

Brussels, 21 April 2023

WK 5262/2023 INIT

LIMITE

TELECOM DIGIT CYBER CODEC

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

## **MEETING DOCUMENT**

From: To:	General Secretariat of the Council Working Party on Telecommunications and Information Society
Subject:	Interoperable Europe Act: AT comments table macro (doc. 7542/23)

Delegations will find in the annex the AT comments table macro (doc. 7542/23).

EN

Deadline: 21 April 2023

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Proposal for a		General comments on the proposal:
REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN		• Legal basis: EC bases its proposal on Art
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL		172 in conjunction with Art 170 TFEU that
laying down measures fora high level of		regulate the establishment and development
public sector interoperability across the		of trans-European networks in the areas of
Union (Interoperable Europe Act)		transport, telecommunications and energy
		infrastructure. According to the Commission,
		on the basis of those the Union thus derives
		the power to "establish a governance
		structure to manage the development of
		cross-border interoperability of network and
		information systems used for the provision or
		management of public services in the EU
		public sector". From our point of view,
		however, the doctrine understands the term
		"trans-European networks" according to
		Article 170 TFEU in an infrastructural sense,
		i.e. all fixed, permanent facilities which are
		necessary for the transport of persons, goods,

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		data, signals or energy between two places.
		This would not include services provided
		through the use of this physical or physical
		infrastructure. These are therefore not
		covered by the Union's regulatory competence.
		• Choice of legal instrument: according to
		Art 1 para 1 this Regulation lays down a
		framework for coordination on public sector
		interoperability; therefore in our view it does
		not seem plausible to use a Regulation
		instead of a Directive (a Directive that is
		transposed into national law would leave
		much more leeway for national
		administrations to take into account specific
		national circumstances)
		• <b>Proportionality:</b> The regulation goes against
		the principle of proportionality in several
		respects, as it
		a) partly goes beyond what is necessary to

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		achieve the objective of promoting
		interoperability,
		b) is partly <u>not suitable</u> to achieve the
		intended objective of promoting
		interoperability.
		➤ the reasoning for this view regarding
		proportionality is explained in the
		comment section of the concerned
		provisions below.
THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE		
COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,		
Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning		
of the European Union, and in particular Article		
172 thereof,		
Having regard to the proposal from the		
European Commission,		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
After transmission of the draft legislative act to		
the national parliaments,		
Having regard to the opinion of the European		
Economic and Social Committee <sup>1</sup> ,		
Having regard to the opinion of the Committee		
of the Regions <sup>2</sup> ,		
Acting in accordance with the ordinary		
legislative procedure,		
Whereas:		
(1) It is necessary to strengthen the		
development of cross-border interoperability of		
network and information systems which are		
used to provide or manage public services in the		

<sup>1</sup> 

OJ C [...], [...], p. [...] OJ C [...], [...], p. [...] 2

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Union, to allow public administrations in the		
Union to cooperate and make public services		
function across borders. The existing informal		C1 //
cooperation should be replaced with a clear		
legal framework to enable interoperability		
across different administrative levels and sectors		
and to ensure seamless cross-border data flows		
for truly European digital services. Public sector		
interoperability has an important impact on the		
right to free movement of goods and services		
laid down in the Treaties, as burdensome		
administrative procedures can create significant		
obstacles, especially for small and medium-		
sized enterprises ('SMEs').		
(2) Member States and the Union have been		
working for more than two decades to support		
the modernisation of administrations through		
digital transformation and foster the deep		
interconnections needed for a truly European		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
digital space. The communication from the		
Commission '2030 Digital Compass: the		
European way for the Digital Decade'		
(COM(2021) 118) underlines the need to speed		
up the digitalisation of public services by 2030,		
including by ensuring interoperability across all		
levels of government and across public services.		
In addition, the Digital Decade Policy		
Programme (Decision (EU) 2022/2481) sets		
clear target of 100 % online accessible		
provision of key public services by 2030.		
Such key public services should also cover		
services that are relevant for major life		
events for natural persons, such as losing or		
finding a job, studying, owning or driving a		
car, or starting up a business, and for legal		
persons in their professional life-cycle.		
Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic		
increased the speed of digitalisation, pushing		
public administrations to adapt to the online		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
paradigm, including for cross-border digital		
public services, as well as for the smarter and		
greener use of technologies in accordance with		C* //
the climate and energy targets set in the		
European Green Deal and the Regulation (EU)		
2021/1119 of the European Parliament and of		
the Council <sup>3</sup> . This Regulation aims to		
significantly contribute to these Union goals by		
creating a structured cooperation framework on		
cross-border interoperability amongst Member		
States and the Commission to support the setup		
of digital public services.		
(3) The new governance structure should have		
a legal mandate to drive the further development		
of the European Interoperability Framework and		
other common interoperability solutions, such as		
specifications and applications. Furthermore,		

Regulation (EU) 2021/1119 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 June 2021 establishing the framework for achieving climate neutrality and amending Regulations (EC) No 401/2009 and (EU) 2018/1999 ('European Climate Law') (OJ L 243, 9.7.2021, p. 1).

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
this Regulation should establish a clear and		
easily recognisable label for some		
interoperability solutions. The creation of		
a vibrant community around open government		
technology solutions should be fostered.		
(4) It is in the interest of a coherent approach		
to public sector interoperability throughout the		
Union, of supporting the principle of good		
administration and the free movement of		
personal and non-personal data within the		
Union, to align the rules as far as possible for all		
public sectors that are controllers or providers of		
network and information systems used to		
facilitate or manage public services. This		
objective includes the Commission and other		
institutions, bodies and agencies of the Union,		
as well as public sector bodies in the Member		
States across all levels of administration:		
national, regional and local. Agencies are		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
playing an important role in collecting		
regulatory reporting data from Member States.		
Therefore, the interoperability of this data -		
should also be in scope of this Regulation.		
(5) Cross-border interoperability is not solely		
enabled via centralised Member State digital		
infrastructures, but also through a decentralised		
approach. This entails data exchange between		
local administrations in different Member States		
without necessarily going through national		
nodes. Therefore, it is necessary to develop		
common interoperability solutions, reusable		
across all administrative levels, particularly for		
specifications and applications. Needs for cross-		
border digital interactions are increasing, which		
requires solutions that can fulfil these needs.		
With this Regulation, the intention is to		
facilitate and encourage the exchange between		
all levels of administration.		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(6) Interoperability facilitates successful		
implementation of policies, in particular those		
with a strong public sector connection, such as		
justice and home affairs, taxation and customs,		
transport, health, agriculture, as well as in		
business and industry regulation. However,		
a single sector interoperability perspective is		
associated with the risk that the adoption of		
different or incompatible solutions at national or		
sectoral levels will give rise to new electronic		
barriers that impede the proper functioning of		
the internal market and the associated freedoms		
of movement. Furthermore, it risks undermining		
the openness and competitiveness of markets		
and the delivery of services of general interest to		
businesses and citizens. Therefore, this		
Regulation should also facilitate, encourage and		
apply to cross-sector interoperability.		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(7) In order to eliminate fragmentation in the		
interoperability landscape in the Union, a		
common understanding of interoperability in the		C* >>
Union and a holistic approach to interoperability		
solutions should be promoted. A structured		
cooperation should support measures promoting		
digital-ready and interoperable by default policy		
set-up. Furthermore, it should promote the		
efficient management and use of digital service		
infrastructures and their respective components		
by public sector bodies and institutions, bodies		
and agencies of the Union that permit the		
establishment and operation of sustainable and		
efficient cross-border public services.		
(8) To set up cross-border interoperable		In our view, the new wording in recital 8 is a
public services, it is important to focus on the		step in the right direction, but yet not enough to
interoperability aspect as early as possible in the		clarify, in which situations a public organisation
policymaking process. Therefore, the public		must carry out an interoperability assessment -
organisation that intends to set <b>requirements</b>		it has to be clearly stated in the normative

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
for one or several up a new or to modify an		part of the Regulation. In order to not create
existing network and information system that is		additional burden for MS administrations, it
likely result in high impacts on the cross-border		would be for example possible to limit the
interoperability, for example in the course of		obligation to carry out an interoperability
the digitalisation of key public services as		assessment to those digital applications that are
referred to in Decision (EU) 2022/24814,		intended to be used in cross border situations.
should carry out an interoperability assessment.		
Where an interoperability assessment has		
already been carried out, for instance in the		
context of proposing Union or national law,		
the interoperability assessment does not need		
to be repeated in relation to those		
requirements.		
(8a) This assessment is necessary to		
understand the magnitude of impact of the		
planned action and to propose measures to reap		
up the benefits and address potential costs. The		

Decision (EU) 2022/2481 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2022 establishing the Digital Decade Policy Programme 2030 (Text with EEA relevance) (OJ L 323, 19.12.2022, p. 4–26).

