



Council of the European Union
General Secretariat

Brussels, 24 March 2026

WK 4504/2026 INIT

LIMITE

**ENER
TRANS
RELEX
ECOFIN
ENV
CODEC
IA**

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

CONTRIBUTION

From: General Secretariat of the Council
To: Working Party on Energy

Subject: TEN-E Regulation - DE comments: Chapters I-IV and Annexes I-VII (ST 5865/26 REV 1)

Delegations will find in the annex the DE comments on the TEN-E Regulation: Chapters I-IV and Annexes I-VII (ST 5865/26 REV 1).

Guidelines to be followed

Please kindly provide your contributions in the table below.

Drafting suggestions: you may use '**track changes**'* or formatting (for example **bold-underline** for additions and ~~strike-through~~ for deletions, **where necessary, in a different colour**). *Track changes can only be connected once the cursor is placed in editable areas (Drafting or Comments columns).

To make it feasible to consolidate all contributions, the structure of the table must not be changed, so **no rows can be added or deleted**.

New provisions may only be added in any of the '**existing cells**'.


Name of document: please add the **two initials** of your delegation's country followed by a space (to the MS Word document name), followed by any optional text, for example, for Austria: **AT comments ondocx**


Thank you for your cooperation!


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| General Comments | | |
| | | |
| 2025/0399 (COD) | | |
| | | |
| Proposal for a | | |
| | | |
| REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL | | |
| | | |
| on guidelines for trans-European energy infrastructure, amending Regulations (EU) | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| 2019/942, (EU) 2019/943 and (EU) 2024/1789 and repealing Regulation (EU) 2022/869 | | |
| | | |
| (Text with EEA relevance) | | |
| | | |
| THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION, | | |
| | | |
| Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 172 thereof, | | |
| | | |
| Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission, | | |
| | | |
| After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments, | | |
| | | |
| Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ , | | |
| <hr style="width: 25%; margin-left: 0;"/> ¹ OJ C , , p. . | | |
| | | |
| Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ² , | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>2 ^{_____} OJ C , , p. .</p> | | |
| Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure, | | |
| Whereas: | | |
| <p>(1) The Commission Communication of 26 February 2025 on the “Clean Industrial Deal”³ sets out a joint roadmap for competitiveness and decarbonisation. Securing affordable energy is a key condition for the competitiveness of the Union industry, especially for energy-intensive sectors. Access to affordable energy is therefore a cornerstone of the Clean Industrial Deal as well as the Action Plan for Affordable Energy⁴. At the same time, decarbonisation policies are a powerful driver of growth when they are well integrated with industrial, competition, economic and trade policies as set out in the Commission Communication of 29 January 2025 on a “Competitiveness Compass for the EU”⁵. With Regulation (EU) 2021/1119 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶, the Union has set out an ambitious framework to become a decarbonised economy by 2050.</p> <p>³ ^{_____} Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions of 26 February 2025, “The Clean Industrial Deal: A joint roadmap for competitiveness and decarbonisation” (COM(2025) 85 final).</p> <p>4 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions of 26 February 2025: “Action Plan for Affordable Energy - Unlocking the true value of our Energy Union to secure affordable, efficient and clean energy for all Europeans”, COM(2025) 79 final.</p> <p>5 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions of 29 January 2025, “A Competitiveness Compass for the EU” (COM(2025) 30 final).</p> <p>6 Regulation (EU) 2021/1119 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 June 2021 establishing the framework for achieving climate neutrality and amending Regulations (EC) No 401/2009 and (EU) 2018/1999 (‘European Climate Law’) (OJ L 243, 9.7.2021, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2021/1119/oj).</p> |  | |
| <p>(2) As part of the ambition of Regulation (EU) 2021/1119, the binding Union level target for renewable energy for 2030 has been increased to 42.5 % renewable energy in the Union’s energy</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>mix by 2030, aiming for 45 %⁷, and the binding Union level target for energy efficiency has been made more ambitious, with a reduction of Union final energy consumption by 11.7 % by 2030, compared to 2020 projections⁸. With the intermediate target of at least 55 % net greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction compared with 1990 levels by 2030 well on track, on 2 July 2025 the Commission proposed an amendment to Regulation (EU) 2021/1119⁹ setting a Union climate target for 2040 of a 90 % reduction in net GHG emissions, compared to 1990 levels.</p> <hr/> <p>7 Directive (EU) 2023/2413 of 18 October 2023 amending Directive (EU) 2018/2001, Regulation (EU) 2018/1999 and Directive 98/70/EC as regards the promotion of energy from renewable sources, and repealing Council Directive (EU) 2015/652 (OJ L, 2023/2413, 31.10.2023, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2023/2413/oj).</p> <p>8 Directive (EU) 2023/1791 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 September 2023 on energy efficiency and amending Regulation (EU) 2023/955 (OJ L 231, 20.9.2023, p.1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2023/1791/oj).</p> <p>9 COM(2025) 524 final of 2 July 2025.</p> |  | |
| (3) Infrastructure needs to be in place to support the Union energy transition in accordance | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>with those targets, including rapid electrification, scaling up renewable and fossil fuel free electricity generation, the increased use of renewable and low-carbon gases, energy integration, decrease energy isolation for non or very low interconnected systems and a higher uptake of innovative solutions. Current investments in cross-border energy infrastructure are clearly insufficient to transform and build the energy infrastructure needed to support those targets and there is a substantial gap between our cross-border electricity infrastructure needs and the speed and level of infrastructure development at both the transmission and distribution grid level.¹⁰ For electricity, about half of cross-border electricity needs for 2030 (41 of 88 GW) will remain unaddressed, and this gap is expected to increase the next decade. By 2040, cross-border electricity capacity needs will amount to 108 GW.¹¹ Increased investments in energy infrastructure are therefore necessary, and the Draghi report¹² pointed in particular to the need to rapidly increase the deployment of cross-border energy infrastructure to decarbonise Europe's industry. In the Clean Industrial Deal¹³ and the accompanying "Action Plan for Affordable Energy"¹⁴, the Commission underlined the crucial role of completing the Energy Union by investing in energy infrastructure and cross-border grids for safeguarding the competitiveness of Union industry and the prosperity of people as well as for the affordability and security of energy supply.</p> |  | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>10 ACER (2024): Electricity infrastructure development to support a competitive and sustainable energy system (2024 Monitoring Report)</p> <p>11 ENTSO-E (2025), TYNDP 2024. Opportunities for a more efficient European power system by 2050. Infrastructure Gaps Report.</p> <p>12 M. Draghi (2025): “The future of European competitiveness”.</p> <p>13 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions of 26 February 2025, “The Clean Industrial Deal: A joint roadmap for competitiveness and decarbonisation”, COM(2025) 85 final.</p> <p>14 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions of 26 February 2025, “Action Plan for Affordable Energy - Unlocking the true value of our Energy Union to secure affordable, efficient and clean energy for all Europeans” (COM(2025) 79 final).</p> |  | |
| <p>(4) Regulation (EU) 2022/869 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁵ laid down guidelines for the timely development and interoperability of priority corridors and areas of</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>trans-European energy infrastructure in order to contribute to ensuring climate change mitigation in particular achieving the Union's 2030 targets for energy and climate change and the climate neutrality objective by 2050 at the latest and to ensuring interconnections, energy security, market and system integration and competition that benefits all Member States, as well as affordability of energy prices. In particular, Regulation (EU) 2022/869 provides for the identification of projects of common interest and of projects of mutual interest, facilitates their implementation and determines the conditions for eligibility of those projects for Union financial assistance. However, given their cross-border nature, projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest not only create significant positive externalities and foster solidarity, but also entail specific challenges for project promoters, due to their multi-jurisdictional nature, coordination challenges and an often asymmetrical distribution of costs and benefits. They therefore continue to require a Union level framework.</p> <hr/> <p>15 Regulation (EU) 2022/869 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2022 on guidelines for trans-European energy infrastructure, amending Regulations (EC) No 715/2009, (EU) 2019/942 and (EU) 2019/943 and Directives 2009/73/EC and (EU) 2019/944, and repealing Regulation (EU) No 347/2013 (OJ L 152, 3.6.2022, p. 45, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2022/869/oj).</p> |  | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>(5) While the objectives of Regulation (EU) 2022/869 remain largely valid, the current trans-European energy networks framework should be adjusted to fully reflect the expected changes to the energy system that will result from the new policy context and in particular the 2050 climate neutrality objective and the proposed intermediary target for 2040. In particular, there is a need for more integrated grid planning to support an increasingly interdependent and decentralised internal energy market, faster permit granting processes and to ensure the security and resilience of cross-border energy infrastructure to be adequately reflected in the revised trans-European energy networks framework. Besides the new political context and objectives, technological development has been rapid in the past decade. That development should be taken into account in the energy infrastructure categories covered by this Regulation, the selection criteria for projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest as well as the priority corridors and areas. At the same time, the provisions of this Regulation should not affect a Member State's right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy resources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply, in accordance with Article 194 of the Treaty.</p> |  | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>(6) The implementation of the identified 13 trans-European energy infrastructure priority corridors and thematic areas is essential for the achievement of the Union's energy and climate targets including further market integration, energy security, the 2050 climate neutrality objective as well as affordability of energy prices. Those priorities cover investments in electricity transmission and storage, offshore grids for renewable energy, smart electricity grids, equipment and installation designed to ensure protection and resilience of existing critical network elements, hydrogen transmission, storage and terminals, electrolysers, and the transport and storage of carbon dioxide as well as monitoring, control and digitalisation equipment and installation essential for existing high-voltage networks of cross-border relevance.</p> |  | |
| <p>(7) The Union's energy infrastructure should be upgraded in order to increase its resilience against natural or man-made disasters, adverse effects of climate change, deliberate hostile actions and threats to its security, in particular as regards European critical infrastructures pursuant to Directive 2022/2557 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁶.</p> <p>¹⁶ Directive EU) 2022/2557 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2022 on the resilience of critical entities and repealing</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>Council Directive 2008/114/EC (OJ L 333, 27.12.2002, p. 164, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2002/2557/oj).</p> | | |
| <p>(8) In the current geopolitical context, it is important to ensure the uninterrupted flow of electricity across borders to ensure security of supply. This depends not only on the resilience of interconnectors between Member States, but also on the resilience of critical network elements. Therefore, this Regulation should introduce a new infrastructure category in the form of investments into equipment and installations directly connected to and designed to enhance the critical network elements' resilience and protection. That new infrastructure category should cover critical network elements, as set out in Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁷, that support network security and supply security in accordance with the Member States' crisis scenarios and risk preparedness plans under Regulation (EU) 2019/941 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁸.</p> <hr/> <p>¹⁷ Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (OJ L 158, 14.6.2019, p. 54, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2019/943/oj).</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>18 Regulation (EU) 2019/941 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on risk-preparedness in the electricity sector and repealing Directive 2005/89/EC (OJ L 158, 14.6.2019, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2019/941/oj).</p> | | |
| <p>(9) While foreign investment can bring benefits such as increased financing options for capital-intensive projects, it can also increase the Union's exposure to energy security related risks such as disruptions or reduced reliability of cross-border flows, in particular where such foreign investments originate from third countries with diverging geopolitical interests from the Union. Transparency regarding ultimate beneficiary ownership, including information on the ultimate investor and participation in the capital as set out in Regulation (EU) 2019/452 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁹, of cross-border energy infrastructure and projects with a cross-border impact is therefore crucial to prevent the Union from becoming dependent on non-trusted third countries and should be taken into consideration when selecting projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest.</p> <hr/> <p>19 Regulation (EU) 2019/452 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 March 2019 establishing a framework for the screening of foreign direct investments into the Union (OJ L 79I,</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| 21.3.2019, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2019/452/oj . | | |
| (10) To ensure cost-efficient and accelerated grid development and access to grids in the Union, non-wire solutions should play a prominent role in addressing system needs next to physical grid reinforcement, as they may be deployed faster and at lower costs. Deploying such technologies should be considered before investing in the expansion of grid infrastructure. To this aim, a new infrastructure category should cover investments in non-wire technologies and digital solutions, including software solutions, where they are deployed on existing critical network elements relevant for cross-border trade, and where bringing quantified benefits for market integration in terms of increasing cross-border capacity. | | |
| (11) A decarbonised gas and hydrogen legislative package was adopted with Regulation (EU) 2024/1789 of the European Parliament and of the Council ²⁰ and Directive (EU) 2024/1788 of the European Parliament and of the Council ²¹ to set common rules at Union level for the transition to renewable and low-carbon gases. The infrastructure categories set out in this Regulation should be fully aligned with the objectives of that package and ensure that energy infrastructure | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>projects have a significant cross-border impact. Where technically possible and most efficient, the possibility of repurposing existing infrastructure and equipment should be taken into account in the development of such projects.</p> <hr/> <p>20 Regulation (EU) 2024/1789 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2024 on the internal markets for renewable gas, natural gas and hydrogen, amending Regulations (EU) No 1227/2011, (EU) 2017/1938, (EU) 2019/942 and (EU) 2022/869 and Decision (EU) 2017/684 and repealing Regulation (EC) No 715/2009 (OJ L, 2024/1789, 15.7.2024, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2024/1789/oj).</p> <p>21 Directive (EU) 2024/1788 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2024 on common rules for the internal markets for renewable gas, natural gas and hydrogen, amending Directive (EU) 2023/1791 and repealing Directive 2009/73/EC (OJ L, 2024/1788, 15.7.2024, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2024/1788/oj).</p> |  | |
| <p>(12) Regional groups (Groups) should be established for the purpose of proposing and reviewing projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest, leading to the establishment of regional lists of projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest. In order to ensure broad consensus, those Groups should include and ensure close cooperation between Member States,</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>national regulatory authorities, project promoters and relevant stakeholders. In the context of that cooperation, national regulatory authorities should, where necessary, advise Groups, inter alia, on the feasibility of the national regulatory aspects of proposed projects and on the feasibility of the proposed timetable for regulatory approval.</p> | | |
| <p>(13) In order to increase the efficiency of the network planning and project development processes, cooperation between the Groups should be strengthened. It is necessary that the Commission play an important role in facilitating that cooperation with a view to addressing the possible impact of projects developed within one region on other regions.</p> | | |
| <p>(14) In order to complement the Groups, several regional cooperation fora have been established with the support of the Commission. Regional cooperation within and between the fora and the Groups is a key tool to ensure deeper integration of the European energy system. The four High-Level Groups cover different European regions also with the involvement of third countries: the Baltic Energy Market Interconnection Plan (BEMIP), the North Seas Energy Cooperation (NSEC), the High-Level Group on Interconnections for South-West Europe (SWE) and the High-Level Group for Central and South-Eastern European Energy</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>Connectivity (CESEC). Regional cooperation in those fora has been successful in supporting monitoring and accelerating the implementation of key energy infrastructure projects of regional dimension and of market integration actions. Consequently, those regional cooperation fora should be increasingly deployed to support the achievement of the objectives of this Regulation.</p> | | |
| <p>(15) A new Union list of projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest (“the Union list”) should be established every two years. Projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest that have been completed or that no longer fulfil the relevant criteria and requirements as set out in this Regulation should not appear on the subsequent Union list.</p> | | |
| <p>(16) Existing projects of common interest and existing projects of mutual interest that are to be included in the subsequent Union list should be subject to the same selection process for the establishment of regional lists and for the establishment of the Union list applied to proposed projects unless they have obtained an approval of the competent national regulatory authority or a final investment decision providing sufficient assurance of the construction of the projects or their construction is ongoing and they show</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| sufficient progress in their annual report, in which case they should remain on the Union list. | | |
| (17) Where existing projects of common interest and existing projects of mutual interest that are to be included in the following Union list are subject to the same selection process for the establishment of regional lists and for the establishment of the Union list applied to proposed projects, the administrative burden should be reduced to the extent possible, for example by using project information submitted previously in the assessment, if still up to date. | | |
| (18) Projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest should comply with common, transparent and objective general and specific criteria in view of their contribution to the energy policy objectives. In order to be eligible for inclusion in the Union list, proposed electricity projects, with the exception of smart electricity grids and projects specifically designed to provide protection and resilience to existing critical network elements, should be part of the latest available Union-wide ten-year network development plan. Likewise, proposed hydrogen and electrolyser projects should be part of the latest available Union-wide ten-year network development plan. | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>(19) Sustainability in terms of the integration of renewable energy sources into the grid or the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, as relevant, is a key criterion for ensuring that trans-European energy networks policy is coherent with the Union's targets for energy and climate and the 2050 climate neutrality objectives, taking into account the specificities of each Member State in reaching the climate neutrality objective. To this end, sustainability is one of the assessment criteria to be applied for all project categories.</p> |  | |
| <p>(20) There is a growing need for stronger market integration and interconnectivity of the networks of the Union with those of the European Economic Area (EEA) and the Energy Community. Therefore, the benefits and costs of projects of mutual interest between a Member State and a country in the EEA or a Energy Community contracting party should be considered cumulatively for the Union and for the country concerned in the EEA or the contracting party concerned in the Energy Community.</p> | | |
| <p>(21) The Union should facilitate infrastructure projects linking Union networks directly with third-country networks which are mutually beneficial and necessary for the energy transition and the achievement of the climate targets, and which also meet the specific criteria of the relevant</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>infrastructure categories pursuant to this Regulation. To reinforce the focus on cross-border projects and to maintain complementarity with the Union's external policy, in the case of projects of mutual interest, the projects should directly connect a Member State with the first electricity network connection point or the first hydrogen or carbon dioxide connection point in the third country.</p> | | |
| <p>(22) As regards projects of mutual interest related to electricity networks, only interconnection projects linking energy systems should be eligible, provided that their transfer capacity could be fully used for market exchanges. It is the responsibility of the respective transmission system operators (TSOs) to assess in advance the impacts of any projects on the grid security and stability in order to confirm that the project can be fully integrated into the electricity networks of the countries concerned.</p> | | |
| <p>(23) It is necessary to ensure that projects of mutual interest, which are granted priority treatment, genuinely advance the Union's internal market, security of supply and climate neutrality objectives. Therefore, projects of mutual interest should be eligible for inclusion in the Union list only where the policy framework of a third country involved has a high level of convergence and is</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| supported by enforcement mechanisms, and such projects demonstrate a contribution to the Union's and the third countries' overall energy and climate policy objectives in terms of security of supply and decarbonisation. | | |
| (24) A high level of convergence of the policy framework should be presumed for the EEA or Energy Community contracting parties or can be demonstrated in case of other third countries through bilateral agreements that include relevant provisions on climate and energy policy objectives on decarbonisation and further assessed by the appropriate Group with the support of the Commission. In addition, the third country with which the Union cooperates in the development of projects of mutual interest should facilitate a similar timeline for accelerated implementation and other policy support measures, as provided for in this Regulation. | | |
| (25) The third country involved should ensure that the section of the project of mutual interest located in the third country and any additional investments necessary for the total benefits of the project of mutual interest to be implemented, such as internal grid reinforcements, are also treated as a priority and are timely deployed to ensure full use of the project. | | |
| | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>(26) In order to ensure that projects for the storage of carbon dioxide which involve third countries contribute to cross-border carbon dioxide transport and storage in a manner consistent with the Union's climate and environmental requirements, such projects should only be eligible if they are necessary for the functioning of cross-border transport and storage of carbon dioxide and where the third country maintains and effectively enforces an adequate legal framework. This legal framework in the third country should ensure the application of standards and safeguards that prevent carbon dioxide leaks and that guarantee the safety and effectiveness of the permanent storage of carbon dioxide for the protection of climate, human health and ecosystems. Those standards and safeguards should provide a level of protection at least equivalent to that laid down in Union law. It should be presumed that the EEA or Energy Community Contracting Parties meet those standards and safeguards.</p> |  | |
| <p>(27) Projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest should be implemented as quickly as possible and should be closely monitored by the national competent authorities, the Agency and the Groups, while duly observing the requirements for stakeholder participation and environmental legislation and keeping the administrative burden for project promoters to a minimum. Particular attention should be paid to the assessment of risks</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>as regards climate adaptation and as regards physical and cyber security, building where applicable on the requirements of Directive (EU) 2022/2557 with regard to the resilience of critical entities and the requirements of Directive 2022/2555 of the European Parliament and of the Council²² with regard to measures for a high level of cybersecurity across the Union, and project promoters should report to the national competent authorities on the measures taken resulting from the risks assessed. Project promoters should also report on the compliance with environmental legislation and demonstrate that projects do ‘no significant harm’ to the environment within the meaning of Article 17 of Regulation (EU) 2020/852 of the European Parliament and of the Council²³. For existing projects of common interest having reached sufficient maturity, those considerations should be taken into account during project selection for the subsequent Union list by the Groups.</p> <hr/> <p>22 Directive (EU) 2022/2555 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2022 on measures for a high common level of cybersecurity across the Union, amending Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 and Directive (EU) 2018/1972, and repealing Directive (EU) 2016/1148 (NIS 2 Directive) (OJ L 333, 27.12.2022, p. 80, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2022/2555/oj).</p> <p>23 Regulation (EU) 2020/852 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 June 2020 on</p> |  | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>the establishment of a framework to facilitate sustainable investment, and amending Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 (OJ L 198, 22.6.2020, p. 13, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2020/852/oj).</p> | | |
| <p>(28) The Commission should have the possibility to nominate European coordinators for projects facing particular difficulties or delays, in order to facilitate the implementation of projects which encounter difficulties.</p> | | |
| <p>(29) The permit-granting process should neither lead to administrative burdens which are disproportionate to the size or complexity of a project, nor create barriers to the development of the trans-European networks and market access.</p> | | |
| <p>(30) Projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest should be given priority status at national level to ensure rapid administrative treatment and urgent treatment in all judicial and dispute resolution procedures relating to them.</p> | | |
| <p>(31) Member States that currently do not attribute the highest possible national significance to energy infrastructure projects as regards the permit-granting process, are encouraged to consider introducing such a high national</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| significance, in particular where this could lead to a quicker permit-granting process. | | |
| (32) Member States that do not currently have in place accelerated or urgent judicial procedures applicable to energy infrastructure projects should be encouraged to consider introducing such procedures, in particular by evaluating whether that would lead to the quicker implementation of such projects. | | |
| (33) Projects concerning hydrogen assets, electrolyser facilities and carbon dioxide assets contribute to energy and climate goals, including with regard to the need to accelerate the deployment of renewable energy and its integration in their energy mix. Therefore, all projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest concerning hydrogen, electrolyser facilities, and carbon dioxide assets should be considered to be of public interest from an energy policy perspective, and it should be possible for Member States to consider them as being of overriding public interest, except for cultural heritage and where there is clear evidence that those projects have significant adverse effects on the environment which cannot be mitigated or compensated for. | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>(34) Due to their role integrating renewable energy assets, flexibility solutions, energy storage and electrification in general, electricity infrastructure projects are considered essential to reach climate neutrality. Therefore, until the Union climate neutrality target is achieved, such projects should be presumed to be of overriding public interest and to serve public health and safety where balancing competing legal interests, except for cultural heritage and where there is clear evidence that those projects have significant adverse effects on the environment which cannot be mitigated or compensated for, as provided for in Directive (EU) 2019/944 of the European Parliament and of the Council²⁴.</p> <hr/> <p>24 Directive (EU) 2019/944 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on common rules for the internal market for electricity and amending Directive 2012/27/EU (OJ L 158, 14.6.2019, p. 125, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2019/944/oj).</p> |  | |
| <p>(35) Due to their importance to reach climate neutrality, and their strategic importance as projects on the Union list, it should be possible for Member States to allow for projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest concerning electricity²⁵ that have been expressly included in a National Development Plan which was subject to a strategic environmental assessment in accordance</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>with Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council²⁶, and, if it is likely to have a significant impact on Natura 2000 sites, to the appropriate assessment pursuant to Article 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC, to be exempted from environmental impact assessments under Directive 2011/92/EU, from assessments of their implications on species protection pursuant to Article 12(1) of Directive 92/43/EEC and to Article 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and from assessments of their implications for Natura 2000 sites. Such exemptions should be possible until climate neutrality is achieved.</p> <hr/> <p>25 Directive 2011/92/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 2011 on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment (<i>OJ L 26</i>, 28.1.2012, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2011/92/oj http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2011/93/oj).</p> <p>26 Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (<i>OJ L 197</i>, 21.7.2001, p. 30, http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2001/42/oj).</p> |  | |
| <p>(36) In order to mitigate any possible impact of such exemptions, <u>when a project intending to</u></p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p><u>benefit from the exemption applies for a permit, Member States should screen whether the project gives rise to significant environmental impacts which differ from those already expected and accounted for in environmental assessments of the National Development Plan. Additionally, Member States should identify whether the project is likely to have significant effects on the environment in another Member State, thus requiring environmental impact assessment in a transboundary context. Following the this screening, and provided the competent authorities did not find the project likely to have unforeseen effects or significant effects on the environment in another Member State, Member States' competent these</u> authorities should ensure that appropriate and proportionate mitigation measures are applied, considering the use of the best available technologies. Where it is not possible to apply such mitigation measures, competent authorities should ensure that project promoters adopt appropriate compensatory measures to address those effects, which, if other proportionate compensatory measures are not available, may include the payment of monetary compensation for species protection programmes. In addition, <u>Where a project is found to have unforeseen effects, which render the competent authority unable to identify that appropriate and proportionate mitigation or, where applicable, compensatory measures, the authority should</u></p> |  | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p><u>be able to request the project promoter to conduct a project specific environmental assessment targeting the risks identified and enabling to assess which measures are appropriate to be deployed. Where</u>In addition, where a project is likely to have significant negative effects on the environment of another Member State, the national competent authorities should ensure that the Member States concerned have cooperated to identify measures to avoid the significant impacts, or, where needed, to mitigate or compensate them.</p> |  | |
| <p>(37) In order to speed up the deployment of the trans-European energy network, the conditions for applying specific derogations as set out in Union environmental legislation should be clear. In particular, when assessing whether there are satisfactory alternative solutions to energy projects, the scope of such assessment should be limited to alternative solutions that ensure the achievement of the same objective within the same or similar timeframe and without resulting in significantly higher costs. When comparing the timeframe and the cost of satisfactory alternative solutions, the relevant authorities should be able to take into account the need to deploy projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest in an accelerated and cost-effective manner in accordance with the priorities set out in their integrated national energy and climate plans and</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| updates thereof submitted to the Commission pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2018/1999. | | |
| (38) Similarly, when applying the relevant derogation provided for in Directive 92/43/EEC, it is appropriate that the relevant authorities may, in justified cases and where it can be reasonably demonstrated that the plan or project would not irreversibly affect, before the measures are put into place, the overall coherence of the Natura 2000 network, the environmental integrity of the site is preserved and a high level of protection of the Natura 2000 sites is ensured, allow that compensatory measures are carried out in parallel with the implementation of the plan or project. | | |
