

Brussels, 28 March 2022

WK 4538/2022 INIT

LIMITE

ENER IND
CLIMA COMPET
ENV RECH
AGRI RELEX
CODEC

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

#### **CONTRIBUTION**

From: To:	General Secretariat of the Council Working Party on Energy
Subject:	Comments from: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL on the Methane Regulation

Delegations will find in the annex the comments from: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL on the Methane Regulation

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
2021/0423 (COD) Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE	DK: (Comments): General remarks:
EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on methane emissions reduction in	Denmark would like to thank the Commisssion for a significant and comprehensive effort preparing the proposal for methane regulation of the oil, gas and coal sectors, with the objective of reducing methane emissions.
the energy sector and amending Regulation (EU) 2019/942 (Text with EEA relevance)	Denmark thanks the Presidency for the opportunity to provide comments to the proposal.  Denmark maintains a general scrutiny reservation and a parliamentary reservation on the proposal and reserves the right to return with further comments at a later stage.
	Denmark supports an ambitious, unambiguous and balanced EU regulation to reduce methane emissions and believes that the proposed regulation for the energy sector is a good starting point for this purpose.
	Denmark wants the proposed methane regulation to support ambitious and cost effective climate action within the EU and finds it important to ensure that the most cost effective actions to reduce emissions of greenhouse gasses will be of first priority. This also goes for the specific efforts to reduce methane emissions in the energy sector.
	In the light of the above, Denmark proposes that the Methane Regulation's rules on monitoring, reporting

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	and verification (MRV) of methane emissions should be harmonized with the MRV rules of the ETS
	Directive, in order to be able to integrate the Methane Regulation into the EU ETS mechanism in the future.
	RO:
	(Comments):
	The measures comprised by the current proposal for a Regulation are not adequately correlated with the
	energy transition targets assumed at national level, by means of the National Energy and Climate Plan and
	the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (i.e., too strict deadlines). For instance, Romania committed to
	phasing out hard coal and lignite power production by 2032 in its National Recovery and Resilience Plan.
	The measures detailed in this proposal for a Regulation entail the possibility of deepening the present socio-economic consequences and regional disparities.
	AT:
	(Comments):
	<ul> <li>AT should like to reserve the right to make further comments and additions in the course of the negotiations. The following remarks are prelimininary.</li> <li>The efforts of the European Commission to reduce methane emissions in the energy sector within the EU, but also at the global level, and thus also the present legislative project are expressly supported by AT.</li> </ul>
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	REGULATION DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL
	HU:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	(Comments):
	We propose to change the type of proposal to a Directive in order to create a framework type legislation and
	enable national level implementation to the extent necessary and justified. In our view the difference in
	Member States with regard to methane emmission sources is so diverse, that general application of uniform
	rules will not serve the right purpose.
THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT	
AND THE COUNCIL OF THE	
EUROPEAN UNION,	
Having regard to the Treaty on the	HU:
Functioning of the European	(Drafting):
Union, and in particular Article	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 194(2) 191
194(2) thereof,	thereof
	HU:
	(Comments):
	In our view the legal bases is not proper. We propose a different reference.

Deadline: 23 March

## COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

G	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
Having regard to the proposal from	
the European Commission,	
After transmission of the draft	
legislative act to the national	
parliaments,	
Having regard to the opinion of the	
European Economic and Social	
Committee <sup>1</sup> ,	
Having regard to the opinion of the	
Committee of the Regions <sup>2</sup> ,	
Acting in accordance with the	
ordinary legislative procedure,	

OJ C , , p. . OJ C , , p. .

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
Whereas:	
(1) Methane, the main	
component of natural gas, is	
second only to carbon dioxide in its	
overall contribution to climate	
change and is responsible for	
approximately a third of current	
warming.	
(2) On a molecular level,	DE.
although methane remains in the	BE:
atmosphere for a shorter period (10	(Drafting):
to 12 years) than carbon dioxide	(2) On a molecular level, a A lthough methane remains in the atmosphere for a shorter period has a
• ,	shorter average atmospheric residence time (10 to 12 years) than carbon dioxide (hundreds of years), its
(hundreds of years), its greenhouse	greenhouse effect on the climate is more significant and it contributes to ozone formation which is a potent
effect on the climate is more	air pollutant that causes serious health problems. The amount of methane in the atmosphere globally has
significant and it contributes to	risen sharply over the last decade.
ozone formation which is a potent	
air pollutant that causes serious	BE:
health problems. The amount of	(Comments):
r r	Adjusted for scientific correctness of average atmospheric residence time and greenhouse effect per unit of

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
methane in the atmosphere globally	gas.
has risen sharply over the last	
decade.	
(3) According to recent	
estimates by the United Nations	
Environment Programme and the	
Climate and Clean Air Coalition,	
methane emission reductions of	
45% by 2030, based on available	
targeted measures and additional	
measures in line with the United	
Nations ('UN') priority	
development goals, could avoid	
0.3°C of global warming by 2045.	
(4) According to the Union's	IE:
greenhouse gas ('GHG')	(Comments):
inventories data, the energy sector	
is estimated to be responsible for	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
19% of methane emissions within the Union. This does not include methane emissions linked to the	
Union's fossil energy consumption which are occurring outside the Union.	
(5) The European Green Deal combines a comprehensive set of mutually reinforcing measures and initiatives aimed at achieving climate neutrality in the Union by 2050. The European Green Deal Communication <sup>3</sup> indicates that the decarbonisation of the gas sector will be facilitated, including by addressing the issue of energy-related methane emissions. The Commission adopted an EU	NL: (Drafting):  To achieve that level of GHG emission reductions, methane emissions from the energy sector should decrease by around 58% by 2030 compared to 2020.  NL: (Comments):  No justification is given for this figure, nor do we know what it is based on. A 58% reduction would mean that the EU energy sector would have to reduce much more than other sectors to contribute to, for example, the Global Methane Pledge of 30% methane emissions reduction by 2030 compared to 2020.  HU: (Drafting):  To achieve that level of GHG emission reductions, methane emissions from the energy sector should

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> COM(2019) 640 final.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
strategy to reduce methane emissions ('the Methane Strategy') in October 2020 setting out measures to cut methane emissions in the EU, including in the energy sector, and internationally. In Regulation (EU) 2021/1119 <sup>4</sup> ('European Climate Law'), the Union has enshrined into legislation the target of economy- wide climate neutrality by 2050 and also established a binding Union domestic reduction commitment of net greenhouse gas	
emissions (emissions after deduction of removals) of at least 55% below 1990 levels by 2030.  To achieve that level of GHG	

Regulation (EU) 2021/1119 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 June 2021 establishing the framework for achieving climate neutrality and amending Regulations (EC) No 401/2009 and (EU) 2018/1999 ('European Climate Law') (OJ L 243, 9.7.2021).

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
emission reductions, methane	
emissions from the energy sector	
should decrease by around 58% by	
2030 compared to 2020.	
(6) Methane emissions are	
included in the scope of the Union	
greenhouse gas reduction targets	
for 2030 set out in the European	
Climate Law and the binding	
national emission reduction targets	
under Regulation (EU) 2018/842 <sup>5</sup> .	
However, there is currently no	
Union level legal framework	
setting out specific measures for	
the reduction of anthropogenic	
methane emissions in the energy	

Regulation (EU) 2018/842 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on binding annual greenhouse gas emission reductions by Member States from 2021 to 2030 contributing to climate action to meet commitments under the Paris Agreement and amending Regulation (EU) No 525/2013 (OJ L 156, 19.6.2018).

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
sector. In addition, whilst Directive 2010/75 <sup>6</sup> on industrial emissions covers methane emissions from the refining of mineral oil and gas, it does not cover other activities in the energy sector.	
(7) In this context, this Regulation should apply to the reduction of methane emissions in oil and fossil gas upstream exploration and production, fossil gas gathering and processing, gas transmission, distribution, underground storage and liquid fossil gas (LNG) terminals, as well as to operating underground and surface coalmines, closed and	DE: (Drafting): In this context, this Regulation should apply to the reduction of methane emissions in oil and fossil gas upstream exploration and oil and gas production, fossil gas and oil gathering and processing, gas and oil transmission, distribution, underground storage and liquid liquefied fossil gas (e.g. LNG) terminals, as well as to operating underground and surface coalmines, closed and abandoned underground coal mines.  DE: (Comments): Since methane emissions also have a greenhouse effect in the case of biogenic methane, these should also be taken into account.

Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 on industrial emissions (integrated pollution prevention and control) (OJ L 334, 17.12.2010).

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
abandoned underground coal	
mines.	
(8) Rules for accurate	DE:
measurement, reporting and	(Drafting):
verification of methane emissions	Rules for accurate measurement, reporting and verification of methane emissions in the oil, gas and coal
in the oil, gas and coal sectors, as	sectors, as well as for the abatement of those emissions, including through leak detection and repair surveys
well as for the abatement of those	and restrictions on venting and flaring, should be addressed by an appropriate Union legal framework. Such
emissions, including through leak	a framework should contain rules to enhance transparency with regard to fossil energy imports into the
detection and repair surveys and	Union, thus improving the incentives for a wider uptake of methane mitigation solutions across the globe.
restrictions on venting and flaring,	DE:
should be addressed by an	(Comments):
appropriate Union legal	See above
framework. Such a framework	
should contain rules to enhance	
transparency with regard to fossil	
energy imports into the Union, thus	
improving the incentives for a	
wider uptake of methane mitigation	
solutions across the globe.	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
(9) Compliance with the	
obligations under this Regulation is	
likely to require investments by	
regulated operators and the costs	
associated with such investments	
should be taken into account in	
tariff setting, subject to efficiency	
principles.	
(10) Each Member State should	
appoint at least one competent	
authority to oversee that operators	
effectively comply with the	
obligations laid down in this	
Regulation and should notify the	
Commission about such	
appointment and any changes	
thereof. The competent authorities	
appointed should take all the	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
necessary measures to ensure	Comments
compliance with the requirements	
set out in this Regulation. Taking	
into account the cross-border	
character of energy sector	
operations and methane emissions,	
competent authorities should	
cooperate with each other and the	
Commission. In this context, the	
Commission and the competent	
authorities of the Member States	
should form together a network of	
public authorities applying this	
Regulation to foster close	
cooperation, with the necessary	
arrangements for exchanging	
information and best practices and	
allow for consultations.	
(11) In order to ensure a smooth	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Deadline: 23 March

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
and effective implementation of the	Comments
obligations laid down in this	
Regulation, the Commission	
supports Member States through	
the Technical Support Instrument <sup>7</sup>	
providing tailor-made technical	
expertise to design and implement	
reforms, including those promoting	
the reduction of methane emissions	
in the energy sector. The technical	
of relevant best practices.	
-	
(12) In order to ensure the	
performance of their tasks,	
operators should provide the	
in the energy sector. The technical support, for example, involves strengthening of administrative capacity, harmonising the legislative frameworks and sharing of relevant best practices.  (12) In order to ensure the performance of their tasks,	

\_\_

Regulation (EU) 2021/240 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 10 February 2021 establishing a Technical Support Instrument (OJ L 57, 18.2.2021).

## COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
The state of the s	Comments
competent authorities with all	
assistance necessary. In addition,	
operators should take all the	
necessary actions identified by the	
competent authorities within the	
period determined by the	
competent authorities or any other	
period agreed with the competent	
authorities.	
(13) The main mechanism	
available to the competent	
authorities should be inspections,	
including examination of	
documentation and records,	
emissions measurements and site	
checks. Inspections should take	
place regularly, on the basis of an	
appraisal of the environmental risk	
conducted by the competent	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
authorities. In addition, inspections	
should be carried out to investigate	
substantiated complaints and	
occurrences of non-compliance and	
to ensure that repairs or	
replacements of components are	
carried out in accordance with this	
Regulation. Where they identify a	
serious breach of the requirements	
of this Regulation, competent	
authorities should issue a notice of	
remedial actions to be taken by the	
operator. Competent authorities	
should keep records of the	
inspections and the relevant	
information should be made	
available in accordance with	
Directive 2003/4/EC of the	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
European Parliament and of the	
Council <sup>8</sup> .	
(14) In light of the proximity of	NL:
some methane emission sources to	(Drafting):
urban or residential areas, natural	(14) In light of the proximity of some methane emission sources to urban or residential areas, natural or
or legal persons harmed by	legal persons harmed by breaches of this Regulation should be able to lodge duly substantiated complaints
breaches of this Regulation should	with the competent authorities. Complainants should be kept informed of the procedure and decisions taken
be able to lodge duly substantiated	and should receive a final decision within a reasonable time of lodging the complaint.
complaints with the competent	NL:
authorities. Complainants should	(Comments):
be kept informed of the procedure	It is unclear on what basis such persons could be harmed by methane emissions. After all, the effect is, by
and decisions taken and should	definition, global and not local.
receive a final decision within a	HU:
reasonable time of lodging the	(Drafting):
complaint.	14) In light of the proximity of some methane emission sources to urban or residential areas, natural or
	legal persons harmed by breaches of this Regulation should be able to lodge duly substantiated complaints
	with the competent authorities. Complainants should be kept informed of the procedure and decisions taken

Directive 2003/4/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 January 2003 on public access to environmental information and repealing Council Directive 90/313/EEC (OJ L 41, 14.2.2003).

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(15) A robust verification	and should receive a final decision within a reasonable time of lodging the complaint.  HU:  (Comments):  HU supports NL proposal.  It is unclear on what basis such persons could be harmed by methane emissions.
framework can improve the credibility of reported data. In addition, the level of detail and technical complexity of methane emissions measurements requires proper verification of methane emissions data reported by operators and mine operators.  While self-verification is possible,	DE: (Drafting):  While self-verification is possible, Therefore, third party verification ensures greater independence and transparency than self-verification.  DE: (Comments): Textual modification to avoid misunderstanding that self-verification is possible under the regulation.  NL: (Drafting): (15) A robust verification framework can improve the credibility of reported data. In addition, the level
third party verification ensures greater independence and transparency. In addition, it allows for a harmonized set of	of detail and technical complexity of methane emissions measurements requires proper verification of methane emissions data reported by operators and mine operators. National competent authoritities should check the data deliverd by operators and mine operators, and can do this while using a risk-based approach

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Deadline: 23 March

# **Commission proposal** competences and level of expertise that may not be available to all public entities. Verifiers should be accredited by accreditation bodies in accordance with Regulation (EC) 765/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>9</sup>. Independent accredited verifiers should thus ensure that emissions reports prepared by operators and mine operators are correct and in compliance with the requirements set out in this Regulation. They should review the data in the emissions reports to assess their reliability, credibility and accuracy against free and publicly available

# Drafting Suggestions Comments

#### and random checks.

While self-verification is possible, third party verification ensures greater independence and transparency. In addition, it allows for a harmonized set of competences and level of expertise that may not be available to all public entities. Verifiers should be accredited by accreditation bodies in accordance with Regulation (EC) 765/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>10</sup>. Independent accredited verifiers should thus ensure that emissions reports prepared by operators and mine operators are correct and in compliance with the requirements set out in this Regulation. They should review the data in the emissions reports to assess their reliability, credibility and accuracy against free and publicly available European or international standards developed by independent bodies and made applicable by the Commission. The Commission should thus be empowered to adopt delegated acts for the purpose of incorporating and setting out the applicability of such European or international standards. Verifiers are separate from competent authorities and should be independent from the operators and mine operators, who should provide them with all assistance necessary to enable or facilitate the performance of the verification activities, notably as regards access to the premises and the presentation of documentation or records.

NL:

(Comments):

Under this Regulation, verifiers are designated to be responsible for reviewing emission reports, including

Regulation (EC) No 765/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 July 2008 setting out the requirements for accreditation and market surveillance relating to the marketing of products and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 339/93 (OJ L 218, 13.8.2008).

Regulation (EC) No 765/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 July 2008 setting out the requirements for accreditation and market surveillance relating to the marketing of products and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 339/93 (OJ L 218, 13.8.2008).

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission avanosal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
European or international standards	the data sources and methodologies used.
developed by independent bodies	
and made applicable by the	Under other EU emissions legislation, such as the e-PRTR and the IED, there is no verifier and this task
Commission. The Commission	falls to the national regulator. It is unclear why a verifier is considered necessary under this regulation. In
should thus be empowered to adopt	NL inspections are undertaken by the CA on a risk based approach in order to check whether the reported
delegated acts for the purpose of	data are correct-
incorporating and setting out the	
applicability of such European or	The regulation is based on OGMP 2.0, where no verifier is used either
international standards. Verifiers	HU:
are separate from competent	(Drafting):
authorities and should be	(15) A robust verification framework can improve the credibility of reported data. In addition, the level
independent from the operators and	of detail and technical complexity of methane emissions measurements requires proper verification of
mine operators, who should	methane emissions data reported by operators and mine operators. National competent authoritities should
provide them with all assistance	check the data deliverd by operators and mine operators, and can do this while using a risk-based approach
necessary to enable or facilitate the	and random checks.
performance of the verification	While self-verification is possible, third party verification ensures greater independence and transparency.
activities, notably as regards access	In addition, it allows for a harmonized set of competences and level of expertise that may not be available to
to the premises and the	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Deadline: 23 March

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
presentation of documentation or	all public entities. Verifiers should be accredited by accreditation bodies in accordance with Regulation
records.	(EC) 765/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>11</sup> . Independent accredited verifiers should
	thus ensure that emissions reports prepared by operators and mine operators are correct and in compliance
	with the requirements set out in this Regulation. They should review the data in the emissions reports to
	assess their reliability, credibility and accuracy against free and publicly available European or international
	standards developed by independent bodies and made applicable by the Commission. The Commission
	should thus be empowered to adopt delegated acts for the purpose of incorporating and setting out the
	applicability of such European or international standards. Verifiers are separate from competent authorities
	and should be independent from the operators and mine operators, who should provide them with all
	assistance necessary to enable or facilitate the performance of the verification activities, notably as regards
	access to the premises and the presentation of documentation or records.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports NL reasoning.
	Under this Regulation, verifiers are designated to be responsible for reviewing emission reports, including
	the data sources and methodologies used.
	Under other EU emissions legislation, such as the e-PRTR and the IED, there is no verifier and this task

11 Regulation (EC) No 765/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 July 2008 setting out the requirements for accreditation and market surveillance relating to the marketing of products and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 339/93 (OJ L 218, 13.8.2008).

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(16) The information in the emission reports submitted to the competent authorities should be provided to the Commission in view of a verification role to be attributed to the International Methane Emissions Observatory	falls to the national regulator. It is unclear why a verifier is considered necessary under this regulation. In NL inspections are undertaken by the CA on a risk based approach in order to check whether the reported data are correct.  The regulation is based on OGMP 2.0, where no verifier is used either  NL:  (Comments):  The verification role for the IMEO is confusing. How does this relate to the role of the competent authority and the verifier? And how is the role of the commission here? What happens after addressing the shortcomings? Penalties? Very unclear.
(IMEO), in particular with regards to methodologies for data aggregation and analysis and verification of methodologies and statistical processes employed by companies to quantify their emissions reported data. The	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
reference criteria in that respect	
may include the OGMP standards	
and guidance documents. The	
information produced by the IMEO	
should be made available to the	
public and the Commission should	
use such information to address	
any identified shortcomings with	
regards to the measurement,	
reporting and verification of	
methane emissions data.	
(17) The IMEO was set up in	
October 2020 by the Union in	
partnership with the United	
Nations Environmental	
Programme, the Climate and Clean	
Air Coalition and the International	
Energy Agency, and launched at	
the G20 Summit in October 2021.	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
The IMEO has been tasked with	
collecting, reconciling, verifying	
and publishing anthropogenic	
methane emissions data at a global	
level. The IMEO is part of the	
United Nations Environment	
Programme, which concluded a	
Memorandum of Understanding	
with the European Union. Its role is	
crucial for verification of methane	
emissions data in the energy sector	
and appropriate relations should be	
established in order to put into	
effect the entrustment of	
verification tasks. As the IMEO is	
not a Union body and is not subject	
o Union law, it is essential to	
provide that IMEO takes	
appropriate measures to ensure the	
protection of the interests of the	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
Union and its Member States.	
(18) As party to the United	
Nations Framework Convention on	
Climate Change (UNFCCC) and	
the Paris Agreement, the Union is	
required to provide annually an	
inventory report of anthropogenic	
greenhouse gas emissions	
constituting an aggregate of the	
member States national greenhouse	
gas inventories, prepared using	
good practice methodologies	
accepted by the Intergovernmental	
Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).	
(19) Regulation (EU) 2018/1999	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Deadline: 23 March

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
of the European Parliament and of	
the Council <sup>12</sup> requires Member	
States to report greenhouse gas	
inventory data to the Commission	
and to report their national	
projections. Pursuant to Article	
17(2) of Regulation (EU)	
2018/1999 reporting is to be	
undertaken using UNFCCC	
reporting guidelines, and is often	
based on default emission factors	
rather than direct source-level	
measurements, implying	
uncertainties on the origin,	
frequency and magnitude of	
emissions.	

. .

Regulation (EU) 2018/1999 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2018 on the Governance of the Energy Union and Climate Action, amending Regulations (EC) No 663/2009 and (EC) No 715/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council, Directives 94/22/EC, 98/70/EC, 2009/31/EC, 2009/73/EC, 2010/31/EU, 2012/27/EU and 2013/30/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council, Council Directives 2009/119/EC and (EU) 2015/652 and repealing Regulation (EU) No 525/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 328, 21.12.2018, p. 1).

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
(20) Country data reported	
pursuant to UNFCCC reporting	
provisions is submitted to the	
UNFCCC secretariat according to	
different tiers of reporting in line	
with the IPCC guidelines. In this	
context, the IPCC generally	
suggests using higher tier methods	
for those emission sources which	
have a significant influence on a	
country's total inventory of	
greenhouse gases in terms of	
absolute level, trend or uncertainty.	
(21) A tier represents a level of	
methodological complexity. Three	
tiers are available. Tier 1 methods	
typically use IPCC default	
emission factors and require the	
most basic, and least	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
lisaggregated, activity data. Higher	
iers usually utilise more elaborate	
methods and source-specific,	
echnology-specific, region-	· ·
pecific or country-specific	
nission factors, which are often	
ased on measurements, and	
rmally require more highly	
saggregated activity data.	
ecifically, tier 2 requires	
untry-specific, instead of default,	
mission factors to be used, while	
er 3 requires plant-by-plant data	
measurements and comprises the	
plication of a rigorous bottom-up	
sessment by source type at the	
dividual facility level.	
ogressing from tier 1 to tier 3	
presents an increase in the	
ertainty of measurements of	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Su
Commission proposar	Commer
methane-related emissions <sup>13</sup> .	
(22) Member States have	
different practices as concerns the	
tier level at which they report their	
energy related methane emissions	
to the UNFCCC. Reporting at tier 2	
for large emission sources is in line	
with IPCC reporting guidelines as	
tier 2 is considered a higher tier	
method. Consequently, estimation	
methodologies and reporting of	
energy related methane emissions	
varies across Member States, and	
reporting at the lowest, tier 1, level	
is still very common in several	
Member States for methane	
emissions from coal, gas and oil.	

<sup>13</sup> IPCC (2019) 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022 **Drafting Suggestions** Comments

Deadline: 23 March

**Commission proposal** Currently, voluntary (23)industry-led initiatives remain the principal course of action for methane emissions quantification and mitigation in many countries. A key energy sector led initiative is the Oil and Gas Methane Partnership ('OGMP'), a voluntary initiative on measuring and reporting of methane emissions created in 2014 by the United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP) and the Climate and Clean Air Coalition (CCAC), in whose board the Commission is represented. The OGMP focuses on establishing best-practices to improve the availability of global information on methane emissions quantification and management and

## NL:

(Drafting):

Currently, voluntary industry-led initiatives remain the principal course of action for methane emissions quantification and mitigation in many countries. A key energy sector led initiative is the Oil and Gas Methane Partnership ('OGMP'), a voluntary initiative on measuring and reporting of methane emissions created in 2014 by the United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP) and the Climate and Clean Air Coalition (CCAC), in whose board the Commission is represented. The OGMP focuses on establishing best-practices to improve the availability of global information on methane emissions quantification and management and to drive mitigation actions to reduce methane emissions. To date, over 60 companies have signed up to OGMP, covering 30% of global oil and gas production and assets in five continents. The OGMP's work on developing standards and methodologies involves governments, civil society and business. The OGMP 2.0 framework is the latest iteration of a dynamic methane emissions standard and it can provide a suitable basis for methane emissions standards for the upstream oil- and gas sector, based on sound scientific norms. For (underground) gas distribution and transport networks, a different methodology is necessary.

#### NL:

(Comments):

The way OGMP 2.0 is used in this regulation is a suitable basis for upstream oil and gas, but not feasible for underground gas distribution and transport networks.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
to drive mitigation actions to	
reduce methane emissions. To date,	
over 60 companies have signed up	
to OGMP, covering 30% of global	
oil and gas production and assets in	
five continents. The OGMP's work	
on developing standards and	
methodologies involves	
governments, civil society and	
business. The OGMP 2.0	
framework is the latest iteration of	
a dynamic methane emissions	
standard and it can provide a	
suitable basis for methane	
emissions standards, based on	
sound scientific norms.	
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	Methane emissions quantification and reporting for gas infrastructure shall be conducted according to
	appropriate and widely accepted and approved guidelines in particular the OGMP 2.0 technical guides and

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE	DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022	
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments	
	approved guidelines in particular the OGMP 2.0 technical guides and principles	
	NL:	
	(Comments):	
	A reference to the OGMP2.0 contributes to uniformity and efficiency. The OGMP2.0 ensures a high	
	standard.	
(24) Against this background, it		
is necessary to improve the		
measurement and quality of		
reported data of methane		
emissions, including on the main		
sources of methane emissions		
associated with energy produced		
and consumed within the Union.		
Moreover, the availability of		
source-level data and robust		
quantification of emissions should		
be ensured, thereby increasing the		
reliability of reporting as well as		
the scope for appropriate measures		
for mitigation.		

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments	
(25) For measuring and		
reporting to be effective, oil and		
gas companies should be required		
to measure and report methane		
emissions by source, and to make		
aggregated data available to		
Member States in order for		
Member States to be able to		
improve the accuracy of their		
inventories reporting. In addition,		
effective verification of company		
reported data is necessary and, to		
minimise the administrative burden		
for operators, reporting should be		
organised on an annual basis.		
(26) This Regulation builds on	NL:	
the OGMP 2.0 framework insofar	(Comments):	
as it meets the criteria referred to in	The proposed M&R obligations deviate significantly from OGMP 2.0. The terms measurement and	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

#### **Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal** Comments Recitals 24 and 25, to contribute quantification are used interchangeably. More importantly, OGMP 2.0 leaves room for choices in towards the collection of reliable measurement efforts, based on risk/size of methane emissions from various types of sources. The EU and robust data that would form a Regulation would apply the strictest imaginable regime of M&R to all types of sources. So for satellites sufficient basis for monitoring with minimal methane emissions the same regime as for central treatment sites where the emission risk methane emissions and if necessary profile is higher. This issue also rises with plugged wells. See relevant article for explanation about the NL to build additional action to further situation. curb methane emissions. The OGMP 2.0 framework (27)NL: has five levels of reporting. (Drafting): Source-level reporting begins at The OGMP 2.0 framework has five levels of reporting. Source-level reporting begins at level 3, level 3, which is considered which is considered comparable with UNFCCC tier 3. It allows generic emission factors to be used. OGMP comparable with UNFCCC tier 3. 2.0 level 4 reporting requires direct measurements of source-level methane emissions. It allows the use of It allows generic emission factors specific emission factors. OGMP 2.0 level 5 reporting requires the addition of complementary site-level to be used. OGMP 2.0 level 4 measurements. In addition, the OGMP 2.0 framework requires companies to report direct measurements of reporting requires direct methane emissions within three years of joining OGMP 2.0 for operated assets and within five years for measurements of source-level non-operated assets. Building on the approach taken in OGMP 2.0 with regard to source-level reporting methane emissions. It allows the and taking into account that a large number of Union companies had already signed up to OGMP 2.0 in use of specific emission factors. 2021, Union operators should be required to deliver direct source-level measurements of their emissions OGMP 2.0 level 5 reporting within 24 months for operated assets and within 36 months for non-operating assets. In addition to source

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

#### **Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal** Comments requires the addition of level quantification, site-level quantification allows assessment, verification and reconciliation of sourcelevel estimates aggregated by site, thereby providing improved confidence in reported emissions. As in complementary site-level OGMP 2.0, this Regulation requires site-level measurements to reconcile source-level measurements. measurements. In addition, the OGMP 2.0 framework requires NL: companies to report direct (Comments): measurements of methane At the moment and in the near future, the top-down technique for site-level measurement is not yet sufficiently developed (quality not yet sufficient) to ensure sound measurements. Therefore, more time is emissions within three years of needed than the requirement to compare bottom-up measurements with top-down (site-level) measurements joining OGMP 2.0 for operated 4 years after entry into force of the regulation (and every year thereafter). assets and within five years for HU: non-operated assets. Building on (Drafting): the approach taken in OGMP 2.0 The OGMP 2.0 framework has five levels of reporting. Source-level reporting begins at level 3, with regard to source-level which is considered comparable with UNFCCC tier 3. It allows generic emission factors to be used. OGMP reporting and taking into account 2.0 level 4 reporting requires direct measurements of source-level methane emissions. It allows the use of that a large number of Union specific emission factors. OGMP 2.0 level 5 reporting requires the addition of complementary site-level companies had already signed up to measurements. In addition, the OGMP 2.0 framework requires companies to report direct measurements of OGMP 2.0 in 2021, Union methane emissions within three years of joining OGMP 2.0 for operated assets and within five years for operators should be required to non-operated assets. Building on the approach taken in OGMP 2.0 with regard to source-level reporting deliver direct source-level and taking into account that a large number of Union companies had already signed up to OGMP 2.0 in measurements of their emissions 2021, Union operators should be required to deliver direct source-level measurements of their emissions within 24 months for operated within 24 months for operated assets and within 36 months for non-operating assets. In addition to source

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
assets and within 36 months for	level quantification, site-level quantification allows assessment, verification and reconciliation of source-
non-operating assets. In addition to	level estimates aggregated by site, thereby providing improved confidence in reported emissions. As in
source level quantification, site-	OGMP 2.0, this Regulation requires site-level measurements to reconcile source-level measurements.
level quantification allows	HU:
assessment, verification and	(Comments):
reconciliation of source-level	HU supports NL proposal and reasoning.
estimates aggregated by site,	At the moment and in the near future, the top-down technique for site-level measurement is not yet
thereby providing improved	sufficiently developed (quality not yet sufficient) to ensure sound measurements. Therefore, more time is
confidence in reported emissions.	needed than the requirement to compare bottom-up measurements with top-down (site-level) measurements
As in OGMP 2.0, this Regulation	4 years after entry into force of the regulation (and every year thereafter).
requires site-level measurements to	
reconcile source-level	
measurements.	
(28) According to data from the	
Union's GHG inventory, more than	
half of all direct energy sector	
methane emissions is due to	
unintentional release of emissions	
into the atmosphere. In the case of	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
oil and gas, that represents the	
largest share of methane emissions.	
(29) Unintentional leaks of	
methane into the atmosphere can	
occur during drilling, extraction as	
well as during processing, storage,	
transmission and distribution to	
end-use consumers. They can also	
occur in inactive oil or gas wells.	
Some emissions result from	
imperfections in, or ordinary wear	
and tear of, technical components	
such as joints, flanges and valves,	
or from damaged components, for	
example in the case of accidents.	
Corrosion or damage can also	
cause leaks from the walls of	
pressurised equipment.	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
(30) While venting of methane	IE:
is typically intentional, resulting	(Comments):
from processes or activities and	These proposals (recitals 30 - 39) appear reasonable but will have operational impacts on IE's TSO (GNI).
devices designed for that purpose,	For example, GNI does vent gas from its compressors located in Scotland i.e. outside the EU. It would be
it can also be unintentional, as in	important to understand what practical implications the proposed new measures would have on these assets.
the case of a malfunction.	
(31) In order to reduce those	
emissions, operators should take all	
measures available to them to	
minimise methane emissions in	
their operations.	
(32) More specifically, methane	
emissions from leaks are most	
commonly reduced by methane	
leak detection and repair ('LDAR')	
surveys, carried out to identify	
leaks and followed by repair of	
such leaks. Operators should	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
therefore conduct at least periodic  LDAR surveys and these should	
also cover surveying of components that vent methane, to	
survey for unintentional venting of methane.	
methane.	
(33) For that purpose, a harmonised approach to ensure a	RO: (Comments):
level-playing field for all operators in the Union should be set up. That	In order to accelerate the digitalization of the oil and gas industry, in order to reduce methane emissions, targeted support measures provided by the European Commission are needed at the level of the Member
approach should include minimum requirements for LDAR surveys,	States to advance the technological development of their measurement and reporting systems and to integrate the necessary measures with those which are economically feasible.
while leaving an adequate degree of flexibility to Member States and	NL:
operators. This is essential to allow innovation and the development of	(Comments):  The flexibility described in this recital is not reflected in the articles themselves, especially Article 14. The
new LDAR technologies and methods, thus preventing the lock-	Commission proposal contains rules for the strict application of measures. This severely limits the possibilities to choose the most efficient measures - in line with the prescribed frameworks. This deviates
in of technology, to the detriment	from current practice in the Netherlands.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
of environmental protection. New technologies and detection methods continue to emerge and Member States should encourage innovation in this sector, so that the most accurate and cost-effective methods can be adopted.	
(34) Obligations on LDAR surveys should reflect a number of good practices. LDAR surveys should be primarily aimed at finding and fixing leaks, rather than quantifying them, and those areas with a higher risk of leaks should be checked more frequently; the frequency of surveys should be guided not only by the need to repair components from which methane is escaping	NL: (Drafting):  NL: (Comments):  "and those areas with a higher risk of leaks should be checked more frequenty"- how come this is not reflected in the LDAR articles, where surveys have to be executed every 3 months regardless of their risks.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
above the methane emission	
threshold but also by operational	
considerations, taking into account	
risks to safety. Thus, where a	
higher risk to safety or higher risk	
of methane losses is identified, the	
competent authorities should be	
allowed to recommend a higher	
frequency of surveys for the	
relevant components; all leaks	
irrespective of size should be	
recorded and monitored, as small	
leaks can develop into larger ones;	
leak repairs should be followed by	
confirmation that they have been	
effective; in order to allow for	
future, more advanced methane	
emissions detecting technologies to	
be used, the size of methane loss at	
or above which a repair is	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
warranted should be specified, while allowing operators the choice of detection device. Where appropriate, continuous monitoring may be used in the context of this Regulation.	
(35) Venting consists of the release of uncombusted methane into the atmosphere either intentionally from processes or activities or devices designed to do it, or unintentionally in the case of a malfunction. In light of its potent GHG emission effect, venting should be banned except in the case of emergencies, malfunction or during certain specific events where some venting is unavoidable.	NL: (Drafting): (35) Venting consists of the release of uncombusted methane into the atmosphere either intentionally from processes or activities or devices designed to do it, or unintentionally in the case of a malfunction. In light of its potent GHG emission effect, venting should be banned except in the case of emergencies, malfunction or and during certain specific events where some venting is unavoidable.  NL: (Comments): It is technically necessary, for example when using seals on compressors, for a small flow to be blown off continuously to a safe location. For safety reasons, we must always be able to blow off continuously without it being an emergency.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE D	OK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022	
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments	
(36) Flaring is the controlled	DE:	
combustion of methane for the	(Drafting):	
purpose of disposal in a device	Flaring is the controlled combustion of methane for the purpose of disposal in a device designed for said	
designed for said combustion.	combustion. When carried out during the normal production of oil or fossil gas and as a result of	
When carried out during the	insufficient facilities or amenable geology to re-inject methane, utilise it on-site, or dispatch it to a market, it	
normal production of oil or fossil	is considered routine flaring. Routine flaring should be banned. Flaring should only be permissible when it	
gas and as a result of insufficient	is the only alternative to venting and where venting is not prohibited. Venting is more harmful to the	
facilities or amenable geology to	environment than flaring as the released gas typically contains high-levels of methane, whereas flaring	
re-inject methane, utilise it on-site,	oxidises methane into carbon dioxide.	
or dispatch it to a market, it is	DE:	
considered routine flaring. Routine	(Comments):	
flaring should be banned. Flaring	See above (7)	
should only be permissible when it		
is the only alternative to venting	NL:	
and where venting is not	(Drafting):	
prohibited. Venting is more	Flaring is the controlled combustion of methane for the purpose of disposal in a device designed for said	
harmful to the environment than	combustion. When carried out during the normal production of oil or fossil gas in the absence of or fossil	
flaring as the released gas typically	gas and as a result of insufficient facilities or amenable geology to re-inject the produced gas methane,	
contains high-levels of methane,	utilise it on-site, or dispatch it to a market, it is considered routine flaring. Routine flaring should be banned.	
Tomas mgn 10, on or memane,	Flaring should only be permissible when it is flaring for safety reasons, non-routine flaring and in case of a	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

	Drafting Suggestions	
Commission proposal	Comments	
whereas flaring oxidises methane	net environmental benefit, which nevertheless should be minimised the only alternative to venting and	
into carbon dioxide.	where venting is not prohibited Venting is more harmful to the environment than flaring as the released gas	
	typically contains high-levels of methane, whereas flaring oxidises methane into carbon dioxide.	
	NL:	
	(Comments):	
	Even with the necessary infrastructure, geoglogy, utilization and dispatching to the market, flaring can still	
	be necessary. In some cases the CO2 production for electricity generation (needed to drive the vent gas	
	compressor) is higher than the CO2 equivalents of the flared methane stream. In such a case, recompression	
	is not effective (it would lead to a net increase in CO2 emissions). The suggestion is to add that stopping	
	flaring only has to take place in case of a net environmental benefit (scope 1 and scope 2)., Although the	
	primary constituent of natural gas is methane, the text should be aligned with the definition of routine	
	flaring in the "Zero Routine Flaring by 2030" initiative. See the Global Gas Flaring Partnership's 'Global	
	Gas Flaring Data' website.	
	HU:	
	(Drafting):	
	Flaring is the controlled combustion of methane for the purpose of disposal in a device designed for said	
	combustion. When carried out during the normal production of oil or fossil gas in the absence of or fossil	
	gas and as a result of insufficient facilities or amenable geology to re-inject the produced gas methane,	
	utilise it on-site, or dispatch it to a market, it is considered routine flaring. Routine flaring should be banned.	
	Flaring should only be permissible when it is flaring for safety reasons, non-routine flaring and in case of a	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	
Commission proposal	Comments	
	net environmental benefit, which nevertheless should be minimised the only alternative to venting and	
	where venting is not prohibited Venting is more harmful to the environment than flaring as the released gas	
	typically contains high-levels of methane, whereas flaring oxidises methane into carbon dioxide.	
	HU:	
	(Comments):	
	Even with the necessary infrastructure, geoglogy, utilization and dispatching to the market, flaring can still	
	be necessary. In some cases the CO2 production for electricity generation (needed to drive the vent gas	
	compressor) is higher than the CO2 equivalents of the flared methane stream. In such a case, recompression	
	is not effective (it would lead to a net increase in CO2 emissions). The suggestion is to add that stopping	
	flaring only has to take place in case of a net environmental benefit (scope 1 and scope 2)., Although the	
	primary constituent of natural gas is methane, the text should be aligned with the definition of routine	
	flaring in the "Zero Routine Flaring by 2030" initiative. See the Global Gas Flaring Partnership's 'Global	
	Gas Flaring Data' website.	
(37) Using flaring as an		
alternative to venting requires that		
flaring devices are efficient at		
combusting methane. For that		
reason, a combustion efficiency		

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
requirement should also be	
included for the cases in which	
flaring is admissible. Use of pilot	
burners, which give more reliable	
ignition as they are not affected by	
wind, should also be required.	
(38) Re-injection, utilisation on- site or dispatch of the methane to a market should always be preferable to flaring - and therefore venting - of methane. Operators that vent should provide proof to the	NL: (Drafting): (38) Re-injection, utilisation on-site or dispatch of the methane to a market should always be preferable to flaring - and therefore venting - of methane. Operators that vent should provide proof to the competent authorities that neither re-injection, utilisation on-site or dispatch of the methane to a market or a net
competent authorities that neither re-injection, utilisation on-site or	environmental benefit nor flaring were possible and operators that flare should provide proof to the competent authorities that re-injection, utilisation on-site or dispatch of the methane to a market was not possible.
dispatch of the methane to a market nor flaring were possible and operators that flare should provide proof to the competent authorities that re-injection, utilisation on-site	NL: (Comments): See (36) HU: (Drafting):

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
or dispatch of the methane to a market was not possible.	(38) Re-injection, utilisation on-site or dispatch of the methane to a market should always be preferable to flaring - and therefore venting - of methane. Operators that vent should provide proof to the competent authorities that neither re-injection, utilisation on-site or dispatch of the methane to a market or a net environmental benefit nor flaring were possible and operators that flare should provide proof to the competent authorities that re-injection, utilisation on-site or dispatch of the methane to a market was not possible.  HU:  (Comments):  HU supports NL proposal
(39) Operators should notify major venting and flaring events without delay to the competent authorities and submit more comprehensive reports on all venting and flaring events. They should also ensure that equipment and devices comply with the standards laid down in Union law.	NL: (Drafting): (39) Operators should periodic notify major venting and flaring events without delay to the competent authorities and submit more comprehensive reports on all venting and flaring events. They should also ensure that equipment and devices comply with the standards laid down in Union law.  NL: (Comments): There are no minimum limits for reporting, which would mean that all venting activities must be reported according to Annex II if they comply with Article 16.1a and b. Reporting everything (without a treshold) would result in an increased administrional burden. A treshold above which reporting is required is

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments			
(40) Methane emissions from inactive oil and gas wells pose public health, safety and environmental risks. Therefore, monitoring and reporting obligations should still apply and those wells and well sites should be reclaimed and remediated. In such cases, Member States should have a predominant role, in particular to establish an inventories and mitigation plans.	therefore desirable.  IE: (Comments): It is important to ensure that inactive infrastructure does not contribute to methane emissions. However there is a need to draw a clear distinction between suspended wells and permanently plugged and abandoned wells. In our preliminary view, the risk of emissions from those categories of infrastructure are sufficiently different to warrant different obligations. There is also merit in considering whether onshore and offshore infrastructure should be subject to different requirements.  NL: (Drafting): (40) Methane emissions from inactive abandoned oil and gas wells pose public health, safety and environmental risks. Therefore, monitoring and reporting obligations should still apply and those wells and well sites should be reclaimed and remediated. In such cases, Member States should have a predominant role, in particular to establish an inventories and mitigation plans. For inactive wells that are sealed in accordance with best practices and approved by national regulators, this would not apply.  NL:			
	(Comments): Should be a different approach for abandoned inactive wells and sealed/plugged inactive wells. This obligation does not do justice to the fact that operators must comply with strict sealing requirements when			

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions		
Commission proposar	Comments		
	abandoning wells in the NL. Research into abandoned wells in NL has shown that the measured methane		
	emissions were insignificant. In a few cases where methane emissions could be measured, repairs were		
	carried out. The quantities involved were so small that they do not justify an annual measurement campaign		
	for hundreds of wells. It seems that this proposed obligation is looking for (large) emissions from leaking		
	wells, which have never occurred in NL. Onshore, many locations are no longer accessible due to		
	construction and buildings. Offshore, the annual measurement of hundreds of abandoned wells is even more		
	expensive than onshore. The environmental burden of carrying out the work does not outweigh the		
	environmental gain. This is certainly the case offshore.		
	Also: a limited methane leakage may well be allowed without the need for a complete remediation of the well. A limited leakage of methane can be dealt with by surface measures without requiring the well to be remediated.		
	HU:		
	(Drafting):		
	(40) Methane emissions from inactive abandoned oil and gas wells pose public health, safety and		
	environmental risks. Therefore, monitoring and reporting obligations should still apply and those wells and		
	well sites should be reclaimed and remediated. In such cases, Member States should have a predominant		
	role, in particular to establish an inventories and mitigation plans. For inactive wells that are sealed in		
	accordance with best practices and approved by national regulators, this would not apply.		
	HU:		

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments			
	(Comments):			
	HU supports NL reasoning.			
	Should be a different approach for abandoned inactive wells and sealed/plugged inactive wells. This			
	obligation does not do justice to the fact that operators must comply with strict sealing requirements when			
	abandoning wells. Research into abandoned wells in NL has shown that the measured methane emissions			
	were insignificant. In a few cases where methane emissions could be measured, repairs were carried out.			
	The quantities involved were so small that they do not justify an annual measurement campaign for			
	nundreds of wells. It seems that this proposed obligation is looking for (large) emissions from leaking wells,			
	which have never occurred in NL. Onshore, many locations are no longer accessible due to construction and			
	buildings. The environmental burden of carrying out the work does not outweigh the environmental gain.			
	Also: a limited methane leakage may well be allowed without the need for a complete remediation of the			
	well. A limited leakage of methane can be dealt with by surface measures without requiring the well to be			
	remediated.			
(41) EU GHG inventory data				
shows that coalmine methane				
emissions are the biggest single				
source of methane emissions in the				
Union's energy sector. In 2019,				
direct emissions from the coal				

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal  Sector represented 31% of methane  Comments	s
emissions, almost equal to the	
percentage of direct methane	
emissions from fossil gas and oil	
combined, of 33%.	
(42) Currently, there is no	
Union-wide specific regulations	
limiting methane emissions from	
the coal sector, despite availability	
of a wide array of mitigation	
technologies. There is no Union or	
international coal-specific	
monitoring, reporting and	
verification standard. In the Union,	
reporting of methane emissions	
from the coal industry is part of the	
GHG emission reporting by	
Member States and data from	
underground mines is also included	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Deadline: 23 March

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
in the European Pollutant Release	
and Transfer Register established	
by Regulation (EC) No 166/2006 <sup>14</sup> .	
(43) Methane emissions are	
primarily linked to underground	
mining activities, both in active	
and abandoned mines <sup>15</sup> . In active	
underground mines, methane	
concentration in the air is	
continuously controlled, as it	
constitutes a health and safety	
hazard. In the case of underground	
coal mines, the vast majority of the	
methane emissions occur through	
ventilation and drainage or	
degasification systems, which	

. .