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
interoperability assessment should be mandatory		
in three cases, which are in scope for cross-		
border interoperability. In other situations, the		
public organisations may decide to carry out the		
interoperability assessment on a voluntary basis.		
(9) Under some circumstances it may also be		
reasonable and economical for the subject of an		
interoperability assessment to be broader than a		
single project, for example when public sector		
bodies intend to establish a common application		
or processing platform. In those other cases, the		
assessment should be strongly encouraged to go		
beyond the achievement of the Interoperable		
Europe objectives towards a full implementation		
of interoperability.		
(10) The interoperability assessment should		
evaluate the impacts of the planned action on		
cross-border interoperability of network and		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
information system, for example, having regard		
to the origin, nature, particularity and scale of		
those impacts. The outcome of that assessment		
should be taken into account when determining		
the appropriate measures that need to be taken		
in order to set up or modify the network and		
information system.		
(11) The organisation should publish the		
outcome of the interoperability assessment on		
its website. The publication of the outcome		
should not compromise intellectual property		
rights or trade secrets, and should be restricted		
where justified on the grounds of public order or		
security. The provisions of Union law governing		
the protection of personal data should be		
observed.		
(12) Public sector bodies or institutions, bodies		
or agencies of the Union that search for		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
interoperability solutions should be able to		
request from other public sector bodies or		
institutions, bodies or agencies of the Union the		
software code the interoperability solutions		
those organisations use such as good practices.		
specifications, and software code, together		
with the related documentation. Sharing should		
become a default among public sector bodies,		
and institutions, bodies and agencies of the		
Union while not sharing would need a legal		
justification. In addition, public sector bodies or		
institutions, bodies, or agencies of the		
Union should seek to develop new		
interoperability solutions or to further develop		
existing interoperability solutions.		
(13) When public administrations decide to		
share their solutions with other public		
administrations or the public, they are acting in		
the public interest. This is even more relevant		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
for innovative technologies: for instance, open		
code makes algorithms transparent and allows		
for independent audits and reproducible		
building blocks. The sharing of interoperability		
solutions among public administration should		
set the conditions for the achievement of an		
open ecosystem of digital technologies for the		
public sector that can produce multiple benefits.		
(14) When monitoring the coherence of the		
interoperability solutions and proposing		
measures to ensure their compatibility with		
existing solutions that share a common purpose,		
the Interoperable Europe Board should take into		
account the obsolescence of solutions.		
(15) The European Interoperability Framework		
(EIF) should ensure coherence and be		
recognised as the single point of reference for		
the Union's approach to interoperability in the		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
public service sector. In addition, specialised		
interoperability frameworks can address the		
needs of specific sectors, domains or		
administrative levels. Those frameworks should		
further promote the implementation of		
interoperability solutions.		
(16) The EIF should be developed by the		
Interoperability Europe Board, composed,		
among others, by one representative of each		
Member State. The Member States, with the		
other members of the Interoperable Europe		
Board, are thus at the centre of the development		
and implementation of the EIF. The		
Interoperable Europe Board should update the		
EIF when necessary.		
(17) The specialised interoperability		
frameworks issued to complement the EIF		
should take into account and not prejudice the		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
existing sector-specific frameworks developed		
at the Union level (for example in the health		
sector).		
(18) Interoperability is directly connected with,		
and dependent on the use of open specifications		
and standards. Therefore, the Union public		
sector should be allowed to agree on cross-		
cutting open specifications and other solutions		
to promote interoperability. The new framework		
should provide for a clear process on		
the establishment and promotion of such agreed		
interoperability solutions in the future. This		
way, the public sector will have a more		
coordinated voice to channel public sector needs		
and public values into broader discussions.		
(19) Many interoperability specifications used		
by the public sector could be derived from		
existing Union legislation. Therefore, it is		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
necessary to establish a link between all		
specifications for public sector network		
and information systems that are mandatory to		
use due to Union legal provisions. It is not		
always easy for implementing authorities to find		
the requirements in the most recent and		
machine-readable format. A single point of		
entry and clear rules on the metadata of such		
information should help public sector bodies to		
have their digital service infrastructures comply		
with the existing and future rules.		
(20) An Interoperable Europe portal should be		
established as a point of reference for		
interoperability solutions, knowledge and		
community. The portal should be established as		
a link to official sources but should also be open		
to input from the Interoperable Europe		
Community.		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(21) The Interoperable Europe portal should		
make publicly available interoperability		
solutions that follow the EIF principles of		C* >>
openness, accessibility, technical neutrality and		
security. As open source enables users to		
actively assess and inspect the interoperability		
and security of the solutions, it is important that		
open source supports the implementation of		
interoperability solutions. In this context, the		
use of open source licences should be		
promoted to enhance legal clarity and mutual		
recognition of licences in the Member States.		
(22) At the moment, the Union's public		
services delivered or managed electronically		
depend in many cases on non-Union providers.		
It is in the Union's strategic interest to ensure		
that it retains and develops essential		
technological capacities to secure its Digital		
Single Market, and in particular to ensure		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
service delivery, protect critical network and		
information systems, and to provide key		
services. The Interoperable Europe support		
measures should help public administrations to		
evolve and be capable of incorporating new		
challenges and new areas in cross-border		
contexts. Interoperability is a condition for		
avoiding technological lock-in, enabling		
technical developments, and fostering		
innovation, which should boost the global		
competitiveness of the Union.		
(23) It is necessary to establish a governance		
mechanism to facilitate the implementation of		
Union policies in a way that ensures		
interoperability. This mechanism should focus		
on the interoperable digital implementation of		
policies once they have been adopted in the		
form of legal acts and should serve to develop		
interoperability solutions on a needs-driven		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
basis. The mechanism should support public		
sector bodies. Projects to support public sector		
bodies should be proposed by the Interoperable		
Europe Board to the Commission who should		
decide whether to set up the projects.		
(24) All levels of government should cooperate		
with innovative organisations, be it companies		
or non-profit entities, in design, development		
and operation of public services. Supporting		
GovTech cooperation between public sector		
bodies and start-ups and innovative SMEs, or		
cooperation mainly involving civil society		
organisations ('CivicTech'), is an effective		
means of supporting public sector innovation		
and promoting use of interoperability tools		
across private and public sector partners.		
Supporting an open GovTech ecosystem in the		
Union that brings together public and private		
actors across borders and involves different		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
levels of government should allow to develop		
innovative initiatives aimed at the design and		
deployment of GovTech interoperability		
solutions.		
(25) Identifying shared innovation needs and		
priorities and focusing common GovTech and		
experimentation efforts across borders would		
help Union public sector bodies to share risks,		
lessons learnt, and results of innovation support		
projects. Those activities will tap in particular		
into the Union's rich reservoir of technology		
start-ups and SMEs. Successful GovTech		
projects and innovation measures piloted by		
Interoperable Europe innovation measures		
should help scale up GovTech tools and		
interoperability solutions for reuse.		
(26) Interoperable Europe support measures		
could benefit from safe spaces for		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
experimentation, while ensuring responsible		
innovation and integration of appropriate risk		
mitigation measures and safeguards. To ensure a		
legal framework that is innovation-friendly,		
future-proof and resilient to disruption, it should		
be made possible to run such		
projects in regulatory sandboxes. Regulatory		
sandboxes should consist in controlled test		
environments that facilitate the development		
and testing of innovative solutions before such		
systems are integrated in the network and		
information systems of the public sector. The		
objectives of the regulatory sandboxes should be		
to foster interoperability through innovative		
solutions by establishing a controlled		
experimentation and testing environment with a		
view to ensure alignment of the solutions with		
this Regulation and other relevant Union law		
and Member States' legislation, to enhance legal		
certainty for innovators and the competent		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
authorities and to increase the understanding of		
the opportunities, emerging risks and the		
impacts of the new solutions. To ensure a		C* //
uniform implementation across the Union and		
economies of scale, it is appropriate to establish		
common rules for the regulatory sandboxes'		
implementation. The European Data Protection		
Supervisor may impose administrative fine to		
Union institutions and bodies in the context of		
regulatory sandboxes, according to Article		
58(2)(i) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the		
European Parliament and of the Council.		
(27) It is necessary to provide a legal basis for		We would like a clarification which legal basis
the use of personal data collected for other		in the case of the processing of special
purposes in order to develop certain		categories of personal data within the meaning
interoperability solutions in the public interest		of Art. 9 GDPR is being used here, or whether
within the regulatory sandbox, in accordance		the provisions in the draft regulation meet the
with Article 6(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679		requirements of the possible legal basis for
of the European Parliament and of the Council,		processing under Art. 9 of the GDPR.

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
and Article <u>6</u> <u>5</u> of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725		
of the European Parliament and of the Council		
and without prejudice to Articles 4(2) of		
Directive (EU) 2016/680. All other obligations		
of data controllers and rights of data subjects		
under Regulation (EU) 2016/679, Regulation		
(EU) 2018/1725 and Directive (EU) 2016/680		
remain applicable. In particular, this		
Regulation should not provide a legal basis in		
the meaning of Article 22(2)(b) of Regulation		
(EU) 2016/679 and Article 24(2)(b) of		
Regulation (EU) 2018/1725. The Regulation		
aims only at establishing a legal basis for the		
processing of personal data in the context of the		
regulatory sandbox as such. Any other		
processing of personal data falling within the		
scope of this Regulation would require a		
separate legal basis.		
(28) It is necessary to enhance a good		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
understanding of interoperability issues,		
especially among public sector employees.		
Continuous training is key in this respect and		
cooperation and coordination on the topic		
should be encouraged. Beyond trainings on		
Interoperable Europe solutions, all initiatives		
should, where appropriate, build on, or be		
accompanied by, the sharing of experience and		
solutions and the exchange and promotion of		
best practices.		
(29) To create a mechanism facilitating a		
mutual learning process among public sector		
bodies and sharing of best practices in		
implementing Interoperable Europe solutions		
across the Member States, it is necessary lay		
down provisions on the peer review process.		
Peer reviews <u>ean</u> <u>should</u> lead to valuable		
insights and recommendations for the public		
sector body undergoing the review. In		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
particular, they could contribute to facilitating		
the transfer of technologies, tools, measures and		
processes among the Member States involved in		
the peer review. They <b>should</b> create a functional		
path for the sharing of best practices across		
Member States with different levels of maturity		
in interoperability. A peer review is set up		
upon the request by a public sector body		
when needed. In order to ensure that the peer		
review process is cost-effective and produces		
clear and conclusive results, and also to avoid		
the placement of unnecessary burden, the		
Commission may adopt guidelines on the best		
set-up for such peer reviews, based on the needs		
that occur and after consulting the Interoperable		
Europe Board.		
(30) To develop the general direction of the		
Interoperable Europe structured cooperation in		
promoting the digital interconnection and		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
interoperability of public services in the Union		
and to oversee the strategic and implementation		
activities related to that cooperation, an		
Interoperable Europe Board should be		
established. The Interoperable Europe Board		
should carry out its tasks taking into		
consideration cross-border interoperability rules		
and solutions already implemented for existing		
network and information systems.		
(31) Certain Union bodies such as the		
European Data Innovation Board and the		
European Health Data Space Board have been		
created and tasked to, among others, enhance		
interoperability at specific domain or policy		
level. However, none of the existing bodies is		
tasked to address cross-border interoperability		
of network and information systems which are		
used to provide or manage public services in the		
Union. The Interoperable Europe Board created		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
by this Regulation should support the Union		
bodies working on policies, actions and		
solutions relevant for cross-border		C* >>
interoperability of network and information		
systems which are used to provide or manage		
public services in the Union, for example on		
semantic interoperability for data spaces as well		
as data portability and reusability. The		
Interoperable Europe Board should interact with		
all relevant Union bodies in order to ensure		
alignment and synergies between cross-border		
interoperability actions and sector specific ones.		
(32) Advancing public sector interoperability		
needs the active involvement and commitment		
of experts, practitioners, users and the interested		
public across Member States, across all levels of		
government and involving international partners		
and the private sector. In order to tap into their		
expertise, skills and creativity, a dedicated open		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
forum (the 'Interoperable Europe Community')		
should help channel feedback, user and		
operational needs, identify areas for further		
development and help scope priorities for EU		
interoperability cooperation. The establishment		
of the Interoperable Europe Community should		
support the coordination and cooperation		
between the strategic and operational key		
players for interoperability.		
(33) The Interoperable Europe Community		
should be open to all interested parties. Access		
to the Interoperable Europe Community should		
be made as easy as possible, avoiding		
unnecessary barriers and burdens. The		
Interoperable Europe Community should bring		
together public and private stakeholders,		
including citizens, with expertise in the field of		
cross-border interoperability, coming from		
different backgrounds, such as academia,		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
research and innovation, education,		
standardisation and specifications, businesses		
and public administration at all levels.		
(34) To ensure the rules laid down by this		
Regulation are efficiently implemented, it is		
necessary to designate national competent		
authorities responsible for its implementation. In		
many Member States, some entities have		
already the role of developing interoperability.		
Those entities could take over the role of		
competent authority in accordance with this		
Regulation.		
(35) An Interoperable Europe Agenda should		
be established as the Union's main instrument		
for the coordination of public investments in		
interoperability solutions. It should deliver a		
comprehensive overview of funding possibilities		
and funding commitments in the field,		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
integrating where appropriate the related		
Union programmes. This should contribute to		
creating synergies and coordinating financial		C1 //
support related to interoperability development		
and avoiding duplication.		
(36) Information should be collected in order		Recital 36 in conjunction with Art 20:
to guide the effective and efficient		The wording "Information should be collected
implementation of the regulation and the		in order to guide the effective and efficient
interoperability solutions, and to provide		implementation of the regulation" could imply
evidence to support the work of the		reporting obligations for MS which
Interoperable Europe Board assess the		subsequently could lead to additional
performance of this Regulation against the		administrative burden. We would like some
objectives it pursues, and to in order give		clarification whether this is the case or not.
feedback for the an evaluation of this		
Regulation in accordance with paragraph 22 of		
the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April		
2016 on Better Law-Making <sup>5</sup> . Therefore, the		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> OJ L 123, 12.5. 2016, p 1.

