

Council of the European Union General Secretariat

Interinstitutional files: 2023/0202 (COD) Brussels, 13 February 2025

WK 1877/2025 ADD 1

LIMITE

DATAPROTECT JAI DIGIT MI FREMP CODEC

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

## WORKING DOCUMENT

From: To:	Presidency Working Party on Data Protection JHA Counsellors on Dataprotection Matters
N° Cion doc.:	ST 11657 2023 ADD 1 + ST 11657 2023 INIT
Subject:	Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 - 4 - column table

Ahead of the meeting of the Working Party on Data Protection of 19 February 2025, delegations will find in the Annex for their reference the latest draft of the 4-column table on the above-mentioned file.

## Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) DRAFT [text after ITM on 07.02.25]

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Formula			1	
	2023/0202 (COD)	2023/0202 (COD)	2023/0202 (COD)	2023/0202 (COD)
1				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Proposal	Title		•	•
2	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (Text with EEA relevance)	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 <u>(Text with EEA relevance)</u> Text Origin: Council Mandate
Formula			1	
3	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION, Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Citation	1			
4	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 16 thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 16 thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 16 thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 16 thereof,

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 1/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Citation	2			
5	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission, Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Citation	3	L	I	1
6	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Citation	4		1	1
_	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee <sup>1</sup> ,	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee <sup>1</sup> ,	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee <sup>1</sup> ,	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee <sup>1</sup> ,
7	1. OJ C , , p			
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Citation	5			-
	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions <sup>1</sup> ,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions <sup>1</sup> ,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions <sup>42</sup> ,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions $\frac{42}{2}$ ,
8	1. OJ C , , p	1. OJ C , , p	1. OJ C , , p           2. OJ C , , p	<i>I</i> . <i>OJC</i> , , <i>p</i> <u>2</u> . <i>OJC</i> , , <i>p</i>
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Citation	6	1	1	

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
G	9	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure, Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Formula	l			
G	10	Whereas:	Whereas:	Whereas:	Whereas: Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Recital 1			•	
G	11	(1) Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> establishes a decentralised enforcement system which aims to ensure the consistent interpretation and application of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 in cross-border cases. In cases concerning cross-border processing of personal data, this system requires cooperation between supervisory authorities in an endeavour to reach consensus and, where supervisory authorities cannot reach consensus, provides for dispute resolution by the European Data Protection Board (the Board).	(1) Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> establishes a decentralised enforcement system which aims to ensure the consistent interpretation and application of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 in cross-border cases. In cases concerning cross-border processing of personal data, this system requires cooperation between supervisory authorities in an endeavour to reach consensus and, where supervisory authorities cannot reach consensus, provides for dispute resolution by the European Data Protection Board (the Board).	(1) Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> establishes a decentralised enforcement system which aims to ensure the consistent interpretation and application of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 in cross-border cases. In cases concerning cross-border processing of personal data, this system requires cooperation between supervisory authorities in an endeavour to reach consensus and, where supervisory authorities cannot reach consensus, provides for dispute resolution by the European Data Protection Board (the Board).	(1) Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> establishes a decentralised enforcement system which aims to ensure the consistent interpretation and application of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 in cross-border cases. In cases concerning cross-border processing of personal data, this system requires cooperation between supervisory authorities in an endeavour to reach consensus and, where supervisory authorities cannot reach consensus, provides for dispute resolution by the European Data Protection Board (the Board).
		1. Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).	1. Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).	1. Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).	1. Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission
Recit	212			Proposal
12	(2) In order to provide for the smooth and effective functioning of the cooperation and dispute resolution mechanism provided for in Articles 60 and 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it is necessary to lay down rules concerning the conduct of proceedings by the supervisory authorities in cross-border cases, and by the Board during dispute resolution, including the handling of cross-border complaints. It is also necessary for this reason to lay down rules concerning the exercise of the right to be heard by the parties under investigation prior to	(2) In order to provide for the smooth and effective functioning of the cooperation and dispute resolution mechanism provided for in Articles 60 and 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it is necessary to lay down rules concerning the conduct of proceedings by the supervisory authorities in cross-border cases, and by the Board during dispute resolution, including the handling of cross-border complaints. It is also necessary for this reason to lay down rules concerning the exercise of the right to be heard by the parties <i>under investigation</i> prior to the adoption of decisions by supervisory authorities and, as the case may be, by the Board. <i>This Regulation thereby aims at protecting the right to good administration as enshrined in Article 41 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (the 'Charter'). To achieve this objective, when applying provisions of this Regulation, all data protection authorities should act in an impartial and independent manner and in accordance with the rule of law, as enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union.</i>	(2) In order to provide for the smooth and effective functioning of the cooperation and dispute resolution mechanism provided for in Articles 60 and 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it is necessary to lay down rules concerning the conduct of proceedings by the supervisory authorities in cross-border cases, and by the Board during dispute resolution, including the handling of cross-border complaints. It is also necessary for this reason to lay down rules concerning the exercise of the right to be heard by the parties under investigation prior to the adoption of decisions by supervisory authorities and, as the case may be, by the Board.	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 4/189

(	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 2a				
12a		(2a) This Regulation and Chapter VII of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 only govern certain elements of the cooperation procedure, when supervisory authorities of more than one Member State participate in the procedure. This Regulation does not apply when a party lodges a complaint directly with a lead supervisory authority in another Member State.		
Recital 2a				
3 12b		(2b) The procedural law of each Member State should apply to the supervisory authorities insofar as this Regulation does not harmonise a matter. Some procedural elements, such as the horizontal burden of proof of the controller in Article 5(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, are already governed by Union law. In line with the primacy of Union law, supervisory authorities should not apply national procedural law where it is in conflict with this Regulation and Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Cooperation among supervisory authorities should not be limited because of differences in national procedural law. Supervisory authorities should make use of all options under applicable national law to allow parties in another Member State to participate in		(2a) In the absence of EU rules governing the matter, it is for each Member State, in accordance with the principle of the procedural autonomy of the Member States, to lay down the detailed rules of administrative and judicial procedures intended to ensure a high level of protection of rights which individuals derive from EU law. The procedural law of each Member State should therefore apply to the supervisory authorities insofar as this Regulation does not harmonise a matter, and as long as such national procedural rules do not impede the principles of effectiveness and equivalence of EU law.

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 5/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		procedures. This may include remote video participation, interpreters or generally available means of communication.		
Recital	3			
13	(3) Complaints are an essential source of information for detecting infringements of data protection rules. Defining clear and efficient procedures for the handling of complaints in cross-border cases is necessary since the complaint may be dealt with by a supervisory authority other than the one to which the complaint was lodged.	(3) Complaints are an essential source of information for detecting infringements of data protection rules. Defining clear and efficient procedures for the handling of complaints in cross-border cases is necessary since the complaint may be dealt with by a supervisory authority other than the one to which the complaint was lodged. <i>To this end, it is recommended that an efficient mechanism for communication between supervisory authorities should be created used so as to facilitate rapid and secure sharing of information necessary to resolve complaints in accordance with data protection rules.</i>	(3) Complaints are an essential source of information for detecting infringements of data protection rules. Defining clear and efficient procedures for the handling of complaints in cross-border cases is necessary since the complaint may be dealt with by a supervisory authority other than the one to which the complaint was lodged.	
Recital	За			
∘ 13a			(3a) A complaint should be understood as a claim lodged by a data subject with a supervisory authority in accordance with Article 77(1) or Article 80 of the Regulation (EU) 2016/679, seeking protection of his or her rights due to an alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 by processing of the data	(3a) (4X) A complaint should be understood as a claim lodged by a data subject with a supervisory authority in accordance with Article 77(1) or Article 80 of the Regulation (EU) 2016/679. The mere reporting of alleged infringements which does not concern the processing of personal data relating to the data subject,

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 6/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			subject's personal data. The mere reporting of alleged infringements which does not concern the processing of personal data relating to the data subject, requests for advice from controllers or processors or general requests regarding the application of the GDPR, either from controllers, processors or natural persons, should not be regarded as a complaint.	requests for advice from controllers or processors or general requests regarding the application of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, either from controllers, processors or natural persons, should not be regarded as a complaint.
Recital 4	l			
G 14	(4) In order to be admissible a complaint should contain certain specified information. Therefore, in order to assist complainants in submitting the necessary facts to the supervisory authorities, a complaint form should be provided. The information specified in the form should be required only in cases of cross-border processing in the sense of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, though the form may be used by supervisory authorities for cases that do not concern cross-border processing. The form may be submitted electronically or by post. The submission of the information listed in that form should be a condition for a complaint relating to cross-border processing to be treated as a complaint as referred to in Article 77 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. No additional	(4) In order to be admissible a complaint should contain certain specifiedminimum information about the alleged violation, whether ongoing or past. The cessation of a violation should not be sufficient grounds to reject a complaint. Therefore, in order to assist complainants in submitting the necessary facts to the supervisory authorities, a complaint formtemplate should be provided. The information specified in the formtemplate should be required only in cases of cross-border processing in the sense of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, though the formtemplate may be used by supervisory authorities for cases that do not concern cross-border processing. The forminformation may be submitted electronically or by post. The submission of the	(4) In order to be admissible a complaint should contain certain specified information. Therefore, in order to assist complainants in submitting the necessary facts to the supervisory authorities, a complaint form should be provided. The information specified in the form should be required only in cases of eross border processing in the sense of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, though the form may be used by supervisory authorities for cases that do not concern cross border processing. The form may be submitted electronically or by post. The submission of the information listed in that form should be a condition for a complaint relating to eross border processing to be treated as a complaint as referred to in Article 77 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. No additional	<ul> <li>(4) In order for a complaint relating to cross-border processing to be admissible, it should contain specified information. No additional information should be required for such a complaint to be admissible. Administrative modalities and requirements of admissibility of complaints under national law of the supervisory authority with which a complaint has been lodged, such as language, statute of limitations, means of identification, electronic form, specific template or signature, continue to apply.</li> <li>New recital (xx) The complainant should not be required to contact the party under investigation before lodging a complaint in order for that complaint to be admissible. Where the complaint relates to the</li> </ul>

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 7/189

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
<b>Commission Proposal</b> information should be required for a complaint to be deemed admissible. It should be possible for supervisory authorities to facilitate the submission of complaints in a user- friendly electronic format and bearing in mind the needs of persons with disabilities, as long as the information required from the complainant corresponds to the information required by the form and no additional information is required in order to find the complaint admissible.	<i>information listed in that form</i> <i>should be a condition for a</i> <i>complaint relating to cross-border</i> <i>processing to be treated as a</i> <i>complaint as referred to in Article</i> <i>77 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.</i> No additional information should be required for a complaint to be deemed admissible. <i>Where a</i> <i>complaint does not meet the</i> <i>minimum requirements, the</i> <i>supervisory authority should reject</i> <i>it and inform the complainant</i> <i>about the missing information. The</i> <i>complainant can then resubmit a</i> <i>complete complaint. While the</i> <i>complainant should not be</i> <i>required to contact the party under</i> <i>investigation before submitting a</i> <i>complaint, if the complainant was</i> <i>in contact with the party under</i> <i>investigation before submitting the</i> <i>complaint relating to the same</i> <i>matter, he or she should submit the</i> <i>complaint corresponds to that</i> <i>complainant corresponds to the</i> <i>information required from the</i> <i>complainant corresponds to the</i> <i>information required from the</i> <i>complainant corresponds to the</i> <i>information required by the form</i> <i>and no additional information the</i> <i>required in order to find the</i>	Council Mandate information should be required for a complaint relating to cross-border processing to be deemed admissible. It should be possible for supervisory authorities to facilitate the submission. However, administrative modalities and requirements for the admissibility of complaints in a user- friendlyunder the national procedural law of the supervisory authority with which a complaint is lodged, such as language, statute of limitations, means of identification, electronic format and bearing in mind the needs of persons with disabilities, as long as the information required from the complainant corresponds to the information required by the form and no additional information is required in order to find the complaint admissibleform, specific template or signature, continue to apply	exercise of a right of the data subject which relies on a request to be submitted to the data controller by the data subject concerned, such request is expected to be made to the controller before the submission of such complaint. The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall determine, by way of a preliminary conclusion, whether the complaint relates to cross- border processing, which supervisory authority is assumed to act as a lead supervisory authority in accordance with Article 56, paragraph 1 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, and if Article 56, paragraph 2 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 applies. Where a complaint that relates to cross-border processing is admissible, in the absence of early resolution pursuant to Article 5, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall, [within xxx] transmit the complaint to the assumed lead supervisory authority and inform the complaint the complaint the complaint by the supervisory authority with which the complaint has been lodged shall be binding
	complaint admissible.		<u>on the lead supervisory authority.<del>In</del></u>

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 8/189

<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			order to be admissible a complaint
			should contain certain specified
			information. Therefore, in order to
			assist complainants in submitting
			the necessary facts to the
			supervisory authorities, a complaint
			form should be provided. The
			information specified in the form
			should be required only in cases of
			cross-border processing in the
			sense of Regulation (EU) 2016/679,
			though the form may be used by
			supervisory authorities for cases
			that do not concern cross-border
			<del>processing. The form may be</del>
			submitted electronically or by post.
			The submission of the information
			listed in that form should be a
			condition for a complaint relating to
			cross-border processing to be
			treated as a complaint as referred
			to in Article 77 of Regulation (EU)
			2016/679. No additional
			information should be required for
			<del>a complaint to be deemed</del>
			admissible. It should be possible for
			supervisory authorities to facilitate
			the submission of complaints in a
			user-friendly electronic format and
			bearing in mind the needs of
			persons with disabilities, as long as
			the information required from the
			complainant corresponds to the
			information required by the form
			and no additional information is
			required in order to find the
			complaint admissible.

