

Interinstitutional files: 2023/0090 (COD)

Brussels, 19 April 2023

WK 5115/2023 INIT

LIMITE

MI ENT CODEC IA

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

WORKING DOCUMENT

From: To:	General Secretariat of the Council Delegations
N° Cion doc.:	ST 7992/23 + ADD 1-4
Subject:	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on the approval and market surveillance of non-road mobile machinery circulating on public roads and amending Regulation (EU) 2019/1020: Impact assessment - Indicative checklist

In view of the Working Party meeting on 25 April 2023 and in preparation of the presentation by the Commission of the impact assessment accompanying the Proposal for a NRMM Regulation, delegations will find attached an indicative checklist for the examination of the impact assessment (also available in doc. ST 6270/18 EXT 1).

This checklist is intended to help you prepare your views on the impact assessment as part of your consideration of the Commission's proposal. Please note that the checklist is not exhaustive and should be used in a flexible way. There is no requirement to formally complete the checklist.

Examination of Commission IAs in the Council

in the context of the consideration of Commission proposals

- Indicative Checklist for Working Party Chairs -

Title of proposal Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on the approval and market surveillance of non-road mobile machinery circulating on public roads and amending Regulation (EU) 2019/1020 Lead DG COMPET 1 1. Context of the IA
COUNCIL on the approval and market surveillance of non-road mobile machinery circulating on public roads and amending Regulation (EU) 2019/1020 Lead DG COMPET 1
1. Context of the IA
a) Is the IA carried out at the initiative of the Commission, the Council, or the European Parliament?
Commission Council Parliament
b) Is the policy context explained clearly?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
Comments:
c) Is the legal basis of the initiative clear and appropriate?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
Comments:

2.	Problem definition
a)	Are the existence, scale and consequences of the problem clearly demonstrated?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Is the analysis of the problem supported by evidence, including comments and studies submitted by Member States or stakeholders during consultations?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Is any gap in evidence acknowledged?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
3.	Methodology
	appropriate methodology applied? Are the methodological choices, limitations and rtainties clearly set out?
	es No Partly
Comments:	

4.	Policy objectives
a)	Does the IA set out clear policy objectives, including general aims and more specific/operational objectives?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Do the policy objectives correspond to the identified problems?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Are the policy objectives consistent with the broad EU policy strategies and the Strategic Agenda?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Are the objectives linked to measurable monitoring indicators?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:

5.	Subsidiarity & Proportionality
a)	Is the Union's competence clearly established?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Does the IA analyse whether the proposed action is consistent with the principle of subsidiarity, and are necessity and added value of EU action clearly demonstrated?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Does the IA analyse whether the proposed action is consistent with the principle of proportionality?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Does the IA take into account action already taken or planned at EU or MS level?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
Con	nments:

6.	Policy Options	
a)	Which of the following options does the IA identify to meet the objectives? (more than one answer is possible)	
	☐ No EU action ☐ Policy alternatives	
	☐ Alternatives to regulation ☐ Further harmonization	
	Comments:	
b)	Are the most affected public/stakeholders identified?	
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly	
	Comments:	
c)	Does the IA contain elements on how public and stakeholders consultations informed the policy options?	
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly	
	Comments:	
d)	Where relevant, are there reasons given for discarding options that were favoured during public and stakeholders consultations?	
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly	
	Comments:	

7.	Analysis of impacts
a)	Are the criteria used to determine the impact of the different policy options transparent?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Are the impacts of the different policy options set out in a comparable format?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Where appropriate, are both the short and long-term costs and benefits of the different policy options taken into consideration?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Are impacts on affected public and stakeholders clearly analysed, for each policy option, in particular for the selected option?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:

8. Specific aspects included in the IA	
Where applicable, indicate whether the impact has lead to qualitative and quantified terms, and whether the dappropriate.	
a) Economic impacts	
Impacts on competition	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
Impacts on consumers	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
Impacts on competitiveness	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	

Impacts on Small and Medium Enterprises, including micro-enterprises ¹		
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No	
If not, please elaborate:		
Administrative burdens and compliance costs, especially for bu	sinesses	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No	
If not, please elaborate:		
Digital aspects (including on the development of the Digital Single Market)		
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No	
If not, please elaborate:		
Futureproofing (degree to which proposal is future proof and innovation-friendly?)		
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No	
If not, please elaborate:		

Impact assessments should assess SME impacts, and should also analyse the case for allowing (a) exemptions for micro-enterprises with <10 employees and <€2 mio turnover or balance sheet, and (b) lighter regimes for SMEs. See http://ec.europa.eu/governance/impact/key_docs/docs/meg_guidelines.pdf.

b) Social impacts ²	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
c) Environmental impacts ³	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
d) Impacts on individual Member States, regional or	local authorities (territorial impacts)
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
9. Opinion of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board ⁴ (RS	SB) of the Commission
Are the comments and recommendations of the RSB considered in the IA report?	
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly	
Comments:	

e.g. impacts on employment and labour markets, social inclusion and protection of particular groups, public health and safety, etc.

See also Guidance for assessing Social Impacts within the Commission Impact Assessment system (http://ec.europa.eu/smart-regulation/impact/key docs/docs/guidance for assessing social impacts.pdf)

e.g. impacts on climate, air and water quality, use of the renewable or non-renewable resources, the likelihood or scale of environmental risks, use of energy etc.

Available by searching by Commission DG and date of publication at the following website http://ec.europa.eu/governance/impact/ia_carried_out/cia_2012_en.htm

10.	Monitoring, transposition, compliance
a)	Will the proposed indicators enable the intended effects to be measured?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Are those responsible for monitoring (and compliance) identified?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Are operational monitoring and evaluation arrangements proposed?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Does the IA address the impact of the proposed transposition deadline for MS?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
11.	Summary
Mai	n issues proposed for discussion during the WP meeting on the Commission's IA:
1.	
2.	
3.	
ϵ	etc.