| (39) In order to reduce complexity, increase efficiency and transparency, and help enhance cooperation among Member States, Member States should ensure that there is is one single competent authority responsible for facilitating and coordinating all permit-granting processes towards the issuing of a comprehensive decision, cooperating with other concerned authorities and national competent authorities of other Member States, acting as a sole point of contact for promoters mediating their contact with other authorities, and monitoring the development and delays of projects on the Union list. <u>In order to provide flexibility, Member States should be</u> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p><u>able to choose the type of permitting scheme, which aligns with the existing competence of the relevant competent national authorities under their legal systems. The cooperation with national competent authorities of other Member States should be without prejudice to the exclusive competence of national authorities to decide over projects located in their territory.</u></p> | | |
| <p>(40) To increase the efficiency of procedures, national competent authorities should also be responsible for ensuring that, for hybrid transmission and generation projects, the timeline for permitting aligns to all assets of the project in a manner that expedites the permit-granting process for the generation and transmission assets.</p> | | |
| <p>(41) In order to simplify and expedite the permit-granting process for projects on the Union list located in two or more Member States, a unique point of contact amongst the national competent authorities should be jointly designated by the Member States concerned. Having a single authority to facilitate the process, and issuing the <u>a single final comprehensive decision. This comprehensive decision must respect the sovereignty of Member States and thus national authorities' competence to decide over projects in their territory. As such, the final comprehensive decision issued by a unique</u></p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p><u>point of contact, should take the form of a non-binding document identifying and referring to the binding decision of the national competent authorities and the authorities concerned of each Member State., Having a single reference document, even non-binding,</u> should lighten the administrative burden for project developers and reduce complexity, increase efficiency and speed up the permit-granting process, especially where Member States provide for joint procedures with aligned timelines and assessments. To ensure effective cross-border cooperation, the Commission should focus on identified interconnection priority projects strengthening the coordination and monitoring of their implementation and permitting. For that purpose, the Commission should support Member States in identifying joint procedures for an effective and efficient permit-granting process.</p> | | |
| <p>(42) Member States should be able to include in comprehensive decisions, where appropriate, decisions taken in the context of negotiations with individual landowners to grant access to, ownership of, or a right to occupy, property in the context of spatial planning, which determines the general land use of a defined region, including other developments such as highways, railways, buildings and nature protection areas and which is not undertaken for the specific purpose of the planned project and granting of operational</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>permits. In the context of the permit-granting process, a project of common interest should be able to include related infrastructure to the extent that it is essential for the construction or functioning of the project.</p> | | |
| <p>(43) This Regulation, in particular the provisions on permit-granting, public participation and the implementation of projects of common interest, should apply without prejudice to Union and international law, including provisions to protect the environment and human health, and provisions adopted under the Common Fisheries Policy and Integrated Maritime Policy, in particular Directive 2014/89/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council²⁷.</p> <hr/> <p>²⁷ Directive 2014/89/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014 establishing a framework for maritime spatial planning (OJ L 257, 28.8.2014, p. 135, http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2014/89/oj)</p> | | |
| <p>(44) It is essential that stakeholders, including civil society, are provided with information and are consulted, in order to ensure the success of projects and to limit objections to them. Despite the existence of established standards ensuring the participation of the public in environmental decision-making procedures, which apply fully to</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>projects of common interest, additional measures should be required to ensure the highest possible standards of transparency and public participation in all relevant issues in the permit-granting process for projects of common interest. Where already covered by national rules under the same or higher standards as in this Regulation, the pre-consultation ahead of the permit-granting process should be optional and duplication of legal requirements should be avoided.</p> | | |
| <p>(45) The correct and coordinated implementation of Directives 2001/42/EC and 2011/92/EU and, where applicable, of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe Convention on access to information, public participation in decision-making and access to justice in environmental matters²⁸, signed in Aarhus on 25 June 1998 (the ‘Aarhus Convention’), and of the Convention on environmental impact assessment in a transboundary context²⁹, signed in Espoo on 25 February 1991 (the ‘Espoo Convention’), should ensure the harmonisation of the main principles for the assessment of environmental and climate effects, including in a cross-border context. The Commission has issued guidance to support Member States to streamline the environmental assessment procedures for energy infrastructure and to ensure the coherent application of</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|---|
| <p>environmental assessment procedures required under Union law for projects of common interest.</p> <hr/> <p>28 OJ L 124, 17.5.2005, p. 4</p> <p>29 OJ C 104, 24.4.1992, p. 7</p> | | |
| <p>(46) It is important to streamline and improve the permit-granting process, while respecting, to the extent possible and with due regard to the principle of subsidiarity, national competences and procedures for the construction of new energy infrastructure. Given the urgency of developing energy infrastructures, the simplification of the permit-granting process should set a clear time limit for the decision of the relevant authorities regarding the construction of the project. That time limit should stimulate an efficient definition and handling of procedures. This Regulation should establish maximum time limits. However, Member States can strive to achieve shorter time limits where feasible, in particular, as regards projects such as smart grids, which may not require as complex a permit-granting process as the one for transmission infrastructure.</p> | | |
| <p>(47) The lack of resources of permit-granting authorities and the lack of digitalisation of permit-granting processes</p> | | <p>This is inconsistent with Art. 10 para. 5 which allows for one portal only. Please see our comments there.</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>and data availability are bottlenecks slowing down permit-granting processes. Digitalisation and an appropriate use of artificial intelligence features are expected to speed up procedures and to increase efficiency of processes by allowing for faster handling of applications and increase transparency through improved access to information on procedural steps and requirements. However, digitalisation of permit-granting processes is lagging behind, with data often scattered across different competent authorities without unified digital processes or platforms, and without interoperability being ensured. That leads to lack of clarity on the status of the applications and hindering the identification of bottlenecks. Therefore, Member States should set up make available a digital platform portal or portals at national level for all the steps of the permit-granting processes for renewable energy, storage and grid projects so that the digitalisation of procedures is uniform, interoperable and transparent maximising its benefits in terms of speeding up the permit-granting process. Such a portal or portals platforms should enable project promoters to file applications and check their status, attribute them to the competent authorities, and allow authorities to process them by having access to all relevant data and information, without the need for intermediate paper-based steps. In addition, such a portal or portals platform should allow for the extraction of statistics on the overall progress</p> | <p style="text-align: center; opacity: 0.5; font-size: 48px; transform: rotate(-15deg);">PUBLIC</p> | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>of permit-granting processes in Member States. Such a portal or portals digital platforms should rely on secure and interoperable means provided through European Digital Identity Wallets, in compliance with the requirements of Regulation (EU) No 910/2014, for natural persons and, in the future, with European Business Wallets, in compliance with [Regulation (EU) No XXX/20YY], for legal persons, for enabling electronic identification and authentication, signing or sealing of documents, submission of documents and sending or receiving notifications between competent authorities and economic operators.</p> | | |
| <p>(48) The competent authorities should be responsible for ensuring compliance with the time limits established in this Regulation. Further, in line with the urgency to deploy energy infrastructures, and the strategic importance of projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest to achieve the Union's energy and climate goals and to the extent that the concept of tacit approval exists under national law, Member States should ensure that the lack of a reply by the national competent authorities within the deadline set out in this Regulation, or a lack of a reply by an authority concerned within the deadline established by the national competent authority, leads to the specific opinion, authorisation or permit being tacitly approved or answered</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| positively, with the exception of environmental decisions, and that such conclusion is made public. | | |
| <p>(49) The permit-granting process should provide for two procedures, namely the optional pre-application procedure where the work towards a complete application file is delivered and accepted by the national competent authority, and the mandatory statutory permit-granting procedure between the acceptance of the file and the moment the authorities render a comprehensive decision. Within the pre-application phase national competent authorities should carry out a series of tasks. They should screen the project and notify the project promoter of what authorisations, studies, permits and assessments are required to complete the permit-granting process, including the environmental assessments and mitigation or compensation measures that should be deployed. They should define the scope and level of detail of the documentation identified in the screening conclusions, making sure that no subsequent documentation is to be requested from the project promoter save for where a material change has occurred to the project or its surrounding environment that renders the conditions and assumptions used to determine the scope non-applicable. They should draw up a detailed schedule for the permit-granting process. After receiving the draft application file, including all the preparatory documents, they should decide</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| whether the file is deemed complete or requires the missing information in accordance with what was identified at the pre-application procedure. | | |
| (50) Where it is considered efficient, the national competent authorities may design the permitting requirements for the permit-granting process and public consultations of a certain project to take place in phases, provided the permit-granting process is simplified and accelerated. | | |
| (51) This Regulation should apply only to the granting of permits for projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest, public participation therein and the regulatory treatment of the projects. Member States should nevertheless be able to adopt national provisions to apply the same or similar rules to other projects that do not have the status of projects of common interest or projects of mutual interest within the scope of this Regulation. | | |
| (52) The Union-wide ten-year network development plan process provides a solid basis for the identification of projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest. While the European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity (ENTSO for Electricity), the European Network of Transmission System Operators for Gas (ENTSO for Gas), the European | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>Network of Network Operators for Hydrogen (ENNOH) and TSOs continue to play an important role in the process, more streamlining and steering is required, in particular as regards defining the scenarios for the future, identifying long-term infrastructure gaps and energy infrastructure bottlenecks and addressing those gaps with most adequate solutions, to increase the political weight, pertinence and robustness of the process. Therefore, the Agency and the Commission should have an increased role in the process for drawing up the Union-wide ten-year network development plans pursuant to Regulations (EU) 2019/943 and (EU) 2024/1789.</p> |  | |
| <p>(53) Considering that the selected scenario and its underlying assumptions play a major role in the Union-wide network development planning process, the Commission should play a central role in defining it. That should help streamline the inputs and ensure better compliance with the Union's policy targets. It is also appropriate for the Union-wide ten-year network development plans to be based on one central scenario, with possible sensitivity analyses to the scenario in case of change of external conditions, because the main purpose of the scenario is to provide a common basis for the assessment of the infrastructure gaps and benefits of candidate projects of common interest and project of mutual interest. The increased importance of the central scenario</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>requires close involvement of the ENTSO for Electricity, the ENNOH, and the ENTSO for Gas, the Member States and the Agency to ensure that relevant data and information is provided, and that the scenario is aligned with national developments. The Stakeholder Reference Group should continue providing coordinated stakeholder input and advice on scenario development.</p> | | |
| <p>(54) The process of identifying infrastructure needs should play a stronger role in guiding planned infrastructure investments. Compared to current practice, the process should be broader and consider more thoroughly cross-sectoral links and non-wire solutions, in order to clearly identify what solutions best serve the energy system in achieving the energy and climate goals. The Agency should be more involved in setting the framework for the process and its verification to increase acceptance of the subsequent solutions necessary to address the gaps. The Agency should develop methodologies for the process of identifying infrastructure needs to be conducted by the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH in order to ensure that the outcomes are sufficiently robust and in accordance with the principles set out in this Regulation. The ultimate endorsement of the needs identification report by the decision-making body of the TEN-E Group should be a strong signal to project promoters where the possible projects are needed.</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>(55) Making the process of identifying infrastructure needs more comprehensive and granular should enable better matchmaking of planned projects with the needs for transmission capacity expansion. It should also enable a follow-up process leading to identifying new solutions which could address unmatched needs. TSOs should be the primary entity to suggest possible projects to address the gaps, but alternative solutions coming from other stakeholders should also be considered. Therefore, a needs matching process should be an outcome of regional cooperation and involve relevant stakeholders in the discussions. The central role of the Commission in the process should enhance regional cooperation and involvement of Member States, national regulatory authorities, project promoters and other relevant stakeholders in the effort to come up with the most adequate projects, be it non-wire or infrastructure solutions to match any possible unaddressed needs. As a last resort, the Commission should have the right to launch a call for proposals to overcome insufficient progress in addressing persisting gaps. It should be ensured that eligible projects are included as soon as possible in the subsequent national development plans, Union-wide ten-year network development plan and the Union list following the applicable procedures.</p> |  | |
| | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>(56) An energy system-wide cost-benefit analysis is necessary to ensure that infrastructure planning reflects the evolving needs of an integrated and decarbonised system, by consistently assessing all relevant costs and benefits in order to identify the most efficient solutions for achieving Union energy and climate objectives. Non-wire solutions, such as dynamic line and transformer rating, advanced power flow control systems or digital twin platforms should play a greater role in addressing network needs both in operational and expansion terms and should therefore also be covered by the energy system wide cost-benefit analysis.</p> |  | |
| <p>(57) The Union-wide ten-year network development plan should provide a comprehensive overview of planned infrastructure projects having cross-border impacts in the Union. Non-wire and flexibility solutions should form an intrinsic part of the plan so that it provides a full picture of future investments necessary for optimal operation of the electricity and hydrogen networks. A specific consideration should also be given to projects improving security and resilience of the network.</p> | | |
| <p>(58) In carrying out their tasks preceding the adoption of the Union-wide ten-year network development plans, the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH, the Agency and the Commission</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>should conduct an extensive consultation process involving all relevant stakeholders. Those stakeholders should include the European entity for the cooperation of electricity distribution system operators in the European Union, associations involved in electricity, gas and hydrogen markets, heating and cooling, carbon capture and storage and carbon capture and utilisation stakeholders, independent aggregators, demand-response operators, organisations involved in energy efficiency solutions, industrial sectors including transport, digitalisation, and data, as well as energy consumer associations, the European Scientific Advisory Board on Climate Change and civil society representatives, as relevant. The Stakeholder Reference Group has proven to be an effective forum of stakeholder cooperation and its further contribution to the Union wide ten-year network development plan should be supported. The consultation should be open and transparent and should be organised in a timely manner to allow for stakeholders' feedback in the preparation of key phases of the Union-wide ten-year network development plans, such as infrastructure gaps identification and the cost-benefit analysis methodology for project assessment. The ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH should give due consideration to the input received from stakeholders during consultations and should explain how they took that input into account when submitting final proposals.</p> |  | |
| | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>(59) Energy infrastructure planning should properly reflect sector coupling and cross-linkages between energy carriers. The scenarios' development, the process of identifying infrastructure needs and the methodologies for cost-benefit analysis should be based on an integrated, long-term and optimised 'one energy system' approach and modelling which uses common assumptions and consistent methodologies. Greater coordination of infrastructure planning across sectors should help prioritise and deploy new infrastructure solutions in a more optimal manner.</p> |  | |
| <p>(60) The importance of ensuring that only infrastructure projects for which no reasonable alternative solutions exist may receive the status of project of common interest or project of mutual interest also entails that the 'energy efficiency first' principle should be taken into account in the energy infrastructure planning and in the work of the regional groups in establishing the regional lists of proposed projects. In accordance with the energy efficiency first principle, all relevant alternatives to new infrastructure for ensuring future infrastructure needs, should be considered. Special consideration should be given to non-wire or digital solutions, use of demand response or non-fossil flexibility, which could improve overall efficiency of the networks. To this aim, these solutions should be considered with priority by</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>system operators when assessing projects for system expansion. <u>Such solutions may complement necessary physical grid reinforcements, but might not be sufficient alone to address identified system needs.</u> A cost-efficient utilisation of networks should also be incentivised, notably through the use of locational and time-of-use price signals in network charges and support schemes.</p> | | |
| <p>(61) To achieve the Union’s 2050 climate neutrality objective, the Union needs to significantly scale up renewable electricity generation. Investment in offshore renewable energy should be increased with the aim of reaching at least 350 GW of offshore renewable generation installed in accordance with the cumulative non-binding regional Member States offshore renewable goals updated in December 2024 and supported in the Commission Communication of 24 October 2023 entitled ‘Delivering on the EU offshore renewable energy ambitions’³⁰. The first Offshore network development plans (ONDPs) published by the ENTSO for Electricity in January 2024 made an important step forward by anchoring Member States offshore regional ambitions in offshore network planning. That should support the identification of cross-border offshore renewable projects, including hybrids and cross-border radials, to ensure an optimized and cost-efficient</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>development of offshore networks at sea-basin level. The strategic long-term logic included in the ONDPs should be extended to onshore electricity grids, as well as hydrogen networks.</p> <hr/> <p>30 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions of 24 October 2023, Delivering on the EU offshore renewable energy ambitions (COM(2023) 668 final).</p> |  | |
| <p>(62) The assessment of the benefits and costs of the priority offshore grid corridors for renewable energy should support Member States to carry out a preliminary cost-sharing analysis at priority offshore grid corridor level, in order to underpin the subsequent joint political commitments for cross-border offshore grid projects. The Commission guidance on collaborative investment frameworks for offshore projects of 27 June 2024 should inform the assessment of the benefits and costs of the priority offshore grid corridors for renewable energy and may be updated by the Commission, with the involvement of the Member States, relevant TSOs, the Agency and the national regulatory authorities, when considered relevant.</p> | | |
| | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>(63) The costs of the development, construction, operation and maintenance of projects of common interest should in general be borne by the users of the infrastructure. The cost allocation should ensure that end-users are not disproportionately burdened, especially where that could lead to energy poverty. Projects of common interest should be eligible for cross-border cost allocation where an assessment of market demand, or of the expected effects on tariffs, indicates that costs cannot be expected to be recovered by the tariffs paid by the infrastructure users.</p> |  | |
| <p>(64) In an increasingly interconnected internal energy market, clear and transparent rules for cross-border cost-allocation are necessary in order to accelerate investment in cross-border infrastructure and in projects with a cross-border impact. As cross-border energy infrastructure becomes more integrated, more projects deliver benefits beyond the territories where they are built. That makes fair and transparent cost-sharing essential to avoid disproportionate burdens on local consumers. The discussion on the appropriate allocation of costs should be based on the analysis of the costs and benefits of an infrastructure project carried out on the basis of a harmonised methodology for energy-system-wide analysis, using the central scenario and any sensitivity analysis established for the purpose of the Union-wide ten-year network development plans prepared</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>pursuant to Regulations (EU) 2019/943 and (EU) 2024/1789, allowing for a robust analysis of the contribution of the project of common interest or mutual interest to the Union energy policies of decarbonisation, market integration, competition, sustainability and security of supply. Member States and national regulatory authorities in which at least 10 % of the benefits of a project are located should participate in discussions on cost allocation to ensure that the project can be implemented and its benefits delivered. Furthermore, cross-border cost allocation agreements should consider ex-post arrangements to ensure fair and proportionate participation of non-host countries, provided that such adjustments are clearly defined and structured in a way that safeguards investment certainty.</p> |  | |
| <p>(65) It is essential to ensure a stable financing framework for the development of projects of common interest while minimising the need for financial support, and at the same time to encourage interested investors, with appropriate incentives and financial mechanisms. In deciding on cross-border cost-allocation, national regulatory authorities should allocate efficiently incurred investment costs, as relevant in view of their national approaches and methodologies for similar infrastructure, across borders in their entirety and include them in the national tariffs. Afterwards, where relevant, national regulatory authorities should determine whether their impact on national</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>tariffs could represent a disproportionate burden for consumers in their respective Member States. The national regulatory authorities should avoid the risks of double support for projects by taking into account actual or estimated charges and revenues. Those charges and revenues should be taken into account only in so far as they relate to the projects and are designed to cover the costs concerned.</p> |  | |
| <p>(66) To facilitate discussions on cost-sharing between the relevant Member States and third countries a possibility of bundling projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest should be provided. By allowing groups of Member States to treat a project bundle as mutually beneficial, win-win solutions can be fostered, risks and transaction costs in negotiations reduced, and the likelihood of implementation can be increased. Additional support at Union level, for example through the Connecting Europe Facility, or at regional level using congestion income, could further facilitate such agreements and promote the timely delivery of priority infrastructure. <u>In order to facilitate the identification and discussions for such project bundles, relevant voluntary regional work on the project bundle cost-benefit analysis and cost-sharing may be taken into account by Member States and national regulatory authorities.</u></p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>(67) Regulation (EU) 2019/943 lays down, in Article 19(2), three priority objectives for the use of revenues resulting from the allocation of cross-zonal capacity, namely: (a) guaranteeing the actual availability of the allocated capacity, including firmness compensation; (b) maintaining or increasing cross-zonal capacities through the optimisation of existing interconnectors or by covering costs resulting from network investments relevant to reducing interconnector congestion; and (c) compensating offshore renewable electricity generation plant operators in the circumstances set out therein. TSOs should ensure that all three priority objectives are fulfilled, including the objective in point (b). In order to facilitate the financing of projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest that reduce interconnector congestion and to bring predictability and transparency to discussions on cross-border cost allocation decisions pursuant to Article 16 of this Regulation, it is appropriate to require TSOs to set aside a limited share of congestion income for such investments. That requirement is without prejudice to the responsibility of TSOs to decide on funding priorities, under the supervision of regulatory authorities and in accordance with the methodology approved pursuant to Article 19(4) of Regulation (EU) 2019/943. That requirement should not apply where it can be demonstrated that there is no need for additional cross-border</p> |  | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| capacity to be built at the borders of the Member State concerned. | | |
| | | |
| (68) Where there is no TSO in a Member State, the references to TSOs throughout this Regulation should apply mutatis mutandis to distribution system operators (DSO). | | |
| | | |
| (69) The internal energy market legislation requires that tariffs for access to networks provide appropriate incentives for investment. However, several types of projects of common interest are likely to have externalities that might not be fully captured in, and recovered through, the regular tariff system. In applying the internal energy market legislation, national regulatory authorities should ensure a stable and predictable regulatory and financial framework with incentives for projects of common interest, including long-term incentives, that are commensurate with the level of specific risk of the project. That framework should apply in particular to cross-border projects, innovative transmission technologies for electricity allowing for the large scale integration of renewable energy, of distributed energy resources or of demand response in interconnected networks, and energy technology and digitalisation projects, which are either likely to incur higher risks than similar projects located within one Member State or which promise higher benefits for the Union. | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>Moreover, projects with high operational expenditure should also have access to appropriate incentives for investment. In particular, offshore grids for renewable energy, which serve the dual functionality of electricity interconnectors and connecting renewable offshore generation projects, are likely to incur higher risks than comparable onshore infrastructure projects, due to their intrinsic connection to generation assets which brings regulatory risks, financing risks such as the need for anticipatory investments, market risks and risks pertaining to the use of new innovative technologies.</p> |  | |
| <p>(70) Regulation (EU) 2022/869 has demonstrated the added value of leveraging private funding through significant Union financial assistance to allow the implementation of projects of Union significance. In the light of the economic and financial situation and budgetary constraints, targeted support should continue under the multiannual financial framework, also with a view to de-risking projects and crowding in private investment, in order to maximise the impact of public funding and its benefits to Union citizens and to attract new investors into the energy infrastructure priority corridors and areas set out in Annex I to this Regulation, while keeping the budgetary contribution of the Union to a minimum.</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>(71) Projects of common interest should be eligible for Union financial assistance for studies and, under certain conditions, for works pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2021/1153 of the European Parliament and of the Council³¹ in the form of grants or innovative financial instruments to ensure that tailor-made support can be provided to those projects of common interest which are not viable under the existing regulatory framework and market conditions. It is important to avoid any distortion of competition, in particular between projects contributing to the achievement of the same Union priority corridor. Such financial assistance should ensure the necessary synergies with other Union funds available for financing smart energy distribution networks, and with the Union renewable energy financing mechanism established by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2020/1294³².</p> <hr/> <p>31 Regulation (EU) 2021/1153 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2021 establishing the Connecting Europe Facility and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1316/2013 and (EU) No 283/2014 (OJ L 249, 14.7.2021, p. 38, http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2021/1153/oj).</p> <p>32 Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2020/1294 of 15 September 2020 on the Union renewable energy financing mechanism (OJ L 303, 17.9.2020, p. 1, http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg_impl/2020/1294/oj).</p> |  | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>(72) A three-step logic should apply to investments in projects of common interest. First, the market should have the priority to invest. Second, where investments are not made by the market, regulatory solutions should be explored, the relevant regulatory framework should be adjusted where necessary, and the correct application of the relevant regulatory framework should be ensured. Third, where the first two steps are not sufficient to deliver the necessary investments in projects of common interest, it should be possible to grant Union financial assistance where the project of common interest fulfils the applicable eligibility criteria.</p> |  | |
| <p>(73) Projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest should not be eligible for Union financial assistance where the project promoters, operators or investors are in one of the situations of exclusion referred to in Article 138 of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509 of the European Parliament and of the Council³³, such as in cases of a conviction for fraud, corruption or conduct related to a criminal organisation. It should be possible to remove a project of common interest from the Union list if its inclusion in that list was based on incorrect information which was a determining factor for that inclusion, or if the project does not comply with Union law. For a project of common interest located in the Member</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>States benefiting from a derogation under this Regulation, those Member States should ensure, when supporting any applications for financing pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2022/869 for such projects, that the projects do not benefit directly or indirectly persons or entities that are in one of the situation of exclusion as referred to in Article 138 of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.</p> <hr/> <p>33 Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 September 2024 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union (OJ L, 2024/2509, 26.9.2024, http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2024/2509/oj).</p> |  | |
| <p>(74) Grants for works related to projects of mutual interest should be available under the same conditions as for projects of common interest where they contribute to the Union's overall energy and climate policy objectives and where the decarbonisation objectives of the third country are consistent with the Paris Agreement.</p> | | |
| <p>(75) The Union should facilitate energy projects in disadvantaged, less connected, peripheral, outermost or isolated regions to enable access to the trans-European energy networks in order to</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| accelerate the decarbonisation process and reduce dependency on fossil fuels. | | |
| <p>(76) In accordance with the European Council conclusions of 4 February 2011 that no Member State should remain isolated from the European gas and electricity networks after 2015 or see its energy security jeopardised by lack of the appropriate connections, this Regulation aims to ensure access to the trans-European energy networks by ending the energy isolation of Cyprus and Malta, that are still not interconnected to the trans-European gas network. That objective should be attained by allowing projects under development or planning that have been granted the status of project of common interest under Regulation (EU) 2022/869 to maintain their status until Cyprus and Malta are interconnected to the trans-European gas network or until 31 December 2029, whichever is earliest. Apart from contributing to the development of the renewable energy market, the flexibility and resilience of the energy system, and the security of supply, those projects would ensure access to future energy markets, including hydrogen, and contribute to achieving the Union's overall energy and climate policy objectives.</p> | | |
| | | |