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 9/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 4a				
₅ 14a			(4a) As part of the specified information required, the contact details of the person, or entity, filling the complaint could include the postal address, place of residence, and where available email address, in order to allow supervisory authorities to acknowledge receipt of complaint and, when necessary, contact the complainant.	(4a) <u>As part of the specified</u> information required, the contact details of the person or entity lodging the complaint could include postal address, place of residence and, where available, email address.
Recital 4b				
14b			(4b) Where the entity filling the complaint is a body, organisation or association referred to in Article 80 of Regulation 2016/679, a proof that the body, organisation or association has been properly constituted in accordance with the national law of the Member State of the authority with which the complaint is lodged should be provided, together with a proof that such body, organisation or association is acting on the basis of the mandate of the data subject. The modalities and procedures for the establishment of such proofs are determined in accordance with the national law of the Member State of the authority with which the complaint was lodged.	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 4c		-	-	
۵ 14c			(4c) Where the complainant is a natural person not in a position to exercise his/her right to lodge a complaint without the assistance of a legal representative, for example because he/she is a child or because he/she has a disability or vulnerability, the result of which is that his/her rights are being exercised through another person, such as a parent, legal guardian or family member, and where such representation is allowed under national law, that circumstance must be clearly identified at the point in time at which the complaint is lodged together with sufficient information so as to enable the supervisory authority with which the complaint is lodged to satisfy itself that it is appropriate for it to engage with the representative on the complainant's behalf.	(4c) Where the complainant is a natural person not in a position to exercise his or her right to lodge a complaint without the assistance of a legal representative, for example because he or she is a child or because he or she has a disability or vulnerability, the result of which is that his or her rights are exercised through another person, such as a parent, legal guardian or family member, and where such representation is allowed under national law, that circumstance must be clearly identified at the point in time at which the complaint is lodged.
Recital 4d				
∝ 14d			(4d) Supervisory authorities should facilitate the submission of all required information by the complainant and could ask for further information from the complainant in order to facilitate the handling of the complaint. Where some of the information necessary for the complaint to be deemed admissible is missing, the	(4d) Supervisory authorities should facilitate the submission of all required information by the complainant. This could include providing templates or electronic forms, including in line with relevant European Data Protection Board's guidelines. Supervisory authorities could ask for further information from the complainant

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 11/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				supervisory authority with which the complaint is lodged could contact the complainant in order to obtain the missing information where feasible. Only the supervisory authority with which the complaint is lodged should decide on its admissibility and such decision should be binding on the lead supervisory authority.	in order to facilitate the handling of the complaint. Where some of the information necessary for the complaint to be deemed admissible is missing, the supervisory authority with which the complaint is lodged could contact the complainant in order to obtain the missing information where feasible. Where the complaint is inadmissible, the supervisory authority should decide on its inadmissibility within the deadline provided by this Regulation while informing the complainant of the missing information, so as to allow him to resubmit an admissible complaint.
	Recital 4	e		<u> </u>	
G	14e			(4e) Where, following receipt of a complaint relating to cross- border processing from a supervisory authority, the lead supervisory authority requires additional information from the complainant in order to allow for the full investigation of the complaint, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged should assist the lead supervisory authority, including by contacting the complainant to seek the required information if needed. The lead supervisory authority should not contest the	(4e) Where, following receipt of a complaint relating to cross-border processing from a supervisory authority, the lead supervisory authority requires additional information from the complainant in order to allow for the full investigation of the complaint, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged should assist the lead supervisory authority, including by contacting the complainant to seek the required information if needed. Text Origin: Council Mandate

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 12/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				admissibility of a complaint relating to cross-border processing.	
Re	ecital 4	f			
G	14f			(4f)It should be possible for supervisory authorities to facilitate the submission of complaints in a user-friendly electronic format and bearing in mind the needs of persons with disabilities, as long as the information required from the complainant corresponds to the specified information required and no additional information should be required in order to find the complaint admissible.	(4f).Supervisory authorities can facilitate the submission of complaints in a user-friendly electronic format and bearing in mind the needs of persons with disabilities, as long as the information required from the complainant corresponds to the specified information required and no additional information should be required in order to find the complaint admissible.
Re	ecital 5				
	15	(5) Supervisory authorities are obliged to decide on complaints within a reasonable timeframe. What is a reasonable timeframe depends on the circumstances of each case and, in particular, its context, the various procedural steps followed by the lead supervisory authority, the conduct of the parties in the course of the procedure and the complexity of the case.	(5) Supervisory authorities are obliged to decide on complaints within a reasonable timeframe. What is a reasonable timeframe depends on the circumstances of each case and, in particular, its context, the various procedural steps followed by the lead supervisory authority, the conduct of the parties in the course of the procedure and the complexity of the case. <u>Article 6</u> of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) and Articles 41 and 47 of the Charter require a reasonable overall duration of procedures. Given that this includes judicial remedies	(5) Supervisory authorities are obliged to should decide on complaints within a reasonable timeframe. What is a reasonable timeframe depends on the circumstances of each case and, in particular, its context, the various procedural steps followed by the lead supervisory authority, the conduct of the parties in the course of the procedure and the complexity of the case.	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 13/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		under Article 78 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, procedures before supervisory authorities should typically not take more than nine months, unless exceptional circumstances arise. This Regulation foresees prolongations for delays or disruptions that are outside of the control of the lead supervisory authority. To that end, sufficient funding and staffing should be ensured in order to guarantee a timely and efficient handling of cases that does not affect the right to a good administration.		
Recital 5	a	1		
15a		(5a) The direct interaction between Member States' supervisory authorities and the parties is governed by national procedural law, insofar as Regulation (EU) 2016/679, this Regulation or Union law do not take primacy. In the case of indirect interaction of a lead supervisory authority with a party via another supervisory authority, the latter authority's procedural law should apply to any direct interaction with the party. In line with Article 56(6) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, a complainant has the right to solely communicate with the supervisory authority with which the complaint has been		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 14/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		lodged. This does not prevent the complainant to directly communicate with another supervisory authority, including the lead supervisory authority, which may be more efficient.		
Recital 5	b			
15b		(5b) To ensure that minimum requirements of fair and efficient procedures are met in all cross- border cases, including in Member States where there is no codified national procedural law, the Regulation sets directly applicable rules based on Article 41 of the Charter.		
Recital 5	c		-	
15c		(5c) It should be possible to apply, in accordance with national procedural law applicable to the supervisory authority that the party directly interacts with, strictly necessary and proportionate limitations in relation to the disclosure or the further use of legally protected information, such as personal data or trade secrets protected under Directive (EU) 2016/943 <sup>1a</sup> . This could include the internal deliberations and decision- making of the authority. The least intrusive measures, such as limitation of the use of information or blackening of information should be applied. Parties should		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 15/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		always be informed that information was withheld from them, and why.		
		1a. Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know-how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L 157, 15.6.2016, p. 1.)		
Recital 5	d	1		<u> </u>
15d		(5d) The lead supervisory authority manages the case in line with this Regulation, Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and its national procedural law, while fully cooperating with other supervisory authorities in a spirit of common understanding and trust. Other supervisory authorities should provide any relevant information and their views to the lead supervisory authority. The lead supervisory authority should structure the case in an efficient and expedient way taking full account of the views of other supervisory authorities. At the same time, the procedure should be in line with Regulation (EU) 2016/679, in particular the one- stop-shop dispute resolution architecture and the competences		
Desite		of the lead supervisory authority.		
Recital 5	e			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 16/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
15e		(5e) Supervisory authorities may also start additional procedures, for example in the case of systemic or repetitive infringements. This should however, not lead to any interference with the rights of the parties.		
Recital 5	f			1
15f		(5f) Violations may concern the rights of multiple data subjects, therefore, evidence from procedures may need to be used in other procedures to facilitate an efficient procedure and consistent decision making. In order to objectively assess the amount of non-material damages based on the average data subject, civil courts may benefit from relying on established facts and evidence to determine a claim under Article 82 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.		
Recital 5	g			
15g		(5g) Each supervisory authority should define one or more languages that it accepts for incoming information by other supervisory authorities. An additional joint "cooperation language" should be defined which all supervisory authorities must accept for incoming or outgoing information. In case of judicial remedies, the supervisory authority against which a judicial remedy is		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 17/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		brought should have the duty to translate all relevant documents to the accepted languages.		
Recital 6	6	•		
16	(6) Each complaint handled by a supervisory authority pursuant to Article 57(1), point (f), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 is to be investigated with all due diligence to the extent appropriate bearing in mind that every use of powers by the supervisory authority must be appropriate, necessary and proportionate in view of ensuring compliance with Regulation (EU) 2016/679. It falls within the discretion of each competent authority to decide the extent to which a complaint should be investigated. While assessing the extent appropriate of an investigation, supervisory authorities should aim to deliver a satisfactory resolution to the complainant, which may not necessarily require exhaustively investigating all possible legal and factual elements arising from the complainant. The assessment of the extent of the investigative measures required could be informed by the gravity of the alleged infringement, its systemic or repetitive nature, or the fact, as the case may be, that the	(6) Each complaint handled by a supervisory authority pursuant to Article 57(1), point (f), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 is to be investigated with all due diligence to the extent appropriate bearing in mind that every use of powers by the supervisory authority must be <i>appropriateeffective, necessary and</i> proportionate <i>and dissuasive</i> in view of ensuring compliance with Regulation (EU) 2016/679. <i>It falls within the discretion of each competent authority to decide the extent to which a complaint should be investigated</i> . While assessing the extent appropriate of an investigation, supervisory authorities should aim to deliver a satisfactory resolution to the complainant, which <i>may not necessarily requires</i> investigating all <i>possiblerelevant</i> legal and factual elements arising from the complaint, <i>but which providesto ensure that a decision can be jointly taken and</i> an effective- <i>and quick</i> remedy to the complainant <i>can be quickly delivered. Without prejudice to the necessity of providing a satisfactory resolution</i>	(6) Each complaint handled by a supervisory authority pursuant to Article 57(1), point (f), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679-is to should be investigated with all due diligence to the extent appropriate bearing in mind that every use of powers by the supervisory authority must be appropriate, necessary and proportionate in view of ensuring compliance with Regulation (EU) 2016/679. It falls within the discretion of each competent authority to decide the extent to which a complaint should be investigated. While assessing the extent appropriate of an investigation, supervisory authorities should aim to deliver a satisfactory resolution to the complainant, which may not necessarily require exhaustively investigating all possible legal and factual elements arising from the complainant. The assessment of the extent of the investigative measures required could be informed by the gravity of the alleged infringement, its systemic or repetitive nature, or the fact, as the case may be, that the	

 

 the fact, as the case may be, that the
 providing a satisfactory resolution
 the fact, as the case may be, that the

 Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679
 2023/0202(COD)
 10-02-2025 at 10h29

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	complainant also took advantage of her or his rights under Article 79 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	to. The assessment of the extent of the investigative measures required could be informed by the gravity of the alleged infringement, its systemic or repetitive nature, or the fact, as the case may be, that the complainant also took advantage of her or hiswithin a short timeframe, supervisory authorities should investigate to a degree that allows them to satisfy themselves as to whether a complaint is indicative of more serious or systemic infringements. Planning the procedure is important to ensure a quick result. Supervisory authorities should not refer to the rights under Article 79 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 as a reason to limit the investigation of a complaint. To ensure compliance with Article 47 of the Charter, the handling of a complaint should always lead to an appealable decision. Unless a complaint is withdrawn, it should not be possible for complaints to be closed or otherwise terminated without a decision that can be submitted to judicial review.	counter Manuate complainant also took advantage of her or his rights under Article 79 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
Recital 7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
17	(7) The lead supervisory authority should provide the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged with the necessary information on the progress of the	<ul> <li>(7) The lead supervisory authority should provide the supervisory <i>authorities instant remote access to a joint case file that holds all relevant documents of the case</i>,</li> </ul>	(7) The lead supervisory authority should provide the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged with the necessary information on the progress of the	

 information on the progress of the
 relevant documents of the case,
 Information on the progress of the

 Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679
 2023/0202(COD)
 10 

 02-2025 at 10h29
 19/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Recital 8	investigation for the purpose of providing updates to the complainant.	including all internal or confidential information, as well as a translation of all documents to the cooperation language. In addition, the lead supervisory authority should actively inform the other supervisory authorities on major changes that may require imminent action or closer attention. Defining clear and efficient procedures for the handling of complaints in cross- border cases is also necessary, since the complaint may be dealt with by a supervisory authority other than the one with which the complaint was lodged-with the necessary information on the progress of the investigation for the purpose of providing updates to the complainant.	investigation for the purpose of providing updates to the complainant.	
	Recital 8				
G	18	(8) The competent supervisory authority should provide the complainant with access to the documents on the basis of which the supervisory authority reached a preliminary conclusion to reject fully or partially the complaint.	(8) The competent supervisory authority should provide the <u>complainant with parties with</u> <u>remote</u> access to the <u>documents on</u> the basis of which the supervisory authority reached a preliminary conclusion to reject fully or partially the complaintjoint case file, but may restrict this right of access under certain circumstances. Such access should allow the use of an effective judicial remedy in line with Article <u>47 of the Charter</u> .	(8) The competent supervisory authority should provide the complainant with access to the documents on the basis of which the supervisory authority reached a preliminary conclusion to reject fully or partially the complaint.	deleted

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 20/189

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Red	ital 9				
σ	9	(9) In order for supervisory authorities to bring a swift end to infringements of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and to deliver a quick resolution for complainants, supervisory authorities should endeavour, where appropriate, to resolve complaints by amicable settlement. The fact that an individual complaint has been resolved through an amicable settlement does not prevent the competent supervisory authority from pursuing an ex officio case, for example in the case of systemic or repetitive infringements of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	(9) In order for supervisory authorities to bring a swift end to infringements of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and to deliver a quick resolution for complainants, supervisory authorities should <u>be</u> <u>able to</u> endeavour, where appropriate, to resolve complaints by amicable settlement <u>between the</u> <u>parties. Supervisory authorities</u> <u>should not make the handling of a</u> <u>complaint contingent on</u> <u>participation in an amicable</u> <u>settlement process. Settlements</u> <u>should be able to take the form of a</u> <u>contract between the parties under</u> <u>applicable law, but should bind the</u> <u>authorities</u> . The fact that an individual complaint has been resolved through an amicable settlement does not prevent the competent supervisory authority from pursuing an ex officio case, for example in the case of systemic or repetitive infringements of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. However, such an ex officio <u>possibility should not be used to</u> <u>defer decisions on complaints.</u>	(9) In order for supervisory authorities to bring a swift end to infringements of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and to deliver a quick resolution for complainants, supervisory authorities should endeavour, where appropriate, to resolveapply procedures allowing for the early resolution of complaints-by amicable settlement. The fact that an individual, provided that such resolution results in the satisfaction of the complainant's request and that the infringement has been terminated. The early resolution of a complaint should therefore apply to cases where the complainant is duly able to assess the proposed outcome and determine that its request has been resolved through an amicable settlement does not prevent the competent supervisory authority from pursuing an ex officio case, for example in the case of systemic or repetitive infringements of Regulation (EU) 2016/679satisfied.	(9) [In order for supervisory authorities to bring a swift end to infringements of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and to deliver a quick resolution for complainants, supervisory authorities should endeavour, where appropriate, to resolve complaints by the procedure for early resolution in accordance with this regulation. Such procedure should establish whether the infringement alleged in the complaint has been brought to an end in a manner rendering the complaint devoid of purpose. Member States are not required to introduce new procedures under national law to allow their supervisory authorities to resolve a complaint through early resolution.In order for supervisory authorities to bring a swift end to infringements of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and to deliver a quick resolution for complainants, supervisory authorities should endeavour, where appropriate, to resolve complaints by amicable settlement. The fact that an individual complaint has been resolved through an amicable settlement does not prevent the competent supervisory authority from pursuing an ex officio case, for example in the case of systemic or