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Commission should carry out a monitoring and		
evaluation of this Regulation. The evaluation		
should be based on the five criteria of		
efficiency, effectiveness, relevance, coherence		
and EU value added. The evaluation should also		
be the basis for impact assessments of possible		
further measures. The monitoring <u>mechanism</u>		
should <b>be designed to minimise the</b>		
administrative burden on Member States by		
reusing integrate existing data sources and		
creating synergies with existing monitoring		
processes mechanisms, such as the Digital		
Economy and Society Index, the		
eGovernment Benchmark and the		
trajectories of the Digital Decade Policy		
Programme.		
(37) In order to ensure uniform conditions for		
the implementation of this Regulation,		
implementing powers should be conferred on		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
the Commission to set out rules and the		
conditions for the establishment and the		
operation of the regulatory sandboxes.		
(38) Since the objective of this Regulation,		
namely interoperability within public		
administrations on a Union-wide scale, cannot		
be sufficiently achieved by the Member States,		
but can rather, by reason of its scale and effects,		
be better achieved at Union level, the Union		
may adopt measures, in accordance with the		
principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of		
the Treaty on European Union. In accordance		
with the principle of proportionality as set out in		
the same Article, this Regulation does not go		
beyond what is necessary in order to achieve		
the objectives of the Treaties, especially with		
regards to the strengthening of the Single		
Market.		

Presidency 1st compromise text	<b>Drafting Suggestions</b>	Comments
(39) The application of this Regulation should		
be deferred to three months after the date of its		
entry into force in order to provide Member		<b>∠</b> * <i>≫</i>
States and the institutions, bodies and agencies		
of the Union with sufficient time to prepare for		
the application of this Regulation. Such time is		
necessary to establish the Interoperable Europe		
Board and the Interoperable Europe Community		
and for the designation of national competent		
authorities and interoperability coordinators.		
(40) The European Data Protection Supervisor		
was consulted in accordance with Article 42(1)		
of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European		
Parliament and of the Council <sup>6</sup> and delivered an		
opinion on <u> 13 January 2023.</u>		

Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39).

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:		
Chapter 1		C //
General provisions		
Article 1		
Subject matter and scope		
1. This Regulation lays down measures to		The scope of application is based on "network
promote the cross-border interoperability of		and information systems" as defined in Art. 2
network and information systems which are		para 2. This definition, which in turn refers to
used to provide or manage public services in the		Art. 4 para 1 of the NIS2 proposal (now Art 6
Union by establishing common rules and a		para 1 of Directive (EU) 2022/2555), appears
framework for coordination on public sector		too broad. According to the aforementioned
interoperability, with the aim of fostering the		Art.6 para 1 of Directive (EU) 2022/2555, the
development of interoperable trans-European		"network and information system" is "(a) an
digital public services infrastructure.		electronic communications network within the
		meaning of Article 2(1) of Directive
		2018/1972/EU, (b) a device or a group of

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		interconnected or interrelated devices which,
		individually or collectively, perform automatic
		processing of digital data on the basis of a
		program, or (c) digital data stored, processed,
		retrieved or transmitted by the elements -
		referred to in points (a) and (b) - for the
		purposes of their operation, use, protection and
		maintenance;". So if you take lit. b and c there,
		"devices" and "data" are quite generally
		"network and information systems".
		The wording of Art 1 in conjunction with Art 2
		para 2 seems to suggest that also network and
		information systems primarily used on a
		national level fall under the definition of Art 2
		para 2. Therefore, the scope seems without
		limits - almost every digital application of MS
		administrations could possibly fall into the
		scope of this Regulation which seems to go
		beyond what is necessary to achieve the goal to

Presidency 1st compromise text	<b>Drafting Suggestions</b>	Comments
		promote better cooperation between MS
		administations and the Union (and therefore
		could go against the principle of
		proportionality).
2. This Regulation applies to public sector		
bodies of Member States and institutions, bodies		
and agencies of the Union that provide or		
manage network or information systems that		
enable public services to be delivered or		
managed electronically.		
3. This Regulation is without prejudice		
to the Member States' responsibility for		
safeguarding national security.		
Article 2		
Definitions		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
For the purpose of this Regulation, the following definitions apply:	European public service' means any public sector service with a cross-border dimension digitally supplied by public administrations,	Should support from other Member States emerge, Austria would support a clear definition of European Public Services as a priority.
	either to one another or to businesses and citizens in the Union. that require the processing of elements from another Member State.	Subsequently, reference should be made to this definition, especially in the scope and assessment articles. We refrain from fully integrating this notion in the full text, but assume that this will be done if it is accepted.
(1) 'cross-border interoperability' means the ability of network and information systems to be used by public sector bodies in different Member States and institutions, bodies, and agencies of the Union in order to interact with each other by sharing data by means of electronic communication;		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(2) 'network and information system' means a		See comment regarding Art 1 para 1.
network and information system as defined in		<u></u>
Article <u>4-6</u> , point (1), of the <u>proposal for a</u>		
Directive (EU) 2022/2555 of the European		
Parliament and of the Council on measures for a		
high common level of cybersecurity across the		
Union, repealing Directive (EU) 2016/1148		
[proposal (NIS 2 Directive) <sup>7</sup> ];		
(2a) 'cross-border services' means data	Option 1 (2a) 'cross-border services' means data	On the basis of the new definition of Art 2a in
exchange between information systems by	exchange between information systems by	conjunction with Art 3 para 1, it is still not
dedicated functions and procedures across	dedicated functions and procedures across	entirely clear whether network and information
national jurisdictions in support of the	national jurisdictions in support of the provision	systems that are primarily intended for domestic
provision of public services;	of public services; which have the primary	use are covered by the scope of the Regulation
	function of providing public services across two	or not. A clarification of the wording of Art 2a
	or more national jurisdictions;	to the effect that only electronic applications

Directive (EU) 2022/2555 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2022 on measures for a high common level of cybersecurity across the Union, amending Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 and Directive (EU) 2018/1972, and repealing Directive (EU) 2016/1148 (NIS 2 Directive), OJ L 333, 27.12.2022, p. 80.

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		intended for use in cross-border situations are
		covered by the scope of application of the
		Regulation could be achieved by a rewording of
		Art 2a. This could be done by clearly
		emphasising in the wording that only functions
		and procedures are affected that have as their
		primary objective the providing of public
		services in cross-border situations.
(3) 'interoperability solution' means a <u>reusable</u>		
asset technical specification, including a		
standard, or another solution, including		
conceptual frameworks, guidelines and		
applications, describing legal, organisational,		
semantic or technical requirements to <u>be</u>		
fulfilled by a network and information system in		
order to enhance enable cross-border		
interoperability, such as conceptual		
frameworks, guidelines, reference		
architectures, technical specifications,		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
standards, services and applications;		
(4) 'public sector body' means a public sector		~ <i>//</i>
body as defined in Article 2, point (1), of		
Directive (EU) 2019/1024;		
(5) 'data' means data as defined in Article 2,		How does this definition of "data" differ to the
point (1), of Regulation (EU) 2022/868 on		one of "personal data" in Art 4 para 1 GDPR?
European data governance and amending		
Regulation (EU) 2018/1724 (Data Governance		
Act) <sup>8</sup> ;		
(6) 'machine-readable format' means a		
machine-readable format as defined in Article 2,		
point (13), of Directive (EU) 2019/1024;		
(7) 'GovTech' means a <u>technology-based</u>		
cooperation between public and private sector		

Regulation (EU) 2022/868 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2022 on European data governance and amending Regulation (EU) 2018/1724 (Data Governance Act) (OJ L 152, 03.06.2022, p. 1).