Regulation (EC) No 166/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 January 2006 concerning the establishment of a European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register and amending Council Directives 91/689/EEC and 96/61/EC (OJ L 33, 4.2.2006)

<sup>15 (2020)</sup> N. Kholod et al Global methane emissions from coal mining to continue growing even with declining coal production, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 256, 120489

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
represent the two main ways of lowering methane concentrations in a mine's airways.	
(44) Once production is halted and a mine is closed or abandoned, it continues to release methane, referred to as abandoned mine methane (AMM). These emissions typically occur at well-defined point sources, such as ventilation shafts or pressure-relief vents. With increased climate ambition and shifting energy production to less carbon-intensive energy sources, AMM emissions are likely to	IE: (Drafting): Member States should thus establish inventories of closed and abandoned underground coal assets mines where operations have ceased since [timeframe identified in Article 25(2)] and, either them or the identified responsible party, should be required to install devices for measurement of methane emissions.  IE: (Comments): As the Regulation is only looking at underground closed and abandoned mines (noting that Article 1(2)(c) refers to the scope being 'operating underground and surface coalmines, closed and abandoned underground coal mines'), inventories should be focused on underground coal mines, and the wording in this paragraph should specifically refer to those types of mine. In addition, the timeframe identified by Article 25 should
increase in the Union. It is estimated that even 10 years after mining is ceased, methane from non-flooded mines continues to be	be included here so that it is clear there is a specific timeframe for the inventory, and to avoid an interpretation that all historical mining should be captured, which is data that MS may or may not have.  DE:  (Comments):  We suggest to examine: In addition, it should at least be examined whether the methane released can be

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

**Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal** Comments used for electricity production emitted at levels attaining approximately 40% of emissions NL: (Drafting): recorded at the time of closure<sup>16</sup>. Once production is halted and a mine is closed or abandoned and non-flooded, it continues to release (44)Moreover, treatment of AMM methane, referred to as abandoned mine methane (AMM). These emissions typically occur at well-defined remains fragmented due to point sources, such as ventilation shafts or pressure-relief vents. With increased climate ambition and different ownership and shifting energy production to less carbon-intensive energy sources, AMM emissions are likely to increase in exploitation rights across the EU. the Union. It is estimated that even 10 years after mining is ceased, methane from non-flooded mines Member States should thus continues to be emitted at levels attaining approximately 40% of emissions recorded at the time of closure<sup>17</sup>. establish inventories of closed and Moreover, treatment of AMM remains fragmented due to different ownership and exploitation rights across abandoned coal assets and, either the EU. Member States should thus establish inventories of closed and abandoned coal assets and, either them or the identified responsible them or the identified responsible party, should be required to install devices for measurement of methane party, should be required to install emissions in case of non-flooded mines and where the mining area is still accesible. devices for measurement of methane emissions. NL: (Comments): This text paints a different picture of a closed or abandoned mine than the closed mines in the Netherlands

Deadline: 23 March

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

(Limburg). There are no open ventilation shafts or pressure valves. It talks about "methane from non-

<sup>16 (2020)</sup> N. Kholod et al Global methane emissions from coal mining to continue growing even with declining coal production, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 256, 120489

<sup>17 (2020)</sup> N. Kholod et al Global methane emissions from coal mining to continue growing even with declining coal production, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 256, 120489

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

**Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal** Comments flooded mines continues...". As the biggest share of mines in the NL are flooded, we have hardly any relevant parts of "non-flooded mines" in mines that are not already excluded. Different approach for flooded and non-flooded inactive underground coal mines is desired. It is hard to see how emission data could be obtained at all in the Limburg situation with all shafts closed and no otheropenings between the mining area and ground level. Operating surface coal (45)SI: mines in the Union produce lignite (Drafting): and emit less methane than Operating surface coal mines in the Union produce lignite and emit less methane than underground coal underground coal mines. mines. Lignite mines in the EU are predominantly opencast surface mines, wth the exception of one According to the Union GHG lignite underground mine in Slovenia. According to.... inventory, in 2019 operating SI: surface mines emitted 166 (Comments): kilotonnes compared to 828 This is to clarify the situation of lignite mines in the EU. kilotonnes for underground coal mines<sup>18</sup>. Measurement of surface coal mine methane emissions is

Deadline: 23 March

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

18

challenging due to their diffuse

Methane emissions for the energy sector in Kilotonnes, disaggregated by emission category source, as reported to UNFCC in April 2021 by EEA on behalf of the EU

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
nature over a wide area. Therefore,	
and despite available technology <sup>19</sup> ,	
emissions from surface mines are	
rarely measured. Methane	
emissions from surface mines can	
be derived using basin-specific	
coal emission factors <sup>20</sup> and, with	
greater precision, using mine- or	
deposit-specific emission factors,	
since coal basins have deposits	
with different methane-bearing	
capacity <sup>21</sup> . Emission factors can be	
derived from measuring gas	
content of the seams sampled from	
exploration borehole cores <sup>22</sup> . Mine	
operators should thus perform	

Best Practice Guidance for Effective Management of Coal Mine Methane at National Level: Monitoring, Reporting, Verification and Mitigation, ECE Energy Series No. 71, UNECE 2021 (Forthcoming)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories.

Bilans Zasobow Zloz Kopalin, stan na 31.12.2020', State Geological Surowce mineralne (pgi.gov.pl)

Best Practice Guidance for Effective Management of Coal Mine Methane at National Level: Monitoring, Reporting, Verification and Mitigation, ECE Energy Series No. 71, UNECE 2021 (Forthcoming)

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
measurements of methane	
emissions in surface coal mines	
using such emission factors.	
	SI: (Drafting):
	(45a) In active underground mines, methane concentration in the air is continuously controlled as it constitutes a health and safety hazard. Ventilation systems represent one of two main ways of lowering methane concentrations in a mine's airways to ensure safety and health of miners. Ventilation air methane (VAM) can be mitigated with or without energy recovery, though the solutions remain comparably expensive, principally because the concentrations of methane emanating from ventilation systems are very low
	SI:
	(Comments):
	It is important to note that the ventilation of methane is done to ensure the heath and safety of the mine
	workers. By setting a very low threshold for methane emissions from underground coal mines, which could
	continue to use venting through ventilation shafts after 1.1.2027, the Regulation is actually proposing to ban
	the implementation of a key safety measure without providing a reasonable and cost-effective alternative to
	underground coal mines. The introduction of existing VAM methane abatement technologies is expensive,
	technically complex and time-consuming due to the very low methane concentration (this is also stated in
	the impact assessment done by the Commission).
(46) Therefore, mine operators	BE:
should perform continuous	(Drafting):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
measurement and quantification of	(46) Therefore, mine operators should perform continuous measurement and quantification of methane
methane emissions from ventilation	emissions from ventilation shafts in active underground coal mines, continuous measurement of vented and
shafts in underground coal mines,	flared methane in drainage stations and use specific emission factors as regards surface coal mines. They
continuous measurement of vented	should report that data to the competent authorities.
and flared methane in drainage	BE:
stations and use specific emission	(Comments):
factors as regards surface coal	It can be inferred that these are only operational mines, but we suggest to clearly state this.
mines. They should report that data	
to the competent authorities.	
(47) Currently, mitigation of	NL:
methane emissions can be best	(Comments):
achieved in operating and closed or	See (44)
abandoned underground coal	
mines. Effective mitigation of	
methane emissions from operating	
and closed or abandoned surface	
mines is currently limited by	
technology. However, in order to	
support research and development	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
on mitigation technologies of such	
emissions in the future, there	
should be effective and detailed	
monitoring, reporting, and	
verification of the scale of those	
emissions.	
(48) Underground mines are either thermal or coking coal mines. Thermal coal is used primarily as an energy source and coking coal is used as a fuel and as a reactant in the process of steelmaking. Both coking coal and thermal coal mines should be subject to measuring, reporting and verification of methane emissions.	NL: (Comments): It is not clear whether this refers only to active mines or also to abandoned mines.
(49) For operating underground	IE:
coal mines, mitigation of methane	(Comments):

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
emissions should be implemented	It would be beneficial if the mitigation plan referred to in this recital were to have scope for prioritising
through a phase out of venting and	areas for mitigation or thresholds for action. There could be very small historical coal mines that have
flaring. For closed or abandoned	negligible methane emisssions, so it would be preferable to prioritise and monitor the larger emittors.
underground coal mines, while	
flooding the mine can prevent	
methane emissions, this is not	
systematically done and has	
environmental risks. Venting and	
flaring in these mines should also	
be phased out. As geological	
constraints and environmental	
considerations prevent a one-size-	
fits-all approach to mitigate	
methane emissions from	
abandoned underground coal	
mines <sup>23</sup> , Member States should	
establish their own mitigation plan,	
taking into consideration those	
constraints and the technical	

Best Practice Guidance for Effective Methane Recovery and Use from Abandoned Mines (UNECE, 2019)

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Deadline: 23 March

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
feasibility of AMM mitigation.	
	//C+/
(50) Following a Commission	
proposal, on 28 June 2021, the	
Council adopted the new legal base	
of the Research Fund for Coal and	
Steel <sup>24</sup> which foresees support for	
research and innovation for	
repurposing of the formerly	
operating coal mines or coal mines	
in the process of closure and	
related infrastructure in line with	
the overall objective of moving	
away from the coal and the Just	
Transition Mechanism. In this	
context, one of the main objectives	

2.4

Council Decision (EU) 2021/1094 of 28 June 2021 amending Decision 2008/376/EC on the adoption of the Research Programme of the Research Fund for Coal and Steel and on the multiannual technical guidelines for this programme, OJ L 236/69. Council Decision (EU) 2021/1207 of 19 July 2021 amending Decision 2003/77/EC laying down multiannual financial guidelines for managing the assets of the ECSC in liquidation and, on completion of the liquidation, the Assets of the Research Fund for Coal and Steel. Council Decision (EU) 2021/1208 of 19 July 2021 amending Decision 2003/76/EC establishing the measures necessary for the implementation of the Protocol, annexed to the Treaty establishing the European Community, on the financial consequences of the expiry of the ECSC Treaty and on the Research Fund for Coal and Steel, OJ L 261/54.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
for the new Research Fund for	
Coal and Steel programme for the	
coming years will be to minimise	
the environmental impacts of coal	
mines in transition, in particular	
with regard to methane emissions.	
(51) The Union is dependent on	
imports for 70% of its hard coal	
consumption, 97% of its oil	
consumption, and 90% of its fossil	
gas consumption. There is no	
precise knowledge on the	
magnitude, origin or nature of	
methane emissions linked to fossil	
energy consumed in the Union but	
occurring in third countries.	
(52) Global warming effects	
caused by methane emissions are	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
cross-border. Although some fossil	
energy producing countries are	
beginning to act domestically to	
reduce methane emissions from	
their energy sectors, many	
exporters are not subject to any	
regulations in their respective	
domestic markets. Such operators	
need clear incentives to act on their	
methane emission, hence	
transparent information on methane	
emissions should be made	
available to the markets.	
(53) Currently there is limited	
accurate data (UNFCCC Tier 3 or	
equivalent) on international	
methane emissions. Many fossil	
exporting countries have so far not	
submitted full inventory data to the	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
UNFCCC. At the same time, there is evidence of large increases of methane emissions from oil and gas production activities globally from 65 to 80 Mt/year in the last 20	
years <sup>25</sup> .  (54) As announced in the Communication on the EU Methane Strategy <sup>26</sup> , the Union is committed to working in cooperation with its energy partners and other key fossil energy importing countries to tackle methane emissions globally. Energy diplomacy on methane emissions has already yielded	NL: (Drafting): (54) As announced in the Communication on the EU Methane Strategy <sup>27</sup> , the Union is committed to working in cooperation with its energy partners and other key fossil energy importing countries to tackle methane emissions globally. Energy diplomacy on methane emissions has already yielded important outcomes. In September 2021, the Union and the United States announced the Global Methane Pledge, which represents a political commitment to reduce global methane emissions by 30% by 2030 (from 2020 levels), launched at the UN Climate Change Conference (COP 26) in November 2021 in Glasgow. Over one hundred countries have committed their support, representing nearly half of global anthropogenic methane emissions. The Global Methane Pledge includes a commitment to move towards using best available

Global Assessment of Oil and Gas Methane 1 Ultra-Emitters; T. Lauvaux, C. Giron, M. Mazzolini, A. d'Aspremont, R. Duren, D. Cusworth, D. Shindell, P. Ciais; April 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> COM(2020) 663 final

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> COM(2020) 663 final

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
important outcomes. In September 2021, the Union and the United States announced the Global Methane Pledge, which represents a political commitment to reduce global methane emissions by 30% by 2030 (from 2020 levels), launched at the UN Climate Change Conference (COP 26) in November 2021 in Glasgow. Over one hundred countries have committed their support, representing nearly half of global anthropogenic methane emissions. The Global Methane Pledge includes a commitment to move	
towards using best available inventory methodologies to quantify methane emissions, with a particular focus on high emission	

Deadline: 23 March

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Deadline: 23 March

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
sources.	
(55) Further, the International Methane Emissions Observatory	
(IMEO) will play an important and lead role to increase transparency	
on global energy sector methane emissions. Support for setting up	
the IMEO was provided by the	
Council in its January 2021 conclusions on Climate and Energy	
Diplomacy <sup>28</sup> .	
(56) The Commission will work with the IMEO to set up a 'Methane Supply Index', as explicitly referred to in the	NL: (Comments): In order to successfully carry out the proposed tasks of IMEO, an unambiguous set of definitions under the EU Regulation and OGMP 2.0 is indispensable. Again: OGMP 2.0 should be leading.

28

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Deadline: 23 March

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
Communication on the EU	
Methane Strategy <sup>29</sup> . It would	
provide methane emission data	
from different sources of fossil	
energy from around the globe -	
including from source-level	
estimations and measurements as	
well as from aerial/satellite	
monitoring - thereby empowering	
buyers of fossil energy to make	
informed purchasing decisions on	
the basis of the methane emissions	
of fossil energy sources.	
(57) In parallel to continuing its	
successful diplomatic work to	
achieve such global commitments,	
the Union is further encouraging	
significant methane emissions	

29

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
abatement globally, and in	
particular in the countries	
supplying fossil energy to the	
Union.	
(58) Therefore, importers of	IE:
fossil energy to the Union should	(Comments):
be required to provide Member	This would seem to apply to IE shippers, who import gas from the UK, a 3 <sup>rd</sup> country, and therefore are
States with information on	importing it "to the Union". Presumably they will be able to obtain this information readily from the UK, or
measures related to measurement,	from the database referred to at recital (61)? If not, it could represent a cost burden if they are required to
reporting and mitigation of	provide it themselves.
methane emissions undertaken by	
exporters, in particular the	
application of regulatory or	
voluntary measures to control their	
methane emissions, including	
measures such as leak detection	
and repair surveys or measures to	
control and restrict venting and	
flaring of methane. The levels of	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
measurement and reporting set out	
in the information requirements	
applied to importers correspond to	
the ones to be required from Union	
operators in this Regulation, as	
outlined in Recitals 24 to 26 and	
46. The information on measures to	
control methane emissions is not	
more burdensome than that	
required from Union operators.	
(59) Member States should	DE:
communicate that information to	(Drafting):
the Commission. On the basis of	Member States should communicate that information to the Commission. On the basis of that information,
that information, the Union should	the Union should set up and manage a transparency database for fossil physical energy imports into the
set up and manage a transparency	Union, detailing whether the exporting companies have signed up to the OGMP for oil and gas companies
database for fossil energy imports	and to the extent that it is set up, an equivalent, internationally or Union recognised standard for coal
into the Union, detailing whether	companies. Such information should demonstrate the degree of commitment of companies in exporting
the exporting companies have	countries to measure, report and have verified their methane emissions according to tier 3 methods of
signed up to the OGMP for oil and	UNFCCC reporting. Such a transparency database would serve as a source of information for the

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

### **Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal** Comments purchasing decisions of importers of physical fossil energy to the Union as well as for other stakeholders gas companies and to the extent that it is set up, an equivalent, and the public. The transparency database should also reflect the efforts undertaken by companies in the Union and companies exporting physical fossil energy to the Union to measure and report as well as reduce internationally or Union recognised standard for coal companies. Such their methane emissions. It should also include information on the measurement, reporting and mitigation regulatory actions by countries where physical fossil energy is produced. information should demonstrate the degree of commitment of DE: companies in exporting countries (Comments): to measure, report and have Since some renewable energy sources can also release methane, the focus should not only be on fossil verified their methane emissions energy sources. At the same time, it should be noted that non-pysical energy sources, such as electricity, are according to tier 3 methods of excluded. UNFCCC reporting. Such a transparency database would serve as a source of information for the purchasing decisions of importers of fossil energy to the Union as well as for other stakeholders and the public. The transparency database should also reflect the efforts undertaken by companies in the Union and companies exporting

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
fossil energy to the Union to	
measure and report as well as	
reduce their methane emissions. It	
should also include information on	
the measurement, reporting and	
mitigation regulatory actions by	
countries where fossil energy is	
produced.	
(60) In addition, the Union	DE:
should put in place a global	(Drafting):
methane emitter monitoring tool,	In addition, the Union should put in place a global methane emitter monitoring tool, providing information
providing information on the	on the magnitude, recurrence and location of high methane-emitting sources. This should further encourage
magnitude, recurrence and location	real and demonstrable results from the implementation of methane regulations and effective mitigation
of high methane-emitting sources.	actions by companies in the Union and companies supplying physical fossil energy to the Union. The tool
This should further encourage real	should pool data from several certified data providers and services, including the Copernicus component of
and demonstrable results from the	the EU Space Programme and the IMEO. The tool should inform the Commission's bilateral dialogues with
implementation of methane	the countries concerned to discuss the different scenarios envisaged for methane emissions policies and
regulations and effective mitigation	measures.
actions by companies in the Union	DE:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
and companies supplying fossil energy to the Union. The tool should pool data from several	(Comments): See above (59)
certified data providers and services, including the Copernicus component of the EU Space Programme and the IMEO. The	
tool should inform the  Commission's bilateral dialogues  with the countries concerned to	
discuss the different scenarios envisaged for methane emissions policies and measures.	
(61) In combination, the measures referred to in Recitals 58 to 60 should enhance transparency for buyers, enabling them to make informed sourcing decisions and improve the possibility of wider	IE: (Comments): Per comment at recital (58), this should be positive.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
uptake of methane mitigation	
solutions across the globe. In	
addition, they should further	
incentivise international companies	
to sign up to international methane	
measurement and reporting	
standards such as OGMP or to	
adopt effective measurement,	
reporting and mitigation measures.	
These measures are designed as the	
basis for a stepwise approach to	
increase the level of stringency of	
the measures applicable to imports.	
The Commission should thus be	
empowered to amend or add to the	
reporting requirements of	
importers. Furthermore, the	
Commission should evaluate the	
implementation of those measures	
and, if it deems appropriate, submit	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal
proposals for review to impose
more stringent measures on
importers and to ensure a
comparable level of effectiveness
of measures applicable in third
countries to monitor, report, verify
and mitigate methane emissions.
The evaluation should take into
account the work undertaken by the
IMEO, including the Methane
Supply Index, the transparency
database and the global methane
emitter monitoring tool. Should the
Commission find it appropriate to
increase the level of stringency of
the measures applicable to imports,
it is of particular importance that
the Commission carries out
appropriate consultations during its
preparatory work including

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
consulting relevant third countries.	
(62) Member States should	IE:
ensure that infringements of this	(Comments):
Regulation are sanctioned by	Is it envisaged this will fall to NRAs in their regulatory capacity?
effective, proportionate and	NL:
dissuasive penalties, which may	(Drafting):
include fines and periodic penalty	(Draiting).
payments, and take all measures	
necessary to ensure that they are	
implemented. In order to play a	
significant deterrent effect,	
penalties should be adequate to the	
type of infringement, to the	
possible advantage for the operator	
and to the type and gravity of the	
environmental damage. When	
imposing penalties, due regard	
should be given to the nature,	
gravity and duration of the	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
infringement in question. The	
imposition of penalties should be	
proportionate and should comply	
with Union and national law,	
including with applicable	
procedural safeguards and with the	
principles of the Charter of	
fundamental rights.	
(63) In order to ensure more	
consistency, a list of the types of	
infringements that should be	
subject to penalties should be set	
out. In order to facilitate the more	
consistent application of penalties,	
common non-exhaustive and	
indicative criteria for the	
application of penalties should be	
set out. The deterrent effect of	
penalties should be reinforced by	
	l

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
the possibility to publish the information related to the penalties imposed by Member States, in compliance with the data protection requirements set out in Regulations (EU) 2016/679 <sup>30</sup> and (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and the Council <sup>31</sup> .	
(64) As a result of the provisions requiring investments by regulated operators to be taken into account in tariff setting, Regulation (EU) 2019/942 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>32</sup>	NL: (Drafting): (64) As a result of the provisions requiring investments by regulated operators to be taken into account in tariff setting, Regulation (EU) 2019/942 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>33</sup> should be amended to entrust ACER with the task of making available drafting a set of indicators and reference values

<sup>30</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

<sup>31</sup> Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39).

<sup>32</sup> Regulation (EU) 2019/942 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 establishing a European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (OJ L 158, 14.6.2019).

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
should be amended to entrust  ACER with the task of making available a set of indicators and reference values for the comparison of unit investment costs linked to measurement, reporting and abatement of methane emissions for comparable projects.	for the comparison of unit investment costs linked to measurement, reporting and abatement of methane emissions for comparable projects.  NL:  (Comments):  It is unclear how the different asset levels are determined and how a distinction is made between the different assets. It follows from Article 34 that ACER establishes a set of indicators every three years to determine whether costs are effective and efficient: how is that process done? How is that determined? Can member states share input?
(65) In order to define the elements of the phase out of venting and flaring in coking coal mines, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission to	

Deadline: 23 March

Regulation (EU) 2019/942 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 establishing a European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (OJ L 158, 14.6.2019).

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
supplement this Regulation by	
setting out restrictions on venting	
methane from ventilation shafts for	
coking coal mines. In addition, in	
order to allow for further	
information to be required from	
importers, as proved necessary, the	
power to adopt acts in accordance	
with Article 290 of the Treaty on	
the Functioning of the European	
Union should be delegated to the	
Commission to supplement this	
Regulation by amending or adding	
to the information to be provided	
by importers. It is of particular	
importance that the Commission	
carry out appropriate consultations	
during its preparatory work,	
including at expert level, and that	
those consultations be conducted in	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
accordance with the principles laid	
down in the Interinstitutional	
Agreement on Better Law-Making	
of 13 April 2016. In particular, to	
ensure equal participation in the	
preparation of delegated acts, the	
European Parliament and the	
Council receive all documents at	
the same time as Member States'	
experts, and their experts	
systematically have access to	
meetings of Commission expert	
groups dealing with the preparation	
of delegated acts.	
(66) In order to ensure uniform	
conditions for implementation,	
implementing powers should be	
conferred on the Commission to	
adopt detailed rules with regard to	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
common formats for reporting, in accordance with Article 291 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>34</sup> .	Comments
(67) Operators and competent authorities should be given a reasonable period in order to take the necessary preparatory actions to meet the requirements of this Regulation.	IE: (Comments): "reasonable period" perhaps needs to be quantified?
(68) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely the	NL: (Comments):

<sup>34</sup> 

Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	
• •	Comments	
accurate measurement, reporting,	The proposal goes beyond what is necessary, and some of them are measures are not considered to be	
verification and the reduction of	suitable to achieve the objective of the action.	
methane emissions in the energy		
sector, cannot be achieved by the	With regard to supervision, it is positive that the Commission prescribes the method to be used and is thus	
Member States individually and	working towards a comparable working method in the EU. However, the frequency and method of	
can therefore, by reason of its	stipulated frequency and method of inspection goes beyond what is necessary.	
scale, be better achieved at Union		
level, the Union may adopt	The NL also argues for prescribing the minimum requirements that a method must meet, while at the same	
measures, in accordance with the	time leaving open the possibility of using better methods. The government also believes that the social	
principle of subsidiarity as set out	impact of the increased costs is not expected to be proportional to the expected environmental gain from	
in Article 5 of the Treaty on	potential emission reductions. The proposed frequency of measurements and the consequences that would	
European Union. In accordance	be attached to detected leakages do not, in the opinion of the government, take sufficient account of cost-	
with the principle of	effectiveness, feasibility or emission reduction.	
proportionality, as set out in that		
Article, this Regulation does not go	In the current Dutch situation, high-risk components on an installation are measured more often than others.	
beyond what is necessary in order	This is a cheaper, less intrusive alternative with which it is expected that more emission reduction will be	
to achieve that objective,	achieved. In addition, the NL government doubts whether converting offshore installations to enable flaring	
	is proportionate due to the high costs and the fact that many installations are at the end of their (economic)	
	life. the end of their (economic) life. There are more cost-effective reduction measures, such as useful reuse	
	of residual gases for energy supply.	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022 **Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal Comments HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:** Chapter 1 **General Provisions** Article 1 Subject matter and scope This Regulation lays down 1. BE: rules for the accurate measurement. (Drafting): reporting and verification of This Regulation lays down rules for the accurate measurement monitoring, reporting and verification of methane emissions in the energy sector in the Union, as well as the abatement of those methane emissions in the energy emissions, including through leak detection and repair surveys and restrictions on venting and flaring. This sector in the Union, as well as Regulation also lays down rules on tools ensuring transparency of methane emissions from imports of fossil energy into the Union. the abatement of those emissions, NL: including through leak detection (Comments): and repair surveys and restrictions

According to the scope in Article 1, the Regulation covers exploration, production, treatment, transport and

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
on venting and flaring. This Regulation also lays down rules on tools ensuring transparency of methane emissions from imports of fossil energy into the Union.	storage of oil and gas. Through the definition of venting (under 18 in Article 2), methane emissions as a result of penetrating shallow gas formations seem to be added to the scope. This should be excluded. HU:  (Drafting):  1. This Regulation lays down rules for the accurate measurement, reporting and verification of methane emissions in the energy sector in the Union, as well as the abatement of those emissions, including through leak detection and repair surveys and restrictions on venting and flaring. This Regulation also lays down rules on tools ensuring transparency of methane emissions from imports of fossil energy into the Union.  HU:  (Comments):  We propose to delete the target for energy imports. Reporting obligations on third countries are not enforceable, it makes MS data reporting impossible.  PL:  (Drafting):  1. This Regulation lays down rules for the accurate quantification, monitoring, reporting and verification of methane emissions in the energy sector in the Union, as well as the abatement of those emissions, including through leak detection and repair surveys and restrictions on venting and flaring. This Regulation also lays down rules on tools ensuring transparency of methane emissions from imports of fossil
	energy into the Union.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	PL: (Comments): The juxtaposition of "measurement, reporting and verification" can lead to confusion with commonly used MRV acronym, which stands for "monitoring, reporting and verification".
2. This Regulation applies to:	CY: (Comments): It is not clear what facilities are included in this Regulation. A suggestion is to add an Annex with a list of facilities covered by the Regulation.  BE: (Comments):  Question  The scope is fairly broad. Can the Commission provide an exhaustive list of installations which are included or excluded from the scope of the regulation, in order to provide more clarity? It is unclear whether all underground/ aboveground/ submerged pipelines, refineries, biogas installations/digesters and/or CNG/LNG/LPG refilling stations are included in the scope.
	Question  From a climate point of view, would it not be appropriate to also regulate the LDAR, venting and flaring of

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	mobile storage tanks, e.g. on ships and trucks?
(a) oil and fossil gas upstream	DE:
exploration and production, fossil	(Drafting):
gas gathering and processing;	oil and fossil gas upstream exploration and oil and gas production, fossil oil and gas gathering and
	processing;
	DE:
	(Comments):
	See above (7)
	CY:
	(Comments):
	Is Oil and fossil gas midstream/ downstream (in its whole) covered by other legislative instruments?
	According to IEA the downstream segment accounted for 20% of total fossil methane emissions in 2020.
(b) gas transmission, distribution,	DE:
underground storage and liquid gas	(Drafting):
(LNG) terminals operating with	(b) gas transmission, distribution, underground storage and liquefied liquid gas (e.g. LNG) terminals
fossil and/or renewable (bio-or	operating with fossil and/or renewable (bio-or synthetic) methane
synthetic) methane;	DE:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	(Comments):
	See above (7)
	BE:
	(Drafting):
	(b) gas transmission, distribution, underground storage and liquid gas (LNG) terminals, both operating with
	fossil and/or renewable (bio-or synthetic) methane or a mixture of them;
	BE:
	(Comments):
	<u>Question</u>
	Regarding 'bio-methane': the biomass fermentation/digestion plants are usually fully integrated: gas
	production + storage + transport + consumption (i.e. power generation). Can these plants also be included in
	the scope of this regulation, since they are very prone to gas leaks? We suggest to oblige LDAR on a
	regular basis for plants with a production capacity higher than 50 Nm³/h.
	Question
	Why is only underground gas storage included in the scope? Would it not be useful to include aboveground
	storage as well?
	Question
	Should the installations and tanks contain "pure" methane, or also gas mixtures (methane mixed with other

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	volatile organic compounds)?  AT:  (Comments):  With regard to Article 1 Paragraph 2 AT kindly asks for clarification whether all gas systems (fossil/renewable) are included in the area of transmission, distribution and storage? AT would assume this, since a distinction between fossil and renewable systems might prove difficult, if not impossible.
(c) operating underground and surface coalmines, closed and abandoned underground coal mines.	NL: (Drafting): (c) operating underground and surface coalmines, closed and abandoned non-flooded underground coal mines.
	DE: (Drafting): (d) this regulation does not apply to offshore oil and gas wells that are permanently plugged and abandoned in accordance with regulatory requirements of the competent authorities  DE: (Comments): Need for clarification  NL:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	(Drafting):
	Abandoned non-sealed/plugged gas and oil wells
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Should be a different approach for abandoned inactive wells and fully closed and sealed inactive wells. Measuring instruments must be installed on all abandoned wells and methane emissions must be reported annually. This obligation does not do justice to the fact that operators must comply with strict sealing requirements when abandoning wells in the NL. Research into abandoned wells in NL has shown that the measured methane emissions were insignificant. In a few cases where methane emissions could be measured, repairs were carried out. The quantities involved were so small that they do not justify an annual measurement campaign for hundreds of wells. It seems that this proposed obligation is looking for (large) emissions from leaking wells, which have never occurred in NL. Onshore, many locations are no longer accessible due to construction and buildings. Offshore, the annual measurement of hundreds of abandoned wells is even more expensive than onshore. The environmental burden of carrying out the work does not outweigh the environmental gain. This is certainly the case offshore. As an additional consequence, the environmental load of measuring all abandoned wells is higher than the gain of looking for negligible leaks which are rarely found during measurement campaigns.
	HU: (Drafting):
	(d) this regulation does not apply to oil and gas wells that are permanently plugged and abandoned in
	accordance with regulatory requirements of the competent authorities
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We propose to exempt from scope the premamnently plugged and abandoned oil and gas wells in

Deadline: 23 March

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	accordance with national regulations.
3. This Regulation applies to methane emissions occurring outside the Union in what relates to importer information requirements, to the methane transparency database and to the methane emitters monitoring tool.	CY: (Comments): Not only outside (see Art. 1, Reg. 1) Suggestion "This Regulation also applies to" Or "occurring inside and outside the Union" HU: (Drafting): 3. This Regulation applies to methane emissions occurring outside the Union in what relates to importer information requirements, to the methane transparency database and to the methane emitters monitoring tool.  HU: (Comments): We propose to delete paragraph (3).  OR The provision should be applied only when the MS or the EU has an international agreement with the thrid county in this subject.
Article 2	

all components that are potential

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022 **Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal Comments Definitions** AT: (Comments): From AT's point of view, some refinements to Article 2 and additional definitions would be necessary. This concerns, for example: "inactive well" (Article 2, point 24) "efficient and structurally comparable regulated operator" (Article 3) "serious breach" (Article 6) "injury suffered" (Article 7) "relevant components" (Article 14) "closed and abandoned underground coal mines" (Chapter 4 Section III) For the purposes of this NL: Regulation, the following (Comments): definitions apply: It is very important that the definitions correspond to the definitions in OGMP 2.0. This is not the case at present. As a result, differences will occur between data collected under the EU Regulation and data delivered under OGMP 2.0. (1) 'methane emissions' means LV: all direct emissions occurring from (Comments):

Latvia would like to have clarification regarding the "methane emissions" definition – as we understant it,

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
sources of methane emissions, whether as a result of intentional or unintentional venting, incomplete combustion in flares or from other components and unintentional leaks;	indirect or Fugitive emissions (leakages due to tightness failure and permeation) are not included?  NL:  (Comments):  It is questionable whether methane slip from gas turbines, gas engines and cookers falls under the definition of methane emissions. What to do with hydrocarbons (oil and gas) that come along with the extraction of geothermal heat? This should be explicitly excluded from this regulation.  PL:  (Drafting):  'methane emissions' means all direct emissions occurring from all components that are potential sources of methane emissions, whether as a result of intentional or unintentional venting, incomplete combustion in flares or from other components and unintentional leaks a release of methane to the atmosphere;
(2) 'transmission system operator' has the meaning attributed to it by [Article 2(4) of Directive 2009/73/EC of the	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
European Parliament and of the	
Council <sup>35</sup> ] [to be adapted as per	
ongoing recast proposal];	
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	'LNG System Operators' A natural or legal person who carries out the function of liquefaction of
	natural gas, exportation or the importation, offloading, and re-gasification of LNG and is responsible
	for operating a LNG facility. (Directive 2009/73/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of
	13 July 2009)(3a) 'storage system operator' has the meaning attributed to it by [Article 2(10) of Directive
	2009/73/EC] [to be adapted as per ongoing recast proposal];
	(3b) 'LNG system operators' has the meaning attributed to it by [Article 2(12) of Directive 2009/73/EC]
	[to be adapted as per ongoing recast proposal];
	PL:
	(Comments):
	Underground Storage Operators and LNG System Operators are an important part of the whole gas system,
	and are not as explicitly referred in this regulation even if they are contributing to the efforts to reduce
	methane emissions.

Directive 2009/73/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 July 2009 concerning common rules for the internal market in natural gas and repealing Directive 2003/55/EC (OJ L 211, 14.8.2009, p. 94).