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 21/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				repetitive infringements of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.
Recital 9a				
G 19a			(9a) The early resolution of a complaint may be particularly useful in expeditiously resolving disputes concerning infringements of data subject rights to the satisfaction of the complainant's request. Such outcome should allow the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged to consider, on the basis of preliminary engagement with the data controller and processor and provided that supporting evidence has been obtained, that the complaint becomes devoid of purpose and that the complainant's request is satisfied. In such a case, the lead supervisory authority should be informed about the early resolution but the complaint should not be transmitted. Where the lead supervisory authority is the authority receiving the complaint, it may also apply such procedure.	<ul> <li>(9-a) A procedure for the early resolution of a complaint should only be concluded where the complainant has not submitted a timely objection to the finding that the alleged infringement has been brought to an end. The early resolution of a complaint should therefore apply to cases where the complainant is duly able to assess the proposed outcome.</li> <li>(9a) The early resolution of a complaint may be particularly useful in expeditiously resolving disputes concerning infringements of data subject rights pursuant to Chapter III of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 to the satisfaction of the complainant. Such outcome should allow the supervisory authority with which the complaint has been lodged or the lead supervisory authority to establish, on the basis of preliminary engagement with the data controller and provided that supporting evidence has been obtained, that the complaint is devoid of purpose.</li> </ul>
Recital 9b				
G 19b			(9b) Where allowed under national law, an amicable	(9b) <u>The circumstance that an</u> individual complaint has been

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 22/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			settlement could also be considered as a way allowing for the early resolution of a complaint. However, resolution of the complaint by amicable settlement should not be possible where the data subject objects to the proposed outcome. In any event, the resolution of a complaint through amicable settelment by the lead supervisory authority should rely on a final decision pursuant to Article 60, paragraph 7 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	resolved through a procedure allowing for its early resolution should be without prejudice to the exercise by the lead supervisory authority of its powers in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2016/679 on the same subject matter, for example in the case of systemic or repetitive infringements of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.
Recit	al 9c	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
G 190			(9c) Where the lead supervisory authority considers that a complaint can be resolved through a procedure allowing for its early resolution, a draft decision pursuant to Article 60, paragraph 3 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 should be submitted to the other supervisory authorities concerned, with a view to adopting a final decision in accordance with Art. 60(7) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 finding that the complaint, or part of the complaint, has been resolved by the lead supervisory authority and that the handling of the complaint will be terminated. The draft decision submitted could therefore be simplified and	(9c) Where the lead supervisory authority to which the complaint has been transmitted considers that a complaint can be resolved through early resolution, a draft decision pursuant to Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 should be submitted to the other supervisory authorities concerned, with a view to adopting a final decision in accordance with Article 60(7) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 establishing that the alleged infringement has been brought to an end and that the complaint, or part of the complaint, has been resolved by the lead supervisory authority. The draft decision submitted could therefore be simplified and limited to an

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 23/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			limited to an information that the complaint was settled, in whole or in part, through a procedure allowing for its early resolution, indicating the reasons underlying the decision and the scope of the resolution, and confirming that the complaint is therefore devoid of purpose. In such cases, the lead supervisory authority should directly submit its draft decision, without having to prepare and circulate a summary of key issues nor preliminary findings.	information that the complaint was settled, in whole or in part, through a procedure allowing for its early resolution, indicating the reasons underlying the decision and the scope of the resolution, and confirming that the complaint is therefore devoid of purpose. In such cases, the lead supervisory authority should directly submit its draft decision, without having to prepare and circulate a summary of key issues or preliminary findings.
Recital 9	)d			
19d			(9d) The circumstance that an individual complaint has been resolved through a procedure allowing for its early resolution should not prevent the competent supervisory authority from pursuing an <i>ex officio</i> case, in particular in the case of systemic or repetitive infringements of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
Recital 1	0			
20	<ul> <li>(10) In order to guarantee the effective functioning of the cooperation and consistency mechanisms in Chapter VII of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it is important that cross-border cases are resolved in a timely fashion and in line with the spirit of sincere and effective cooperation that underlies</li> </ul>	(10) In order to guarantee the effective functioning of the cooperation and consistency mechanisms in Chapter VII of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it is important that cross-border cases are resolved in a timely fashion and in line with the spirit of sincere and effective cooperation that underlies	(10) In order to guarantee the effective functioning of the cooperation and consistency mechanisms in Chapter VII of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it is important that cross-border cases are resolved in a timely fashion and in line with the spirit of sincere and effective cooperation that underlies	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 24/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. The lead supervisory authority should exercise its competence within a framework of close cooperation with the other supervisory authorities concerned. Likewise, supervisory authorities concerned should actively engage in the investigation at an early stage in an endeavour to reach a consensus, making full use of the tools provided by Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. The lead supervisory authority should exercise its competence within a framework of close cooperation with the other supervisory authorities concerned. Likewise, supervisory authorities concerned should actively engage in the investigation at an early stage in an endeavour to reach a consensus, making full use of the tools provided by Regulation (EU) 2016/679. <i>This should be in line with the 'one-stop-shop'</i> <i>mechanism of Regulation (EU)</i> 2016/679 and guarantee, where applicable, non-discriminatory treatment of parties, legal certainty and independence of issuing of decisions by the supervisory authorities.	Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. The lead supervisory authority should exercise its competence within a framework of close cooperation with the other supervisory authorities concerned. Likewise, supervisory authorities concerned should actively engage in the investigation at an early stage in an endeavour to reach a consensus, making full use of the tools provided by Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
Recital 1	.0a			
20a			(10a) Cases where the rights of a child as data subject are at stake, and cases related to the dissemination of intimate material, should be given high priority to ensure the adequate protection of the rights of the child.	
Recital 1	0b			
20b			(10b) Where the lead supervisory authority, based on the characteristics of the case and previous decisions, considers that	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 25/189

<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		enhanced cooperation or further	
		engagement in formal procedural	
		steps for the handling of a	
		complaint-based investigation	
		relating to cross-border	
		processing is not necessary, the	
		lead supervisory authority should	
		inform supervisory authorities	
		concerned of such assessment and	
		provide all relevant information	
		concerning the complaint,	
		including the main relevant facts	
		and the provisions to be	
		investigated. This may apply for	
		example where the case does not	
		raise any structural or recurring	
		problem in several Member	
		States, where the case does not	
		concern a general legal issue with	
		regards to the interpretation,	
		application or enforcement of	
		Regulation (EU) 2016/679, where	
		the case is not related to the	
		intersection of data protection	
		with other legal fields, where the	
		case does not affect a large	
		number of data subjects in several	
		Member States, where the case is	
		not related to a large number of	
		complaints in several Member	
		States; or where Regulation (EU)	
		2016/679 does not imply that a bigh righ and he assumed in such	
		high risk can be assumed. In such	
		a case, unless any of the	
		supervisory authorities concerned	
		object, the lead supervisory	
		authority shall continue	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 26/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			cooperating in accordance with Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and submit a draft decision as referred to in Article 60, paragraph 3 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
Recital 1	0c			
20c			(10c) Where formal steps for the enhanced cooperation procedure pursuant to this regulation are not engaged, supervisory authorities should ensure that the right to be heard of the parties under investigation and the complainant applies in a timely manner, as provided for in this Regulation and in line with Article 41(2) of the Charter which enshrines the right to good administration and the right of every person to be heard before any individual measure which would affect him or her adversely is taken.	
Recital 1	1			
21	(11) It is particularly important for supervisory authorities to reach consensus on key aspects of the investigation as early as possible and prior to the communication of allegations to the parties under investigation and adoption of the draft decision referred to in Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, thereby reducing the number of	(11) It is particularly important for supervisory authorities to reach consensus on key aspects of the <i>investigation</i> <u>case via the summary</u> of key issues and comments on that <u>summary</u> as early as possible and prior to the <u>communication of</u> <u>allegations to the parties under</u> <i>investigation and</i> adoption of the draft decision referred to in Article	(11) It is particularly important for supervisory authorities to reach consensus on key aspects of the investigation as early as possible and prior to the communication of allegations to the parties under investigation and adoption of the draft decision referred to in Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, thereby reducing the number of	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 27/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	cases submitted to the dispute resolution mechanism in Article 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and ultimately ensuring the quick resolution of cross-border cases.	60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, thereby reducing the number of cases submitted to the dispute resolution mechanism in Article 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and ultimately ensuring the quick resolution of cross-border cases.	cases submitted to the dispute resolution mechanism in Article 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and ultimately ensuring the quick resolution of cross-border cases.	
Recital 1	2			
22	(12) Cooperation between supervisory authorities should be based on open dialogue which allows concerned supervisory authorities to meaningfully impact the course of the investigation by sharing their experiences and views with the lead supervisory authority, with due regard for the margin of discretion enjoyed by each supervisory authority, including in the assessment of the extent appropriate to investigate a case, and for the varying traditions of the Member States. For this purpose, the lead supervisory authority should provide concerned supervisory authorities with a summary of key issues setting out its preliminary view on the main issues in an investigation. It should be provided at a sufficiently early stage to allow effective inclusion of supervisory authorities concerned but at the same time at a stage where the lead supervisory authority's views on the case are sufficiently mature. Concerned	(12) Cooperation between supervisory authorities should be based on open dialogue which allows concerned supervisory authorities to meaningfully impact the course of the investigation by sharing their experiences and views with the lead supervisory authority, with due regard for the margin of discretion enjoyed by each. The supervisory authority, including in the assessment of the extent appropriate to investigate a case, and for the varying traditions of the Member States. For this purpose, with which a complaint has been lodged or which requests an ex- officio action may provide the lead supervisory authority should provide concerned supervisory authorities with a summary of key issues setting out its preliminary view on the main issues in an investigation. The lead supervisory authority should draft the final summary of key issues. The summary of key issues should be part of the joint case file, and	(12) Cooperation between supervisory authorities should be based on open dialogue which allows concerned supervisory authorities to meaningfully impact the course of the investigation by sharing their experiences and views with the lead supervisory authority, with due regard for the margin of discretion enjoyed by each supervisory authority, including in the assessment of the extent appropriate to investigate a case, and for the varying traditions of the Member States. For this purpose, the lead supervisory authority should provide concerned supervisory authorities with a summary of key issues setting out its preliminary view on the main issues in an investigation. It should be provided at a sufficiently early stage to allow effective inclusion of supervisory authorities concerned but at the same time at a stage where the lead supervisory authority's views on the case are sufficiently mature. Concerned	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 28/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	supervisory authorities should have the opportunity to provide their comments on a broad range of questions, such as the scope of the investigation and the identification of complex factual and legal assessments. Given that the scope of the investigation determines the matters which require investigation by the lead supervisory authority, supervisory authorities should endeavour to achieve consensus as early as possible on the scope of the investigation.	should be a living document that is updated by the lead supervisory authority during the course of the procedure. It should be provided at a sufficiently early stage to allow effective inclusion of supervisory authorities concerned-but at the same time at a stage where the lead supervisory authority's views on the case are sufficiently mature. Concerned supervisory authorities should have the opportunity to provide their comments on a broad range of questions, such as the seopeany update of the investigation and the identification of complex factual and legal assessments. Given that the scope of the investigation determines the matters which require investigation by the leadsummary of key issues. The supervisory authority, authorities should be able to raise any dispute with the Board. Supervisory authorities should endeavour to achieve consensus as early as possible on the scope of the investigation.	supervisory authorities should have the opportunity to provide their comments on a broad range of questions, such as the scope of the investigation and the identification of complex factual and legal assessments. Given that the scope of the investigation determines the matters which require investigation by the lead supervisory authority, supervisory authorities should endeavour to achieve consensus as early as possible on the scope of the investigation.	
Recital 1	2a			
22a			(12a) In this context, the exchange of relevant information between the lead supervisory authority and supervisory authorities concerned is an important element to support the spirit of effective and sincere cooperation.	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 29/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate The relevant information to be provided by the lead surpervisory authority will depend on the specificity of the case being investigated and should be considered in a proportionate manner. Such exchange of relevant information, and the timely provision of specific elements of information by the lead supervisory authority, should be understood as a continuous process throughout the course of an investigation and may vary in terms of documents and details required, depending on the complexity of the case being investigated. In particular, depending on the stage of the investigation and the circumstances of a case, such relevant information could progressively include, among others, the exchange of correspondence with the data controller or the data subject on the subject of a complaint or investigation, the preparatory documents for an audit or inspection, or preliminary technical or legal assessment being considered by the lead supervisory authority as a result of a specific step in its investigation.	Draft Agreement
Recital 1	2b			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 30/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
22b			(12b) While the lead supervisory authority should provide any relevant information to the supervisory authorities concerned without delay after the information becomes available, concerned supervisory authorities should also proactively make available any relevant information deemed useful to assess the legal and factual elements of a case. The exchange of relevant information should support the swift and effective cooperation between supervisory authorities and may, in certain cases, be supported by summary, extracts or copies of documents in order to facilitate a swift understanding of a case, while allowing for complementary information to be provided where further elements become necessary. In order to facilitate an effective and appropriate exchange of information between supervisory authorities, the Board could specify the modalities and requirements for the exchange of such information.	
Recital 1	2c	F		
22c			(12c) As part of the relevant information on a specific case, the lead supervisory authority should provide concerned supervisory authorities with a summary of key	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 31/189