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
actors supporting public sector digital		
transformation;		
		C'//
(8) 'standard' means a standard as defined in		
Article 2, point (1), of Regulation (EU) No		
1025/2012 of the European Parliament and of		
the Council <sup>9</sup> ;		
(8a) 'ICT technical specification' means a		
ICT technical specification as defined in		
Article 2, point (4), of Regulation (EU) No		
1025/2012 of the European Parliament and of		
the Council;		
(8b) 'open source licence' means a licence	(8b) 'open source licence' means a licence	
whereby the reuse of the sotware is permitted	whereby the reuse and modification of the	

Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on European standardisation, amending Council Directives 89/686/EEC and 93/15/EEC and Directives 94/9/EC, 94/25/EC, 95/16/EC, 97/23/EC, 98/34/EC, 2004/22/EC, 2007/23/EC, 2009/23/EC and 2009/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Decision 87/95/EEC and Decision No 1673/2006/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 316, 14.11.2012, p. 12).

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
for all specified uses in a unilateral	software []	
declaration by the right holder, and where		
the source code of the software is made		
available to users;		
(0) (1:-1411-6		
(9) 'highest level of management' means a		
manager, management or coordination and		
oversight body at the most senior administrative		
level, taking account of the high-level		
governance arrangements in each institution,		
body or agency of the Union.		
(10) 'regulatory sandbox' means a controlled		
environment set up by a public sector body		
or an institution, body or agency of the Union		
for the development, training, testing and		
validation of innovative interoperability		
solutions, where appropriate in real world		
conditions, supporting the cross-border		
interoperability of network and information		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
systems which are used to provide or manage public services to be delivered or managed		
electronically for a limited period of time		
under regulatory supervision.		
Article 3		
Interoperability assessment		- The interoperability assessment, as it is
		regulated here, represents a significant
		additional effort for public bodies. This still
		needs to be examined in detail to see to what extent it is possible to sensibly limit this or keep
		the effort within bounds.
		- It is – from the wording of the proposal -
		completely unclear how public bodies are
		affected in the case of multi-level cross-border
		projects distributed over several hierarchical
		levels (example: OOTS according to the SDG
		Regulation. Would only the central "OOTS

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		node" in a MS have to carry out the assessment
		or all public OOTS participants up to the
		municipalities? That would be a very large,
		completely pointless effort).
		- It makes sense that this evaluation should only
		be used for important, trend-setting cross-border
		e-government projects. In practice, an excessive
		evaluation obligation can lead to an inhibition of
		innovation; in other words, the exact opposite of
		the IEA intention. This aspect should not be
		underestimated under any circumstances.
		- It should also be borne in mind that the
		assessment extends through all levels of
		interoperability (including legal and
		organisational) and is by no means limited to
		technology.
1 Where a nublic sector body or an	1 Where a public sector body or an	See also comment regarding recital 8
1. Where a public sector body or an	1. Where a public sector body or an	See also comment regarding recital 8.

Deadline: 21 April 2023

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
institution, an agency or body of	institution, an agency or body of	
the Union intends to set <u>requirements for one</u>	the Union proposes to set requirements for one	The Commission does not as such set
or several up a new or significantly modify an	or several provide/deliver/publish an new	requirements in the legislative process, but
existing network and information systems that	version/release []	proposes to do so, therefore this would be the
enables public services to be delivered or	[] it shall carry out an assessment of the	better wording to reflect the position of the
managed electronically, it shall carry out an	expected impacts of the planned action on	Commission in the legislative process.
assessment of the expected impacts of the	cross-border interoperability ('interoperability	Paragraph seems unclear, "set" could mean
planned action on cross-border interoperability	assessment') in the following cases:	"define/specify", "implement / fullfill/ realize",
('interoperability assessment') in the following		therefore we suggest a rewording.
cases:		
		It might make sense to define an exemption
		from the assessment to facilitate future
		standards and innovation (e.g. prototyping
		systems to test a new interoperability standard)
		(e.g. regulatory sandboxes)
(a) where the <u>requirements</u> intended set-up		
or modification affects one or more network and		
information systems used for the provision of		
cross-border services across several sectors or		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
administrations;		
(b) where the <b>requirements</b> intended set-up		- The question arises whether the threshold
or modification will most likely result in		value should not be set exactly for the IEA
procurements for network and information		instead of referencing values of another
systems used for the provision of cross-border		directive (2014/24/EU).
services above the threshold set out in Article		- It seems unclear whether the scope of para. 1
4 of Directive 2014/24/EU; <u>or</u>		and thus the scope of the obligation for an
		interoperability assessment in relation to EC
		legislative proposals is broad enough: The
		obligation refers to the intention to "establish a
		new network and information system". Does
		this also cover the case that it is not a question
		of a system directly at EU level, but that the
		intended EU regulation will bring about changes
		in the Member States' systems? Example: an
		amendment to the eIDAS Regulation. If the EU
		MS are now obliged to set up an eID wallet:
		would that be a case covered by subsection 1?
		This should probably be the case, but the

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		wording should more clearly cover such
		constellations as well.
(c) where the <u>requirements</u> intended set up	*	
or modification concerns a network and		
information system used for the provision of		
cross-border services and funded through Union		
programmes.		
The public sector body or the institution, body		
or agency of the Union concerned may also		
carry out the interoperability assessment in other		
cases.		
2. The interoperability assessment shall be		- The point in time seems unclear. What exactly
carried out before taking decisions on the legal,		does "before a binding decision is taken on the
organisational, semantic or technical		legal () requirements ()" mean? Again, the
requirements for the new or modified network		examples of an EC legislative proposal: the EC
and information system in a binding manner. A		should probably have to carry out such an
single interoperability assessment may be		assessment before submitting the proposal. Is

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
carried out to address a set of requirements and		this covered by "decide in a binding manner"?
several network and information systems.		Or would this "binding decision" only exist
		when the legislative act as such is formally
		adopted by the co-legislators?
		o Must the assessment then be repeated in
		the further steps in the legislative process?
		So before EC submission by the EC,
		before decision-making (of the General
		Approach?) in the Council by the Council,
		before decision-making in the EP by the
		EP?
		o If the provision is to be understood in
		such a way that the evaluation only has to
		be carried out once before the final
		decision (by the co-legislators?), then it is
		probably too late, because interoperability
		must be part of the project from the
		beginning and cannot be added
		"afterwards", as it were.
		The Commission made clear during the

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		meetings, that the IEA follows a "one for all"
		approach, meaning that it would be the
		Commission to make the assessment and
		thereafter, no other EU, national, regional or
		local bodies would need to perform an
		additional assessment. This needs to be made
		explicitly clear in the text (see also comment
		regarding recital 8).
		In addition, in our view para 2 represents
		another excessive restriction of the Member
		States' administrative action, according to which
		the interoperability assessment carried out is a
		condition to be fulfilled for the binding decision
		on the legal, organisational, semantic or
		technical requirements. The proposed regulation
		thus seems to delay national decision-making
		processes and potentially also national and
		regional legislative processes.

Presidency 1st compromise text	<b>Drafting Suggestions</b>	Comments
The public sector body or the institution, body		
or agency of the Union concerned shall publish		C*/
a report presenting the outcome of the		
interoperability assessment on its website.		
3. The national competent authorities and the		
interoperability coordinators shall provide the		
necessary support to carry out the		
interoperability assessment. The Commission		
may shall provide technical tools to support the		
assessment.		
4. The interoperability assessment shall		An obligation to carry out such a comprehensive
contain <u>at least</u> :		interoperability assessment as it is foreseen in
		this Regulation (Art 3 para 4 and 6) seems to
		hinder rather than accelerate innovation.
		Therefore, a more flexible and reduced set of
		requirements would seem more appropriate.

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(a) a description of the intended operation and		
its impacts on the cross-border interoperability		
of one or several network and information		
systems concerned, including the estimated		
costs for the adaptation of the network and		
information systems concerned;		
(b) a description of the level of alignment of		
the network and information systems		
concerned with the European Interoperability		
Framework, and with the Interoperable Europe		
solutions, after the operation and where it has		
improved compared to the level of alignment		
before the operation;		
(c) when applicable, a description of		
the Application Programming Interfaces that		
enable machine-to-machine interaction with the		
data considered relevant for cross-border		
exchange with other network and information		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
systems.		
5. The public sector body, or institution,	5. The public sector body, or institution,	In practice, it is probably not possible to consult
body or agency of the Union concerned shall	body or agency of the Union concerned shall	the "user concerned". This user is unknown at
consult recipients of the services affected or	may consult []	the time of the evaluation. If this means that a
their representatives on the intended operation if		high level of user-friendliness is of great
it directly affects the recipients. This		importance to the target group, then this should
consultation is without prejudice to the		also be formulated in this way.
protection of commercial or public interests or		
the security of such systems.		Depending on how it is read, a "user" could be
		either the citizens themselves or other national,
		regional or local administrative units. If this is
		to be a consultation of the potentially affected
		administrative units - which we welcome in
		principle – we suggest that the portal for an
		interoperable Europe according to Art 8 should
		be used for the exchange of the relevant
		information. Art 6 should definitely be
		complemented to the effect that the European
		Interoperability Framework should include

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		obligatory technical documentation of APIs
		based on common market standards.
		Furthermore, the obligatory nature of such a
		consultation is probably more likely to hinder
		rather than accelerate innovation. Therefore, we
		suggest to change the wording from "shall" to
		"may".
6. The Interoperable Europe Board shall		
adopt guidelines for on the content of the		
interoperability assessment by at the latest		
[one year after the entry into force of this		
Regulation], including practical check lists.		
	7 Where an interoperability assessment has	As stated in Article 2, we would prioritise
	already been carried out in the context of	limiting the assessment to European Public
	proposing a binding decision in Union law, this	Services. In the event that this proposal cannot
	Article shall not apply for the aspects covered	be accepted, we are proposing a new paragraph
	by this assessment."	7 as indicated here.