| <p>(77) To ensure consistency of proposed changes under this Regulation with the Union framework</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>on electricity, gases and hydrogen markets, corresponding amendments are proposed to Articles 3 and 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/942 of the European Parliament and of the Council³⁴, Article 48 of Regulation (EU) 2019/943 and Articles 60 and 61 of Regulation (EU) 2024/1789. Those amendments relate to the use of the central scenario in the Union-wide ten-year network development plan, consideration of non-wire solutions and other alternatives to system expansion and clarifying the time scope of the plans. Those Regulations should therefore be amended accordingly.</p> <hr/> <p>³⁴ Regulation (EU) 2019/942 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 establishing a European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (OJ L 158, 14.6.2019, p. 22, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2019/942/oj.)</p> |  | |
| <p>(78) In order to ensure the timely development of essential energy infrastructure projects for the Union, the third Union list of projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest should remain in force until the first Union list of projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest established pursuant to this Regulation enters into force. Moreover, to enable the development, monitoring and financing of the projects of</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>common interest on the third Union list pursuant to the Regulation (EU) 2022/869, certain provisions of Regulation (EU) 2022/869 should remain in force and produce effects until the entry into force of the first Union list of projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest established pursuant to this Regulation.</p> | | |
| <p>(79) In order to ensure that the Union list is limited to projects which contribute the most to the implementation of the strategic energy infrastructure priority corridors and areas set out in Annex I to this Regulation, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission in order to amend the annexes to this Regulation so as to establish and review the Union list, while respecting the right of the Member States to approve projects on the Union list related to their territories.</p> | | |
| <p>(80) <u>The power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to develop the central scenario, which is a basis for the Union level network planning. In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of the provisions of this Regulation in respect of the development of a central scenario, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with</u></p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <u>Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council.</u> | | |
| (81) The power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to specify the conditions under which TSOs may use congestion income and the conditions under which the objective of Article 19(2), point (b), of Regulation (EU) 2019/943 is considered adequately fulfilled. | | |
| <p>(82) It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making³⁵. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.</p> <p>³⁵ Interinstitutional Agreement between the European Parliament, the Council of the European Union and the European Commission on Better Law-Making (OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/agree_interinst/2016/512/oj).</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>(83) The discussions in the Groups are instrumental for the Commission to adopt the delegated acts establishing the Union list. Therefore, it is appropriate that, to the extent possible, the European Parliament and the Council are informed about the results, and may send experts to the meetings of Groups in accordance with the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law Making. Taking into account the need to ensure the achievement of the objectives of this Regulation and, in view of the number of projects on Union lists so far, the total number of projects on the Union list should remain manageable and therefore should not significantly exceed 220.</p> | | |
| <p>(84) Therefore, Regulations (EU) 2019/942, (EU) 2019/943 and (EU) 2024/1789 should be amended accordingly, and Regulation (EU) 2022/869 should be repealed.</p> | | |
| <p>(85) Since the objectives of this Regulation, namely the development and interoperability of trans-European energy networks and connection to such networks that contribute to ensuring climate change mitigation, in particular achieving the Union's targets for energy and climate and its climate neutrality objective by 2050 at the latest, and to ensuring interconnections, energy security,</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>market and system integration, competition that benefits all Member States, and affordable energy prices, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States but can rather, by reason of the scale and effects of the proposed action, be better achieved at Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives,</p> | | |
| | | |
| HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION: | | |
| | | |
| CHAPTER I | | |
| | | |
| General provisions | | |
| | | |
| <i>Article 1</i> | | |
| | | |
| <i>Subject matter and scope</i> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>1. This Regulation lays down guidelines for the timely development and interoperability of the priority corridors and areas of trans-European energy infrastructure (energy infrastructure priority corridors and areas) set out in Annex I, that contribute to ensuring climate change mitigation, in particular achieving the Union's targets for energy and climate and its climate neutrality objective by 2050 at the latest, and to ensuring interconnections, energy security, market and system integration and competition that benefits all Member States, as well as affordability of energy prices.</p> | | |
| | | |
| <p>2. In particular, this Regulation:</p> | | |
| | | |
| <p>(a) provides for the identification of projects of common interest and of projects of mutual interest on the Union list;</p> | | |
| | | |
| <p>(b) facilitates the timely implementation of projects on the Union list by streamlining, coordinating more closely and accelerating permit-granting processes, and by enhancing transparency and public participation;</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| (c) provides rules for the cross-border allocation of costs and risk-related incentives for projects on the Union list; | | |
| (d) determines the conditions for eligibility of projects on the Union list for Union financial assistance. | | |
| <i>Article 2</i> | | |
| <i>Definitions</i> | | |
| <p>For the purposes of this Regulation, in addition to the definitions in Regulations (EU) 2018/1999, (EU) 2019/942 and (EU) 2019/943 and (EU) 2024/1789, and in Directive (EU) 2018/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council³⁶ and Directives (EU) 2019/944 and (EU) 2024/1788 the following definitions apply:</p> <hr/> <p>³⁶ Directive (EU) 2018/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2018 on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources (OJ L 328, 21.12.2018, p. 82, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2018/2001/oj).</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>(1) ‘energy infrastructure’ means any physical equipment or facility falling under the energy infrastructure categories set out in Annex II which is located within the Union, or linking the Union and third countries;</p> | | |
| <p>(2) ‘energy infrastructure bottleneck’ means limitation of physical flows in an energy system due to insufficient transmission capacity, which includes, inter alia, the absence of infrastructure;</p> | | |
| <p>(3) ‘comprehensive decision’ means the binding document issued by the national competent authority, available to project promoters in writing or electronic form, comprised of, or containing, the binding decision or set of binding decisions taken by a relevant Member State authority or authorities other than courts or tribunals, that determines whether or not a project promoter is authorised to build the energy infrastructure to realise a project of common interest or a project of mutual interest by having the possibility to start, or procure and start, the necessary construction works (ready-to-build phase) without prejudice to any decision taken in the context of an administrative appeal procedure;</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| (4) 'project' means one or several lines, pipelines, facilities, equipment or installations falling under the energy infrastructure categories set out in Annex II; | | |
| (5) 'project of common interest' means a project which is necessary to implement the energy infrastructure priority corridors and areas set out in Annex I and which is on the Union list; | | |
| (6) 'project of mutual interest' means a project promoted by the Union in cooperation with a third country, which is supported by the governments of the directly affected countries, contributes to the Union's 2050 climate neutrality objective, is on the Union list, and falls under one of the infrastructure categories for electricity set out in points (1)(a), (d) or (h) of Annex II, and links the Union electricity system with the electricity grid of a third country, or falls under one of the infrastructure categories for hydrogen set out in point (2)(a) or (d) of Annex II, or falls under one of the infrastructure categories for CO2 set out in points (4)(a) or (c) of that Annex II; | | |
| (7) 'competing projects' means projects that fully or partially address the same identified infrastructure need; | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| (8) 'project promoter' means one of the following: | | |
| (a) a transmission system operator (TSO), a distribution system operator (DSO), a hydrogen network operator (HNO) or another operator or investor developing a project on the Union list; | | |
| (b) in the case of more than one such TSO, DSO, HNO, other operator or investor, or any group thereof, the entity with legal personality under the applicable national law which has been designated by contractual arrangement between them and which has the capacity to undertake legal obligations and assume financial liability on behalf of the parties to the contractual arrangement; | | |
| (9) 'smart electricity grid' means an electricity network, including on islands that are not interconnected or not sufficiently connected to the trans-European energy networks, that enables cost-efficient integration and active control of the behaviour and actions of all users connected to it, including generators, consumers and prosumers, in order to ensure an economically efficient and sustainable power system with low losses and a high level of integration of renewable sources, of security of supply and of safety, and in which the grid operator can digitally monitor the actions of | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>the users connected to it, and information and communication technologies for communicating with related grid operators, generators, energy storage facilities, and consumers or prosumers, with a view to transmitting and distributing electricity in a sustainable, cost-efficient and secure way;</p> | | |
| <p>(10) ‘national regulatory authority’ means a national regulatory authority designated in accordance with Article 76(1) of Directive (EU) 2024/1788 or a regulatory authority at national level designated in accordance with Article 57 of Directive (EU) 2019/944;</p> | | |
| <p>(11) ‘relevant national regulatory authority’ means the national regulatory authority in the Member States hosting the projects and in Member States to which the project provides a significant positive impact;</p> | | |
| <p>(12) ‘authority concerned’ means an authority that, under national law, is competent to issue various permits and authorisations related to the planning, design and construction of immovable assets necessary to complete a project of common interest or a project of mutual interest, including energy infrastructure in itself, and the authority competent to issue permits and authorisations</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| related to the works necessary to complete the project; | | |
| (13) ‘works’ means the purchase, supply and deployment of components, systems and services including software, the carrying out of development, repurposing, upgrading and construction and installation activities relating to a project, the acceptance of installations and the launching of a project; | | |
| (14) ‘studies’ means activities required to prepare project implementation, such as preparatory, mapping , feasibility, evaluation, testing and validation studies, including software, and any other technical support measure including prior action to define and develop a project and decide on its financing, such as reconnaissance of the sites concerned and preparation of the financial package; | | |
| (15) ‘commissioning’ means the process of bringing a project into operation once it has been constructed; | | |
| (16) ‘dedicated hydrogen assets’ means hydrogen infrastructure designed for the exclusive use or transport or storage of pure hydrogen without the need for any further adaptation works, | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| including pipeline networks or storage facilities that are newly constructed, repurposed from natural gas assets, or both; | | |
| (17) ‘repurposing’ means the technical upgrading or modification of existing natural gas infrastructure in order to ensure that it is dedicated to the exclusive use of hydrogen; | | |
| (18) ‘climate adaptation’ means a process that ensures that resilience to the potential adverse impacts of climate change of energy infrastructure is achieved through a climate vulnerability and risk assessment, including through relevant adaptation measures; | | |
| (19) ‘non-wire solutions’ means investments in the energy infrastructure in electricity, which can increase the available grid - transmission capacity or improve the efficiency of grid operation by deploying grid enhancing technologies, including digital solutions. | | |
| CHAPTER II | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest | | The bureaucratic burden for PCI projects should be reduced, while ensuring the implementation of PCI projects should be supported more strongly. |
| <i>Article 3</i> | | |
| <i>Union list of projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest</i> | | |
| 1. Regional groups ('Groups') shall be established in accordance with the process set out in Section 1 of Annex III. The membership of each Group shall be based on each priority corridor and area and their respective geographical coverage as set out in Annex I. The Groups can merge or meet in different configurations as necessary. The cross-regional meeting configuration of all Groups shall be the TEN-E Group. Decision-making power in the Groups shall be restricted to Member States and the Commission (together referred to as 'the decision-making body') and shall be based on consensus. | | |
| 2. The TEN-E Group shall adopt rules of procedure, having regard to the provisions set out | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| in Annex III. Those rules of procedure shall apply to all Groups. | | |
| 3. The decision-making body of each Group shall adopt a regional list of projects drawn up in accordance with: the process set out in Section 2 of Annex III; the contribution of each project to implementing the energy infrastructure priority corridors and areas set out in Annex I; and their fulfilment of the criteria set out in Article 4. | | |
| Where the decision-making body of a Group draws up its regional list: | | |
| (a) each individual proposal for a project shall require the approval of the Member States to whose territory the project relates; where a Member State does not give its approval, it shall present its substantiated reasons to the decision-making body; | | |
| (b) it shall take into account advice from the Commission with the aim of having a manageable total number of projects on the Union list. | | |
| 4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 23 to supplement this Regulation by establishing the | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| Union list, subject to Article 172, second paragraph, of the Treaty. | | |
| The Union list shall be established every two years, on the basis of the regional lists adopted by the decision-making bodies of the Groups established pursuant to Section 1, point (1), of Annex III, following the procedure set out in paragraph 3 of this Article. | | |
| The Commission shall adopt the delegated act establishing the first Union list pursuant to this Regulation by 30 November 2029. | | |
| If a delegated act adopted by the Commission pursuant to this paragraph cannot enter into force due to an objection expressed either by the European Parliament or the Council pursuant to Article 23(6), the Commission shall immediately convene the Groups in order to draw up new regional lists taking into account the reasons for the objection. The Commission shall adopt a new delegated act establishing the Union list as soon as possible. | | |
| 5. When establishing the Union list by combining the regional lists referred to in | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| paragraph 3, the Commission shall, taking due account of the deliberations of the Groups: | | |
| | | |
| (a) ensure that only projects that fulfil the criteria referred to in Article 4 are included; | | |
| | | |
| (b) ensure cross-regional consistency; | | |
| | | |
| (c) take into account the opinions of Member States referred to in Section 2, point (10), of Annex III; | | |
| | | |
| (d) aim to ensure a manageable total number of projects on the Union list. | | |
| | | |
| 6. Projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest that fall under the energy infrastructure categories set out in points (1)(a), (b), (c), (d), (f) and (h) of Annex II, as relevant, shall become an integral part of the relevant regional investment plans adopted in accordance with Article 34 of Regulation (EU) 2019/943 and of the relevant national ten-year network development plans adopted in accordance with Article 51 of Directive (EU) 2019/944 and other national infrastructure plans, as appropriate. Projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest that fall under the energy infrastructure | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>categories set out in point (2) of Annex II, as relevant, shall become an integral part of the ten-year network development plan for hydrogen under Article 55 of Directive (EU) 2024/1788 and other national infrastructure plans, as appropriate. Those projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest shall be conferred the highest possible priority within each of those national plans. This paragraph shall not apply to competing projects or projects that have not reached a sufficient degree of maturity to provide a project-specific cost-benefit analysis as referred to in Section 2, point (1)(d), of Annex III.</p> |  | |
| <p>7. Projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest that fall under the energy infrastructure categories set out in points (1)(a), (b), (c), (d), (f) and (h) and point (2) of Annex II, as relevant, and that are competing projects or projects that have not reached a sufficient degree of maturity to provide a project-specific cost-benefit analysis as referred to in Section 2, point (1)(d), of Annex III may be included in the relevant regional investment plans, the national ten-year network development plans and other national infrastructure plans, as appropriate, as projects under consideration.</p> | | |
| <p><i>Article 4</i></p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <i>Criteria for the assessment of projects by the Groups</i> | | |
| 1. A project of common interest shall meet the following general criteria: | | |
| (a) the project is necessary for at least one of the energy infrastructure priority corridors and areas set out in Annex I; | | |
| (b) the potential overall benefits of the project, assessed in accordance with the relevant specific criteria in paragraph 3, outweigh its costs, including in the longer term; | | |
| (c) the project meets any of the following criteria: | | |
| (i) it involves at least two Member States by directly or indirectly, via interconnection with a third country, crossing the border of two or more Member States; | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| (ii) it is located in the territory of one Member State, either inland or offshore, including islands, and has a significant cross-border impact as set out in point (1) of Annex IV. | | |
| 2. A project of mutual interest shall meet all of the following general criteria: | | |
| (a) the project contributes significantly to the objectives referred to in Article 1(1), and those of the third country, in particular by not hindering the capacity of the third country to phase out fossil fuel generation assets for its domestic consumption, and to sustainability; | | |
| (b) the potential overall benefits of the project, assessed in accordance with the relevant specific criteria in paragraph 3, for the Union, or cumulatively for the Union and the Energy Community contracting party or the EEA country directly involved in the project, outweigh its costs for the Union, or cumulatively for the Union and the Energy Community contracting party or EEA country, as relevant, including in the longer term; | | |
| (c) the project connects directly is located in the territory of at least one Member State connecting it directly with the territory of a third | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>country by connecting directly the relevant Member State with the first connection point in the electricity network of the third country or the first hydrogen or CO₂ connection point in the third country, and has a significant cross-border impact as set out in point (2) of Annex IV;</p> | | |
| <p>(d) for the part of the project located in Member State territory, the project is in accordance with Directives (EU) 2019/944 and (EU) 2024/1788 where it falls within the infrastructure categories set out in points (1) and (2) of Annex II to this Regulation;</p> | | |
| <p>(e) there is a high level of convergence of the policy framework of the third country involved and legal enforcement mechanisms are demonstrated in order to support the policy objectives of the Union, in particular to ensure:</p> | | |
| <p>(i) the well-functioning of the internal energy market in the Union;</p> | | |
| <p>(ii) network security and security of supply in the Union based, inter alia, on diverse sources, cooperation and solidarity;</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>(iii) an energy system, including production, transmission and distribution, moving towards the objective of climate neutrality, in accordance with the Paris Agreement and the Union's targets for energy and climate and its 2050 climate neutrality objective, in particular, avoiding carbon leakage;</p> | | |
| <p>(f) the third country involved supports the priority status of the project, as set out in Article 7, and other investments in the third country necessary for the benefits of the project to materialise as referred to in point (b) of this paragraph, and commits explicitly to complying with a similar timeline for accelerated implementation and other policy and regulatory support measures as applied to projects of common interest in the Union.</p> | | |
| <p>As regards projects for the storage of carbon dioxide falling under the energy infrastructure category set out in point (4)(c) of Annex II, the project shall be necessary to allow the cross-border transport and storage of carbon dioxide and the third country where the project is located shall have an adequate legal framework based on demonstrated effective enforcement mechanisms to ensure that standards and safeguards apply to the project, which prevent any carbon dioxide leaks. In relation to climate, human health and ecosystems, the safety and effectiveness of the</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>permanent storage of carbon-dioxide shall be ensured, and shall at least attain the same level as those provided by Union law.</p> | | |
| <p>3. The following specific criteria shall apply to projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest, as relevant, falling within specific energy infrastructure categories:</p> | | |
| <p>(a) for electricity transmission, distribution and storage projects falling under the energy infrastructure categories set out in points (1)(a), (b), (c), (d), (f) and (h) of Annex II, the project contributes significantly to sustainability through the integration of renewable energy into the grid, the transmission or distribution of renewable generation to major consumption centres and storage sites, and to reducing energy curtailment, where applicable, and contributes to at least one of the following specific criteria:</p> | | |
| <p>(i) market integration, including through lifting the energy isolation of at least one Member State and reducing energy infrastructure bottlenecks, competition, interoperability and system flexibility;</p> | | |
| <p>(ii) security of supply, including through interoperability, system flexibility, physical</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <u>security and</u> cybersecurity, appropriate connections and secure and reliable system operation; | | |
| (b) for smart electricity grid projects falling under the energy infrastructure category set out in point (1)(g) of Annex II, the project contributes significantly to sustainability through the integration of renewable energy into the grid, and contributes to at least two of the following specific criteria: | | |
| (i) security of supply, including through efficiency and interoperability of electricity transmission and distribution in day-to-day network operation, avoidance of congestion, and integration and involvement of network users; | | |
| (ii) market integration, including through efficient system operation and use of interconnectors; | | |
| (iii) network security, flexibility and quality of supply, including through higher uptake of innovation in balancing, flexibility markets, cybersecurity, monitoring, system control and error correction; | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| (iv) smart sector integration, either in the energy system through linking various energy carriers and sectors, or in a wider way, favouring synergies and coordination between the energy, transport and telecommunication sectors; | | |
| (c) for projects falling under the infrastructure category set out in point (1)(e) of Annex II, the project contributes to the following specific criteria: | | |
| (i) security of supply, including by protecting assets from risks and contributing to the measures identified pursuant Articles 7 and 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/941 on risk-preparedness in the electricity sector; | | |
| (ii) network security, including through measures facilitating a higher degree of physical security and cybersecurity, monitoring, and system control; | | |
| (d) for carbon dioxide transport and storage projects falling under the energy infrastructure categories set out in point (4) of Annex II, the project contributes significantly to sustainability through the reduction of carbon dioxide emissions | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| in the connected industrial installations and contributes to all of the following specific criteria: | | |
| (i) avoiding carbon dioxide emissions while maintaining security of supply ; | | |
| (ii) increasing the resilience and security of transport and storage of carbon dioxide; | | |
| (iii) the efficient use of resources, by enabling the connection of multiple carbon dioxide sources and storage sites via common infrastructure and minimising environmental burden and risks; | | |
| (e) for hydrogen projects falling under the energy infrastructure categories set out in point (2) of Annex II, the project contributes significantly to sustainability, including by reducing greenhouse gas emissions, by enhancing the deployment of renewable or low carbon hydrogen, with an emphasis on hydrogen from renewable sources in particular in end-use applications, such as hard-to-abate sectors, in which more energy efficient solutions are not feasible, and supporting variable renewable power generation by offering flexibility, storage solutions, or both, and the project contributes significantly to at least one of the following specific criteria: | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| (i) market integration, including by connecting existing or emerging hydrogen networks of Member States, or otherwise contributing to the emergence of an Union-wide network for the transport and storage of hydrogen, and ensuring interoperability of connected systems; | | |
| (ii) security of supply and flexibility, including through appropriate connections and facilitating secure and reliable system operation; | | |
| (iii) competition, including by allowing access to multiple supply sources and network users on a transparent and non-discriminatory basis; | | |
| (f) for electrolysers falling under the energy infrastructure category set out in point (3) of Annex II, the project contributes significantly to all of the following specific criteria: | | |
| (i) sustainability, including by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and enhancing the deployment of renewable or low-carbon hydrogen in particular from renewable sources, as well as synthetic fuels of those origins; | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| (ii) security of supply, including by contributing to secure, efficient and reliable system operation, or by offering storage, flexibility solutions, or both, such as demand side response and balancing services; | | |
| | | |
| (iii) enabling flexibility services such as demand response and storage by facilitating smart energy sector integration through the creation of links to other energy carriers and sectors. | | |
| | | |
| 4. For projects falling under the energy infrastructure categories set out in Annex II, the criteria set out in paragraph 3 of this Article shall be assessed in accordance with the indicators set out in points (3) to (8) of Annex IV. | | |
| | | |
| 5. In order to facilitate the assessment of all projects that could be eligible as projects of common interest and that could be included in a regional list, each Group shall assess each project's contribution to the implementation of the same energy infrastructure priority corridor or area in a transparent and objective manner. Each Group shall determine its assessment method on the basis of the aggregated contribution to the criteria referred to in paragraph 3. That assessment shall lead to a ranking of projects for internal use of the Group. Neither the regional list nor the Union list | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| shall contain any ranking, nor shall the ranking be used for any subsequent purpose except as referred to in Section 2, point (15), of Annex III. | | |
| In assessing projects, in order to ensure a consistent assessment approach among the Groups, each Group shall give due consideration to: | | |
| (a) the urgency and the contribution of each proposed project in order to meet the Union's targets for energy and climate and its 2050 climate neutrality objective, market integration, competition, sustainability, and security of supply; | | |
| (b) the complementarity of each proposed project with other proposed projects, including competing or potentially competing projects; | | |
| (c) possible synergies with priority corridors and thematic areas identified under trans-European networks for transport and telecommunications; | | |
| (d) for proposed projects that are, at the time of the assessment, projects on the Union list, the progress of their implementation and their compliance with the reporting and transparency obligations provided by this Regulation; | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|--|--|
| (e) any third country direct or indirect ownership as beneficiary, shareholder or ultimate beneficiary as project promoter in any of the proposed projects. | | |
| As regards smart electricity grids falling under the energy infrastructure category set out in point (1)(g) of Annex II, and for projects falling under the energy infrastructure categories set out in point (1)(e) of Annex II, ranking shall be carried out for those projects that affect the same two Member States, and due consideration shall also be given to the number of users affected by the project, the annual energy consumption and the share of generation from non-dispatchable resources in the area covered by those users. | | |
| <i>Article 5</i> | | |
| <i>Implementation and monitoring of projects on the Union list</i> | | |
| 1. Project promoters shall draw up an implementation plan for projects on the Union list within two months of their inclusion on the Union | Project promoters shall draw up an initial implementation plan for projects being added for the first time on the Union list within two months of their inclusion on the Union list for publication | To reduce bureaucracy a reapplication could be simplified. |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|---|
| list for publication on the transparency platform as set out in Article 26, with a timetable including all of the following: | on the transparency platform as set out in Article 26, with a timetable. A reapplication for Projects already on the Union list does not require additional information, except for the report in Article 5 (4). The initial impenetation plan shall including all of the following: | |
| (a) feasibility and design studies including risk assessment studies as regards climate adaptation and physical and cyber security, building on the requirements of Directives (EU) 2022/2557 and (EU) 2022/2555, where applicable, as well as compliance with environmental legislation, and with the ‘do no significant harm’ principle; | feasibility and design studies including risk assessment studies as regards climate adaptation and physical and cyber security, building on the requirements of Directives (EU) 2022/2557 and (EU) 2022/2555, where applicable, as well as compliance with environmental legislation, and with the ‘do no significant harm’ principle; | We believe that such detailed specifications are not necessary. |
| (b) approval by the national regulatory authority or by any other authority concerned; | | |
| (c) construction and commissioning; | | |
| (d) the permit-granting process referred to in Article 10(9), point (c). | | |
| 2. TSOs, DSOs, HNOs and other operators shall cooperate with each other and project promoters where relevant in order to facilitate the development of projects on the Union list in their area. | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|--|
| <p>3. The Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators ('the Agency') and the Groups concerned shall monitor the progress achieved in implementing the projects on the Union list and, where necessary, make recommendations to facilitate their implementation. The Groups may request additional information in accordance with paragraphs 4, 5 and 6, convene meetings with the relevant parties and invite the Commission to verify the information provided on site.</p> | | |
| <p>4. By 31 December of the year in which the Union list where the project is included enters into force and starts to produce effects, and every subsequent year, project promoters shall submit a report for each project of common interest and project of mutual interest they promote, to the national competent authority referred to in Article 8(1).</p> | <p>By 31 December of the year in which the Union list where the project is included enters into force and starts to produce effects, and every two subsequent years hereafter, project promoters shall submit a report for each project of common interest and project of mutual interest they promote, to the national competent authority referred to in Article 8(1).</p> | <p>We believe that to reduce bureaucracy and effort a report every two years (cycle of PCI Process) is sufficient.</p> |
| <p>That report shall include details of:</p> | | |
| <p>(a) the progress achieved in the development, construction and commissioning of the project as set out in the implementation plan referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article, in particular with regard to the permit-granting process and the consultation procedure, as well as compliance with</p> | <p>(a) the progress achieved in the development, construction and commissioning of the project as set out in the implementation plan referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article, in particular with regard to the permit-granting process and the consultation procedure, as well as compliance with</p> | <p>See above</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|--|----------|
| <p>environmental legislation, with the principle that the project does ‘no significant harm’ to the environment, climate adaptation measures taken, and mitigation measures taken resulting from the risks assessed as regards the project under Article 5(1), point (a), where relevant and building on the requirements of Directives (EU) 2022/2557 and (EU) 2022/2555 where applicable;</p> | <p>environmental legislation, with the principle that the project does ‘no significant harm’ to the environment, climate adaptation measures taken, and mitigation measures taken resulting from the risks assessed as regards the project under Article 5(1), point (a), where relevant and building on the requirements of Directives (EU) 2022/2557 and (EU) 2022/2555 where applicable;</p> | |
| <p>(b) where relevant, delays compared to the implementation plan, the reasons for such delays and other difficulties encountered;</p> | | |
| <p>(c) where relevant, a revised implementation plan aiming to overcome the delays.</p> | | |
| <p>5. By 28 of February of each year following the submission by the project promoter of the report referred in paragraph 4 of this Article, the competent authorities referred to in Article 8(1) shall submit to the Agency and to the relevant Group the report referred to in paragraph 4 of this Article supplemented with information on the progress and, where relevant, on delays in the implementation and permit-granting processes of projects on the Union list located in their respective territory, including the reasons for such delays. The contribution of competent authorities to the report shall be clearly marked and drafted</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|---|