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	<b>Council Mandate</b> issues setting out its preliminary view on the main issues in an investigation. It should be provided at a sufficiently early stage to allow effective inclusion of the views submitted by supervisory authorities concerned but at the same time at a stage where the lead supervisory authority has sufficient elements to form its views on the case , where necessary by means of preliminary analysis and possible initial investigative measures. While the summary of key issues should always include the main relevant facts, the preliminary identification of the scope of investigations and the relevant provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 concerned by the alleged infringement to be investigated, other additional elements should be provided in the summary of key issues depending on their availability and their relevance in order to facilitate the substantive assessement by supervisory authorities concerned and the formulation of their respective views. Such additional elements could include the identification of legal and technical issues deemed relevant for the investigation, in particular where such issues	Draft Agreement
		appear complex. The summary of key issues could also include the	

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			preliminary identification of potential corrective measures in cases where the lead supervisory authority has sufficient elements to form a preliminary view on the matter, in particular when the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 concerned by the alleged infringement can be easily circumscribed and identified at an early stage.	
Recital 1	20			
22d			(12d) Concerned supervisory authorities should have the opportunity to provide their comments on the summary of key issues, including on a broad range of questions, such as the scope of the investigation, the identification of the alleged infringements and the identification of factual and legal issues which are relevant for the investigation. Given that the scope of the investigation determines the matters which require investigation by the lead supervisory authority, supervisory authorities should endeavour to achieve consensus as early as possible on the scope of the investigation.	
Recital 1	3		1	
23	(13) In the interest of effective inclusive cooperation between all	(13) In the interest of effective inclusive cooperation between all	(13) In the interest of effective inclusive cooperation between all	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 33/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP</b> Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	supervisory authorities concerned and the lead supervisory authority, the comments of concerned supervisory authorities should be concise and worded in sufficiently clear and precise terms to be easily understandable to all supervisory authorities. The legal arguments should be grouped by reference to the part of the summary of key issues to which they relate. The comments of supervisory authorities concerned may be supplemented by additional documents. However, a mere reference in the comments of a supervisory authority concerned to supplementary documents cannot make up for the absence of the essential arguments in law or in fact which should feature in the comments. The basic legal and factual particulars relied on in such documents should be indicated, at least in summary form, coherently and intelligibly in the comment itself.	supervisory authorities concerned and the lead supervisory authority, <i>the comments of any documents</i> <i>submitted by</i> concerned supervisory authorities <i>and the parties</i> should be concise and worded in sufficiently clear and precise terms to be easily understandable to all supervisory authorities. <i>Supervisory</i> <i>authorities may therefore limit the</i> <i>length of submissions by the</i> <i>parties.</i> The legal arguments should be grouped by reference to the part of the summary of key issues to which they relate. <i>The comments of</i> <i>supervisory authorities concerned</i> <i>may be supplemented by additional</i> <i>documents. However, a mere</i> <i>reference in the comments of a</i> <i>supervisory authority concerned to</i> <i>supplementary documents cannot</i> <i>make up for the absence of the</i> <i>essential arguments in law or in fact</i> <i>which should feature in the</i> <i>comments. The basic legal and</i> <i>factual particulars relied on in such</i> <i>documents should be indicated, at</i> <i>least in summary form, coherently</i> <i>and intelligibly in the comment</i> <i>itself.</i>	supervisory authorities concerned and the lead supervisory authority, <b>the summary of key issues and</b> the comments of concerned supervisory authorities should be concise and worded in sufficiently clear and precise terms to be easily understandable to all supervisory authorities. The legal arguments should be grouped by reference to the part of the summary of key issues to which they relate. The <b>summary of key issues and the</b> comments of supervisory authorities concerned may be supplemented by additional documents. However, a mere reference in the comments of a supervisory authority concerned to supplementary documents <del>cannotshould not</del> make up for the absence of the essential arguments in law or in fact which should feature in the comments. The basic legal and factual particulars relied on in such documents should be indicated, at least in summary form, coherently and intelligibly in the comment itself.	
Recital 1				
24	(14) Cases that do not raise contentious issues do not require extensive discussion between supervisory authorities in order to reach a consensus and could,	<ul> <li>(14) Cases that do not raise</li> <li>contentious issues (non-contentious</li> <li>cases) do not require extensive</li> <li>discussion between supervisory</li> <li>authorities in order to reach a</li> </ul>	(14) Cases that do not raise contentious issues do not require extensive discussion between supervisory authorities in order to reach a consensus and could,	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 34/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	therefore, be dealt with more quickly. When none of the supervisory authorities concerned raise comments on the summary of key issues, the lead supervisory authority should communicate the preliminary findings provided for in Article 14 within nine months.	consensus and could, therefore, be dealt with more quickly. When none of the supervisory authorities concerned raise comments on the summary of key issues, the lead supervisory authority should communicate the <i>preliminary</i> <i>findings provided for in Article</i> <i>14<u>draft decision</u> within <i>ninethree</i> months <i>from the receipt of the</i> <i>complaint</i>.</i>	therefore, be dealt with more quickly. When none of the supervisory authorities concerned raise comments on the summary of key issues, the lead supervisory authority should communicate the preliminary findings provided for in Article 14 within nine months.	
Recital 1	4a			
24a			(14a) Where the lead supervisory authority is required by national law to further engage in subsequent domestic procedures related to the same case, such as administrative appeal proceedings or misdemeanour proceedings, the summary of key issues should be prepared again if this domestic procedure conducts the lead supervisory authority to deviate from previous consensus, and would result in substantive changes compared to the previous summary of key issues, in order to take into account the views of the supervisory authorities concerned. However, if the subsequent procedure related to the same case involves no further changes in comparison to previous decisions, the new summary of key issues may not be necessary. The lead supervisory	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 35/189
	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			authority should inform the supervisory authority concerned of such a possibility when submitting a draft decision in accordance with Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
Recital 1	5	•		
25	<ul> <li>(15) Supervisory authorities should avail of all means necessary to achieve a consensus in a spirit of sincere and effective cooperation. Therefore, if there is a divergence in opinion between the supervisory authorities concerned and the lead supervisory authority regarding the scope of a complaint-based investigation, including the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 the infringement of which will be investigated, or where the comments of the supervisory authorities concerned relate to an important change in the complex legal or technological assessment, the concerned authority should use the tools provided for under Articles 61 and 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.</li> </ul>	(15) Supervisory authorities should avail <u>themselves</u> of all means necessary to achieve a consensus in a spirit of sincere and effective cooperation. Therefore, if there is a divergence in opinion between the supervisory authorities concerned and the lead supervisory authority regarding the scope <u>or procedural</u> issues of a caseof a complaint- based investigation, including the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 the infringement of which will be investigated, or where the comments the supervisory authorities should raise the matter guickly with the Board. The Board should make the necessary procedural determinations. The Board and supervisory authorities should endeavour to complete ongoing proceedings as quickly as possible. The lead supervisory authorities concerned relate to an important change in the complex legal or technological assessment, the concerned authority should use the tools provided for also be able to	(15) Supervisory authorities should avail of all means necessary to achieve a consensus in a spirit of sincere and effective cooperation. Therefore, if there is a divergence in opinion between the supervisory authorities concerned and the lead supervisory authority regarding the scope of a complaint-based investigation, including the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 the infringement of which will be investigated, or where the comments of the supervisory authorities concerned relate to an important change in the complex legal or technological assessment, the concerned authority shouldcould use the tools provided for under Articles 61 and 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 36/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		request an urgent binding decision of the Board without a request under Articles 61 and 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679or 62 having been made.		
Recital	16			
26	(16) If the use of those tools does not enable the supervisory authorities to reach a consensus on the scope of a complaint-based investigation, the lead supervisory authority should request an urgent binding decision of the Board under Article 66(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. For this purpose, the requirement of urgency should be presumed. The lead supervisory authority should draw appropriate conclusions from the urgent binding decision of the Board for the purposes of preliminary findings. The urgent binding decision of the Board cannot pre-empt the outcome of the investigation of the lead supervisory authority or the effectiveness of the rights of the parties under investigation to be heard. In particular, the Board should not extend the scope of the investigation on its own initiative.	deleted	(16) If the use of those tools does not enable the supervisory authorities to reach a consensus on the scope of a complaint-based investigation, the lead supervisory authority should request an urgent binding decision of the Board under Article 66(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 should be requested by any supervisory authority concerned or the lead supervisory authority. For this purpose, the requirement of urgency should be presumed. The lead supervisory authority should draw appropriate conclusions from the urgent binding decision of the Board for the purposes of preliminary findings. The urgent binding decision of the Board in the context of deciding on the scope of a complaint-based investigation cannot pre-empt the outcome of the investigation of the lead supervisory authority or the effectiveness of the rights of the parties under investigation to be heard. In particular, the Board should not extend the scope of the investigation on its own initiative.	

Recital 16a

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 37/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
26a			(16a) Complainant's procedural rights under this Regulation should be conferred on the complainant solely to the extent relative to his or her individual rights. Where Article 80(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 applies, procedural rights should be conferred on the complainant pursuant to Article 80(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 to the extent relative to the rights of the data subject that are considered to have been infringed.	
Recital 1	7		T	
27	<ul> <li>(17) To enable the complainant to exercise her or his right to an effective judicial remedy under Article 78 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the supervisory authority fully or partially rejecting a complaint should do so by means of a decision which may be challenged before a national court.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(17) To enable the complainant to exercise her or his right to an effective judicial remedy under Article 78 of Regulation (EU)</li> <li>2016/679, <i>the supervisory authority fully or partially rejecting</i></li> <li><i>ahandling of any</i> complaint should <i>do so by means of always lead to</i> a decision which may be challenged before a national court.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(17) To enable the complainant to exercise her or his right to an effective judicial remedy under Article 78 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the supervisory authority fully or partially rejecting a complaint should do so by means of a decision which may be challenged before a national court.</li> </ul>	Link with discussion on Article 4 (1a) EP
Recital 1	8	1		
28	(18) Complainants should have the opportunity to express their views before a decision adversely affecting them is taken. Therefore, in the event of full or partial rejection of a complaint in a cross- border case, the complainant should have the opportunity to make her or his views known prior to the	deleted	(18) Complainants should have the opportunity to express their views before a decision adversely affecting them is taken. Therefore, in the event of full or partial rejection of a complaint in a cross- border case, the complainant should have the opportunity to make her or his views known prior to the	(18) Complainants should have the opportunity to express their views before a decision adversely affecting them is taken. Therefore, in the event of full or partial rejection of a complaint in a cross- border case, the complainant should have the opportunity to make her or his views known prior to the

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 38/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		submission of a draft decision under Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, a revised draft decision under Article 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or a binding decision of the Board under Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. The complainant may request access to the non- confidential version of the documents on which the decision fully or partially rejecting the complaint is based.		submission of a draft decision under Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, a revised draft decision under Article 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or a binding decision of the Board under Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. The complainant may request access to the non- confidential version of the documents on which the decision fully or partially rejecting the complaint is based.	submission of a draft decision under Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, a revised draft decision under Article 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or a binding decision of the Board under Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. <i>The complainant may</i> <i>request access to the non-</i> <i>confidential version of the</i> <i>documents on which the decision</i> <i>fully or partially rejecting the</i> <i>complaint is based.</i> Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Recital 1	9			
-	29	(19) It is necessary to clarify the division of responsibilities between the lead supervisory authority and the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged in the case of rejection of a complaint in a cross-border case. As the point of contact for the complainant during the investigation, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged should obtain the views of the complainant on the proposed rejection of the complaint and should be responsible for all communications with the complainant. All such communications should be shared with the lead supervisory authority. Since under Article 60(8) and (9) of	(19) It is necessary to clarify the division of responsibilities between the lead supervisory authority and the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged in the case of rejection of a complaint in a cross-border case. As the point of contact for the complainant during the investigation, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged should <i>obtain the views of the complainant on the proposed rejection of the complaint and should</i> be responsible for all communications with the lead supervisory authority. Since under Article 60(8) and (9) of	(19) It is necessary to clarify the division of responsibilities between the lead supervisory authority and the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged in the case of rejection of a complaint in a cross-border case. As the point of contact for the complainant during the investigation, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged should obtain the views of the complainant on the proposed rejection of the complaint and should be responsible for all communications with the communications should be shared with the lead supervisory authority. Since under Article 60(8) and (9) of	Agreed in principle - Drafting team to have a look at final wording of recital

