bodies owning or controlling		
buildings or certain categories of buildings may		
not apply paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 to those		
buildings or categories of buildings for reasons		
of architectural, historical, religious, or natural		
value, or for reasons of public security, safety		
and health. Member States shall identify such		
buildings or categories of buildings in their		
territories based on duly justified and		
proportionate reasons. Information on such		
buildings or categories of buildings shall be		
published via a single information point and		
notified to the Commission.		
7. Operators shall have the right to offer access		
to their physical infrastructure for the purpose of		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
deploying networks other than electronic		
communications networks or associated		
facilities.		
		- //
8. This Article shall be without prejudice to the		
right to property of the owner of the physical		
infrastructure where the network operator or the		
public sector body is not the owner and to the		
right to property of any other third party, such as		
landowners and private property owners.		
9. After having consulted stakeholders, the	9. After having consulted stakeholders, the	We see neither the need for further guidance by
national dispute settlement bodies and other	national dispute settlement bodies and other	the European Commission nor consider such
competent Union bodies or agencies in the	competent Union bodies or agencies in the	guidance advisable, given that the most
relevant sectors as appropriate, the Commission	relevant sectors as appropriate, the Commission	appropriate decision by the DSB will in many
may, in close cooperation with BEREC, provide	may, in close cooperation with BEREC, provide	cases be highly specific to the Member State
guidance on the application of this Article.	guidance on the application of this Article,	and to the case in question, and vary widely
	without prejudice to the decision-making power	depending on circumstances.
	of the Member States.	
		If this provision is to be kept then, we sugest the

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		clarification that the final decision on this matter
		belongs to Member States.
Article 4		
Transparency on physical infrastructure		
In order to request access to physical		Information can be made available through both
infrastructure in accordance with Article 3, any		centralised and decentralised systems which can
operator shall have the right to access, upon		fulfil the requirements in Article 4(1)
request, the following minimum information on		
existing physical infrastructure in electronic		
format via a single information point:		
(a) second form and location and moute.		
(a) georeferenced location and route;		
(b) type and current use of the		
infrastructure;		
(c) a contact point.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Such minimum information shall be accessible		
promptly, under proportionate, non-		
discriminatory and transparent terms and, in any		<u>_ " //                                 </u>
event no later than 15 days after the request for		
information is submitted.		
Any operator requesting access to information		
pursuant to this Article shall specify the area in		
which it envisages deploying elements of very		
high capacity networks or associated facilities.		
Access to the minimum information may be		
limited only where necessary to ensure the		
security of certain buildings owned or controlled		
by public sector bodies, the security of the		
networks and their integrity, national security,		
public health or safety, or for reasons of		
confidentiality or operating and business secrets.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
2. Network operators and public sector bodies		Information can be made available through both
shall make available the minimum information		centralised and decentralised systems which can
referred to in paragraph 1, via the single		fulfil the requirements in this Article 4(2),
information point and in electronic format, by		<u>_"</u> //
[DATE OF ENTRY INTO FORCE + 12		The deadline of 12 months for making the
MONTHS]. Under the same conditions,		minimum information concerning existing
network operators and public sector bodies shall		physical infrastructure available via the SIP is
make available promptly any update to that		too short
information and any new minimum information		
referred to in paragraph 1.		
3. Network operators and public sector bodies		
shall meet reasonable requests for on-site		
surveys of specific elements of their physical		
infrastructure upon specific request of an		
operator. Such requests shall specify the		
elements of the physical infrastructure		
concerned with a view to deploying elements of		
very high capacity networks or associated		
facilities. On-site surveys of the specified		

Drafting Suggestions	Comments
	C1 //
	Drafting Suggestions

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
physical infrastructure pursuant to paragraph 1,		
first subparagraph, would be disproportionate,		
on the basis of a detailed cost-benefit analysis		
conducted by Member States and based on a		C*//
consultation with stakeholders.		
Any such exceptions shall be published via a		
single information point and notified to the		
Commission.		
5. Operators that obtain access to information		
pursuant to this Article shall take appropriate		
measures to ensure respect for confidentiality		
and operating and business secrets.		