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(3) 'distribution system	
operator' has the meaning	
attributed to it by [Article 2(6) of	
Directive 2009/73/EC] [to be	
adapted as per ongoing recast	
proposal];	
(4) 'operator' means any	
natural or legal person who	
operates or controls an asset or,	
where provided for in national	
legislation, to whom decisive	
economic power over the technical	
functioning of an asset has been	
delegated;	
(5) 'mine operator' means any	CY:
natural or legal person who	(Comments):
operates or controls a coal mine or,	Below there is a definition for "coal mine". Hence "mine operator" could change to "coal mine operator"

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
where provided for in national legislation, to whom decisive economic power over the technical functioning of a coal mine has been delegated;	
(6) 'verification' means the activities carried out by a verifier to assess the conformity of the reports transmitted by the operators and mine operators;	
(7) 'verifier' means a legal person different from the competent authorities appointed in accordance with Article 4 of this Regulation which carries out verification activities and which is accredited by a national accreditation body pursuant to	PL: (Drafting):  'verifier' means a legal person different from the competent authorities appointed in accordance with  Article 4 of this Regulation which carries out verification activities and which is accredited by a national accreditation body pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 765/2008 or a natural person otherwise authorised, without prejudice to Article 5(2) of that Regulation, at the time a verification statement is issued;

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
Regulation (EC) No 765/2008 or a natural person otherwise	
authorised, without prejudice to Article 5(2) of that Regulation, at	
the time a verification statement is	
issued;	
(8) 'source' means a component or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;	HU: (Comments): The term component should be clarified.  PL: (Drafting): source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;
	NL: (Drafting): "relevant components" are those components to inspect for leakage which are connections (excluding welds) and other system parts potentially leaking methane to the atmosphere NL:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(9) 'asset' means a business or operating unit, which can be composed of several facilities or sites, including assets under the operational control of the operator (operated assets) and assets which are not under the operational control of the operator (non-operated assets);	(Comments):  A definition of "components" is missing, while rules are set with regard to components. (Reporting) obligations should be unambiguous; duplicate or differing reports on components should be avoided as much as possible.  DK: (Drafting):  'asset' means a business or operating unit, which can be composed of several facilities or sites, including assets under the operational control of the operator (operated assets) and assets which are not under the operational control of the operator (non-operated assets);  DK: (Comments):  'operator' operates or controls an asset by definition (Article 2, paragraph 4), suggests to use 'owner' or 'partner' in this paragraph  BE: (Comments):
	'asset' could be unclear, including with regards to 'site', 'facilities', 'premises' definitions. For TSOs and DSO's, the extend of a 'site'vs an 'asset' needs to be clarified. Are buried pipelines and buried installations considered as a site? 'site' needs to be defined.  NL:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	(Comments):  - The definition of asset (9) and site level methane emissions (14) are not well aligned. "all sites of an asset" instead of "asset".
(10) 'emission factor' means a coefficient that quantifies the emissions or removals of a gas per unit activity, which is often based on a sample of measurement data, averaged to develop a representative rate of emission for a given activity level under a given set of operating conditions;	DE: (Drafting):  'emission factor' means a coefficient that quantifies the emissions or removals of a gas per unit activity, which is often based on a sample of measurement data, or other methods such as simulation tools and detailed engineering calculations; averaged to develop a representative rate of emission for a given activity level under a given set of operating conditions;  DE: (Comments):  To avoid misunderstanding we suggest an alignment to current industrial/technical definition.  CY: (Comments):  Needs to be more specific in terms of the fact that an emission factor is a coefficient which allows to convert activity data into methane emissions. Hence whereas "emissions" should be written "methane emissions".  HU: (Drafting):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	'emission factor' means a coefficient that quantifies the emissions or removals of a gas per unit activity, which is often based on a sample of measurement data, or other methods such as simulation tools and detailed engineering calculations; averaged to develop a representative rate of emission for a given activity level under a given set of operating conditions;  HU:  (Comments):  Hungary supports DE proposal.  PL:  (Drafting):  source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;
(11) 'generic emission factor' means a standardised emission factor for each type of emission source which is derived from inventories or databases, but in any	PL: (Drafting): source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;  PL: (Drafting): source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Drafting Suggestions  Comments
PL:
(Drafting):
source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane
into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;
DE:
(Drafting):
'specific emission factor' means an emission factor derived from direct measurements applicable for a
particular emission source type and field verified at the installation in question
DE:
(Comments):
It is not possible in all cases to measure methan emission directly or installation based because of e.g. security reasons. Therefore not only direct measurement must be possible.
HU:
(Drafting):
specific emission factor' means an emission factor derived from direct measurements applicable for a
particular emission source type and field verified at the installation in question
HU:
(Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE I	DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	HU supports DE proposal.  PL:  (Drafting):  source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;
(13) 'direct measurement' means direct quantification of the methane emission at source-level with a methane measuring device;	PL: (Drafting): source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;  DE: (Drafting): 'direct measurement' means direct quantification of the methane emission at source-level with a methane measuring device;
	'direct quantification' includes methods for determining the size of a methane emission source in terms of customary units of emissions rate, such as mass per time (e.g. kilograms per hour) or volume per time (e.g. standard cubic metres per hour). This can be accomplished by engineering estimations, direct measurement of the methane source, and from models that use ambient measurements and meteorological data to infer an emission rate."  DE:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
	(Comments):
	See above (12)
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	(13) 'direct measurement' means direct quantification of the methane emission at source-level with a
	methane measuring device;
	NL:
	(Comments):
	The intention of the definition of direct measurement (13) is understandable, but for many sources direct
	determination of the amount of methane with a methane measuring device is not possible (or even
	desirable). A flow meter is by no means applicable everywhere (e.g. HP vent or LP vents with low outflow
	velocities and a flow meter is calibrated for a limited regime, i.e. it can never be calibrated over a range for
	a very low to a very high flow). The alternative to direct measurements is the use of a process simulation.
	With this, all emissions can be accurately calculated.
	Moreover, a flow meter cannot determine the amount of methane - the composition of the medium
	(percentage of methane in the flow) must be taken into account.
	Suggestion: Direct measurement or other way of flow determination if a direct measurement is not possible after apportal of the CA.
	HU:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	(Drafting):
	'direct measurement' means direct quantification of the methane emission at source-level with a methane
	measuring device;
	'direct quantification' includes methods for determining the size of a methane emission source in terms of
	customary units of emissions rate, such as mass per time (e.g. kilograms per hour) or volume per time (e.g.
	standard cubic metres per hour). This can be accomplished by engineering estimations, direct measurement
	of the methane source, and from models that use ambient measurements and meteorological data to infer an
	emission rate."
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU support DE proposal
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane
	into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane
	into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(14) 'site-level methane	BE:
emissions' means all sources of	(Drafting):
emissions within an asset;	(14) 'site-level methane emissions' means all sources of emissions within an asset a site;
	BE:
	(Comments):
	Site-level should differ from asset-level, if not, there should not be two different words.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	(14) 'site-level methane emissions' means all sources of emissions within an-all sites of an asset;
	NL:
	(Comments):
	-The definition of asset (9) and site level methane emissions (14) are not well aligned. "all sites of an asset" instead of "asset".
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane
	into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;
(15) 'site-level measurement' means a top-down measurement and typically involves the use of sensors mounted on a mobile platform, such as vehicles, drones, aircrafts, boats and satellites or other means to capture a complete overview of emissions across an entire site;	PL: (Drafting): source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;
	PL: (Drafting): source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;
(16) 'undertaking' means a natural or legal person carrying out at least one of the following functions: upstream oil and fossil gas exploitation, exploration and production, fossil gas gathering and	DE: (Drafting): 'undertaking' means a natural or legal person carrying out at least one of the following functions: upstream oil and fossil gas exploitation, oil and gas exploration and production, fossil gas gathering and processing and gas transmission, distribution and underground storage, including LNG terminals operating with fossil and/or renewable (bio-or synthetic) methane;

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
processing and gas transmission, distribution and underground storage, including LNG;	DE: (Comments): See above (7) BE: (Comments):  Question  Please clarify at which level an undertaking is operating: asset-level or site-level? PL: (Drafting): source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;
(17) 'leak detection and repair survey' means a survey to identify sources of methane emissions, including leaks and unintentional	PL: (Drafting): source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;  DE: (Drafting): 'leak detection and repair survey' means a survey to identify sources of methane emissions, including leaks, and other unintentional-venting emissions;

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

**Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal** Comments venting; DE: (Comments): Suggestion for being more precise: LDAR has the primary goal of leak detection and repair, not the identification of methan sources HII. (Drafting): leak detection and repair survey' means a survey to identify sources of methane emissions, including leaks, and other unintentional venting emissions; HU: (Comments): HU supports DE proposal on LDAR clarification. PL: (Drafting): source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently; PL: (Drafting): source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently; 'venting' means the release (18)DE.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
of uncombusted methane into the	(Drafting):
atmosphere either intentionally	'venting' means the release of uncombusted methane natural gas into the atmosphere either intentionally
from processes, activities or	from processes, activities or devices designed for such a purpose, or unintentionally in the case of a
devices designed for such a	malfunction or geological constraints;
purpose, or unintentionally in the	DE:
case of a malfunction or geological	(Comments):
constraints;	Even if methane is the main component of natural gas, venting and flaring of natural gas contains more
	than methane
	DK:
	(Comments):
	At the EWP meeting 21. march it was clarified that the 'or geological constraints;' at the end of this paragraph, had something to do with 'cold', and refered to a definition by the World Bank. It is however still unclear what is meant by 'or geological constraints;' at the end of this paragraph?
	NL:
	(Comments):
	The definition of venting (18) is important because venting is largely prohibited in Article 15. Application
	of the proposed definition in combination with the prohibition would result in gas production offshore no
	longer being possible. Article 15(2) link between a and b should be "or" instead of "and". It is technically
	necessary, for example when using seals on compressors, that a small flow is continuously blown off to a
	safe location. For safety reasons, it must always be possible to blow off continuously without it being an
	emergency.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	It would clarify the definition in Article 2 (18) if it were included that blowing off takes place via a channel. In addition, emissions of methane occurs of production water is released into the see. Also this type of releases should be excluded.  PL:  (Drafting):  source' means a component within a process or equipment or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;
	PL: (Drafting): source' means a component <i>within a process or equipment</i> or a geological structure that releases methane into the atmosphere whether intentionally or unintentionally, intermittently or persistently;
(19) 'flaring' means the controlled combustion of methane for the purpose of disposal in a device designed for said combustion;	DE: (Drafting): 'flaring' means the controlled combustion of methane natural gas for the purpose of disposal in a device designed for said combustion;  DE: (Comments): See above (18).
(20) 'emergency' means a	DE:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE D	R RO CT CZ BE NL AT HU FL LAST UFDATE. 26 Murch 2022
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
temporary, unexpected, infrequent	(Comments):
situation in which the methane	The current definition is very narrow and questions of fault and liability of the operator should be clarified
emission is unavoidable and	in case of averting an actual danger or a major accident
necessary to prevent an immediate	
and substantial adverse impact on	
human safety, public health or the	
environment, but does not include	
situations arising from or related to	
the following events:	
(a) failure of the operator to install	
appropriate equipment of sufficient	
capacity for the expected or actual	
rate and pressure of production;	
(b) failure of the operator to limit	
production where the production	
rate exceeds the capacity of the	
related equipment or gathering	
system, except where the excess	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
production is due to a downstream	
emergency, malfunction, or	
unscheduled repair and lasts for no	
longer than eight hours from the	
time of notification of the	
downstream capacity issue;	
(c) scheduled maintenance;	
(d) operator negligence;	
(e) repeated failures, that is to say	
four or more failures within the	
preceding 30 days, of the same	
piece of equipment;	
(21) 'malfunction' means a	
sudden, unavoidable failure or	
breakdown of equipment beyond	
the reasonable control of the	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
operator that substantially disrupts operations but does not include a failure or breakdown that is caused entirely or in part by poor maintenance, careless operation or other preventable equipment failure or breakdown;	
(22) 'routine flaring' means flaring during the normal production of oil or fossil gas and in the absence of sufficient facilities or amenable geology to re-inject methane, utilise it on-site, or dispatch it to a market;	DK: (Comments): It is positive that the definition of 'routine flaring' is almost identical to the definition by the World Bank (Global Gas Flaring Reduction (GGFR) Partnership and the 'Zero Routine Flaring by 2030' (ZRF) initiative), and Denmark appreciates the answer given at the EWP 21. March 2022, to keep the definitions simple by focusing on 'routine flaring' and not include the other flaring types 'safety flaring' and 'non-routine flaring' used by the World Bank.
	However, Denmark is concerned that the current definition does not give sufficiently clarity as to when flaring is in fact allowed, and thus not 'routine flaring'.  Can the Commission confirm that 'safety flaring' and 'non-routine flaring' as defined by the World Bank

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	GFR partnership and ZRF initiative, are allowed in the proposed regulation?
	A full categorization of flaring the flaring types including 'Safety Flaring' and
	'Non-routine flaring' would further help companies and authorities to target mitigations and reductions
	more efficiently.
	This comment also relates to Article 15 paragraph 5.
	World Bank GGFR Partnership - Gas Flaring Definitions:
	https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/755071467695306362/pdf/Global-gas-flaring-reduction-
	partnership-gas-flaring-definitions.pdf
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	(22) 'routine flaring' means flaring during the normal production of oil or fossil gas and in the absence of
	sufficient facilities or amenable geology to re-inject methane, utilise it on-site, net environmental gain or
	dispatch it to a market;
	NL:
	(Comments):
	The definition of routine flaring (22) seems to be inspired by situations in which associated gas that is
	released during the extraction of oil cannot be discharged because there is no infrastructure to do so. In NL

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	the necessary infrastructure is present, but flaring is still necessary in the E&P sector.  In some cases the CO2 production for electricity generation (needed to drive the vent gas compressor) is higher than the CO2 equivalents of the flared methane stream. In such a case, recompression is not effective (it would lead to a net increase in CO2 emissions).  The suggestion is to add that stopping flaring only has to take place in case of a net environmental gain (scope 1 and scope 2).
(23) 'flare stack' means a device equipped with a burner used to flare methane;	
(24) 'inactive well' means an oil or gas well or well site where operations for exploration or production have ceased for at least one year;	IE: (Drafting): (24) 'inactive well' means an oil or gas well or well site where operations for exploration or production have ceased for at least one year and which has not been permanently plugged and abandoned.  IE: (Comments): It is important to ensure that inactive infrastructure does not contribute to methane emissions. However there is a need to draw a clear distinction between suspended wells and permanently plugged and abandoned wells. In our preliminary view, the risk of emissions from those categories of infrastructure are

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission managed	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	sufficiently different to warrant different obligations.
	DE:
	(Drafting):
	'inactive well' means an oil or gas well or well site where operations for exploration or production have
	ceased for at least one year
	and which has not been permanently plugged and abandoned in accordance with regulatory requirements of
	the competent authorities."
	DE:
	(Comments):
	We suggest to consider if for orderly permanent plugged wells and backfilled/sealed mining sites some obligations of the proposal should be adjusted or exempted (e. g. time frame, necessity and frequencies of inspections and measurement). Therefore it could be necessary to develop criteria what "orderly permanent plugged wells and backfilled/sealed mining sites" are. Reference on best available techniques (BAT) for such wells/sites could be helpful and elaborated in an Annex or an Article that addresses the task of developing an an "BAT-Document".
	DK:
	(Comments):
	It was positively noted at the EWP meeting 21. March 2022, that the commission was open wrt to delimit
	the definition of 'inactive well' since Denmark finds that it is unclear whether 'inactive wells' include
	temporary plugged wells, permanently plugged wells or fully plugged and abandoned wells. This
	clarification is needed in order to assess the extend of article 18. Denmark suggests that 'inactive wells'
	should include temporary plugged wells.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission nuonosal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	Permanently plugged wells and fully plugged and abandoned wells should not be part of the definition of
	'inactive well'.
	CY:
	(Comments):
	What is the definition of inactive well (or active well)? Inactive wells include also the unsucessful exploration wells? Also the water depth affects the amount of methane that may escape to the air.
	AT:
	(Comments):
	Question to the European Commission as to which net savings potential is seen at EU level for permanently filled boreholes and whether such boreholes should not be excluded from the scope of Article 18 (provided that throughout the EU a state-of-the-art standard for the filling of boreholes exists and is also fulfilled)?  See also further down below at Article 18.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	(24) 'inactive well' means an oil or gas well or well site where operations for exploration or production
	have ceased for at least one year; the well has not yet been permanently pluged and the pressure measured
	on the wellhead is higher than the atmospheric pressure,
	Option B
	'inactive well' means an oil or gas well or well site where operations for exploration or production have
	ceased for at least one year

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	and which has not been permanently plugged and abandoned in accordance with regulatory requirements of
	the competent authorities."
	HU:
	(Comments):
	Hungary supports DE proposal as well.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	'Inactive well' means an oil or gas well or well site where production, injection, disposal or workover
	operations have ceased for at least one year.
	DE:
	(Drafting):

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(25) 'remediating' means the	(24 bis) 'backfilled/sealed mining sites' are  DE: (Comments): [maybe needed for sealed underground hard coal mines]
process of cleaning up contaminated water and soil;	
	NL: (Drafting): Sealed/plugged well NL: (Comments): Extra definition needed.
(26) 'reclaiming' means the process of returning a well or well site to having soil and vegetation conditions similar to those that existed before it was disturbed;	

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(27) 'coal mine' means a site	
where coal mining occurs or has	
occurred, including lands,	
excavations, underground	
passageways, shafts, slopes,	
tunnels and workings, structures,	
facilities, equipment, machines and	
tools situated on the surface or	
underground and used in, or	
resulting from the work of	
extracting lignite, subbituminous	
coal, bituminous coal, or anthracite	
from its natural deposits in the	
earth by any means or method,	
including the work of preparing the	
coal to be extracted;	
(28) 'operating coal mine'	
means a coal mine where the	
majority of its revenue comes from	

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
the work of extracting lignite,	
subbituminous coal, bituminous	
coal or anthracites, and where at	
least one of the following	
conditions apply:	
(a) mine development is underway.	
(b) coal has been produced within	
the last 90 days.	
(c) mine ventilation fans are	
operative.	
(29) 'underground coal mine'	
means a coal mine where coal is	
produced by tunnelling into the	
earth to the coalbed, which is then	
mined with underground mining	
equipment such as cutting	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
machines and continuous, longwall	
and shortwall mining machines,	
and transported to the surface;	
(30) 'surface coal mine' means a coal mine where coal lies near the surface and can be extracted by removing the covering layers of rock and soil;	
(31) 'ventilation shaft' means a	
vertical passage used to move fresh	
air underground or to remove	
methane and other gases from an	
underground coal mine;	
(32) 'drainage station' means a	
station collecting methane from a	
coal mine gas drainage system;	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(33) 'drainage system' means a system, which may comprise multiple methane sources and which drains methane-rich gas from coal seams or surrounding rock strata and transports it to a drainage station;	
(34) 'post-mining activities' are activities carried out after coal has been mined and brought to the surface, including coal handling, processing, storage, and transport;	IE: (Drafting): (34) 'post-mining activities' are follow-on activities carried out after coal has been mined extracted and brought to the surface, including coal handling, processing, storage, and transport; IE: (Comments): There is a risk that 'post-mining activities' could also be perceived as referring to activities relating to reclamation and remediation of a mine which has been closed or abandoned.  Alternatively, consideration could be given to a different term in lieu of 'post-mining activities'.
(35) 'continuous measurement'	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
means a measurement where the	
reading is taken at least every	
minute;	
(36) 'ventilation air methane' means methane emitted from coal seams and other gas-bearing strata and which enters the ventilation air and is exhausted from the ventilation shaft;	
, community	
(37) 'coal deposit' is an area of the land containing significantly mineable quantities of coal, defined according to the Member State's methodology on documenting geological mineral deposits;	IE:  (Drafting):  (37) 'coal deposit' is an area of the land containing significant significantly mineable quantities of coal, which may be defined according to the Member State's methodology on documenting geological mineral deposits and may have a corresponding resource estimation calculated for an international mineral reporting classification code;
	IE: (Comments): A mineral deposit is where there is a high concentration occurrence of a mineral. Whether the mineral is

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	"mineable" depends on the nature of the deposit, economic viability and social / environmental factors.
	MS may not have a set methodology for documenting deposits (such as defining the threshold between a
	coal occurrence and a coal deposit) or may only have a list of mineral occurrences, where resouces/reserves
	may have been calculated based on international mineral reporting classification codes eg. PERC which is
	not MS-specific or there is just a list of non-reported high concentrated mineral intersections The wording
	could be changed to better reflect this.
(38) 'closed coal mine' means a	
coal mine with an identified	
operator, owner or licensee and	
closed according to the applicable	
licensing requirements or other	
regulations;	
(20) (1 1 1 1 ; )	
(39) 'abandoned coal mine'	
means a coal mine where an	
operator, owner or licensee cannot	
be identified, or that has not been	
closed in a regulated manner;	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(40) 'coking coal mine' means a mine where at least 50% of the production output averaged over	
the last three available years is coking coal, as defined in Annex B of Regulation (EC) no 1099/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>36</sup> ;	
(41) 'importer' means a natural or legal person established in the Union who, in the course of a commercial activity, places fossil energy from a third country on the Union market.	HU: (Drafting): (41)— 'importer' means a natural or legal person established in the Union who, in the course of a commercial activity, places fossil energy from a third country on the Union market HU: (Comments): We propose to delete the definition, since we do not support the provisions on import. PL: (Drafting):

<sup>36</sup> Regulation (EC) No 1099/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 October 2008 on energy statistics (OJ L 304, 14.11.2008, p. 1)

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	(41) 'importer' means a natural or legal person established in the Union who, in the course of a
	commercial activity, places fossil energy from a third country on the Union market.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	The definition of "importer" should be reviewed in detail with respect to the activities of companies not
	registered in the European Union but supplying natural gas within the European Union (gas purchase
	contracts with a delivery point at gas hubs in the European Union). There is a risk that for such transactions,
	the lack of clarity regarding the definition of importer may lead to some transactions not being subject to the
	disclosure obligations.
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	(42) 'coalbed methane' means a mixture of gases originating from underground spaces;
	(42) 'sources of coalbed methane' means from underground spaces;
	(43) 'coalbed methane extraction test' means the controlled extraction of coal mine methane to verify its
	composition and yield;
	(44) 'system shutdown' means all situations where a partial or complete pressure reduction is required
	before starting the repair.
	CZ:
	(Comments):
	The Czech Republic proposes the following definitions to bring more clarity to the proposed

Deadline: 23 March

regulated companies including

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022 **Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal** Comments Regulation. Article 3 **Costs of regulated operators** AT: (Comments): Methane emission reduction activities are negligible in the context of the operation of grids. Thus, relevant investments could be implemented inefficiently even though the network operator as such was efficient (or vice versa). Therefore, a comparison of the activities themselves would therefore rather have to take place (than a comparison of the network operator as a whole). For this purpose, the regulatory authority could use the results of the unit investment costs comparison. Furthermore, an obligation for the operator to supply data and an authorization for the NRA to pass it on to ACER would have to be anchored. When fixing or approving IE: transmission or distribution tariffs (Comments): or the methodologies to be used by No problem. This is done under CRU tariff-setting procedures. transmission system operators, DE: distribution system operators, LNG (Drafting): terminal operators or other When fixing or approving transmission or distribution tariffs or the methodologies to be used by

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

### **Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal** Comments where applicable underground gas transmission system operators, distribution system operators, LNG terminal operators or other regulated storage operators, regulatory companies operating with fossil and/or renewable (bio-or synthetic) methane including where applicable authorities shall take into account underground gas storage operators, regulatory authorities shall take into account the costs incurred and the costs incurred and investments investments made to comply with the obligations under this Regulation, insofar as they correspond to those made to comply with the of an efficient and structurally comparable regulated operator. obligations under this Regulation, DE: insofar as they correspond to those (Comments): of an efficient and structurally See above (7); comparable regulated operator. We also suggest to clarify whether "costs incurred and investments made.." only includes the costs for mitigating measures or also the administrative costs incurred by the regular reporting obligations. DK: (Comments): Under the EU ETS directive, much consideration has been given to shaping MRV-provisions in a costeffective and economically efficient manner. Denmark suggests that it be considered to align the MRV rules in the Methane egulation with the MRV rules under the ETS. Furthermore, it should be considered to include specific rules in the Methane Regulation on the avoidance of unreasonable costs, such as the rules on unreasonable costs included in the ETS MRV-regulation 2018/2066? CZ:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission managel	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	(Drafting):
	1. When fixing or approving gas tariffs or the methodologies to be used by transmission system operators,
	distribution system operators, LNG terminal operators or other regulated companies including where
	applicable underground gas storage operators, regulatory authorities shall include the costs incurred and
	investments made to comply with the obligations under this Regulation, insofar as they correspond to the
	best available techniques applicable to the assets and operations under regulation.
	2. Subsidies, incentives or funds for monitoring, reporting and verification and mitigation measures of
	methane emissions should also support the efforts taken on non-regulated activities.
	CZ:
	(Comments):
	Not all gas infrastructure operators impacted by the proposed Regulation are regulated, for example underground storage operators in CZ are not regulated but costs and investments for mitigation measures and monitoring and verification due to this Regulation will not be cheap. The rationale behind the suggesting is to keep equal conditions for members of whole gas infrastructure chain.
	AT:
	(Drafting):
	"When fixing or approving transmission or distribution tariffs or the methodologies to be used by
	transmission system operators, distribution system operators, LNG terminal operators or other
	regulated companies including where applicable underground gas storage operators, regulatory
	authorities shall take into account the costs incurred and investments made to comply with the

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	obligations under this Regulation, insofar as they <del>correspond to those of an</del> <b>are</b> efficient <b>ly</b> and
	transparently incurred structurally comparable regulated operator. The unit investment costs
	referred to in paragraph 2 can be used by the regulatory authorities to benchmark the costs
	incurred by the operators.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	The regocnition of costs will have an impact on final consumer prices. We propose to introduce other incentives (EU funding, subsidies, state aid) to alleviate the indirect burden on final consumers.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	1. When fixing or approving transmission or distribution tariffs or the methodologies to be used by
	transmission system operators, distribution system operators, LNG terminal operators or other regulated
	companies including where applicable underground gas storage operators, regulatory authorities shall take
	into account include the additional costs incurred and investments made to comply with the obligations
	under this Regulation, insofar as they correspond to those of an efficient and structurally comparable
	regulated operator the best available techniques applicable to the assets and operations under regulation.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	Allowing the costs of measures to comply with the Regulation to qualify as eligible costs for the purposes
	of tariff determination is an advisable solution from the perspective of infrastructure operators. However, it

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	should be borne in mind that the proposal does not address the issue of excessive costs for customers. Thus, the need to reduce the burden of obligations remains crucial.  Tariffs shall take into account as a reference the best available techniques applicable to the assets and operations under regulation. Not all solutions are applicable to every situation.  Potential indicators can only give a reference range of abatement costs associated with the different techniques, and just for comparable projects in terms of asset type, age and boundary conditions.  Additionally, non-operated assets are also taking action to reduce methane emissions. Subsidies or funds shall also be applicable to those operators who are taking early action.
	PL: (Drafting):  2. Subsidies, incentives or funds for monitoring, reporting and verification and mitigation measures of methane emissions should also support the efforts taken on regulated as well as non-regulated activities.
2. Every three years, the European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER) shall establish and make publicly available a set of indicators and corresponding reference values for the	CY: (Comments): And then what? How will the affected parties be called to use these values? This cost will be like a cap cost to be taken into account in tariff setting (as it is written in paragraph (5))? Shouldn't this be also written here?  NL: (Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
1 1	Comments
comparison of unit investment	NL supports the possibility that costs may be passed on. However, it is unclear how the various asset levels
costs linked to measurement,	are determined and how a distinction is made between the various assets (see Article 34). As stated in Article 34, ACER must establish a set of indicators every three years to determine whether costs are
reporting and abatement of	effective and efficient: how is this process done? How is that determined? Can member states share input?
methane emissions for comparable	AT:
projects.	(Drafting):
	Every three years, the European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER) shall
	establish and make publicly available a set of indicators and corresponding reference values for the
	comparison of unit investment costs linked to measurement, reporting and abatement of methane
	emissions for comparable projects. The relevant regulatory authorities and the regulated
	operators shall provide ACER with all the data necessary for this comparison.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	In our view, the ACER is not responsible for overseeing the oil supply chain and the coal mining market, for monitoring related investments and emissions, and for determining its costs. It is proposed to revise the provision in order to ensure a uniform definition of the reference costs.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	3. Every three years, the European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER)
	shall establish and make publicly available a set of indicators and corresponding to a range of reference
	values for the comparison of unit investment costs linked to measurement and quantification, reporting
	and abatement of methane emissions techniques for comparable projects in terms of asset type, age and

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission managel	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	boundary conditions.  PL:  (Comments):  Tariffs shall take into account as a reference the best available techniques applicable to the assets and operations under regulation. Not all solutions are applicable to every situation.  Potential indicators can only give a reference range of abatement costs associated with the different techniques, and just for comparable projects in terms of asset type, age and boundary conditions.  Additionally, non-operated assets are also taking action to reduce methane emissions. Subsidies or funds shall also be applicable to those operators who are taking early action.
Chapter 2	
Competent authorities and independent verification	
Article 4	AT: (Comments): Independent monitoring is supported by AT.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
Competent authorities	NL: (Comments): The Commission should clarify the role of the competent authorities in relation to verifiers and IMEO to ensure coordinated action and to avoid double verification.
1. Each Member State shall designate one or more competent authorities responsible for monitoring and enforcing the application of this Regulation.	IE: (Comments): We support the flexibility of designating multiple competent authorities if required.
Member States shall notify the Commission of the names and contact details of the competent authorities by [3 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation]. Member States shall notify the Commission without delay of any changes to the names	HU: (Drafting): Member States shall notify the Commission of the names and contact details of the competent authorities by [12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation]. Member States shall notify the Commission without delay of any changes to the names or contact details of the competent authorities. HU: (Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
or contact details of the competent	In Hungary, it is expected that more authorities will need to be designated according to the tasks arising
authorities.	from the implementation of the Regulation. The designation will take much longer time than 3 months, we propose to amend it to at least 12 months.
2. The Commission shall make a list of the competent authorities publicly available and shall regularly update that list.	
3. Member States shall ensure	
that the competent authorities have	
adequate powers and resources to	
perform the obligations set out in	
this Regulation.	
Article 5	
Tasks of the competent	
authorities	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

	Profting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
1. The competent authorities shall take the necessary measures to ensure compliance with the requirements set out in this Regulation.	NL:  (Comments):  It is currently not possible to estimate what the text "Operators and mine operators shall provide the competent authorities with all assistance necessary" will mean - keep an eye out for (much) extra administration / correspondence.  Clarification needed.  HU:  (Drafting):  I. The competent authorities Member States shall take the necessary measures to ensure compliance with the requirements set out in this Regulation.  HU:  (Comments):  In our view the member states are responsible for the application of the regulation.
2. Operators and mine operators shall provide the competent authorities with all assistance necessary to enable or facilitate the performance of the	LV: (Comments): We suggest including at least the framework that defines "all assistance necessary" regarding the information that operators and mine operators shall provide to the competent authorities. Otherwise,

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
tasks of the competent authorities referred to in this Regulation, notably as regards access to the premises and the presentation of documentation or records.	competent authorities may interpret "all assistance necessary" differently, and the responsibilities of operators and mine operators will be different in all countries.  NL:  (Drafting):  2. Operators and mine operators shall provide the competent authorities with all assistance necessary to enable or facilitate the performance of the tasks of the competent authorities referred to in this Regulation, notably as regards access to the premises sites and the presentation of documentation or records.
	PL: (Drafting): Operators and mine operators shall provide the competent authorities with all possible assistance reasonably necessary to enable or facilitate the performance of the tasks of the competent authorities referred to in this Regulation and in coherence with existing regulation in place, notably as regards access to the sites premises and the presentation of documentation or records.
	PL: (Comments): Competent Authorities will have access to all reasonable information. Presumably, would be verifiers the one that would need detailed information about quantification and methodologies.
3. The competent authorities shall cooperate with each other and	IE:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
with the Commission and as	
	(Comments):
necessary with authorities of third	The IE NRA already cooperates fully in relation to gas transmission to a third country (UK).
countries, in order to ensure	HU:
compliance with this Regulation.	(Drafting):
The Commission may set up a	3. The competent authorities shall cooperate with each other and with the Commission and as
network of competent authorities to	necessary may cooperate with authorities of third countries, in order to ensure compliance with this
foster cooperation, with the	Regulation. The Commission may set up a network of competent authorities to foster cooperation, with the
necessary arrangements for	necessary arrangements for exchanging information and best practices and allow for consultations.
exchanging information and best	HU:
practices and allow for	(Comments):
consultations.	Cooperation with 3 <sup>rd</sup> countries should be optional.
	Cooperation does not guarantee a coordinated position or decision, there is no obligation for the 3rd country
	authorities to cooperate, and it is unlikely that there is an authority designated for the purpose of the
	Regulation in 3 <sup>rd</sup> countries
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	3. The competent authorities shall cooperate with each other and with the Commission and as
	necessary with authorities of third countries, in order to ensure compliance with this Regulation. The
	Commission may set up a network of competent authorities to foster cooperation, with the necessary
	arrangements for exchanging information and best practices and allow for consultations. The scope of the

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	
Commission proposar	Comments	
	data should allow for protecting the confidentiality of commercially sensitive information of	
	companies, so it shall be in aggregate form.	
	NL:	
	(Drafting):	
	Competent Authorities shall review and approve the methane emissions mitigation plan submitted by the	
	operators.	
	NL:	
	(Comments):	
	The establishment of a methane emissions mitigation plan will ensure a prioritisation of those mitigation	
	actions that enables the highest emissions reduction in the shortest time and at the lowest costs. The	
	involvement of the National Competent Authorities is key, as this process will have an impact on both the	
	end-consumers and the national decarbonisation strategy.	
	PL:	
	(Drafting):	
	Competent Authorities shall review and approve the multi-year methane emissions mitigation plan	
	submitted by the operators.	
	PL:	
	(Comments):	
	The establishment of a multi-year methane emissions mitigation plan will ensure a prioritisation of those	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
4. Where reports are to be made public in accordance with this Regulation, the competent authorities shall make them publicly available free of charge, on a designated website and in freely accessible, downloadable and editable format.	mitigation actions that enables the highest emissions reduction in the shortest time and at the lowest costs.  The involvement of the National Competent Authorities is key as this process will have an impact on both the end-consumers and the national decarbonisation strategy.  NL:  (Comments):  From the perspective of transparency, there is agreement to make reports public. However, there must be understanding for exceptions, for example where company confidential information or security aspects are concerned.  HU:  (Drafting):  4. Where reports are to be made public in accordance with this Regulation, the competent authorities shall make them publicly available free of charge, on a designated website and in freely accessible, downloadable and editable format. Commercially sensitive data should be handled accordingly.  HU:  (Comments):  The handling of commercially sensitive data should be ensured. National provisons for critical infrastructure should be respected.
	PL: (Drafting): 5. Where reports are to be made public in accordance with this Regulation, the competent authorities

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	shall make them publicly available free of charge, on a designated website and in freely accessible, downloadable and editable format.
Where information is kept confidential in accordance with Article 4 of Directive 2003/4/EC, the competent authorities shall indicate the type of information that has been withheld and the reason therefor.	NL: (Drafting): Where information is kept confidential in accordance with Article 4 of Directive 2003/4/EC and in regards of data protection for market functioning, the competent authorities shall indicate the type of information that has been withheld and the reason therefor. PL: (Drafting): Where information is kept confidential in accordance with Article 4 of Directive 2003/4/EC and in regards of data protection for market functioning the competent authorities shall indicate the type of information that has been withheld and the reason therefor. PL: (Comments): Information sharing should be coherent with additional obligations and regulation in place.
Article 6	DE:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	(Comments): We suggest to clarify more precise in Article 6 who should assess the environmental risk. In addition we should clarify basic criteriea for this assessment.  DK: (Comments): In the ETS it has been shown that thorough monitoring, reporting and verification can be carried out without inspections by public authorities. Can the Commission explain why such inspections are found to be necessary in the Methane Regulation?
Inspections	
1. The competent authorities shall carry out periodic inspections to check the compliance of operators or mine operators with the requirements set out in this Regulation. The first inspection shall be completed by [18 months after the date of entry into	LV: (Drafting): The competent authorities shall carry out periodic inspections to check the compliance of operators or mine operators with the requirements set out in this Regulation. The first inspection shall be completed by [18 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] shall start not earlier than [12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].  LV: (Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
force of this Regulation].	Latvia can be flexible towards 18 months as a deadline for completion of the first inspection, yet we suggest
jorce of this Regulation].	
	to define the starting period.
	DE:
	(Comments):
	In case Chapter 4 Section III is not deleted:
	This is not necessary if a controlling mechanism is already established with the authorities.
	RO:
	(Comments):
	Romania underlines that the compliance with the provisions of the current proposed Regulation requires additional qualified human resources, in order to perform the inspections.
	CY:
	(Comments):
	Competent Authorities shall establish empowerement procedures for the persons that will carry out the inspections. We suggest the addition of a clause to regulate this need.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	The competent authorities shall carry out periodic inspections based on a risk assessment to check the
	compliance of operators or mine operators with the requirements set out in this Regulation. The first
	inspection shall be completed by [18 36 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].
	NL:
	(Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	- No relation between inspection frequency and the risk of leaks: NL has many small unmanned plants with
	minimal emissions. Better to visit large installations/emitters often and smaller ones less often. A suggestion
	could be to set up a risk-based system on the basis of which you determine an inspection frequency.
	- Also, visiting each year to carry out inspections leads to a greater environmental burden (heli flights and
	ships are needed offshore) than the environmental gain to be had from discovering (possible) small leaks.
	- Period for performing first inspections very short for number of production locations NL has (min. 3 years
	instead of 18 months)
	- Adding a threshhold value for emissions can help, like in e-PRTR.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	1. The competent authorities shall carry out periodic inspections to check the compliance of operators
	or mine operators with the requirements set out in this Regulation. The first inspection shall be completed
	by [30 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We propose to postpone the date of the first inspection to 30 months after the entry into force of the
	regulation, since setting up the new authority and fullfilling the requirements set out in the draft (measures,
	repairs, other investments) will need longer time.
	PL:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
• •	Comments
	(Drafting):
	1. The competent authorities shall carry out periodic-inspections if the need is identified to check the
	compliance of operators or mine operators with the requirements set out in this Regulation. The first
	inspection shall be completed by [18 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].
	PL:
	(Comments):
	In order to reduce the administrative burden to both the operator and the competent authorities, we propose
	to eliminate the obligation of the periodic/routine/non-routine inspections. Operators will follow high-
	quality quality standards, such as ISO 55001, ISO 14001 and ISO 14064, to guarantee a good fulfilment of
	the set requirements and even to certify them. The right to inspection by the competent authority is always
	present when an issue is identified and/or when is required. The inspections should be aligned with
	additional obligations and regulations to avoid increasing the costs and administrative burden.
	To avoid double verification and to increase costs and administrative burden, competent authorities should
	evaluate the compliance of operators with this regulation, based on the verification already performed by
	the verifiers.
2. Inspections shall include,	BE:
where relevant, site checks or field	(Drafting):
audits examination of	2. Inspections shall include, where relevant, site checks or field audits examination of documentation
documentation and records that	and records that demonstrate compliance with the requirements of this Regulation, methane emissions

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
demonstrate compliance with the	detection and concentration measurements and any follow-up action undertaken by or on behalf of the
requirements of this Regulation,	competent authority to check and promote compliance of sites or facilities with the requirements of this
methane emissions detection and	Regulation.
concentration measurements and	BE:
any follow-up action undertaken by	(Comments):
or on behalf of the competent	Facilities is not defined. Use 'sites' or 'asset' in this case.
authority to check and promote	PL:
compliance of sites or facilities	(Drafting):
with the requirements of this	Competent Authorities may launch inspection which could include Inspections shall include, where
Regulation.	relevant, site checks or field audits examination of certified/audited documentation and records that
	demonstrate compliance with the requirements of this Regulation, methane emissions detection and
	concentration measurements and any follow-up action undertaken by or on behalf of the competent
	authority to check and promote compliance of sites or facilities with the requirements of this Regulation.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	In order to reduce the administrative burden to both the operator and the competent authorities, we propose
	to eliminate the obligation of the periodic/routine/non-routine inspections. Operators will follow high-
	quality quality standards, such as ISO 55001, ISO 14001 and ISO 14064, to guarantee a good fulfilment of
	the set requirements and even to certify them. The right to inspection by the competent authority is always
	present when an issue is identified and/or when is required. The inspections should be aligned with

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	additional obligations and regulations to avoid increasing the costs and administrative burden.  To avoid double verification and to increase costs and administrative burden, competent authorities should evaluate the compliance of operators with this regulation, based on the verification already performed by the verifiers.
Where an inspection has identified a serious breach of the requirements of this Regulation, the competent authorities shall issue a notice of remedial actions to be undertaken by the operator or mine operator, as part of the report referred to in paragraph 5.	BE: (Comments):  Question  There is a need for a clear definition of a 'serious breach'. In an ideal case, this should be quantified. This is important in order to create a level playing field between the member states.  AT: (Comments): It is suggested to create a definition for the "serious breach" mentioned in Article 6, to be accompanied by examples if necessary, since the mention of "serious" suggests that there could also be other breaches. This is to ensure equal handling throughout the Union.
3. After the first inspection referred to in paragraph 1, the competent authorities shall draw up programmes for routine	IE: (Comments): In respect of inactive offshore infrastructure, the maximum period between inspections should be longer than two years.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
inspections. The period between	BE:
inspections shall be based on an	(Drafting):
appraisal of the environmental risk	3. After the first inspection referred to in paragraph 1, the competent authorities shall draw up
and shall not exceed two years.	<b>proportionate</b> programmes for routine inspections. The period between inspections shall be based on an
Where an inspection has identified	appraisal of the environmental risk and shall not exceed two years. Where an inspection has identified a serious breach of the requirements of this Regulation, the subsequent inspection shall take place within one
a serious breach of the	year.
requirements of this Regulation,	NL:
the subsequent inspection shall	(Drafting):
take place within one year.	After the first inspection referred to in paragraph 1, the competent authorities shall draw up programmes for
	routine inspections. The period between inspections shall be based on an appraisal of the environmental risk
	and shall not exceed two five years. Where an inspection has identified a serious breach of the requirements
	of this Regulation, the subsequent inspection shall take place within one year.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Period of 2 years is very short. Not feasible for a small country with so many production locations. (Increase to 5 years)
	AT:
	(Comments):
	It is suggested to create a definition be created for the "serious breach" mentioned in Article 6, to be accompanied by examples if necessary, since the mention of "serious" suggests that there could also be other breaches. This is to ensure equal handling throughout the Union.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	HU: (Drafting): The period between inspections may shall be based on an appraisal of the environmental risk and shall not exceed two years. Where an inspection has identified a serious breach of the requirements of this Regulation, the subsequent inspection shall take place within one year. HU: (Comments): We propose environmental risk assessment to be optional, which is a non-conventional task of the authorities. PL:
	(Drafting):  3. After the first inspection referred to in paragraph 1, the competent authorities shall draw up programmes for routine inspections. The period between inspections shall be based on an appraisal of the environmental risk and shall not exceed two years. Where an inspection has identified a serious breach of the requirements of this Regulation, the subsequent inspection shall take place within one year PL:  (Comments):  In order to reduce the administrative burden to both the operator and the competent authorities, we propose to aliminate the addition of the partial information of the propose.
	to eliminate the obligation of the periodic/routine/non-routine inspections. Operators will follow high-quality quality standards, such as ISO 55001, ISO 14001 and ISO 14064, to guarantee a good fulfilment of

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	the set requirements and even to certify them. The right to inspection by the competent authority is always present when an issue is identified and/or when is required. The inspections should be aligned with additional obligations and regulations to avoid increasing the costs and administrative burden.  To avoid double verification and to increase costs and administrative burden, competent authorities should evaluate the compliance of operators with this regulation, based on the verification already performed by the verifiers. 6 item 3 - should be clarified.
4. The competent authorities shall carry out non-routine inspections:	HU: (Comments): The proposed provisions are unnecessarily detailed in the context of regulating the procedures of Member States' authorities.  PL: (Drafting): The competent authorities shall may carry out non-routine inspections.  PL: (Comments): In order to reduce the administrative burden to both the operator and the competent authorities, we propose to eliminate the obligation of the periodic/routine/non-routine inspections. Operators will follow high-
	quality quality standards, such as ISO 55001, ISO 14001 and ISO 14064, to guarantee a good fulfilment of the set requirements and even to certify them. The right to inspection by the competent authority is always

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(a) to investigate substantiated	present when an issue is identified and/or when is required. The inspections should be aligned with additional obligations and regulations to avoid increasing the costs and administrative burden.  To avoid double verification and to increase costs and administrative burden, competent authorities should evaluate the compliance of operators with this regulation, based on the verification already performed by the verifiers.  PL:
complaints referred to in Article 7 and occurrences of non-compliance as soon as possible after the date the competent authorities become aware of such complaints or non-compliance;	(Drafting):  to investigate substantiated complaints referred to in Article 7 and occurrences of non-compliance as soon as possible after the date the competent authorities become aware of such complaints or non-compliance;  PL:  (Comments):  In order to reduce the administrative burden to both the operator and the competent authorities, we propose to eliminate the obligation of the periodic/routine/non-routine inspections. Operators will follow high-quality quality standards, such as ISO 55001, ISO 14001 and ISO 14064, to guarantee a good fulfilment of the set requirements and even to certify them. The right to inspection by the competent authority is always present when an issue is identified and/or when is required. The inspections should be aligned with additional obligations and regulations to avoid increasing the costs and administrative burden.  To avoid double verification and to increase costs and administrative burden, competent authorities should evaluate the compliance of operators with this regulation, based on the verification already performed by

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
	the verifiers.
(b) to ensure that leak repairs or	PL:
replacements of components were	(Drafting):
carried out in accordance with	to ensure that leak repairs or replacements of components were carried out in accordance with Article 14.
Article 14.	PL:
	(Comments):
	In order to reduce the administrative burden to both the operator and the competent authorities, we propose
	to eliminate the obligation of the periodic/routine/non-routine inspections. Operators will follow high-
	quality quality standards, such as ISO 55001, ISO 14001 and ISO 14064, to guarantee a good fulfilment of
	the set requirements and even to certify them. The right to inspection by the competent authority is always
	present when an issue is identified and/or when is required. The inspections should be aligned with
	additional obligations and regulations to avoid increasing the costs and administrative burden.
	To avoid double verification and to increase costs and administrative burden, competent authorities should
	evaluate the compliance of operators with this regulation, based on the verification already performed by
	the verifiers.
5. Following each inspection,	DE:
the competent authorities shall	(Comments):
prepare a report describing the	To facilitate the work of compentent authorities we suggest to align the report with e. g. procedures/duties

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
legal basis for the inspection, the procedural steps followed, the relevant findings and recommendations for the further action by the operator or mine operator.	based on IED or SEVESO standards. If additional information is required it could be referred on mechanismen based on Aarhus-Convention.  AT: (Comments): With regard to Article 6, paragraph 5, it is suggested to include the option that reports on the inspections carried out could be combined so that a separate report would not necessarily have to be prepared for each inspection.  HU: (Comments): The proposed provisions are unnecessarily detailed in the context of regulating the procedures of Member States' authorities. The detailed documentation of the inspection as proposed is not reasoned (notification of the complainant, publicity ). We propose to simplify the provisions and refer to the general rules of national administrative procedures.  PL: (Drafting): The report shall be notified to the operator concerned and made publicly available within two months of the date of the inspection. Where the report was triggered by a complaint made in accordance with Article 7, the competent authorities shall notify the complainant once the report is publicly available.  PL: (Comments): Reports should not be published that reveal proprietary information.