| without modifying the text of the report provided by project promoters. | | |
| <p>6. By 30 April of each year in which a new Union list should be adopted, the Agency shall submit to the Groups a consolidated report for the projects on the Union list that are subject to the competence of national regulatory authorities, evaluating the progress achieved and expected changes in project costs, and, where appropriate, make recommendations on how to overcome the delays and difficulties encountered. That consolidated report shall also evaluate the implementation of Article 3(6) and (7) as regards projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest.</p> | | |
| <p>In duly justified cases, the Agency may request additional information from competent authorities necessary for carrying out its tasks set out in this paragraph.</p> | | |
| <p>7. Where the commissioning of a project on the Union list is delayed when compared to the implementation plan, other than for overriding reasons beyond the control of the project promoter, the following measures shall apply:</p> | | <p>The existing TEN-E is theoretically already quite ambitious with respect to overcome delays of PCIs. For example, projects can be assigned to a third party (Art 5, para 7). To our knowledge, these measures have in the past not been applied. We would appreciate modified measures to ensure that PCIs are actually implemented.</p> |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>(a) in so far as measures referred to in Article 55(7), points (a), (b) or (c), of Directive (EU) 2024/1788 and Article 51(7), points (a), (b) or (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/944 are applicable in accordance with respective national law, national regulatory authorities shall ensure that the investment is carried out;</p> | | |
| <p>(b) where the measures of national regulatory authorities pursuant to point (a) of this paragraph are not applicable, the project promoter shall, within 12 months of the date of commissioning set out in the implementation plan, choose a third party to finance or construct all or part of the project;</p> | | |
| <p>(c) where a third party is not chosen in accordance with point (b), the Member State or, where the Member State has so provided, the national regulatory authority may, within two months of the expiry of the period referred to in point (b), designate a third party to finance or construct the project which the project promoter shall accept;</p> | | |
| <p>(d) <u>where a third party is not designated by the national authority in accordance with point (c)</u>, where the delay compared to the date of commissioning in the implementation plan exceeds</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>26 months, the Commission, subject to the agreement and with the full cooperation of the Member States concerned, may launch a call for proposals open to any third party capable of becoming a project promoter to build the project in accordance with an agreed timetable;</p> | | |
| <p>(e) where measures referred to in point (c) or (d) are applied, the system operator in whose area the investment is located shall: provide the implementing operators or investors or third party with all the information required to realise the investment, taking into account security considerations; connect new assets to the transmission network; or, where applicable, the distribution network and shall generally make its best efforts to facilitate the implementation of the investment and the secure, reliable and efficient operation and maintenance of the project on the Union list.</p> | | |
| <p>8. A project on the Union list may be removed from the Union list in accordance with the procedure set out in Article 3(4) if its inclusion in that list was based on incorrect information which was a determining factor for that inclusion, or the project does not comply with Union law.</p> | | |
| <p>9. Projects which are no longer on the Union list shall lose all rights and obligations linked to</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| the status of project of common interest or project of mutual interest provided for in this Regulation. | | |
| However, a project which is no longer on the Union list but for which an application file has been accepted for examination by the competent authority shall maintain the rights and obligations laid down in Chapter III, except where the project has been removed from the Union list for the reasons set out in paragraph 8 of this Article. | | |
| 10. This Article shall be without prejudice to any Union financial assistance granted to any project on the Union list prior to its removal from the Union list. | | |
| <i>Article 6</i> | | |
| <i>European coordinators</i> | | |
| 1. Where a project of common interest or a project of mutual interest encounters significant implementation difficulties, the Commission may designate, in agreement with the Member States | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| concerned, a European coordinator for a period of up to one year, renewable twice. | | |
| 2. The European coordinator shall: | | |
| (a) promote the projects, for which they have been designated as a European coordinator, and the cross-border dialogue between the project promoters and all stakeholders concerned; | | |
| (b) assist and coordinate all parties as necessary in consulting the stakeholders concerned, discussing alternative routing, where appropriate, and obtaining necessary permits for the projects; | | |
| (c) where appropriate, advise project promoters on the financing of the project; | | |
| (d) ensure that appropriate support and strategic direction by the Member States concerned are provided for the preparation and implementation of the projects; | | |
| (e) starting from the date of their designation submit every year, and, where appropriate, upon completion of their mandate, a report to the | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| Commission on the progress of the projects and on any difficulties and obstacles which are likely to significantly delay the commissioning date of the projects; where appropriate, the report shall make recommendations to overcome obstacles and difficulties. | | |
| The Commission shall transmit the report of the European coordinator referred to in point (e) of the first subparagraph to the European Parliament, the Council and the Groups concerned. | | |
| 3. The European coordinator shall be chosen following an open, non-discriminatory and transparent process and on the basis of a candidate's experience with regard to the specific tasks they have been assigned for the projects concerned. | | |
| 4. The decision designating the European coordinator shall specify the terms of reference, detailing the duration of the mandate, the specific tasks and corresponding deadlines, and the methodology to be followed. The coordination effort shall be proportionate to the complexity and estimated costs of the projects. | | |
| 5. The Member States concerned shall fully cooperate with the European coordinator in the | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| execution of the tasks referred to in paragraphs 2 and 4. | | |
| | | |
| CHAPTER III | | |
| | | |
| Permit-granting and public participation | | |
| | | |
| <i>Article 7</i> | | |
| | | |
| <i>Priority status of projects on the Union list</i> | | |
| | | |
| 1. The Union list shall establish, for the purposes of any decisions issued in the permit-granting process, the necessity of projects on the Union list from an energy policy and climate perspective, without prejudice to the exact location, routing or technology of the project. | | |
| | | |
| The first subparagraph shall not apply to competing projects or to projects that have not reached a sufficient degree of maturity to provide a | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| project specific cost-benefit analysis as referred to in Section 2, point (1)(d), of Annex III. | | |
| 2. For the purpose of ensuring efficient administrative processing of the application files related to projects on the Union list, project promoters and all authorities concerned shall ensure that those files are treated in the most rapid way possible in accordance with Union and national law. | | |
| 3. Projects on the Union list shall have the status of the highest national significance possible, where such a status exists in national law and be treated as such in the permit-granting process, including those relating to <u>in relation to</u> environmental assessments, <u>and, if national law so provides,</u> in spatial planning, and in obtaining rights of way and expropriation of necessary land. <u>This paragraph applies without prejudice to national defence considerations.</u> | | |
| 4. All dispute resolution procedures, litigation, appeals and judicial remedies related to projects on the Union list in front of any national courts, tribunals, panels, including mediation or arbitration, where they exist in national law, shall be treated as urgent, if and to the extent to which national law provides for such urgency procedures. | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>5. With regard to the environmental impacts addressed in Article 6(4) of Directive 92/43/EEC and Article 4(7) of Directive 2000/60/EC, provided that all the conditions set out in those Directives are fulfilled, projects on the Union list falling under the infrastructure categories referred to in points (2), (3), and (4) of Annex II to this Regulation shall be considered as being of public interest from an energy policy perspective, and may be considered as having an overriding public interest.</p> | | |
| <p>Where the opinion of the Commission is required in accordance with Article 6(4) of Directive 92/43/EEC, the Commission and the national competent authority referred to in Article 8 of this Regulation shall ensure that the decision with regard to the overriding public interest of a project is taken within the time limits set in Article 10(1) and (2) of this Regulation.</p> | | |
| <p>The first and second subparagraphs shall not apply to competing projects or to projects that have not reached a sufficient degree of maturity to provide a project specific cost-benefit analysis as referred to in Section 2, point (1)(d), of Annex III.</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|--|
| <p>6. Until climate neutrality is achieved at Union level, in the permit-granting procedure, the planning, construction and operation of projects falling within the infrastructure category referred to in <u>point (1) of Annex II</u> point (1) fall under the provision of [Article 8(8) of Directive (EU) 2019/944 [the Electricity Market Directive as proposed by Article 2 of COM /2025/1007 final] and are presumed as being in the overriding public interest and serving public health and safety when balancing legal interests in individual cases for the purposes of Article 6(4) and Article 16(1), point (c), of Directive 92/43/EEC, Article 4(7) of Directive 2000/60/EC and Article 9(1), point (a), of Directive 2009/147/EC. Member States may, in duly justified and specific circumstances, restrict the application of the presumption to certain parts of their territory, to certain types of technology or to projects with certain technical characteristics.</p> | <p style="text-align: center; opacity: 0.5; font-size: 48px; transform: rotate(-30deg);">PUBLIC</p> | <p>We welcome the amendments. With these amendments, para. 6 is a good provision that will help to speed up electricity grid projects.</p> <p>However, it remains unclear, why Article 16f RED III, Article 8 para. 8 of the Electricity Market Directive, and Article 7 para. 6 of the TEN-E Regulation contain parallel provisions regarding overriding public interest for electricity grid projects.</p> <p>A uniform regulation should be established, which should have the same content as the amended para. 6.</p> |
| <p><u>Until climate neutrality is achieved</u>, Member States shall ensure that, in the planning and permit-granting process, <u>the planning, the</u> construction and operation of projects falling under the infrastructure category referred to in point (1) of Annex II are <u>presumed as being in the overriding public interest and, in such case, are given priority when balancing legal interests in individual cases for other purposes than those the ones</u> referred in the first subparagraph. <u>Member States may exclude the application of this</u></p> | | <p>See above.</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|---|
| <p>presumption for the purpose of protecting with the exception of cultural heritage on the basis of legal criteria to ensure harmonized harmonised implementation.</p> | | |
| <p>The first subparagraph shall not apply to competing projects or to projects that have not reached a sufficient degree of maturity to provide a project specific cost-benefit analysis as referred to in Section 2, point (1)(d), of Annex III.</p> | | See above. |
| <p>7. Until climate neutrality is achieved at <u>Union level</u>, with regard to projects on the Union list falling under the infrastructure categories referred to in point (1) of Annex II to this Regulation which are expressly included in a National Development Plan that has been subject to a strategic environmental assessment in accordance with Directive 2001/42, and, where it is likely to have a significant impact on Natura 2000 sites, to the appropriate assessment pursuant to Article 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC, <u>and, where relevant, assessments established by Member States as general system of protection for all species of birds in line with Article 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC,</u> Member States may, insofar as the project complies with and does not go beyond the framework of the assessed National Development Plan:</p> | <p>7. — Until climate neutrality is achieved at <u>Union level</u>, with regard to projects on the Union list falling under the infrastructure categories referred to in point (1) of Annex II to this Regulation which are expressly included in a National Development Plan that has been subject to a strategic environmental assessment in accordance with Directive 2001/42, and, where it is likely to have a significant impact on Natura 2000 sites, to the appropriate assessment pursuant to Article 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC, <u>and, where relevant, assessments established by Member States as general system of protection for all species of birds in line with Article 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC,</u> Member States may, insofar as the project complies with and does not go beyond the framework of the assessed National Development Plan:</p> | <p>Paragraphs 7-12 should be deleted. The regulations are not necessary, as Article 15e of RED III already provides such an authorization regime for projects on the Union list. An additional regulation would rather lead to legal uncertainty. We would also like to know from the European Commission the reasons for this parallel regulation.</p> |
| | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|--|----------|
| (a) exempt those projects from the environmental impact assessment under Article 2(1) of Directive 2011/92/EU, and | (a) — exempt those projects from the environmental impact assessment under Article 2(1) of Directive 2011/92/EU, and | |
| (b) exempt those projects from an assessment of their implications for Natura 2000 sites pursuant to Article 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC and from the assessment of their implications on species protection pursuant to Article 12(1) of Directive 92/43/EEC and to Article 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC. | (b) — exempt those projects from an assessment of their implications for Natura 2000 sites pursuant to Article 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC and from the assessment of their implications on species protection pursuant to Article 12(1) of Directive 92/43/EEC and to Article 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC. | |
| For projects located in, or crossing, Natura 2000 sites and areas designated under national protection schemes for nature and biodiversity conservation, the exemptions referred to in the first subparagraph shall only be applicable in case there are no proportionate alternatives for their deployment, taking into account the objectives of the site and areas . Projects referred to in Annex II point 1(c) shall exclude Natura 2000 sites and areas designated under national protection schemes. | For projects located in, or crossing, Natura 2000 sites and areas designated under national protection schemes for nature and biodiversity conservation, the exemptions referred to in the first subparagraph shall only be applicable in case there are no proportionate alternatives for their deployment, taking into account the objectives of the site and areas. Projects referred to in Annex II point 1(c) shall exclude Natura 2000 sites and areas designated under national protection schemes. | |
| 8. Where Member States apply the exemptions under paragraph 7, they shall ensure that rules on effective mitigation measures to be adopted for the projects on the Union list falling under the infrastructure categories referred to in | 8. — Where Member States apply the exemptions under paragraph 7, they shall ensure that rules on effective mitigation measures to be adopted for the projects on the Union list falling under the infrastructure categories referred to in Annex II point (1) are identified based on the | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|--|----------|
| <p>Annex II point (1) are identified based on the National Development Plan, in order to avoid the adverse environmental impact that may arise or, where that is not possible, to significantly mitigate it. Member States shall ensure that the appropriate mitigation measures are applied in a timely manner to ensure compliance with the obligations laid down in Article 6(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and Article 4(1), point (a)(i), of Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and to avoid deterioration and achieve good ecological status or good ecological potential in accordance with Article 4(1), point (a), of Directive 2000/60/EC.</p> | <p>National Development Plan, in order to avoid the adverse environmental impact that may arise or, where that is not possible, to significantly mitigate it. Member States shall ensure that the appropriate mitigation measures are applied in a timely manner to ensure compliance with the obligations laid down in Article 6(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and Article 4(1), point (a)(i), of Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and to avoid deterioration and achieve good ecological status or good ecological potential in accordance with Article 4(1), point (a), of Directive 2000/60/EC.</p> | |
| | | |
| <p>Compliance with the rules referred to in the first subparagraph of this paragraph and the implementation of the appropriate mitigation measures by the individual projects shall result in the presumption that projects are not in breach of the provisions mentioned in that subparagraph, without prejudice to paragraph 10 of this Article.</p> | <p>Compliance with the rules referred to in the first subparagraph of this paragraph and the implementation of the appropriate mitigation measures by the individual projects shall result in the presumption that projects are not in breach of the provisions mentioned in that subparagraph, without prejudice to paragraph 10 of this Article.</p> | |
| | | |
| <p>9. Member States shall ensure public participation regarding the National Development Plan in accordance with Articles 6 and 7 of Directive 2001/42/EC, including identifying the public affected or likely to be affected as well as the Member States that may be affected by the implementation of that Plan and the projects on the</p> | <p>9. Member States shall ensure public participation regarding the National Development Plan in accordance with Articles 6 and 7 of Directive 2001/42/EC, including identifying the public affected or likely to be affected as well as the Member States that may be affected by the implementation of that Plan and the projects on the Union list falling under the infrastructure</p> | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| Union list falling under the infrastructure categories referred to in point (1) of Annex II to this Regulation included in that Plan. | categories referred to in point (1) of Annex II to this Regulation included in that Plan. | |
| 10. For projects for which Member States decide to apply exemptions under paragraph 7, the competent authorities shall carry out a screening to identify: | 10. — For projects for which Member States decide to apply exemptions under paragraph 7, the competent authorities shall carry out a screening to identify: | |
| (a) if the project is likely to give rise to significant adverse effects, which were not identified during the environmental assessment of the National Development Plan carried out pursuant to Directive 2001/42/EC and, where relevant, to Directive 92/43/EEC. | (a) — if the project is likely to give rise to significant adverse effects, which were not identified during the environmental assessment of the National Development Plan carried out pursuant to Directive 2001/42/EC and, where relevant, to Directive 92/43/EEC. | |
| (b) if the project falls within the scope of Article 7 of Directive 2011/92/EU and Article 2 of the Convention on environmental impact assessment in a transboundary context due to its likelihood of significant effects on the environment in another Member State or due to the request of a Member State which is likely to be significantly affected. | (b) — if the project falls within the scope of Article 7 of Directive 2011/92/EU and Article 2 of the Convention on environmental impact assessment in a transboundary context due to its likelihood of significant effects on the environment in another Member State or due to the request of a Member State which is likely to be significantly affected. | |
| This screening referred to in the first subparagraph shall be finalised within 45 days from the | This screening referred to in the first subparagraph shall be finalised within 45 days from the notification of the project promoter referred to in paragraph 5 of Article 10. | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|--|----------|
| notification of the project promoter referred to in paragraph 5 of Article 10. | | |
| 11. Where a project on the territory of a Member State is likely to have significant effects on the environment of other Member States, the Member State where the project is located shall ensure the application of Article 7 of Directive 2011/92/EU and Articles 2 to 7 of the Convention on environmental impact assessment in a transboundary context. | 11. — Where a project on the territory of a Member State is likely to have significant effects on the environment of other Member States, the Member State where the project is located shall ensure the application of Article 7 of Directive 2011/92/EU and Articles 2 to 7 of the Convention on environmental impact assessment in a transboundary context. | |
| 12. Where the screening process identifies a project to be highly likely to give rise to significant unforeseen adverse effects as referred to in paragraph 10 of this Article, the competent authorities shall inform the project promoter that assessments referred to in points (a) and (b) of paragraph 7 of this Article are required and ensure that on the basis of existing data, appropriate and proportionate mitigation measures are applied for these projects to ensure compliance with Articles 12(1) of Directive 92/43/EEC and Article 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC. Where it is not possible to apply such mitigation measures, the competent authorities shall ensure that project promoters adopt appropriate compensatory measures to address those effects, which, if other proportionate compensatory measures are not available, may take the form of a monetary compensation for | 12. — Where the screening process identifies a project to be highly likely to give rise to significant unforeseen adverse effects as referred to in paragraph 10 of this Article, the competent authorities shall inform the project promoter that assessments referred to in points (a) and (b) of paragraph 7 of this Article are required and ensure that on the basis of existing data, appropriate and proportionate mitigation measures are applied for these projects to ensure compliance with Articles 12(1) of Directive 92/43/EEC and Article 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC. Where it is not possible to apply such mitigation measures, the competent authorities shall ensure that project promoters adopt appropriate compensatory measures to address those effects, which, if other proportionate compensatory measures are not available, may take the form of a monetary compensation for species protection programmes, in order to secure | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|--|---|
| species protection programmes, in order to secure or improve the conservation status of the species affected. | or improve the conservation status of the species affected. | |
| <u>12a. Paragraphs 7 to 12 apply without prejudice to the right of Member States to carry out the permitting granting procedures under rules which would lead to a faster approval of projects on the Union list, including procedures in accordance with Article 15e of Directive (EU) 2018/2001.</u> | | Scrutiny reservation |
| 13. When assessing whether satisfactory alternative solutions to projects on the Union list falling under the infrastructure categories referred to in points (1), (2), (3) and (4) of Annex II to this Regulation, exist for the purposes of Articles 6(4) and Article 16(1) of Directive 92/43/EEC, Article 4(7), point (d), of Directive 2000/60/EC and Article 9(1) of Directive 2009/147/EC, the condition of having no satisfactory alternatives shall be fulfilled if there are no satisfactory alternative solutions capable of achieving the same objective of the project in question, in terms of the development of the same capacity through the same technology within the same or similar timeframe and without resulting in significantly higher costs. | | Scrutiny reservation with regard to paras 13-14 |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>14. When implementing compensatory measures for projects on the Union list falling under the infrastructure categories referred to in points (1), (2), (3) and (4) of Annex II to this Regulation for the purpose of Article 6(4) of Directive 92/43/EEC, Member States may, in justified cases and where it can be reasonably demonstrated that the plan or project would not irreversibly affect the ecological processes essential for maintaining the structure and functions of the site and would compromise the overall coherence of the Natura 2000 network before compensatory measures are put into place, allow for such compensatory measures to be carried out in parallel with the implementation of the project. Member States may allow, in accordance with the precautionary principle, for those compensatory measures to be adapted over time, depending on whether the significant negative effects are expected to arise in the short, medium or long term.</p> |  | |
| <p>15. Regarding the assessment, satisfactory alternative solutions to projects falling under the infrastructure category referred to in point (1) of Annex II to this Regulation and the implementation of compensatory measures for those projects, Article 8a of Directive (EU) 2019/944 shall apply.</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|---|
| <i>Article 8</i> | | |
| <i>Organisation of the permit-granting process</i> | | |
| 1. Each Member State shall ensure that one designate a single national competent authority is responsible for <u>the following</u> : | Each Member State shall may ensure that one designate a single national competent authority is responsible for <u>the following</u> : | In our experience, these one stop shops (OSS) have not necessarily helped to accelerate processes, but have rather added additional bureaucratic layers. The establishment or designation of OSS thus needs to be voluntary. |
| (a) acting as the sole point of contact for project promoters in the permit-granting process, replying to their queries, mediating all contacts with the authorities concerned and support them with knowledge and information aiming at the fastest process possible; | | |
| (b) receiving permitting-granting applications from promoters of projects on the Union list and all relevant documents in electronic form and disseminating them across authorities concerned; | | |
| (c) facilitating and coordinating the permit-granting process of projects on the Union list in | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>their territory with other authorities concerned, determining in cooperation with them, what authorisations, permits and assessments are required to complete the permit-granting process and reach a comprehensive decision in accordance with paragraph 3. This includes the scope and level of detail of the studies, assessment and documentation that project promoters are expected to produce;</p> | | |
| <p>(d) cooperating and communicating with national competent authorities of other Member States to facilitate and coordinate the permit-granting process for projects on the Union list in their territory, and permitting authorities in third countries as regards projects of mutual interest, including: aligning public consultations for cross-border projects, in accordance with Article 9(5); sharing information on likely significant transboundary impacts, in accordance with Article 9(6); aligning the timeline and requirements for studies, permits or authorisations to be conducted; and, organising the pre-application procedure in accordance with Article 10(9); and</p> | | |
| <p>(e) monitoring the development and delays of projects on the Union list within their territory of responsibility, including by receiving and approving reports submitted by project promoters in accordance with Article 5(4) and reporting to</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| the Agency and relevant Groups on the development and delays of projects on the union list located in their territory in accordance with Article 5(5). | | |
| In case of update to, or changes of, the designated national competent authority, Member States shall notify the Commission as soon as the change is decided and inform when such changes produce effects. | | |
| 2. The responsibilities of the national competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 and the tasks related to it may be delegated to another authority, per project on the Union list or per particular category of projects on the Union list, or per geographical area, provided that: | | |
| (a) the national competent authority notifies the Commission of that delegation and the information therein is made easily available to the public including on the website referred to in Article 9(7); | | |
| (b) only one authority is responsible per project, or category of projects, on the Union list, and it is the sole point of contact for the project promoters, taking upon all responsibilities in the process leading to the comprehensive decision | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|---|
| within the legal deadline provided in Article 10(2) and coordinates the submission of all relevant documents and information including to any other authority concerned; | | |
| (c) irrespective of the delegation, the national competent authority remains responsible to aggregate the reports submitted by project promoters in accordance with Article 5(4) and report to the Agency and relevant Groups in accordance with Article 5(5). | | |
| The national competent authority may also retain the responsibility to establish time limits, without prejudice to the time limits set in Article 10(1) and (2). | | |
| 3. The national competent authority shall ensure the issuing of the comprehensive decision within the time limits set out in Article 10(1) and (2). | Where a national competent authority is designated pursuant to paragraph 1, it shall ensure the issuing of the comprehensive decision within the time limits set out in Article 10(1) and (2). | Drafting suggestion due to voluntary nature of OSS (see comment regard. Para. 1). |
| Member States shall choose among the following schemes, taking into account which scheme is most effective in light of national law, national planning and permit-granting process specificities, and whether it can be implemented in a manner | Where a national competent authority is designated pursuant to paragraph 1, Member States shall choose among the following schemes, taking into account which scheme is most effective in light of national law, national planning and permit-granting process specificities, and whether it can be implemented in a manner that contributes | Drafting suggestion due to voluntary nature of OSS (see comment regard. Para. 1). |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| that contributes to the most efficient and timely issuing of the comprehensive decision: | to the most efficient and timely issuing of the comprehensive decision: | |
| (a) integrated scheme: | | |
| (i) the comprehensive decision shall be issued by the national competent authority and shall be the sole legally binding decision arising from the statutory permit-granting process; | | |
| (ii) where other authorities are concerned by the project, they may, in accordance with national law, give their opinion as input to the procedure, which shall be taken into account by the national competent authority; | | |
| (b) coordinated scheme: | | |
| (i) the comprehensive decision comprises multiple individual legally binding decisions issued by the several authorities concerned and is coordinated centrally by the national competent authority; | | |
| (ii) the national competent authority may establish a working group where all authorities concerned are represented in order to draw up the | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| screening or the detailed schedule for the permit-granting process in accordance with Article 10(9), point (b), and to monitor and coordinate its implementation; | | |
| (iii) the national competent authority shall, after consulting the other authorities concerned, establish on a case-by-case basis a reasonable time limit within which the individual decisions shall be issued with the aim to minimise the duration of the process without prejudice to time limits set out in Article 10(1) and (2); | | |
| (iv) the national competent authority shall be able to may take an individual decision on behalf of another authority concerned in its territory , where the decision by that authority is not delivered within the set time limit and where the delay cannot be adequately justified. The national competent authority may also disregard an individual decision of another authority concerned in its territory if it considers that the decision is not sufficiently substantiated with regard to the underlying evidence presented by that authority concerned; | | |
| | | |
| (c) collaborative scheme: | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| (i) the comprehensive decision shall be comprised of multiple individual legally binding decisions issued by several authorities concerned and coordinated by the national competent authority; | | |
| (ii) the national competent authority may establish a working group where all authorities concerned are represented in order to draw up the screening or the detailed schedule for the permit-granting process in accordance with Article 10(9), and to monitor and coordinate its implementation; | | |
| (iii) the national competent authority shall, after consulting the other authorities concerned, establish on a case-by-case basis a reasonable time limit, within which the individual decisions shall be issued with the aim to minimise the duration of the process, without prejudice to the time limits set in Article 10(1) and (2); | | |
| (iv) the national competent authority shall monitor compliance with the time limits by the authorities concerned and, in case of delays, shall take measures with the aim to minimise the duration of the process; | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|--|---|
| (v) where a Member State chooses the collaborative scheme, it shall inform the Commission of its reasons. | | |
| Authorities concerned shall, in accordance with the permitting scheme chosen by Member States, either delegate the necessary competences to the national competent authority or facilitate cooperation and collaboration with the national competent authority to ensure the issuing of the comprehensive decision within the time limits set in Article 10(1) and (2). | Where a national competent authority is designated pursuant to paragraph 1, Authorities concerned shall, in accordance with the permitting scheme chosen by Member States, either delegate the necessary competences to the national competent authority or facilitate cooperation and collaboration with the national competent authority to ensure the issuing of the comprehensive decision within the time limits set in Article 10(1) and (2). | Drafting suggestion due to voluntary nature of OSS (see comment regard. Para. 1). |
| Where an authority concerned does not expect to deliver an individual decision within the set time limit, that authority shall immediately inform the national competent authority, providing reasons for the delay. Subsequently, the national competent authority shall set another time limit within which that individual decision shall be issued, in compliance with the overall time limits set in Article 10(1) and (2). | Where a national competent authority is designated pursuant to paragraph 1 and where an authority concerned does not expect to deliver an individual decision within the set time limit, that authority shall immediately inform the national competent authority, providing reasons for the delay. Subsequently, the national competent authority shall set another time limit within which that individual decision shall be issued, in compliance with the overall time limits set in Article 10(1) and (2). | Drafting suggestion due to voluntary nature of OSS (see comment regard. Para. 1). |