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 39/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Regulation (EU) 2016/679 the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged has the responsibility of adopting the final decision rejecting the complaint, that supervisory authority should also have the responsibility of preparing the draft decision under Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	Regulation (EU) 2016/679 the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged has the responsibility of adopting the final decision <u>which needs to comply</u> <u>with its national procedural law</u> , rejecting the complaint <del>,</del> that supervisory authority should also <u>have the responsibility of be</u> <u>involved by the lead supervisory</u> <u>authority in</u> preparing the draft decision under Article 60(3) <u>and</u> <u>any final decision under Article</u> <u>60(7) to (9)</u> of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	Regulation (EU) 2016/679 the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged has the responsibility of adopting the final decision rejecting the complaint, thatthe lead supervisory authority should also have the responsibility ofpossibility to ask for the assistance of the complaint receiving supervisory authority if necessary in preparing the draft decision under Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
	Recital 2	0			
G	30	(20) The effective enforcement of Union data protection rules should be compatible with the full respect of the parties' rights of defence, which constitutes a fundamental principle of Union law to be respected in all circumstances, and in particular in procedures which may give rise to penalties.	(20) The effective enforcement of Union data protection rules should be compatible with the full respect of the parties' rights of defence, which constitutes a fundamental principle of Union law to be respected in all circumstances, and in particular in procedures which may give rise to penalties.	(20) The effective enforcement of Union data protection rules should be compatible with the full respect of the parties' rights of defence, which constitutes a fundamental principle of Union law to be respected in all circumstances, and in particular in procedures which may give rise to penalties.	<ul> <li>(20) The effective enforcement of Union data protection rules should be compatible with the full respect of the parties' rights of defence, which constitutes a fundamental principle of Union law to be respected in all circumstances, and in particular in procedures which may give rise to penalties.</li> <li>Text Origin: Commission Proposal</li> </ul>
-	Recital 2	1			
	31	(21) In order to effectively safeguard the right to good administration and the rights of defence as enshrined in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union ('the Charter'),	(21) In order to effectively safeguard the right to good administration and the rights of defence as enshrined in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union ('the Charter'),	(21) In order to effectively safeguard the right to good administration and the rights of defence as enshrined in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union ('the Charter'),	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 40/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	including the right of every person to be heard before any individual measure which would affect him or her adversely is taken, it is important to provide for clear rules on the exercise of this right.	including the right of every person to be heard before any individual measure which would affect him or her adversely is taken, it is important to provide for clear rules on the exercise of this right <i>for all</i> <i>parties involved in a case</i> . <i>Every</i> <i>party should have the right to</i> <i>decline the right to be heard</i> .	including the right of every person to be heard before any individual measure which would affect him or her adversely is taken, it is important to provide for clear rules on the exercise of this right.	
Recital 2	1a			
31a			(21a) Member States may introduce into their national law provisions on the legal consequences of the failure of individuals to submit their observations within the time limit set by the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged.	
Recital 2	2			
32	(22) The rules regarding the administrative procedure applied by supervisory authorities when enforcing Regulation (EU) 2016/679 should ensure that the parties under investigation effectively have the opportunity to make known their views on the truth and relevance of the facts, objections and circumstances put forward by the supervisory authority throughout the procedure, thereby enabling them to exercise their rights of defence. The preliminary findings set out the	(22) The rules regarding the administrative procedure applied by supervisory authorities when enforcing Regulation (EU) 2016/679 should ensure that the parties <i>under investigation</i> effectively have the <i>right to be</i> <i>heard and</i> opportunity to make known their views on the truth and relevance of the facts, objections and circumstances put forward by the supervisory authority throughout the procedure, thereby enabling them to exercise their rights of defence. The preliminary findings	(22) The rules regarding the administrative procedure applied by supervisory authorities when enforcing Regulation (EU) 2016/679 should ensure that the parties under investigation effectively have the opportunity to make known their views on the truth and relevance of the facts, objections and circumstances put forward by the supervisory authority throughout the procedure, thereby enabling them to exercise their rights of defence. The preliminary findings set out the	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 41/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	preliminary position on the alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 following investigation. They thus constitute an essential procedural safeguard which ensures that the right to be heard is observed. The parties under investigation should be provided with the documents required to defend themselves effectively and to comment on the allegations made against them, by receiving access to the administrative file.	set out the preliminary position on the alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 following investigation. They thus constitute an essential procedural safeguard which ensures that the right to be heard is observed. The parties <u>under investigation</u> should be provided with <u>all the</u> <u>necessarythe</u> documents required to <u>defend themselves</u> effectively <u>and to</u> comment on <u>matters relevant to the</u> <u>investigation the allegations made</u> <u>against them</u> , by receiving access to the administrative <u>joint case</u> file.	preliminary position on the alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 following investigation. They thus constitute an essential procedural safeguard which ensures that the right to be heard is observed. The parties under investigation should be provided with the documents required to defend themselves effectively and to comment on the allegations made against them, by receiving access to the administrative file.	
Recital 2	2a	Γ		
32a			(22a) These rules should be without prejudice to the possibility for supervisory authorities to grant further access to the administrative file in order to hear further the views of any of the parties under investigation or of the complainant in the course of the proceedings, in accordance with national law.	
Recital 2	3	_		
33	(23) The preliminary findings define the scope of the investigation and therefore the scope of any future final decision (as the case may be, taken on the basis of a binding decision issued by the Board under Article 65(1), point (a) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679)	(23) The preliminary findings define the scope of the investigation and therefore the scope of any future final decision (as the case may be, taken on the basis of a binding decision issued by the Board under Article 65(1), point (a) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679)	(23) The preliminary findings define the scope of the investigation and therefore the scope of any future final decision (as the case may be, taken on the basis of a binding decision issued by the Board under Article 65(1), point (a) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679)	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 42/189

<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
which may be addressed to	which may be addressed to	which may be addressed to	
controllers or processors. The	controllers or processors. The	controllers or processors. The	
preliminary findings should be	preliminary findings should be	preliminary findings should be	
couched in terms that, even if	couched in terms that, even if	couched in terms that, even if	
succinct, are sufficiently clear to	succinct, are sufficiently clear to	succinct, are sufficiently clear to	
enable the parties under	enable the parties-under	enable the parties under	
investigation to properly identify	investigation to properly identify	investigation to properly identify	
the nature of the alleged	the nature of the alleged	the nature of the alleged	
infringement of Regulation (EU)	infringement of Regulation (EU)	infringement -of Regulation (EU)	
2016/679. The obligation of giving	2016/679. The obligation of giving	2016/679. The obligation of giving	
the parties under investigation all	the parties under investigation all	the parties under investigation all	
the information necessary to enable	the information necessary to enable	the information necessary to enable	
them to properly defend themselves	them to properly defend	them to properly defend themselves	
is satisfied if the final decision does	themselves be heard is satisfied if	is satisfied if the final decision does	
not allege that the parties under	the final decision does not allege	not allege that the parties under	
investigation have committed	that the parties under investigation	investigation have committed	
infringements other than those	have committed infringements other	infringements other than those	
referred to in the preliminary	than those referred to in the	referred to in the preliminary	
findings and only takes into	<del>preliminary findings and</del> only takes	findings and only takes into	
consideration facts on which the	into consideration facts on which	consideration facts on which the	
parties under investigation have had	the parties under investigation have	parties under investigation have had	
the opportunity of making known	had the opportunity of making	the opportunity of making known	
their views. The final decision of	known their views. The final	their views. The final decision of	
the lead supervisory authority is not,	decision of the lead supervisory	the lead supervisory authority is not,	
however, necessarily required to be	authority is not, however,	however, necessarily required to be	
a replica of the preliminary	necessarily required to be a replica	a replica of the preliminary	
findings. The lead supervisory	of the preliminary findings. The	findings. The lead supervisory	
authority should be permitted in the	lead supervisory authority should be	authority should be permitted in the	
final decision to take account of the	permitted in the final decision to	final decision to take account of the	
responses of the parties under	take account of the responses of the	responses of the parties under	
investigation to the preliminary	parties under investigation to the	investigation to the preliminary	
findings, and, where applicable, the	preliminary findings, and, where	findings, and, where applicable, the	
revised draft decision under Article $(0(5) \circ f P = 0)$	applicable, the revised draft	revised draft decision under Article $(0(5) + f R = 1)/(70)$	
60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679,	decision under Article 60(5) of	60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679,	
and the Article 65(1), point (a),	Regulation (EU) 2016/679, and the	and the Article 65(1), point (a),	
decision resolving the dispute	Article 65(1), point (a), decision by	decision resolving the dispute	
between the supervisory authorities.	<u>the Board</u> resolving the dispute	between the supervisory authorities.	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 43/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	The lead supervisory authority should be able to carry out its own assessment of the facts and the legal qualifications put forward by the parties under investigation in order either to abandon the objections when the supervisory authority finds them to be unfounded or to supplement and redraft its arguments, both in fact and in law, in support of the objections which it maintains. For example, taking account of an argument put forward by a party under investigation during the administrative procedure, without it having been given the opportunity to express an opinion in that respect before the adoption of the final decision, cannot per se constitute an infringement of defence rights.	between the supervisory authorities <u>under Article 65(1), point (a), of</u> <u>that Regulation</u> . The lead supervisory authority should be able to carry out its own assessment of the facts and the legal qualifications put forward by the parties <del>under</del> <i>investigation</i> in order either to abandon the objections when the supervisory authority finds them to be unfounded or to supplement and redraft its arguments, both in fact and in law, in support of the objections which it maintains. For <i>example, taking account of an</i> <i>argument put forward by a party</i> <i>under investigation during the</i> <i>administrative procedure, without it</i> <i>having been given the opportunity</i> <i>to express an opinion in that respect</i> <i>before the adoption of the final</i> <i>decision, cannot per se-constitute</i> <i>an infringement of defence rights.</i>	The lead supervisory authority should be able to carry out its own assessment of the facts and the legal qualifications put forward by the parties under investigation in order either to abandon the objections when the supervisory authority finds them to be unfounded or to supplement and redraft its arguments, both in fact and in law, in support of the objections which it maintains. For example, taking account of an argument put forward by a party under investigation during the administrative procedure, without it having been given the opportunity to express an opinion in that respect before the adoption of the final decision, cannot– <i>per se</i> <del>per se</del> -constitute an infringement of defence rights.	
Recital 2	3a			
33a			(23a) Where the lead supervisory authority is required by national law to further engage in subsequent domestic procedures related to the same case, such as administrative appeal proceedings or misdemeanour proceedings, the preliminary findings should be prepared again if this domestic procedure conducts the lead supervisory authority to deviate from	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 44/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				previous consensus, and would result in substantive changes compared to the previous preliminary findings, in order to enable the parties under investigation to properly defend themselves. However, if the subsequent procedure related to the same case involves no further changes in comparison to previous decisions and national law ensures the right to be heard, the new preliminary findings may not be necessary. The lead supervisory authority should inform the supervisory authority concerned of such a possibility when submitting a draft decision in accordance with Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
	Recital 24	4			
G	34	(24) The parties under investigation should be provided with a right to be heard prior to the submission of a revised draft decision under Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or the adoption of a binding decision by the Board pursuant to Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	(24) The parties <i>under</i> <i>investigation</i> should be provided with a right to be heard <u>at</u> <i>appropriate stages of the</i> <i>procedure, in particular</i> prior to the submission of a revised draft decision under Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or the adoption of a binding decision by the Board pursuant to Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	(24) The parties under investigation should be provided with a right to be heard prior to the submission of a revised draft decision under Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or the adoption of a binding decision by the Board pursuant to Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	<ul> <li>(24) The parties under investigation should be provided with a right to be heard prior to the submission of a revised draft decision under Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or the adoption of a binding decision by the Board pursuant to Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.</li> <li>Text Origin: Commission Proposal</li> </ul>
	Recital 2	5			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 45/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
35	(25) Complainants should be given the possibility to be associated with the proceedings initiated by a supervisory authority with a view to identifying or clarifying issues relating to a potential infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. The fact that a supervisory authority has already initiated an investigation concerning the subject matter of the complaint or will deal with the complaint or will deal with the complaint or a data subject as complainant. However, an investigation by a supervisory authority of a possible infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 by a controller or processor does not constitute an adversarial procedure between the complainant and the parties under investigation. It is a procedure commenced by a supervisory authority, upon its own initiative or based on a complaint, in fulfilment of its tasks under Article 57(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. The parties under investigation and the complainant are, therefore, not in the same procedural situation and the latter cannot invoke the right to a fair hearing when the decision does not adversely affect her or his legal position. The complainant's involvement in the procedure against the parties under	(25) Complainants should be given the possibility to be associated with the proceedings initiated by a supervisory authority with a view to identifying or clarifying issues relating to a potential infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. The fact that a supervisory authority has already initiated an investigation concerning the subject matter of the complaint or will deal with the complaint or will deal with the complaint or subsequent to the receipt <u>of</u> the complaint does not bar the qualification of a data subject as complainant. <u>However</u> , <u>an investigation by a supervisory</u> <u>authority of a possible infringement</u> <u>of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 by a</u> <u>controller or processor does not</u> <u>constitute an adversarial procedure</u> <u>between the complainant and the</u> <u>parties under investigation. It is a</u> <u>procedure commenced by a</u> <u>supervisory authority, upon its own</u> <u>initiative or based on a complaint,</u> <u>in fulfilment of its tasks under</u> <u>Article 57(1) of Regulation (EU)</u> <u>2016/679. The parties under</u> <u>investigation and the complainant</u> <u>are, therefore, not in the same</u> <u>procedural situation and the latter</u> <u>cannot invoke the right to a fair</u> <u>hearing when the decision does not</u> <u>adversely affect her or his legal</u> <u>position. The complainant's</u> <u>involvement in the procedure</u>	(25) Complainants should be given the possibility to be associated with the proceedings initiated by a supervisory authority with a view to identifying or clarifying issues relating to a potential infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. The fact that a supervisory authority has already initiated an investigation concerning the subject matter of the complaint or will deal with the complaint or will deal with the complaint or a data subject as complaint. However, an investigation by a supervisory authority of a possible infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 by a controller or processor does not constitute an adversarial procedure between the complainant and the parties under investigation. It is a procedure commenced by a supervisory authority, upon its own initiative or based on a complaint, in fulfilment of its tasks under Article 57(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. The parties under investigation and the complainant are, therefore, not in the same procedural situation and the latter cannot invoke the right to a fair hearing when the decision does not adversely affect her or his legal position. The complainant's involvement in the procedure against the parties under	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 46/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	investigation cannot compromise the right of these parties to be heard.	against the parties under investigation cannot compromise the right of these parties to be heard.	investigation cannot compromise the right of these parties to be heard.	
Recital 2	5a			
35a		(25a) Notwithstanding the fact that the parties under investigation and the complainant are not in the same procedural situation, there are circumstances in which complainants can be in a position to adduce arguments and evidence during an investigation which can help the progress of the investigation. This is particularly the case in circumstances in which a not-for-profit body, organisation or association has lodged a complaint on behalf of a data subject or on its own initiative under Article 80 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Supervisory authorities should facilitate the hearing of such complainants at all stages of the investigation, including ex officio investigations, while also maintaining their independence.		
Recital 2	6			
36	(26) The complainants should be given the possibility to submit in writing views on the preliminary findings. However, they should not have access to business secrets or other confidential information	deleted	(26) The complainants should be given the possibility to submit in writing views on the preliminary findings to the extent that their individual rights as data subject are considered to have been	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 47/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	belonging to other parties involved in the proceedings. Complainants should not be entitled to have generalised access to the administrative file.		<b>infringed</b> . However, they should not have access to business secrets or other confidential information belonging to other parties involved in the proceedings. Complainants should not be entitled to have generalised access to the administrative file.	
Recital 2	7			
37	(27) When setting deadlines for parties under investigation and complainants to provide their views on preliminary findings, supervisory authorities should have regard to the complexity of the issues raised in preliminary findings, in order to ensure that the parties under investigation and complainants have sufficient opportunity to meaningfully provide their views on the issues raised.	(27) When setting deadlines <u>and</u> <u>limiting the length of submissions</u> for parties- <u>under investigation and</u> <u>complainants</u> to provide their views on preliminary findings, supervisory authorities should have regard to the complexity of the issues raised in preliminary findings, <u>in order to</u> <u>ensure that as well as the capacity</u> <u>of</u> the parties under investigation and complainants <u>to respond, in</u> <u>order to ensure that the parties</u> have sufficient opportunity to meaningfully provide their views on the issues raised. <u>This should</u> <u>however not lead to unduly long</u> <u>procedures.</u>	(27) When setting deadlines for parties under investigation and complainants to provide their views on preliminary findings, supervisory authorities should have regard to the complexity of the issues raised in preliminary findings, in order to ensure that the parties under investigation and complainants have sufficient opportunity to meaningfully provide their views on the issues raised.	
Recital 2				
38	(28) The exchange of views prior to the adoption of a draft decision involves an open dialogue and an extensive exchange of views where supervisory authorities should do their utmost to find a consensus on the way forward in an investigation. Conversely, the disagreement	deleted	(28) The exchange of views prior to the adoption of a draft decision involves an open dialogue and an extensive exchange of views where supervisory authorities should do their utmost to find a consensus on the way forward in an investigation. Conversely, the disagreement	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 48/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
ex	pressed in relevant and reasoned		expressed in relevant and reasoned	
ob	jections pursuant to Article 60(4)		objections pursuant to Article 60(4)	
of	Regulation (EU) 2016/679,		of Regulation (EU) 2016/679,	
	hich raise the potential for dispute		which raise the potential for dispute	
	solution between supervisory		resolution between supervisory	
au	thorities under Article 65 of		authorities under Article 65 of	
Re	egulation (EU) 2016/679 and		Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and	
de	lay the adoption of a final		delay the adoption of a final	
de	ecision by the competent		decision by the competent	
su	pervisory authority, should arise		supervisory authority, should arise	
in	the exceptional case of a failure		in the exceptional case of a failure	
of	supervisory authorities to achieve		of supervisory authorities to achieve	
ac	consensus and where necessary to		a consensus and where necessary to	
en	sure the consistent interpretation		ensure the consistent interpretation	
of	Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Such		of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Such	
ob	jections should be used sparingly,		objections should be used sparingly,	
	hen matters of consistent		when matters of consistent	
en	forcement of Regulation (EU)		enforcement of Regulation (EU)	
	016/679 are at stake, since every		2016/679 are at stake, since every	
	e of relevant and reasoned		use of relevant and reasoned	
	pjections postpones the remedy for		objections postpones the remedy for	
	e data subject. Since the scope of		the data subject. Since the scope of	
	e investigation and the relevant		the investigation and the relevant	
	cts should be decided prior to the		facts should be decided prior to the	
	mmunication of preliminary		communication of preliminary	
	ndings, these matters should not		findings, these matters should not	
	e raised by supervisory authorities		be raised by supervisory authorities	
	incerned in relevant and reasoned		concerned in relevant and reasoned	
	jections. They may, however, be		objections. They may, however, be	
	ised by supervisory authorities		raised by supervisory authorities	
	incerned in their comments on the		concerned in their comments on the	
	mmary of key issues pursuant to		summary of key issues pursuant to	
	rticle 9(3), before preliminary		Article 9(3), before preliminary	
	ndings are communicated to the		findings are communicated to the	
pa	arties under investigation.		parties under investigation.	
Recital 20				