Article 5		
Coordination of civil works		
1. Any network operator shall have the right to		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
negotiate agreements on the coordination of		
civil works, including on the apportioning of		
costs, with operators with a view to deploying		
elements of very high capacity networks or		C*/
associated facilities.		
2. Any network operator when performing or		According to the portuguese legal framework all
planning to perform directly or indirectly civil		network operators planning civil works that
works, which are fully or partially financed by		allow for the instalation of infrastructures
public means, shall meet any reasonable written		suitable for the deployment of eletronic
request to coordinate those civil works under		communications networks are obliged to
transparent and non-discriminatory terms made		announce and, if requested, coordinate those
by operators with a view to deploying elements		civil works with electronnic commications
of very high capacity networks or associated		companies, sharing their costs. This is an
facilities.		example of a situation in which the portuguese
		legislation is more demanding.
Such requests shall be met provided that the		
following cumulative conditions are met:		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(a) this will not entail any unrecoverable		
additional costs, including those caused by		
additional delays, for the network operator that		
initially envisaged the civil works in question,		C1 //
without prejudice to the possibility of agreeing		
on apportioning the costs between the parties		
concerned;		
concerned,		
(b) the network operator initially envisaging		
the civil works remains in control over the		
coordination of the works;		
(c) the request to coordinate is filed as soon		
as possible and, when a permit is necessary, at		
least 2 months before the submission of the final		
project to the competent authorities for granting		
permits.		
perinto.		
3. A request to coordinate civil works made by		We suggest to adapt the obligation stated in this
an undertaking providing or authorised to		Article 5 (3) as otherwise in some cases it could
an undertaking providing of authorised to		Article 5 (3) as outerwise in some cases it could

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
provide public electronic communications		result in delays or even discourage investments.
networks to an undertaking providing or		
authorised to provide public electronic		
communications networks may be deemed		C*/
unreasonable where both following conditions		
are met:		
		See above
(a) the request concerns an area which has		
been subject to either of the following:		
(i) a forecast of the reach of broadband		
networks, including very high capacity networks		
pursuant to Article 22(1) of Directive (EU)		
2018/1972;		
(ii) an invitation to declare the intention to		
deploy very high capacity networks pursuant to		
Article 22(3) of Directive (EU) 2018/1972;		
(iii) a public consultation in applying Union		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
State aid rules;		
(b) the requesting undertaking failed to		See above
express its intention to deploy very high		<u></u>
capacity networks in the area referred to in point		
(a) in any of the most recent procedures among		
those listed in that point covering the period		
during which the request for coordination is		
made.		
If a request to coordinate is considered		
unreasonable on the basis of the first paragraph,		
the undertaking providing or authorised to		
provide public electronic communications		
networks refusing the coordination of civil		
works shall deploy physical infrastructure with		
sufficient capacity to accommodate possible		
future reasonable needs for third-party access.		
4. Paragraphs 2 and 3 need not apply to civil		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
works that are limited in scope, such as in terms		
of value, size or duration, or for critical national		
infrastructure. Member States shall identify the		
type of civil works considered to be limited in		C*//
scope or related to critical national infrastructure		
based on duly justified and proportionate		
reasons. Information on such types of civil		
works shall be published via a single		
information point and notified to the		
Commission.		
5. After having consulted stakeholders, the		We see neither the need for further guidance by
national dispute settlement bodies and other		the European Commission nor consider such
competent Union bodies or agencies in the		guidance advisable, given that the most
relevant sectors as appropriate, the Commission		appropriate decision by the DSB will in many
may, in close cooperation with BEREC, provide		cases be highly specific to the Member State
guidance on the application of this Article.		and to the case in question, and vary widely
		depending on circumstances.