Deadline: 23 March

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
The report shall be notified to the	
operator concerned and made	
publicly available within two	
months of the date of the	
inspection. Where the report was	
triggered by a complaint made in	
accordance with Article 7, the	
competent authorities shall notify	
the complainant once the report is	
publicly available.	
The report shall be made publicly	
available by the competent	
authorities in accordance with	
Directive 2003/4/EC. Where	
information is kept confidential in	
accordance with Article 4 of	
Directive 2003/4/EC, the	
competent authorities shall indicate	
in the report the type of	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
information that has been withheld	
and the reason thereof.	
6. Operators and mine	HU:
operators shall take all the	(Drafting):
necessary actions set out in the	6. Operators and mine operators shall take all the necessary actions set out in the report referred to in
report referred to in paragraph 5	paragraph 5 within the period determined by the competent authorities or any other period agreed with the
within the period determined by the	competent authorities.
competent authorities or any other	HU:
period agreed with the competent	(Comments):
authorities.	We propose to delete paragraph (6). If the authority adopts a decision, it is legally binding, its
	implementation does not need to be stated separately.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	Operators and mine operators shall take all the necessary actions, after consideration of economic aspects,
	set out in the report referred to in paragraph 5 within the period determined by the competent authorities or
	any other period agreed with the competent authorities.
	PL:
	(Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments	
	Economic considerations have to play a role in authorities' proceedings.	
Article 7		
Compleints led and with the		
Complaints lodged with the	NL:	
competent authorities	(Drafting):	
	Complaints lodged with the competent authorities	
	NL:	
	(Comments):	
	Regarding possible safety risks, that is the subject of separate legislation (e.g. the Seveso III Directive) and has no place here.	
	HU:	
	(Comments):	
	Clarification is needed regarding the type of the procedure and the authority.	
1. Any natural or legal person	DE:	
which considers that it has suffered	(Comments):	
injury as a result of a breach of the	To facilitate and ensure a common understanding for the competent authorities in which case a "breach of	
requirements of this Regulation by	requirements" has occurred and how to apply article 7 we suggest a specification e.g. rules of example. This	
operators or mine operators, may	could help minimise the regulatory burden.	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments	
1-1	Comments	
lodge a written complaint with the	CY:	
competent authorities.	(Comments):	
	How is the injury that one can suffer from a methane leak into the atmosphere defined and how can this	
	documented? It is very vague and will create problems for the competent authorities for the evaluation of	
	complaints.	
	The need for "injury" definition should be examined.	
	NL:	
	(Drafting):	
	1. Any natural or legal person which considers that it has suffered injury as a result of a breach of the	
	requirements of this Regulation by operators or mine operators, may lodge a written complaint with the	
	competent authorities.	
	NL:	
	(Comments):	
	It is unclear on what basis such persons could be harmed by methane emissions. After all, the effect is	
	global and not local.	
	AT:	
	(Comments):	
	<ul> <li>AT advocates a strong role for citizens and the possibility of making complaints by providing for the option to lodge a written complaint with the competent authorities.</li> <li>It is suggested that a definition for the "suffered injury" mentioned in Article 7 be included and, if necessary, provided with examples in order to ensure equal handling throughout the</li> </ul>	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments	
	comments	
	Union. HU: (Drafting): A) 1. Any natural or legal person which considers that it has suffered injury as a result of a breach of the	
	requirements of this Regulation by operators or mine operators, may lodge a written complaint with the competent authorities.	
	B) In so far as measures applicable in accordance with respective national laws, any natural or legal	
	person which considers that it has suffered injury as a result of a breach of the requirements of this	
	Regulation by operators or mine operators, may lodge a written complaint with the competent authorities.	
	HU:	
	(Comments):	
	HU supports NL approach:	
	It is unclear on what basis such persons could be harmed by methane emissions. After all, the effect is	
	global and not local.	
	Regarding possible safety risks, that is the subject of separate legislation (e.g. the Seveso III Directive) and	
	has no place here	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

	Drafting Suggestions	
Commission proposal	Comments	
	We propose reference to national laws to ensure enforceability.	
	PL:	
	(Drafting):	
	1. Any natural or legal person which considers that it has suffered injury as a result of may lodge	
	a written complaint with the competent authorities on a possible breach of the requirements of this	
	Regulation by operators or mine operators,	
	PL:	
	(Comments):	
	Art. 7 does not specify what type of decision the competent authority is entitled to issue based on complaint	
	lodged by natural or legal person i.e. it will decide on a) the actions given operator is obliged to take to	
	comply with Regulation, b) on fines to be imposed on the operator or c) on some kind of compensation for	
	injuries suffered by the complainant.	
	In relation to point a) and b) it is be notice that such competences for competent authorities stemmed from	
	Article 5 (1) in connection with Article 30. Therefore prosed Article 7 seems to be superfluous in that	
	regard.	
	Secondly, if the aim of this provision was to confer competences for national authorities to decide on	
	compensations, this provision is definitely disproportionate. It should be emphasized that there was no	
	evidence shown that already existed national procedures are not sufficient to protect the interests of natural	
	ang legal persons. Therefore replacement of contradictory civil process with new administrative	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments	
2. The complaints shall be	proceedings is not in line with proportionality principle. Moreover, it will lead to waste of authorities resources, as their representatives will have to actively participate in judicial proceedings or any otherer complaints procedures initiated as a results of legal remedies taken by operator/mine operator or individuals concerned.	
duly substantiated and contain sufficient evidence of the alleged breach and of the injury resulting therefrom.	CY: (Comments): What is considered sufficient evidence to make a complaint?  NL: (Drafting):  2. The complaints shall be duly substantiated and contain sufficient evidence of the alleged breach and of the injury resulting therefrom.	
3. Where it becomes apparent that the complaint does not provide sufficient evidence to justify pursuing an investigation, the competent authorities shall inform the complainant of the reasons for their decision not to pursue an	NL: (Drafting):  3. Where it becomes apparent that the complaint does not provide sufficient evidence to justify pursuing an investigation, the competent authorities shall inform the complainant of the reasons for their decision not to pursue an investigation.  PL: (Drafting):	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments	
investigation.	2. The complaints shall be duly substantiated and contain sufficient evidence of the alleged breach and	
	of the injury resulting therefrom.	
4. Without prejudice to the	NL:	
rules applicable pursuant to	(Drafting):	
national law, the competent	4. Without prejudice to the rules applicable pursuant to national law, the competent authorities shall	
authorities shall keep the	keep the complainant informed of the steps taken in the procedure and, where applicable, inform them of appropriate alternative forms of redress, such as recourse to national courts or any other national or	
complainant informed of the steps	international complaints procedure.	
taken in the procedure and, where	AT:	
applicable, inform them of	(Comments):	
appropriate alternative forms of	Notwithstanding AT's support for a strong role of citizens the very comprehensive regulation	
redress, such as recourse to	provided for in Article. 7 para. 4 might need to be examined more closely.	
national courts or any other	PL:	
national or international complaints	(Drafting):	
procedure.	3. Where it becomes apparent that the complaint does not provide sufficient evidence to justify	
	pursuing an investigation, the competent authorities shall inform the complainant of the reasons for their	
	decision not to pursue an investigation.	
5. Without prejudice to the	NL:	
rules applicable pursuant to	(Drafting):	
	5. Without prejudice to the rules applicable pursuant to national law and on the basis of comparable	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
national law and on the basis of comparable procedures, the competent authorities shall establish and make publicly available indicative periods to take a decision on complaints.	procedures, the competent authorities shall establish and make publicly available indicative periods to take a decision on complaints.  PL:  (Drafting):  4. Without prejudice to the rules applicable pursuant to national law, the competent authorities shall keep the complainant informed of the steps taken in the procedure and, where applicable, inform them of appropriate alternative forms of redress, such as recourse to national courts or any other national or international complaints procedure.
Article 8	DK: (Comments): Could the Commission comment on whether the Methane Regulation's provisions on verification could be shaped closer in line with the MRV rules of the ETS Directive. Ideally, the Methane Regulation could just refer to relevant parts of the existing Verification Regulation 2018/2067, which is already well known by verifiers, authorities and many operators alike. (this comment is also relevant for article 9) HU: (Comments): HU supports NL approach and can be flexible to delete this Article from the text.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022		
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestio Comments	ons
Verification activities and verification statement		
1. Verifiers shall assess the conformity of the emissions reports submitted to them by operators or mine operators in accordance with this Regulation. They shall assess the conformity of the reports with the requirements laid down this Regulation and review all data sources and methodologies used in order to assess their reliability, credibility and accuracy, in particular the following points:	NL: (Drafting):  1. Verifiers shall assess the conformity of the emissions reproperators in accordance with this Regulation. They shall assess the requirements laid down this Regulation and review all data source assess their reliability, credibility and accuracy, in particular the NL: (Comments): Although we understand the vision behind this article, we can't a heavy burden. Adding threshold in line with ePRTR may lead to but monitoring burden will still be very high. Furthermore, it has that independent verification of CO2 emissions from 28 NL ETS independent verification of methane emissions from hundreds of 500 locations (on- and offshore)).  We would like to think about possibilities to make this article methane.	the conformity of the reports with the ces and methodologies used in order to following points:  agree to this. This article will result in very large reduction of number of installations already been established under the ETS installations is already difficult: the t site visits. This would be even more true if f installations had to take place (in NL about

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments	
	Less tasks for the competent authority, but the CA would be checking the independent verificators. This might help to keep the administrative burden somehow doable.  PL:  (Drafting):  1. Verification activities shall be aligned with current practices and other obligations for the	
	avoidance of increased efforts for both operator and competent authorities.	
	Verifiers shall assess the conformity of the emissions reports submitted to them by operators or mine	
	operators in accordance with this Regulation. They shall assess the conformity of the reports with the	
	requirements laid down this Regulation and review all data sources and methodologies used in order to	
	assess their reliability, credibility and accuracy, in particular the following points:	
	PL:	
	(Comments):	
	Verification of operators' reporting is part of the core responsibilities of mining authorities. There is no	
	need to employ outside consultancies for this task.	
	Additional remark: No information available on costs of verifiers' operations. Due to the need for an	
	independent verifier to verify the conformity of reports, it should be clarified whether this verifier would act	
	(as in the case of the EU-ETS system) at the expense of the operator on the basis of contracts concluded, or	
	whether the verifier will be appointed by the competent authority and perform (independent) verifications	
	on its behalf. There is currently a lack of verifiers in Poland in this respect - the regulation should take into	

Deadline: 23 March

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
	account the time needed for verifiers to obtain accreditation.
(a) the choice and employment of	NL:
emission factors;	(Drafting):
	(a) the choice and employment of emission factors;
(b) the methodologies, calculations,	NL:
samplings, statistical distributions	(Drafting):
and levels of materiality leading to	(b) the methodologies, calculations, samplings, statistical distributions and levels of materiality leading to
the determination of methane	the determination of methane emissions;
emissions;	
(c) any risks of inappropriate	NL:
measuring or reporting;	(Drafting):
	(c) any risks of inappropriate measuring or reporting;
(d) any quality control or quality	NL:
assurance systems applied by the	(Drafting):
operators or mine operators.	(d) any quality control or quality assurance systems applied by the operators or mine operators.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments	
Commission proposar		
2. In carrying out the	BE:	
verification activities referred to in	(Comments):	
paragraph 1, verifiers shall use free	Question	
and publicly available European or	Can the 'international standards' be specified? This is important in order to create a level playing field.	
international standards for methane	NL:	
emissions quantification as made	(Drafting):	
applicable by the Commission in	2. In carrying out the verification activities referred to in paragraph 1, verifiers shall use free and	
accordance with paragraph 5. Until	publicly available European or international standards for methane emissions quantification as made	
such date where the applicability of	applicable by the Commission in accordance with paragraph 5. Until such date where the applicability of those standards is determined by the Commission, verifiers shall use existing European or international	
those standards is determined by	standards for quantification and verification of greenhouse gas emissions.	
the Commission, verifiers shall use	PL:	
existing European or international	(Drafting):	
standards for quantification and	2. In carrying out the verification activities referred to in paragraph 1, verifiers shall use free and	
verification of greenhouse gas	publicly available European or international standards for methane emissions quantification as made	
emissions.	applicable by the Commission in accordance with paragraph 5. Until such date where the applicability of	
	those standards is determined by the Commission, verifiers shall use existing European or international	
	standards for quantification and verification of greenhouse gas emissions.	
	Where no international or European standards are available operators provide information on the	
	standards that have been applied by them to the verifiers for the purpose of verification procedure.	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments	
Verifiers may conduct site checks to determine the reliability, credibility and accuracy of the data sources and methodologies used.	NL: (Drafting):  Verifiers may conduct site checks to determine the reliability, credibility and accuracy of the data sources and methodologies used.	
3. Verifiers shall issue a verification statement verifying the conformity of the emissions report and specifying the verification work carried out, once their assessment concludes with reasonable assurance that the emissions report complies with the requirements of this Regulation.	NL: (Drafting):  3. Verifiers shall issue a verification statement verifying the conformity of the emissions report and specifying the verification work carried out, once their assessment concludes with reasonable assurance that the emissions report complies with the requirements of this Regulation.	
The verifiers shall only issue the verification statement where reliable, credible and accurate data and information enable the	NL: (Drafting): The verifiers shall only issue the verification statement where reliable, credible and accurate data and information enable the methane emissions to be determined with a reasonable degree of certainty and	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
methane emissions to be	provided the reported data is coherent with the estimated data, complete and free of inconsistencies.
determined with a reasonable	
degree of certainty and provided	
the reported data is coherent with	
the estimated data, complete and	
free of inconsistencies.	
Where the assessment concludes	NL:
that the emissions report does not	(Drafting):
comply with the requirements of	Where the assessment concludes that the emissions report does not comply with the requirements of this
this Regulation, the verifiers shall	Regulation, the verifiers shall inform the operator or the mine operator thereof and the operator or the mine
inform the operator or the mine	operator shall submit a revised emissions report to the verifier without delay.
operator thereof and the operator or	
the mine operator shall submit a	
revised emissions report to the	
verifier without delay.	
4. Operators and mine	NL:
operators shall provide the verifiers	(Drafting):
with all the assistance necessary to	4. Operators and mine operators shall provide the verifiers with all the assistance necessary to enable

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
enable or facilitate the performance of the verification activities, notably as regards access to the premises and the presentation of documentation or records.	or facilitate the performance of the verification activities, notably as regards access to the premises and the presentation of documentation or records.
5. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 31 to supplement this Regulation by incorporating and setting out the applicability of European or international standards on methane emissions quantification and measurement for the purposes of this Regulation.	NL: (Drafting):  5. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 31 to supplement this Regulation by incorporating and setting out the applicability of European or international standards on methane emissions quantification and measurement for the purposes of this Regulation.  HU: (Drafting):  5. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 31 to supplement this Regulation by incorporating and setting out the applicability of European or international standards on methane emissions quantification and measurement for the purposes of this Regulation.  HU: (Comments):  We do not support the empowerment of the Commission. Member States would have no substantial influence on the legislation and the adaptation of the measurement methodology can generate significant investment needs throughout the value chain, which could lead to an unjustified increase in costs.

Deadline: 23 March

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	6. Costs incured by operator that are derived from the verification activities shall be accounted in their
	respective tarrifs.
Article 9	DK:
	(Comments):
	Please see comment to Article 8
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports NL approach to delete this Article.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
Independence and accreditation	DE:
of verifiers	(Comments):
	We see the need to clarify if there are sufficient third party verifiers in the MS/EU available from the
	beginning.
	If not we suggest to amend the transitional provisions in Article 9.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
1. Verifiers shall be independent from the operators and mine operators and shall carry out the activities required under this Regulation in the public interest. For that purpose, neither the verifiers nor any part of the same legal entity shall be an operator or mine operator, the owner of an operator or mine operator, or be owned by them, nor shall the	AT: (Comments): Independent monitoring is supported by AT.  NL: (Drafting):  1. Verifiers shall be independent from the operators and mine operators and shall carry out the activities required under this Regulation in the public interest. For that purpose, neither the verifiers nor any part of the same legal entity shall be an operator or mine operator, the owner of an operator or mine operator, or be owned by them, nor shall the verifiers have relations with operators or mine operators that could affect their independence and impartiality.  NL: (Comments): See art. 8
verifiers have relations with operators or mine operators that could affect their independence and impartiality.	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
2. Verifiers shall be accredited by a national accreditation body pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 765/2008.	BE: (Comments):  Question  Is an accredited verifier of EU country 'A' also allowed to operate as a verifier in EU country 'B'?  Question  What must a member country do in the hypothetical case it cannot appoint a verifier because there were no companies willing to apply for this function?  NL: (Drafting):  2. Verifiers shall be accredited by a national accreditation body pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 765/2008.
3. Where no specific provisions concerning the accreditation of verifiers are laid down in this Regulation, the relevant provisions of Regulation (EC) No 765/2008 shall apply.	NL: (Drafting):  3. Where no specific provisions concerning the accreditation of verifiers are laid down in this Regulation, the relevant provisions of Regulation (EC) No 765/2008 shall apply.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022		
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments	
Article 10		
International Methane Emissions Observatory	NL: (Comments): It should be clarified how the verification task of the IMEO relates to the task of the national verifier and national competent authority.	
1. Provided the interest of the Union is protected, the International Methane Emissions Observatory shall be attributed a verification role with respect to methane emissions data, in particular with regard to the following tasks:	DE: (Comments): We suggest to clarify the role of the national competent authorities/ national verifiers and the IMEO, specifically if this implies duplication of tasks. HU: (Comments): We support DE comment: suggest to clarify the role of the national competent authorities/ national verifiers and the IMEO, specifically if this implies duplication of tasks.	
(a) aggregation of methane emissions data in accordance with appropriate statistical methods;		

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(b) verification of methodologies and statistical processes employed by companies	
to quantify methane emissions data;	
aggregation and analysis methodologies in accordance with scientific and statistical good practice to ensure a higher level of accuracy of emission estimates, with appropriate characterization of the uncertainty;	
(d) publication of aggregated company reported data by core source and by level of reporting, classified by operated and non-	BE: (Drafting): (d) publication of aggregated companies reported data by core source and by level of reporting, classified by operated and non-operated assets, in compliance with competition and confidentiality

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
operated assets, in compliance with	requirements;
competition and confidentiality	BE:
requirements;	(Comments):
	'Companies' is not defined. Please clarify the origin of reported data: from Member State or operator / mine operator or undertakings or the EU Commission.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	(d) publication of aggregated company reported data by core source and by level of reporting, classified
	by operated and non-operated assets, in compliance with competition and confidentiality requirements;
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Prevent double verification. More clarification needed, what is a core source?
	AT:
	(Comments):
	If company-specific data is published or intended to be published, any such publication must be
	complete insofar as it reflects the entire market in all its essential parts and thus does not lead to a
	distorted presentation of the overall picture.
(e) reporting of findings on	PL:
major discrepancies between data	(Drafting):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
sources.	(d) reporting of findings on major discrepancies between data sources, scientifically contributing to build more robust methodologies.  PL: (Comments): IMEO shall base their reports on scientific publications/studies and the progressive evolution of scientifically-based methodologies
2. The Commission may submit methane emissions data to the International Methane Emissions Observatory, as made available to it by the competent authorities in accordance with this Regulation.	PL: (Drafting):  2. The Commission may submit methane emissions data to the International Methane Emissions Observatory, as made available to it by the competent authorities in accordance with this Regulation.
3. The information produced by the International Methane Emissions Observatory shall be made available to the public and the Commission.	

Deadline: 23 March

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
Chapter 3	
Methane emissions in the oil and	
gas sectors	
gus sectors	
Article 11	
Scope	
TI: Ol 4 1: 4 4	
This Chapter applies to the	DE:
activities referred to in points (a)	(Drafting):
and (b) of Article 1(2).	This Chapter applies to the activities within the EU referred to in points (a) and (b) of Article 1(2).
	DE:
	(Comments):
	Clarification of scope.
	NI
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	This Chapter applies to the activities referred to in points (a) and (b) of Article 1(2) for all assets in the EU.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE	DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	NL: (Comments): What to do with hydrocarbons (oil and gas) that come along with the extraction of geothermal heat?
	Asset obligations for companies should only apply to those located within the EU. It's impossible for a company to comply with these rules f.i. when the asset is located in Russia. In accordance with Article 1, all emissions from assets located in the EU will be reported via their own operator. This avoids any double reporting by owners of non-operated assets.
	HU: (Drafting): This Chapter applies to the activities within the EU referred to in points (a) and (b) of Article 1(2).
	HU: (Comments): Hungary supports DE clarification proposal also flexible to delete inactive wells from the scope.
	PL: (Drafting): This Chapter applies to the activities referred to in points (a) and (b) of Article 1(2) for all assets in the

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	EU. The operator of the asset will be responsible to comply with the provisions of Chapter 3.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	Under Article 1, all emissions from assets located in the EU are reported through their own operator. This
	avoids overlapping reporting by owners of unattended assets.
Article 12	DK:
	(Comments):
	Denmark would prefer the Methane Regulation's rules on monitoring and reporting of emissions to be
	harmonized with the MRV rules in the ETS Directive. The MRV rules under OGMP and ETS should be
	viewed as complementing each other, rather than as a competing sets of rules:
	Could the Commission comment on whether the Methane Regulation's provisions on monitoring and
	reporting could be shaped closer in line with the MRV rules of the ETS Directive? Ideally, the Methane
	Regulation could in many cases just refer to relevant parts of the existing ETS MRV Regulation 2018/2066,
	which is already well known by authorities and verifiers as well as a number of operators.
Monitoring and reporting	DE:
	(Comments):
	See our comments on article 9 regarding capacities of verifiers. Transitional phase may be needed

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
	DK:
	(Comments):
	The facilities and installations covered by the regulation, and in particular offshore oil and gas production platforms, are often characterized by having numerous smaller sources, and it can be associated with great challenges and disproportionate costs if all sources, regardless of size, are to be measured directly. Denmark suggests that it is considered to allow operators to quantify smaller sources and/or difficult-to-measure sources by methods other than direct measurement.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	The proposed M&R obligations differ from OGMP 2.0 in important ways.
	o The terms measurement and quantification are used interchangeably.
	o More importantly, OGMP 2.0 allows for choices in measurement efforts based on risk/size of methane emissions from various types of sources.
	o The stated time frames of 12, 24, and 36 months are stated to meet certain requirements without
	distinguishing between types of sources including the distinction between overhead and underground pipes.
	The OGMP does allow certain sources that have low emission volumes or are more difficult to determine to
	be reported at a lower level.
	Annual site measurement of CH4: What is the relationship with eprtr?
	AT:
	(Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
• •	Comments
	1. Question to the European Commission how the timely availability of a reliable (uniform) method for "site-level measurements" can be granted?
	It should be ensured that "site-level measurements" deliver reliable results. Only then the plausibility of aggregated "source-level" measurement data could be assessed on the basis of additionally carried out "site-level" measurements.
	2. AT proposal to set May 31st as the date for the annual reporting (instead of March 30th) (inter alia to allow for sufficient time for the verification activities of the "verifiers").
1. By [12 months from the	LV:
date of entry into force of this	(Comments):
Regulation], operators shall submit	Clarification is needed on the content of the report to evaluate if it is possible to submit the report in 12
a report to the competent	months.
authorities containing source-level	SI:
methane emissions estimated using	(Drafting):
generic but source-specific emission factors for all sources.	By [1236 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation],
	SI:
	(Comments):
	To give enough time. The timeline in the following paragraphs should be adjusted to reflect the later start date.
	RO:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	(Comments):
	Regarding the proposed deadlines for the submission of a report to the competent authorities containing source-level methane emissions estimated using generic but source-specific emission factors for all sources, Romania considers that the provisions are too strict, the deadlines for implementing the monitoring and reporting obligations are rather short and the impact on the increase in administrative burden is expected to be significant.
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	1. By [4218 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall submit a report
	to the competent authorities containing source-level methane emissions estimated using generic but source-
	specific emission factors for all sources.
	CZ:
	(Comments):
	12 months would be feasible for OGMP members but very challenging for non-OGMP members. <b>New</b>
	proposal is 18 months.
	Operators should be given sufficient time to prepare and implement all measures under the proposed
	Regulation.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	By [128 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall submit a report to
	the competent authorities containing source-level methane emissions estimated using generic but source-

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	specific emission factors for all sources above the threshold as mentioned in the e-PRTR for methane
	emissions.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Right now the same regime for satellites with minimal methane emissions as for central treatment sites
	where the emission risk profile is higher. This is contrary to the principle of proportionality and materiality.
	NL prefers site-level measurements only when emissions from an installation exceed a minimum threshold
	to avoid disproportionate reporting efforts of very minor emission sources.
	This is also relevant in light of the OGMP 2.0 principle of "de minimis". Reference: OGMP General
	principle Technical Guidance Document: "De minimis sources: De minimis (very minor) emission sources
	are out of scope of reporting."
	12 months would be fine for OGMP members but very challenging for non-OGMP members, hence a new
	proposal for 18 months.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	1. By [18 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall submit a
	report to the competent authorities containing source-level methane emissions estimated using generic but
	source-specific emission factors for all sources.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We support the provison, but a longer period is needed, taking into account the time required for the
	designation and operation of the new authority.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	Until the European standards are available methane emissions quantification and reporting for gas
	infrastructure shall be conducted according to appropriate and widely accepted guidelines technical
	guides and principles such as materiality, sampling strategy.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	The given wording proposal will enable the application of the OGMP principles and OGMP Technical
	Guidelines, as this is currently the best available methodology for MRV at the level of gas transmission,
	distribution and storage system operators. The principles could be part of the work and experience for a
	possible CEN technical standard to ensure the involvement of European stakeholders and some sort of
	consistency of action in light of methane emission reporting.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	1. By [12 18 months from the date of publication of the reporting template described in the last

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

C	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	paragraph of Article 12(6)entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall submit a report to the
	competent authorities containing source-level methane emissions estimated using generic but source-
	specific emission factors for all sources. Other quantification methods with at least the same level of
	accuracy are allowed.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	The measurement requirements are stringent and require a lot of preparation on the part of the operators
	such as for example, preparing a catalog of all the elements that should be monitored. However, there is a
	lack of information on reference methodologies for sampling and laboratory determinations as well as on
	measurement conditions (distance, altitude, weather conditions). It will also be necessary to develop an
	approach to measurements - e.g. a decision on the profitability of purchasing appropriate instrumentation or
	providing an external company with the service of measuring methane emissions. Taking into account
	deadlines for submitting the reports, one should take into account the verifier's timely opinion
	accompanying each report, the timing of which is beyond the operator's control (thus, an independent factor
	may affect the risk of the operator's failure to meet the deadline). The deadlines for the verifier and the
	operator should be separated.
	The regulation assumes performing measurements in accordance with European (CEN) or international

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(ISO) standards concerning quantification of methane emissions. Taking into account that we are dealing with a potentially huge number of connections and elements (tens or even hundreds of thousands) which may be covered by the requirements of the regulation, reporting after 24 months from the date of entry into force of the regulation is technically impossible. It should also be borne in mind that the measurement of samples in the laboratory will not take place in real time, but with a certain delay, and may therefore not be fully reliable. Furthermore, with fugitive emissions, these measurements are based on a single sample from a cloud of leakage material. On its basis, the concentration of methane in the cloud can be approximated, however, it is difficult to determine the amount of emission - it can be estimated.
Taking into account all above, deadlines for report submitting should be extended. The concept of "negligible" is necessary to avoid disproportionate reporting efforts of very minor emission sources.
12 months would bevery challenging for operators thus it is proposed to extended it to 18 months.
LV: (Comments): We recommend to align the timing to the framework envisaged in OGMP (Oil and Gas Methane Partnership) 2.0 for different reporting layers.  DE: (Drafting):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
assets. Reporting at such level may	By [24 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall also-submit a report
involve the use of source-level	to the competent authorities containing direct measurements direct quantification of source-level methane
measurement and sampling as the	emissions for operated assets. Reporting at such level may involve the use of source-level measurement and
basis for establishing specific	sampling as the basis for establishing specific emission factors used for emissions estimation
emission factors used for emissions	DE:
estimation.	(Comments):
	See our comments on article 2 (12).
	RO:
	(Comments):
	Regarding the proposed deadlines for the submission of a report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements of source-level methane emissions for operated assets, Romania considers that the provisions are too strict, the deadlines for implementing the monitoring and reporting obligations are rather short and the impact on the increase in administrative burden is expected to be significant.
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	2. By [2430 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall also submit a
	report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements quantification of source-level methane
	emissions for operated assets. Reporting at such level may involve the use of <b>engineering calculations</b> ,
	source-level measurement, and sampling as the basis for establishing specific emission factors used for
	emissions estimation.
	CZ:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
	(Comments):
	Time extension in accordance with paragraph 1 was proposed.
	In some cases, direct measurements are not feasible or do not lead to higher data accuracy in comparison with an engineering calculation or other quantification methods. We therefore recommend using the term "quantification" instead of "measurement".
	BE:
	(Drafting):
	2. By [24 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall also submit a
	report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements of source-level methane emissions for
	operated assets. Reporting at such level may involve the use of source-level measurement and sampling as
	the basis for establishing specific emission factors used for emissions estimation quantification.
	BE:
	(Comments):
	Quantification includes calculation, measurement, estimation based on modelling and source-level specific
	emission factors.
	Not all emissions can be measured.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	2. By [30 24 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall also submit a
	report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements quantification of source-level methane

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	emissions above the threshold als follows from the ePRTR for operated assets. Reporting at such level may
	will involve the use of source-level measurement and sampling as the basis for establishing specific
	emission factors used for emissions estimation a combination of measurements, engineering calculation,
	simulations and measurement-based emission factors for non- de minimis but material sources and generic
	emission factors for non-de minimis but non-material sources. In the latter case other quantification
	methods with at least the same level of accuracy are allowed.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	The concept of a threshold is necessary to avoid disproportionate reporting efforts of very minor emission
	sources, this is corresponding to the E-PRTR principle.
	24 months would be fine for OGMP members but very challenging for non-OGMP members, hence a new
	proposal for 30 months.
	"Also" leaves room for interpretation and should be deleted.
	The reference to a "combination of measurements, engineering calculation, simulations and
	measurement-based emission factors" is compliant with OGMP 2.0 framework, depending on the
	different Technical Guidance Documents and is therefore consistent with recital (16) that encourage the use
	of OGMP 2.0 framework.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	The paragraph has been modified in order to be in alignment with OGMP 2.0 Level 3 / 4 considerations.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	2. By [24 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall also submit a
	report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements- quantification of source-level methane
	emissions for operated assets. Reporting at such level may involve the use of engineering calculations
	source-level measurement and sampling as the basis for establishing specific emission factors used for
	emissions estimation.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	The proposed provision creates significant investment and human resources needs. We propose to use
	estimation option to large number of wells on a site and in case of
	national infrastructure to economically justifiable units.
	We also support DE, CZ, NL proposals.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	2. By [24 30 months from the date of publication of the reporting template described in the last
	paragraph of Article 12(6) entry into force of this Regulation, operators shall also submit a report to the
	competent authorities containing direct measurements or quantifications of source-level methane
	emissions for operated assets.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
3. By [36 months from the	LV:
date of entry into force of this	(Drafting):
Regulation and by 30 March every	By [36 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] and by 30 March every year every
year thereafter, operators shall	second year thereafter, operators shall submit a report to the competent authorities containing direct
submit a report to the competent	measurements of source-level methane emissions for operated assets referred to in paragraph 2,
authorities containing direct	complemented by measurements of site-level methane emissions, thereby allowing assessment and
measurements of source-level	
	verification of the source-level estimates aggregated by site.
methane emissions for operated	LV:
assets referred to in paragraph 2,	(Comments):
complemented by measurements of	"measurements of site-level methane emissions" – we would like to point out whether it is appropriate to
site-level methane emissions,	ask each operator for this procedure, as well as whether a three years period is sufficient for purchase and
thereby allowing assessment and	calibration of the necessary equipment.
verification of the source-level	
estimates aggregated by site.	DE:
	(Comments):
	We suggest clarification on this to may exclude or estimate external factors like wind, natural emissions from peat bogs etc.
	RO:
	(Comments):
	Regarding the proposed deadlines for the submission of a report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements of source-level methane emissions for operated assets referred to in paragraph 2,

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	complemented by measurements of site-level methane emissions, Romania considers that the deadlines for implementing the monitoring and reporting obligations are rather short and could possibly be extended, while the increase in administrative burden is expected to be significant.
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	3. By [3642 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] and by 31st May every year
	thereafter, operators shall submit a report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements
	quantification of source-level methane emissions for operated assets referred to in paragraph 2,
	complemented by measurements assessment of site-level methane emissions, thereby allowing assessment
	and verification of the source-level estimates aggregated by site. If the technologies for site-level
	measurement do not reach satisfactory technology readiness levels, adequate accuracy and market
	availability operators can request the competent authorities to postpone site-level measurements.
	CZ:
	(Comments):
	Time extension in accordance with paragraph 1 was proposed.
	The site-level technologies are currently evolving, and their availability and accuracy cannot be guaranteed.
	BE:
	(Drafting):
	3. By [36 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] and by 30 March every year
	thereafter, operators shall submit a report to the competent authorities containing quantification direct
	measurements of source-level methane emissions for operated assets referred to in paragraph 2,

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	complemented by measurements of site-level methane emissions, thereby allowing assessment and
	verification of reconciliation with the source-level estimates quantification aggregated by site.
	BE:
	(Comments):
	Quantification includes calculation, measurement, estimation based on modelling and source-level specific
	emission factors.
	Not all emissions can be measured.
	Avoid using the word 'verification' in this case to avoid confusion with Art 8.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	By [42 36 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] and by 30 March 31st May every
	year thereafter, operators shall submit a report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements
	quantification of source-level methane emissions for emission sources higher than the threshold as follows
	from the E-PRTR for operated assets referred to in paragraph 2, complemented by measurements
	assessment of site-level methane emissions, thereby allowing assessment and verification of the source-
	level estimates aggregated by site provided this site-level methane quantification is sufficiently available.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Currently available site-level assessment-techniques can only provide qualitative information which can
	contribute to assess the source-level quantification aggregated by site.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	Reconciliation for the large sites like production facilities, compressor stations, gas storage and LNG
	terminals will become mandatory once the site-level reconciliation techniques and methodology are
	described by CEN standards and sufficiently available in the market.
	If the reconciliation is successful two years in a row, the site level measurement periodicity can be extended
	to a period to be agreed upon with competent authorities. Site-level quantification has a comparatively
	(relative to source-level) low technology readiness level. There are currently no mature and proven top-
	down technologies available for accurate quantification of methane emissions on a "site-level" in the
	midstream and downstream, hence, the comparison between "source-level" and "site-level" measurements is
	not yet possible.
	Currently available site-level assessment-techniques can only provide qualitative information for larger sites
	(for example 50m x 50m) that can help to reconcile the source level quantification.
	Details on reconciliation techniques and methodologies should be described in a CEN standard. Moreover,
	reconciliation measures are to be available in the market to assure access to every infrastructure operator.
	Extending the deadline to 31 <sup>st</sup> May is necessary for many companies as it is challenging to gather and verify all the data. The deadline would have to be in line with the OGMP deadline reporting date (31st May).
	AT:
	(Comments):
	See above.
	HU:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	
	Comments	
	(Drafting):	
	3. By [3642 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] and by 31st May every year	
	thereafter, operators shall submit a report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements	
	quantification of source-level methane emissions for operated assets referred to in paragraph 2,	
	complemented by measurements assessment of site-level methane emissions, thereby allowing assessment	
	and verification of the source-level estimates aggregated by site. If the technologies for site-level	
	measurement do not reach satisfactory technology readiness levels, adequate accuracy and market	
	availability operators can request the competent authorities to postpone site-level measurements.	
	HU:	
	(Comments):	
	The proposed provision creates significant investment and human resources needs.	
	HU supports CZ, NL reasoniing.	
	Time extension in accordance with paragraph 1 was proposed.	
	The site-level technologies are currently evolving, and their availability and accuracy cannot be guaranteed.	
	PL:	
	(Drafting):	
	3. By [36 42 months from the date of publication of the reporting template described in the last	
	paragraph of Article 12(6) entry into force of this Regulation and by 30 March 31 may every year	
	thereafter, operators shall submit a report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements or	
	quantifications of source-level methane emissions for operated assets referred to in paragraph 2,	

Deadline: 23 March

**Commission proposal** 

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022 **Drafting Suggestions** Comments complemented by measurements or quantifications of site-level methane emissions, thereby allowing assessment and verification of the source-level estimates aggregated by site.