| 4. Member States may apply the schemes set out in paragraph 3 to onshore and offshore projects on the Union list. | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|--|--|
| <p>In the case of projects on the Union list that are intrinsically linked to generation assets, such as the projects included in the infrastructure categories provided by points (1)(b) or (h) of Annex II, the national competent authority shall be responsible for coordinating the permit-granting process of the respective project on the Union list with the permitting of the generation assets so that the timelines are cohesive and together aim at the most efficient and timely permitting of all assets related to the project.</p> | <p>Where a national competent authority is designated pursuant to paragraph 1, in the case of projects on the Union list that are intrinsically linked to generation assets, such as the projects included in the infrastructure categories provided by points (1)(b) or (h) of Annex II, the national competent authority shall be responsible for coordinating the permit-granting process of the respective project on the Union list with the permitting of the generation assets so that the timelines are cohesive and together aim at the most efficient and timely permitting of all assets related to the project.</p> | <p>Drafting suggestion due to voluntary nature of OSS (see comment regard. Para. 1).</p> |
| <p>5. Where a project on the Union list is located in the territory of two or more Member States, their respective national competent authorities shall jointly appoint one of them to act as a unique point of contact, responsible for facilitating the exchange of information between the national competent authorities and other authorities concerned on the permit-granting process, as well as, issuing the final comprehensive decisions in cooperation with the other national competent authorities concerned, without prejudice to the competences of each Member State to issue its own decision.</p> | <p>Where a project on the Union list is located in the territory of two or more Member States and national competent authorities are designated pursuant to paragraph 1, their respective national competent authorities shall jointly appoint one of them to act as a unique point of contact, responsible for facilitating the exchange of information between the national competent authorities and other authorities concerned on the permit-granting process, as well as, issuing the final comprehensive decisions in cooperation with the other national competent authorities concerned, without prejudice to the competences of each Member State to issue its own decision.</p> | <p>Drafting suggestion due to voluntary nature of OSS (see comment regard. Para. 1).</p> |
| <p>Member States shall endeavour to provide a joint procedure which facilitates the cooperation</p> | <p>Where national competent authorities are designated pursuant to paragraph 1, Member</p> | <p>Drafting suggestion due to voluntary nature of OSS (see comment regard. Para. 1).</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|---|
| <p>between their respective national competent authorities concerned, create procedural synergies and align timelines to facilitate the permit-granting process for projects, particularly with regard to the assessment of environmental impacts, and the public consultations required under Article 9.</p> | <p>States shall endeavour to provide a joint procedure which facilitates the cooperation between their respective national competent authorities concerned, create procedural synergies and align timelines to facilitate the permit-granting process for projects, particularly with regard to the assessment of environmental impacts, and the public consultations required under Article 9.</p> | |
| <p>Upon request from Member States, the Commission shall play the role of a facilitator to support cooperation between concerned national competent authorities. The Commission shall facilitate agreement on a unified joint procedure by providing an opinion and making recommendations on procedural aspects.</p> | | |
| <p><i>Article 9</i></p> | | |
| <p><i>Transparency and public participation</i></p> | | |
| <p>1. By 24 October 2027, the Member State or national competent authority shall, where necessary, in collaboration with other authorities concerned, publish an updated manual of procedures for the permit-granting process applicable to projects on the Union list to include</p> | | <p>Para. 1 should be deleted</p> <p>Practice has shown that the procedural manual merely creates bureaucratic burdens and offers no significant benefit, as the procedure is already outlined in the relevant laws.</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|--|
| <p>at least the information specified in point (1) of Annex VI. The manual shall not be legally binding, but it shall refer to or quote relevant legal provisions. The national competent authorities shall, where relevant, cooperate and find synergies with the authorities of neighbouring countries with a view to align timelines and facilitating the permit-granting process for projects, including for the development of the manual of procedures.</p> | | <p>In case removing the article is not possible, it should become optional (“may”). This applies to para 1-4 and 6, as well as the corresponding points 3 and 5 in Annex 6</p> |
| <p>2. Without prejudice to public participation requirements under environmental law, the Aarhus Convention, the Espoo Convention and relevant Union law, all parties involved in the permit-granting process shall follow the principles for public participation set out in point (3) of Annex VI.</p> | | <p>Para. 2 and the corresponding point 3 in Annex 6 should be deleted</p> <p>Such detailed regulations for public participation are not necessary</p> |
| <p>3. The project promoter shall, within an indicative period of three months following the start of the permit-granting process pursuant to Article 10(5), draw up and submit a concept for public participation to the national competent authority, following the process outlined in the manual referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article and in accordance with the guidelines set out in Annex VI.</p> | | <p>Para 3 and 4 should be deleted.</p> <p>Practice has shown that the public participation concept merely creates bureaucratic burdens and offers no significant benefit, as the rules are already outlined in the relevant national laws.</p> |
| <p>4. The national competent authority shall request modifications or approve the concept for</p> | | <p>See above on para. 3.</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|--|
| <p>public participation within three months of receipt of the concept, taking into consideration, without the need for repetition, of any form of public participation and consultation that took place before the start of the permit-granting process, to the extent that such public participation and consultation has fulfilled the requirements of this Article.</p> | | |
| <p>Where the project promoter intends to make significant changes to an approved concept for public participation, it shall inform the national competent authority thereof. In that case the national competent authority may request additional modifications.</p> | | See above on para. 3 |
| <p>5. Where it is not already required under national law, the project promoter shall carry out at least one early-stage public consultation, before the submission of the final and complete permitting application to the national competent authority pursuant to Article 10(10). The public consultation may be carried out in combination with any public consultation after submission of the request for development consent pursuant to Article 6(2) of Directive 2011/92/EU.</p> | | |
| <p>6. The public consultation required in the previous paragraph shall comply with the minimum requirements set out in point (5) of</p> | | Para. 6 and the corresponding point 5 in Annex 6 should be deleted or made optional. |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|--|
| Annex VI and shall inform the stakeholders referred to in point (3)(a) of Annex VI about the project at an early stage and shall help to identify the most suitable location, trajectory or technology, including, where relevant, in view of adequate climate adaptation and security considerations for the project, all impacts relevant under Union and national law, and the relevant issues to be addressed in the application file. | | Such detailed regulations for public consultation are not necessary. |
| 7. Without prejudice to the procedural and transparency rules in Member States, the project promoters shall publish on the website referred to in paragraph 10 a report summarising the results of activities related to public participation as regards the project including any activities pre-dating the early public consultation, and explaining how the opinions expressed in the public consultations were taken into account, showing the amendments made in the location, trajectory and design of the project, or providing reasons why such opinions have not been taken into account. | | |
| The project promoter shall submit the report together with the application file to the national competent authority. The comprehensive decision shall take due account of the result of this report. | | |
| 8. For cross-border projects involving two or more Member States, the public consultations | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>carried out pursuant to paragraph 5 in each of the Member States concerned shall, to the extent possible, take place within a period of no more than two months from each other, and, where possible, be combined.</p> | | |
| <p>9. For projects likely to have a significant transboundary impact in one or more neighbouring Member States, to which Article 7 of Directive 2011/92/EU and the Espoo Convention are applicable, the relevant information shall be made available to the national competent authorities of the neighbouring Member States concerned. The national competent authorities of the neighbouring Member States concerned shall indicate, in the notification process where appropriate, whether they, or any other authority concerned, wishes to participate in the relevant public consultation procedures.</p> | | |
| <p>10. The project promoter shall establish and regularly update a dedicated project website with relevant information about the project of common interest, which shall be linked to the Commission website and the transparency platform referred to in Article 26 and which shall meet the requirements specified in point (6) of Annex VI. National competent authorities shall check the fulfilment of this obligation by the project</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| promoters and take measures ensuring compliance where necessary. | | |
| | | |
| <i>Article 10</i> | | |
| | | |
| <i>Duration and implementation of the permit-granting process</i> | | |
| | | |
| 1. The permit-granting process shall provide for the following two procedures: | | |
| | | |
| (a) the optional pre-application procedure, covering the period between the start of the permit-granting process and the acceptance of the submitted complete application file by the national competent authority, which shall take place within a maximum period of 24 months; | | |
| | | |
| (b) the mandatory statutory permit-granting procedure, covering the period from the date of acceptance of the submitted complete application file until the date of the comprehensive decision, which shall not exceed 18 months. | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>With regard to the first subparagraph, point (b), where possible, Member States may provide for a statutory permit-granting procedure that is shorter than 18 months.</p> | | |
| <p>2. The national competent authority shall ensure that the combined duration of the two procedures referred to in paragraph 1 does not exceed a period of 42 months.</p> | | |
| <p>However, where the national competent authority considers that one or both of the procedures will not be completed within the time limits set out in paragraph 1, it may extend one or both of those time limits before their expiry and on a case-by-case basis. The national competent authority shall not extend the combined duration of the two procedures for more than six months other than in exceptional circumstances.</p> | | |
| <p>Where the national competent authority extends the time limits, it shall inform the Group concerned of the reasons for such extension and present it with the measures taken, or to be taken, for the conclusion of the permit-granting process, with the least possible delay. The Group may request that the national competent authority reports regularly on the progress achieved in that regard and provide reasons for any delays.</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|--|
| <p>3. Member States shall ensure that the national competent authorities referred to in Article 8(1) have adequate technical, financial and human resources to render a comprehensive decision within the timeframe indicated in Article 10(2).</p> | | |
| <p>4. Member States shall ensure that, in the permit-granting procedure referred to in paragraph 12:</p> | | <p>Scrunity reservation with regard to tacit approval in the case of power grid projects.</p> <p>In general, tacit approvals can be a helpful tool for speeding up approval procedures and should be used where they can achieve this goal. However, in the case of power grid projects that extend over hundreds of kilometers and may affect many other critical infrastructures and third-party rights, tacit approvals alone cannot speed up approval process by itself or may even delay approvals. We ask COM to explain its considerations in this regard in more detail.</p> <p>Our considerations on this in more details:</p> <p>Many conflicts related to power grid projects are relevant to fundamental rights. Under German law, the permit justifies the expropriation of affected landowners. However, there are considerable doubts as to whether such expropriation meets constitutional requirements if it is not the result of</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | | <p>a balancing of the relevant interests, but merely stems from the inaction of authorities. It is likely that many conflicts related to power grid projects will be shifted to court proceedings through tacit approvals. The substantive legal requirements remain in place; they are simply not fully examined in the permitting procedure. In many cases, this would then be rectified in court proceedings. While permitting procedures are designed to consider and weigh numerous conflicts together, court proceedings focus only on the specific aspect asserted by the plaintiff. They are therefore not equally suited to ensuring sound planning. This shift to court proceedings is likely to lead to less appropriate outcomes and delay projects considerably, undermining the very purpose of acceleration the approval process.</p> <p>In addition, we should also assess the effect such tacit approval for power grid projects should have as far as safety-related aspects are concerned.</p> <p>In addition, setting statutory deadlines for permitting procedures is generally not advisable. It leads to conflicting legal obligations if a substantive review program is simultaneously mandated, which takes more time. In such cases, the deadlines cannot be met. The Commission's proposal recognizes this in para. 12 with respect to Union and international law. However, the same holds true for national law.</p> |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|--|
| | | The correct approach is instead to dispense with deadlines and streamline the substantive review program. |
| (a) the lack of reply by the national competent authorities within the deadline established in paragraph 2 results in the comprehensive decision to be considered as approved; | | See above |
| (b) the lack of reply by other authorities concerned within the reasonable time limit established by a national competent authority in accordance with Article 8(3), results in their specific opinion, authorisation or permit to be considered as granted or answered positively, except. This paragraph does not produce effects for environmental decisions, which shall be <u>explicit. Member States shall not be required to apply this rule in any of the following cases;</u> and | | <p>Compared to a full tacit approval of a permission, the proposed tacit approval of sub-steps of the decision requires further assessment from our side. To this end, we would like to understand whether such a tacit approval means that sub-steps considered as granted or answered positively may not be subject of a court's assessment within the regular assessment of the permitting decision.</p> <p>Moreover, we would like to understand, how COM thinks this will work under the integrated scheme pursuant to Art. 8 para 3 (a). Under the integrated scheme, “the comprehensive decision shall be issued by the national competent authority and shall be the sole legally binding decision arising from the statutory permit-granting process”. How can there be a sole decision via tacit approval, when the environmental decisions, which are part of the sole decision, shall be explicit?</p> |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|------------|
| <u>(i)</u> where the principle of administrative tacit approval does not exist in the legal system of the Member State concerned; | | |
| <u>(ii) where the applicant is entitled to compensation if the competent authority does not comply with the applicable deadline, in accordance with national law.</u> | | |
| All decisions shall be made publicly available, including final decisions granted tacitly following the lack of reply by the relevant competent authorities or authorities concerned. | | See above. |
| 5. When requesting the start of the permit-granting process, the project promoters shall notify the project to the national competent authority of each Member State where the project is located, including in Member States where the project crosses their exclusive economic zone, in written or electronic form and include a reasonably detailed outline of the project. | | |
| Within one month of receipt of the notification, the national competent authority shall, in electronic form, either: | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|---|
| (a) issue an acknowledgement of the receipt; or | | |
| (b) if the project is not considered to be mature enough to enter the permit-granting process, reject the notification, and provide the reasons for its decision including on behalf of other authorities concerned. | | |
| The date of the acknowledgement of receipt shall mark the start of the permit-granting process. Where two or more Member States are concerned, the date of the acceptance of the last notification by the national competent authority concerned shall mark the start of the permit-granting process. | | |
| Member States shall ensure that dedicated a digital platforms portal are is established available to manage permitting applications, permitting processes, ongoing permitting decisions, and decisions issued in an easily accessible format. | | <p>This subparagraph and the next should be deleted.</p> <p>Taking into account the principle of subsidiarity, this should not be regulated under Union law.</p> <p>If these provisions were to be kept, a sufficiently long transitional period for the development of such platforms would be necessary.</p> <p>Moreover, in view of Germany's federal structure, we do not support the amendments in Rev1, which allow for a single digital portal only.</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|---|
| | | It is also unclear why a threefold regulation is proposed in Article 16 para. 3a RED III and in Article 8 para. 13 of the Electricity Market Directive. |
| <p>These platforms Such a portal shall provide access to the relevant environmental and geological data and decisions available in the single digital geographic information system-based central online portal referred to in Article 10(3) of Regulation [xxxxx]³⁷ of the European Parliament and of the Council.</p> <p>_____</p> <p>37 [reference to the Regulation on speeding-up environmental assessments]</p> | | See above. |
| <p>6. National competent authorities shall ensure that the permit-granting process is accelerated in accordance with this Chapter for each category of projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest. To that end, the national competent authorities shall adapt their requirements for the start of the permit-granting process and for the acceptance of the complete application file, in accordance with the relevant project category, their nature, dimension, lack of requirement for environmental assessment, or any other assessments under national law, or the fact that</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|------------------------------|
| they may require less authorisations and approvals to reach the ready-to-build phase. | | |
| <p><u>In cooperation with the project promoter and, as necessary, other authorities concerned or other national competent authorities of other Member States where the project is located, including if the project crosses their exclusive economic zone, the national competent authority may design the requirements for the permit-granting process of a certain project, and the public consultation timeline set out in point (4) of Annex VI, in phases, provided it does not delay the overall development of the project and ensures that the permit-granting process is simplified and accelerated. The maximum deadlines of paragraphs 1 and 2 shall apply for each of the phases.</u></p> | | |
| As such, national competent authorities may decide that the pre-application procedure referred to in paragraphs 1 and 6 of this Article is not necessary in case the project promoter does not require this period to perform studies, assessments and gather data for completing their permitting application file. | | |
| 7. The national competent authorities shall take into consideration, in the screening for establishing the requirements for the permit- | | Para. 7 needs to be deleted. |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|---|
| <p>granting process, any studies conducted and permits or authorisations issued up to five years before the project entered the permit-granting process in accordance with this Article, including assessments conducted for the deployment of other projects that are relevant and can be reused, and shall not require unnecessary or duplicate studies, assessments, permits or authorisations. The national competent authorities may take data older than five years into consideration insofar as they deem such data to be relevant and necessary for establishing the requirements for the permit-granting procedure.</p> |  | <p>The proposal requires authorities to consider "any studies" from other relevant projects. This adds new bureaucracy and uncertainty to the permitting procedure. It is unclear, how the national competent authority is supposed to ensure it can consider all studies within the meaning of this paragraph.</p> <p>Instead, we are discussing a possible rule under the Commission's proposal on speeding-up environmental assessments under the Environment Omnibus, specifying that data up to a certain age can be regarded as sufficiently current.</p> |
| <p>8. In Member States where the determination of a route or location undertaken solely for the specific purpose of a planned project, including the planning of specific corridors for grid infrastructures, cannot be included in the permit-granting process leading to the comprehensive decision, the corresponding decision shall be taken within a separate period of six months, starting on the date of submission of the final and complete application documents by the project promoter.</p> | | |
| <p>9. The pre-application procedure shall include: the screening and scoping of the required studies, reports and documentation expected from the project promoter; the drawing up of the</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| detailed schedule; and, the verification of the draft application file, under the following steps: | | |
| (a) as soon as possible and no later than three months following the notification by the project promoter referred to in paragraph 5, the national competent authority shall determine, and notify the project promoter of the authorisations, permits and assessments required to complete permit-granting process. | | |
| The notification made by the national competent authority shall include the checklist referred to in point (1)(e) of Annex VI, and where relevant, its content shall be established in cooperation with the other authorities concerned and with national competent authorities in the other Member States where the project is located, including if the project crosses their exclusive economic zone. | | |
| Where applicable the notification shall detail the conditions for the project to benefit from the exemption of Article 7(7) and identify: | | |
| (i) whether the project is highly likely to give rise to significant unforeseen adverse effects in view of the environmental sensitivity of the geographical areas where it is planned, which were not identified during the environmental assessment | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| of the National Development Plans carried out pursuant to Directive 2001/42/EC and, where relevant, to Directive 92/43/EEC; | | |
| (ii) the appropriate and proportionate mitigation measures, or monetary compensation for species protection programs applicable to the project in accordance with Article 7(8); | | |
| (iii) whether any part of the project is likely to produce significant effects on the environment in another Member State; in such a case the national competent authority of the Member State in which the project is located shall ensure the application of Article 7 of Directive 2011/92/EU and Articles 2 to 7 of the Convention on environmental impact assessment in a transboundary context; | | |
| (b) the notification shall also indicate whether the national competent authority approves, or amends, the concept for public participation submitted by the project promoter in accordance with Article 9(3). During the screening period, the national competent authority shall, in cooperation with other authorities concerned, determine the scope and level of detail of the studies, reports and documentations, including assessments required for the environmental permitting of the project, | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|--|
| that the project promoter is expected to produce and submit as part of the complete application file. | | |
| Neither the national competent authority, nor any of the authorities concerned shall subsequently request any additional information, studies, reports or assessments than the ones determined in this initial screening process, except where a material change has occurred to the project or its surrounding environment. Where such a material change occurs, the national competent authority may request additional information from the project promoter based on a reasoned justification; | | This subparagraph needs to be deleted. Otherwise, relevant aspects would not be taken into account in the permitting procedure. The conflicts would be shifted to court procedures, which would delay projects, cp. comments on the tacit approval in para. 4 |
| (c) the national competent authority shall draw up, in close cooperation with the project promoter and other authorities concerned and the national competent authorities in the other Member States where the project is located, including if the project crosses their exclusive economic zone, and taking into account the results of the activities carried out under point (a) of this paragraph, a detailed schedule for the permit-granting process in accordance with the guidelines set out in point (2) of Annex VI; | | |
| (d) upon receipt of the draft application file, the national competent authority may, on its own behalf or on behalf of other authorities concerned, request the project promoter to submit missing | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| information relating to the requested elements referred to in point (a) within a maximum deadline of one month 45 days . | | |
| The pre-application procedure shall include the preparation of any environmental reports by the project promoters, as necessary, including the climate adaptation and cyber and physical security documentation and assessments. | | |
| In cooperation with the project promoter and, as necessary, other authorities concerned or other national competent authorities of other Member States where the project is located, including if the project crosses their exclusive economic zone, the national competent authority may design the requirements for the permit granting process of a certain project, and the public consultation timeline set out in point (4) of Annex VI, in phases, provided it does not delay the overall development of the project and ensures that the permit granting process is simplified and accelerated. The maximum deadlines of paragraphs 1 and 2 shall apply for each of the phases. | | |
| Within one month 45 days of submission of the missing information referred to in the first subparagraph, point (d), the competent authority shall accept for examination the complete | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| application in written or electronic form or on dedicated digital platforms, starting the statutory permit-granting procedure referred to in paragraph 1, point (b). | | |
| 10. The project promoter shall cooperate in good faith with the national competent authorities and with all authorities concerned, in order to provide them with complete and correct information, in particular with regard to the information identified in the screening process. | | |
| The project promoter shall ensure that the application file is complete and adequate, seeking the national competent authority's opinion as early as possible in the permit-granting process. | | |
| The project promoter shall cooperate fully with the national competent authority in order to comply with the time limits set in this Regulation. Any delays due to the fault of the project promoter in good faith in this respect, shall not count against the maximum permitting duration. | | |
| 11. Member States shall ensure that any amendments to the national law do not lead to prolonging any permit-granting process started before the entry into force of those amendments. With a view of maintaining an accelerated permit- | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| granting process for projects on the Union list, national competent authorities shall adequately adapt the schedule established in accordance with paragraph 6, point (b), of this Article to ensure, to the extent possible, that the time limits for the permit-granting process set in this Article are not exceeded. | | |
| 12. The time limits set in this Article shall be without prejudice to obligations arising from Union and international law, and without prejudice to administrative appeal procedures and judicial remedies before a court or tribunal. | | |
| The time limits set in this Article for any of the permit-granting procedures shall be without prejudice to any shorter time limits set by Member States. | | |
| CHAPTER IV | | |
| Cross-sectoral infrastructure planning | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|--|---|
| <i>Article 11</i> | | |
| <i>Central scenario for the ten-year network development plans</i> | | |
| <p>1. By [two years after entry into force] and at least every four years thereafter, the Commission shall develop a central scenario for the electricity, hydrogen and gas sectors to be used for the Union-wide ten-year network development plans referred to in: Article 48 of Regulation (EU) 2019/943 and Article 59-60 of Regulation (EU) 2024/1789, the infrastructure needs identification process referred to in Article 12 of this Regulation, the energy system wide cost-benefit analysis referred to in Article 14 of this Regulation, and the cross-border cost allocation referred to in Article 17 of this Regulation.</p> | <p>By [two years after entry into force] and at least every two four years thereafter, the Commission shall develop two central scenarios for the electricity, hydrogen and gas sectors to be used for the Union-wide ten-year network development plans referred to in: Article 48 of Regulation (EU) 2019/943 and Article 59 of Regulation (EU) 2024/1789, the infrastructure needs identification process referred to in Article 12 of this Regulation, the energy system wide cost-benefit analysis referred to in Article 14 of this Regulation, and the cross-border cost allocation referred to in Article 17 of this Regulation.</p> | <p>We are concerned that one central scenario is not sufficient to cover possible developments and thus be an insufficient basis for a realistic network planning.</p> <p>Two scenarios would ensure a realistic planning. One scenario should focus on the energy policy of MS while another scenario could describe an efficient EU wide path. In doing so both scenarios should jointly describe a realistic spectrum of future developments.</p> <p>Ideally, an update of the scenarios would be done every two years to ensure consistency with national planning processes.</p> |
| <p>2. The central scenario shall:</p> | | |
| <p>(a) be consistent with the Union's targets for energy and climate and include a mid-term and long-term perspective until at least 2050 in</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|---|
| accordance with the Union's climate neutrality objective; | | |
| (aa) take a cross-sectoral approach ensuring consistency between the electricity, hydrogen and gas sectors, optimizing system efficiency; | | |
| (b) include sensitivity analyses as appropriate . | | |
| <p>3. The European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity (ENTSO for Electricity), the European Network of Network Operators for Hydrogen (ENNOH), the European Network of Transmission System Operators for Gas (ENTSO for Gas) and the Member States shall provide, upon request from the Commission, the data and information necessary for the development of the central scenario referred to in paragraph 1. That includes, but is not limited to market and network data, such as demand and supply projections, characteristics of power generation, hydrogen production and networks, flexibility sources, imports assumptions, as well as climatic years data. The Commission shall set a reasonable time limit within which the data and information is to be provided, taking into account the complexity and urgency of the data and information required. Where an addressee does not provide the information requested within the time limit set by the Commission or supplies</p> | <p>[...]</p> <p>Where an addressee does not provide the information requested within the time limit set by the Commission or supplies incomplete information, the Commission may by decision require the information to be provided.</p> | <p><u>First point:</u> It seems redundant to first oblige the ENTSOs and the MS to provide the data (beginning of paragraph), and then to here repeat the same obligation in consequence of time delays. Why should an additional decision by the COM be stronger than the initial legal obligation?</p> <p><u>Second point:</u> It could be considered to delete “climatic years data” from the list of information to be provided. It might make sense to use a homogenous database, and not country specific data. Otherwise it risks inconsistent outcomes.</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|--|
| <p>incomplete information, the Commission may by decision require the information to be provided. The Commission may request the Agency to verify the data submitted by the network operators to the Commission, including by verifying national data with the relevant national regulatory authorities.</p> | | |
| <p>4. The Commission shall consult the Agency, national regulatory authorities, the ENTSO for Electricity, the ENNOH, the ENTSO for Gas, the European entity for the cooperation of electricity distribution system operators in the European Union (EU DSO Entity), the Member States, the TEN-E Group as well as other relevant stakeholders on the data collected for the purpose of the central scenario development process, including assumptions and their use in the development of the central scenario. <u>The Commission shall invite Member States to verify the data, assumptions and their use and where necessary convene a meeting of the TEN-E Group to discuss them.</u></p> | | <p>We welcome that Member States are not asked to verify the data, assumptions and their use.</p> |
| <p>5. The Commission shall submit the draft central scenario to the TEN-E Group, together with information on how the comments received in the consultation referred to in paragraph 4 have been taken into consideration. The TEN-E Group members shall deliver their comments, if any,</p> | <p>The Commission shall submit the draft central scenario to the TEN-E Group and the Member States, together with information on how the comments received in the consultation referred to in paragraph 4 have been taken into consideration. The TEN-E Group members and the Member States shall deliver their comments, [...]</p> | <p>Member States, although formally part of the TEN-E group, should be explicitly given the opportunity to comment on the draft scenarios.</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|--|
| within one month two months of receiving the draft central scenario. | | |
| 6. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 23 to supplement this Regulation by establishing the central scenarios pursuant to this Article. The Commission shall adopt the central scenario taking into account the comments from the TEN-E Group. The Commission shall adopt the central scenario by means of an implementing act. This implementing act shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 23a(2). | | |
| 7. Following the publication of the delegated act implementing act on the central scenario, the Commission shall publish the underlying input and output data for the central scenario, subject to restrictions under national law and relevant confidentiality agreements. | | |