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Article 6		
Transparency on planned civil works		
1. In order to negotiate agreements on		
coordination of civil works referred to in		
Article 5, any network operator shall make		
available in electronic format via a single		
information point the following minimum		
information:		
(a) the georeferenced location and the type		
of works;		
(b) the network elements involved;		
(c) the estimated date for starting the works		
and their duration;		
(d) the estimated date for submitting the		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
final project to the competent authorities for		
granting permits, where applicable;		
(e) a contact point.		- "//
The network operator shall make available the		
information referred to in the first subparagraph		
for planned civil works related to its physical		
infrastructure. This must be done as soon as the		
information is available to the network operator		
and, in any event and where a permit is		
envisaged, not later than 3 months prior to the		
first submission of the request for a permit to		
the competent authorities.		
Operators shall have the right to access the		
minimum information referred to in the first		
subparagraph in electronic format, upon request,		
via the single information point. The request for		
access to information shall specify the area in		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
which the requesting operator envisages		
deploying elements of very high capacity		
networks or associated facilities. Within 1 week		
from the date of the receipt of the request for		<u>- " //                                 </u>
information, the requested information shall be		
made available under proportionate, non-		
discriminatory and transparent terms. Access to		
the minimum information may be limited only		
to the extent necessary to ensure the security of		
the networks and their integrity, national		
security, public health or safety, confidentiality		
or operating and business secrets.		
2. Paragraph 1 need not apply to information on		
civil works limited in scope, such as in terms of		
value, size or duration, in the case of critical		
national infrastructure, or for reasons of national		
security or emergency. Member States shall		
identify, based on duly justified and		
proportionate reasons, the civil works that		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
would be considered limited in scope or concern		
critical national infrastructure, as well as the		
emergencies or the reasons of national security		
that would justify not being subject to the		<u>- " //                                 </u>
obligation to provide information. Information		
on such civil works excluded from transparency		
obligations shall be published via a single		
information point and notified to the		
Commission.		
Article 7		
Procedure for granting permits, including		
rights of way		
1. Competent authorities shall not unduly		
restrict, hinder or make economically less		
attractive the deployment of any element of very		
high capacity networks or associated facilities.		
Member States shall ensure that any rules		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
governing the conditions and procedures		
applicable for granting permits, including rights		
of way, required for the deployment of elements		
of very high capacity networks or associated		<u>-"//</u>
facilities are consistent across the national		
territory.		
2. Competent authorities shall make available		
all information on the conditions and procedures		
applicable for granting permits, including rights		
of way, including any information on		
exemptions on some or all permits or rights of		
way required under national or Union law, via a		
single information point in electronic format.		
3. Any operator shall have the right to submit,		
via a single information point in electronic		
format, applications for permits or rights of way		
and to retrieve information about the status of its		
application.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
4. The competent authorities shall, within 15		We do not consider it proportionate to restrict
working days from its receipt, reject		operators' right to apply for permits in cases
applications for permits, including for rights of		where they do not provide information on civil
way, for which the minimum information has		works, unless they have an obligation to allow
not been made available via a single information		coordination for those civil works (Art. 7(4)).
point, pursuant to Article 6(1) first		
subparagraph, by the same operator which		
applies for that permit.		
5. The competent authorities shall grant or		
refuse permits, other than rights of way, within		
4 months from the date of the receipt of a		
complete permit application.		
The completeness of the application for permits		
or rights of way shall be determined by the		
competent authorities within 15 days from the		
receipt of the application. Unless the competent		
authorities invited the applicant to provide any		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
missing information within that period, the		
application shall be deemed complete.		
The first and second subparagraph shall be		It should be clarified which paragraphs are
without prejudice to other specific deadlines or		mentioned in this provision and whether the
obligations laid down for the proper conduct of		purpose of this rule is to allow for more
the procedure that are applicable to the permit-		demanding legal frameworks as suggested in the
granting procedure, including appeal		previous comment.
proceedings, in accordance with Union law or		
national law in compliance with Union law.		
By way of exception and based on a justified		
reason set out by a Member State, the 4 month		
deadline referred to in the first subparagraph		
and in paragraph 6 may be extended by the		
competent authority on its own motion. Any		
extension shall be the shortest possible. Member		
States shall set out the reasons justifying such an		
extension, publish them in advance via single		
information points and notify them to the		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Commission.		