	w w
Before submission to the	
competent authorities, operators	
shall ensure that the reports set out	
in this paragraph are assessed by a	
verifier and include a verification	
statement issued in accordance	
with Articles 8 and 9.	
4. By [36 months from the	DE:
date of entry into force of this	(Drafting):
Regulation], undertakings	4. By [36 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], undertakings established in
established in the Union shall	the Union shall submit a report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements of source-level
submit a report to the competent	methane emissions for non-operated assets. Reporting at such level may involve the use of source-level
authorities containing direct	measurement and sampling as the basis for establishing specific emission factors used for emissions
measurements of source-level	estimation.
methane emissions for non-	DE:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
ргоромг	Comments
operated assets. Reporting at such	(Comments):
level may involve the use of	Regarding Nr. (1) and (2) of article 12 we suspect that Nr. (4) could lead to double counting of operator and
source-level measurement and	owner. If so we may have to change also text in (6e) (6f) (7).
sampling as the basis for	DK:
establishing specific emission	(Comments):
factors used for emissions	Denmarks suggests to consider whether it is nessecary to require reporting and verification from non-
estimation.	operated assets. This could give rise to double reporting, as the operator already must live up to the requirements in article 12 paragraphs 1 - 3
	RO:
	(Comments):
	Regarding the proposed deadlines for the submission of a report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements of source-level methane emissions for non-operated assets, Romania considers that the deadlines for implementing the monitoring and reporting obligations are relatively short and could possibly be extended, while the increase in administrative burden is expected to be significant.
	BE:
	(Drafting):
	4. By [36 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], undertakings established in
	the Union shall submit a report to the competent authorities of the Member state(s) where they own assets
	containing direct measurements of source-level methane emissions for non-operated assets. Reporting at
	such level may involve the use of source-level measurement and sampling as the basis for establishing
	specific emission factors used for emissions estimation.
	BE:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	
Commission proposar	Comments	
	(Comments):	
	Need to specify in which MS the reporting is due, either where is located the asset or where the undertaking is established.	
	NL:	
	(Drafting):	
	By [36 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], undertakings established in	
	the Union shall submit a report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements of source-level	
	methane emissions for non-operated assets. Reporting at such level may involve the use of source-level	
	measurement and sampling as the basis for establishing specific emission factors used for emissions	
	estimation.	
	NL:	
	(Comments):	
	Asset obligations for companies should only apply to those located within the EU. It's impossible for a	
	company to comply with these rules f.i. when the asset is located in Russia. In accordance with Article 1, all	
	emissions from assets located in the EU will be reported via their own operator. This avoids any double	
	reporting by owners of non-operated assets. Also: direct measurement.	
	HU:	
	(Drafting):	
	4. By [36 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], undertakings established in	
	the Union shall submit a report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements of source-level	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
	methane emissions for non-operated assets. Reporting at such level may involve the use of source-level
	measurement and sampling as the basis for establishing specific emission factors used for emissions
	estimation.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	The term non-operated assets should be clarified.
	We propose that, following the operator's assessment of inactive wells, it should be possible to exempt
	inactive wells where there is no physical risk of methane leakage. Where, in principle, there is a physical
	possibility of leaking (but the wells are plugged in practice), it is recommended that a technical inspection
	can be carried out by the operator to verify that the leakage can be excluded.
	We propose site level measurement for inactive wells. For inactive hydrocarbon wells we propose to
	include the option for estimation and application should be optional depending on the decission of Member
	State.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	4. By [36 42 months from the date of publication of the reporting template described in the last
	paragraph of Article 12(6)entry into force of this Regulation , undertakings established in the Union
	shall submit a report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements or quantifications of
	source-level methane emissions for non-operated assets. Reporting at such level may involve the use of
	source-level measurement or quantifications and sampling as the basis for establishing specific emission

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE I	DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	factors used for emissions estimation PL: (Comments): The list of non-operaed assets is much longer than those still operated. However, as a matter of policy, when a well is non-operated, soil air is measured and the well is shut in. In the case of oil and gas mining, closed wells do not produce measurable levels of methane emissions. Given this, and the fact that in the case of closed installations other than wells, the land is given back to the owner and there is no physical way to measure emissions (only remotely by drone, and then the quantification can be unreliable by natural emissions occurring due to decay processes). We propose to change the definition of non-operated to include wells that have not been decommissioned, only not operated for a period of time. This is all the more reasonable as Polish law does not allow for abandoning a well without decommissioning. With respect to other installations, it may be assumed that after a certain number of quantifications (e.g. for 5 years), which would show zero emissions, metering could be discontinued.
5. By [48 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] and by 30 March every year thereafter, undertakings established in the Union shall submit a report to the competent	DE: (Drafting): 5. By [48 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] and by 30 March every year thereafter, undertakings established in the Union shall submit a report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements of source-level methane emissions for non-operated assets as set out in paragraph 4, complemented by measurements of site-level methane emissions, thereby allowing assessment

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Drafting Suggestions
Comments
and verification of the source-level estimates aggregated by site
DE: (Comments): See comments above  DK: (Comments): See comment to paragraph 4  RO: (Comments): Regarding the proposed deadlines for the submission of a report to the competent authorities containing direct measurements of source-level methane emissions for non-operated assets as set out in paragraph 4, complemented by measurements of site-level methane emissions, Romania considers that the deadlines for implementing the monitoring and reporting obligations are relatively short and could possibly be extended, while the increase in administrative burden is expected to be significant.  BE: (Drafting):  5. By [48 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] and by 30 March every year thereafter, undertakings established in the Union shall submit a report to the competent authorities of the Member state(s) where they own assets containing direct measurements quantification of source-level methane emissions for non-operated assets as set out in paragraph 4, complemented by measurements of site-level methane emissions, thereby allowing assessment and verification of reconciliation with the

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	source-level estimates aggregated by site.
	BE:
	(Comments):
	Need to specify in which MS the reporting is due, either where is located the asset or where the undertaking
	is established.
	Quantification includes calculation, measurement, estimation based on modelling and source-level specific
	emission factors.
	Not all emissions can be measured.
	Avoid using the word 'verification' in this case to avoid confusion with Art 8.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	By [48 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] and by 30 March every year
	thereafter, undertakings established in the Union shall submit a report to the competent authorities
	containing direct measurements of source-level methane emissions for non-operated assets as set out in
	paragraph 4, complemented by measurements of site-level methane emissions, thereby allowing assessment
	and verification of the source-level estimates aggregated by site.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Asset obligations for companies should only apply to those located within the EU. It's impossible for a
	company to comply with these rules f.i. when the asset is located in Russia. In accordance with Article 1, all

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	emissions from assets located in the EU will be reported via their own operator. This avoids any double
	reporting by owners of non-operated assets.
	The technique for site level measurements are insufficiently developed onshore and offshore so it is not
	expected that reliable results will follow.
	AT:
	(Comments):
	See above.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	By [48 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] and by 30 March every year
	thereafter, undertakings established in the Union shall submit a report to the competent authorities
	containing direct measurements of source-level methane emissions for non-operated assets as set out in
	paragraph 4, complemented by measurements of site-level methane emissions, thereby allowing assessment
	and verification of the source-level estimates aggregated by site.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	Asset obligations for companies should only apply to those located within the EU. It's impossible for a
	company to comply with these rules f.i. when the asset is located in Russia. In accordance with Article 1, all
	emissions from assets located in the EU will be reported via their own operator. This avoids any double

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	reporting by owners of non-operated assets.
	The technique for site level measurements are insufficiently developed onshore and offshore so it is not
	expected that reliable results will follow.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	5. By [48 months from the date of publication of the reporting template described in the last
	paragraph of Article 12(6)entry into force of this Regulation and by 30 March every year thereafter,
	undertakings established in the Union shall submit a report to the competent authorities containing direct
	measurements or quantifications of source-level methane emissions for non-operated assets as set out in
	paragraph 4, complemented by measurements or quantifications of site-level methane emissions, thereby
	allowing assessment and verification of the source-level estimates aggregated by site.
	Where five subsequent measurements or quantifications of non-operated assets prove no methane
	emissions, such inactive well shall be considered emission-free and no further quantifications and
	reports will be required.
Before submission to the	PL:
competent authorities, undertakings	(Drafting):
shall ensure that the reports set out	Before submission to the competent authorities, operators shall ensure that the reports set out in this
in this paragraph are assessed by a	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
verifier and include a verification	paragraph are assessed by a verifier and include a verification statement issued in accordance with Articles
statement issued in accordance	8 and 9.
with Articles 8 and 9.	Verification statements shall be provided by the verifier to the operator no later than XX months after
	submission of the report.
6. The reports provided for in this Article shall cover the last available calendar year period and include at least the following information:	
(a) emission source type and location;	
(b) data per detailed, individual, emission source type;	CZ: (Drafting): (b) data per detailed, individual, emission source type; CZ: (Comments):  Detailed reporting per individual emission source type as requested by this proposal is not proportionate to the purpose of the proposed Regulation and the additional reporting effort and administrative burden are

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	unjustified compared to the measures.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	(b) data per detailed, <del>individual,</del> emission source type;
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Detailed reporting per individual emission source type is not proportionate to the purpose, and the additional reporting effort and administrative burden unjustified compared to the measures.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	(b) data per detailed, individual, emission source type;
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports CZ:
	Detailed reporting per individual emission source type as requested by this proposal is not proportionate to
	the purpose of the proposed Regulation and the additional reporting effort and administrative burden are
	unjustified compared to the measures.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	(b) data per detailed <del>, individual,</del> emission source type;

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(c) detailed information on the	PL: (Comments): The detailed source-by-sourceDetailed reporting of methane emissions underper <u>individual</u> emission source type as requested by this proposal in the "Methane Regulation" is not proportionate to the purpose, and the additional reporting effort and administrative burden is unjustified compared to the meansmeasures.
quantification methodologies employed to measure methane emissions;	CZ: (Drafting): (c) detailed information on the quantification methodologies employed to measure quantify methane emissions; CZ: (Comments):
	Detailed reporting per <u>individual</u> emission source type as requested by this proposal is not proportionate to the purpose of the proposed Regulation and the additional reporting effort and administrative burden are unjustified compared to the measures.  HU:  (Drafting):  (c) detailed information on the quantification methodologies employed to measure quantify methane emissions;  HU:  (Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	HU supports CZ proposal.  Detailed reporting per individual emission source type as requested by this proposal is not proportionate to the purpose of the proposed Regulation and the additional reporting effort and administrative burden are unjustified compared to the measures.  PL:  (Drafting):  (c) detailed information on the quantification methodologies employed to measure or quantify methane emissions;
(d) all methane emissions for operated assets;	
(e) share of ownership and methane emissions from non-operated assets multiplied by the share of ownership;	DE: (Drafting): (e) share of ownership and methane emissions from non-operated assets multiplied by the share of ownership;  DE: (Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

Cammiasian	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	If 100% of emissionen will be reported by the operator we suspect "double counting" due to (6e) and (6f)
	Artikel 12.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	(e) share of ownership and methane emissions from non-operated assets multiplied by the share of
	ownership;
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Points (e) and (f) are to be removed following the logic of the amendment for Article 11.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	(e) share of ownership and methane emissions from non-operated assets multiplied by the share of
	ownership;
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports DE proposal
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	(e) share of ownership and methane emissions from non-operated assets multiplied by the share of
	ownership;

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
(f) a list of the entities with	DE:
operational control of the non-	(Drafting):
operated assets.	(f) a list of the entities with operational control of the non-operated assets.
	DE:
	(Comments):
	See comment above.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	(f) a list of the entities with operational control of the non-operated assets.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	(f) a list of the entities with operational control of the non-operated assets.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports DE proposal
	PL:
	(Drafting):

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	(f) a list of the entities with operational control of the non-operated assets.
The Commission shall, by means	
of implementing acts, lay down a	
reporting template for the reports	
under paragraphs 2, 3, 4 and 5.	
Those implementing acts shall be	
adopted in accordance with the	
procedure referred to in Article	
32(2).	
7. For site-level measurements	RO:
referred to in paragraphs 3 and 5,	(Comments):
appropriate quantification	In order to accelerate the digitalization of the oil and gas industry, in order to reduce methane emissions,
technologies shall be used which	targeted support measures provided by the European Commission are needed at the level of the Member
can provide such measurements.	States to advance the technological development of their measurement and reporting systems and to
	integrate the necessary measures with those which are economically feasible.
	BE:
	(Drafting):
	7. For site-level measurements referred to in paragraphs 3 and 5, appropriate quantification best

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	available technologies shall be used which can provide such measurements.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	For site-level measurements referred to in paragraphs 3 and 5, appropriate quantification technologies shall
	be used which can provide such measurements.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Site-level quantification has a comparatively (relative to source-level) low technology readiness level.
	There are currently no mature and proven top-down technologies available for accurate quantification of
	methane emissions on a "site-level" in the upstream, midstream and downstream, hence, the comparison
	between "source-level" and "site-level" measurements is not yet possible.
	The technique for site level measurements are insufficiently developed onshore and offshore so it is not
	expected that reliable results will follow.
	To be deleted (see paragraph 3)
	AT:
	(Comments):
	See above.
	HU:
	(Drafting):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	7. For site-level measurements referred to in paragraphs 3 and 5, appropriate quantification technologies shall be used which can provide such measurements.  HU:  (Comments):  HU supports NL approach  Site-level quantification has a comparatively (relative to source-level) low technology readiness level.  There are currently no mature and proven top-down technologies available for accurate quantification of methane emissions on a "site-level" in the upstream, midstream and downstream, hence, the comparison between "source-level" and "site-level" measurements is not yet possible.  The technique for site level measurements are insufficiently developed onshore and offshore so it is not expected that reliable results will follow.  To be deleted (see paragraph 3)
8. In the case of significant discrepancies between the emissions quantified using source-level methods and those resulting from site-level measurement,	CZ: (Drafting): 8. In the case of significant discrepancies between the emissions quantified using source-level methods and those resulting from site-level measurement assessment, additional measurements shall be carried out within the same reporting period. operators shall provide reasoning for the discrepancy. If the

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
additional measurements shall be	discrepancy is not due to the uncertainty of employed quantification technology, competent
carried out within the same	authorities may request an additional measurement within the same reporting period.
reporting period.	CZ:
	(Comments):
	This amendment aims to avoid situations where a reconciliation of site- and source-level methods cannot be reached due to the low accuracy of site-level methods. Operators would be forced to repeat the measurements without a chance for a successful reconciliation.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	8. In the case of significant discrepancies between the emissions quantified using source-level methods
	and those resulting from site-level measurement, additional measurements shall be carried out within the
	same reporting period.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	The top-down approach as described is an as of now unproven method, this will result in an endless
	repeating cycle while ending up with the same results.
	This article therefore requires further elaboration. Measures to be taken because of the guaranteed
	differences between the bottom-up and top-down approach are not reasonable.
	AT:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE L	OK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	(Comments): See above.  HU: (Drafting): 8. In the case of significant discrepancies between the emissions quantified using source-level methods and those resulting from site-level measurement assessment, additional measurements shall be carried out within the same reporting period. operators shall provide reasoning for the discrepancy. If the discrepancy is not due to the uncertainty of employed quantification technology, competent authorities may request an additional measurement within the same reporting period.  HU: (Comments): HU supports CZ proposal and reasoning. This amendment aims to avoid situations where a reconciliation of site- and source-level methods cannot be reached due to the low accuracy of site-level methods. Operators would be forced to repeat the measurements without a chance for a successful reconciliation
9. Methane emissions measurements for gas infrastructure shall be conducted according to appropriate European	PL: (Drafting):  9. Methane emissions measurements or quantifications for gas infrastructure shall be conducted according to appropriate European (CEN) or international (ISO) standards for methane emissions

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Deadline: 23 March

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(CEN) or international (ISO) standards for methane emissions quantification.	quantification.
10. Where information is kept confidential in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>37</sup> , operators shall indicate in the report the type of information that has been withheld and the reason thereof.	
11. The competent authorities shall make the reports set out in this Article available to the public and the Commission, within three months from submission by	HU: (Comments): See comment on Article 5.4. PL: (Drafting):

37

Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know-how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L 157, 15.6.2016)

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
operators and in accordance with	11. The competent authorities shall make the reports set out in this Article available to the public and
Article 5(4).	the Commission, within three months from submission by operators and in accordance with Article 5(4).
	However, it is crucial that the scope of the data should allow for reserving the confidentiality of
	commercially sensitive information of companies, so it shall be in aggregate form.
Article 13	
General mitigation obligation	
Operators shall take all measures available to them to prevent and minimise methane emissions in their operations.	LV: (Drafting): Operators shall take all measures available to them all economically justified measures to prevent and minimise methane emissions in their operations.
	DE:  (Drafting):  Operators shall take all appropriate measures available to them to prevent and minimise methane emissions in their operations. The efforts to minimize methane emissions shall not produce greenhouse gas warming directly or indirectly at a higher level of warming effect than the corresponding saved methane emissions.  DK:  (Comments):  Suggest that 'shall take all measures available to them' is further defined, since this may lead to

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	disproportionate obligations.
	RO:
	(Comments):
	Although, in Romania, several oil and gas operators have voluntarily implemented programs for the detection, monitoring, measurement and reduction of methane emissions, Romania considers that the obligations laid down in the proposed Regulation are stricter than those of the <i>Oil and Gas Methane Partnership</i> Methodology 2.0, a framework which represents an internationally recognized good practice by operators in the oil and gas industry.
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	Operators shall <i>evaluate</i> take all <i>mitigation</i> measures available to them to prevent and minimise methane
	emissions in their operations and implement cost-effective measures.
	CZ:
	(Comments):
	The site-level technologies are currently evolving, and their availability and accuracy cannot be guaranteed.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	Operators shall take evaluate all mitgation measures available to them to prevent and minimise methane
	emissions in their operations.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Attention should initially be focused on those sources where the greatest reductions can be achieved in the

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	most cost-effective manner: the biggest bang for the buck - as in the highly effective NL offshore methane
	reduction programme. With the current formulation, all measures must be applied now. This is not realistic
	and leads to a fragmented approach with a sub-optimal outcome. Preference for focus initially on sources
	where the greatest reductions can be achieved in the most cost-effective manner
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	A) Operators shall take all appropriate measures available to them to prevent and minimise methane
	emissions in their operations. The efforts to minimize methane emissions shall not produce greenhouse gas
	warming directly or indirectly at a higher level of warming effect than the corresponding saved methane
	emissions.
	B) Operators shall evaluate take all mitigation measures available to them to prevent and minimise methane
	emissions in their operations and implement cost-effective measures.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports DE proposal but as an alternative CZ proposal is acceptable as well.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	1. Operators shall evaluate take all mitigation measures available to them to prevent and minimise
	methane emissions in their operations.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	Operators should define a methane emissions mitigation plan to ensure a prioritisation of the most effective
	measures to be implemented, considering the environmental impact (GHG emissions and air quality) and
	costs of each measure. This methane emissions mitigation plan should be submitted to the Competent
	Authorities for approval.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Operators are frequently setting reduction targets based on the analysis of available tools and technologies. An obligation to "take all measures available" bears the risk of very high costs for end-users for very limited emission reduction. The establishment of a methane emissions mitigation plan will ensure a prioritisation of those mitigation actions that enables the highest emissions reduction in the shortest time and for the lowest costs. The involvement of the National Competent Authorities is key as this process will have an impact on both the end-consumers and national decarbonisation strategies.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	Operators should define a methane emissions mitigation multi-year plan to ensure a prioritisation of
	the most effective measures to be implemented, considering the environmental impact (GHG
	emissions and air quality) and costs of each measure. This multi-year methane emissions mitigation
	plan shall cover the period up to ten years and shall be submitted to the Competent Authorities for
	approval.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	PL: (Comments): Operators are frequently setting reduction targets based on the analysis of available tools and technologies.
	The establishment of a multi-years methane emissions mitigation plan will ensure a prioritisation of those mitigation actions that enables the highest emissions reduction in the shortest time and at the lowest costs. The involvement of the National Competent Authorities is key as this process will have an impact on both the end-consumers and the national decarbonisation strategy.
Article 14	BE: (Comments):  Question In current environmental legislation, a very detailed LDAR procedure is described for NM-VOCs. In addition, there are plans, also at EU level, to introduce LDAR for the whole chemical sector in order to limit diffuse emissions of VOCs, including methane (in the framework of BREF revisions under the Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU)). Can these other legislative efforts be taken into account in order to achieve a set of clear and uniform rules that would facilitate a level playing field and the effective enforcement of the Regulation?
Leak detection and repair	NL: (Comments): - The LDAR strategy currently used in NL is based on a risk-based approach: more effort where the risk of

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
1	Comments
	leakage is higher.
	o Recital (34) indicates that LDAR should focus on detecting and repairing leaks rather than quantifying
	these sources. It also indicates that potential sources with a higher leakage risk should be checked more
	frequently.
	o However, Article 14 indicates that an LDAR survey should be repeated every 3 months for all potential sources.
	o For 150 NL offshore installations and 400 NL onshore sites, where at more complex sites there may be many thousands of "relevant components", that frequency is not feasible.
	o To illustrate, a complex site may contain many tens of thousands of relevant components, a standardized cluster about 20,000. A non-complex site often has 5,000-15,000 components.
	- It further states that components with a leakage of 500 ppm or more must be repaired within 5 days.
	o With a FLIR camera detection limit of 500 ppm, this effectively means that all detected leaks must be repaired within 5 days.
	o During LDAR surveys, leaks are repaired on site whenever possible. For repairs requiring orders and/or a shutdown, a 5-day limit (especially offshore) is not logistically feasible.
	o For example, the complexity of installations, the impact of shutting down gas production in order to make repairs, detection of leaks in hard-to-reach areas where, for example, repair can only be done using scaffolding, are not taken into account.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	o The criterion of 500 ppm also does not flesh out the risk-based approach for methane emissions
	- There is not yet a technique that can be universally applied to detect and quantify all types of potential sources. Therefore, the "all-in-one" approach is impracticable.
	- There is a lack of distinction between above ground (i.e. directly measurable) and underground assets (not directly measurable).
	o The ground absorbs methane in the event of a leak. As a result, a leak is measurable for several weeks or even several months after it has been detected. Checking a repaired gas leak within 15 days is therefore not feasible without digging another hole.
	o In the context of safety, the location of a leak (e.g. near buildings) may be more relevant than the volume of the leak. In the Netherlands, for reasons of safety, sometimes stricter leak search rules and stricter repair rules are applied.
	NL: (Drafting):  1. The Commission mandates CEN to establish a standard on leak detection and repair methodologies, including the scope of the survey taking into account specificities of each type of operator and describing the repair and replace criteria to be met.  2. Competent authorities can approve LDAR surveys that are at least as accurate as the CEN approved.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

	Dugging Compactions	
<b>Commission proposal</b>	posal Drafting Suggestions	
	Comments	
	standard.	
	NL:	
	(Comments):	
	There is no established standard to describe the practices and requirements for leak detection and repair.	
	The description of the methodologies with wide recognition and agreement is required.	
	It is therefore necessary that the Commission mandates CEN to establish such a standard taking into	
	account specificities of each type of operator and describing the repair and replace criteria to be met.	
	2. to allow innovation and specific national circumstances, the national competent authority should be able to allow other techniques as well, but these should be at least hold the same level of accuracy as the CEN standards. The CEN standard could be comparible to art. 34 ACER article, where ACER publishes a set of in indicators, that national competent authorities can use.	
	PL:	
	(Drafting):	
	14 point X.	
	The Commission shall work together with CEN to establish standard on leak detection and repair	
	methodologies, including scope of the survey depending on operators, program and repair or replace	
	criteria. Operators shall prepare the programme according to the CEN standard.	
	PL:	
	(Comments):	
	There is no established technical standard for LDAR that describes the practices and requirements for	
	methane leak detection and repair. Activities are based on the experience and methods of the operators	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
	according to national legislation and technical standards. From a European perspective, there is an
	important methodology that could be compatible and recognized.
1. By [3 months from the	SI:
date of entry into force of this	(Drafting):
Regulation], operators shall submit	By [3 6 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation],
a leak detection and repair	IE:
programme to the competent	(Comments):
authorities which shall detail the	The IE TSO has such a programme.
contents of the surveys to be	RO:
carried out in accordance with the	(Comments):
requirements in this Article.	Several oil and gas operators in Romania have already implemented programs for the detection, monitoring, measurement and reduction of methane emissions, voluntarily. Romania considers that the obligations laid down in the proposed Regulation referring to the submission of a leak detection and repair programme to the competent authorities within three months from the date of entry into force of the Regulation at hand, are stricter than those of the Oil and Gas Methane Partnership Methodology 2.0, a framework which represents an internationally recognized good practice by operators in the oil and gas industry.
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	1. By [36 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall submit a leak
	detection and repair programme to the competent authorities which shall detail the contents of the surveys
	to be carried out in accordance with the requirements in this Article.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	CZ:
	(Comments):
	3 months is too short to establish a programme for a majority of sites and installations with specific needs and already existing legal obligations.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	. By [3 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall submit a leak
	detection and repair programme to the competent authorities which shall detail the contents of the surveys
	to be carried out in accordance with the requirements in this Article.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	On LDAR programme development time: 3 months is too short to establish a programme for a majority of sites and installations with specific needs and already existing legal obligations.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	1. By [12 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall submit a leak
	detection and repair programme to the competent authorities which shall detail the contents of the surveys
	to be carried out in accordance with the requirements in this Article.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We consider the deadline too short.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	PL: (Drafting):  2. By [6 3-months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall submit a leak detection and repair programme to the competent authorities which shall detail the contents of the surveys to be carried out in accordance with the requirements in this article.  PL: (Comments): The proposed period of 3 months to develop an LDAR program is too short a time for operators to develop a program for most sites and installations with specific needs and already existing legal obligations.
The competent authorities may require the operator to amend the programme taking into account the requirements of this Regulation.	CZ: (Drafting): The competent authorities may require the operator to amend the programme taking into account the requirements of this Regulation.  LDAR surveys shall take into account in particular the individual elements of the infrastructure, their technical condition and the materials used. Member States shall set the repeating of LDAR surveys at the level of legal or technical regulations.  CZ: (Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	For the frequency of surveys and the measures to be taken, the LDAR programme shall be based on asset specific assessments and assessments of their leaking potential, and is subject to approval by the competent authority in order to take into account the sector and site specificities and properly prioritise properly the detection campaigns in order to achieve the best efficiency.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	By [6 3 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall submit a leak
	detection and repair programme to the competent authorities which shall detail the contents of the surveys
	to be carried out in accordance with the requirements in this Article. The competent authorities may require
	the operator to amend the programme taking into account the requirements of this Regulation.
	For the frequency of surveys and the measures to be taken, the LDAR programme shall be based on asset
	specific assessments and assessments of their leaking potential, and is subject to approval by the competent
	authority . The LDAR programme should be based on the CEN standard referred in the new paragraph 1
	when available.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	For the frequency of surveys and the measures to be taken, the LDAR programme shall be based on asset
	specific assessments and assessments of their leaking potential, and is subject to approval by the competent
	authority in order to take into account the sector and site specificities and properly prioritise the detection
	campaigns in order to achieve the best efficiency. The LDAR program based on the CEN standard will give

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
	a balance for the application on different assets/sectors and situations.
	NBNL: The operators have (when agreed with the competent authority) experience with working with a
	risk-based approach. Not the frequency but the quality of the LDAR is the most important. A risk based
	approach encourages the quality.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	The competent authorities may require the operator to amend the programme taking into account the
	requirements of this Regulation.
	LDAR surveys shall take into account in particular the individual elements of the infrastructure, their
	technical condition and the materials used. Member States shall set the repeating of LDAR surveys at the
	level of legal or technical regulations.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports CZ proposal:
	For the frequency of surveys and the measures to be taken, the LDAR programme shall be based on asset
	specific assessments and assessments of their leaking potential, and is subject to approval by the competent
	authority in order to take into account the sector and site specificities and properly prioritise properly the
	detection campaigns in order to achieve the best efficiency.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
2. By [6 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall carry out a survey of all relevant components under their responsibility in accordance with the leak detection and repair programme referred in paragraph 1.	SI: (Drafting): By [612 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], RO: (Comments): At national level, there is a large number and an uneven distribution of active and inactive units under the responsibility of economic operators. Another subject of significant concern is represented by the necessary effort which must be sustained by both economic operators and the competent authorities in what regards the increased investment in the modernization and refurbishment of the active and inactive units.  In the field of crude oil and gas, the consultations with relevant operators in the industry showed the need for longer periods for the implementation of some provisions of the proposed Regulation, including the ones referring to leak detection and repair, in order for them to be able to complete public tender procedures for the acquisition of appropriate equipment.  The adequate allocation of financial and human resources in view of carrying out the necessary measurements within the required parameters in the proposed Regulation is also essential.  CZ: (Drafting):  2. By [612 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall earry out initiate the surveys of all relevant components under their responsibility in accordance with the approved leak detection and repair programme referred in paragraph 1.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	CZ:
	(Comments):
	Changes in accordance with proposed changes in paragraph 1.
	BE:
	(Drafting):
	· ·
	survey of all relevant <del>components</del> <b>possible sources</b> under their responsibility in accordance with the leak
	detection and repair programme referred in paragraph 1.
	BE:
	(Comments):
	'Components' is not defined.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	. By [6-12 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall carry out a
	survey of all relevant components under their responsibility in accordance with the leak detection and repair
	programme referred in paragraph 1.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	The implementation period should be extended for the survey as this would require a large effort to realise based on the Commission's proposal.
	AT:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	(Comments):
	1. Question to the European Commission what is to be understood by "relevant components" in this context?
	In AT's view, a definition of "relevant components" is needed in order to establish and standardize the plant operator's specific inspection obligations (what exactly needs to be inspected?).
	2. Question to the European Commission why a "risk-based approach" is not pursued (in deviation from OGMP 2.0), why - with a view to the test interval - there is no differentiation between plant parts based on risk profiles?
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	2. By [612 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall earry out initiate
	the surveys of all relevant components under their responsibility in accordance with the approved leak
	detection and repair programme referred in paragraph 1.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports CZ proposal.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	3. By [12 6] months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], operators shall carry out a
	survey of relevant components under their responsibility in accordance with the leak detection and repair
	programme referred in paragraph 1.

Deadline: 23 March

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Deadline: 23 March

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	PL: (Comments): Elongation of implementation according Art. 14 (1).
Thereafter, leak detection and repair surveys shall be repeated every three months.	LV: (Comments): We recommend to repeat surveys less frequenly – once in 6 months or once a year.  SI: (Drafting): Thereafter, leak detection and repair surveys shall be repeated every three months. every 6 months for regulation stations and at least every 2 years for the pipelines components.  SI: (Comments): It's a matter of feasibility and also of risk.  DE: (Comments): Question/Remark: This may be challenging for operators. It should be examined whether intervals could be longer for certain clusters (e.g. depending on the material density)  DK:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	(Comments):
	A fixed frequency on inspections and reporting every three months may not be cost-effective. Denmark suggest allowing a risk-based inspection scheme, where the operator is responsible for assessing the inspection frequencies.
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	Thereafter, leak detection and repair surveys shall be repeated every three months.
	Subsequent inspections will be carried out based on the technical condition of the asset, the frequency of failures, its age, the type of material and the type of specific equipment, using sophisticated systems with continuous assessment of the change in condition, which are reflected in the frequency of inspections.
	This approach allows more frequent inspections to be carried out on equipment that is in poor or deteriorating condition, thereby minimising methane releases to the atmosphere. The timing and frequency of inspections will be left to the discretion of individual Member States, with the possibility of intensifying inspections upon request by the competent authority if the submitted inspection programme is found to be insufficient.
	However, a request for an increased frequency must be justified by the competent authority.
	CZ:
	(Comments):
	Changes in accordance with proposed changes in paragraph 1.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	Thereafter, the frequency of leak detection and repair surveys shall be repeated every three

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	months. determined by the competent authorities, with a minimum of once every five years
	NL:
	(Comments):
	The implementation period should be extended for the survey as this would require a large effort to realise
	based on the Commission's proposal. Also, repeating a whole LDAR programme every three months will
	lead to unjustified efforts with low efficiency.
	The frequency after the first survey should be related to the risk based approach, with a minimum of once every 5 years (current NL situation).
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	Thereafter, the frequency of leak detection and repair surveys shall be repeated every three months. determined by the competent authorities, with a minimum of once every five years
	HU:
	(Comments):
	This is unimplementable for operators.
	We support CZ, NLproposal.
	Subsequent inspections will be carried out based on the technical condition of the asset, the frequency of failures, its age, the type of material and the type of specific equipment, using systems with assessment of the change in condition, which are reflected in the frequency of inspections.
	This approach allows more frequent inspections to be carried out on equipment that is in poor or deteriorating condition, thereby minimising methane releases to the atmosphere. The timing and frequency

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	of inspections will be left to the discretion of individual Member States, with the possibility of intensifying inspections upon request by the competent authority if the submitted inspection programme is found to be insufficient.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	"Thereafter, leak detection and repair surveys shall be repeated every three months on a yearly basis".
3. In carrying out the surveys,	IE:
operators shall use devices that	(Comments):
allow detection of loss of methane	Further consideration will be required as to how the detection of loss of methane will be measured; for
from components of 500 parts per	example, the parts per million detected would vary significantly depending on distance from the source.
million or more.	Consideration should also be given to whether a higher threshold than 500ppm is appropriate.
	DK:
	(Comments):
	The regulation specifies the measure for detecting methane leaks to 500 parts per million (ppm). Measuring 'ppm' is from a practical point of view diffcult, without very specific description of the method and measuring device. Further it is hard to use this criteria subsea. The 500 ppm detection measure could be supplemented or replaced by a measure of volume or weight per unit of time, ex. liter per hour.
	RO:
	(Comments):
	In the field of crude oil and gas, the consultations with relevant operators in the industry showed the need

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	for longer periods for the implementation of some provisions of the proposed Regulation, including the ones
	referring to leak detection and repair, in order for them to be able to complete public tender procedures for
	the acquisition of appropriate equipment.
	The adequate allocation of financial and human resources in view of carrying out the necessary measurements within the required parameters in the proposed Regulation is also essential.
	BE:
	(Drafting):
	3. In carrying out the surveys, operators shall use devices that allow detection of loss of methane from
	components sources of 500 parts per million or more.
	BE:
	(Comments):
	'Components' is not defined.
	Question
	Are IR cameras/OGI allowed to be used as leak detection devices?
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	3. In carrying out the surveys, operators shall use devices that allow detection of loss of methane from
	components of 500 parts per million or more.
	NL:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	(Comments):
	Components with a leakage of 500 ppm or more must be repaired within 5 days. The detection limit for a Flir camera is 500 ppm, which in fact means that all detected leaks must be repaired within 5 days. The 500 ppm also does not acknowledge the risk-based approach for methane emissions. A good balance is needed between the obligation to detect and repair leaks, the emission volume required to carry out repairs, logistical constraints and the safety of employees.
	AT:
	(Comments):
	Question to the European Commission how the "method transition" between the use of cameras, which apparently seems to be common in practice (even "best practice"), and a concentration value in the sense of 500 ppm could be managed, whether the EC sees practicability problems here? If yes, how to solve them?
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	In carrying out the surveys, operators shall use devices that allow detection of loss of methane from
	components of 500 parts per million or more.
	<u>OR</u>
	In carrying out the surveys, operators shall use devices that allow detection of loss of methane from
	components of maximum 2500 parts per million or more.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We propose a framework time provison without sensitivity threshold, with a view to achieving possibly

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	highest emission reductions. Support NL proposal.  OR We propose devices with maximum 2500 parts per million sensitivity.  PL: (Drafting):  3. In carrying out the surveys, operators shall use devices that allow detection of loss of methane from components of 500 10,000 parts per million or more.  PL: (Comments): The proposed emission level of 500 ppm should be increased to 10,000 ppm. This value refers directly to standard EN 15446.  Alternatively
4. Operators shall repair or replace all components found to be emitting 500 parts per million or more of methane.	LV: (Drafting): Operators, as far as possible technologically and economically, shall repair or replace all components found to be emitting 500 parts per million or more of methane.  IE: (Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	Further consideration will be required as to how the detection of loss of methane will be measured; for example, the parts per million detected would vary significantly depending on distance from the source. Consideration should also be given to whether a higher threshold than 500ppm is appropriate.
	DE:
	(Comments):
	Question/Remark: The Commission is asked to explain the threshold value of 500 ppm. In addition, please
	explain how components are differentiated from each other. In the case of a pipeline, a threshold value only
	makes sense if it is related to a defined length of a pipeline or circumference of a pipeline.
	Having in mind that the concentration depends on the closeness to the source: Why did the Commission chose concentration (ppm) as a measurement here rather than a measurement that also includes time (e.g. kg/h)?.
	DK:
	(Comments):
	See comment to paragraph 3 wrt. parts per million measure.
	RO:
	(Comments):
	In the field of crude oil and gas, the consultations with relevant operators in the industry showed the need
	for longer periods for the implementation of some provisions of the proposed Regulation, including the ones
	referring to leak detection and repair, in order for them to be able to complete public tender procedures for
	the acquisition of appropriate equipment.
	The adequate allocation of financial and human resources in view of carrying out the necessary measurements within the required parameters in the proposed Regulation is also essential.

