

Interinstitutional files: 2018/0103 (COD)

Brussels, 28 May 2018

WK 6362/2018 INIT

LIMITE

COMPET
CHIMIE
ENFOPOL
ENV
MI
ENT
UD
CODEC

#### **WORKING PAPER**

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

#### **WORKING DOCUMENT**

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Working Party on Technical Harmonisation (Explosives Precursors)
Subject:	Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the marketing and use of explosives precursors, amending Annex XVII to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 and repealing Regulation (EU) No 98/2013 on the marketing and use of explosives precursors - Powerpoint presentation by the Commission

DG G3A AW/ab



# Revision of the Regulation on the Marketing and Use of Explosives Precursors

Working Party on Technical Harmonisation

25 May 2018

DG HOME
Eva-Maria Engdahl
Jeroen Blomsma
Annieke Logtenberg

## **Explosives Precursors**

- Definition: Chemical substances that can be misused to manufacture homemade explosives (HMEs)
- Use: substances and mixtures containing them serve a variety of purposes (e.g. fuel, cleaning, disinfection, etc.)
- Misuse: illicit manufacture of HMEs (e.g. TATP)





#### **Current framework**

 Regulation 98/2013 on the marketing and use of explosives precursors

The availability of 7 substances (restricted explosives precursors listed in Annex I) is subject to restrictions and controls. Member States can choose between:

- Full ban on restricted substances for members of the general public
- Licensing regime
- Registration regime

18 substances are subject to an obligation to report suspicious transactions (Annex I + II).

# Obligations imposed by Reg. 98/2013

#### Member States ...

- Shall designate a competent authority and (a) national contact point(s)
- Shall establish and enforce measures concerning penalties and (optionally) a licensing and/or registration regime
- Shall notify all measures to the Commission
- Shall disseminate the Guidelines issued by the Commission

#### **Economic operators ...**

- Shall ensure appropriate labelling of restricted precursors
- Shall restrict sales, require licenses, and/or register transactions, according to the measures set up in each MS
- Shall report any suspicious transactions thefts and disappearances along the entire supply chain



#### **Need for revision**

## Threat posed by HMEs remains high

- HMEs have been used in the vast majority of recent attacks in the EU and have been responsible for the vast majority of victims of terrorist attacks involving explosives
- The availability of explosives precursors facilitates the use of HMEs in attacks

#### Outcome of the evaluation:

- The amount of explosives precursors available to the general public has decreased
- More suspicious transactions have been reported
- Terrorists and criminals continue to access and misuse explosives precursors
- Objective of the revision: setting stricter and more uniform rules to close existing security gaps and deprive potential terrorists of the means to carry out attacks



# **Policy context**

- Security context: threat posed by HMEs remains high
- Current legal framework: Regulation (EC) No 98/2013 on the marketing and use of explosives precursors
  - Report on the application of the Regulation
  - Evaluation of the Regulation
- European Agenda on Security
- Action plan against trafficking in and use of firearms and explosives
- Communication on delivering on the EU agenda on security to fight terrorism
- Commission recommendation on immediate steps to prevent misuse of explosives
- Council conclusions of 7 December 2017



# Other relevant legislation

- Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH)
- Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 on the classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures (CLP)
- Regulation (EC) No 2003/2003 relating to fertilisers
- Regulations (EC) No 1259/203 and No 273/2004 which address the trade in drug precursors between the EU and third countries and within the EU.



## **Problem definition**

- <u>Security problem</u>: explosives precursors continue to misused
  - Dangerous substances remain accessible to illegitimate users
  - Detection of threats is not sufficiently effective throughout the EU
- Internal market problem: economic operators face unnecessary obstacles to the free movement of explosives precursors
  - Barriers to competition within the EU
  - Restrictions and controls are not always applied by online marketplaces.