Any refusal of a permit or right of way shall be		
duly justified on the basis of objective,		C*//
transparent, non-discriminatory and		
proportionate criteria.		
6. By way of derogation from Article 43(1),		
point (a) of Directive (EU) 2018/1972, where		
rights of way over or under public or private		
property are required for the deployment of		
elements of very high capacity networks or		
associated facilities in addition to permits,		
competent authorities shall grant such rights of		
way within the 4 month period from the date of		
receipt of the application.		
7. In the absence of a response from the		Providing for the tacit grant of rigts of way, i.e.,
competent authority within the 4-month		in all cases where there is no express approval
deadline referred to in paragraphs 5 first		has risks. tacit approvals do not fit to all

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
subparagraph, and unless such deadline is		situations.
extended pursuant to paragraph 5 fourth		Considering the difficulty in identifying all the
subparagraph, the permit shall be deemed to		situations to which tacit approvals does not
have been granted. This shall also apply in the		apply it would be better to maintain this matter
case of rights of way referred to in paragraph 6.		in the prerrogative of Member States
8. The Commission shall, by means of an		
implementing act, specify categories of		
deployment of elements of very high capacity		
networks or associated facilities that shall not be		
subject to any permit-granting procedure within		
the meaning of this Article. This implementing		
act shall be adopted in accordance with the		
examination procedure referred to in Article 13.		
9. Competent authorities shall not subject the		
deployment of elements referred to in paragraph		
8 to any individual town planning permit or		
other individual prior permits. By way of		
derogation, competent authorities may require		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
permits for the deployment of elements of very		
high capacity networks or associated facilities		
on buildings or sites of architectural, historical,		
religious or natural value protected in		<u>_"</u> //
accordance with national law or where		
necessary for public safety reasons.		
10. Permits, other than rights of way, required		
for the deployment of elements of very high		
capacity networks or associated facilities shall		
not be subject to any fees or charges going		
beyond administrative costs as provided for,		
mutatis mutandis, in Article 16 of Directive		
(EU) 2018/1972.		
11. Any operator that has suffered damage as a		
result of non-compliance with the deadlines		
applicable under paragraphs 5 and 6 shall		
receive compensation for the damage suffered,		
in accordance with national law.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Article 8		
In-building physical infrastructure and fibre		
wiring		
1. All buildings at the end user's location,		
including elements under joint ownership,		
newly constructed or undergoing major		
renovation works, for which applications for		
building permits have been submitted after		
[ENTRY INTO FORCE + 12 MONTHS], shall		
be equipped with a fibre-ready in-building		
physical infrastructure up to the network		
termination points as well as with in-building		
fibre wiring.		
2. All multi-dwelling buildings newly		
constructed or undergoing major renovation		
works, for which applications for building		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
permits have been submitted after [ENTRY		
INTO FORCE + 12 MONTHS], shall be		
equipped with an access point.		
3. By [ENTRY INTO FORCE + 12 MONTHS],	~	
all buildings at the end-users' location,		
including elements thereof under joint		
ownership, undergoing major renovations as		
defined in point 10 of Article 2 of Directive		
2010/31/EU shall be equipped with a fibre-		
ready in-building physical infrastructure, up to		
the network termination points, as well as with		
in-building fibre wiring. All multi-dwelling		
buildings undergoing major renovations as		
defined in point 10 of Article 2 of Directive		
2010/31/EU shall also be equipped with an		
access point.		
4. Member States shall adopt the relevant	The state of the s	Member States should have the possibility to
•		ecide what national standards or technical
standards or technical specifications that are	Q.	ecide what national standards or technical

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
necessary for the implementation of paragraphs		specifications are necessary to ensure gigabit
1, 2 and 3 before [ENTRY INTO FORCE + 9		connectivity and to remove the list of minimum
months]. Those standards or technical		requirements presented in this Art. 8(4).
specifications shall set at least:		
(a) the building access point specifications		~
and fibre interface specifications;		
(b) cable specifications;		
(c) socket specifications;		
(d) specifications of pipes or micro-ducts;		
(e) technical specifications needed to		
prevent interference with electrical cabling;		
(f) the minimum bend radius.		