Deadline: 23 March

(Comments):

#### Proposal for Methane Regulation

components referred to in the first

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022	
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	BE: (Drafting):  4. Operators shall repair or replace all-components sources found to be emitting 500 parts per million or more of methane.  BE: (Comments): 'Components' is not defined.  HU: (Drafting): Operators shall repair or replace all components found to be emitting 500 maximum 2500 parts per million or more of methane.  PL: (Drafting): 4. In accordance with the EN 15446, operators shall repair or replace all components found to be emitting 5000/10000 parts per million or more of methane.
The repair or replacement of the	DK:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
subparagraph shall take place immediately after detection, or as soon as possible thereafter but no later than five days after detection, provided operators can demonstrate that safety or technical considerations do not allow immediate action and provided operators establish a repair and monitoring schedule.	It is required that a leak is repaired no later than 5 days after it has been detected. However, it can be very difficult to meet this requirement, especially on offshore installations, for example if a repair requires new components from land, or if a leak has been found on an unmanned platform or subsea. Operations offshore often takes significantly longer than 5 days to plan and execute.  The effect of a requirement of only 5 days may be extended shutdowns of offshore facilities while waiting to be able to repair potential leaks.  RO: (Comments): In the field of crude oil and gas, the consultations with relevant operators in the industry showed the need for longer periods for the implementation of some provisions of the proposed Regulation, including the ones referring to leak detection and repair, in order for them to be able to complete public tender procedures for the acquisition of appropriate equipment.  The adequate allocation of financial and human resources in view of carrying out the necessary measurements within the required parameters in the proposed Regulation is also essential.  CZ: (Drafting):  The repair or replacement of the components referred to in the first subparagraph shall take place immediately after detection, or as soon as possible thereafter but no later than five 30 days after detection, provided operators can demonstrate that safety, administrative or technical considerations do not allow immediate action. and provided operators establish a repair and monitoring schedule.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
	CZ:
	(Comments):
	The time limit of 5 days for repair is not feasible in all cases. For example underground leaks in busy urban roads in particular require significantly longer time due to the need to demarcate other networks and transport measures. Also the weather conditions must be considered, especially during the winter months it might be more complicated to repair the leak.
	BE:
	(Drafting):
	The repair or replacement of the components sources referred to in the first subparagraph shall take place
	immediately after detection, or as soon as possible thereafter but no later than five days after detection,
	provided operators can demonstrate that safety or technical considerations do not allow immediate action
	and provided operators establish a repair and monitoring schedule.
	BE:
	(Comments):
	'Components' is not defined.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	The repair or replacement of the components referred to in the first subparagraph shall take place
	immediately after detection, or as soon as possible thereafter considering the risk factor but no later than
	five days after detection, if repair or replacement will not be achieved immediately, operators shall provided
	operators can within five days—demonstrate that safety, practical or technical considerations do not allow

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	immediate action and provided operators establish a repair and monitoring schedule.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	During LDAR surveys, leaks are repaired on site whenever possible. For repairs which require orders and/or a shutdown, a limit of 5 days is not logistically feasible (especially offshore). For example, it does not take into account the complexity of installations, the impact of shutting down gas production in order to carry out repairs, detection of leaks in difficult to access locations where repairs can only be carried out using scaffoldings.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	A) The repair or replacement of the components referred to in the first subparagraph shall take place
	immediately after detection, or as soon as possible thereafter but no later than five 30 days after detection,
	provided operators can demonstrate that safety, administrative or technical considerations do not allow
	immediate action. and provided operators establish a repair and monitoring schedule.
	B) The repair or replacement of the components referred to in the first subparagraph shall take place
	immediately after detection, or as soon as possible thereafter considering the risk factor but no later than
	five days after detection, if repair or replacement will not be achieved immediately, operators shall provided
	operators can within five days—demonstrate that safety, practical or technical considerations do not allow
	immediate action and provided operators establish a repair and monitoring schedule.
	HU:
	(Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	HU supports CZ and NLproposal.
	The time limit of 5 days for repair is not feasible in all cases. For example underground leaks in busy urban
	roads in particular require significantly longer time due to the need to demarcate other networks and
	transport measures. Also the weather conditions must be considered, especially during the winter months it
	might be more complicated to repair the leak.
	During LDAR surveys, leaks are repaired on site whenever possible. For repairs which require orders
	and/or a shutdown, a limit of 5 days is not logistically feasible (especially offshore). For example, it does
	not take into account the complexity of installations, the impact of shutting down gas production in order to
	carry out repairs, detection of leaks in difficult to access locations where repairs can only be carried out
	using scaffoldings.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	The repair or replacement of the components referred to in the subparagraph 4.2 shall take place
	immediately after detection, or as soon as possible thereafter but no later than five days after detection,
	provided operators can demonstrate that safety or technical considerations do not allow immediate action
	and provided operators establish a repair and monitoring schedule.:
	(i) immediately, no later than 30 days after detection,
	(ii) or within a year where a system shutdown is required before the repair or replacement can take
	place. Operators shall take all possible measures to minimize the leak within 30 days day of detection

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022 **Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal** Comments before the final repair takes place. Safety and technical considerations CZ: that do not allow immediate action, (Drafting): as referred to in the second Safety, administrative and technical considerations-that do not allow immediate action, as referred to in the subparagraph, shall be limited to second subparagraph, shall be limited to taking into account safety to personnel and humans in proximity, taking into account safety to environmental impacts, security of supply, permitting processes required by national law, concentration personnel and humans in of methane loss, accessibility to component, availability of replacement of the component. Environmental proximity, environmental impacts, impact considerations may include instances whereby repair could lead to a higher level of methane concentration of methane loss. emissions than in the absence of the repair. accessibility to component, NL: availability of replacement of the (Drafting): component. Environmental impact Safety, practical and technical considerations that do not allow immediate action, as referred to in the considerations may include second subparagraph, shall be limited to taking into account safety to personnel and humans in proximity, environmental impacts, concentration of methane loss, accessibility to component, availability of instances whereby repair could replacement of the component, security of supply, scheduled maintaince. Environmental impact lead to a higher level of methane considerations may include instances whereby repair could lead to a higher level of methane emissions than in the absence of the repair. emissions than in the absence of AT: the repair. (Drafting): Safety and technical considerations that do not allow immediate action, as referred to in the second

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	subparagraph, shall be limited to taking into account safety to personnel and humans in proximity,
	environmental impacts, relevant impact on the security of supply, concentration of methane loss,
	accessibility to component, availability of replacement of the component. Environmental impact
	considerations may include instances whereby repair could lead to a higher level of methane emissions than
	in the absence of the repair.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	Safety, administrative and technical considerations that do not allow immediate action, as referred to in the
	second subparagraph, shall be limited to taking into account safety to personnel and humans in proximity,
	environmental impacts, security of supply, permitting processes required by national law, concentration of
	methane loss, accessibility to component, security of supply, scheduled maintaince availability of
	replacement of the component. Environmental impact considerations may include instances whereby repair
	could lead to a higher level of methane emissions than in the absence of the repair.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports CZ and NL proposal.
	PL:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	(Drafting):
	Safety and technical considerations that do not allow immediate action, as referred to in the second
	subparagraph, shall be limited to taking into account safety to personnel and humans in proximity,
	environmental impacts, concentration of methane loss, accessibility to component, availability of
	replacement of the component and security of supply. Environmental impact considerations may include
	instances whereby repair could lead to a higher level of methane emissions than in the absence of the repair.
Where a system shutdown is	CZ:
required before the repair or	(Drafting):
replacement can be undertaken,	Where a system shutdown is required before the repair or replacement can be undertaken, operators shall
operators shall minimise the leak	minimise the leak within one day of detection and shall repair the leak by the end of the next scheduled
within one day of detection and	system shutdown. or within a year, whichever is sooner.
shall repair the leak by the end of	CZ:
the next scheduled system	(Comments):
shutdown or within a year,	Subparagraph 14(4) in the original proposal sets the maximum time limit for a repair to be one year.
whichever is sooner.	Repairing a leak later than that is not allowed even when safety and technical considerations apply.
	To respect the environmental impact considerations in the original proposal, which states that "Environmental impact considerations may include instances whereby repair could lead to a higher level of methane emissions than in the absence of the repair", some repairs on high-volume infrastructure must be performed later than one year from detection. In the supporting document we show that a strict one-year repair limit will lead to an unintentional increase in methane emissions (please see the attachment "A prescriptive regulation of LDAR parameters does not guarantee a reduction in methane emissions" for

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	details).
	One of the listed technical considerations is "availability of replacement of the component". Some highly specific custom-made components that are typical in the transmission segment have lead times longer than a year. Imposing a one-year repair limit that is not conditioned by the availability of replacement of components is not a feasible regulation of the transmission segment.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	Where a system shutdown is required before the repair or replacement can be undertaken, operators shall
	minimise the leak within one day of detection and shall repair the leak by the end of the next scheduled
	system shutdown or within a year, whichever is sooner.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	When it isn't possible to realise the reparation within the period of 20 working days, a plan has to be
	established in which the repair schedule is determined in agreement with the competent authority. A 1 year
	requirement would be very costly for society. Could the Commission come up with a system where the
	shutdown requirement is adjusted to the situation for different assets? F.i. it's ok for a distribution pipe line
	in a neighbourhoud to shut down within one year, however, for large volume gas pipe line offshore, the 1
	year maximum could seriously impact security of supply. It would be great if central assets would have
	more time than smaller ones.
	HU:
	(Drafting):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	Where a system shutdown is required before the repair or replacement can be undertaken, operators shall
	minimise the leak within one day of detection and shall repair the leak by the end of the next scheduled
	system shutdown. or within a year, whichever is sooner.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports CZ, NL proposal. Subparagraph 14(4) in the original proposal sets the maximum time limit for a repair to be one year. Repairing a leak later than that is not allowed even when safety and technical considerations apply. To respect the environmental impact considerations in the original proposal, which states that
	"Environmental impact considerations may include instances whereby repair could lead to a higher level of
	methane emissions than in the absence of the repair", some repairs on high-volume infrastructure must be
	performed later than one year from detection. In the supporting document we show that a strict one-year
	repair limit will lead to an unintentional increase in methane emissions. One of the listed technical
	considerations is "availability of replacement of the component". Some highly specific custom-made
	components that are typical in the transmission segment have lead times longer than a year. Imposing a one-
	year repair limit that is not conditioned by the availability of replacement of components is not a feasible
	regulation of the transmission segment.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	We propose to exclude distribution network operators from this obligation. Planned, cyclical system
	shutdowns are not used in the operation of gas pipelines. Instead, there are methods of shutting down

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	sections of gas pipelines without the need to shut down the system (hermetic methods).
5. Notwithstanding paragraph 2, operators shall survey components that were found to be emitting 500 parts per million or more of methane during any of the previous surveys as soon as possible after the repair carried out pursuant to paragraph 4, and no later than 15 days thereafter to ensure that the repair was successful.	DK: (Comments): See comment to paragraph 3 wrt. parts per million measure.  CZ: (Drafting): 5. Notwithstanding paragraph 2, operators shall survey components that were found to be emitting 500 parts per million or more of methane and repaired or replaced as referred to in the paragraph 4 during any of the previous surveys as soon as possible after the repair carried out pursuant to paragraph 4, and no later than 15 days thereafter during the following LDAR survey to ensure that the repair was successful.  CZ: (Comments): After repair of a leaking component gas engineers and technicians always test and ensure that the component has been fixed.  NL: (Drafting): Notwithstanding paragraph 2, operators shall survey components that were found to be emitting 500 parts per million or more of methane during any of the previous surveys during the following LDAR survey as
	soon as possible after the repair carried out pursuant to paragraph 4, and no later than 15 days thereafter to

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	ensure that the repair was successful.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	After repair of a leaking component gas engineers and technicians always test and ensure that the component has been fixed.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	. Notwithstanding paragraph 2, operators shall survey components that were found to be emitting 500 parts
	per million or more of methane and repaired or replaced as referred to in the paragraph 4 during any of the
	previous surveys as soon as possible after the repair carried out pursuant to paragraph 4, and no later than
	15 days thereafter during the following LDAR survey to ensure that the repair was successful.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports CZ proposal
	After repair of a leaking component gas engineers and technicians always test and ensure that the
	component has been fixed.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	5. Notwithstanding paragraph 2, operators shall survey components that were found to be emitting methane
	or more of methane during any of the previous surveys as soon as possible after the repair carried out

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
Notwithstanding paragraph 2, operators shall survey components that were found to be emitting below 500 parts per million of methane, no later than three months after the emissions were detected, to check whether the size of loss of methane has changed.	pursuant to paragraph 4, and no later than 15 days thereafter during the following LDAR survey to ensure that the repair was successful.  LV: (Comments):  We would like to point out that with such measurement intensity, it is possible that new emitting sources will not be detected, as Regulation currently requires intensively surveying the existing sources of low emissions (0-500 ppm). Any component can show low emissions, which means that it must be measured after three months.  DK: (Comments): See comment to paragraph 3 wrt. parts per million measure.  CZ: (Drafting):
	Notwithstanding paragraph 2, operators shall survey components that were found to be emitting below 500 parts per million of methane—and are not concerned by the subparagraph above, no later than three months during the following Leak detection and repair survey after the emissions were detected, to check whether the size of loss of methane has changed.  CZ:  (Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	After repair of a leaking component gas engineers and technicians always test and ensure that the component has been fixed.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	Notwithstanding paragraph 2, operators shall survey components that were found to be emitting below 500
	parts per million of methane, no later than three months after the emissions were detected, to check whether
	the size of loss of methane has changed.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	See justification 14(5).
	Next to this, the requirement to survey leakages below 500 ppm no later than 3 month after detection is not
	clear, considering that the LDAR interval is 3 months as well, so it is the same period. Surveying leaking
	components is naturally part of the LDAR survey.
	Also: In the case of underground pipes, a leak may still be observable in the ground after 15 days, even though the leak has been successfully closed. Or the ground around the pipe has to be dug open again, which is disproportionate.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	Notwithstanding paragraph 2, operators shall survey components that were found to be emitting below 500

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	parts per million of methane and are not concerned by the subparagraph above, no later than three months
	during the following Leak detection and repair survey after the emissions were detected, to check whether
	the size of loss of methane has changed.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	Requirement to survey leakages below 500 ppm no later than 3 month after detection is not clear,
	considering that the LDAR interval is 3 months as well, so it is the same period. Surveying leaking
	components is naturally part of the LDAR survey.
	Also: In the case of underground pipes, a leak may still be observable in the ground after 15 days, even
	though the leak has been successfully closed. Or the ground around the pipe has to be dug open again,
	which is disproportionate.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	Notwithstanding paragraph 2, operators shall survey using all available technologies components that were
	found to be emitting below 500 10000 parts per million of methane, no later than during the following
	Leak Detection and Repair survey three months after the emissions were detected, to check whether the
	size of loss of methane has changed.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	After repair of a leaking component gas engineers and technicians always test and ensure that the

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	component has been fixed. As a result, an extra survey of components that have recently been repaired is usually meaningless and at any case must be left to the responsibility of the gas company which must set its own survey priorities according to past experience at each particular type of network components.  Furthermore, the soil absorbs methane in the event of a leak. As a result, a leakage that have been repaired can still be measured for a few weeks or even a few months after detection. Checking a repaired gas leak within 15 days is therefore not feasible without digging another hole.
Where a higher risk to safety or a higher risk of methane losses is identified, the competent authorities may recommend that surveys of the relevant components take place more frequently.	
6. Without prejudice to the reporting obligations pursuant to paragraph 7, operators shall record all identified leaks, irrespective of their size, and shall continually survey them to ensure that they are	DE: (Drafting): Without prejudice to the reporting obligations pursuant to paragraph 7, operators shall record all identified relevant leaks, irrespective of their size, and shall continually regularly survey them to ensure that they are repaired in accordance with paragraph 4.  CZ:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
repaired in accordance with	(Drafting):
paragraph 4.	6. Without prejudice to the reporting obligations pursuant to paragraph 7, operators shall record all
	identified leaks, irrespective of their size, and shall continually survey them to ensure that they are repaired
	in accordance with paragraph 4.
	CZ:
	(Comments):
	First sentence should be fully removed, as this provision counteracts with paragraphs 5 and 7: reporting is covered in paragraph 7 while paragraph 6 recover surveys obligations already mentioned in paragraph 5 to a continuous survey obligation.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	Without prejudice to the reporting obligations pursuant to paragraph 7, operators shall record all identified
	leaks, irrespective of their size, and shall continually survey them to ensure that they are repaired in
	accordance with paragraph 4.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	The sentence should be fully removed, as this provision counteracts with paragraphs 5 and 7: reporting is covered in paragraph 7 while paragraph 6 covers survey obligations already mentioned in paragraph 5 to a continuous survey obligation.
	HU:
	(Drafting):

Deadline: 23 March

7.

Within one month after

CZ:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE I	OK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	A) Without prejudice to the reporting obligations pursuant to paragraph 7, operators shall record all
	identified relevant leaks, irrespective of their size, and shall continually regularly survey them to ensure that
	they are repaired in accordance with paragraph 4.
	B) Without prejudice to the reporting obligations pursuant to paragraph 7, operators shall record all
	identified leaks, irrespective of their size, and shall continually survey them to ensure that they are repaired
	in accordance with paragraph 4.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	Hungary supports DE proposal, but also flexible to CZ, NL proposal and deletion.
	First sentence should be fully removed, as this provision counteracts with paragraphs 5 and 7: reporting is
	covered in paragraph 7 while paragraph 6 recover surveys obligations already mentioned in paragraph 5 to a
	continuous survey obligation.
Operators shall keep the record for	
at least ten years and shall provide	
that information to competent	
authorities upon their request.	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
each survey, operators shall submit	(Drafting):
a report with the results of the	Within one month after each survey Yearly, operators shall submit a report with the results of the surveys
survey and a repair and monitoring	summarizing the leaks that could not be repaired and the corresponding and a repair and monitoring
schedule to the competent	schedule to the competent authorities of the Member State where the relevant assets are located. The report
authorities of the Member State	shall include at least the elements set out in Annex I.
where the relevant assets are	CZ:
located. The report shall include at	(Comments):
least the elements set out in Annex I.	LDAR survey is a continuous process. Sending to competent authority a report every three months appears as extra administrative burden without operational added value. A yearly report is a good compromise to follow LDAR survey regularly.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	Within one month after each survey Annually, operators shall submit a report with the results of the surveys
	summrizing the leaks that could not be repaired and the corresponding and a repair and monitoring schedule
	to the competent authorities of the Member State where the relevant assets are located. The report shall
	include at least the elements set out in Annex I.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	LDAR survey is a continuous process. Sending a report every three months to the competent authority is an
	extra administrative burden without operational added value. A yearly report is a good compromise to

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	follow LDAR surveys regularly.
	The outcome of each survey must be reported to CA within one month. This leads to high burdens on CA,
	which has to publish the reports.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	7. A) Within one year after each survey, operators shall submit a report with the results of the survey and
	a repair and monitoring schedule to the competent authorities of the Member State where the relevant assets
	are located. The report shall include at least the elements set out in Annex I.
	B) Within one month after each survey Yearly, operators shall submit a report with the results of the surveys
	summarizing the leaks that could not be repaired and the corresponding and a repair and monitoring
	schedule to the competent authorities of the Member State where the relevant assets are located. The report
	shall include at least the elements set out in Annex I.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We propose the report to be submited on an annual basis in accordance with Articles 14.2 and 16.
	As an alternative, HU also supports CZ proposal.
	LDAR survey is a continuous process. Sending to competent authority a report every three months is extra

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	administrative burden without operational added value. A yearly report is a good compromise to follow
	LDAR survey regularly.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	Within one month after each survey, operators shall submit a report with the results of the survey and a
	Operators shall submit a an annual report with the results of the surveys summarizing the leaks that
	could not be repaired and the corresponding repair and monitoring schedule to the competent authorities
	of the Member State where the relevant assets are located. The report shall include at least the elements set
	out in Annex I.
	The competent authorities may require the operator to amend the report or the repair and monitoring
	schedule taking into account the requirements of this Regulation.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	LDAR is an ongoing process. Sending a report to the NRA every 3 months will create additional
	administrative burden with no operational value added. An annual report is a good compromise to regularly
	tracking the LDAR survey.
The competent authorities may	
require the operator to amend the	
report or the repair and monitoring	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
schedule taking into account the	
requirements of this Regulation.	
8. Operators may delegate any	
of the tasks set out in this Article.	
Delegated tasks shall not affect the	
responsibility of operators and	
shall not impact the effectiveness	
of supervision by the competent	
authorities.	
9. Member States shall ensure	
	HU:
that certification, accreditation	(Comments):
schemes or equivalent qualification	The provision structurally belongs to Article 8, we propose to remove it.
schemes, including suitable	
training programmes, are available	
for service providers with respect	
to the surveys.	
Article 15	BE:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	(Comments):
	Question
	Would it not be useful to also require the use of mobile installations to capture or flare methane in some
	cases?
Limits to venting and flaring	DE:
	(Comments):
	We suggest an adequate time frame for transposition of this Article
	NL:
	(Comments):
	o The existing NL gas production installations are designed and equipped with vent (offshore) and flare
	(onshore, but not that many) systems. Flares are used in oil production both onshore and offshore.
	o In practice, small gas streams that cannot be used or recompressed are sent to a vent or flare to prevent
	local exposure of employees.
	Offshore, production water is emitted to the sea as well. This water can contain small quantities of methane
	which will be emitted during the water release into the sea. Those are special methane sources which cannot
	be prevented.
	In practice for safety reasons systems are pressurised this way to prevent inflow of oxygen into the gas
	system. This will prevent an explosive mixture.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE I	DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	o The NL offshore vent regime in gas production was introduced in the mid-1980s because of the protection of birds.
	Possible implications for security of supply of this obligation: o Roughly half of the NL gas production is offshore. o If venting is not allowed under this regulation, these offshore locations will have to be converted to make
	them suitable for flaring. To make the conversion possible, production will have to be halted.  o Given that many installations are at the end of their (economic) life, given that many gas fields are nearly empty, conversion is not cost effective and it is expected that installations will close earlier as a result.
	o Within the framework of the NL voluntary methane covenant, the offshore sector has, through cost-effective reduction measures, achieved 64% emission reduction compared to 2017 over a two-year period.
	Under this article no distinction is made between the upstream, midstream and downstream sectors and it will not be possible for the distribution sector to meet this in the short term because it still lacks technical or cost-effective alternatives.
	Compressor seal gas is still missing. That is also a methane emission source.
1. Venting shall be prohibited	DK:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
except in the circumstances	(Comments):
provided for this Article. Routine	It is positive that the regulation seeks to reduce venting and flaring. Denmark is generally positive towards
flaring shall be prohibited.	prohibition of venting with the exceptions described and prohibition of routine flaring.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	The amount of vents of the DSOs are very small. As a result, cost-effective alternatives are not always available and taking alternative measures might be disproportional.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We support the genaral goal with the proposed exceptions. We are flexible to implement this provision with
	a later deadline, from 2030.
	Also support DE comment.
2. Venting shall only be	
allowed in the following situations:	
(a) in case of an emergency or	CZ:
malfunction; and	(Drafting):
	(a) in case of an emergency, malfunction and incidents impacting security of supply;
	CZ:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	(Comments):
	"Incident" implies that there is no choice versus "emergency" in which, in principle, different choices are possible.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	(a) in case of an emergency or malfunction incidents, where impacting security of supply; and
	NL:
	(Comments):
	In practice for safety reasons systems are pressurised this way to prevent inflow of oxygen into the gas system. This will prevent an explosive mixture.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	(a) in case of an emergency or malfunction, incidents that might impact security of supply; and
	PL:
	(Comments):
	Incident" means that there is no choice compared to "emergency" where in principle different choices are
	possible (definition in text)
(b) where unavoidable and strictly	CZ:
necessary for the operation, repair,	(Drafting):
maintenance or testing of	(b) where unavoidable and strictly necessary for the operation, <i>construction</i> , repair, maintenance, testing of

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission nuonesal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
components or equipment and	components or equipment, decommissioning and subject to the reporting obligations set out in Article 16;
subject to the reporting obligations	CZ:
set out in Article 16.	(Comments):
	"Construction" included to reflect the sector's needs and current practices.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	(b) where unavoidable and strictly necessary for the operation, repair, construction, maintenance or testing
	of components or equipment and subject to the reporting obligations set out in Article 16.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	If the NL offshore & onshore (very little) vent regime does not fall under "unavoidable and strictly
	necessary for the operation" then this effectively means that offshore gas production is made impossible.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	(b) where unavoidable and strictly necessary for the operation, <i>construction</i> , repair, maintenance,
	testing of components or equipment and subject to the reporting obligations set out in Article 16.; or
	NL:
	(Drafting):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	(c) If vents are reasonable small of methane per particular event.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Cost effectiveness should be taken into account therefore a threshold is needed for small vents that do not contribute much.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	(c) if vents are smaller than 50 kg of methane per event.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	Cost effectiveness should be taken into account therefore a threshold is needed for small vents that do not
	contribute much to the total
3. Venting under point (b) of	NL:
paragraph 2 shall include the	(Comments):
following specific situations where	The list of situations in Article 15.3 does not contain all the circumstances under which offshore venting
venting cannot be completely	takes place in NL offshore gas production. This would mean that this list should be expanded to include all
eliminated:	circumstances under which venting may take place in NL.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	The catalog of permitted venting processes (in point 3) should be expanded to include switching and

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	switching operations on gas networks with a working pressure not higher than 0.5 MPa. During most of the works, especially those involving venting of connections or short sections of the network, small amounts of methane are emitted.
	The examples given in paragraph (a) are for better understanding that a notation proposal that will be more understandable to the public.
	Paragraph. (k) does not apply only to upstream pipelines, but to all pipelines in all sectors (downstream/midstream).
	Subparagraphs (e) and (k), decommissioning means a one-time event where, depending on the asset layout and environment, venting may be unavoidable.
	Lit. (l) as a consequence, potentially unrecoverable
	Lit. (m) some shut-off valves require pressurized gas as a moving fluid, movement is rare due to the
	emergency situation (safety of the asset, people, personnel, securing gas supply, etc.), and a limited amount
	of released gas may be unavoidable.
(a) during normal operations of	NL:
certain components, provided that	(Comments):
the equipment meets all the	It is desirable to indicate here what the minimum quantity is of what may still be vented. Only in this way
specified equipment standards and	will there be a level playing field within the EU. Guidance is needed for the competent authority to indicate
it is properly maintained and	what is normal operation and to be able to weigh up the pros and cons. Normal operations should include
regularly inspected to minimise	sampling for measurement devices, dry gas seals.
methane losses;	PL:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	(Drafting):
	(a) during normal operations of certain components (including pneumatic controllers, sampling for
	quantification devices, dry gas seals), provided that the equipment meets all the specified equipment
	standards and it is properly maintained and regularly inspected to minimise methane losses;
	PL:
	(Comments):
	Proposed examples will make the provision more understandable.
(b) to unload or clean-up liquid	
holdup in a well to atmospheric	
pressure;	
(c) during gauging or sampling a	
storage tank or other low-pressure	
vessel;	
(d) during loading out liquids from	
a storage tank or other low-	
pressure vessel to a transport	
vehicle in compliance with	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
applicable standards;	
(e) during repair and maintenance, including blowing down and depressurizing equipment to perform repair and maintenance;	NL: (Drafting): (e) during repair, and maintenance and decommissioning, including blowing down and depressurizing equipment to perform repair and maintenance;  NL: (Comments): (e) and (k), decommissioning: one off event where depending on the asset lay out and environment, venting may be unavoidable.  PL: (Drafting): (e) during repair, and maintenance, and decommissioning including blowing down and depressurizing equipment to perform repair and maintenance;  PL:
	(Comments): In relation to decommissioning, it should be noted tahat, one off event where depending on the asset lay out and environment, venting may be unavoidable.
(f) during a bradenhead test;	and on thomach, tolling may be unavoidable.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(g) during a packer leakage test;  (h) during a production test lasting less than 24 hours;	
(i) where methane does not meet the gathering pipeline specifications, provided the operator analyses methane samples twice per week to determine whether the specifications have been achieved and routes the methane into a gathering pipeline as soon as the pipeline specifications are met;	DE: (Drafting): where methane natural gas does not meet the gathering pipeline specifications or where a gas mixture is not combustable (i.e. natural gas-nitrogen admixtures), provided the operator analyses methane samples twice per week to determine whether the specifications have been achieved and routes the methane into a gathering pipeline as soon as the pipeline specifications are met;  DE: (Comments):  Due to the fact that methane is one part of natural gas we suggest this wording.
(j) during commissioning of pipelines, equipment or facilities, only for as long as necessary to	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
purge introduced impurities from	
the pipeline or equipment;	
(k) during pigging, blow-down to	NL:
repair or purging a gathering	(Drafting):
pipeline for repair or maintenance,	(k) during pigging, blow-down to repair, decommissioned or purging a gathering pipeline for repair or
and only where the gas cannot be	maintenance, and only where the gas cannot be contained or redirected into an unaffected portion of the
contained or redirected into an	pipeline.
unaffected portion of the pipeline.	PL:
	(Drafting):
	(k) during pigging, blow-down to repair, decommissioned or purging a gathering pipeline for repair or
	maintenance, and only where the gas cannot be contained or redirected into an unaffected portion of
	the pipeline.;
	PL:
	(Comments):
	This is not specific to gathering pipeline (upstream) but to all pipelines across all sectors. Moreover, in
	relation to decommissioning, it should be noted tahat, one off event where depending on the asset lay out
	and environment, venting may be unavoidable.
	DE:
	(Drafting):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE	DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	l) during work on a borehole/well during surveys or safety test; m) during work for well (re-) completions;
	n) for the operation of a hydraulic workover unit at a borehole; o) for safeguarding hazardous areas for test-
	and safety reasons; p) for elimination work of gas hydrate plugging q) and in all justified situations to be
	reported to and agreed by the competent authority.
	DE:
	(Comments):
	Due to the fact of occurance of unforeseen events we suggest these amendments
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	(L) When a mixture is vented that is off specifications, as a result of the gas the processing
	(M) Vents from isolation valves used for segmentation of pipelines or compressor station isolation and
	emergency shutdown system.
	(n) For transmission: in certain weather conditions, the heat of the sun can increase the pressure in a pipe. In
	that case, venting is inevitable.
	(h) For other situations that do not fall under the situation above, but where venting should still be possible
	because of safety, the environment or others. This should be approved by the competent authority.
	NL:
	(Comments):

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission managel	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	(l) consequently potentially impossible to , recover
	(m) some isolation valve needs pressurized gas as a moving fluid, there movement is rare as related to emergency situation (safety, security of supply) and the limited amount of released gas is unavoidable.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	l) during work on a borehole/well during surveys or safety test; m) during work for well (re-) completions;
	n) for the operation of a hydraulic workover unit at a borehole; o) for safeguarding hazardous areas for test-
	and safety reasons; p) for elimination work of gas hydrate plugging q) and in all justified situations to be
	reported to and agreed by the competent authority.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports DE additions to the text.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	(I) When a mixture is vented that is off specifications, as a result of the gas processing
	(m) Vents from isolation valves used for segmentation of pipelines or compressor station isolation
	and emergency shutdown system.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	Consequently potentially impossible to recover.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
4. Where venting is allowed pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3, operators shall vent only where flaring is not technically feasible or risks endangering safety of operations or personnel. In such a situation, as part of the reporting obligations set out in Article 16, operators shall demonstrate to the competent authorities the necessity to opt for venting instead of flaring.	Some isolation valve needs pressurized gas as a moving fluid, there movement is rare as related to emergency situation (safety, security of supply) and the limited amount of released gas is unavoidable  NL:  (Comments):  Here it must be described when something is not technically feasible. Otherwise, this too will lead to an uneven European playing field.  For downstream: In the case where emissions are unavoidable, investments will be needed to install and use a flaring system. The situations where flaring is not possible will be specific venting situations related to the asset operation or setting, it seems reasonable to describe these situations once a year and not re-explain uselessly for each venting events why it is not possible.  PL:  (Drafting):  4. Where venting is allowed pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3, operators shall vent only where flaring is not technically feasible reasonable or risks endangering safety of operations or personnel. In such a situation, as part of the reporting obligations set out in Article 16, operators shall demonstrate to the
	competent authorities the necessity to opt for venting instead of flaring. Operators should also be able to justify not being in a position to install certain quantification devices if their cost would exceed the value of the recovered methane.
5. Flaring shall only be allowed where either re-injection,	DK: (Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
utilisation on-site or dispatch of the	Can the Commission clarify whether 'safety flaring' and 'non-routine flaring' as defined by the World Bank
methane to a market are not	GFR partnership and ZRF initiative, are allowed in the proposed regulation?
feasible for reasons other than	
economic considerations. In such a	
situation, as part of the reporting	See also comments to Article 2 paragraph 22
obligations set out in Article 16,	NL:
operators shall demonstrate to the	(Drafting):
competent authorities the necessity	Flaring shall only be allowed where either re-injection, utilisation, on-site or dispatch of the methane to a
to opt for flaring instead of either	market or are not feasible for reasons other than economic considerations or and in case of a net
re-injection, utilisation on-site or	environmental benefit. In such a situation, as part of the reporting obligations set out in Article 16, operators
dispatch of the methane to a	shall demonstrate to the competent authorities the necessity to opt for flaring instead of either re-injection,
market.	utilisation on-site or dispatch of the methane to a market.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	In any case, the emissions created by repairing an installation should not exceed the environmental benefits of a properly functioning installation.
	AT:
	(Comments):
	A measure to prevent methane emissions must not lead to more emissions than would be the case
	without taking the relevant measure. A specific measure must therefore actually be accompanied by a

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	net reduction in emissions. Considerations of such kind, presented by an operator in connection with
	Article 15 Para 5, would have to be appreciated, provided that the operator bases these arguments on
	scientific findings that would also have to be fixed across the EU. The verification of relevant
	arguments of the operators could fall to the "verifiers".
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	6. By 6 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation, operators shall submit a venting and flaring reduction programme to the competent authorities which shall detail the timeline of implementing emission reduction measures. The competent authorities shall waive any sanctions for venting and flaring events that occur:
	i) before the venting and flaring reduction programme is approved, and
	ii) before the approved implementation dates for components that are covered in the venting and
	flaring reduction programme.
	CZ:
	(Comments):
	Emission reduction measures vary in the implementation time, market availability or the state of research. Currently, the regulation proposal accounts for the implementation period only via the recital 67: "Operators and competent authorities should be given a reasonable period in order to take the necessary preparatory actions to meet the requirements of this Regulation." Developing, designing, and installing emission reduction measures is dependent on third party suppliers and in many cases the implementation times are in the order of years. The concept of a venting and flaring reduction programme will allow a transition period to implement the relevant measures.
	NL:
	(Drafting):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	Where vented emission can be flared or where either re-injection, utilisation on-site or dispatch of the
	methane to a market are feasible, according to paragraphs 4 and 5, and where investments are needed:
	- the operators should agree with competent authorities on how these investments have to be
	prioritised as part of the methane emissions reduction plan;
	- The plan has to be agreed with competent authorities as described in article 13;
	- The investment plan shall consider the proportionality of the plan-elements, in terms of saved gas
	relative to cost and environmental impact.
	The obligations of paragraphs 4 and 5 will only become mandatory when these investments will be
	implemented as per the agreed plan.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Important investments will be needed to modify existing assets in order to flare, recover or reuse the vented
	emissions. A plan should be proposed by the operators to the competent authorities in order to first do the
	more efficient actions to ensure a sustainable expenditure schedule over a sound period of time and to
	ensure that sufficient resources (equipment, manpower) will be available in the European market to
	absorb this activity surge. Costs (for the end-user) not proportional to the related emission reduction should
	be avoided.
	PL:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	(Drafting):
	6. Where vented emission can be flared or where either re-injection, utilisation on-site or dispatch of
	the methane to a market are feasible, according to paragraph 4 and 5, and where investments are
	needed :
	- the operators should agree with competent authorities on how these investments have to be
	prioritized as part of methane emission reduction plan;
	- the plan has to be agreed with competent authorities as described in article 13,
	- the investment plan has to be based on efficiency in terms of saved gas relative to cost and
	environmental impact.
	The obligations of paragraph 4 and 5 will only become mandatory when these investments will be
	implemented as per the agreed plan.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	Investments are needed for operators to adapt and upgrade existing assets for combustion processes and to
	vent, re-injection, or tilisation on-site or dispatch of the methane to a market. Operators should propose a
	plan to the NRA to take more effective action first, to ensure a balanced expenditure schedule over an
	appropriate period of time, and to ensure sufficient resources.
Article 16	
Reporting of venting and flaring	NL:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
events	(Comments):  Reporting of each activity leads to an increase in administrative burden for the sector and the regulator, while in NL no environmental benefits are expected.  Periodic reporting, even for minimal quantities released during blow-off and flaring, creates an administrative burden that we do not believe is effective.
1. Operators shall notify the competent authorities of venting and flaring events:	DK: (Comments): Denmark is generally positive towards the requirements to report venting and flaring events.  It is noted that flaring is allready part of the EU ETS and thus regulated wrt. monitoring, reporting and verification of CO2 emissions.  PL: (Drafting):  1. Operators shall notify the competent authorities of venting and flaring events of more than 50 000 kg of methane caused by an incident, emergency or a malfunction
(a) caused by an emergency or a malfunction;	DE: (Drafting): (a) caused by an emergency or a malfunction and DE:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
	(Comments):
	Suggestion for clarification
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	(a) caused by an <b>incident</b> , emergency or a malfunction;
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	(a) of more than *TBD* caused by an emergency, an incident or a malfunction;
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	(a) caused by an emergency or a malfunction and
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports DE proposal, or as an alternative support CZ proposal to delete b).
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	(a) caused by an emergency or a malfunction;
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	The requirements applicable for this notification will be in accordance to existing national or local

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	legislation regarding notification of incidents, emergencies or other unusual occurrences
	CZ: (Comments): Incidents and emergencies are different, and both are unexpected. However, rules on notifications of
	incidents, emergencies and other unusual occurrences are already in place and shall not be separate from the notification of emissions.
(b) lasting a total of 8 hours or	DE:
more within a 24 hour period from	(Comments):
a single event.	Remark/Question: In view of the quantities of greenhouse gases that can be released, the Commission is
	asked to explain on what scientific basis the temporal thresholds mentioned here were set.
	DK:
	(Comments):
	Flaring is a recurring event when producing oil and gas during normal operation, i.e. safety flaring may be continuous. Please consider whether further definition of flaring events to be reported is needed in order to not impose unessesary reporting on recurring events under normal operation. Operators will in any case report quarterly as set out in paragraph 2.
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	(b) lasting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.
	NL:
	(Drafting):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

(b) las HU: (Draft	sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.
The notification referred to in the first subparagraph shall be made without delay after the event and at the latest within 48 hours from the start of the event or the moment the operator became aware of it.  CZ:  (Draft  The n  latest  CZ:  (Com  The p  both of  (parage)	ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.  Ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.  Ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.  Ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.  Ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.  Ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.  Ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.  Ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.  Ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.  Ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.  Ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.  Ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.  Ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.  Ting):  Sting a total of 8 hours or more within a 24 hour period from a single event.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	AT: (Comments): Question to the European Commission why (in the light of avoiding any unnecessary bureaucracy) ad hoc notification of certain venting and flaring events is considered necessary? PL: (Drafting): The notification referred to in the first subparagraph shall be made without delay after the event and at the latest within 48 hours from the start of the event or the moment the operator became aware of it as soon as possible after the event.
2. Operators shall submit to the competent authorities quarterly reports of all venting and flaring referred to in paragraph 1 and in Article 15 in accordance with the elements set out in Annex II.	DE: (Drafting):  2. Operators shall submit to the competent authorities quarterly reports of all venting and flaring referred to in paragraph 1 and in Article 15 in accordance with the elements set out in Annex II.  DE: (Comments): Suggestion to delete because these duties are mentioned already in Articlel 12.  DK: (Comments): Denmark is generally positive towards quarterly reports of all venting and flaring.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	2. Operators shall submit to the competent authorities quarterly annual reports of all venting and flaring
	referred to in paragraph 1 and in Article 15 in accordance with the elements set out in Annex II. This will
	be included in the annual emissions report referred in Article 15.
	CZ:
	(Comments):
	Single events have to be reported immediately, and the total emissions have to be included in the annual methane report anyway. Including it there will reduce the bureaucratic burden and workload.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	2. Operators shall submit to the competent authorities quarterly annual reports of all venting and flaring
	referred to in paragraph 1 and in Article 15 in accordance with the elements set out in Annex II. This will be
	included in the annual emissions report referred in Article 15.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Single events have to be reported immediately, and the total emissions have to be included in the annual
	methane report anyway. To include it in the annual methane report will reduce the bureaucratic burden and
	workload.
	HU:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	
	Comments
	(Drafting):
	2. Operators shall submit to the competent authorities annualy quarterly reports of all venting and
	flaring referred to in paragraph 1 and in Article 15 in accordance with the elements set out in Annex II.
	Or alternatively
	2. Operators shall submit to the competent authorities quarterly reports of all venting and flaring
	referred to in paragraph 1 and in Article 15 in accordance with the elements set out in Annex II.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We propose an annual reporting obligation to rationalise the administrative burden of authorities.
	We also flexible to DE proposal to delet text, as it is already set in Art.12.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	2. Operators shall submit to the competent authorities quarterly annual reports of all venting and flaring
	referred to in paragraph 1 and in Article 15 in accordance with the elements set out in Annex II. This will
	be included in the annual emissions report referred in Article 15.
	PL:
	(Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission and again	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	Single events have to be reported immediately, and the total emissions have to be included in the annual
	methane report anyway. To include it there will reduce the bureaucratic burden and workload.
3. The competent authorities	HU:
shall make the reports set out in	(Drafting):
this Article available to the public	3. The competent authorities shall make the reports set out in this Article available to the public and
and the Commission annually and	the Commission annually and in accordance with Article 5(4).
in accordance with Article 5(4).	HU:
	(Comments):
	Paragraph (3) is repeating Article 5(4), we propse to delete it <u>OR</u> it should be simplified by only referring to
	Article 5(4).
Article 17	
Requirements for flaring	
standards	
1. Where a facility is built,	DE:
replaced or refurbished, or where	(Drafting):
new flare stacks or other	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
combustion devices are installed,	Where a facility is built, replaced or refurbished, or where new flare stacks or other combustion devices are
operators shall install only	installed, operators shall install <del>only</del> combustion devices with an auto-igniter or continuous pilot and <del>a</del>
combustion devices with an auto-	complete the best available destruction removal efficiency for hydrocarbons. If mobile flare stacks are used
igniter or continuous pilot and a	a manual ignition is permitted as long as the activities are performed and observed by qualified operations
complete destruction removal	or maintainance staff.
efficiency for hydrocarbons.	DE:
	(Comments):
	Suggestion for clarification.
	In addition we ask for clarification of the term "complete destruction removal efficiency"
	DK:
	(Comments):
	The requirement of 'complete destruction removal efficiency for hydrocarbons' for flare stacks or other combustion devices may not be realistic since it not posible technically to obtain 'complete destruction removal efficiency for hydrocarbons' when flaring. Denmark suggests that the requirement for 'destruction removal efficiency for hydrocarbons' is re-evaluated.
	BE:
	(Drafting):
	Where a facility site is built, replaced or refurbished, or where new flare stacks or other combustion devices
	are installed, operators shall install only combustion devices with an auto-igniter or continuous pilot and a
	complete destruction removal efficiency for hydrocarbons.
	BE:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
Commission proposal	(Comments):  'Facility' is not defined.  NL: (Drafting):  1. Where a facility is built, replaced or refurbished, or where new flare stacks or other combustion devices are installed, operators shall install only combustion devices with an auto-igniter or continuous pilot and a complete destruction removal efficiency of 98% for hydrocarbons.  NL: (Comments):  Article 17 requires complete combustion in a flare. This ignores the technical reality that there is always combustion efficiency, which is never 100%.  The complete combustion in Article 17 (=100%) is not realistic. In NL, a combustion efficiency of 98% is assumed. In practice, it is extremely difficult to determine a combustion efficiency of a flare.  AT: (Comments):  1. Any action taken to prevent methane emissions shall not result in more emissions than would be the case if no action was taken. A specific measure must therefore actually be accompanied by a net reduction in emissions. This principle would also have to be taken into account in connection with Article 17, while basing any such arguments on EU-wide established scientific findings. The verification of relevant arguments could fall to the "verifiers".
	2. Question to the European Commission whether flare systems with 100% combustion efficiency