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		It should be clarified that an interoperability
		assessment that has already been carried out in
		the context of the adoption of a legal act in the
		Union, should not be repeated downstream. This
		would clarify who is responsible, reduce the
		number of assessments required and potentially
		avoid duplicates.
Article 4		- The provision of technical solutions with the
		aim of reuse can be supported in principle.
		However, it should be made clear in a recital
		that no further support services are associated
		with this.
		- However, this article seems to overestimate the
		benefit of making source code available for the
		realisation of cross-border projects, and the
		obligation seems relatively extensive.
Share and reuse of interoperability solutions		
between public sector bodies, institutions,		
bodies and agencies of the Union		

Deadline: 21 April 2023

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
1. A public sector body or an institution,	1. A public sector body or an institution,	The idea of sharing working solutions is not
body or agency of the Union shall make	body or agency of the Union shall may make	new and corresponds to current practice as an
available to any other such entity that requests	available to any other such entity that requests	open source development model. However, the
it, interoperability solutions that support the	it, interoperability solutions that support the	basic idea of open source is always based on
public services that it delivers or manages	public services that it delivers or manages	voluntariness and not on obligation; for this
electronically. The shared content shall include	electronically. []	reason, the provision in the proposed regulation
the technical documentation and, where		should not lay down an obligation but instead
applicable, the documented source code. This		should explicitly provide for <u>voluntary</u> sharing.
obligation to share shall not apply to any of the		The main consideration here is that forcing the
following interoperability solutions:		sharing of technical solutions could hinder the
		willingness to develop them oneself and is
		therefore potentially an obstacle to innovation.
		In addition, we doubt whether it is necessary at
		all to share the source code together with the
		technical documentation, since other systems
		are usually used in the background of the
		interoperability solution, which use their own
		code anyway. The technical documentation thus

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		constitutes the relevant information for sharing
		even without source codes. Paragraph 1 should
		therefore be amended to the effect that this
		provision neither requires the source code to be
		comprehensively documented nor to be shared
		in any case.
(a) that support processes which fall outside		
the scope of the public task of the public sector		
bodies or institutions, bodies, or agencies of the		
Union concerned as defined by law or by other		
binding rules, or, in the absence of such rules, as		
defined in accordance with common		
administrative practice in the Member State or		
Union administrations in question, provided that		
the scope of the public tasks is transparent and		
subject to review;		
(b) for which third parties hold intellectual		
property rights and do not allow sharing;		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(c) access to which is excluded or restricted on grounds of:		
(i) sensitive critical infrastructure protection related information as defined in Article 2, point (d) of Council Directive 2008/114/EC <sup>10</sup> ;		
(ii) the protection of defence interests, or public security.		
2. To enable the reusing entity to manage the interoperability solution autonomously, the sharing entity shall specify any conditions that may apply to the reuse of the solution, including possible the guarantees that will be provided to the reusing entity in terms of cooperation, support and maintenance. Before		It is questionable whether the provisions in paragraph 2 can be complied with in practice.  The alternative of publishing in the portal for an interoperable Europe according to paragraph 3 can again only be done with certain licence models and open source. This could ultimately be a barrier to innovation.

Council Directive 2008/114/EC of 8 December 2008 on the identification and designation of European critical infrastructures and the assessment of the need to improve their protection (OJ L 345, 23.12.2008, p. 75).

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
adopting the interoperability solution, <u>upon</u>		
<u>request</u> , the reusing entity shall provide to the		The wording regarding the "guarantuees [] in
sharing entity an assessment of the solution		terms of cooperation, support and maintenance"
covering its ability to manage autonomously the		could be interpreted in such a way that it
cybersecurity and the evolution of the reused		includes an obligation to provide those – as
interoperability solution.		explained in the comment regarding Art 4 para 1
		we think that this could hinder innovation and
		we therefore suggest to clarify the wording in
		that respect.
		The obligatory assessment by the reusing entity
		that para 2 second sentence stipulates could lead
		to an additional administrative burden and
		subsequently could hinder innovation.
3. The obligation in paragraph 1 of this		
Article may be fulfilled by publishing the		
relevant content on the Interoperable Europe		
portal or a portal, catalogue or repository		
connected to the Interoperable Europe portal. In		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
that case, paragraph 2 of this Article shall not		
apply to the sharing entity. The publication on		
the Interoperable European portal shall be made		
by the Commission, at the request of the sharing		
entity.		
4. A public sector body, an institution, body		
or agency of the Union or a third party <u>re</u> using		
an interoperability solution may adapt it to its		
own needs, unless intellectual property rights		
held by a third party restricts the adaptation		
of the solution. If the interoperability solution		
was made public as set out in paragraph 3, the		
adapted interoperability solution shall be made		
public in the same way.		
5. The sharing and reusing entities may		The proposed regulation does not sufficiently
conclude an agreement on sharing the costs for		regulate the fee for the use of the transferred
future developments of the interoperability		interoperability solution. In order to reduce the
solution.		obstacles to innovation, we suggest to clarify the

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		wording in that regard that the sharing entity
		may independently set fees for the use of the
		interoperability solution, even if only the
		original solution has been used by the reusing
		entity.
Chapter 2		
Interoperability solutions		
Article 5		
General principles		
1. The Commission shall publish		
Interoperable Europe solutions and the		
European Interoperability Framework on the		
Interoperable Europe portal_, by electronic		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
means, in formats that are open, machine-readable, accessible <sup>11</sup> , findable and re-usable, if applicable, together with their metadata.		
2. The Interoperable Europe Board shall monitor the overall coherence of the developed or recommended interoperability solutions, and propose measures to ensure, where appropriate, their compatibility with other interoperability solutions that share a common purpose, while supporting, where relevant, the complementarity with or transition to new technologies.		What effect should the proposals of the board have according to para. 2? What is the consequence of disregarding the proposed measures?
Article 6		See comment regarding Art 3 para 5.
European Interoperability Framework and specialised interoperability frameworks		

Directive (EU) 2019/882 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on the accessibility requirements for products and services (Text with EEA relevance) (OJ L 151, 7.6.2019, p. 70–115).

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
The Interoperable Europe Board shall		According to para. 1, the board "develops" the
develop a European Interoperability Framework		EIF. In contrast, according to para. 3, the board
(EIF) <sup>12</sup> and propose to the Commission to adopt		is only "consulted" by the Commission with
it. The Commission may adopt the EIF. The		regard to specialised interoperability
Commission shall publish the EIF in the Official		frameworks. We would like to have more
Journal of the European Union.		detailed information on how exactly this
		"development process" of the EIF by the board
		is going to look like. In this case too, it would
		probably make sense for the Commission to
		present a concrete draft and then for the board to
		discuss it. Is this what is meant by
		"development" by the board?
2. The EIF shall provide a model and a set of		
recommendations on legal, organisational,		
semantic and technical interoperability,		
addressed to all entities falling within the scope		
of this Regulation for interacting with each		

<sup>12</sup> Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions European Interoperability Framework – Implementation Strategy, COM/2017/0134 final.

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
other through their network and information		
systems. The EIF shall be taken into account in		
the interoperability assessment in accordance		
with Article 3(4), point (b) and Article 3(6).		
3. The Commission, after consulting the		Cf. para 1.
Interoperable Europe Board, may adopt other		
interoperability frameworks ('specialised		
interoperability frameworks') targeting the		
needs of specific sectors or administrative		
levels. The specialised interoperability		
frameworks shall be based on the EIF.		
The Interoperable Europe Board shall assess		
the alignment of the specialised interoperability		
frameworks with the EIF. The Commission		
shall publish the specialised interoperability		
frameworks on the Interoperable Europe portal.		
4. Where a Member State develops a		
national interoperability framework and other		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
relevant national policies, strategies or		
guidelines, it shall take into account the EIF.		
Article 7		
Interoperable Europe solutions		
The Interoperable Europe Board shall		
recommend interoperability solutions		
for the cross-border interoperability of network		
and information systems which are used to		
provide or manage public services to be		
delivered or managed electronically in the		
Union. When an interoperability solution		
is recommended by the Interoperable Europe		
Board, it shall carry the label 'Interoperable		
Europe solution' and shall be published on the		
Interoperable Europe portal.		
Article 8		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Interoperable Europe portal		
1. The Commission shall provide a		
portal ('the Interoperable Europe portal') as a		
single point of entry for information related to		
cross-border interoperability of network and		
information systems which are used to provide		
or manage public services to be delivered or		
managed electronically in the Union. The portal		
shall be electronically accessible and free of		
charge. The portal shall have at least the		
following functions:		
(a) access to Interoperable Europe solutions;		
(b) access to other interoperability solutions		
not bearing the label 'Interoperable Europe		
solution' and provided for by other		
Union policies or fulfilling the requirements set		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
out in Paragraph 2;		
(c) access to ICT technical specifications		C.//
eligible for referencing in accordance with		
Article 13 of Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012;		
(d) access to information on processing of		In the interest of transparency, access to
personal data in the context of regulatory		information on the processing of personal data
sandboxes referred to in Articles 11 and 12, if		in the context of regulatory sandboxes should
any high risks to the rights and freedoms of the		also be granted if there is no high risk to the
data subjects, as referred to in Article 35(1) of		rights and freedoms of the data subjects
Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and in Article 39 of		pursuant to Art 35 para 1 GDPR.
Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, has been identified,		
as well as access to information on response		
mechanisms to promptly mitigate those risks.		
The published information may include a		
disclosure of the data protection impact		
assessment;		
(e) fostering knowledge exchange between		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
members of the Interoperable Europe		
Community, as set out in Article 16, such		
as providing a feedback system to express their		C1 »
views on measures proposed by the		
Interoperable Europe Board or express their		
interest to participate to actions related to the		
implementation of this Regulation;		
(f) access to interoperability-related		
monitoring data referred to in Article 20;		
(g) allowing citizens and civil society		
organisations to provide feedback on the		
published content.		
2. The Interoperable Europe		
Board may propose to the Commission to		
publish on the portal other interoperability		
solutions or to have them referred to on the		
portal. Such solutions shall:		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(a) not be subject to third party rights <b>that</b>		
prevent their distribution and use;		C*//
(aa) not of contain personal data or		
confidential information;		
(b) have a high-level of alignment with the		
Interoperable Europe solutions which may be		
proven by publishing the outcome of the		
interoperability assessment referred to in Article		
3;		
(c) use a licence that allows at least for the		
reuse by other public sector bodies		
or institutions, bodies or agencies of the		
Union or be issued as open source. An open		
source licence means a licence whereby the		
reuse of the software is permitted for all		
specified uses in a unilateral declaration by the		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
right holder, and where the source codes of the		
software are made available for users;		
(d) be regularly maintained under the		
responsibility of the owner of the		
interoperability solution.		
3. When a public sector body or an		
institution, body or agency of the Union		
provides a portal, catalogue or repository with		
similar functions, it shall take the necessary		
measures to ensure interoperability with the		
Interoperable Europe portal. Where such		
portals collect open source solutions, they shall		
allow for the use of the European Union Public		
Licence.		
4. The Commission may adopt guidelines on		
interoperability for other portals with similar		
functions as referred to in paragraph 3.		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Chapter 3		
Interoperable Europe support measures		<u></u>
Article 9		From which funding sources should these
		projects be financed? From existing funding
		programmes such as Digital Europe Programme
		or through new funding?
Policy implementation support projects		
1. The Interoperable Europe Board may		
propose to the Commission to set up projects to		
support public sector bodies in the digital		
implementation of Union policies ensuring		
the cross-border interoperability of network and		
information systems which are used to provide		
or manage public services to be delivered or		
managed electronically ('policy implementation		
support project').		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
2. The policy implementation support project		
shall set out:		L*//
(a) the existing Interoperable Europe		
solutions deemed necessary for the digital		
implementation of the policy requirements;		
(b) any missing interoperability solutions to		
be developed, deemed necessary for the digital		
implementation of the policy requirements;		
(c) other recommended support measures,		
such as trainings or peer-reviews.		
3. The Commission shall set out, after		
consulting the Interoperable Europe Board, the		
scope, the timeline, the needed involvement of		
sectors and administrative levels and the		
working methods of the support project. If the		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Commission has already performed and		
published an interoperability assessment, in		
accordance with Article 3, the outcome of that		
assessment shall be taken into account when		
setting up the support project.		
4. In order to reinforce the policy		
implementation support project, the		
Interoperable Europe Board may propose to		
establish a regulatory sandbox as referred to		
in Article 11.		
5. The outcome of a policy implementation		
support project as well as interoperability		
solutions developed in the project shall be		
openly available and made public on the		
Interoperable Europe Portal.		
Article 10		What are examples of such innovation measures
		- are there already concrete ideas here? The