5. Buildings equipped in accordance with this	5. Buildings equipped in accordance with this	We do not see added value in having the

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Article shall be eligible to receive a 'fibre-	Article may be eligible to receive a 'fibre-ready'	obligation to use a 'fibre-ready' label, except for
ready' label.	label.	the immediate perception of the type of
		infrastructure existing in the building. It should
		be noted that it will not be the label, but the
		certification, which may determine the
		conformity of the building and respective
		infrastructure with the applicable standards,
		with the consequent suitability for connection
		by service providers.
6. Member States shall set up certification		
schemes for the purpose of demonstrating		
compliance with the standards or technical		
specifications referred to in paragraph 4 as well		
as for qualifying for the 'fibre-ready' label		
provided for in paragraph 5 before [ENTRY		
INTO FORCE + 12 months]. Member States		
shall make the issuance of the building permits		
referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 conditional		
upon compliance with the standards or technical		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
specifications referred to in this paragraph on		
the basis of a certified test report.		
7. Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall not apply to		We believe that single-dwelling buildings, for
certain categories of buildings, in particular		example, an industrial installation or a house,
single-dwelling buildings, where compliance		should not be excluded.
with those paragraphs is disproportionate, in		From our own experience of applying the ITED
particular in terms of costs for individual or		regime (Infrastructures of Telecommunications
joint owners based on objective elements.		in buildings), where there is an obligation to
		have infrastructure (namely optical fiber) in all
		buildings, we consider that the costs of
		installing the infrastructure in a single-dwelling
		building, as provided for in the Regulation, are
		of little significance or even insignificant, given
		the existing advantages in facilitating obtaining
		services and the possibility of changing
		providers. In addition, the costs of service
		provision by operators decrease if a compatible
		infrastructure already exists. We therefore
		consider that the constraints that exist when

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		providing electronic communications services in
		buildings that lack adequate infrastructure
		cannot be overlooked.
		If the Regulation intends to maintain this
		exclusion regime, we believe that the reasons
		that justify it ("in particular in terms of costs for
		individual or joint owners based on objective
		elements") should be densified, so as not to
		become the rule in this type of buildings.
8. Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 need not apply to		
certain types of buildings, such as specific		
categories of monuments, historic buildings,		
military buildings and buildings used for		
national security purposes, as defined by		
national law. Member States shall identify such		
categories of buildings based on duly justified		
and proportionate reasons. Information on such		
categories of buildings shall be published via a		
single information point and notified to the		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Commission.		
Article 9		
Access to in-building physical infrastructure		GIA should make clear that Member States have
		the possibility to maintain or introduce the
		obligation that, besides access to inbuilding
		physical infrastructure, also access to in-
		building cabling needs to be provided
1. Subject to paragraph 3, first subparagraph,		
any public electronic communications network		
provider shall have the right to roll out its		
network at its own costs up to the access point.		
2. Subject to paragraph 3, any public electronic		In some MS in-building networks are
communications network provider shall have		considered integral parts of the property and the
the right to access any existing in-building		owner of the property owns the in-building
physical infrastructure with a view to deploying		physical infrastructure, thus access to the inbuilding cabling may be appropriate and as it
elements of very high capacity networks if		contributes to reducing the cost of ECN

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
duplication is technically impossible or		deployment. Therefore, we consider it important
economically inefficient.		that Member States have the possibility to
		maintain or introduce such measures.
		However, such measures are out of scope of this
		GIA, which includes joint use of existing
		physical infrastructure and a more efficient
		deployment of new physical infrastructure, but
		not access to cables (e.g. fibre), which the GIA
		(Art. 2(2)) explicitly does not consider as
		physical infrastructure. Therefore, we suggest to
		include in Article 9 the following paragraph:
		"This Article is without prejudice to the right of Member States to maintain or introduce
		measures falling outside the scope of this
		Regulation/Directive, such as access obligations
		for in-building cables" or a similar statement in
		a relevant recital, which may however not give
		the same legal certainty.