Recital 29

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
39	(29) In the interest of the efficient and inclusive conclusion of the dispute resolution procedure, where all supervisory authorities should be in a position to contribute their views and bearing in mind the time constraints during dispute resolution, the form and structure of relevant and reasoned objections should meet certain requirements. Therefore, relevant and reasoned objections should be limited to a prescribed length, should clearly identify the disagreement with the draft decision and should be worded in sufficiently clear, coherent and precise terms.	(29) In the interest of the efficient and inclusive conclusion of the dispute resolution procedure, where all supervisory authorities should be in a position to contribute their views and bearing in mind the time constraints during dispute resolution, the form and structure of relevant and reasoned objections should meet certain requirements. Therefore, relevant and reasoned objections should <i>be limited to a</i> <i>prescribed length, should</i> clearly identify the disagreement with the draft decision and should be worded in sufficiently clear, coherent and precise terms.	deleted	
Recital 3	0			
40	(30) Access to the administrative file is provided for as a part of the rights of defence and the right to good administration enshrined in the Charter. Access to the administrative file should be provided to the parties under investigation when they are notified of preliminary findings and the deadline to submit their written reply to the preliminary findings should be set.	(30) Access to the <i>administrative</i> <i>file isjoint case file may be</i> provided for <i>as a part of the rights</i> <i>of defence andin the spirit of</i> the right to good administration enshrined in the Charter. Access to the <i>administrativejoint case</i> file should be provided to the parties <i>under investigation when they are</i> <i>notified of preliminary findings and</i> <i>the deadline to submit their written</i> <i>reply. Access of the parties</i> to the <i>preliminary findings should be</i> <i>setjoint case file may be limited at</i> <i>the request of a party to protect</i> <i>their legally recognised rights or</i> <i>the rights of others, or in the public</i> <i>interest. Such limitation must be</i>	(30) Access to the administrative file is provided for as a part of the rights of defence and the right to good administration enshrined in the Charter. Access to the administrative file should be provided to the parties under investigation when they are notified of preliminary findings and the deadline to submit their written reply to the preliminary findings should be set.	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 50/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		proportionate in light of the respective recognised rights of others or the public interest pursued.		
Recital 3	1	1		
s 41	(31) When granting access to the administrative file, supervisory authorities should ensure the protection of business secrets and other confidential information. The category of other confidential information includes information other than business secrets, which may be considered as confidential, insofar as its disclosure would significantly harm a controller, a processor or a natural person. The supervisory authorities should be able to request that parties under investigation that submit or have submitted documents or statements identify confidential information.	(31) When granting access to the <i>administrativejoint case</i> file, supervisory authorities should ensure the protection of business secrets and other <i>legally protected</i> confidential information <i>and the protection of information in the public interest in accordance with applicable national law</i> . The category of other confidential information includes information other than business secrets, which may be considered as confidential, insofar as its disclosure would significantly harm a controller, a processor or a natural <u>or legal</u> person. The supervisory authorities should be able to request that parties under investigation that submit or have submitted documents or statements identify confidential information <i>and provide a non-confidential version</i> .	(31) When granting access to the administrative file to parties under investigation and the complainant, supervisory authorities should ensure the protection of businesstrade secrets and other confidential information. The category of other confidential information includes information other than businesstrade secrets, which may be considered as confidential in accordance with national law, insofar as its disclosure would significantly harm a controller, a processor or a natural person. Confidential information shall in particular include information which is known only to a limited number of persons and which disclosure is liable to cause serious harm to the person who provided it or to third parties, and where the interests liable to be harmed by the disclosure of such information are, objectively, worthy of protection. The supervisory authorities should be able to request that parties under investigation that submit or have submitted	(31) When granting access to the administrative file <i>to parties under investigation and the complainant</i> , supervisory authorities should ensure the protection of <i>businesstrade</i> secrets and other confidential information. The category of other confidential information other than <i>businesstrade</i> secrets, which may be considered as confidential <i>in accordance with Union and national law</i> , insofar as its disclosure would significantly harm a controller, a processor or a natural <i>or legal</i> person. <i>Confidential information shall in particular include information which is known only to a limited number of persons and which disclosure is liable to cause serious harm to the person who provided it or to third parties, and where the interests liable to be harmed by the disclosure of such information are, objectively, worthy of protection.</i> The supervisory authorities should be able to request that parties under investigation that submit or have submitted documents or statements identify confidential information.

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 51/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			documents or statements identify confidential information.	
Recital 3	2			
42	(32) Where business secrets or other confidential information are necessary to prove an infringement, the supervisory authorities should assess for each individual document whether the need to disclose is greater than the harm which might result from disclosure.	(32) Where business secrets or other confidential information are necessary to prove an infringement, the supervisory authorities should assess for each individual document whether the need to disclose is greater than the harm which might result from disclosure.	(32) Where businesstrade secrets or other confidential information are necessary to prove an infringement, the supervisory authorities should assess for each individual document whether the need to disclose is greater than the harm which might result from disclosure. In disclosing documents containing personal data, the supervisory authorities should also take into account the principle of data minimisation.	(32) Where <i>businesstrade</i> secrets or other confidential information are necessary to prove an infringement, the supervisory authorities should assess for each individual document <i>in a proportionate manner</i> whether the need to disclose is greater than the harm which might result from disclosure.
Recital 3	2a		1	
42a			(32a) Access to documents included in the administrative file on the basis of access to public documents shall be provided in accordance with Member States law. Until the final decision is adopted by the competent supervisory authority, the decision-making process of the authorities, including the performance of their tasks without undue external influence, shall be protected.	
Recital 3	3			
43	<ul><li>(33) When referring a subject- matter to dispute resolution under Article 65 of Regulation (EU)</li><li>2016/679, the lead supervisory</li></ul>	<ul><li>(33) When referring a subject- matter to dispute resolution under Article 65 of Regulation (EU)</li><li>2016/679, the lead supervisory</li></ul>	<ul><li>(33) When referring a subject- matter to dispute resolution under Article 65 of Regulation (EU)</li><li>2016/679, the lead supervisory</li></ul>	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 52/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		authority should provide the Board with all necessary information to enable it to assess the admissibility of relevant and reasoned objections and to take the decision pursuant to Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Once the Board is in receipt of all the necessary documents listed in Article 23, the Chair of the Board should register the referral of the subject-matter in the sense of Article 65(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	authority should provide the Board with all necessary information to enable it to assess the admissibility of relevant and reasoned objections and to take the decision pursuant to Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Once the Board is in receipt of all the necessary documents <i>listed in</i> <i>Article 23, the Chair of</i> the Board should register the referral of the subject-matter in <i>the sense</i> <i>ofaccordance with</i> Article 65(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	authority should provide the Board with all necessary information to enable it to assess the admissibility of relevant and reasoned objections and to take the decision pursuant to Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Once the Board is in receipt of all the necessary documents listed in Article 23, the Chair of the Board should register the referral of the subject-matter in the sense of Article 65(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
_	Recital 34	4			
	44	(34) The binding decision of the Board under Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 should concern exclusively matters which led to the triggering of the dispute resolution and be drafted in a way which allows the lead supervisory authority to adopt its final decision on the basis of the decision of the Board while maintaining its discretion.	(34) The binding decision of the Board under Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 should concern exclusively matters which led to the triggering of the dispute resolution and be drafted in <i>a way which allowsclear and</i> <i>precise language, allowing</i> the lead supervisory authority to adopt its final decision on the basis of the decision of the Board <i>while</i> <i>maintaining its discretion</i> .	(34) The binding decision of the Board under Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 should concern exclusively matters which led to the triggering of the dispute resolution and be drafted in a way which allows the lead supervisory authority to adopt its final decision on the basis of the decision of the Board while maintaining its discretion.	
	Recital 3	5			
G	45	<ul> <li>(35) In order to streamline the resolution of disputes between supervisory authorities submitted to the Board under Article 65(1), points (b) and (c), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it is necessary to specify procedural rules regarding</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(35) In order to streamline the resolution of disputes between supervisory authorities submitted to the Board under Article 65(1), points (b) and (c), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it is necessary to specify procedural rules regarding</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(35) In order to streamline the resolution of disputes between supervisory authorities submitted to the Board under Article 65(1), points (b) and (c), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it is necessary to specify procedural rules regarding</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(35) In order to streamline the resolution of disputes between supervisory authorities submitted to the Board under Article 65(1), points (b) and (c), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it is necessary to specify procedural rules regarding</li> </ul>