Presidency 1st compromise text	<b>Drafting Suggestions</b>	Comments
		connection or the distinction between Art. 9 and
		10 seems unclear due to the lack of concrete
		examples and definitions.
Innovation measures		
1. The Interoperable Europe Board may		
propose to the Commission to set up innovation		
measures to support the development and uptake		
of innovative interoperability solutions in the		
EU ('innovation measures').		
2. Innovation measures shall <u>:</u>		
(a) contribute to the development of existing		
or new Interoperable Europe solutions; and		
(b) may involve GovTech actors.		
3. In order to support the development of		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
innovation measures, the Interoperable Europe		
Board may propose to set up a regulatory		
sandbox.		
4. The Commission shall make the results		
from the innovation measures openly available		
on the Interoperable Europe portal.		
Article 11		Typically, regulatory sandboxes are used when
		innovations/technology solutions are to be
		tested under real conditions that are not or only
		partially compatible with the existing legal or
		regulatory framework. Thus, legal exceptions
		from otherwise existing framework conditions
		are provided for in regulatory sandboxes. The
		proposed Art. 11 and 12 appear to be unclear in
		this respect. Which legal
		requirements/regulations are to be specifically
		allowed to be deviated from on the basis of
		these provisions? Which otherwise applicable

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		regulations are thus to be disregarded when a
		corresponding regulatory sandbox is set up?
		Regarding the data protection perspective, see
		below.
Establishment of regulatory sandboxes		
Establishment of regulatory sandovaes		
1. Regulatory sandboxes shall provide a		
controlled environment for the development.		
testing and validation of innovative		
interoperability solutions supporting the cross-		
border interoperability of network and		
information systems which are used to provide		
or manage public services to be delivered or		
managed electronically for a limited period of		
time before putting them into service.		
2. Regulatory sandboxes shall be operated		
under the responsibility of the participating		
public sector bodies or institutions, bodies, and		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
agencies of the Union.; where the Regulatory		
sandboxes that entails the processing of		
personal data by public sector bodies <sub>z</sub> shall be		
operated under the supervision of other the		
relevant <u>national</u> <u>supervisory</u> authorities or		
where the Regulatory sandboxes that entails		
the processing of personal data by institutions,		
bodies, and agencies of the Union <sub>z</sub> shall be		
operated under the responsibility of the		
European Data Protection Supervisor.		
3. The establishment of a regulatory		
sandbox as set out in paragraph 1 shall aim to		
contribute to the following objectives:		
(a) foster innovation and facilitate the		
development and roll-out of innovative		
digital interoperability solutions for public		
services;		

Presidency 1st compromise text	<b>Drafting Suggestions</b>	Comments
(b) facilitate cross-border cooperation		
between national competent authorities and		
synergies in public service delivery;		
(c) facilitate the development of an open		
European GovTech ecosystem, including		
cooperation with small and medium enterprises		
and start-ups;		
(d) enhance authorities' understanding of the		
opportunities or barriers to cross-border		
interoperability of innovative interoperability		
solutions, including legal barriers;		
(e) contribute to the development or update of		
Interoperable Europe solutions; =		
(f) contribute to evidence-based regulatory		
<u>learning;</u>		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(g) improve legal certainty and contribute		
to the sharing of best practices through		
cooperation with the authorities involved in		
the regulatory sandbox with a view to		
ensuring compliance with this Regulation		
and, where appropriate, with other Union		
and Member States legislation.		
4. The establishment of regulatory		
sandboxes shall contribute to improving legal		
certainty through cooperation with the		
authorities involved in the regulatory sandbox		
with a view to ensuring compliance with this		
Regulation and, where appropriate, with other		
Union and Member States legislation.		
5. The Commission, after consulting the		For us, it is not clear why Art 11 para 5 provides
Interoperable Europe Board and, where the		for a selective exemption from the authorisation
regulatory sandbox would include the		requirement for regulatory sandboxes, which
processing of personal data, the European Data		only applies if they are used by EU institutions,

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Protection Supervisor, shall upon joint request		bodies, offices or agencies. This different
from at least three participating participants		treatment to the disadvantage of Member State
<u>public sector bodies</u> authorise the establishment		administrations is neither comprehensible nor
of a regulatory sandbox. This consultation		suitable for achieving the desired goal of
should not replace the prior consultation		promoting interoperability.
referred to in Article 36 of Regulation (EU)		
2016/679 and in Article 40 of Regulation (EU)		The establishment of a regulatory sandbox on
2018/1725. Where the sandbox is set up for		the basis of a joint request from at least three
interoperability solutions supporting the cross-		participants – without any margin of discretion
border interoperability of network and		- seems somewhat arbitrary, particularly since
information systems which are used to provide		there is a reference made to the consultation
or manage public services to be delivered or		obligation in Art 36 GDPR. If the assessment of
managed electronically by one or more		the content is to be outsourced in this way, this
institutions, bodies or agencies of the Union,		would have to be justified.
eventually including with the participation of		
public sector bodies, no authorisation is needed.		
Article 12		Cf. Art 11
Participation in the regulatory sandboxes		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
1. The participating public sector bodies <u>or</u>		The nature of the involvement of national data
institutions, bodies, and agencies of the Union		protection authorities needs to be specified.
shall ensure that, to the extent the innovative		Furthermore, we would like to know the
interoperability solution operation of the		reasoning behind the time limit of their
regulatory sandbox requires involves the		participation - this could be a relatively strong
processing of personal data or otherwise falls		interference in the supervisory power of the
under the supervisory remit of other national		authority.
authorities providing or supporting access to		
data, that the national data protection		
supervisory authorities and those other national		
authorities are associated to the operation of the		
regulatory sandbox. As appropriate, the		
participating participants public sector bodies		
may allow for the involvement in the regulatory		
sandbox of other actors within the GovTech		
ecosystem such as national or European		
standardisation organisations, notified bodies,		
research and experimentation labs, innovation		
hubs, and companies wishing to test innovative		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
interoperability solutions. <u>Cooperation may also</u>		
be envisaged with third countries establishing		
mechanisms to support innovative		
interoperability solutions for the public sector.		
Participation in the regulatory sandbox		
shall be limited to a period that is appropriate to		
the complexity and scale of the project, and in		
any case not longer than 2 years from the		
establishment of the regulatory sandbox. The		
participation may be extended for up to		
one more year if necessary to achieve the		
purpose of the processing.		
3. Participation in the regulatory sandbox		
shall be based on a specific plan elaborated by		
the participants taking into account the advice of		
other national competent authorities or		
the European Data Protection Supervisor, as		
applicable. The plan shall contain as a minimum		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
the following:		
(a) description of the participants involved		C //
and their roles, the envisaged innovative		
interoperability solution and its intended		
purpose, and relevant development, testing and		
validation process;		
(b) the specific regulatory issues at stake and		
the guidance that is expected from the		
authorities supervising the regulatory sandbox;		
(c) the specific modalities of the collaboration		
between the participants and the authorities, as		
well as any other actor involved in the		
regulatory sandbox;		
(d) a risk management and monitoring		
mechanism to identify, prevent and mitigate any		
risk <b>s</b> ;		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(e) the key milestones to be completed by the		
participants for the interoperability solution to		<u>_ ' //                                 </u>
be considered ready to be put into service;		
(f) evaluation and reporting requirements and		
possible follow-up;		
(g) where personal data are processed, an		
indication of the categories of personal data		
concerned, the purposes of the processing for		
which the personal data are intended and the		
actors involved in the processing and their role.		
4. The participation in the regulatory		
sandboxes shall not affect the supervisory and		
corrective powers of any authorities supervising		
the sandbox.		
5. Participants in the regulatory sandbox		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
shall remain liable under applicable Union law		
and Member States legislation on liability for		
any damage caused in the course of their		
participation in the regulatory sandbox.		
6. Personal data <u>lawfully collected for other</u>		Similarly to Article 54(1) of the general
<u>purposes</u> may be processed in the regulatory		approach of the AIA, Article 12(6) provides for
sandbox subject to the following cumulative		a blanket, undifferentiated and horizontal
conditions:		authorisation to process any personal data in
		regulatory sandboxes. The provision is too
		vague from a data protection perspective and
		cannot constitute a legal basis for data
		processing. The further use of personal data
		collected for a specific purpose for purposes that
		have no substantive or formal connection with
		the purpose of collection is in no way
		foreseeable for the data subject. To the extent
		that the provision is intended to be a form of
		compatible re-use within the meaning of Article
		6(4) of the GDPR, it is noted that Art 12(6) does