		In Portugal in-building physical infrastruture
		belongs to the building owners and not to the public electronic communications network
		provider independently of whom has built the
		infrastruture.
		The principle is that the service provider must

		Comments
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		use the existing infraestuture and only duplicates if it is technically impossible to use.
3. Any holder of a right to use the access point		In Portugal in-building physical infrastruture as
and the in-building physical infrastructure shall		well as in-building fibre wiring belongs to the
meet all reasonable requests for access to the		building owners and not to the public electronic
access point and the in-building physical		communications network provider
infrastructure from public electronic		independently of whom has built the
communications network providers under fair		infrastruture or installed the fibre wiring.
and non-discriminatory terms and conditions,		Our Decree-Law states that access to the
including price, where appropriate.		infrastructure (physical and wiring) cannot be
		conditioned to any financial or any other form
		of compensation to the owners of the buildings.
Any holder of a right to use the access point or		
the in-building physical infrastructure may		
refuse access where access to in-building fibre		
wiring is provided pursuant to obligations		
imposed under Directive (EU) 2018/1972, under		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Title II, Chapters II to IV, or made available		
under fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory		
terms and conditions, including price.		
4. In the absence of available fibre-ready in-		
building physical infrastructure, every public		
electronic communications network provider		
shall have the right to terminate its network at		
the premises of the subscriber, subject to the		
agreement of the subscriber, provided that it		
minimises the impact on the private property of		
third parties.		
5. This Article shall be without prejudice to the		
right to property of the owner of the access		
point or the in-building physical infrastructure		
where the holder of a right to use that		
infrastructure or access point is not the owner		
thereof, and to the right to property of other		
third parties, such as landowners and building		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
owners.		
6. After having consulted stakeholders, the		We see neither the need for further guidance by
national dispute settlement bodies and other		the European Commission nor consider such
competent Union bodies or agencies in the		guidance advisable, given that the most
relevant sectors as appropriate, the Commission		appropriate decision by the DSB will in many
may, in close cooperation with BEREC, provide		cases be highly specific to the Member State
guidance on the application of this Article.		and to the case in question, and vary widely
		depending on circumstances.
Article 10		
Digitalisation of single information points		
1. Single information points shall make		
appropriate digital tools available, such as in the		
form of web portals, digital platforms or digital		
applications, to enable the online exercise of all		
the rights and the compliance with all the		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
obligations set out in this Regulation.		
2. Member States may interconnect or fully or		
partially integrate several digital tools		
supporting the single information points referred		
to paragraph 1, as appropriate.		
3. Member States shall set out a single national		
digital entry point, consisting of a common user		
interface ensuring seamless access to the		
digitalised single information points.		
Article 11		
Dispute settlement		
1. Without prejudice to the possibility to refer		
the case to a court, any party shall be entitled to		
refer to the competent national dispute		
settlement body established pursuant to Article		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
12 a dispute that may arise:		
(a) where access to existing infrastructure is		
refused or agreement on specific terms and		_ '//
conditions, including price, has not been		
reached within 1 month from the date of receipt		
of the request for access under Article 3;		
(b) in connection to the rights and		
obligations set out in Articles 4 and 6, including		
where the information requested is not provided		
within 15 days after the request under Article 4		
is submitted, and within 1 week after the request		
under Article 6 is submitted;		
(c) where an agreement on the coordination		
of civil works pursuant to Article 5(2) has not		
been reached within 1 month from the date of		
receipt of the formal request to coordinate civil		
works; or		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(d) where an agreement on access to inbuilding physical infrastructure referred to in Article 9(2) or (3) has not been reached within 1 month from the date of receipt of the formal request for access;		
2. Taking full account of the principle of proportionality and the principles established in Commission guidance, the national dispute settlement body referred to in paragraph 1 shall issue a binding decision to resolve the dispute at the latest:		The reduction of the DSB's deadlines to issue a binding decision to resolve a dispute creates concerns. Thus, we propose to keep the DSB's deadlines of the 2014 BCRD as this ensures the high quality of DSB's decisions and avoids possible increase in appeals against a DSB decision as well as an overruling of the decision by courts which both would result in a slower rather than faster VHCN roll-out
(a) within four months from the date of the receipt of the dispute settlement request, with respect to disputes referred to in paragraph 1,	(a) within four months from the date of the receipt of the dispute settlement request., with respect to disputes referred to in paragraph 1,	

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
point (a);	point (a)	
(b) within one month from the date of the	(b) within one month from the date of the	The term here predicted is insufficient to allow
receipt of the dispute settlement request, with	receipt of the dispute settlement request, with	the appreciation and diligences that must be
respect to disputes referred to in paragraph 1,	respect to disputes referred to in paragraph 1,	promoted. The dispute settlement body must
points (b), (c) and (d).	points (b), (c) and (d).	investigate the facts and comply with
		procedures provided by Portuguese law. It is not
		possible to have a decision in one month and
		this is not an exceptional circumstance.