## **Problems and problem drivers**

#### **Problems**

#### Problem drivers

Security threat
Explosives precursors
continue to be
misused

The level of access to restricted substances for non-professional use is no longer adequate

New and evolving threats

Restrictions and controls are not effectively applied and enforced by public authorities

Internal market

Economic operators face unnecessary obstacles to the free movement of explosives precursors Insufficient awareness along the supply chain

Certain provisions in the current Regulation are not clear

Fragmentation of the system of restrictions and control across the EU





# Why should the EU act?

- Legal basis: Art. 114 TFEU → shared competence
- Subsidiarity: necessity of EU action
  - Threat posed by HMEs remains high across the EU
  - Forum shopping can impact the security of all MS
  - Differences in the practical application of the Regulation affect economic operators when they buy or sell products across EU borders
- Subsidiarity: added value of EU action
  - Creating a level playing field between on- and offline suppliers
  - Facilitating cross border exchange of information



## **Objectives**

#### **Problems**

#### **Problem drivers**

#### Specific objectives

#### General objectives

Security threat
Explosives precursors
continue to be
misused

The level of access to restricted substances for non-professional use is no longer adequate

New and evolving threats

Restrictions and controls are not effectively applied and enforced by public authorities

Insufficient awareness along the supply chain

Certain provisions in the current Regulation are not clear

Fragmentation of the system of restrictions and control across the EU

Further restrict access to certain explosives precursors and strengthen controls

Align restrictions and controls with the evolving threat

Increase enforcement by the competent authorities

Improve the transmission of information and compliance along the supply chain

Improve the clarity of the Regulation and ensure uniformity in its application

Facilitate intra-EU trade and prevent distortion of competition

Ensure high level of security

Ensure the functioning of the internal market

Economic operators
face unnecessary
obstacles to the free
movement of
explosives precursors

Internal market



## **Policy options**

- Policy option 0 (baseline) The Commission, in consultation with the SCP, will continue to monitor and facilitate the application of the Regulation
- Policy option 1 (non-legislative) Reinforce the application of the Regulation with non-legislative measures
- Policy option 2 (legislative) Strengthen and clarify the restrictions and controls of the Regulation
- Policy option 3 (legislative) Introduce further controls along the supply chain



# Impacts of the policy options

How do the different policy options score on:

- Effectiveness
- Economic impacts
- Social impacts
- Environmental impacts
- Impact on fundamental rights



# Comparison of the policy options

To compare the policy options, each option was scored against the following assessment criteria:

- Effectiveness
- Efficiency
- Fundamental rights
- Coherence

Assessment criteria	Policy option 1	Policy option 2	Policy option 3
Effectiveness	+5.5	+11	+ 10
Efficiency	+1.5	-0.5	-9.5
Impact on fund.	+1.5	-0.5	-3
rights			
Coherence	0	+1	-0.5
Total	+8.5	+11	-3

# **Preferred policy option**

## The preferred policy option is option 2.

- Advantages of the preferred policy option
  - Best score and most balanced score on impacts
  - PO 2 strengthens and clarifies the existing legal framework without touching upon the essential characteristics of the Regulation
  - The measures proposed will clarify and improve the efficiency of the control measures currently applied
  - The vast majority of the SCP and industry representatives of manufacturers and distributors support policy option 2.
- Proportionality



# Monitoring and evaluation

- The Commission will continue to work closely with the SCP, MS authorities, chemical supply chain and relevant EU agencies and institutions
- To monitor the implementation of the measures foreseen data will be collected (via MS and from economic operators
- Formal evaluation (effectiveness, efficiency, relevance, coherence and EU added value) should be carried out 6 years after the deadline for implementation
- Monitoring and evaluation indicators are listed in Annex 8

## **RSB** comments and opinion

Meeting with the RSB: 21 March

Overall opinion: positive

#### Main considerations:

- Definition of the options
- Inclusion of stakeholder views

#### Other issues:

- Consistency with other relevant legislation
- Online sales

The draft impact assessment was revised on the basis of these comments



# Thank you for your attention