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 53/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	the documents to be submitted to the Board and on which the Board should base its decision. It is also necessary to specify when the Board should register the submission of the matter to dispute resolution.	the documents to be submitted to the Board and on which the Board should base its decision. It is also necessary to specify when the Board should register the submission of the matter to dispute resolution.	the documents to be submitted to the Board and on which the Board should base its decision. It is also necessary to specify when the Board should register the submission of the matter to dispute resolution.	the documents to be submitted to the Board and on which the Board should base its decision. It is also necessary to specify when the Board should register the submission of the matter to dispute resolution.
Recital	35a			
45a			(35a) When the Board sets a time limit for the parties under investigation or the complainant to make their views known, it would be appropriate for the Board to extend the period for the adoption of its decision, given that in such circumstances the need to take into account the views made known by the parties or the complainant always increases the complexity of the subject -matter, for the purposes of Article 65(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
Recita	36			
46	<ul> <li>(36) In order to streamline the procedure for the adoption of urgent opinions and urgent binding decisions of the Board under Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it is necessary to specify procedural rules regarding the timing of the request for an urgent opinion or urgent binding decision, the documents to be submitted to the</li> </ul>	(36) In order to streamline the procedure for the adoption of urgent opinions and urgent binding decisions of the Board under Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it is necessary to specify procedural rules regarding the timing of the request for an urgent opinion or urgent binding decision, the documents to be submitted to the	(36) In order to streamline the procedure for the adoption of urgent opinions and urgent binding decisions of the Board under Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it is necessary to specify procedural rules regarding the timing of the request for an urgent opinion or urgent binding decision, the documents to be submitted to the	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 54/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Board and on which the Board should base its decision, to whom the opinion or decision of the Board should be addressed, and the consequences of the opinion or decision of the Board.	Board and on which the Board should base its decision, to whom the opinion or decision of the Board should be addressed, and the consequences of the opinion or decision of the Board. <u>Provisional</u> <u>measures pursuant to Article 66(1)</u> of <u>Regulation (EU) 2016/679</u> <u>should include all possible</u> <u>measures within the powers of</u> <u>supervisory authorities, pursuant</u> to Article 58 of that Regulation.	Board and on which the Board should base its decision <del>, to whom</del> the opinion or decision of the Board should be addressed, and the consequences of the opinion or decision of the Board.	
Recital 3	6a			
46a		(36a) The Board should be able to request any further information from supervisory authorities necessary for it to take a binding decision.		
Recital 3	6b	• •		
46b		(36b) The complainant should have a right to a judicial remedy in the event that a supervisory authority does not use its powers or does not otherwise take necessary action required by Regulation (EU) 2016/679. In addition, the parties should have a right to take action against the lead supervisory authority in case of inaction or overly long procedures. To ensure that there is no enforcement gap, the parties to the case and organisations under Article 80(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 should be empowered to seek a		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 55/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		judicial remedy in the public interest if a supervisory authority does not comply with a decision of the Board and if they consider that the rights of a data subject under Regulation (EU) 2016/679 have been infringed as a result of the processing.		
Recital 3	7			
47	(37) Chapters III and IV concern cooperation between supervisory authorities, the procedural rights of parties under investigation and the involvement of complainants. To ensure legal certainty, those provisions should not apply to investigations already under way at the time this Regulation enters into force. They should apply to ex officio investigations opened after the entry into force of this Regulation and to complaint-based investigations where the complaint was lodged after the entry into force of this Regulation. Chapter V provides procedural rules for cases submitted to dispute resolution under Article 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Also for reasons of legal certainty, this Chapter should not apply to cases that have been submitted to dispute resolution prior to the entry into force of this Regulation. It should apply to all cases submitted to dispute	(37) Chapters III and IV concern cooperation between supervisory authorities, the procedural rights of parties under investigation and the involvement of complainants. To ensure legal certainty, those provisions should not apply to investigations already under way at the time this Regulation enters into force. They should apply to ex officio investigations opened after the entry into force of this Regulation and to complaint-based investigations where the complaint was lodged after the entry into force of this Regulation. Chapter V provides procedural rules for cases submitted to dispute resolution under Article 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Also for reasons of legal certainty, this Chapter should not apply to cases that have been submitted to dispute resolution prior to the entry into force of this Regulation. It should apply to all cases submitted to dispute	(37) Chapters III and IV concern cooperation between supervisory authorities, the procedural rights of parties under investigation and the involvement of complainants. To ensure legal certainty, those provisions should not apply to investigations already under way at the time this Regulation enters into force. They should apply to <i>ex</i> <i>officioex</i> officio investigations opened after the entry into force of this Regulation and to complaint- based investigations where the complaint was lodged after the entry into force of this Regulation. Chapter V provides procedural rules for cases submitted to dispute resolution under Article 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Also for reasons of legal certainty, this Chapter should not apply to cases that have been submitted to dispute resolution prior to the entry into force of this Regulation. It should apply to all cases submitted to	

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	resolution after the entry into force of this Regulation.	resolution after the entry into force of this Regulation.	dispute resolution after the entry into force of this Regulation.	
Recital 3	7a			
° 47a			(37a) The successful implementation of the Regulation requires adequate digital tools supporting the exchange of information in line with the Regulation. An appropriate secure common electronic communication system should be provided for all data protection authorities, which should build upon the experience gained in using the existing systems. The sufficient resources needed for the implementation of such communication system should be provided.	(37a) The successful implementation of the Regulation requires adequate digital tools supporting the exchange of information in line with the Regulation. An appropriate secure common electronic tool should be provided for all data protection authorities, which should build upon the experience gained in using the existing systems. The sufficient resources needed for the implementation of such communication system should be provided.
Recital 3	8			
<sup>6</sup> 48	(38) The European Data Protection Supervisor and the European Data Protection Board were consulted in accordance with Article 42(2) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 and delivered a joint opinion on [],	(38) The European Data Protection Supervisor and the European Data Protection Board were consulted in accordance with Article 42(2) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 and delivered a joint opinion on <u>[-]19</u> <u>September 2023</u> ,	(38) The European Data Protection Supervisor and the European Data Protection Board were consulted in accordance with Article 42(2) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 and delivered a joint opinion on [19 <sup>th</sup> September 2023-],	<ul> <li>(38) The European Data Protection Supervisor and the European Data Protection Board were consulted in accordance with Article 42(2) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 and delivered a joint opinion on <u>[-]19</u> <u>September 2023</u>,</li> <li>Text Origin: EP Mandate</li> </ul>
Formula	1			
g 49	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION: Text Origin: Commission Proposal

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 57/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Chapter	1		1	1
50	Chapter I General provisions	Chapter I General provisions	Chapter I General provisions	Chapter I General provisions Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Section 1	la (new)	•		
50a		<u>Section 1a (new)Subject matter,</u> scope, and definitions		
Article 1				
51	Article 1 Subject matter	Article 1 Subject matter and scope	Article 1 Subject matter Scope	Article 1 Subject matter <u>and scope</u> Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 1,	, first paragraph	•	•	•
52	This Regulation lays down procedural rules for the handling of complaints and the conduct of investigations in complaint-based and ex officio cases by supervisory authorities in the cross-border enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	This Regulation lays down procedural rules for the handling of complaints and the conduct of investigations in complaint-based and ex officio cases by supervisory authorities <u>whenever supervisory</u> <u>authorities of more than one</u> <u>Member State are involved</u> in the <u>cross-border enforcement of</u> <u>Regulation (EU) 2016/679case, as</u> <u>well as procedural rules on related</u> <u>judicial remedies</u> .	This Regulation lays down procedural rules for the handling of complaints and the conduct of investigations in complaint-based and <i>ex officioex</i> officio cases by supervisory authorities in the <del>cross- border</del> enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 for cross-border processing.	This Regulation lays down procedural rules for the handling of complaints and the conduct of investigations in complaint-based and <u>ex officioex officio</u> cases by supervisory authorities in the <u>eross</u> - border enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 <u>for cross-border</u> <u>processing, including the</u> <u>determination of whether a case</u> <u>relates to cross-border processing</u> . Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1,	, first paragraph a			
52a		<b>1a.</b> Article 26b of this Regulation also applies to cases before a supervisory authority of a single Member State, pursuant to Article 56(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.		deleted <mark>Remedies</mark>

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 58/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Article 2				
G	53	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2,	, first paragraph			
G	54	For the purposes of this Regulation the definitions in Article 4 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall apply.	For the purposes of this Regulation the definitions in Article 4 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall apply.	For the purposes of this Regulation the definitions in Article 4 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall apply.	For the purposes of this Regulation the definitions in Article 4 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall apply. Text Origin: Commission
					Proposal
	Article 2,	second paragraph			
G	55	The following definitions shall also apply:	The following definitions shall also apply:	The following definitions shall also apply:	The following definitions shall also apply:
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2,	second paragraph, point (1)			
	56	<ul> <li>(1) 'parties under investigation' means the controller(s) and/or processor(s) investigated for alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 related to cross-border processing;</li> </ul>	(1) ' <i>particsparty</i> under investigation' means the controller(s) and/or processor(s) <i>complained about, or</i> investigated for alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 <i>related</i> <i>to cross-border processing, as well</i> <i>as their representative(s)</i> ;	<ul> <li>(1) 'parties under investigation' means the controller(s) and/or processor(s) investigated for alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 related to cross-border processing;</li> </ul>	
	Article 2,	second paragraph, point (1a)			
	56a		(1a) <u>'complainant' means the data</u> subject or non-for-profit body, organisation or association that has lodged a complaint under Article 77 of Regulation (EU)		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 59/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		2016/679 and is therefore considered as a party to the proceedings;		
Article 2	, second paragraph, point (1b)			
56b		(1b) 'party' means the party or parties under investigation, the complainant(s) and any third party involved in the proceedings as defined under national law;		
Article 2	, second paragraph, point (1a)			
s 56c		(1c) <u>'national procedural law'</u> <u>means laws, regulations and</u> <u>administrative provisions of the</u> <u>Member State that regulate the</u> <u>procedure before a supervisory</u> <u>authority;</u>		deleted
Article 2,	, second paragraph, point (1b)			
₅ 56d		(1d) 'complaints procedure' means a procedure determining the outcome of a complaint under <u>Article 77 of Regulation (EU)</u> 2016/679;		deleted
Article 2,	, second paragraph, point (1c)			
∝ 56e		(1e) 'ex officio procedure' means an investigation into the activities of a natural or legal person, public authority, agency or other body initiated on a supervisory authority's initiative under Article 57(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;		deleted
Article 2,	, second paragraph, point (1d)			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 60/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
₅ 56f		(1f) 'joint case file' means a dedicated electronic file for any case falling under the scope of this Regulation, that is managed by the lead supervisory authority and in which all relevant information, in particular documents, submissions, memos and other information regarding a case, are stored and made remotely accessible to supervisory authorities concerned and parties to the case;		deleted
Article 2	, second paragraph, point (1g)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
56g		(1g) <u>'complaint-receiving</u> authority' means the supervisory authority with which the complaint has been lodged as referred to in Article 4(22), point (c), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;		
Article 2	, second paragraph, point (2)	1		
<sup>6</sup> 57	(2) 'summary of key issues' means the summary to be provided by the lead supervisory authority to supervisory authorities concerned identifying the main relevant facts and the lead supervisory authority's views on the case;	<ul> <li>(2) 'summary of key issues' means the summary to be provided by the lead supervisory authority to supervisory authorities concerned, identifying the main relevant <i>facts factual and legal issues within the preliminary scope of the investigation</i> and the lead supervisory authority's <i>factual and legal</i> views on the case;</li> </ul>	(2) 'summary of key issues' means the summary to be provided by the lead supervisory authority to supervisory authorities concerned identifying the main relevant facts and the lead supervisory authority's views on the case;	<ul> <li>(2) 'summary of key issues' means the summary to be provided by the lead supervisory authority to supervisory authorities concerned, identifying the main relevant <i>factsfactual and legal issues</i> and the lead supervisory authority's <i>factual and legal</i> views on the case;</li> <li>Text Origin: EP Mandate</li> </ul>
Article 2	, second paragraph, point (3)			
58	(3) 'preliminary findings' means the document provided by the lead supervisory authority to the parties	(3) 'preliminary findings' means the document provided by the lead supervisory authority to the parties	(3) 'preliminary findings' means the document provided by the lead supervisory authority to the parties	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 61/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	under investigation setting out the allegations, the relevant facts, supporting evidence, legal analysis, and, where applicable, proposed corrective measures;	<i>under investigation</i> setting out the allegations, the relevant facts, supporting evidence, legal analysis, and, where applicable, proposed corrective measures;	under investigation setting out the allegations, the relevant facts, supporting evidence, <b>preliminary</b> legal analysis, and, where applicable, proposed corrective measures;	
Artic	le 2, second paragraph, point (4)			
۶ 59	(4) 'retained relevant and reasoned objections' means the objections which have been determined by the Board to be relevant and reasoned within the meaning of Article 4(24) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	(4) 'retained relevant and reasoned objections' means the objections which have been determined by the Board to be relevant and reasoned within the meaning of Article 4(24) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	(4) 'retained relevant and reasoned objections' means the objections which have been determined by the Board to be relevant and reasoned within the meaning of Article 4(24) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	(4) 'retained relevant and reasoned objections' means the objections which have been determined by the Board to be relevant and reasoned within the meaning of Article 4(24) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.
				Proposal
Artic	le 2, second paragraph, point (4a)	1	1	
۶ 59a		(4a) <u>'confidential version of a</u> <u>document' means a document</u> <u>containing confidential or sensitive</u> <u>information which may be subject</u> <u>to legal privilege under the</u> <u>applicable Union or national law</u> <u>and data protection rules;</u>		deleted
Artic	le 2, second paragraph, point (4b)	•	•	
₅ 59l	b	(4b) <u>'non-confidential version of a</u> <u>document' means a version of a</u> <u>document from which confidential</u> <u>or sensitive information has been</u> <u>redacted and which can be</u> <u>provided to the complainant</u> <u>without breaching Union or</u> <u>national law or data protection</u> <u>rules.</u>		deleted

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 62/189

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Section 1b (new)			
<i>59c</i>	Section 1b (new) Procedural rules		
Article 2a			
59d	<u>Article 2a</u> <u>Applicable Procedural Law</u>		
Article 2a(1)			
s 59e	<b>1. In addition to this Regulation,</b> and provided that it is not in conflict with this Regulation, the procedural law applicable before a supervisory authority shall govern all direct interactions between that supervisory authority and the parties before it. This Regulation shall not preclude Member States from specifying procedural matters not regulated by this Regulation or Regulation (EU) 2016/679.		deleted
Article 2a(2)			
с 59f	2. This Regulation and Regulation (EU) 2016/679 govern the interaction between supervisory authorities of different Member States that falls within the scope of this Regulation.		deleted
Article 2a(3)			
59g	3.A complainant shall have the right to communicate exclusively with the supervisory authority with which the complaint has been lodged pursuant to Article 77 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.		
Article 2b			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 63/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
59h		<u>Article 2b</u> <u>Common procedural standards</u>		
Article 2	b(1)			
59i		<b>1.Without prejudice to additional</b> rights under national procedural law, each party shall have at least the following rights:		
Article 2	b(1), point (a)			
59j		(a) to have their case handled impartially and fairly, and to be treated equally, even if they are before different supervisory authorities in different jurisdictions ("fair procedure");		
Article 2	b(1), point (b)			
59k		(b) to be heard before any measure is taken that would adversely affect them, including before the decision to uphold, or to fully or partially reject a complaint is adopted ("right to be heard");		
Article 2	b(1), point (a)		·	
591		(c) to have access to the joint case file, except to any internal deliberations of the supervisory authority or deliberations between those authorities ("procedural transparency").		
Article 2	b(2)			
59m		2. The lead supervisory authority shall inform and hear the parties at appropriate stages of the		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 64/189