| 8. The Commission, taking into account the views of the Agency, the Member States, national regulatory authorities, and relevant stakeholders, shall may develop sensitivity analyses to the central scenario if this is necessary based on market or policy developments. The Commission shall inform the TEN-E Group on the results of the sensitivity analysis and depending on the | 8. The Commission, taking into account the views of the Agency, the Member States, national regulatory authorities, and relevant stakeholders, shall may develop sensitivity analyses to updates of the central scenario if this is necessary based on market or policy developments. The Commission shall inform the TEN-E Group on the results of the sensitivity analysis updates and depending | Paragraph 8 and paragraph 2 (b) (or correctly, “c”) both mention “sensitivity analyses”. We understand, that sensitivity analysis in paragraph 2 (c) are published with the central scenarios to outline how changes in selected parameters affect the results, i.e. to prove the robustness of the findings. |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|--|---|
| <p>latter may amend the delegated implementing act referred to in paragraph 6 of this Article in order to include any such sensitivity analyses.</p> | <p>on the latter may amend the delegated implementing act referred to in paragraph 6 of this Article in order to include any such central scenario updates sensitivity analyses.</p> | <p>Instead, the sensitivities in paragraph 8, seem to function like “updates” of the central scenarios in consequence of market or policy developments. However, it is not fully clear from the legal text, whether this understanding is correct.</p> <p>In case the interval for updating the scenarios in para 1 remains 4 years, we suggest to reframe these extracurricular calculations as “central scenario updates”.</p> <p>Moreover, when subsequent articles refer to the central scenario and its sensitivity analysis, it is unclear, whether this refers to sensitivities from paragraph 2 or paragraph 8. This needs to be clarified! (e.g. Art 12 para 2a “<i>be based on the central scenario developed by the Commission in accordance with Article 11 and its sensitivity analyses;</i>”</p> <p>Other passages of the text would need be updated accordingly.</p> |
| | | |
| <i>Article 12</i> | | |
| | | |
| <i>Infrastructure needs identification report</i> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|--|
| 1. The ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH respectively, shall develop an infrastructure needs identification report to identify infrastructure gaps affecting the Union's objectives related to electricity and hydrogen. | | |
| | | |
| 2. These infrastructure needs identification reports shall: | | |
| | | |
| (a) be based on the central scenario developed by the Commission in accordance with Article 11 and its sensitivity analyses; | be based on the central scenario developed by the Commission in accordance with Article 11 and its sensitivity analyses and build on the respectively used data; | Explicitly requiring to use the data as provided for the central scenario will ease the data selection process and ensure consistency between the infrastructure needs identification report and the central scenario. |
| | | |
| (b) comply with the methodology developed by the Agency pursuant to paragraph 11; | | |
| | | |
| (c) comply with the principles laid down in Annex VII of this Regulation; | | |
| | | |
| (d) ensure a cross-sectoral approach ensuring consistency between the electricity and hydrogen sectors as well as, where applicable, gas, district heating and CO ₂ sectors. | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|---|
| <p>3. The ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH, respectively, shall consultensure a transparent and structured consultation of relevant stakeholders on the additional data, assumptions and their use for the development of their infrastructure needs identification report.</p> | | |
| <p>4. Within six months of the publication of a central scenario pursuant to Article 11, except where the publication is limited to adding a sensitivity analysis, the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall submit their respective draft infrastructure needs identification report, including the assessment of how projects submitted for inclusion in the Union wide ten-year network development plan match the needs identified, to the TEN-E Group. In case the publication is limited to adding a sensitivity analysis, the Commission may request the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH to develop a new infrastructure needs identification report in accordance with the procedure laid down in this Article.</p> | <p>4. Within six months of the publication of a central scenario pursuant to Article 11, except where the publication is limited to adding a sensitivity analysis, the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall submit their respective draft infrastructure needs identification report, including the assessment of how projects submitted for inclusion in the Union wide ten-year network development plan match the needs identified, to the TEN-E Group. In case the publication is limited to adding a sensitivity analysis is published according to Art 11 (8), the Commission may shall request the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH to develop a new infrastructure needs identification report in accordance with the procedure laid down in this Article.</p> | <p>There are several problems with the timeline:</p> <p>A) Six months are ambitious. It could be considered to provide data to the grid operators already ahead of the publication of the central scenario according to Art. 11, para 7 or to allow more time, e.g. 9 months.</p> <p>B) The role of the sensitivity analysis becomes very unclear. What does “where the publication is limited to adding a sensitivity analysis” mean? The text suggests, that a sensitivity may be added to the central scenario before the central scenario is published. But wouldn’t this just mean that the central scenario is published with delay? And if there is a sensitivity analysis, then the needs report has to be redone?</p> <p>We suggest to have the needs report follow the publication of the central scenario. When a sensitivity analysis is published after (and independently of) the central scenario (or “updated</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|---|
| | | central scenario”, see comment Art 11 (8)), then there could be a new needs report. |
| <p>5. Within two months of receipt of the draft infrastructure needs identification reports by the TEN-E Group, the Agency shall assess compliance of the draft infrastructure needs identification reports, including the assessment to what extent projects submitted for inclusion in the Union wide ten-year network development plan match the needs identified, with the methodology referred to in paragraph 1211 and the principles set out in Annex VII and inform the TEN-E Group.</p> | | |
| <p>6. Within one month two months of being informed by the Agency about the compliance of the draft infrastructure needs identification reports, the TEN-E Group members, taking into account the Agency’s input on compliance, may deliver their comments and inform the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH respectively.</p> | | |
| <p>7. Within two months of having received the comments from the TEN-E Group members, the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall adapt the draft infrastructure needs identification reports, taking into account the comments of the TEN-E Group and the Agency, to ensure full compliance with the requirements in paragraph 2,</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| and shall submit the final draft infrastructure needs identification report to the Commission. | | |
| <p>8. The Commission shall submit the final draft infrastructure needs identification report to the decision-making body of the TEN-E Group for endorsement. Before submitting the final draft infrastructure needs identification reports to the decision-making body of the TEN-E Group, tThe Commission may request updates and improvements with due justification and within a reasonable timeframe, where it finds that the final draft infrastructure needs identification reports do not appropriately reflect the comments from the members of the TEN-E Group and to ensure full compliance with the principles set out in Annex VII. The ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH respectively, shall fully address such requests within one month and re-submit the revised final draft infrastructure needs identification reports to the Commission.</p> | | |
| <p>9. <u>The Commission shall submit the final infrastructure needs identification reports for endorsement</u> The decision-making body of <u>to the decision-making body of</u> the TEN-E Group shall endorse the final infrastructure needs identification reports, <u>which shall act</u> within one month of their receipt.</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>10. Within two weeks of the endorsement of the infrastructure needs identification reports pursuant to paragraph 98, the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall publish them on their website respectively. Where relevant, the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall update the infrastructure needs identification reports, <u>including the ONDPs referred to in Article 15</u>, in accordance with the sensitivity analyses adopted pursuant to Article 11(8), when requested by the Commission.</p> | | |
| <p>11. By [9 months after entry into force of this Regulation] the Agency, after having conducted an extensive consultation involving the Commission, the Member States the ENTSO for Electricity, the ENTSO for Gas, the ENNOH, the EU DSO Entity and other relevant stakeholders, shall publish a binding methodology for the identification of infrastructure needs.</p> | | |
| <p>12. The methodology shall ensure that the infrastructure needs identification report complies with the principles laid down in Annex VII.</p> | | |
| <p>13. The Agency on its own initiative, or upon request of the Commission, shall update the methodology where necessary.</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|--|
| 14. Until 1 January 2027, this Article applies subject to the transitional provisions set out in Article 61 of Regulation (EU) 2024/1789. | | |
| <i>Article 13</i> | | |
| <i>Needs matching process in the electricity system</i> | | |
| 1. When the infrastructure needs identification report for electricity concludes that projects submitted for inclusion in the Union wide ten-year network development plan do not fully meet the infrastructure needs identified pursuant to Article 12, the Commission may launch a process to identify possible solutions to address the unmatched needs. | | We would like to further understand why needs matching processes shall only be conducted in the electricity, but not in the hydrogen (and gas) system. We assume that for hydrogen current infrastructure is too undeveloped to include it in such a needs matching process. |
| 2. The Commission, in cooperation with the ENTSO for Electricity, the Member States and the Agency, shall invite system operators in the relevant Groups to propose, within six months of the invitation, projects capable of addressing the unmatched needs. The Commission shall submit the proposed projects to the relevant Groups established in accordance with Article 3 for discussion. The Commission may involve other | | It is not fully clear to us which level of maturity “project proposals” entail. Depending on the extend of the proposal, six months might be suitable time, or quite ambitious. Clarification would be helpful. |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>relevant stakeholders and other regional cooperation fora. Project promoters capable of addressing the unmatched needs shall submit eligible projects as soon as possible for inclusion in the subsequent national development plans, the Union-wide ten-year network development plan and the Union list, <u>subject to applicable eligibility requirements.</u></p> | | |
| <p>3. Where the process under paragraph 2 does not identify projects capable of addressing the unmatched needs, the Commission may launch a call for proposals open to any third party capable of becoming a project promoter to propose projects capable of addressing the unmatched needs. Project promoters capable of addressing the unmatched needs shall submit eligible projects as soon as possible for inclusion in the subsequent national development plans, the Union-wide ten-year network development plan and the Union list, <u>subject to applicable eligibility requirements.</u></p> | | |
| <p>4. The Commission shall monitor the outcome of the process and progress of the projects referred to in paragraphs 2 and 3 and closely involve the relevant Groups established in accordance with Article 3 and other relevant regional cooperation fora.</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|--|
| <i>Article 14</i> | | |
| <i>Energy system wide cost-benefit analysis</i> | | |
| <p>1. For projects falling under the infrastructure categories set out in points (1)(a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) and (h) and points (2) and (3) of Annex II , the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall each use <u>develop</u> consistent single sector methodologies for a harmonised energy system-wide cost-benefit analysis at Union level <u>and use them as relevant</u> when assessing projects for their inclusion in their respective Union-wide ten-year network development plans.</p> | | |
| <p>2. The methodologies shall:</p> | | |
| <p>(a) be drawn up in accordance with the principles laid down in Annex V;</p> | | |
| <p>(b) be based on common assumptions allowing for project comparison;</p> | | <p>How would these “common assumptions” relate to the required consistency in (c) with the central scenario, which would already entail common assumptions? This is not clear from the text.</p> |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| (c) be consistent with the Union's targets for energy and climate and its 2050 climate neutrality objective and the central scenario referred to in Article 11, as well as with the rules and indicators set out in Annex IV; | | |
| (d) allow for the assessment of project bundles pursuant to Article 18 and, in the electricity sector, for the consideration of non-wire solutions; | | |
| (e) shall take a cross-sectoral approach. | | |
| 3. The ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall develop and publish preliminary draft methodologies for the purpose of consulting the EU DSO Entity, and Member States and other relevant stakeholders. The consultation process shall be open, timely and transparent. The ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall prepare and make public a report on the consultation process. | | |
| 4. The ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall publish and submit to Member States, the Commission and the Agency their draft methodologies. The ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall provide reasons where they have not, or have only partly, taken into account the | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>comments from Member States, national authorities, or other stakeholders received during the consultation process pursuant to paragraph 3. The ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall publish and submit to Member States, the Commission and the Agency their first consistent single sector draft methodologies by December 2027.</p> | | |
| <p>5. Within three months of receipt of the draft methodologies, the Agency and Member States may deliver their opinions to the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH and the Commission. The Commission may organise specific meetings of the Groups to discuss the draft methodologies.</p> | | |
| <p>6. Within three months of receipt of the opinions of the Agency and Member States, the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall amend their respective methodologies to fully take into account the opinions of the Agency and the Member States and submit them to the Commission for its approval.</p> | | |
| <p>7. Within three months of receipt of the respective methodologies, the Commission shall issue its decision.</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>8. If the Commission rejects the draft methodology, it shall provide reasons. The ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH respectively shall revise the draft methodology and resubmit it to the Commission for its approval.</p> | | |
| <p>9. Within two weeks of the approval by the Commission, the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall publish their respective methodologies on their websites.</p> | | |
| <p>10. The Commission and the Agency may request the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH, as applicable, to update their methodologies and set a timetable. The Agency may act on its own initiative, or upon a duly reasoned request by national regulatory authorities or stakeholders. The Agency shall publish the requests it receives and all relevant non-commercially sensitive documents on which its request is based.</p> | | |
| <p>11. Where requested by the Agency or by the Commission, the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall update the consistent single sector cost-benefit methodologies in accordance with the approval procedure pursuant to paragraphs 3 to 9.</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|--|
| <p>12. The ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall publish in the context of each Union-wide ten-year network development plan the updated input data relevant for application of the methodologies, including calculation methods, network models, relevant load flow and market data. These data shall be published in a sufficiently accurate form subject to restrictions under national law and relevant confidentiality agreements. The Commission and the Agency shall ensure the confidential treatment of the data received by them and by any party that carries out analytical work on the basis of those data on their behalf.</p> | | <p>How can the Commission and ACER be responsible for confidential treatment of data, if ENTSO-E and ENNOH are supposed to publish the data (and hence have it in the first place)?</p> <p>The paragraph should be made more clear/consistent!</p> |
| <p>13. The ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall calculate and publish, as part of the Union-wide ten-year network development plan, the results of cost-benefit analyses for all projects, showing how the benefits are distributed across countries. This shall include benefits for both hosting countries and non-hosting countries that benefit from the respective project.</p> | | |
| <p>14. For projects falling under the energy infrastructure categories set out in point (1)(g) and in point (4) of Annex II, the Commission shall ensure the development of methodologies for a harmonised energy system-wide cost-benefit analysis at Union level. Those methodologies shall be compatible in terms of benefits and costs with</p> | | <p>Why are additional methodologies needed for smart grids and carbon dioxide infrastructure?</p> |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|---|
| <p>the methodologies developed by the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH. The methodologies shall be developed in a transparent manner, including extensive consultation of the Agency, the Member States and all relevant stakeholders.</p> | | |
| <p>15. Starting from [April 2028] and every two years, the Agency shall establish and publish a set of indicators and corresponding reference values for the comparison of unit investment costs for comparable projects of the energy infrastructure categories included in Annex II. Project promoters shall provide the requested data to the national regulatory authorities and to the Agency. Those reference values may be used by the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH for the cost-benefit analyses carried out for subsequent Union-wide ten-year network development plans.</p> | | <p>The article states, that ENTSO-E and ENNOH may use the reference values calculated by ACER, but they are not obliged to use them. For consistency reasons, it might be favorable to use the reference values by ACER. What is the rational for allowing a deviation from these reference values?</p> |
| <u>ANNEX I</u> | | |
| ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE PRIORITY CORRIDORS AND AREAS | | |
| (as referred to in Article 1(1)) | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| This Regulation shall apply to the following trans-European energy infrastructure priority corridors and areas: | | |
| 1. PRIORITY ELECTRICITY CORRIDORS | | |
| (1) North-South electricity interconnections in Western Europe (NSI West Electricity): interconnections between Member States of the region and with the Mediterranean area including the Iberian peninsula, in particular to integrate electricity from renewable energy sources, reinforce internal grid infrastructures to foster market integration in the region and to end isolation of Ireland, to increase security of supply and network security, and to ensure the necessary onshore prolongations of offshore grids for renewable energy and the domestic grid reinforcements necessary to ensure an adequate and reliable transmission grid and to supply electricity generated offshore to landlocked Member States. | | |
| Member States concerned: Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Ireland, Spain, France, Italy, | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Austria and Portugal. | | |
| (2) North-South electricity interconnections in Central Eastern and South Eastern Europe (NSI East Electricity): interconnections, and internal lines in North-South and East-West directions to complete the internal market, integrate generation from renewable energy sources to end the isolation of Cyprus, to increase security of supply and network security, and to ensure the necessary onshore prolongations of offshore grids for renewable energy and the domestic grid reinforcements necessary to ensure an adequate and reliable transmission grid and to supply electricity generated offshore to landlocked Member States. | | |
| Member States concerned: Bulgaria, Czechia, Germany, Croatia, Greece, Cyprus, Italy, Hungary, Austria, Poland, Romania, Slovenia and Slovakia. | | |
| (3) Baltic Energy Market Interconnection Plan in electricity (BEMIP Electricity): interconnections between Member States and internal lines in the Baltic region, to foster market integration while integrating growing shares of renewable energy in the | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| region, and to increase security of supply and network security. | | |
| Member States concerned: Denmark, Germany, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Finland and Sweden. | | |
| 2. PRIORITY OFFSHORE GRID CORRIDORS | | |
| (4) Northern Seas offshore grids (NSOG): offshore electricity grid development, integrated offshore electricity, as well as, where appropriate, hydrogen grid development and the related interconnectors in the North Sea, the Irish Sea, the Celtic Sea, the English Channel and neighbouring waters to transport electricity or, where appropriate, hydrogen from renewable offshore energy sources to centres of consumption and storage or to increase cross-border renewable energy exchange and to increase security of supply and network security. | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| Member States concerned: Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Ireland, France, Luxembourg, Netherlands and Sweden. | | |
| (5) Baltic Energy Market Interconnection Plan offshore grids (BEMIP offshore): offshore electricity grid development, integrated offshore electricity, as well as, where appropriate, hydrogen grid development and the related interconnectors in the Baltic Sea and neighbouring waters to transport electricity or, where appropriate, hydrogen from renewable offshore energy sources to centres of consumption and storage or to increase cross-border renewable energy exchange and to increase security of supply and network security. | | |
| Member States concerned: Denmark, Germany, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Finland and Sweden. | | |
| (6) South and West offshore grids (SW offshore): offshore electricity grid development, integrated offshore electricity, as well as, where appropriate, hydrogen grid development and the | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>related interconnectors in the Mediterranean Sea, including the Cadiz Gulf, and neighbouring waters to transport electricity or, where appropriate, hydrogen from renewable offshore energy sources to centres of consumption and storage or to increase cross-border renewable energy exchange and to increase security of supply and network security.</p> |  | |
| | | |
| <p>Member States concerned: Greece, Spain, France, Italy, Malta and Portugal.</p> | | |
| | | |
| <p>(7) South and East offshore grids (SE offshore): offshore electricity grid development, integrated offshore electricity, as well as, where appropriate, hydrogen grid development and the related interconnectors in the Mediterranean Sea, Black Sea and neighbouring waters to transport electricity or, where appropriate, hydrogen from renewable offshore energy sources to centres of consumption and storage or to increase cross-border renewable energy exchange and to increase security of supply and network security.</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| Member States concerned: Bulgaria, Croatia, Greece, Italy, Cyprus, Romania and Slovenia. | | |
| (8) Atlantic offshore grids: offshore electricity grid development, integrated offshore electricity grid development and the related interconnectors in the North Atlantic Ocean waters to transport electricity from renewable offshore energy sources to centres of consumption and storage and to increase cross-border electricity exchange and to increase security of supply and network security. | | |
| Member States concerned: Ireland, Spain, France and Portugal. | | |
| 3. PRIORITY CORRIDORS FOR HYDROGEN AND ELECTROLYSERS | | |
| (9) Hydrogen interconnections in Western Europe (HI West): hydrogen infrastructure and the repurposing of gas infrastructure, enabling the emergence of an integrated hydrogen backbone, directly or indirectly (via interconnection with a third country), connecting the countries of | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| the region and addressing their specific infrastructure needs for hydrogen supporting the emergence of an Union-wide network for hydrogen transport in the Union. | | |
| Electrolysers: supporting the deployment of power-to-gas applications aiming to enable greenhouse gas reductions and contributing to secure, efficient and reliable system operation and smart energy system integration in the Union. | | |
| Member States concerned: Belgium, Czechia, Denmark, Germany, Ireland. Spain, France, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Austria and Portugal. | | |
| (10) Hydrogen interconnections in Central Eastern and South Eastern Europe (HI East): hydrogen infrastructure and the repurposing of gas infrastructure, enabling the emergence of an integrated hydrogen backbone, directly or indirectly (via interconnection with a third country), connecting the countries of the region and addressing their specific infrastructure needs for hydrogen supporting the emergence of an Union-wide network for hydrogen transport in the Union. | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| Electrolysers: supporting the deployment of power-to-gas applications aiming to enable greenhouse gas reductions and contributing to secure, efficient and reliable system operation and smart energy system integration in the Union. | | |
| Member States concerned: Bulgaria, Czechia, Germany, Greece, Croatia, Italy, Cyprus, Hungary, Austria, Poland, Romania, Slovenia and Slovakia. | | |
| (11) Baltic Energy Market Interconnection Plan in hydrogen (BEMIP Hydrogen): hydrogen infrastructure and the repurposing of gas infrastructure, enabling the emergence of an integrated hydrogen backbone, directly or indirectly (via interconnection with a third country), connecting the countries of the region and addressing their specific infrastructure needs for hydrogen supporting the emergence of an Union-wide network for hydrogen transport in the Union. | | |
| Electrolysers: supporting the deployment of power-to-gas applications aiming to enable greenhouse gas reductions and contributing to secure, efficient and reliable system operation and smart energy system integration in the Union. | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| Member States concerned: Denmark, Germany, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Finland and Sweden. | | |
| 4. PRIORITY THEMATIC AREAS | | |
| (12) Smart electricity grids deployment: adopting smart grid technologies across the Union to efficiently integrate the behaviour and actions of all users connected to the electricity network, in particular the generation of large amounts of electricity from renewable or distributed energy sources and demand response by consumers, energy storage, electric vehicles and other flexibility sources and, in addition, as regards islands and island systems, decreasing energy isolation, supporting innovative and other solutions involving at least two Member States with a significant positive impact on the Union's targets for energy and climate and its 2050 climate neutrality objective, and contributing significantly to the sustainability of the island energy system and that of the Union. | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| Member States concerned: all. | | |
| (13) Cross-border carbon dioxide network: development of infrastructure for transport and storage of carbon dioxide between Member States and with neighbouring third countries of carbon dioxide capture and storage captured from industrial installations for the purpose of permanent geological storage as well as carbon dioxide utilisation for synthetic fuel gases leading to the permanent neutralization of carbon dioxide. | | |
| Member States concerned: all. | | |
| | | |
| <u>ANNEX II</u> | | |
| | | |
| ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CATEGORIES | | |
| | | |
| The energy infrastructure categories to be developed in order to implement the energy infrastructure priorities set out in Annex I shall be the following: | | |
| | | |
| (1) concerning electricity: | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>(a) high and extra-high voltage overhead transmission lines, crossing a border or within a Member State territory including the exclusive economic zone, if they have been designed for a voltage of 220 kV or more, and underground and submarine transmission cables, if they have been designed for a voltage of 150 kV or more. For Member States and small isolated systems with a lower voltage overall transmission system, those voltage thresholds are equal to the highest voltage level in their respective electricity systems;</p> | | |
| <p>(b) any equipment or installation falling under energy infrastructure category referred to in point (a) enabling transmission of offshore renewable electricity from the offshore generation sites (energy infrastructure for offshore renewable electricity);</p> | | |
| <p>(c) energy storage facilities, in individual or aggregated form, used for storing energy on a permanent</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>or temporary basis in above-ground or underground infrastructure or geological sites, provided they are directly connected to high-voltage transmission lines and distribution lines designed for a voltage of 110 kV or more. For Member States and small isolated systems with a lower voltage overall transmission system, those voltage thresholds are equal to the highest voltage level in their respective electricity systems;</p> |  | |
| <p>(d) any equipment or installation essential for the systems referred to in points (a), (b) and (c) to operate the systems safely, securely and efficiently, including protection, resilience, monitoring, control and digitalisation equipment or installation at all voltage levels and substations;</p> | | |
| <p>(e) any equipment or installation, which is specifically designed to provide protection and resilience to existing critical network elements pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2019/943, is physically directly connected to them, and is essential to operate the</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| systems safely, securely and efficiently; | | |
| (f) any equipment or installation essential for existing high and extra high -voltage network elements to operate the systems safely and efficiently which constitutes monitoring, control and digitalisation equipment or installation; | | |
| (g) smart electricity grids: any equipment or installation, digital systems and components integrating information and communication technologies (ICT), through operational digital platforms, control systems and sensor technologies both at transmission and medium and high voltage distribution level, aiming to ensure a more efficient and intelligent electricity transmission and distribution network, increased capacity to integrate new forms of generation, energy storage and consumption and facilitating new business models and market structures, including investments in | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>islands and island systems to decrease energy isolation, to support innovative and other solutions involving at least two Member States with a significant positive impact on the Union's targets for energy and climate and its 2050 climate neutrality objective, and to contribute significantly to the sustainability of the island energy system and that of the Union;</p> |  | |
| <p>(h) offshore grids for renewable energy: any equipment or installation falling under energy infrastructure category referred to in point (a) having dual functionality: interconnection and offshore grid connection system from the offshore renewable generation sites to two or more Member States and a third country, including the onshore prolongation of this equipment up to the first substation in the onshore transmission system, as well as any offshore adjacent equipment or installation essential to operate safely, securely and efficiently, including protection, monitoring and control systems, and necessary substations if they also ensure</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| technology interoperability, inter alia, interface compatibility between various technologies ; | | |
| | | |
| (2) concerning hydrogen: | | |
| | | |
| (a) pipelines for the transport, mainly at high pressure, of hydrogen, including repurposed natural gas infrastructure, giving access to multiple network users on a transparent and non-discriminatory basis; | | |
| | | |
| (b) storage facilities connected to the high-pressure hydrogen pipelines referred to in point (a); | | |
| | | |
| (c) reception, storage and regasification or decompression facilities for liquefied hydrogen or hydrogen embedded in other chemical substances with the objective of injecting the hydrogen, where applicable, into the grid; | | |
| | | |
| (d) any equipment or installation essential for the hydrogen system to | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| operate safely, securely and efficiently or to enable bi-directional capacity, including compressor stations; | | |
| Any of the assets listed in points (a) to (d) may be newly constructed or repurposed from natural gas to hydrogen, or a combination of the two; | | |
| (3) concerning electrolyser facilities: | | |
| (a) electrolysers that: | | |
| (i) have at least 500 MW 200-150 MW capacity, provided by a single electrolyser or by a set of electrolysers that form a single, coordinated project; and | | |
| (ii) the production qualifies as low carbon hydrogen in line with Directive (EU) 2024/1788 in case of low-carbon hydrogen or renewable fuel of non-biological origin in line with the Directive (EU) 2018/2001; and | | |
| (iii) have a network-related function for both the electricity and the hydrogen networks, particularly | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| with a view to overall system flexibility and overall system efficiency of the two networks. | | |
| (b) related equipment, including pipeline connection to the network. | | |
| (4) concerning carbon dioxide: | | |
| (a) dedicated pipelines, other than upstream pipeline network, used to transport carbon dioxide from more than one source, for the purpose of permanent geological storage of carbon dioxide pursuant to Directive 2009/31/EC; | | |
| (b) fixed facilities for liquefaction, buffer storage and converters of carbon dioxide in view of its further transportation through pipelines and in dedicated modes of transport such as ship, barge, truck, and train; | | |
| (c) without prejudice to any prohibition of geological storage of carbon dioxide in a Member State, surface and injection facilities associated with infrastructure within a | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>geological formation that is used, in accordance with Directive 2009/31/EC, for the permanent geological storage of carbon dioxide, where they do not involve the use of carbon dioxide for the enhanced recovery of hydrocarbons and are necessary to allow the cross-border transport and storage of carbon dioxide;</p> | | |
| <p>(d) any equipment or installation essential for the system in question to operate properly, securely and efficiently, including protection, monitoring and control systems.</p> | | |
| <p><u>ANNEX III</u></p> | | |
| <p>REGIONAL LISTS OF PROJECTS</p> | | |
| <p>1. RULES FOR GROUPS</p> | | |
| <p>(1) With regard to energy infrastructure falling under the competence of national regulatory authorities, each Group shall be composed of representatives of the</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| Member States, national regulatory authorities, TSOs as well as the Commission, the Agency, the EU DSO entity and either the ENTSO for Electricity or the ENNOH. | | |