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	actually exist - not only in the sense of a product declaration by the manufacturer; i.e. whether "complete destruction removal efficiency" is a practicable or even possible qualification of flares or whether one should not better focus on the state of the art in connection with Article 17 Paragraph 1 (which, according to companies concerned, rather seems to be 98-99% combustion efficiency).
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	1. Where a facility is built, replaced or refurbished, or where new flare stacks or other combustion devices
	are installed, operators shall install only combustion devices with an auto-igniter or continuous pilot and a
	complete efficient destruction removal efficiency for hydrocarbons
	PL:
	(Comments):
	The efficiency of the flares should be state of the art instead of requiring complete combustion of the
	hydrocarbons."A complete destruction removal efficiency for hydrocarbons" is hard to define if a flare with
	almost complete combustion is acceptable and it will be hard to prove that there is complete destruction
2. Operators shall ensure that	NL:
all flare stacks or other combustion	(Drafting):
devices comply with the	Operators shall ensure that all flare stacks or other combustion devices comply with the requirements of
requirements of paragraph 1 by	paragraph 1 by [12 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation].
[12 months from the date of entry	NL:
into force of this Regulation].	(Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	Possible tightening of flare requirements will go into effect within 12 months. That is very quick. It is
	questionable whether this is feasible to fully implement a new design in such a short period of time.
	AT:
	(Comments):
	Suggestion for a somewhat longer period for Article 17, Paragraph 2 (12 months might be too short - the specific period will have to be specified once the requirements according to Article 17, Paragraph 1 have been clarified and fixed. In any case, the aim should be a reasonable timeframe for the upgrading of the flare inventory according to Art 17 paragraph. 1).
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	2. Operators shall ensure that all flare stacks or other combustion devices comply with the
	requirements of paragraph 1 by 2030.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We consider the provisons too strict, we propose to change the deadline to 2030.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	2. Operators shall ensure that all flare stacks or other combustion devices comply with the requirements of
	paragraph 1 with destruction efficiency of at least 95% by [12 months from the date of entry into force
	of this Regulation].
	PL:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	(Comments):
	Given the economic issues and the long duration of purchasing procedures that meet the requirements of the
	Public Procurement Law, it is not possible to replace the flare stacks within 12 months.
3. Operators shall conduct	NL:
weekly inspections of flare stacks	(Comments):
in accordance with the elements set	A weekly inspection of vent and flare tips is extremely high and also leads to the introduction of
out in Annex III.	unnecessary risks (flare going off during inspection, working at height).
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	3. Operators shall conduct monthly inspections of flare stacks in accordance with the elements set out
	in Annex III.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	Weekly inspections would require disproportionately high human resources compared to emissions that can
	be detected and eliminated by monitoring. We propose monthly inspections.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	Operators shall conduct weekly quarterly inspections of flare stacks that are used only for exceptional

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

**Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal** Comments conditions. PL: (Comments): Regarding the need for weekly inspections of flare stacks, it should be noted that an inspection can take anywhere from a few hours to several weeks. Weekly inspections are thus technically not feasible, at least for flares on mines. Article 18 DE: (Comments): We suggest to consider if for orderly permanent plugged wells and backfilled/sealed mining sites some obligations of the proposal should be adjusted or exempted (e. g. time frame, necessity and frequencies of inspections and measurement). Therefore it could be necessary to develop criteria what "orderly permanent plugged wells and backfilled/sealed mining sites" are. Reference on best available techniques (BAT) for such wells/sites could be helpful and elaborated in an Annex or an Article that addresses the task of developing an an "BAT-Document". **Inactive wells** DE: (Comments): Please see our remarks on Artikle 2 (24) (Definition):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	"inactive wells' means an oil or gas well or well site where operations for exploration or production have
	ceased for at least one year and which has not been permanently plugged and abandoned in accordance with
	regulatory requirements of the competent authorities."
	DK:
	(Comments):
	It is unclear whether 'inactive wells' include temporary plugged wells, permanently plugged wells or fully
	plugged and abandoned wells. This needs to be clarified in order to assess the extend of article 18. Denmark
	suggests that 'inactive wells' should include temporary plugged wells, while plugged wells and fully
	plugged and abandoned wells should be regulated in paragraph 6.
	Please also see comment to article 2 paragraph 24.
	CY:
	(Comments):
	What is the definition of inactive well (or active well)? Inactive wells include also the unsucessful exploration wells? Also the water depth affects the amount of methane that may escape to the air. It should be more clarified what types of wells are included in this Article.
	NL:
	(Comments):
	This obligation does not do justice to strict NL requirements for sealing abandoned wells.
	o In conducting research on (both offshore and onshore) abandoned wells in NL, it was found that hardly
	any methane emissions can be determined.
	o The quantities involved were so small that they cannot justify an annual measurement campaign of

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	hundreds of wells.
	- Onshore, many locations are no longer accessible due to construction. Offshore, this is also very
	complicated; measurements must then be taken under water. This is very costly.
	The environmental burden of doing the work does not outweigh minimal environmental gain.
	AT:
	(Comments):
	Question to the European Commission as to which net savings potential is seen at EU level for permanently filled boreholes and whether such boreholes should not be excluded from the scope of Article 18 (provided that throughout the EU a state-of-the-art standard for the filling of boreholes exists and is also fulfilled)?
	HU:
	(Comments):
	HU supports DE comment: to consider if for orderly permanent plugged wells and backfilled/sealed mining
	sites some obligations of the proposal should be adjusted or exempted (e. g. time frame, necessity and
	frequencies of inspections and measurement).
1. By [12 months from the	IE:
date of entry into force of this	(Comments):
Regulation], Member States shall	Please also refer to suggested amendment to definition of inactive well in Article 2, in order to clearly
establish and make publicly	exclude anything permanently plugged and abandoned.
available an inventory of all	AT:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
inactive wells on their territory or	(Comments):
under their jurisdiction, including at least the elements set out in Annex IV.	It should be ensured that specific location data of boreholes are not to be published if this conflicts with security concerns.  HU:  (Drafting):  1. By [18 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], Member States shall establish and make publicly available an inventory of all inactive wells on their territory or under their jurisdiction, including at least the elements set out in Annex IV.  HU:  (Comments):  We propose a longer deadline since corporate reporting obligation shall be set and implemented in order to establish the inventory.
2. By [18 months of the date of entry into force of this Regulation], equipment for measurement of methane emissions shall be installed on all inactive wells.	IE: (Comments):  18 months here is unfeasible in relation to offshore infrastructure, given the likely requirement for operators to contract appropriate vessels to install the relevant equipment, and the possibility that, depending on the works carried out, regulatory consents could be required.  CY: (Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	In deep water environments, as in the east Mediterranean, it is very difficult to put such equipment,
	especially in the wells that are already abandoned.
	If unsuccesful exploration wells are included in the definition of inactive wells, it makes no sense to install
	equipment for measurement of methane emissions.
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	2. By [18 months of the date of entry into force of this Regulation], equipment for measurement of
	methane emissions shall be installed on all inactive wells. checks will be made on the amount of methan
	leakage at inactive wells that have not yet been disposed of, and at wells that have not been disposed
	of in the past in accordance with the best practices methods.
	CZ:
	(Comments):
	Continuous measurements on all inactive wells is not technically possible - the borehole casing is cut about
	1.6 m below the ground and covered with soil. Deploying a continuous sensor would mean digging out and
	accessing the wellhead and pulling the casing above the ground. The whole solution would have to be
	preceded by negotiations with landowners, the vast majority of boreholes are located on land owned mainly
	by individuals.
	HU:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	(Drafting):
	By [18 months of the date of entry into force of this Regulation], equipment for measurement of methane
	emissions shall be installed on all inactive wells.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	The proposal generates disproportionate significant administrative burden, cost and need for human
	resources, compared to the emission reduction result that can be achieved. We propose to alleviate the
	provison so that it depends on the decission of the Member State or it is justified by the expected result of
	the emission reduction. As a regulatory option, it is proposed to make only site-level measurement form
	mandatory for inactive wells once a year (for wells where there is a physical risk of leakage). We do not
	consider it feasible to install a measuring equipment for each inactive well, given that it would be extremely
	costly.
	OR We can also support deleting the provision. If the provision remains in the text, instead of a precise
	deadline, only a provision on economically efficient timing is acceptable for us.
	<u>OR</u>
	We propose to introduce as an alternative regulatory option the possibility for the Member State/the mining
	operator concerned to measure methane leaks from inactive wells using a mobile meter over a period of one
	year (e.g. every 4 months) and if there is no leakage, the well can be considered plugged and the additional
	measurement reporting obligation will be ceased.
	PL:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	(Drafting):
	2. By [18 months of the date of entry into force of this Regulation], equipment for measurement of
	methane emissions shall be installed on all inactive wells.
	PL:
	(Comments):
	Considering the fact that after decommissioning of wells, the land is returned to the owner and there is no
	possibility to conduct accurate quantification (quantifications conducted with the use of drones are inaccurate
	due to natural emissions or unreliable due to climatic factors), we propose to remove the provision
	stipulating the obligation to install quantification equipment within 18 months from the date of entry into
	force of the regulation, and to introduce a provision stating that if no methane emissions occur within 5
	years from the date of closing down a well, further quantifications should be discontinued after the said
	period.
3. Reports containing the	IE:
measurements referred to in	(Comments):
paragraph 2 shall be submitted to	In line with the comment Article 18(1), 24 months is too short in relation to offshore infrastructure.
the competent authorities by [24	HU:
months of the date of entry into	(Drafting):
force of this Regulation] and by 30	Where relevant reports containing the measurements referred to in paragraph 2 shall be submitted to the
March every year thereafter and	competent authorities by [24 months of the date of entry into force of this Regulation] and by 30 March

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
cover the last available calendar	every year thereafter and cover the last available calendar year. Before submission to the competent
year. Before submission to the competent authorities, the reports set out in this paragraph shall be assessed by a verifier and include a verification statement issued in accordance with Articles 8 and 9.	authorities, the reports set out in this paragraph shall be assessed by a verifier and include a verification statement issued in accordance with Articles 8 and 9.  HU: (Comments): See Article 18 (2). OR Exemption should be given to the plugged wells on the basis of a declaration by the Member State/mining operator.  PL: (Drafting): 3. Reports containing the information on measurement or quantifications of methane emissions from inactive wells shall be submitted to the competent authorities by [24 months of the date of entry into force of this Regulation] and by 30 March every year thereafter and cover the last available calendar year. Before submission to the competent authorities, the reports set out in this paragraph shall be assessed
	by a verifier and include a verification statement issued in accordance with Articles 8 and 9.
4. The competent authorities	AT:
shall make the reports set out in	(Comments):
this Article available to the public and the Commission, within three	It should be ensured that specific location data of boreholes are not to be published if this conflicts with security concerns.

Deadline: 23 March

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
months from submission by	HU:
operators and in accordance with	(Drafting):
Article 5(4).	4. The competent authorities shall make the reports set out in this Article available to the public and
	the Commission, within three months from submission by operators and in accordance with Article 5(4).
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We propose deletion, it is repeating the provision of Article 5(4).
	<u>OR</u>
	The text should refer only to Article 5(4).
5. Member States shall be	
responsible for fulfilling the	
obligations laid down in	
paragraphs 2 and 3, except where a	
responsible party can be identified,	
in which case that party shall bear	
responsibility.	
6. Member States shall	DK:
develop and implement a	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Drafting Suggestions  Comments	
(Comments):	
The wording in this paragraph 6 does not seem to cover offshore wells. Concepts such as 'remediate' and	
'reclaim' are more relevant in an onshore context.	
IE: (Comments): Should relate solely to onshore infrastructure.  DK: (Comments): Not relevant in an offshore environment.	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Comments proposed	Comments
(c) restoring land, water and habitat	DK:
impacted by wells and the prior	(Comments):
operations;	Could ad 'restoring seabed' to cover offshore wells.
(d) yearly checks to ensure plugged	IE:
wells are no longer a source of	(Comments):
methane emissions.	With respect to permanently plugged and abandoned wells, annual checks would be a significant
	undertaking and should not be required, particularly in the case of offshore infrastructure.
	DE:
	(Comments):
	If a well is permanently plugged and abandoned the access to the site could be very limited and may include seroius interference with regard to nature and environment. We suggest to review the need of yearly checks in every case.
	DK:
	(Comments):
	It should be defined what is meant by 'plugged well' and whether this includes fully 'plugged and
	abandoned wells' offshore.
	If 'plugged and abandoned wells' offshore are included, Denmark believes that the requirement for yearly
	checks to ensure that plugged wells are no longer a source of methane emissions should be limited in both

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	inspect when the seabed has been restored, and due to the fact that they are located hundreds of kilometers
	offshore over at wide area, subsea and under the seabed. It will be related with great cost if these were to be
	inspected yearly.
	The requirement should in all cases be limited in time, in order to avoid eternal obligations.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	(d) yearly checks to ensure plugged wells are no longer a source of methane emissions
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Sometimes houses have been built on non-producing wells. It does not make sense to report these emissions on a yearly basis. Meausrements in the past have shown that wells which have been closed don't leak in NL. Risk based approach is more effective.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	(d) yearly checks to ensure plugged wells are no longer a source of methane emissions.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We propose to delete point 6.
	<u>OR</u> as an alternative regulatory option we propose to introduce the possibility for the Member States's
	mining operator to measure methane leaks of inactive wells on a monthly basis over a year, and if there is

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	no leakage, the well can be considered plugged and the additional measurement reporting obligation will cease to apply.
	Also support to DE comment: If a well is permanently plugged and abandoned the access to the site could be very limited and may include seroius interference with regard to nature and environment.
	DK: (Comments): It should be noted that emmissions of biogenic methane from naturally ocurring shallow accumulation is very normal offshore. These emissions are happening independently of human activities and it requires analysis of the gas composition to distinguish it from potential leaks from deeper oil and gas accumulations through eg. a leaking well.
Chapter 4	DE: (Comments): Especially regarding lignite surface mining we propose to examine if the current draft of this regulation provides the adequate framework and instruments because lignite surface mines could show emissions to be low and at the limits of detection. Maybe an alignment with other instruments such as emission factors according to national reports for UN FCCC could be considered.  Generally, in view of the quantitatively subordinate importance of closed hard coal mines in relation to the release of methane emissions, we suggest to consider the necessity of the provisions of Chapter 4 Section III. measurements that have already been carried out due to legal obligations on EU and national level to

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
	avert danger in hard coal mining could be taken into account to ensure an adequate handling of methane
	emissions.
	The energetic use of mine gas should remain possible as an effective methane reduction measure.
	HU: (Comments):
	HU support DE comment: especially regarding lignite surface mining we propose to examine if the current
	draft of this regulation provides the adequate framework and instruments because lignite surface mines
	could show emissions to be low and at the limits of detection. Maybe an alignment with other instruments
	such as emission factors according to national reports for UN FCCC could be considered.
Methane emissions in the coal	DK:
sector	(Comments):
	Denmark is generally positive to the regulation of methane emissions in the coal sector.
	Denmark has no detailed comments to chapter 4, since Denmark does not have any underground or surface
	coalmines.
Section I	
Monitoring and reporting in	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
operating mines	
Article 19	HU:
	(Comments):
	We propose to alleviate the provisions taking into account Member States's plans for phasing-out coal.
	Introducing resource and cost intensive provisions are unnecessary and unjustified.
Scope	
1. This Section applies to	PL:
operating underground and surface	(Drafting):
coal mines.	1. This Section applies to operating underground and surface coal mines, with the expetion of mines
	for which a closure plan has been approved by the European Commission.
2. Methane emissions from	
operating underground coal mines	
include the following emissions:	
metade the following emissions.	
(a) methane emissions from all	
ventilation shafts in use by the	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
mine operator;	
(b) methane emissions from	
drainage stations and from the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
methane drainage system, whether	
occurring as a result of intentional	
or unintentional venting, or	
incomplete combustion in flares;	
,	
(c) methane emissions occurring during post-mining activities.	PL: (Comments): Processes defined as post-mining activities should not be in the volume of methane Processes defined as post-mining activities should not be in the volume of methane emissions from coal mines, as there might be different entities managing of transport or storage of extracted material. Hence the operator of the coal mine is not necessarily responsible for length of transport nor for the length of the storage. Moreover, it will be impossible to calculate occuring emissions and indicate the responsible entity.
3. Methane emissions from operating surface coal mines include the following emissions:	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(a) methane emissions	
occurring at the coal mine during	
the mining process;	
(b) methane emissions	
occurring during post-mining	
activities.	
Article 20	
Monitoring and reporting	
1. For underground coal	PL:
mines, mine operators shall	(Drafting):
perform continuous ventilation air	1. For underground coal mines, mine operators shall perform continuous ventilation air methane
methane emissions measurement	emissions measurement or quantification and quantification on all exhaust ventilation shafts used by the
and quantification on all exhaust	mine operator, using apparatus with a methane concentration sensitivity threshold of at least 100 parts per
ventilation shafts used by the mine	million. They shall also take monthly sample-based measurements or quantifications.
operator, using apparatus with a	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
methane concentration sensitivity	
threshold of at least 100 parts per	
million. They shall also take	
monthly sample-based	
measurements.	
2. Drainage stations operators	PL:
shall perform continuous	(Drafting):
measurements of volumes of	2. Drainage stations operators shall perform continuous measurements or quantifications of volumes
vented and flared methane,	of vented and flared methane, regardless of the reasons for such venting and flaring activity.
regardless of the reasons for such	
venting and flaring activity.	
3. As regards surface coal	CZ:
mines, mine operators shall use	(Drafting):
deposit-specific coal mine methane	As regards surface coal mines, mine operators shall use deposit-specific coal mine methane emission factors
emission factors to quantify	to quantify emissions resulting from mining operations. Mine operators shall establish those emission
emissions resulting from mining	factors on a quarterly an annual basis, in accordance with appropriate scientific standards and take into
operations. Mine operators shall	account methane emissions from surrounding strata.
establish those emission factors on	CZ:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
a quarterly basis, in accordance	(Comments):
with appropriate scientific	We consider annual frequency to be adequate.
standards and take into account	HU:
methane emissions from	(Drafting):
surrounding strata.	3. As regards surface coal mines, mine operators shall use deposit-specific coal mine methane emission
	factors to quantify emissions resulting from mining operations. Mine operators shall establish those
	emission factors on an annual quarterly basis, in accordance with appropriate scientific standards. and take
	into account methane emissions from surrounding strata.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We propose to establish emission factors on annual basis.
	Taking into account methane emissions from surrounding strata would increase significantly the size of the
	measured area, we propose to delete this.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	3. As regards surface coal mines, mine operators shall use deposit-specific coal mine methane emission
	factors to quantify emissions resulting from mining operations. Mine operators shall establish those
	emission factors on a quarterly basis, in accordance with appropriate scientific standards and take into
	account methane emissions from surrounding strata.
	PL:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
• •	Comments
	(Comments):  Research on the significance of methane emissions from surface lignite mines shows emissions to be low and marginal, at the limits of detection. In the impact assessment accompanying this proposal for a regulation, the European Commission admits that "measurement of surface coal mine methane emissions is challenging due to their diffuse nature over a wide area" (p. 52). Deposit-specific, average national emission factors are widely used, including for UNFCCC reporting, and any additional costly requirements should only be introduced if further research finds these to be inadequate. These factors vary within the European Union as the share of sub-bituminous coal, the degree of coalification and thus the methane content of coal vary from deposit to deposit.
4. The measurements and quantification referred to in paragraphs 1 to 3 shall be undertaken in accordance with an appropriate European or international standards.	HU: (Drafting):  4. The measurements and quantification referred to in paragraphs 1 to 3 shall be undertaken in accordance with an appropriate European or international standards.  HU: (Comments): Reference to strandards is included in paragraph (3), it can be deleted.  PL: (Drafting):  4. The measurements-or quantification referred to in paragraphs 1 to 3 shall be undertaken in

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	accordance with an appropriate European or international standards.
As regards continuous measurements referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2, where part of the measuring equipment is not operating for a period, readings taken during periods when the equipment was operating may be used to estimate data on a pro rata basis for the period that the equipment was not operating.	HU: (Comments): Provisions on continuous measurements should be optionally limited to cases where methane emissions are relevant for the deposit-specific mine.  PL: (Drafting): As regards continuous measurements or quantifications referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2, where part of the measuring equipment is not operating for a period, readings taken during periods when the equipment was operating may be used to estimate data on a pro rata basis for the period that the equipment was not operating.
The equipment used for continuous measurements referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall operate for more than 90% of the period for which it is used to monitor an emission, excluding downtime taken for re-calibration.	PL: (Drafting): The equipment used for continuous measurements or quantifications referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall operate for more than 90% of the period for which it is used to monitor an emission, excluding downtime taken for re-calibration.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
5. Mine operators shall estimate coal post-mining emissions using coal post-mining emission factors, updated annually, based on deposit-specific coal samples and in accordance with appropriate scientific standards.	HU: (Drafting):  5. Where relevant mine operators shall estimate coal post-mining emissions using coal post-mining emission factors, updated annually, based on deposit-specific coal samples and in accordance with appropriate scientific standards.
6. By [12 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] and by 30 March every year thereafter, mine operators and drainage station operators shall submit a report to the competent authorities containing yearly source-level methane emissions data in accordance with the provisions of this Article.	HU:  (Comments):  It is proposed to add the possibility to exempt from additional reporting and measurement obligations if Member States' measurements show that methane emissions are negligible in the first year and this is shown in verified report, in particular in the areas affected by coal phase-out.

Deadline: 23 March

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
The report shall cover the last	
available calendar year period and	
include the elements set out in Part	
1 of Annex V for operating	
underground coal mines, Part 2 of	
Annex V for operating surface coal	
mines and Part 3 of Annex V for	
drainage stations.	
Before submission to the	
competent authorities, mine	
operators and drainage stations	
operators shall ensure that the	
reports set out in this paragraph are	
assessed by a verifier and include a	
verification statement issued in	
accordance with Articles 8 and 9.	
7. The competent authorities	HU:
shall make the reports set out in	(Comments):

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
this Article available to the public	See Article 5(4)
and the Commission, within three	
months from submission by	
operators and in accordance with	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Article 5(4).	
Section II	
MITIGATION OF METHANE	PL:
EMISSIONS FROM OPERATING	(Drafting):
UNDERGROUND COAL MINES	MITIGATION OF METHANE EMISSIONS FROM OPERATING UNDERGROUND COAL MINES WITHOUT A CLOSURE PLAN
Article 21	
Scope	
This Section applies to the methane	
emissions from underground coal	
mines referred to in Article 19(2).	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
Article 22	
Mitigation measures	
1. Venting and flaring of	PL:
methane from drainage stations	(Drafting):
shall be prohibited from [1 January	1. Venting and flaring of methane from drainage stations shall be prohibited from [1 January 20257],
2025], except in the case of an	except in the case of an emergency, a malfunction or where unavoidable and strictly necessary for
emergency, a malfunction or where	maintenance. In such cases, drainage station operators shall vent only if flaring is not technically feasible or
unavoidable and strictly necessary	risks endangering safety of operations or personnel. In such a situation, as part of the reporting obligations
for maintenance. In such cases,	set out in Article 23, drainage station operators shall demonstrate to the competent authorities the necessity
drainage station operators shall	to opt for venting instead of flaring.
vent only if flaring is not	
technically feasible or risks	PL:
endangering safety of operations or	(Comments):
personnel. In such a situation, as	It is impossible to completely reduce methane emissions from drainage stations. One of the elements of the
part of the reporting obligations set	methane drainage station technology is the technological exhaust. As the name suggests, it is not an
out in Article 23, drainage station	emergency venting, as its is a normal and anticipated process necessary from technological point of view.
operators shall demonstrate to the	The gas captured by the drainage system is characterized by the amount and concentration of methane that
operators shall demonstrate to the	vary in time. Moreover, the gas pressure in the pipeline to the recipient (e.g. cogeneration system) is

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
competent authorities the necessity	regulated by releasing the gas into the atmosphere. The quantities discharged are small but unavoidable.
to opt for venting instead of flaring.	The introduction of any restrictions should be related to the available technologies, and the goals should not only be ambitious but also realistic.
2. Venting of methane through ventilation shafts in coal mines emitting more than 0.5 tonnes of methane/kilotonne of coal mined, other than coking coal mines, shall be prohibited from 1 January 2027.	SI: (Drafting):  2. Venting of methane through ventilation shafts in coal mines emitting more than 0.5 tonnes of methane/kilotonne of coal mined, other than coking coal mines <i>and underground lignite mines</i> , shall be prohibited from 1 January 2027.
	SI:  (Comments): In line with comments for the new Recital (45a). This is to operationalize that recital.  PL:  (Drafting): 2. Venting of methane through ventilation shafts in coal mines emitting more than 0.5 8 tonnes of methane/kilotonne of coal mined (calculated as an average for all mines of entity), other than coking coal mines, shall be prohibited from 1 January 2027 2030.  PL:  (Comments):

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE I	DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	Originally proposed limits are impossible to be met for deep mines, even for non-methane mines. Currently, there are no technologies available that would allow the economic use of methane from ventilation shafts if its concentration in the air is less than 0.5%. On the other hand, the existing technologies indicate the concentration of methane in the air at the level of 1.0 - 1.2% as the threshold of economic and energy profitability. Ventilation is the primary method of diluting hazardous gases in underground mine works. The mining ventilation system has been designed in such a way as to: provide miners with fresh air, regulate the temperature and humidity of the air in the mine, and effectively dilute or remove hazardous gases and respirable dust suspended in the air. For this reason, imposing a requirement to limit methane emissions from ventilation shafts will pose a direct threat to the health and life of working miners and will increase work safety risk in in the mining plants. Given the uncertainty about the effectiveness of the VAM capture technology, reduction of methane emissions from ventilation shafts, similarly to that from methane drainage stations, will not be feasible.
3. By [three years from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] the Commission shall adopt a delegated act in accordance with Article 31 to supplement this Regulation by setting out	(Drafting):  3. By [three years from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] the Commission shall adopt a

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
restrictions on venting methane	PL:
from ventilation shafts for coking	(Comments):
coal mines.	Coking coal is listed as one of the critical raw materials, thus is a raw material of strategic importance for
	the european economy. All initiatives leading to the reduction of domestic production of critical raw
	materials are in contradiction with the assumptions of the European Commission's Communication "Critical
	Raw Materials Resilience: Charting a Path towards greater Security and Sustainability." Moreover,
	introduction of restrictions regarding methane emissions from ventilation shafts, when there are no available
	technologies to achieve them, will result in import increase, and thus increase on dependence on suppliers
	from third countries (e.g. in 2020 EU imports from Russia was 9.2Mt).
Article 23	
Reporting of venting and flaring	
events	
1. From [1 January 2025],	PL:
drainage station operators shall	(Drafting):
notify the competent authorities of	1. From [1 January 2025 2027], drainage station operators shall notify the competent authorities of all
all venting and flaring events:	venting and flaring events:

Deadline: 23 March

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(a) caused by an emergency or a	
malfunction,	
(b) occurring unavoidably due to	
maintenance of the drainage	
system.	
That notification shall be made	
without delay after the event and at	
the latest within 48 hours from the	
start of event or the moment the	
operator became aware of it, in	
accordance with the elements set	
out in Annex VI.	
2. The competent authorities	
shall make the information	
submitted to them pursuant to this	
Article available to the public and	
the Commission annually and in	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
accordance with Article 5(4).	
Section III	
METHANE EMISSIONS FROM	
CLOSED AND ABANDONED	
UNDERGROUND COAL MINES	
Article 24	HU:
	(Comments):
	We do not count on the detection of significant methane leaks in the case of closed underground coal mines.
Scope	
This Section applies to the	IE:
following methane emissions from	(Drafting):
abandoned and closed underground	This Section applies to the following methane emissions from abandoned and closed underground coal
coal mines where coal production	mines where coal production has been discontinued within the [timeframe identified in Article 25(2)]:
has been discontinued:	F

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
r r r	Comments
	Member States should thus establish inventories of closed and abandoned underground coal assets mines
	where operations have ceased since [timeframe identified in Article 25(2)] and, either them or the identified
	responsible party, should be required to install devices for measurement of methane emissions
	IE:
	(Comments):
	Suggested wording is intended to ensure consistency with the scope of the overall Regulation.
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	This Section applies to the following methane emissions from abandoned and closed and non-flooded underground coal mines where coal production has been discontinued:
	PL:
	(Comments):
	To prepare for an efficient mitigation of methane after mine closures, mines within a closure plan should be
	treated the same way as closed mines. Operators can then install mitigation equipment that is appropriate
	also for the post-mining phase.
(a) methane emissions from all	
ventilation shafts which continue	
emitting methane;	
ventilation shafts which continue	(Comments): Suggested wording is intended to ensure consistency with the scope of the overall Regulation.  NL: (Drafting): This Section applies to the following methane emissions from abandoned and closed and non-flounderground coal mines where coal production has been discontinued: PL: (Comments): To prepare for an efficient mitigation of methane after mine closures, mines within a closure plan shoul treated the same way as closed mines. Operators can then install mitigation equipment that is appropriate

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(b) methane emissions from coal mining equipment, use of which	CZ:
has been discontinued;	(Drafting): (b) methane emissions from coal mining equipment, use of which has been discontinued;
(c) methane emissions from other	CZ:
well-defined point emission	(Drafting):
sources as outlined in Part 1 of Annex VII.	(c) methane emissions from other well-defined point emission sources of <b>coal mine metane</b> as outlined in Part 1 of Annex VII.  HU:
	(Drafting):  (c) methane emissions from other well-defined point emission sources as outlined in Part 1 of Annex VII.
	HU:
	(Comments): This would mean unidentifiable geographical scope and legal uncertainty, so we propose to delete point c).
Article 25	
Monitoring and reporting	
1. By [12 months from the	IE:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission managel	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
date of entry into force of this	(Drafting):
Regulation] Member States shall	1. By [18 12 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] Member States shall set up
set up and make publicly available	and make publicly available an inventory of all closed and abandoned underground coal mines and
an inventory of all closed coal	abandoned coal mines where operations have ceased since [timeframe identified in Article 25(2)] in their
mines and abandoned coal mines in	territory or under their jurisdiction, in accordance with the methodology and including at least the elements
their territory or under their	set out in Part 1 of Annex VII, to best availabe data held by the Member State.
jurisdiction, in accordance with the	IE:
methodology and including at least	(Comments):
the elements set out in Part 1 of	The inventory should cover those mines that are within the remit of this Regulation – underground and time
Annex VII.	period.
	An open ended timescale or mine type could include all historical mining, data which may not be accurately
	available.
	Furthermore, it is likely that MS will need longer than 12 months in order to compile and verify the relevant
	data.
	BE:
	(Comments):
	<u>Question</u>
	Why not requesting already the available information of the state of flooding of each of the mines?
	NL:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

	Drafting Suggestions	
Commission proposal	Comments	
	(Drafting):	
	1. By [12 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] Member States shall set up	
	and make publicly available an inventory of all closed non-flooded coal mines and abandoned non-flooded	
	coal mines in their territory or under their jurisdiction, in accordance with the methodology and including at	
	least the elements set out in Part 1 of Annex VII.	
	NL:	
	(Comments):	
	During remediation and work on shafts, the concentration of CO2 and methane is measured as a safety measure. So far, only CO2 has been measured. Methane emissions have not been detected. Making shafts accessible for measuring the mine gas is not without risk. Tapping into a historic shaft may have resulted in a sinkhole in August 2020.	
	AT:	
	(Comments):	
	1. Setting a time frame (mining installations that have been shut down within the last 50 years) seems appropriate and should be included to clarify the scope of Article 25.	
	2. Query to the European Commission why, in the light of Consideration Recital 49 ("flooding the mine can prevent methane emissions"), flooded mines were not exempted from the scope of Article 25?	
	3. Suggestion for a somewhat longer deadline for Article 25 paragraph 1. HU:	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments	
	(Comments): Clarification is needed as to what period of time (retroactively 50 years?) the inventory should apply. PL: (Comments): To prepare for an efficient mitigation of methane after mine closures, mines within a closure plan should be treated the same way as closed mines. Operators can then install mitigation equipment that is appropriate also for the post-mining phase.	
2. Methane concentration measurements shall be taken in accordance with appropriate scientific standards and at least on an hourly basis from all elements listed in part 1(vi) of Annex VII which were found to emit methane.	IE: (Comments): Assuming part 1 (v) is meant here.  CZ: (Drafting): On all elements listed in point (v) of Part 1 of Annex VII for closed coal mines and abandoned coal mines where operations have ceased since [50 years prior to the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the amount of methane escaping shall be checked no later than [6 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation]. If the check carried out in accordance with the established methodology confirms a methane concentration of more than 10 000 ppm at a particular element, the amount of methane released shall be verified by temporary continuous measurement for a minimum of 30 days. For elements with methane emissions to air exceeding 0,5 tonnes/year, the latest by [24	

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
	months] a measuring device shall be installed.
	Methane concentration measurements shall be taken in accordance with appropriate scientific standards and at least on an hourly basis from all elements listed in part 1(vi) of Annex VII which were found to emit methane.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	. Methane concentration measurements shall be taken in accordance with appropriate scientific
	standards and at least on an hourly basis from all elements listed in part 1(vi) of Annex VII which were
	found to emit methane
	HU:
	(Comments):
	In our view, the proposed provisions are disproportionate and unjustified in relation to the expected
	emission reductions. We propose to delete the provision or to lay down a general framework for measurement.
	As a compromise, we can accept a solution where the measurement of methane leaks of registered, former
	methane-risked mines is carried out for one or two years (not all elements and not in 90 % of the time) and
	where it does not measure any substantial leakage, the mine is declared free of emissions. There should be
	no additional reporting obligation.
	PL:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

(Drafting):  2. Methane concentration measurements or quan	
appropriate scientific standards and at least on an hour Annex VII which were found to emit methane. If quantonsecutive years, no further action shall be taken.  PL: (Comments): Many abandoned mines emit no methane. It would be measurement requirements.  IE: (Drafting): From [18 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], measurement equipment shall be installed on all elements listed in point (v) of Part 1 of Annex VII for closed coal mines and abandoned coal mines where operations have ceased since where operations have ceased since [50 years prior to the date of entry into force of this Regulation].  IE: (Comments):  A longer timeframe for installation of measurement equipment shall be installed on all elements listed in point (v) of Part 1 coal mines and abandoned coal mines where operation entry into force of this Regulation].	an unnecessary burden to impose hourly  the of this Regulation], measurement equipment shall of Annex VII for closed and abandoned underground has have ceased since [50 years prior to the date of

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	We would welcome further rationale behind the selection of 50 years.
	CZ:
	(Drafting):
	From [18 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], measurement equipment shall be installed on all elements listed in point (v) of Part 1 of Annex VII for closed coal mines and abandoned coal mines where operations have ceased since [50 years prior to the date of entry into force of this Regulation].
	NL:
	(Drafting):
	From [18 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], measurement equipment shall be
	installed on all elements listed in point (v) of Part 1 of Annex VII for closed coal mines and abandoned coal
	mines where operations have ceased since [50 48 years prior to the date of entry into force of this
	Regulation].
	NL:
	(Comments):
	Last Dutch mines have closed 48 years ago. We need a solution to prevent these mines to fall under this regulation for only a few months after implementation.
	AT:
	(Comments):
	At points where no methane emissions could be detected (during a first test measurement) control measurements should be carried out at an interval to be specified, but no permanent installations/measurements should be foreseen.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
Commission proposal	HU: (Drafting): From [18 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], measurement equipment shall be installed on all elements listed in point (v) of Part 1 of Annex VII for closed coal mines and abandoned coal mines where operations have ceased since [50 years prior to the date of entry into force of this Regulation].  HU: (Comments): See above. PL: (Drafting): From [18 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], measurement or quantification equipment shall be installed on all elements listed in point (v) of Part 1 of Annex VII for closed coal mines and abandoned coal mines where operations have ceased since [2050 years prior to the date of entry into force of this Regulation].
	PL: (Comments): The installation of new equipment at all abandoned mines is unreasonable, disproportionate and uncosted. The Commission's proposed satellite monitoring will reveal any methane emissions which can then be trackled with proportionate measures.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE D	OK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
The sensitivity threshold of the measurement equipment used for the measurements referred to in paragraph 2 shall be at least 10,000 parts per million.	BE: (Comments): <u>Ouestion</u> Is the sensitivity threshold of 10 000 parts per million not too high, given that there exists measurement equipment that can detect much more precisely? How did the Commission arrive at this figure?  PL: (Drafting): The sensitivity threshold of the measurement or quantification equipment used for the measurements or quantification referred to in paragraph 2 shall be at least 10,000 parts per million.
The measurement equipment must operate for more than 90% of the period for which it is used to monitor the emissions, excluding downtime taken for re-calibration.  3. Reports containing estimates of yearly source-level	PL: (Drafting): The measurement or quantification equipment must operate for more than 90% of the period for which it is used to monitor the emissions, excluding downtime taken for re-calibration
estimates of yearly source-level methane emissions data shall be	

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
submitted to the competent	
authorities by [24 months of the	
date of entry into force of this	
Regulation] and by 30 March every	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
year thereafter.	
The way art all a second at 1	
The reports shall cover the last	
available calendar year and include	
the elements set out in Part 3 of	
Annex VII.	
Before submission to the	
competent authorities, the reports	
set out in this paragraph shall be	
assessed by a verifier and include a	
verification statement issued in	
accordance with Articles 8 and 9.	
4. Mine operators shall be	
responsible for the requirements	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
referred to in paragraphs 2 and 3 as	
regards closed mines. Member	
States shall be responsible for the	
requirements referred to in	
paragraphs 2 and 3 as regards	
abandoned mines.	
5. The competent authorities	
shall make the reports set out in	
this Article available to the public	
and the Commission, within three	
months from submission by	
operators and in accordance with	
Article 5(4).	
Article 26	
Mitigation measures	AT:
	(Comments):
	A measure to prevent methane emissions must not lead to more emissions than would be the case if

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
1. On the basis of the	the relevant measure were not taken. A specific measure must therefore actually be accompanied by a net reduction in emissions. This principle would also have to be taken into account in connection with Article 26, while basing any such arguments on EU-wide established scientific findings. The verification of relevant arguments could fall to the "verifiers".  IE:
inventory referred to in Article 25, Member States shall develop and implement a mitigation plan to address methane emissions from abandoned coal mines.	(Drafting):  1. On the basis of the inventory referred to in Article 25, Member States shall develop and implement a mitigation plan to address methane emissions from closed and abandoned underground coal mines where operations have ceased since [timeframe identified in Article 25(2)].  NL:  (Drafting):
	On the basis of the inventory referred to in Article 25, Member States shall develop and implement a mitigation plan to address methane emissions from abandoned non-flooded coal mines.  NL:  (Comments):  During remediation and work on shafts, the concentration of CO2 and methane is measured as a safety measure. So far, only CO2 has been measured. Methane emissions have not been detected. Making shafts accessible for measuring the mine gas is not without risk. Tapping into a historic shaft may have resulted in a sinkhole in August 2020.  HU:

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	(Drafting):
	1. If measurements under Article 25 have shown a significant methane leak, which can be eliminated
	in a cost-effective manner, on the basis of the inventory referred to in Article 25, Member States shall
	develop and implement a mitigation plan to address methane emissions from abandoned coal mines.
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We propose to add the condition that if measurements under Article 25 have shown a significant methane
	leak, which can be eliminated in a cost-effective manner.
The mitigation plan shall be	
submitted to competent authorities	
by [36 months from the date of	
entry into force of this Regulation]	
and include at least the elements	
set out in Part 4 of Annex VII.	
2. Venting and flaring from	
equipment referred to in Article	
25(2) shall be prohibited from 1	
January 2030, unless utilisation or	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
mitigation is not technically	
feasible or risks endangering	
environmental safety or safety of	
operations or personnel. In such a	
situation, as part of the reporting	
obligations set out in Article 25,	
mine operators or Member States	
shall demonstrate the necessity to	
opt for venting or flaring instead of	
utilisation or mitigation.	
Chapter 5	DK:
	(Comments):
	Denmark is generally positive to chapter 5 of the proposal.
	AT:
	(Comments):
	Methane emissions outside the EU account for a large part of the methane emissions in the energy sector as a whole. In any case, it makes sense to address these. The question remains how this can be done most effectively in terms of an effective global reduction in methane emissions? In this context, the following questions are of interest to AT:  • How does the European Commission rate the reliability of the information provided by the importers (which in turn is based on the information provided by the exporters)?

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	<ul> <li>How does the European Commission see the limited verifiability of such data and the risk of a falsified and thus distorting representation of data (to the detriment of EU operators)? Is the danger of pseudo transparency seen?</li> <li>Is the database considered an effective demand management tool?</li> <li>How could the reliability of LCA (life cycle assessment) be increased?</li> <li>Are there any thoughts as to what the consequences could be in the event of demonstrable misreporting?</li> </ul>
M.d.	
Methane emissions occurring	NL:
outside the Union	(Comments):
	Greater transparency regarding the sources of methane emissions, both within and outside the Union, is
	seen as positive. After all, this is an essential step towards subsequently reducing these emissions and
	implementing the Global Methane Pledge.
Article 27	
Importer requirements	HU:
	(Comments):
	(Comments).