Those deadlines may only be extended in		
exceptional circumstances.		
3. As regards disputes referred to in paragraph		
1, points (a), (c) and (d) the decision of national		
dispute settlement body may consist in setting		
fair and reasonable terms and conditions,		
including price, where appropriate.		
Where the dispute relates to access to the		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
infrastructure of an operator and the national		
dispute settlement body is the national		
regulatory authority, the objectives set out in		
Article 3 of Directive (EU) 2018/1972 shall be		C.*//
taken into account, where appropriate.		
4. The rules laid down in the present Article are		
in addition to and without prejudice to the		
judicial remedies and procedures in compliance		
with Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental		
Rights of the European Union <sup>20</sup> .		
Article 12		
Competent bodies		
1. Each of the tasks assigned to the national		
dispute settlement body shall be undertaken by		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (OJ C 326, 26.10.2012, p. 391–407)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
one or more competent bodies, which can be an		
existing body.		
2. The national dispute settlement body shall be		We suggest to include also political
legally distinct and functionally independent of		independence according Article 8 of the EECC
any network operator and any public sector		(given that the parties involved in a dispute may
body owning or controlling physical		be public bodies).
infrastructure involved in the dispute. Member		
States that retain ownership or control of		
network operators shall ensure effective		
structural separation of the functions related to		
the national dispute settlement procedures and		
those of the single information point from		
activities associated with ownership or control.		
3. The national dispute settlement body may		
charge fees to cover the costs of carrying out the		
tasks assigned to it.		
4. All parties concerned by a dispute shall		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
cooperate fully with the national dispute		
settlement body.		
5. The functions of a single information point		- //
referred to in Articles 3 to 8 and 10 shall be		
performed by one or more competent bodies		
appointed by the Member States at national,		
regional or local level, as appropriate. In order		
to cover the costs of carrying out those		
functions, fees may be charged for the use of the		
single information points.		
6. Paragraph 2 shall apply <i>mutatis mutandis</i> to		
the competent bodies performing the functions		
of a single information point.		
7. The competent bodies shall exercise their		
powers impartially, transparently and in a timely		
manner. Member States shall ensure that they		
shall have adequate technical, financial and		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
human resources to carry out the tasks assigned		
to them.		
8. Member States shall publish the respective		- //
tasks to be undertaken by each competent body		
via a single information point, in particular		
where those tasks are assigned to more than one		
competent body or where the assigned tasks		
have changed. Where appropriate, the		
competent bodies shall consult and cooperate		
with each other on matters of common interest.		
9. Member States shall notify to the		
Commission the identity of each competent		
body in accordance with this Article for		
carrying out a function under this Regulation,		
and their respective responsibilities, by [DATE		
OF ENTRY INTO FORCE] and any		
modification thereof, before such designation or		
modification enters into force.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
10. Any decision taken by a competent body		
shall be subject to an appeal, in accordance with		
national law, before a fully independent appeal		<u></u>
body, including a body of judicial character.		
Article 31 of Directive (EU) 2018/1972 shall		
apply mutatis mutandis to any appeal pursuant		
to this paragraph.		
The right to appeal in accordance with the first		
subparagraph shall be without prejudice to the		
right of the parties to bring the dispute before		
the national competent court.		