| For the other energy infrastructure categories, each Group shall be composed of the Commission and the representatives of the Member States, project promoters concerned by each of the relevant priorities set out in Annex I. | | |
| (2) Depending on the number of candidate projects for the Union list, regional infrastructure gaps and market developments, the Groups and the decision-making bodies of the Groups may split, merge or meet in different configurations, as necessary, to discuss matters common to all Groups via the TEN-E Group or pertaining solely to particular regions. Such matters may include issues relevant to cross-regional consistency or the number of proposed projects included on the draft regional lists at risk of becoming unmanageable. | | |
| (3) Each Group shall organise its work in line with regional cooperation efforts pursuant to Articles 31 and 65 of Regulation (EU) | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| 2024/1789, Article 80 of Directive (EU) 2024/1788, Article 34 of Regulation (EU) 2019/943, and Article 61 of Directive (EU) 2019/944, and other existing regional cooperation structures. | | |
| (4) Each Group shall invite, as appropriate for the purpose of implementing the relevant energy infrastructure priority corridors and areas designated in Annex I, promoters of a project potentially eligible for selection as a project of common interest or projects of mutual interest as well as representatives of national administrations, of regulatory authorities, of civil society and TSOs from third countries. | | |
| (5) For the energy infrastructure priority corridors set out in Section 2 of Annex I, each Group shall invite, as appropriate, representatives of the landlocked Member States, competent authorities, national regulatory authorities and TSOs. | | |
| (6) Each Group shall invite to the meetings, as appropriate, the organisations representing relevant stakeholders, including representatives from third countries, and, where deemed to be | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>appropriate, directly the stakeholders, including producers, DSOs, suppliers, consumers, local populations and Union-based organisations for environmental protection, to express their specific expertise. Each Group shall organise hearings or consultations where relevant for the accomplishments of its tasks.</p> | | |
| <p>(7) As regards the meetings of the Groups, the Commission shall publish, on a platform accessible to stakeholders, the internal rules, an updated list of member organisations, regularly updated information on the progress of work, meeting agendas, as well as meeting minutes, where available. The deliberations of the decision-making bodies of the Groups and the project ranking in accordance with Article 4(5) shall be confidential. All decisions concerning to the functioning and work of the Groups shall be made by consensus between the Member States and the Commission.</p> | | |
| <p>(8) The Commission, the Agency and the Groups shall strive for consistency between the Groups. For that purpose, the Commission and the Agency shall ensure,</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| when relevant, the exchange of information on all work representing an interregional interest between the Groups concerned. | | |
| (9) The participation of national regulatory authorities and the Agency in the Groups shall not jeopardise the fulfilment of their objectives and duties under this Regulation or under Regulation (EU) 2019/942, Articles 77, 78, and 79 of Directive (EU) 2024/1788 and Articles 58, 59 and 60 of Directive (EU) 2019/944. | | |
| | | |
| 2. PROCESS FOR ESTABLISHING REGIONAL LISTS | | |
| (1) Promoters of a project potentially eligible for selection as a project on the Union list wanting to obtain that status shall submit an application for selection as a project on the Union list to the Group that includes: | | |
| (a) an assessment of their projects with regard to their contribution to implementing the priorities set out in Annex I; | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| (b) an indication of the relevant project category set out in Annex II; | | |
| (c) an analysis of the fulfilment of the relevant criteria laid down in Article 4; | | |
| (d) for projects having reached a sufficient degree of maturity, a cost-benefit analysis, which is consistent with the methodologies pursuant Article 14, and which, for energy infrastructure categories relating to electricity falling under points 1 (a), (b), (c), (d), (f), (h) of Annex II, to hydrogen falling under point 2 of Annex II, and to electrolysers falling under point 3 of Annex II, has been performed by the ENTSO for Electricity or the ENNOH, as applicable, in the framework of the Union-wide ten-year network development plan; | | |
| (e) information regarding their ultimate beneficiary owners and their internal ownership structure which shall be treated as confidential by | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>the Commission and the members of the high-level decision-making body at duly justified request by the project promoters, in case of business secrets/commercial information;</p> | | |
| <p>(f) for projects of mutual interest, project specific non-binding agreements between or letters of support from the governments of the directly affected countries expressing their explicit support for the project and, for the third country, confirming their explicit commitment to complying with a similar timeline for accelerated implementation and other policy and regulatory support measures as applies to projects of common interest in the Union pursuant to Article 4(2), point (f), and, for energy infrastructure categories relating to electricity falling under points 1(a), (d) or (h), a preliminary grid security and stability study from the transmission system operators confirming that the project can be fully integrated into the electricity networks of the countries concerned;</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| (g) any other relevant information for the evaluation of the project. | | |
| (2) Projects on the Union list that have obtained regulatory approval or final investment decision providing sufficient assurance of the construction of the project, or projects for which construction is on-going and show sufficient progress in their annual report required under Article 5, shall remain be automatically proposed for the inclusion in the Union list <u>in accordance with the process described in Article 3(3)</u> and not be required to re-submit information pursuant to points (a) to (f) and of point 1. All recipients shall ensure the confidentiality of commercially sensitive information. | | |
| (3) The proposed electricity transmission and storage projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest falling under the energy infrastructure categories set out in point (1)(a), (b), (c), (d), (f), and (h) of Annex II to this Regulation, as relevant, shall be part of the latest available Union-wide ten-year network development plan for electricity, | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>developed by the ENTSO for Electricity pursuant Article 30 of Regulation (EU) 2019/943. The proposed electricity transmission projects of common interest falling under the energy infrastructure categories set out in points (1)(b) and (h) of Annex II to this Regulation shall be consistent with the integrated offshore network development and grid reinforcements referred to in Article 15(2) of this Regulation.</p> | | |
| <p>(4) The proposed hydrogen projects of common interest and projects of mutual interest falling under the energy infrastructure categories set out in point (2) and (3) of Annex II to this Regulation shall be part of the latest available Union-wide ten-year network development plan for hydrogen, developed by the ENNOH pursuant to Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2024/1789.</p> | | |
| <p>(5) By 30 June 2027 and subsequently for every Union-wide ten-year network development plan, the ENTSO for Electricity, and the ENNOH shall issue updated guidelines for inclusion of projects in their respective Union-wide ten-year network development plan, as</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>referred to in points (3) and (4), in order to ensure equal treatment and the transparency of the process. For all the projects on the Union list in force at the time, the guidelines shall establish a simplified process of inclusion in the Union-wide ten-year network development plans taking into account the documentation and data already submitted during the previous Union-wide ten-year network development plan processes, provided that the documentation and data already submitted remains valid.</p> |  | |
| <p>The ENTSO for Electricity, and the ENNOH shall consult the Commission and the Agency about their respective draft guidelines for inclusion of projects in the Union-wide ten-year network development plans and take due account of the Commission's and the Agency's recommendations before the publication of the final guidelines.</p> | | |
| <p>(6) The ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall provide information to the TEN-E Group as to how they applied the guidelines to evaluate inclusion in the Union-wide ten-year network development plans.</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>(7) Proposed carbon dioxide transport and storage projects falling under the energy infrastructure category set out in point (4) of Annex II shall be presented as part of a plan, developed by at least two Member States, for the development of cross-border carbon dioxide transport and storage infrastructure, to be presented by the Member States concerned or entities designated by those Member States to the Commission.</p> |  | |
| <p>(8) For projects falling under their competence, the national regulatory authorities and, the Agency shall, taking into account regional cooperation pursuant to Article 80 of Directive (EU) 2024/1788 and Article 61 of Directive (EU) 2019/944, check the consistent application of the criteria and of the project-specific cost-benefit analysis methodology pursuant to Article 14 of this Regulation, and evaluate projects' cross-border relevance and progress achieved for projects on the Union list, taking into account the reports submitted pursuant to Article 5(4) of this Regulation. They shall present their assessment to the Group. The Commission shall ensure that criteria and methodologies referred to in Article 4 of</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>this Regulation and Annex IV are applied in a harmonised way to ensure consistency across the regional groups.</p> | | |
| <p>(9) For all projects not covered in point (8) of this Annex, the Commission shall evaluate the application of the criteria set out in Article 4 of this Regulation. The Commission shall also take into account the potential for future extension to include additional Member States. The Commission shall present its assessment to the Group. For projects applying for the status of project of mutual interest, third-country representatives and regulatory authorities shall be invited to the presentation of the assessment.</p> | | |
| <p>(10) Each Member State to whose territory a proposed project does not relate, but on which the proposed project may have a potential net positive impact or a potential significant effect, such as on the environment or on the operation of the energy infrastructure on its territory, may present an opinion to the Group specifying its concerns.</p> | | |
| <p>(11) The Group shall examine, at the request of a Member State of the Group, the</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>substantiated reasons presented by a Member State pursuant to Article 3(3) for not approving a project related to its territory.</p> | | |
| <p>(12) The Group shall consider whether the energy efficiency first principle is applied as regards the establishment of the regional infrastructure needs and as regards each of the candidate projects. The Group shall, in particular, consider solutions such as non-wire solutions, demand-side management, non-fossil flexibility, market arrangement solutions, implementation of digital solutions, and renovation of buildings as priority solutions where they are judged more cost-efficient on a system wide perspective than the construction of new supply side infrastructure.</p> | | |
| <p>(13) The Group shall meet to examine and rank the proposed projects based on a transparent assessment of the projects and using the criteria set out in Article 4 taking into account the assessment of the national regulatory authorities, or the assessment of the Commission for projects not falling within the competence of national regulatory authorities.</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>(14) The decision-making body of each Group shall adopt its final list of proposed projects at latest by two months before the adoption date of the Union list <i>Article 22</i>, respecting the provisions set out in Article 3(3), on the basis of the Groups' proposal and taking into account the assessment of national regulatory authorities and the Agency and the assessment of the Commission for projects not falling within the competence of national regulatory authorities proposed in accordance with point (9), and the advice from the Commission that aims to ensure a manageable total number of projects on the Union list, especially at borders related to competing or potentially competing projects. The decision-making bodies of the Groups shall submit the final lists to the Commission, together with any opinions as specified in point (10).</p> | | |
| <p>(15) Where, on the basis of the draft lists, the total number of proposed projects on the Union list would exceed a manageable number, the Commission shall advise each Group concerned, not to include in the list projects that were ranked lowest by the Group concerned in accordance</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|--|
| with the ranking established pursuant to Article 4(5). | | |
| | | |
| <u>ANNEX IV</u> | | |
| | | |
| RULES AND INDICATORS CONCERNING CRITERIA FOR PROJECTS | | |
| | | |
| (1) A project of common interest with a significant cross-border impact shall be a project on the territory of a Member State and shall fulfil the following conditions: | | |
| | | |
| (a) for electricity transmission projects falling under point (1) (a), (b), <u>and</u> (d), and (f) of Annex II, the project increases the net transfer capacity, <u>compared to the situation without commissioning of the project, by at least 400 Megawatts (MW) at the border of that Member State with one or several other Member States, or by at least 50 % of the net transfer capacity at a border of that Member State with any other Member State; by at least 200 Megawatts (MW) compared to</u> | | We welcome the changes made as they strike a good balance between the focus of the Ten-E on cross border projects, while allowing for smaller EU Member States to have their cross-border grids to be considered as well during the PCI selection process. |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| the situation without commissioning of the project; | | |
| <u>(aa) for electricity transmission projects falling under point (1) (f) of Annex II, the project increases the net transfer capacity, at the border of that Member State with other Member States by at least 200 Megawatts (MW) compared to the situation without commissioning of the project;</u> | | |
| (b) for any equipment or installation projects falling under point (1) (e) of Annex II, they need to be deployed on existing critical network elements, as defined in Article 2, point (69), of Regulation (EU) 2019/943, be included as part of the measures defined in the risk preparedness plans established by Member States pursuant to the Risk Preparedness Regulation to address risks to energy security, and increase energy security in at least one additional Member State; | | |
| (c) for electricity storage projects falling under point (1) (c) of Annex II, the project provides at least 225 MW installed capacity and has a | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| storage capacity that allows a net annual electricity generation of 250 GW-hours/year; | | |
| <p>(d) for smart electricity grids projects falling under point (1) (g) of Annex II, the project is designed for equipment and installations at high-voltage and medium-voltage level, and involves TSOs, TSOs and DSOs, or DSOs from at least two Member States. The project may involve only DSOs provided that they are from at least two Member States and provided that interoperability is ensured. The project shall satisfy at least two of the following criteria: it involves 50 000 users, generators, consumers or prosumers of electricity, it captures a consumption area of at least 300 GW hours/year, at least 20 % of the electricity consumption linked to the project originates from variable renewable resources, or it decreases energy isolation of non-interconnected systems in one or more Member States. The project does not need to involve a physical common border. For projects related to small isolated systems as defined</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|--|
| <p>in Article 2, point (42), of Directive (EU) 2019/944, including islands, those voltage levels shall be equal to the highest voltage level in the relevant electricity system;</p> | | |
| <p>(e) for hydrogen transmission the project <u>enables the transmission of hydrogen across the borders of the Member States concerned, or</u> increases existing cross-border hydrogen transport capacity at a border between two Member States by at least 10 % compared to the situation prior to the commissioning of the project, and the project sufficiently demonstrates that it is an essential part of a planned cross-border hydrogen network and provides sufficient proof of existing plans and cooperation with neighbouring countries and network operators or, for projects decreasing energy isolation of non-interconnected systems in one or more Member States, the project aims to supply, directly or indirectly, at least two Member States;</p> | | <p>DE supports this proposal as it strengthens the legal basis for PCI eligibility of such projects, that are essential for cross-border transport of hydrogen even though they are not directly located at an IP border point. This is in line with the circumstance that many domestic network segments also hold a high cross-border relevance within a certain corridor, e.g. in Southwest or Southern. However, when looking into potential new EU financial guarantees for cross-border hydrogen pipelines, as proposed by DE under Art. 18, a clear distinction should be made relating to the asset base covered by the guarantee with respect to domestic vs. cross-border functions of the network. Domestic network functions should as much as possible be covered by domestic market commitments or national de-risking mechanisms.</p> |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|--|--|
| <p>(f) for hydrogen storage or hydrogen reception facilities referred to in point (2) of Annex II, the project aims to supply, directly or indirectly, at least two Member States;</p> | | |
| <p>(g) for electrolyzers, the project provides at least 500 MW 200-150 MW installed capacity provided by a single electrolyser or by a set of electrolysers that form a single coordinated project and brings benefits directly or indirectly to at least two Member States; <u>have as their primary purpose the delivery of hydrogen into the transmission network and intend to deliver at least half of the expected hydrogen production to consumers in another Member State;</u></p> | | <p>We welcome that the threshold for electrolyzers has been set to 150 MW, which more accurately reflects the current development of the hydrogen market.</p> |
| <p>(h) for offshore renewable electricity transmission, the project is designed to transfer electricity from offshore generation sites with capacity of at least 500 MW and allows for electricity transmission to onshore grid of a specific Member State,</p> | <p>[...] The project shall be developed in the areas with low penetration of offshore renewable electricity and shall demonstrate a significant positive impact on the Union's targets for energy</p> | <p>The North Sea countries have agreed on an expansion target of 300 GW by 2050. Up to 100 GW of this can be developed in cooperation. This could create the world's largest energy hub, which will become a central pillar of clean energy supply for Europe. Implementation requires comprehensive infrastructure development with</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|---|
| <p>increasing the volume of renewable electricity available on the internal market. The project shall be developed in the areas with low penetration of offshore renewable electricity and shall demonstrate a significant positive impact on the Union's targets for energy and climate and its 2050 climate neutrality objective;</p> | <p>and climate and its 2050 climate neutrality objective;</p> | <p>innovative approaches. Therefore, no exclusions should be made here.</p> |
| <p>(i) for carbon dioxide projects, the project is used to transport and, where applicable, store anthropogenic carbon dioxide originating from at least two Member States.</p> | | |
| <p>(2) A project of mutual interest with significant cross-border impact shall fulfil the following conditions:</p> | | |
| <p>(a) for projects of mutual interest relating to the category set out in point (1)(a), (d) and (h) of Annex II, the project increases the net transfer capacity at the border of that Member State with a third country and brings significant benefits to at</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| least two countries directly or indirectly concerned by the project; | | |
| | | |
| (b) for projects of mutual interest in the category set out in point (2) (a) of Annex II, the hydrogen project enables the transmission of hydrogen across the border of a Member State with a third country and proves bringing significant benefits to at least two countries directly or indirectly concerned by the project; | | |
| | | |
| (c) for projects of mutual interest in the category set out in point (4) of Annex II, the project can be used to transport and store anthropogenic carbon dioxide by at least two Member States and a third country. | | |
| | | |
| (3) Concerning projects falling under the energy infrastructure categories set out in point (1)(a), (b), (c), (d), (f) and (h) of Annex II, the criteria listed in Article 4 shall be evaluated as follows: | | |
| | | |
| (a) transmission of renewable energy generation to major consumption | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| centres and storage sites, measured in line with the analysis made in the latest available Union-wide ten-year network development plan in electricity, in particular by: | | |
| (i) for electricity transmission set out in point (1)(a), (b), (d), (f) and (h) of Annex II, estimating the amount of generation capacity from renewable energy sources (by technology, in MW), which is connected and transmitted due to the project, compared to the amount of planned total generation capacity from those types of renewable energy sources in the Member State concerned according to the National Energy and Climate Plans submitted by Member States in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/1999; | | |
| (ii) or energy storage set out in point (1)(c) of Annex II, comparing new capacity provided by the project with total existing capacity for the same storage technology in the area of analysis as set out in Annex V; | | |
| (b) market integration, competition and system flexibility, measured in line with the analysis made in the latest available Union-wide ten-year | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| network development plan in electricity, in particular by: | | |
| (i) calculating, for cross-border projects, including reinvestment projects, the impact on the grid transfer capability in both power flow directions, measured in terms of amount of power (in MW), and their contribution to reaching the interconnection target, and for projects with significant cross-border impact, the impact on grid transfer capability at borders between relevant Member States, between relevant Member States and third country or within relevant Member States and on demand-supply balancing and network operations in relevant Member States; | | |
| (ii) assessing the impact, for the area of analysis as set out in Annex V, in terms of energy system-wide generation and transmission costs and evolution and convergence of market prices provided by a project under various planning scenarios, in particular taking into account the variations induced on the merit order; | | |
| (c) security of supply, interoperability and secure system operation, measured in line with the analysis made in the latest available Union-wide ten-year network development plan in electricity, in particular by | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>assessing the impact of the project on the loss of load expectation for the area of analysis as set out in Annex V in terms of generation and transmission adequacy for a set of characteristic load periods, taking into account expected changes in climate-related extreme weather events and their impact on infrastructure resilience. Where applicable, the impact of the project on independent and reliable control of system operation and services shall be measured;</p> | | |
| <p><u>(d) assessing the level of interconnection of peripheral and island Member States, measured in line with the analysis made in the latest available Union-wide ten-year network development plan in electricity.</u></p> | | |
| <p>(4) Concerning projects falling under the energy infrastructure category set out in point (1)(g) of Annex II, the criteria listed in Article 4 shall be evaluated as follows:</p> | | |
| <p>(a) the level of sustainability, measured by assessing the extent of the ability</p> | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| of the grids to connect and transport variable renewable energy; | | |
| (b) security of supply, measured by assessing the level of losses in distribution, transmission networks, or both, the percentage utilisation (i.e. average loading) of electricity network components, the availability of network components (related to planned and unplanned maintenance) and its impact on network performances, and on the duration and frequency of interruptions, including climate related disruptions; | | |
| (c) market integration, measured by assessing the innovative uptake in system operation, interconnection and the decrease of energy isolation and interconnection , as well as the level of integrating other sectors and facilitating new business models and market structures; | | |
| (d) network security, flexibility and quality of supply, measured by assessing the innovative approach to system flexibility, cybersecurity, | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| <p>efficient operability between TSO and DSO level, the capacity to include demand response, storage, energy efficiency measures, the cost-efficient use of digital tools and ICT for monitoring and control purposes, the stability of the electricity system and the voltage quality performance.</p> | | |
| <p>(5) Concerning projects falling under the energy infrastructure category set out in point (1)(e) of Annex II, the criteria listed in Article 4 shall be evaluated as follows:</p> | | |
| <p>(a) security of supply, measured by the percentage utilisation (i.e. average loading) of electricity network components; the availability of network components and its impact on network performances; the duration and frequency of interruptions, including climate related disruptions;</p> | | |
| <p>(b) network security, measured by assessing the ability to prevent significant incidents through</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| physical and cybersecurity measures; | | |
| (6) Concerning hydrogen falling under the energy infrastructure category set out in point (2) of Annex II, the criteria listed in Article 4 shall be evaluated as follows: | | |
| (a) sustainability, measured as the contribution of a project to greenhouse gas emission reductions in various end-use applications in hard-to-abate sectors, such as industry or transport; flexibility and seasonal storage options for renewable electricity generation; or the integration of renewable and low-carbon hydrogen with a view to consider market needs and promote renewable hydrogen; | | |
| (b) market integration and interoperability, measured by calculating the additional value of the project to the integration of market areas and price convergence to the overall flexibility of the system; | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| (c) security of supply and flexibility, measured by calculating the additional value of the project to the resilience, diversity and flexibility of hydrogen supply; | | |
| (d) competition, measured by assessing the project's contribution to supply diversification, including the facilitation of access to indigenous sources of hydrogen supply. | | |
| (7) Concerning electrolyser projects falling under the energy infrastructure category set out in point (3) of Annex II the criteria listed in Article 4 shall be evaluated as follows: | | |
| (a) sustainability, measured by assessing the share of renewable hydrogen or low-carbon hydrogen, in particular from renewable sources meeting the criteria defined in point (3)(a)(ii) of Annex II integrated into the network or estimating the amount of deployment of synthetic fuels of those origins and the related greenhouse gas emission savings; | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| (b) security of supply, measured by assessing its contribution to the safety, stability and efficiency of network operation, including through the assessment of avoided curtailment of renewable electricity generation; | | |
| (c) enabling flexibility services such as demand response and storage by the facilitation of smart energy sector integration through the creation of links to other energy carriers and sectors, measured by assessing the cost savings enabled in connected energy sectors and systems, such as the gas, hydrogen, power and heat networks, the transport and industry sectors. | | |
| (8) Concerning carbon dioxide infrastructure falling under the energy infrastructure categories set out in point (4) of Annex II the criteria listed in Article 4 shall be evaluated as follows: | | |
| (a) sustainability, measured by assessing the total expected project | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|----------|
| <p>life-cycle greenhouse gas reductions and the absence of alternative technological solutions such as, but not limited to, energy efficiency, electrification integrating renewable sources, to achieve the same level of greenhouse gas reductions as the amount of carbon dioxide to be captured at connected industrial installations at a comparable cost within a comparable timeline taking into account the greenhouse gas emissions from the energy necessary to capture, transport and store the carbon dioxide, as applicable, considering the infrastructure including, where applicable, other potential future uses;</p> |  | |
| <p>(b) resilience and security, measured by assessing the security of the infrastructure;</p> | | |
| <p>(c) the mitigation of environmental burden and risk via the permanent neutralisation of carbon dioxide.</p> | | |
| <p><u>ANNEX V</u></p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|--|--|
| ENERGY SYSTEM-WIDE COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS | | |
| <p>The methodologies for cost-benefit analyses developed by the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall be consistent with each other, taking into account sectorial specificities. The methodologies for a harmonised and transparent energy system-wide cost-benefit analysis for projects on the Union list shall be uniform for all infrastructure categories, unless specific divergences are justified. They shall address costs in the broader sense, including externalities, in view of the Union's targets for energy and climate and its 2050 climate neutrality objective and shall comply with the following principles:</p> | | |
| <p>(1) the area for the analysis of an individual project shall cover all Member States and third countries, on whose territory the project is located, all directly neighbouring Member States and all other Member States in which the project has a significant impact. For this purpose, ENTSO for Electricity and ENNOH shall cooperate with all the relevant system operators in the relevant third countries. In the case of projects falling under the energy infrastructure category set out at point (3) of Annex II, the ENTSO for</p> | <p>(1) the area for the analysis of an individual project shall cover all Member States and third countries, on whose territory the project is located, all directly neighbouring Member States and all other Member States in which the project could have has a significant impact.</p> | <p>A priori it is unclear, in which Member States a project may have a significant impact. The current wording suggest, that this would be known before the analysis is conducted.</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|---|
| Electricity and the ENNOH shall cooperate with the project promoter, including where it is not a system operator; | | |
| (2) each cost-benefit analysis shall include sensitivity analyses concerning the input data set, where relevant, including the cost of generation and greenhouse gases as well as the expected development of demand and supply, including with regard to renewable energy sources, and including the flexibility of both, and the availability of storage, the commissioning date of various projects in the same area of analysis, climate impacts and other relevant parameters; | | |
| (3) they shall establish the analysis to be carried out, based on the relevant multi-sectorial input data set by determining the impact with and without each project and shall include the relevant interdependencies with other projects; | | |
| (4) they shall give guidance for the development and use of energy network and market modelling necessary for the cost-benefit analysis. The modelling shall allow for a full assessment of economic | | How is resilience supposed to be modelled in the CBA? We are a bit sceptical that this creates concrete benefits. |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|--|
| benefits, including market integration, security of supply, resilience and competition, as well as lifting energy isolation, social and environmental and climate impacts, including the cross-sectorial impacts. The methodology shall be fully transparent including details on why, what and how each of the benefits and costs are calculated; | | |
| (5) they shall include an explanation on how the energy efficiency first principle is implemented in all the steps of the Union-wide ten-year network development plans; | they shall include an explanation on how the energy efficiency first principle is implemented in all the steps of the Union-wide ten-year network development plans; | It is unclear how an explanation of the energy efficiency first principle in the TYNDP is actually necessary and helpful as part of CBA methodologies? |
| (6) they shall explain that the development and deployment of renewable energy will not be hampered by the project; | they shall explain that the development and deployment of renewable energy will not be hampered by the project; | The rationale of the requirement is understandable, but its effect seems questionable. Since it may create a bureaucratic burden it should be deleted. |
| (7) they shall ensure that the Member States on which the project has a net positive impact, the beneficiaries, the Member States on which the project has a net negative impact, and the cost bearers, which may be Member States other than those on which territory the infrastructure is constructed, are identified; | | |
| | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|---|---|
| <p>(8) they shall take into account, at least, the capital expenditure, operational and maintenance expenditure costs, as well as the costs induced for the related system over the technical lifecycle of the project as a whole, such as decommissioning and waste management costs, including external costs. The methodologies shall give guidance on discount rates, technical lifetime and residual value to be used for the cost- benefit calculations. They shall furthermore include a mandatory methodology to calculate benefit-to-cost ratio and the net present value, as well as a differentiation of benefits in accordance with the level of reliability of their estimation methods. Methods to calculate the climate and environmental impacts of the projects and the contribution to Union energy targets, such as renewable penetrations, energy efficiency and interconnection targets shall also be taken into account;</p> | <p style="text-align: center; opacity: 0.5; font-size: 48px; transform: rotate(-15deg);">PUBLIC</p> | |
| <p>(9) they shall ensure that the climate adaptation measures taken for each project are assessed and reflect the cost of greenhouse gas emissions and that the assessment is robust and consistent with other Union policies in order to enable</p> | <p>they shall ensure that the climate adaptation measures taken for each project are assessed and reflect the cost of greenhouse gas emissions and that the assessment is robust and consistent with other Union policies in order to enable comparison with other solutions which do not require new infrastructures.</p> | <p>The provision seems to be very bureaucratic.</p> |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| comparison with other solutions which do not require new infrastructures. | | |
| | | |
| <u>ANNEX VI</u> | | |
| | | |
| GUIDELINES FOR TRANSPARENCY AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION | | |
| | | |
| (1) The manual of procedures referred to in Article 9(1) shall contain at least: | | |
| | | |
| (a) specifications of the relevant pieces of legislation upon which decisions and opinions are based for the various types of relevant projects of common interest, including environmental law; | | |
| | | |
| (b) the list of relevant decisions and opinions to be obtained; | | |
| | | |
| (c) the names and contact details of the competent authority, other authorities concerned and major stakeholders concerned; | | |
| | | |


| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| (d) the work flow, outlining each stage in the process, including an indicative timeline and a concise overview of the decision-making process for the various types of relevant projects of common interest; | | |
| (e) information about the scope, structure and level of detail of documents to be submitted with the application for decisions, including a checklist; | | |
| (f) the stages and means for the general public to participate in the process; | | |
| (g) the manner in which the competent authority, other authorities concerned and the project promoter shall demonstrate that the opinions expressed in the public consultation were taken into account, for example by showing what amendments were done in the location and design of the project or by providing reasons why such opinions have not been taken into account; | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| <p>(h) to the extent possible, translations of its content in English and all languages of the relevant neighbouring Member States to be realised in coordination with the them relevant neighbouring <u>Member States</u>.</p> | | |
| <p>(2) The detailed schedule referred to in Article 10(8), shall at least specify the following:</p> | | |
| <p>(a) the decisions and opinions to be obtained;</p> | | |
| <p>(b) the authorities, stakeholders, and the public likely to be concerned;</p> | | |
| <p>(c) the individual stages of the procedure and their duration;</p> | | |
| <p>(d) major milestones to be accomplished and their deadlines in view of the comprehensive decision to be taken;</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| (e) the resources planned by the authorities and possible additional resource needs. | | |
| (3) Without prejudice to the requirements for public consultations under environmental law <u>and taking into account national administrative practices</u> , to increase public participation in the permit granting process and ensure in advance information and dialogue with the public, the following principles shall be applied: | | |
| (a) the stakeholders affected by a project of common interest, including relevant national, regional and local authorities, landowners and citizens living in the vicinity of the project, the general public and their associations, organisations or groups, shall be extensively informed and consulted at an early stage, in an inclusive manner, when potential concerns by the public can still be taken into account and in an open and transparent manner. Where relevant, the competent authority shall actively support the activities undertaken by the project promoter; | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>(b) competent authorities shall ensure that public consultation procedures for projects of common interest are grouped together where possible including public consultations already required under national law. Each public consultation shall cover all subject matters relevant to the particular stage of the procedure, and one subject matter relevant to the particular stage of the procedure shall not be addressed in more than one public consultation; however, one public consultation may take place in more than one geographical location. The subject matters addressed by a public consultation shall be clearly indicated in the notification of the public consultation;</p> |  | |
| <p>(c) comments and objections shall be admissible only from the beginning of the public consultation until the expiry of the deadline;</p> | | |
| <p>(d) the project promoters shall ensure that consultations take place during</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| a period that allows for open and inclusive public participation. | | |
| (4) The concept for public participation shall at least include information about: | | |
| (a) the stakeholders concerned and addressed; | | |
| (b) the measures envisaged, including proposed general locations and dates of dedicated meetings; | | |
| (c) the timeline; | | |
| (d) the human resources allocated to various tasks. | | |
| (5) In the context of the public consultation to be carried out before submission of the application file, the relevant parties shall at least: | | |
| (a) publish in electronic and, where relevant, printed form, an information leaflet of no more than | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|----------|
| <p>15 pages, giving, in a clear and concise manner, an overview of the description, purpose and preliminary timetable of the development steps of the project, the national grid development plan, alternative routes considered, types and characteristics of the potential impact, including of cross-border or transboundary nature, and possible mitigation measures, such information leaflet is to be published prior to the start of the consultation and to list the web addresses of the website of the project of common interest referred to in Article 9(7), the transparency platform referred to in Article 23 and the manual of procedures referred to in point (1) of this Annex;</p> |  | |
| <p>(b) publish the information on the consultation on the website of the project of common interest referred to in Article 9(7), on the bulletin boards of the offices of local administrations, and, at least, in one or, if applicable, two local media outlets;</p> | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| (c) invite, in written or electronic form, the relevant affected stakeholders, associations, organisations and groups to dedicated meetings, during which concerns shall be discussed. | | |
| (6) The project website referred to in Article 9(7) shall at least publish the following information: | | |
| (a) the date when the project website was last updated; | | |
| (b) translations of its content in English and in all languages of the Member States concerned by the project or on which the project has a significant cross-border impact in accordance with point (1) of Annex IV; | | |
| (c) the information leaflet referred to in point (5) updated with the latest data on the project; | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| (d) a non-technical and regularly updated summary reflecting the current status of the project, including geographic information, and clearly indicating, in case of updates, changes to previous versions; | | |
| (e) the implementation plan as set out in Article 5(1) updated with the latest data on the project; | | |
| (f) the funds allocated and disbursed by the Union for the project; | | |
| (g) the project and public consultation planning, clearly indicating dates and locations for public consultations and hearings and the envisaged subject matters relevant for those hearings; | | |
| (h) contact details in view of obtaining additional information or documents; | | |
| | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|--|---|
| (i) contact details in view of conveying comments and objections during public consultations. | | |
| <u>ANNEX VII</u> | | |
| | | |
| INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS IDENTIFICATION REPORTS | | |
| | | |
| The framework methodology developed by ACER for identification of infrastructure needs by the ENTSO for Electricity and the ENNOH shall ensure that the identification of infrastructure needs reports referred to in Article 12 comply with the following principles: | | |
| | | |
| (1) It shall be based on the central scenario pursuant to Article 11 of this Regulation, and complemented by further assessment, when relevant, using the central scenario's sensitivities. | It shall be based on the central scenario pursuant to Article 11 of this Regulation, and complemented by further assessments, when relevant, using the central scenario's sensitivities. | To be clear from the start: "It" means the "The framework methodology developed by ACER" and not the "infrastructure needs reports", , correct? Because, shouldn't it be the goal that the infrastructure needs report complies e.g. with the central scenario of Art. 11, and not (only) the framework methodology? Secondly, which "central scenario's" sensitivities are referred to here (see comments on Art 11)? Para 2 or para 8? Please specific here and in Art. 11. |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| (2) It shall follow cross-sectoral and integrated approach taking into account interlinkages between electricity, hydrogen and gas sectors, as well as, where applicable, district heating and CO2 sectors. | | |
| (3) It shall ensure that the needs are identified by analysing most efficient joined-up contribution of the electricity and hydrogen network solutions, including non-wire solutions, non-fossil flexibility or other alternatives to system expansion, to achieve the optimal energy network for achieving the energy and climate targets and objectives. The optimal energy network should also ensure security of supply and lead to a higher market integration and competitiveness of the European industry by increasing price convergence between respective market and bidding zones as and higher electricity interconnectivity levels. | | |
| (4) It shall look at medium (10-15 years) and long-term (20-30 years) time horizon aligned with the scenario timeframe based on a realistic starting network for each time horizon, identifying needs at | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|--|--|
| Member States borders and at national level if of cross-border relevance, taking also into account infrastructure developments in the third countries in line with the EU policy priorities. | | |
| (5) It shall reflect the European perspective by first identifying cross-border needs leading to the identification of possible infrastructure reinforcement needs at national level. | | The meaning of this paragraph is unclear. Does it mean, that the needs assessment shall first look for cross-border projects, and to national projects only afterwards? Please adjust the sentence that it is clear! |
| (6) It shall provide sufficient level of detail and granularity to properly consider current and future network constraints and enable subsequent identification of infrastructure needs on regional as well as national level. It shall also provide clear information on the necessary investments to address the infrastructure gaps as well as the cumulative benefits of these investments for the energy system.. | (6) It shall provide sufficient level of detail and granularity to properly consider current and future network constraints and enable subsequent identification of infrastructure needs on regional as well as national level if of cross-border relevance . It shall also provide clear information on the necessary investments to address the infrastructure gaps as well as the cumulative benefits of these investments for the energy system.. | Infrastructure needs at national level should only be identified if of cross border relevance (cf para 4). |
| (7) In electricity, it shall consider infrastructure and non-wire solutions, with due consideration of non-fossil flexibility potential and use, including storage, which would lead to more optimised energy system. The | (7) In electricity, it shall consider infrastructure and non-wire solutions, with due consideration of non-fossil flexibility potential and use, including storage, which would lead to more optimised energy system. The matchmaking of needs with projects submitted for inclusion in the Union wide ten year network development plan shall be | The required explanation of how non-wire solutions, non-fossil flexibility or other alternatives to system expansion were taken into account sounds very bureaucratic. Of course, the most efficient solution should be pursued. |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|---|---|---|
| <p>matchmaking of needs with projects submitted for inclusion in the Union wide ten-year network development plan shall be accompanied by an explanation how non-wire solutions, non-fossil flexibility or other alternatives to system expansion were taken into account.</p> | <p>accompanied by an explanation how non-wire solutions, non-fossil flexibility or other alternatives to system expansion were taken into account.</p> | <p>This should identified quantitatively within the CBA, and not separately by an explanation.</p> <p>The explanation should be deleted.</p> |
| <p>(8) It shall be an outcome of a transparent process, based on robust tools and data, requiring up to date and verified cost assumptions. In this context, it shall use clear and quantifiable criteria for the set-up of the starting network. Key relevant stakeholders shall be involved into provision of inputs as well as validation of the results through the consultation process structured in a way to enable the accommodation of comments.</p> | <p>It shall be an outcome of a transparent process, based on robust tools and data, requiring up to date and verified cost assumptions. In this context, it shall use clear and quantifiable criteria for the set-up of the starting network. Key relevant stakeholders shall be involved into provision of inputs as well as validation of the results through the consultation process structured in a way to enable the accommodation of comments.</p> <p>It shall be based on transparent and homogeneous cost assumptions which are verified by NRAs and, as far as standardised values are used, specified by ACER.</p> | <p>The paragraph seems vague and possibly redundant. Who shall deliver the cost assumptions? Doesn't para 1 require consistency with the central scenario in Art. 11? Why have another round of provision of inputs by undefined "key relevant stakeholders". We ask the COM to reconsider this paragraph. It would be more helpful to focus on cost assumptions here, which are indeed very heterogeneous and interest-based</p> |
| <p>(9) It shall deliver specific and quantified results allowing for measuring the magnitude of potential infrastructure gaps in specific locations, referring both non-wire and new infrastructure. To this aim, the identified needs should indicate to market participants the main cross-border transmission infrastructure gaps, including internal infrastructure with</p> | | |

| 2nd Presidency compromise text | Drafting suggestions | Comments |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| significant cross-border impact, that need to be addressed over the next ten to twenty years. | | |
| | | |