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		not constitute a necessary and proportionate
		measure in a democratic society to protect the
		objectives referred to in Article 23(1) pursuant
		to Article 6(4) of the GDPR. Moreover, the
		provision does not distinguish between special
		categories of personal data pursuant to Article
		9(1) of the GDPR and other personal data. From
		AT perspective, the processing of special
		personal data on the basis of Article 6(4) of the
		GDPR is not permissible and contradicts the
		risk assessment underlying the GDPR.
		The provision completely disregards the data
		protection principle of data minimisation
		pursuant to Article 5(1)(c) GDPR, because
		neither the scope nor the categories of personal
		data potentially processed in real laboratories
		are restricted in any way.
		In the course of the negotiations on AIA,

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		Austria has already proposed the inclusion of an
		opening clause for sector-specific data
		processing authorisations, which would make it
		possible to specifically designate both the
		typical data sources and the typical data
		categories and thus ensure the predictability and
		proportionality of the data processing.
(a) the innovative interoperability solution		
is developed for safeguarding public interests in		
the area of a high level of efficiency and quality		
of public administration and public services;		
(b) the data processed is limited to what is		
necessary for the functioning of		
the interoperability solution to be developed or		
tested in the sandbox, and the		
functioning cannot be effectively achieved by		
processing anonymised, synthetic or other non-		
personal data;		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(c) there are effective monitoring mechanisms		
to identify if any high risks to the rights and		
freedoms of the data subjects, as referred to in		
Article 35(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and		
in Article 39 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725,		
may arise during the operation of the sandbox,		
as well as a response mechanism to promptly		
mitigate those risks and, where necessary, stop		
the processing;		
(d) any personal data to be processed are in a		
functionally separate, isolated and protected		
data processing environment under the control		
of the participants and only authorised persons		
have access to that data;		
(e) any personal data processed are not to be		
transmitted, transferred or otherwise accessed		
by other parties that are not participants in the		

Drafting Suggestions	Comments
	This subparagraph emphasises the application of
	the rights of the data subjects as provided in Art
	22 GDPR (in parallel to the provisions of this
	regulation) but misses out on putting the legal
	basis for the processing of personal data within
	regulatory sandboxes in context with the legal
	bases of Art 6 and 9 GDPR.
	Since the data processing is not foreseeable for
	the data subject here and takes place without his
	or her knowledge, the exercise of the data
	subject's rights is de facto excluded.
	Drafting Suggestions

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(g) any personal data processed are protected		It is unclear when or if a regulatory sandbox has
by means of appropriate technical and		to end at all, or whether it is not rather
organisational measures and deleted once the		imaginable to have a permanent facility for
participation in the sandbox has terminated or		testing/developing interoperability systems,
the personal data has reached the end of its		whose members change but who can use and
retention period;		add to the personal data sets in it over and over
		again without ever having to delete them?
(h) the logs of the processing of personal data		
are kept for the duration of the participation in		
the sandbox, and for a limited period after its		
termination solely for the purpose of and only as		
long as necessary for fulfilling accountability		
and documentation obligations under Union or		
Member States legislation unless provided		
otherwise by Union or National law;		
(i) a complete and detailed description of the		
process and rationale behind the training, testing		
and validation of the interoperability solution is		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
kept together with the testing results as part of		
the technical documentation and transmitted to		
the Interoperable Europe Board;		
(j) a short summary of the interoperability		
solution developed in the sandbox, its objectives		
and expected results are made available on the		
Interoperable Europe portal.		
6a. Paragraph 1 is without prejudice to		
Union or Member States laws laying down		
the basis for the processing of personal data		
which is necessary for the purpose of		
developing, testing and training of innovative		
interoperability solutions or any other legal		
basis, in compliance with Union law on the		
protection of personal data.		
7. The participating participants public		
sector bodies shall submit periodic reports and a		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
final report to the Interoperable Europe Board		
and the Commission on the results from the		
regulatory sandboxes, including good practices,		
lessons learnt and recommendations on their		
setup and, where relevant, on the		
development of this Regulation and other Union		
legislation supervised within the regulatory		
sandbox. The Interoperable Europe Board shall		
issue an opinion to the Commission on the		
outcome of the regulatory sandbox, specifying,		
where applicable, the actions needed to		
implement new interoperability solutions to		
promote the cross-border interoperability of		
network and information systems which are		
used to provide or manage public services to be		
delivered or managed electronically.		
8. The Commission shall ensure that		
information on the regulatory sandboxes is		
available on the Interoperable Europe portal.		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
9. The Commission is empowered to adopt		We see it quite critical that this paragraph
implementing acts to set out the detailed rules		confers a rather broad power to the Commission
and the conditions for the establishment and the		to adopt implementing acts setting out the
operation of the regulatory sandboxes, including		detailed rules and conditions for the
the eligibility criteria and the procedure for the		establishment and operation of regulatory
application for, selection of, participation in and		sandboxes. In our view, this type of legislation
exiting from the sandbox, and the rights and		does not leave a lot of scope for MS to help
obligations of the participants.		shape EU legislation.
10. Where a regulatory sandbox involves the		
use of artificial intelligence, the rules set out		
under Article 53 and 54 of the [proposal for a]		
Regulation of the European Parliament and of		
the Council laying down harmonised rules on		
artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence		
Act) and amending certain Union legislative		
acts shall prevail in case of conflict with the		
rules set out by the Regulation.		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Article 13		It can be assumed that public sector bodies
		means public bodies in the Member States. Do
		these bodies have to develop their own training
		materials or are these provided by the
		Commission (first sentence). Will the training
		materials be available only in English or also in
		other languages? How much effort does the
		Commission expect to be put into such training?
Training		
1. The Commission, assisted by the		
Interoperable Europe Board, shall provide		
training material on the use of the EIF and on		
Interoperable Europe solutions. Public sector		
bodies and institutions, bodies and agencies of		
the Union shall provide their staff entrusted with		
strategical or operational tasks having		
an impact on network and information systems		
in the Union with appropriate training		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
programmes concerning interoperability issues.		
2. The Commission shall organise training		<u> // </u>
courses on interoperability issues at Union level		
to enhance cooperation and the exchange of best		
practices between the staff of public sector		
bodies, institutions, bodies and agencies of the		
Union. The courses shall be announced on the		
Interoperable Europe portal.		
Article 14		
Peer reviews		
A <u>voluntary</u> mechanism for cooperation		For us, it is still generally unclear how a peer
between public sector bodies designed to		review is supposed to be helpful for
support them to implement Interoperable		interoperability solutions. Moreover, it is
Europe solutions in their network and		difficult to imagine how uninvolved Member
information systems and to help them perform		States and the costs incurred for suitable experts
the interoperability assessments referred to in		can be won over for a review that may be time-

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Article 3 ('peer review') shall be established.		consuming.  We welcome the clarification of the voluntary nature of the cooperation mechanism.  In any case, in order to not hinder innovation, it is important for the MS administrations that this kind of mechanism does not lead to further obligations.  We also believe there is a need for clarification in relation to who bears which costs within the framework of the mechanism.
2. The peer review shall be conducted by interoperability experts drawn from Member States other than the Member State where the public sector body undergoing the review is located. The Commission may, after consulting the Interoperable Europe Board, adopt guidelines on the methodology and content of the peer-review.		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
3. Any information obtained through a peer		
review shall be used solely for that purpose. The		C*/
experts participating in the peer review shall not		
disclose any sensitive or confidential		
information obtained in the course of that		
review to third parties. The Member State		
concerned shall ensure that any risk of conflict		
of interests concerning the designated experts is		
communicated to the other Member States		
and the Commission without undue delay.		
4. The experts conducting the peer		
review shall prepare and present within		
one month after the end of the peer review a		
report and submit it to the public sector body		
concerned and to the Interoperable Europe		
Board. The reports shall be published on the		
Interoperable Europe portal when authorised by		
the Member State where the public sector body		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
undergoing the review is located.		
Chapter 4		~ <i>//</i>
Governance of cross-border interoperability		
Article 15		It would be necessary to get an orientation about
		the effort for the participation in the board.
		What was the impact assessment based on?
		How many meetings per year or what intensity
		is planned? Such a target figure should also be
		included in the provision (see e.g. Art. 16 para
		5).
		We suggest to evaluate including voting
		modalities regarding proposals of the
		Interoperable Europe Board for interoperability
		solutions in this provision.
Interoperable Europe Board		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
1. The Interoperable Europe Board		
is established. It shall facilitate strategic		
cooperation and the exchange of information		
on cross-border interoperability of network and		
information systems which are used to provide		
or manage public services to be delivered or		
managed electronically in the Union.		
2. The Interoperable Europe Board shall be		
composed of:		
(a) one representative of each Member State;		
(b) one representative designated by each of		
the following:		
(i) the Commission;		
(ii) the Committee of the Regions;		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(iii) the European Economic and Social		
Committee.		
3. The Board shall be chaired by the		
Commission. Countries participating in the		
European Economic Area and candidate		
countries may be invited as observers. In		
addition, the Chair may give the status of		
observer to individuals and organisations after		
consultation with the Interoperable Europe		
Board. The Chair may invite to participate, on		
an ad hoc basis, experts with specific		
competence in a subject on the agenda. The		
Commission shall provide the secretariat of the		
Interoperable Europe Board.		
The members of the Interoperable Europe Board		
shall make every effort to adopt decisions by		
consensus. In the event of a vote, the outcome		
of the vote shall be decided by simple majority		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
of the component members. The members who		
have voted against or abstained shall have the		
right to have a document summarising the		
reasons for their position annexed to the		
opinions, recommendations or reports.		
4. The Interoperable Europe Board shall		We think it would be important to link the
have the following tasks:		monitoring to the DESI right away.
(a) support the implementation of national		
interoperability frameworks and other relevant		
national policies, strategies or guidelines;		
(b) adopt guidelines on the content of the		
interoperability assessment referred to in Article		
3(6);		
(c) propose measures to foster the share and		
reuse of interoperable solutions;		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(d) monitor the overall coherence of the		
developed or recommended interoperability		
solutions;		
(e) propose to the Commission measures to		
ensure, where appropriate, the compatibility of		
interoperability solutions with other		
interoperability solutions that share a common		
purpose, while supporting, where relevant, the		
complementarity with or transition to new		
technologies;		
(f) develop the EIF and update it, if		
necessary, and propose it to the Commission;		
(g) assess the alignment of the specialised		
interoperability frameworks with the EIF and		
answer the request of consultation from the		
Commission on those frameworks;		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(h) recommend Interoperable Europe		
solutions;		
(i) propose to the Commission to publish on	36	
the Interoperable Europe portal the		
interoperability solutions referred to in Article		
8(2), or to have them referred to on the portal;		
(j) propose to the Commission to set up		
policy implementation support		
projects and innovation measures and other		
measures that the Interoperable Europe		
Community may propose;		
(k) review reports from innovation measures,		
on the use of the regulatory sandbox and on the		
peer reviews and propose follow-up measures, if		
necessary;		
(l) propose measures to enhance		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
interoperability capabilities of public sector		
bodies, such as trainings;		
(m) adopt the Interoperable Europe Agenda;		
(n) provide advice to the Commission		
on the monitoring and reporting on the		
application of this Regulation;		
(o) propose measures to relevant		
standardisation organisations and bodies to		
contribute to European standardisation		
activities, in particular through the procedures		
set out in Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012;		
(p) propose measures to collaborate with		
international bodies that could contribute to the		
development of the cross-border		
interoperability, especially international		
communities on open source solutions, open		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
standards or specifications and other platforms		
without legal effects;		
(q) coordinate with the European Data	70	
Innovation Board, referred to in Regulation		
(EU) No 2022/686 on interoperability solutions		
for the common European Data Spaces, as well		
as with any other Union institution, body, or		
agency of the Union working on interoperability		
solutions relevant for the public sector;		
(r) inform regularly and coordinate with the		
interoperability coordinators and the		
Interoperable Europe Community on matters		
concerning cross-border interoperability of		
network and information systems.		
5. The Interoperable Europe Board may set		
up working groups to examine specific points		
related to the tasks of the Board. Working		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
groups shall involve members of the		
Interoperable Europe Community.		
6. The Interoperable Europe Board shall		
adopt its own rules of procedure.		
Article 16		
Interoperable Europe Community		
1. The Interoperable Europe Community is		
established. It shall contribute to the activities of		
the Interoperable Europe Board by providing		
expertise and advice.		
2. Public and private stakeholders residing or		The (important) inclusion of "private
having their registered office in a Member		stakeholders" in the Community for an
State may register on the Interoperable Europe		Interoperable Europe should be carefully
portal as a member of the Interoperable Europe		regulated, as this could otherwise become a
Community.		gateway for unbridled lobbying. Especially