Article 13		
Committee procedure		
1. The Commission shall be assisted by the		
Communications Committee established by		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Article 118(1) of Directive (EU) 2018/1972.		
That committee shall be a committee within the		
meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.		
		- //
2. Where reference is made to this paragraph,		
Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall		
apply.		
Article 14		
Penalties and compensation		
Member States shall lay down rules on	Member States shall lay down rules on	
penalties, including, where necessary, fines and	penalties, including, where necessary, fines and	
non-criminal predetermined or periodic	non-criminal predetermined or periodic	
penalties, applicable to infringements of this	penalties, applicable to infringements of this	
Regulation and of any binding decision adopted	Regulation Directive and of any binding	
pursuant to this Regulation by the competent	decision adopted pursuant to this Regulation	
bodies referred to in Article 12 and shall take all	Directive by the competent	
measures necessary to ensure that they are		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
implemented. The penalties provided for shall		
be appropriate, effective, proportionate and		
dissuasive.		
Member States shall lay down rules on adequate	Member States shall lay down rules on adequate	The purpose of the proposed amendment is to
financial compensation for persons suffering	financial compensation for persons suffering	safeguard situations in which a MS already has
damage as a result of the exercise of the rights	damage as a result of the exercise of the rights	a legal framewok regarding Extracontractual
provided for in this Regulation.	provided for in this Regulation Directive, unless	civil responsibility of the State and other public
	such legal regime already exists.	entities
Article 15		
Report and monitoring		
1. By [DATE OF ENTRY INTO FORCE + 5	the Commission shall present a report to	
YEARS], the Commission shall present a report	the European Parliament and the Council on the	
to the European Parliament and the Council on	implementation of this Regulation Directive.	
the implementation of this Regulation. The	The report shall include a summary of the	
report shall include a summary of the impact of	impact of the measures set out in this Regulation	
the measures set out in this Regulation and an	Directive and an assessment of the progress	

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
assessment of the progress towards achieving its	towards achieving its objectives, including	
objectives, including whether and how the	whether and how the Regulation-Directive could	
Regulation could further contribute to achieving	further contribute to achieving the connectivity	
the connectivity targets set out in the Decision	targets set out in the Decision establishing the	C'/
establishing the Digital Decade Policy	Digital Decade Policy Programme 2030.	
Programme 2030.		
2. To that end, the Commission may request		It should be considered that the indicators to be
information from Member States that shall be		defined are proportional, as it may be difficult to
submitted without undue delay. In particular, by		obtain data that was not foreseen.
[DATE OF ENTRY INTO FORCE + 12		
MONTHS], Member States shall, in close		
cooperation with the Commission, through the		
Communications Committee set up under		
Article 118 of Directive (EU) 2018/1972, set out		
indicators to adequately monitor the application		
of this Regulation and the mechanism to ensure		
a periodic data gathering and reporting to the		
Commission thereof.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Article 16		
Transitional measures		
National measures that specify the categories of		
deployment of elements of very high capacity		
networks or associated facilities not being		
subject to any permit-granting procedure within		
the meaning of Article 7, and that were adopted		
by the Member States pursuant to Directive		
2014/61/EU or before its entry into force but in		
line with it shall continue to apply until the		
implementing act provided for in Article 7(8) of		
this Regulation enters into application.		
Article 17		
Repeal		
1. Directive 2014/61/EU is repealed.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
2. References to the repealed Directive shall be	References to the repealed Directive shall be	
construed as references to this Regulation and	construed as references to this Regulation	
read in accordance with the correlation table in	Directive and read in accordance with the	<u>- " //                                 </u>
the Annex.	correlation table in the Annex.	
Article 18		
Entry into force and application		
1. This Regulation shall enter into force on the		Please see comments on § (66).
twentieth day following that of its publication in		
the Official Journal of the European Union.		
2. It shall apply from [6 months after its entry		
into force].		
This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety		
and directly applicable in all Member States.		

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Done at Brussels,		
For the European Parliament For the Council		
The President The President		
	End	End