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
1. By [9 months from the date of entry into force of the Regulation] and by 31 December every year thereafter, importers shall provide the information set out in Annex VIII to the competent authorities of the importing Member State.	NL: (Comments):  - Due to the required investments and costs that have to be made under this regulation, there is a real risk that European (and therefore also Dutch) gas will be priced out of the global market.  - Imported energy does not have to meet the same requirements. The (cost of) measures taken in the EU to reduce methane emissions should not result in imported energy with a higher footprint having a relative advantage if no measures are taken at these sources.  - The proposed information requirement for imported energy from outside the Union is a good, minimum
	step towards a level playing field at global level.  HU:  (Comments):  EU Member States import between 80 % and 90 % of their oil and gas needs. Producer third countries are not covered by EU law, including the obligation to measure and report methane emissions. The data reporting obligation would be difficult in case of import sources where the legal environment is different from the EU legal environment and where the importer cannot obtain the necessary information.  It is proposed that the EU obtains the necessary data on the basis of bilateral agreements.  PL:  (Comments):  The provisions proposed in this chapter will not ensure level playing field. In case of EU-based mines it is proposed to introduce penalties for not complying with the regulation, while the provisions of in Chapter 5

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	and Annex VIII only encourage non-EU producers to reduce emissions. Current measures will eventually lead to the transfer of methane emissions to third countries and will not result actual reduction of methane emissions.
The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 31 to supplement this Regulation by amending or adding to the information to be provided by importers.	
2. By [12 months from the date of entry into force of the Regulation] and by 30 June every year thereafter, Member States shall submit to the Commission the information provided to them by importers.	DE: (Comments): Question/Remark: It is not clear if importers need to report to Member States of "first contact" or where imorted energy is finally used.  HU: (Drafting):  2. By [12 months from the date of entry into force of the Regulation] and by 30 June every year thereafter, Member States shall submit to the Commission the information provided to them by importers.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	HU: (Comments): We propose to delete paragraph (2).  OR It should be voluntary for Member States.
The Commission shall make the information available in accordance with Article 28.	
3. By 31 December 2025, or earlier if the Commission considers that sufficient evidence is available, the Commission shall examine the application of this Article, considering in particular:	HU: (Drafting): 3. By 31 December 2030, or earlier if the Commission considers that sufficient evidence is available, the Commission shall examine the application of this Article, considering in particular: HU: (Comments): Revision in 2025 is too early. With adoption and entry into force in 2023, some reporting results will be available for the first time in 2025. We propose to extend the review date to 2030 and move the provision to Article 33.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(a) reporting of the available	
methane emissions data collected	
in the context of the global	
methane monitoring tool referred	
to in Article 29;	
(b) methane emission data	
analysis by the IMEO;	
(c) information on monitoring,	
reporting, verification and	
mitigation measures of operators	
located outside of the Union and	
from whom energy is imported into	
the Union; and	
(d) security of supply and the	DE:
level playing field implications in	(Comments):
case of possible additional	When discussing a methane standard for natural gas imports to the EU, it must be ensured that it is designed

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
obligations, including mandatory measures such as methane emission standards or targets, taking into account the oil, gas and coal sectors separately.	in such a way that UKR gas transit remains possible or that technical adjustments are possible to meet the standard.
Where appropriate and based on the necessary evidence to secure full compliance with the applicable international obligations of the Union, the Commission shall propose amendments to this Regulation to strengthen the requirements applicable to importers with the view to ensure a comparable level of effectiveness with respect to measurement, reporting and verification and mitigation of energy sector methane emissions.	PL: (Drafting):  Where appropriate and based on the necessary evidence to secure full compliance with the applicable international obligations of the Union, the Commission shall propose amendments to this Regulation to strengthen the requirements applicable to importers with the view to ensure a comparable level of effectiveness with respect to measurement or quantification, reporting and verification and mitigation of energy sector methane emissions.

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposar	Comments
Article 28	
Methane transparency database	RO:
	(Comments):
	Concerning the methane emissions generated outside the EU, as set out in Chapter 5 of the proposed
	Regulation, Romania consider it appropriate to establish tools to ensure transparency for non-EU methane
	emissions.
	Methane emissions originating in the energy sector constitute a cross-border problem and should be taken
	into account in each Member State, depending on the national energy mix. Romania therefore considers it
	necessary to establish appropriate tools in order to improve the information on sources of methane
	emissions from fossil-fuel companies in the EU, as well as incentives for these countries to reduce their
	methane emissions.
1. By [18 months after the	
date of entry into force of the	
Regulation] the Commission shall	
establish and maintain a methane	
transparency database containing	
the information submitted to it	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
pursuant to Article 27 and Articles 12(11), 16(3), 18(4), 20(7), 23(2) and 25(5).	
2. In addition to the information referred to in paragraph 1, the database shall include the following information:	PL: (Comments): The regulation imposes significant and costly obligations on fossil fuel producers in the European Union. At the same time, it does not introduce obligations other than information obligations with regard to the same imported fuels. Instruments should be introduced to ensure equal conditions of competition and to take account of the costs associated with reducing methane emissions in European Union and third countries which pursue an active policy to reduce methane emissions.  This Regulation should impose obligations that are enforceable by importers. In particular, it should be ensured that the information required under the Regulation can be obtained.
(a) a list of countries where fossil energy is produced and exported to the Union;	DE: (Drafting): a list of countries where fossil energy is produced and exported to the Union; HU: (Drafting):  (a) a list of countries where fossil energy is produced and exported to the Union;

Deadline: 23 March

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	HU: (Comments): See Article 27
(b) for each country referred in point (a) information about the following points:	HU: (Drafting): (b) for each country referred in point (a) information about the following points: HU: (Comments): See Article 27
(i) whether it has mandatory regulatory measures in place on energy sector methane emissions, covering the elements set out in this Regulation regarding measurement, reporting and verification and mitigation of energy sector methane emissions;	PL: (Drafting): (i) whether it has mandatory regulatory measures in place on energy sector methane emissions, covering the elements set out in this Regulation regarding measurement or quantification, reporting and verification and mitigation of energy sector methane emissions;

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(ii) whether it has signed the Paris	
Agreement on climate change;	
(iii) whether it is delivering	
national inventories in accordance	
with the requirements of the United	
Nations Framework Convention on	
Climate Change, where applicable;	
(iv) whether the national	
inventories submitted pursuant to	
the United Nations Framework	
Convention on Climate Change	
include tier 3 reporting of energy	
methane emissions, where	
applicable;	
(v) the amount of energy sector	
methane emissions according to the	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
national inventories submitted	
pursuant to the United Nations	
Framework Convention on Climate	
Change, where applicable, and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
whether the data was subject to	
independent verification.	
(vi) the list of companies exporting	
fossil energy into the Union	
(vii) a list of importers of fossil	
energy into the Union	
2. The transparency database	
shall be available to the public	
online, free of charge and at least	
in English.	
3. This Article shall apply	
without prejudice to the provisions	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
of Directive (EU) 2016/943.	
Article 29	
Methane emitters global	
monitoring tool	
1. By [two years after the	
date of entry into force of the	
Regulation], the Commission shall	
establish a global methane	
monitoring tool based on satellite	
data and input from several	
certified data providers and	
services, including the Copernicus	
component of the EU Space	
Programme.	
The tool shall be made available to	
the public and provide regular	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
updates at least on the magnitude,	
recurrence and location of high	
methane-emitting sources of	
energy.	
2. The tool shall inform the	HU:
Commission's bilateral dialogues	(Comments):
with respect to methane emissions	It should be clarified whether the relevant country means an EU member states or a third country.
policies and measures. Where the	
tool identifies a new major	
emission source, the Commission	
shall alert the relevant country with	
a view to promoting awareness and	
remedial actions.	
3. This Article shall be subject	
to the provisions of Directive (EU)	
2016/943.	
Chapter 6	DK:

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	(Comments): No comments to chapter 6.
Final provisions	
Article 30	
Penalties	
Tellattics	NL: (Comments): Be mindful of proportionality and differences across Europe.
	AT: (Comments):
	Notwithstanding the division of competences between the EU and the MS, the requirements laid down by the EU regulation at hand must be designed in such a way that there are no relevant distortions (inequalities) within the common market. To the maximum extent possible one should strive for an unbiased overall view in order to avoid any distorted representation of the emissions situation.
Member States shall lay	PL:
down the rules on penalties	(Comments):

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
applicable to infringements of the	With regard to any sanctions, an approach for greater encouragement of methane reduction activities and
provisions of this Regulation and	financial, technical and legal support at EU and Member State level is essential.
shall take all measures necessary to	
ensure that they are implemented.	
2. The penalties provided for	PL:
must be effective, proportionate	(Comments):
and dissuasive and may include:	The imposed penalties should take into account funds allocated by enterprises for investments aimed at
	reducing methane emissions from mines. In this way, the provisions of the Regulation will be an incentive
	to increase investments in methane capture and economic use and thus will contribute to reduction of
	methane emissions.
(a) fines proportionate to the	
environmental damage, calculating	
the level of such fines in such way	
as to make sure that they	
effectively deprive those	
responsible of the economic	
benefits derived from their	
infringements and gradually	

Deadline: 23 March

HU:

HU:

(Comments):

The proposed 3 month would be insufficient for adopting national legislation.

(Drafting):

#### Proposal for Methane Regulation

**Commission proposal** 

increasing the level of such fines

(b)

for repeated serious infringements;

to compel operators to put an end

to an infringement, comply with a

decision ordering remedial actions

or corrective measures, supply

Member States shall notify the

date of entry into force of the

Commission by [3 months from the

*Regulation*]. In addition, Member

States shall notify any subsequent

amendment affecting such rules to

the Commission without delay.

information or submit to an

inspection, as applicable.

rules on penalties to the

periodic penalty payments

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022 **Drafting Suggestions** Comments Member States shall notify the rules on penalties to the Commission by [12 months from the date of entry] into force of the Regulation]. In addition, Member States shall notify any subsequent amendment affecting such rules to the Commission without delay.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
3. At least the following infringements shall be subject to penalties:	HU: (Drafting):  3. At least the following infringements shall be subject to ponalties:  HU: (Comments): We propose to delete paragraph (3). We consider the proposed provision to be unreasonably detailed and we propose to delete it. Instead, the application of the general rule in Article 30 (1) for non-compliance with the Regulation is sufficient.
(a) failure of operators or mine operators to provide the competent authorities or the verifiers with the assistance necessary to enable or facilitate the performance of their tasks in accordance with this Regulation;	
(b) failure of operators or mine operators to carry out the actions set out in the inspections report	

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
referred to in Article 6;	
(c) failure of operators of mine	
operators to submit the methane	
emissions reports as required by	
this Regulation, including the	
verification statement issued by	
independent verifiers in accordance	
with Articles 8 and 9;	
(d) failure of operators to carry	
out a leak detection and repair	
survey in accordance with Article	
14;	
(e) failure of operators to repair	
or replace components, to	
continuous survey components and	
to record leaks in accordance with	
Article 14;	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(f) failure of operators to	
submit a report in accordance with	
Article 14;	
(g) venting or flaring by	
operators or mine operators beyond	
the situations provided for in	
Articles 15, 22 and 26, as	
applicable;	
(h) routine flaring by operators;	
(i) failure of operators or mine	
operators to demonstrate the	
necessity to opt for venting instead	
of flaring and to demonstrate the	
necessity to opt for flaring instead	
of either re-injection, utilisation on-	
site or dispatch of the methane to a	

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
market, in the case of operators, or	
utilisation or mitigation, in the case	
of mine operators, in accordance	
with Articles 15, 22 and 26;	
(j) failure of operators or mine	
operators to notify or report on	
venting and flaring events in	
accordance with Articles 16, 23	
and 26, as applicable;	
(k) use of flare stacks or	
combustion devices in breach of	
the requirements laid down in	
Article 17;	
(l) failure of importers to	
provide the information required in	
accordance with Article 27 and	
Annex VIII.	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
4. Member States shall take into account at least the following indicative criteria for the imposition of penalties, as appropriate:	HU: (Drafting):  4. Member States shall take into account at least the following indicative criteria for the imposition of penalties, as appropriate:  HU: (Comments): We propose to delete paragraph (4). We consider the proposed provision to be unreasonably detailed and we propose to delete it. Instead, the
(a) the duration or temporal	application of the general rule in Article 30 (1) for non-compliance with the Regulation is sufficient.
effects, the nature and the gravity	
of the infringement;	
(b) any action taken by the	
undertaking, operator or mine	
operator to timely mitigate or	
remedy the damage;	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(c) the intentional or negligent	
character of the infringement;	
(d) any previous infringements	
by the undertaking, operator or	
mine operator;	
(e) the financial benefits gained	
or losses avoided directly or	
indirectly by the undertaking,	
operator or mine operator due to	
the infringement, if the relevant	
data are available;	
(f) the size of the undertaking,	
operator or mine operator;	
(g) the degree of cooperation	
with the authority;	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(h) the manner in which the	
infringement became known to the	
authority, in particular whether,	
and if so to what extent, the	
operator timely notified the	
infringement;	
(i) any other aggravating or	
mitigating factor applicable to the	
circumstances of the case.	
5. Member States shall	AT:
publish annually information on	(Comments):
the type and the size of the	With a view to an "informed choice", Article 30 Paragraph 5 is not rejected per se, provided that a
penalties imposed under this	distorted presentation can be avoided (also relevant here, see above: treatment of imports; linking of
Regulation, the infringements and	various data sources in the sense of an unbiased overall view).
the operators upon which penalties	HU:
have been imposed.	(Drafting):
	5. Member States shall publish annually information on the type and the size of the penalties imposed
	under this Regulation, the infringements and the operators upon which penalties have been imposed.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	HU: (Comments): The authorities' decisions on infringements are public, we do not support the introduction of a different provision that leads to an additional administrative burden, we propose to delete it.
Article 31	
Exercise of the delegation	
The power to adopt     delegated acts is conferred on the     Commission subject to the     conditions laid down in this     Article.	PL: (Drafting): The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Articles 8(5), 22(3) and 27(1) shall be conferred on the Commission for an indeterminate period of time from [date of entry into force of the Regulation].
2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Articles 8(5), 22(3) and 27(1) shall be conferred on the Commission for an indeterminate period of time	HU:  (Drafting):  2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Articles 8(5), 22(3) and 27(1) shall be conferred on the Commission for five year an indeterminate period of time from [date of entry into force of the Regulation].

Deadline: 23 March

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
from [date of entry into force of the Regulation].	HU: (Comments): According to the Regulation's objective, methane emissions in the energy sector will be reduced to zero by 2030. So we do not support the empowerment of the COM for an indeterminate period of time. We propose a period of 5 years. We also do not support the tempowerment of the COM to adopt delegated acts referred to in Articles 8(5), and 27(1).  PL: (Drafting): The delegation of power referred to in Articles 8(5), 22(3) and 27(1) may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.
3. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 8(5), 22(3) and 27(1) may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the	

Deadline: 23 March

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
delegation of the power specified	
in that decision. It shall take effect	
the day following the publication	
of the decision in the Official	
Journal of the European Union or	
at a later date specified therein. It	
shall not affect the validity of any	
delegated acts already in force.	
4. Before adopting a delegated	
act, the Commission shall consult	
experts designated by each	
Member State in accordance with	
the principles laid down in the	
Interinstitutional Agreement on	
Better Law-Making of 13 April	
2016.	
5. As soon as it adopts a	
delegated act, the Commission	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
shall notify it simultaneously to the	
European Parliament and to the	
Council.	
6. A delegated act adopted	PL:
pursuant to Articles 8(5), 22(3) and	(Drafting):
27(1) shall enter into force only if	The delegation of power referred to in Articles $8(5)$ , $\frac{22(3)}{}$ and $27(1)$ may be revoked at any time by the
no objection has been expressed	European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power
either by the European Parliament	specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official
or by the Council within a period	Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any
of two months of notification of	delegated acts already in force.
that act to the European Parliament	
and the Council or if, before the	
expiry of that period, the European	
Parliament and the Council have	
both informed the Commission that	
they will not object. That period	
shall be extended by two months at	
the initiative of the European	
Parliament or of the Council.	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	
	Comments
Article 32	
Committee procedure	*
1. The Commission shall be	
assisted by the Energy Union	
Committee established by	
Article 44 of Regulation (EU)	
2018/1999.	
2. Where reference is made to	HU:
this paragraph, Article 4 of	(Drafting):
Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.
apply.	
Article 33	
Review	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
1. Every five years the Commission shall submit a report on the evaluation of this Regulation to the European Parliament and to the Council and shall, if appropriate, submit legislative proposals to amend this Regulation. The reports shall be made public.	HU: (Drafting):  1. In 2030 and thereafter every five years the Commission shall submit a report on the evaluation of this Regulation to the European Parliament and to the Council and shall, if appropriate, submit legislative proposals to amend this Regulation. The reports shall be made public.  HU: (Comments): We propose the first report to be submitted in 2030, the first information and results based on measurement, reporting and emission reduction provisions are expected in 2030.
2. For the purpose of this Article, the Commission may request information from Member States and competent authorities and shall take into account notably the information provided by Member States in their integrated National Energy and Climate Plans, updates thereof and in their National Energy and Climate	

Deadline: 23 March

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
progress reports pursuant to	
Regulation (EU) 2018/1999.	
Article 34	
Amendments to Regulation (EU)	
2019/942	
In Article 15 of Regulation (EU)	
2019/942 of the European	
Parliament and of the Council the	
following paragraph 5 is added:	
"5. Every three years ACER shall	NL:
establish and make publicly	(Drafting):
available a set of indicators and	"5. Every three years ACER shall, after receiving input from member states, establish and make publicly
corresponding reference values for	available a set of indicators and corresponding reference values for the comparison of unit investment costs
the comparison of unit investment	linked to measurement, reporting and abatement of methane emissions for comparable projects. It shall issue recommendations on indicators and reference values for unit investment costs for complying with the
costs linked to measurement,	obligations under [this Regulation] pursuant to Article 3 of [this Regulation]".
reporting and abatement of	PL:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
methane emissions for comparable	(Drafting):
projects. It shall issue	"5. Every three years ACER shall establish and make publicly available a set of indicators and
recommendations on indicators and	corresponding reference values for the comparison of unit investment costs linked to measurement or
reference values for unit	quantification, reporting and abatement of methane emissions for comparable projects. It shall issue
investment costs for complying	recommendations on indicators and reference values for unit investment costs for complying with the
with the obligations under [this	obligations under [this Regulation] pursuant to Article 3 of [this Regulation]".
Regulation] pursuant to Article 3 of	
[this Regulation]".	
Article 35	
Entry into force	
This Regulation shall enter into	
force on the twentieth day	
following that of its publication in	
the Official Journal of the	
European Union.	
This Regulation shall be binding in	

Deadline: 23 March

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
its entirety and directly applicable	
in all Member States.	
Done at Brussels,	
For the European Parliament For	
the Council	
The President The President	
ANNEX I	HU:
	(Comments):
	We propose to change 500 ppm to 2500-1000 ppm.
	OR we can also accept the deletion of the indicator.
Leak detection repair and	
monitoring schedules	
Repair schedule	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
The repair schedule referred to in  Article 14 must include at least the	
following elements:	
(i) Inventory and identification of all components that have been checked	
(ii) Result of inspection in terms of whether methane loss has been detected and, if so, size of loss	DE: (Comments): We refer to our comments on LDAR in Article 14.
(iii) For components found to be emitting 500 parts per million or more of methane, indication of whether repair was undertaken during the LDAR survey and if not why, taking into account the requirements as regards what	DE: (Comments): We refer to our comments on LDAR in Article 14.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
elements can be taken into account for a delayed repair, as per Article	
14, paragraph 4.	
(iv) For components found to be emitting 500 parts per million or more of methane, planned repair schedule indicating planned date of repair,	DE: (Comments): We refer to our comments on LDAR in Article 14. HU: (Drafting): (iv) For components found to be emitting 2500-1000 parts per million or more of methane, planned repair schedule indicating planned date of repair,
(v) For components found to be emitting less than 500 parts per million in previous LDAR survey, but found to be emitting 500 parts per million or more of methane during post LDAR monitoring to check whether the size of loss of methane has evolved, indication	DE: (Comments): We refer to our comments on LDAR in Article 14. HU: (Drafting): (v) For components found to be emitting less than 2500-1000 parts per million in previous LDAR survey, but found to be emitting than 2500-1000 parts per million or more of methane during post LDAR monitoring to check whether the size of loss of methane has evolved, indication whether repair was

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
whether repair was undertaken	undertaken immediately and if not, why not (as per iii), and planned repair schedule indicating planned date
immediately and if not, why not (as	of repair.
per iii), and planned repair	
schedule indicating planned date of	
repair.	
This is to be followed by a post repair schedule to indicate when repairs were effectively carried out.	
Monitoring schedule	
The monitoring schedule referred to in Article 14 must include at least the following elements:	
(i) Inventory and identification of all components that have been checked	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(ii) Result of inspection in terms of whether methane loss has been detected and, if so, size of loss	
(iii) For components found to be emitting 500 parts per million or more of methane, results of monitoring after repair to check if repair was successful	HU: (Drafting): (iii) For components found to be emitting 2500-1000 parts per million or more of methane, results of monitoring after repair to check if repair was successful
(iv) For components found to be emitting less than 500 parts per million of methane, results of post LDAR monitoring to check whether the size of loss of methane has evolved and recommendation on the basis of finding.	HU: (Drafting): (iv) For components found to be emitting less than 2500-1000 parts per million of methane, results of post LDAR monitoring to check whether the size of loss of methane has evolved and recommendation on the basis of finding.
ANNEX II	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Drafting Suggestions  Comments
PL: (Drafting): (iv) results of any methane concentration measurement or quantification.

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(v) measured or estimated	
volume of vented or flared natural	
gas;	
(vi) cause and nature of venting	
or flaring;	
(vii) steps taken to limit the	
duration and magnitude of venting	
or flaring;	
(viii) corrective actions taken to	
eliminate the cause and recurrence	
of venting or flaring;	
(ix) results of weekly	HU:
inspections of flare stacks carries	(Drafting):
out in accordance with Article 17	(ix) results of monthly inspections of flare stacks carries out in accordance with Article 17
ANNEX III	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
Flare stack inspections	
-	
Weekly flare stack inspections must include a comprehensive Audio, Visual and Olfactory (AVO) inspection (including external visual inspection of flare stacks, listening for pressure and liquid leaks and smelling for unusual and strong odours).	(Drafting):  Monthly flare stack inspections must include a comprehensive Audio, Visual and Olfactory (AVO) inspection (including external visual inspection of flare stacks, listening for pressure and liquid leaks and smelling for unusual and strong odours).
During the inspection the operator must inspect all components, including flare stacks, thief hatches, closed vent systems, pumps, compressors, pressure relief devices, valves, lines, flanges, connectors, and associated piping to identify defects, leaks and	DE: (Comments): For components of flaring in the upstream-sector this section should be reviewed

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
releases.	
The following observations must	
be included in the report:	
(i) In the case of lit flares:	DE:
whether combustion is considered	(Comments):
adequate or inadequate. Inadequate	In some (most?) cases on onshore installations the time frame of this process is less than two hours.
combustion being defined as a flare	
with visible emissions that exceed	
a total of five minutes during any	
two consecutive hours.	
(ii) In the case of unlit flares:	HU:
whether the unlit flare has a gas	(Drafting):
vent or not. If it does have a gas	(ii) In the case of unlit flares: whether the unlit flare has a gas vent or not. If it does have a gas vent, an
vent, an intervention to remedy it	intervention to remedy it should take place if possible without delay after detection and not later than during
should take place within 6 hours or	the next maintenance within 6 hours or within 24 hours in the case of bad weather or other extreme
within 24 hours in the case of bad	conditions.
weather or other extreme	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
conditions.	
ANNEX IV	
Inventories of inactive wells	
inventories of mactive wens	
Pursuant to Article 18, inventories	
of inactive wells must include at	
least the following information:	
(i) name and address of the	
operator, owner or licensee, where	
applicable;	
(ii) name, type and address of	
well or well site;	
(iii) map showing borders of the	DK:
well or well site;	(Comments):
	Not sure what is meant by 'borders of the well'?

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(iv) results of any methane	
concentration measurements.	
ANNEX V	
Reporting for operating coal mines	
Part 1	
Pursuant to Articles 19 and 20, the	
reports for operating underground	
mines must include at least the	
following information:	
(i) name and address of the	
mine operator;	
(ii) mine address;	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(iii) tonnage of each coal type	
produced by the mine;	
(iv) for all ventilation shafts	
utilised by the mine	
1) name (if any);	
, ( 3,//	
2) period of use, if different from	
the reporting period;	
3) coordinates;	
4) purpose (intake, exhaust);	
5) technical specification of the	PL:
measurement apparatus used for	(Drafting):
measurement and quantification of	5) technical specification of the measurement or quantification apparatus used for measurement or
methane emissions and optimum	quantification of methane emissions and optimum operating conditions specified by the producer;
operating conditions specified by	quantification of methane emissions and optimum operating conditions specified by the producer,
operating conditions specified by	

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
the producer;	
6) proportion of time when	PL:
continuous measurement apparatus	(Drafting):
was operating;	6) proportion of time when continuous measurement or quantification apparatus was operating;
7) choice of European or	
international standard for:	
- methane measurement apparatus	PL:
sampling position;	(Drafting):
	- methane measurement or quantification apparatus sampling position;
- measurement of flow rates;	PL:
	(Drafting):
	- measurement or quantification of flow rates;
- measurement of methane	PL:
concentrations;	(Drafting):

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022	
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	- measurement or quantification of methane concentrations;
8) methane emissions registered by	PL:
the continuous measurement	(Drafting):
apparatus (in tonnes);	8) methane emissions registered by the continuous measurement or quantification apparatus (in tonnes);
9) methane emissions registered	
through monthly sampling (in	
tonnes/hour) covering information	
on;	
- sampling date;	
- sampling technique;	
- readings of atmospheric	PL:
conditions (pressure, temperature,	(Drafting):
humidity), taken at an appropriate	- readings of atmospheric conditions (pressure, temperature, humidity), taken at an appropriate distance to
distance to reflect conditions at	reflect conditions at which continuous measurement quantification apparatus is operating;
which continuous measurement	

#### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
apparatus is operating;	
11) if mine is joined to another	
mine by any means allowing for a	
flux of air between the mines,	
name of the mine;	
(v) post mining emission	
factors and description of method	
employed for their calculation;	
(vi) post-mining emissions (in	
tonnes).	
Part 2	
Pursuant to Articles 19 and 20, the	
reports for operating surface mines	
must include at least the following	
information:	

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(i) name and address of the	
mine operator;	
(ii) mine address;	
(iii) tonnage of each coal type	
produced by the mine;	
(iv) map of all deposits utilised	
by the mine, outlining borders of	
these deposits;	
(v) for each coal deposit:	
1) name (if any)	
2) period of use, if different from	
the reporting period	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
3) outline of the experimental method employed to determine methane emissions due to mining activities, including the choice of methodology to account for methane emissions from surrounding strata	HU: (Drafting): 3) outline of the experimental method employed to determine methane emissions due to mining activities, including the choice of methodology to account for methane emissions from surrounding strata  HU: (Comments): The term experimental method should be clarified.
(vi) post mining emission factors and description of method employed for their calculation;	
(vii) post-mining emissions.  Part 3	
Pursuant to Articles 19 and 20, the reports for drainage stations must include at least the following information:	

Deadline: 23 March

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(i) name and address of the	
mine operator;	
(ii) tonnage of methane	
supplied by a mine/mines drainage	
system, per mine;	
(iii) tonnage of methane vented;	
(iv) tonnage of flared methane;	
(v) flare efficiency;	
(vi) use of methane captured.	
ANNEX VI	
Reporting of venting and flaring	
events in drainage stations	

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
Pursuant to Article 23, drainage	
station operators must report to the	
competent authorities at least the	
following information regarding	
methane flared or vented:	
(i) name and address of the	
operator;	
(ii) time when the event was	
first detected;	
(iii) cause of the venting and/or	
flaring event;	
(iv) tonnage of methane vented	
and flared (or an estimate if	
quantification is not possible).	

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

**Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal** Comments ANNEX VII Closed and abandoned mines Part 1 Pursuant to Article 24 and 25, for IE: each site, the inventory of closed (Drafting): and abandoned coal mines must Pursuant to Article 24 and 25, for each site, the inventory of closed and abandoned underground coal mines include at least the following must include at least the following information: information: BE: (Comments): Question The list below lacks the flooding state of each mine and the methods used to determine it (direct or indirect), because flooding seems a crucial aspect in discriminating which situation need further mitigation and monitoring and which not. This aspect gives a better estimate than time since closure. Can this be taken into account? (i) name and address of the operator, owner or licensee, where

Deadline: 23 March

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Drafting Suggestions
Comments
HU:
(Drafting):
(iv) schemes of mine workings and their status
HU:
(Comments):
Closed mines have no schemes of mine workings. This point should be deleted.
IE:
(Drafting):
(v) results of methane concentration measurement at the following elements, if known:
IE:
(Comments):

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	Member States may not have this data, particularly in respect of older mines.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	(v) results of methane concentration measurement at the following elements:
	HU:
	(Comments):
	The proposal is too detailed, exhaustive list should be deleted.
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	(v) results of methane concentration measurement- or quantification at the following elements:
1) all ventilation shafts utilised by	VP.
the mine when operating,	IE:
	(Drafting):
accompanied by:	1) all ventilation shafts utilised by the mine when operating, if known, and accompanied by:
	IE:
	(Comments):
	Member States may not have this data, particularly in respect of older mines.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	1) all ventilation shafts utilised by the mine when operating, accompanied by:

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
- shaft coordinates	HU:
	(Drafting):
	- shaft coordinates
- shaft name (if any)	HU:
	(Drafting):
	- shaft name (if any)
- sealing status and sealing method,	HU:
if known	(Drafting):
	- sealing status and sealing method, if known
2) unused vent pipes	DE:
	(Drafting):
	2) unused vent pipes, only if not part of safety infrastructure
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	2) unused vent pipes

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
3) unused gas drainage wells	HU:
	(Drafting):
	3) unused gas drainage wells
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	2) unused vent pipes if not part of safety infrastructure
4) outcrops;	DE:
	(Drafting):
	4) outcrops;
	DE:
	(Comments):
	In case Chapter 4 Section III is not deleted
	BE:
	(Drafting):
	4) outcrops and shallow deposits;
	BE:
	(Comments):

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	It is good to know also when coal subcrops at very shallow levels; which is a very different situation than where the shallowest coal is at 400m depth below several seals.
	HU:
	(Drafting):
	4) outcrops;
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	4 <del>) outcrops;</del>
	PL:
	(Comments):
	There are technical and legal limits to the measurement of emissions from abandoned mines.
5) identifiable strata fractures at the	DE:
mine's territory or linked to its	(Drafting):
former coal deposit;	5) identifiable strata fractures at the mine's territory or linked to its former coal deposit;
	DE:
	(Comments):
	In case Chapter 4 Section III is not deleted
	HU:
	(Drafting):

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
	5) identifiable strata fractures at the mine's territory or linked to its former coal deposit;
	PL:
	(Drafting):
	5) identifiable strata fractures at the mine's territory or linked to its former coal deposit;
	PL:
	(Comments):
	There are technical and legal limits to the measurement of emissions from abandoned mines.
6) other recorded potential point	HU:
emission sources.	(Drafting):
	6) other recorded potential point emission sources.
Part 2	
The measurements referred to in	PL:
point (v) of Part 1 must be	(Drafting):
performed in accordance with the	The measurements or quantifications referred to in point (v) of Part 1 must be performed in accordance
following principles:	with the following principles:

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
(i) measurements must be performed at atmospheric pressure allowing for potential methane leak to be detected, and according to the appropriate scientific standards.	PL: (Drafting): (i) measurements or quantifications must be performed at atmospheric pressure allowing for potential methane leak to be detected, and according to the appropriate scientific standards.
(ii) measurements must be performed using an apparatus with a sensitivity threshold of at least 10.000 ppm, at the closest available distance to the measured emission source.	PL: (Drafting): (ii) measurements or quantifications must be performed using an apparatus with a sensitivity threshold of at least 10.000 ppm, at the closest available distance to the measured emission source.
(iii) measurements must be accompanied by an information on:	PL: (Drafting): (iii) measurements or quantifications must be accompanied by an information on:
1) date of the measurement	PL: (Drafting): 1) date of the measurement-or quantification

Deadline: 23 March

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Drafting Suggestions  Comments
PL: (Drafting): 2) atmospheric pressure
PL: (Drafting):
3) technical details of the equipment used for the measurement or quantification

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(i) name and address of the	
operator, owner or licensee, where	
applicable;	
(ii) site address;	
(iii) methane emissions from all	HU:
elements outlined in Article 25(3)	(Drafting):
including:	(iii) methane emissions from all elements outlined in Article 25(3) including:
	HU:
	(Comments):
	We propose to delete point (iii), the proposed detailed data is not necessary for the report.
1) type of element	HU:
	(Drafting):
	1) type of element
2) technical details of measurement	HU:
apparatus used for the	(Drafting):

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
measurement including sensitivity	2) technical details of measurement apparatus used for the measurement including sensitivity
	PL: (Drafting): 2) technical details of measurement or quantification apparatus used for the measurement or quantification including sensitivity
3) proportion of time when measurement apparatus was operating	HU: (Drafting): 3) proportion of time when measurement apparatus was operating PL: (Drafting): 3) proportion of time when measurement or quantification apparatus was operating
4) methane concentration registered by the measurement apparatus	HU: (Drafting): 4) methane concentration registered by the measurement apparatus PL: (Drafting): 4) methane concentration registered by the measurement or quantification apparatus

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
5) estimates of methane emissions	HU:
from the element	(Drafting): 5) estimates of methane emissions from the element
Part 4	
The mitigation plan set out in Article 26(1) must include at least the following information:	
(i) list of all elements covered in Article 25(3);	HU: (Comments): We propose to simplify it. Defining and listing the relevant elements should be in the compenences of the member states
(ii) technical feasibility of mitigation of methane emissions from elements outlined in Article 25(3);	

### COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal  (iii) timeline of mitigation of methane emissions from elements outlined in Article 25(3).  ANNEX VIII  HU: (Drafting): ANNEX VIII  HU: (Comments): We propose to delete Annex VIII.	
methane emissions from elements outlined in Article 25(3).  HU:  (Drafting):  ANNEX VIII  HU:  (Comments):  We propose to delete Annex VIII.	
methane emissions from elements outlined in Article 25(3).  HU:  (Drafting):  ANNEX VIII  HU:  (Comments):  We propose to delete Annex VIII.	
methane emissions from elements outlined in Article 25(3).  HU:  (Drafting):  ANNEX VIII  HU:  (Comments):  We propose to delete Annex VIII.	
outlined in Article 25(3).  ANNEX VIII  HU:  (Drafting):  ANNEX VIII  HU:  (Comments):  We propose to delete Annex VIII.	
(Drafting): ANNEX VIII  HU: (Comments): We propose to delete Annex VIII.	
(Drafting):  ANNEX VIII  HU:  (Comments):  We propose to delete Annex VIII.	
HU: (Comments): We propose to delete Annex VIII.	
HU: (Comments): We propose to delete Annex VIII.	
(Comments): We propose to delete Annex VIII.	
We propose to delete Annex VIII.	
Information to be provided by	
Information to be provided by	
Initioniliation to occurred by the time	
in a set a set	
importers (Drafting):	
Information to be provided by importers	
For the purposes of this Annex,	
11U.	
(Draiting).	
counterparty in each supply  For the purposes of this Annex, 'exporter' means the contractual counterparty in each supply con	r <del>act</del>

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
contract entered into by the	entered into by the importer for the delivery of fossil energy into the Union.
importer for the delivery of fossil	PL:
energy into the Union.	(Comments):
	The extent of information required by Annex VIII may be difficult for importers to obtain, given that not all
	suppliers are subject to the same regulations. The inability to obtain such detailed information may apply to
	LNG purchases on the spot market. This is particularly important given that failure to comply will be
	subject to penalties under Article 30.
Pursuant to Article 27, importers	HU:
must provide the following	(Drafting):
information:	Pursuant to Article 27, importers must provide the following information:
(i) name and address of	HU:
exporter and, if different from	(Drafting):
exporter, name and address of	(i) name and address of exporter and, if different from exporter, name and address of producer;
producer;	
(ii) country and regions	HU:
corresponding to the Union	(Drafting):
nomenclature of territorial units for	

Deadline: 23 March

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE D	K RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
statistics (NUTS) level 1 where the	(ii) country and regions corresponding to the Union nomenclature of territorial units for statistics
energy was produced and countries	(NUTS) level 1 where the energy was produced and countries and corresponding to the Union nomenclature
and corresponding to the Union	of territorial units for statistics (NUTS) level 1 through which the energy was transported until it was placed
nomenclature of territorial units for	on the Union market;
statistics (NUTS) level 1 through	
which the energy was transported	
until it was placed on the Union	
market;	
(iii) as regards oil and fossil gas,	DE:
whether the exporter is undertaking	(Drafting):
measurement and reporting of its	as regards oil and fossil gas, whether the exporter is undertaking measurement and reporting of its methane
methane emissions, either	emissions, either independently or as part of commitments to report national GHG inventories in line with
independently or as part of	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) requirements, and whether it is in
commitments to report national	compliance with UNFCCC reporting requirements or in compliance with Oil and Gas Methane Partnership
GHG inventories in line with	2.0 standards. This must be accompanied by a copy of the latest report on methane emissions, including,
United Nations Framework	where available, the information referred to in Article 12(6). The method of quantification (such as
Convention on Climate Change	UNFCCC tiers or OGMP levels) employed in the reporting must be specified for each type of emissions;
(UNFCCC) requirements, and	DE:
whether it is in compliance with	(Comments):

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
UNFCCC reporting requirements	See above (7)
or in compliance with Oil and Gas	HU:
Methane Partnership 2.0 standards.	(Drafting):
This must be accompanied by a	(ii) country and regions corresponding to the Union nomenclature of territorial units for statistics
copy of the latest report on	(NUTS) level 1 where the energy was produced and countries and corresponding to the Union nomenclature
methane emissions, including,	of territorial units for statistics (NUTS) level 1 through which the energy was transported until it was placed
where available, the information	on the Union market;
referred to in Article 12(6). The	PL:
method of quantification (such as	(Drafting):
UNFCCC tiers or OGMP levels)	(iii) as regards oil and fossil gas, whether the exporter is undertaking measurement or quantification
employed in the reporting must be	and reporting of its methane emissions, either independently or as part of commitments to report national
specified for each type of	GHG inventories in line with United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)
emissions;	requirements, and whether it is in compliance with UNFCCC reporting requirements or in compliance with
	Oil and Gas Methane Partnership 2.0 standards. This must be accompanied by a copy of the latest report on
	methane emissions, including, where available, the information referred to in Article 12(6). The method of
	quantification (such as UNFCCC tiers or OGMP levels) employed in the reporting must be specified for
	each type of emissions;
(iv) as regards oil and gas,	HU:
whether the exporter applies	(Drafting):

Deadline: 23 March

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE D	K RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL  LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
regulatory or voluntary measures to	(iv) as regards oil and gas, whether the exporter applies regulatory or voluntary measures to control its
control its methane emissions,	methane emissions, including measures such as leak detection and repair surveys or measures to control and
including measures such as leak	restrict venting and flaring of methane. This must be accompanied by a description of such measures,
detection and repair surveys or	including, where available, reports from leak detection and repair surveys and from venting and flaring
measures to control and restrict	events with respect to the last available calendar year;
venting and flaring of methane.	
This must be accompanied by a	
description of such measures,	
including, where available, reports	
from leak detection and repair	
surveys and from venting and	
flaring events with respect to the	
last available calendar year;	
(v) as regards coal, whether the	HU:
exporter is undertaking	(Drafting):
measurement and reporting of its	(v) as regards coal, whether the exporter is undertaking measurement and reporting of its methane
methane emissions, either	emissions, either independently or as part of commitments to report national GHG inventories in line with
independently or as part of	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) requirements, and whether it is in
commitments to report national	compliance with UNFCCC reporting requirements or in compliance with an international or European

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

#### LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022 **Drafting Suggestions Commission proposal** Comments GHG inventories in line with standard for monitoring, reporting and verification of methane emissions. This must be accompanied by a copy of the latest report on methane emissions, including, where available the information referred to in **United Nations Framework** Article 20(6). The method of quantification (such as UNFCCC tiers or OGMP levels) employed in the Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) requirements, and reporting must be specified for each type of emissions; whether it is in compliance with PLUNFCCC reporting requirements (Drafting): or in compliance with an as regards coal, whether the exporter is undertaking measurement or quantification and reporting of (v) international or European standard its methane emissions, either independently or as part of commitments to report national GHG inventories for monitoring, reporting and in line with United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) requirements, and verification of methane emissions. whether it is in compliance with UNFCCC reporting requirements or in compliance with an international or This must be accompanied by a European standard for monitoring, reporting and verification of methane emissions. This must be copy of the latest report on accompanied by a copy of the latest report on methane emissions, including, where available the methane emissions, including, information referred to in Article 20(6). The method of quantification (such as UNFCCC tiers or OGMP where available the information levels) employed in the reporting must be specified for each type of emissions; referred to in Article 20(6). The method of quantification (such as UNFCCC tiers or OGMP levels) employed in the reporting must be specified for each type of emissions;

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
(vi) as regards coal, whether the exporter applies regulatory or voluntary measures to control its methane emissions, including measures to control and restrict venting and flaring of methane.  This must be accompanied by a description of such measures, including, where available, reports from venting and flaring events with respect to the last available calendar year;	NL: (Drafting):  HU: (Drafting): (vi) — as regards coal, whether the exporter applies regulatory or voluntary measures to control its methane emissions, including measures to control and restrict venting and flaring of methane. This must be accompanied by a description of such measures, including, where available, reports from venting and flaring events with respect to the last available calendar year;
(vii) name of the entity that performed independent verification of the reports referred to in points (iii) and (v), if any.	HU: (Drafting): (vii) name of the entity that performed independent verification of the reports referred to in points (iii) and (v), if any.  PL: (Drafting):

Commission proposal (ST 15063/1/21 REV 1 + ADD 1 – COM(2021) 805 final/2)

# Proposal for Methane Regulation

COMMENTS FROM: LV SI IE DE DK RO CY CZ BE NL AT HU PL

LAST UPDATE: 28 March 2022

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions  Comments
	Where the exporter and, where relevant, the producer originate from the country providing data for the
	IMEO, the importers will be obliged to provide only information as described in point (i) of this Annex.
	End