Presidency 1st compromise text	<b>Drafting Suggestions</b>	Comments
		because members of the community also have
		influence in the board via working groups
		(according to Art 15 para 5 and Art 16 para 4 lit
		b).
3. After confirmation of the registration, the		
membership status shall be made public on the		
Interoperable Europe portal. Membership shall		
not be limited in time. It may however be		
revoked by the Interoperable Europe Board at		
any time for proportionate and justified reasons,		
especially if a person is no longer able to		
contribute to the Interoperable Europe		
Community or has abused its status as a member		
of the Community.		
4. The members of the Interoperable Europe		
Community may be invited to among other:		
(a) contribute to the content of the		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Interoperable Europe portal;		
(b) participate in the working groups;		~ <i>//</i>
(c) participate in the peer reviews.		
5. The Interoperable Europe Board shall		
organise once a year an online assembly of the		
Interoperable Europe Community.		
6. The Interoperable Europe Board shall		
adopt the code of conduct for the Interoperable		
Europe Community that shall be published on		
the Interoperable Europe portal.		
Article 17		The administrative burden in the MS must be
		kept as low as possible. The question arises as to
		whether a separate authority really needs to be
		set up or appointed for the tasks listed. Is this
		article necessary at all, or are these activities not

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		already the result of the tasks that the
		representative on the Interoperable Europe
		Board has to fulfil? In this respect, the added
		value of Art. 17 is questionable - it should rather
		be left to the MS to organise the implementation
		of these tasks at national level.
		In any case, we would like to have a further
		explanation by the Commission in more detail
		what expenses the MS will incur as a result.
National competent authorities		
1. By at the latest [the date of application		
of this Regulation], each Member State shall		
designate one or more competent authorities as		
responsible for the application of this		
Regulation. Member States may designate an		
existing authority to that effect.		
2. The competent authority shall have the		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
following tasks:		
(a) appoint a member to the Interoperable		C //
Europe Board;		
(b) coordinate within the Member State all		
questions related to this Regulation;		
(c) support public sector bodies within the		
Member State to set up or adapt their processes		
to do interoperability assessment referred to		
in Article 3;		
(d) foster the share and reuse of		
interoperability solutions through the		
Interoperable Europe portal or other relevant		
portal;		
(e) contribute with country-specific		
knowledge to the Interoperable Europe portal;		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(f) coordinate and encourage the active		
involvement of a diverse range of national		C*/
entities in the Interoperable Europe Community		
and their participation in policy implementation		
support projects as referred to in Article 9 and		
innovation measures referred to in Article 10;		
(g) support public sector bodies in the		
Member State to cooperate with the relevant		
public sector bodies in other Member States on		
topics covered by this Regulation.		
3. The Member States shall ensure that the		
competent authority has adequate competencies		
and resources to carry out, in an effective and		
efficient manner, the tasks assigned to it.		
4. The Member States shall set up the		
necessary cooperation structures between all		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
national authorities involved in the		
implementation of this Regulation.		
Those structures may build on existing		
mandates and processes in the field.		
5. Each Member State shall notify to the		
Commission, without undue delay, the		
designation of the competent authority, its tasks,		
and any subsequent change thereto, and inform		
the Commission of other national authorities		
involved in the oversight of the interoperability		
policy. Each Member State shall make public		
the designation of their competent authority.		
The Commission shall publish the list of the		
designated competent authorities.		
Article 18		
Interoperability coordinators for institutions,		
bodies and agencies of the Union		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
1. All institutions, bodies and agencies of the		
Union that provide or manage network		C*/
and information systems that enable public		
services to be delivered or managed		
electronically shall designate an interoperability		
coordinator under the oversight of its highest		
level of management to ensure the contribution		
to the implementation of this Regulation.		
2. The interoperability coordinator shall		
support the concerned departments to set up or		
adapt their processes to implement the		
interoperability assessment.		
Chapter 5		
Interoperable Europe planning and		
monitoring		
Article 19		The interaction of the "Agenda for an

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
		Interoperable Europe" with the other and
		overarching digitisation strategies of the Union
		(keyword "Digital Decade") still appears
		unclear. We would be grateful for a more
		detailed description of how the overall
		governance should look from the Commission's
		point of view.
Interoperable Europe Agenda		
1. After organising a public consultation		
process through the Interoperable Europe portal		
that involves, among others, the members of the		
Interoperable Europe Community and		
interoperability coordinators, the		
Interoperable Europe Board shall adopt each		
year a strategic agenda to plan and coordinate		
priorities for the development of cross-border		
interoperability of network and information		
systems which are used to provide or manage		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
public services to be delivered or managed		
electronically. ('Interoperable Europe Agenda').		
The Interoperable Europe Agenda shall take into		C1 //
account the Union's long-term strategies for		
digitalisation, existing Union funding		
programmes and ongoing Union policy		
implementation.		
2. The Interoperable Europe Agenda shall		
contain:		
(a) needs for the development of		
interoperability solutions;		
(b) a list of ongoing and planned		
Interoperable Europe support measures;		
(c) a list of proposed follow-up actions to		
innovation measures;		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
(d) identification of synergies with other		
relevant Union and national programmes and		
initiatives.		
3. The Interoperable Europe Agenda shall		
not constitute financial obligations. After its		
adoption, the Commission shall publish the		
Agenda on the Interoperable Europe portal.		
Article 20		We think it would be important to link the
		monitoring to the DESI right away.
Monitoring and evaluation		
The Commission shall monitor the		We emphasise the need to limit the
progress of the development of cross-border		administrative burden in relation to monitoring
interoperable public services to be delivered or		and, in this context, to create synergies with the
managed electronically in the Union. The		eGov Benchmark, DESI and the national
monitoring shall give priority to the reuse of		trajectories from the DDPP - the relationship
existing international, Union and national		with these instruments should be specifically

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
monitoring data and to automated data		addressed in the article.
collection. The Commission shall consult the		Still unclear is the possible contribution of
Interoperable Europe Board on the		"atuomated data collection"; in this context we
methodology and process of the monitoring.		hope for details (matrix, availability of data)
		from the JRC and in the framework of the
		expert group.
2. As regards topics of specific interest for		
the implementation of this Regulation, the		
Commission shall monitor:		
(a) the implementation of the EIF by the		
Member States;		
(b) the take-up of the		
interoperability solutions in different sectors <sub>₹</sub>		
and across the Member States, and at local		
level;		
(c) the development of open source solutions		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
for the public services, public sector innovation		
and the cooperation with GovTech actors in the		
field of cross-border interoperable public		
services to be delivered or managed		
electronically in the Union.		
3. Monitoring results shall be published by		
the Commission on the Interoperable Europe		
portal. Where feasible, they shall be published		
in a machine-readable format.		
4. By at the latest [three years after the		
date of application of this Regulation], and		
every four years thereafter, the Commission		
shall present to the European Parliament and to		
the Council a report on the application of this		
Regulation, which shall include conclusions of		
the evaluation. The report shall specifically		
assess the need for establishing mandatory		
interoperability solutions.		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
Chapter 6		
Final provisions		C*//
Article 21		
Costs		
1. Subject to the availability of funding, the		
general budget of the Union shall cover the costs of:		
(a) the development and maintenance of the		
Interoperable Europe portal;		
(b) the development, maintenance and		
promotion of Interoperable Europe solutions;		
(c) the Interoperable Europe support		

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
measures.		
2. These costs shall be met in compliance		C.//
with the applicable provisions of the relevant		
basic act.		
Article 22		
Atticle 22		
Entry into force		
This Regulation shall enter into force on the		
twentieth day following that of its publication in		
the Official Journal of the European Union.		
It shall apply from [3 months after the date of		Depending on the necessary preparation steps,
entry into force of this Regulation].		much more time might be needed. This heavily
		depends on the scope of the whole Act and e.g.
		on the scope of the interoperability assessments
		to be performed (see above "one for all"
		assessment by the Commission).

Presidency 1st compromise text	Drafting Suggestions	Comments
This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.		
Done at Brussels,		
For the European Parliament For the Council		
The President The President		
[]		
	End	End