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	procedure, in order to allow them to effectively express their views on all factual findings and legal conclusions made by the lead supervisory authority.		
Article 2b(2)	I I		
59n	3. The joint case file shall include all evidence, inculpatory and exculpatory, including documents and other evidence provided by the parties under investigation.		
Article 2b(3)			
590	4.On the request of a party to protect their legally recognised rights or to protect the rights of others, or when it is in the public interest or in order to protect operational security and cybersecurity a supervisory authority may limit the rights referred to in paragraph 1, point (c). Any such limitation shall be carried out in accordance with the national procedural law applicable under Article 2a(1) to any direct interaction between a supervisory authority and the party receiving limited information, and must be proportionate in light of the respective recognised rights of others or the public interest pursued. The party claiming confidentiality shall provide a confidential version of any		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 65/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		information, as well as a suggested non-confidential version.		
Article 2	b(4)			
59p		5. The non-confidential version of documents that were provided by a party shall be determined by the supervisory authority making a determination pursuant to first sentence of paragraph 4, applying only strictly proportionate measures, such as redacting specific parts of documents.		
Article 2	b(5)			
59q		6. Supervisory authorities concerned shall always have access to the confidential version of all documents, and may object to redactions that they consider not strictly proportionate. Supervisory authorities pursuant to first sentence of paragraph 4 shall immediately inform the parties about the fact that information is withheld. The lead supervisory authority shall keep records of each access to the joint case file.		
Article 2	b(7)			
59r		7.In the interest of efficiency of procedures, supervisory authorities shall limit the length of submissions by the parties to not more than 50 pages. Those authorities shall set reasonable and appropriate time limits not shorter		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 66/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		than three weeks and not longer than six weeks, unless exceptional circumstances require a reasonable extension. The supervisory authorities shall not be obliged to take into account written views received after the expiry of that time-limit.		
Article 2	b(8)			
59s		8. The lead supervisory authority may join and separate cases in accordance with national procedural law, insofar as this does not undermine the rights of the parties.		
Article 2	<b>c</b>			
59t		<u>Article 2c</u> <u>Cooperation between supervisory</u> <u>authorities</u>		
Article 2	c(1)			
59u		1. The lead supervisory authority shall structure, coordinate and manage the case in an efficient and expedient way, in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2016/679, this Regulation and any applicable national procedural law.		
Article 2	c(1), first subparagraph			
59v		2.Any supervisory authority may declare that it is concerned, setting out the reasons why it meets the definition of a supervisory authority concerned under Article		2.Any supervisory authority may declare that it is concerned, where it deems that it meets the definition of a supervisory authority

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 67/189

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	4(22) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. <u>The lead supervisory authority</u> <u>shall maintain a list of supervisory</u> <u>authorities concerned for each</u> <u>case in the joint case file.</u>		<u>concerned under Article 4(22) of</u> <u>Regulation (EU) 2016/679.</u>
Article 2c(1), second subparagraph			
s 59w	Where the lead supervisory authority considers that a supervisory authority which has made a declaration that it is concerned according to this paragraph does not meet the definition of a supervisory authority concerned, it shall inform that authority of its assessment. The supervisory authority which declared that it is concerned shall within one week of receiving that assessment either withdraw its declaration, or produce a reasoned opinion setting out the reasons why it considers the assessment of the lead supervisory authority to be incorrect. Where the diverging assessments of the lead supervisory authority which declared to be concerned cannot be resolved in a different manner, the lead supervisory authority shall request a determination of the Board under Article 26a.		deleted
Article 2c(3)			1

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 68/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
59x		3.Any supervisory authority concerned which receives relevant information for a case shall provide it to the lead supervisory authority without delay, but no later than one week from the day that it received such information.		
Article 2	2c(4)			
59y		4. Where diverging views cannot be overcome or in the case of inactivity of another supervisory authority, supervisory authorities shall use the powers intended for resolution of such situations under this Regulation and under Chapter VII of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.		
Article 2	2c(2)		-	
۵ 59z		5.All written documents by the supervisory authorities shall be provided by electronic means and in a concise, transparent, intelligible and easily accessible form, using clear and plain language.		deleted
Article 2	2d		•	
₅ 59aa		<u>Article 2d</u> <u>Use of Languages and</u> <u>Translations</u>		deleted
Article 2	2d(1)			
₅ 59ab		<b>1. The Board shall determine one</b> language that shall be accepted by all supervisory authorities during the cooperation between		deleted

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 69/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>authorities ("cooperation</u> language").		
Article 2d(2	2)	1	T	
59ac		2. When a supervisory authority shares relevant information with another supervisory authority, it shall provide a translation into the cooperation language or any other language the receiving supervisory authority accepts.		deleted
Article 2d(3	3)	·		
59ad		3. The lead supervisory authority shall provide submissions into the joint case file in the original language, and shall provide translations into the cooperation language.		deleted
Article 2d(4	4)			
59ae		4. In any direct interaction with the parties, supervisory authorities shall provide parties with information in the original language and, if necessary, either a translation into the language of the national procedural law, or into any other language the party understands or uses in its routine external communication.		deleted
Article 2d(5	5)	-		
59af		5.A supervisory authority may provide automated translations, if it finds that the automated		deleted

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 70/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>translation is not substantially</u> <u>different from the original.</u>		
Article 2	d(6)		-	
59ag		6. When a judicial remedy is filed against a supervisory authority, the supervisory authority shall provide the joint case file and any other relevant information in a language accepted by the judiciary of the Member State.		deleted
Chapter			•	·
60	Chapter II Submission and handling of complaints	<i>deleted</i> Heading "Chapter II" is placed after article 3 and its title is changed	Chapter II Submission and handling of complaints	
Article 3	•		•	
61	Article 3 Cross-border complaints	Article 3 Cross-border complaints	Article 3 <b>Complaints relating to</b> cross- border <del>complaints</del> processing	Article 3 <u>Complaints concerning cross-</u> <u>border processingcross-border</u> <u>complaints</u>
Article 3	(1)			
62	1.A complaint on the basis of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 that relates to cross-border processing shall provide the information required in the Form, as set out in the Annex. No additional information shall be required in order for the complaint to be admissible.	<ol> <li>A complaint on the basis         ofsubject to this             Regulation-(EU)      </li> <li>2016/679 that relates to cross         border processing             shall provide the             information required in the      </li> <li>Formtemplate, as set out in the         Annex.         No additional information shall be             required in order for the complaint             to be admissible. The information             can be provided by any means the      </li> </ol>	1-For a complaint on the basis of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 that relates to cross-border processing shall provide the information required in the Form, as set out in the Annex. No additional to be admissible, the following information shall be required in order for the complaint to be admissible.provided:	1. <u>A complaint on the basis of</u> <u>Regulation (EU) 2016/679</u> <u>concerning cross-border</u> <u>processing shall be admissible</u> <u>provided that it includes the</u> <u>following information:</u> <u>A complaint</u> <u>on the basis of Regulation (EU)</u> <u>2016/679 that relates to cross-</u> <u>border processing shall provide the</u> <u>information required in the Form,</u> <u>as set out in the Annex. No</u>

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 71/189
	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<i>authority accepts, including by not using the template.</i>		<i>additional information shall be</i> <del>required in order for the complaint</del> <del>to be admissible.</del>
Article 3	1), point (a)		·	
۶ 62a			(a) name of person or entity filing the complaint;	<u>(a)</u> <u>name of person or entity</u> lodging the complaint;
Article 3	1), point (b)	·	·	
۶ 62b			(b) where the complaint is submitted by a body, organisation or association referred to in Article 80 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, proof that the body, organisation or association has been properly constituted in accordance with the law of a Member State;	(b) where the complaint is lodged by a body, organisation or association referred to in Article 80 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, proof that the body, organisation or association has been properly constituted in accordance with the law of a Member State;
Article 3	1), point (c)		·	-
ء 62c			(c) where the complaint is submitted on the basis of Article 80(1) of Regulation 2016/679, name of the body, organisation or association, contact details and proof that the body, organisation or association lodging the complaint is acting on the basis of the mandate of a data subject;	(c) where the complaint is lodged on the basis of Article 80(1) of Regulation 2016/679, name of the body, organisation or association, contact details and proof that the body, organisation or association lodging the complaint is acting on the basis of the mandate of a data subject;
Article 3	1), point (d)		1	
ء 62d			(d) contact details of the person or entity filing the complaint;	(d) contact details of the person or entity lodging the complaint;
Article 3	1), point (e)			
∝ 62e			(e) elements allowing for the identification of the controller or	(e) information which facilitates the identification of the controller

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 72/189

Article 3(1), point (f)     the complaint;     the complaint;       Image: the complaint of the second sec	sor which is the subject of aint;
(f) explanation of the alleged (f) description	
62f	rmation referred to in the aragraph shall be n order for the complaint o cross-border processing
Article 3(2)	
	nout prejudice to the
62grequired to contact the party under investigation before submitting a complaint. Where the complainantadmissibil supervisor submission	lity of a complaint, ry authorities may ask the n of supplementary on by the complainant in

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 73/189

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	investigation before submitting the complaint relating to the same matter, he or she shall submit the communication related to that contact pursuant to the Annex.		order to facilitate the handling of the complaint and enable the full investigation of the case. Ib. The complainant shall not be required to contact the party under investigation before lodging a complaint in order for that complaint to be admissible. Without prejudice to the admissibility of the complaint, where the complaint relates to the exercise of a right of the data subject which relies on a request to be submitted to the data controller by the data subject concerned, such request shall be made to the controller before the submission of the complaint.
Article 3(3) 62h	<u>1b.</u> <u>The supervisory authority with</u> which a complaint has been lodged shall, within two weeks, acknowledge receipt and admissibility of the complaint, or, where a complaint does not meet the requirements pursuant to paragraph 1, declare the complaint inadmissible and inform the complainant about the missing information.		Deadlines
Article 3(4), first subparagraph		·	
62i		1bis. The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall decide on the	Deadlines

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 74/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			admissibility of the complaint within four weeks after receiving all the necessary information according to paragraph 1, pursuant to the following :	
Article 3	(4), first subparagraph, point (a)	-	-	
62j			a) Only information under paragraph 1 shall be required in order for the complaint relating to cross-border processing to be admissible.	deleted
Article 3	(4), first subparagraph, point (b)			
5 62k			b) Administrative modalities and requirements under the national procedural law of the supervisory authority with which the complaint is lodged shall continue to apply.	deleted
Article 3	(4), second subparagraph	•	•	
621			The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged may extend by two weeks the period to decide on the admissibility of a complaint on account of the complexity of the case.	Deadlines
Article 3	(5)		·	
5 62m		<u>1c.</u> The supervisory authority shall attribute a case number to the complaint and communicate this information to the complainant. This shall be without prejudice to the assessment of admissibility of		deleted Joint case file

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 75/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		the complaint pursuant to paragraph 2(c), point (i).		
Article 3	(6)		T	
∝ 62n			1ter. Without prejudice to the admissibility of a complaint, supervisory authorities may ask the submission of supplementary information by the complainant in order to facilitate the handling of the complaint and enable the full investigation of the case.	
Article 3	(2)		1	
۶ 63	2. The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall establish whether the complaint relates to cross-border processing.	2. The supervisory authority with which the complaint washas been lodged shall-establish whether, within three weeks after acknowledging the admissibility of the complaint relates to cross- border processing.pursuant to paragraph 1b:	2. The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall establish determine, by way of a preliminary conclusion, whether the complaint relates to cross-border processing and which supervisory authority is assumed to act as a lead supervisory authority in accordance with Article 56, paragraph 1 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, and where relevant whether the case may be handled in accordance with Article 56, paragraph 2 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	2. The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall <i>establish_determine, by way of</i> <i>a preliminary conclusion</i> , whether the complaint relates to cross-border processing, <i>which supervisory</i> <i>authority is assumed to act as a</i> <i>lead supervisory authority in</i> <i>accordance with Article 56</i> , <i>paragraph 1 of Regulation (EU)</i> 2016/679, and if Article 56, <i>paragraph 2 of Regulation (EU)</i> 2016/679 applies. Deadlines
Article 3	(2), point (a)		· -	
∝ 63a		(a) establish, by way of a preliminary conclusion, whether the complaint relates to cross- border processing of personal data of the complainant, considering at least the following:		deleted

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 76/189

Con	nmission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 3(2), point (a,	)(i)			
₅ 63b		(i) <u>relevant controller or processor</u> <u>for the processing in question;</u>		deleted
Article 3(2), point (a,	)(ii)			
₹ 63c		(ii) number of establishments of the controller or processor in the EU;		deleted
Article 3(2), point (a,	)(iii)			
63d		<u>(iii)place of the main</u> <u>establishment;</u>		deleted
Article 3(2), point (a	)(iv)			
63e		<u>(iv) activities of establishments in</u> more than one Member State;		deleted
Article 3(2), point (a	)(v)			
63f		(v) substantial effect or likely substantial effect on data subjects in more than one Member State.		deleted
Article 3(2), point (b,	)	· · · · ·		
5 63g		(b) establish which supervisory authority is the assumed lead supervisory authority under Article 56(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, and whether the case is local in nature pursuant to Article 56(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679,		deleted
Article 3(2), point (c)	)	1		
5 63h		<u>(c)</u> <u>take one of the following</u> <u>actions:</u>		deleted

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 77/189

Commission P	roposal EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 3(2), point (c)(i)			
<i>63i</i>	(i) transmit the complaint to the assumed lead supervisory authority under Article 56(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and inform the complainant thereof. The assessment of the admissibility of the complaint by the supervisory authority with which the complaint has been lodged shall be binding on the lead supervisory authority; or		deleted
Article 3(2), point (c)(ii)			
<i>63j</i>	<u>(ii)</u> <u>handle the complaint under</u> <u>Article 56(2) of Regulation (EU)</u> <u>2016/679.</u>		deleted
Article 3(3)			
63k	3. The lead supervisory authority shall immediately provide the complaint to the party under investigation and request a reply without undue delay, but no later than three weeks from the day the party under investigation was informed by the lead supervisory authority. In complex cases, and where requested and duly justified by the party under investigation, the time for replying can be extended by the lead supervisory authority by another three weeks.		Procedural steps

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 78/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
631		4. The parties or the assumed lead supervisory authority shall raise any objection in relation to the competence of the assumed lead supervisory authority or to the handling of a complaint under Article 56(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 within three weeks from being informed about the action taken pursuant to Article 3(2), point (c).		
Article 3	(5)			
63m		5. Where an objection under paragraph 2b was raised, the supervisory authority with which the complaint has been lodged may withdraw the transmission of the complaint and either assume its own competence under Article 55 or 56 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or transfer it to an assumed lead supervisory authority within two weeks. If none of these actions were taken, or where differing assessments of the supervisory authorities involved cannot be resolved otherwise, the supervisory authority with which the complaint has been lodged shall request a determination by the Board under Article 26a. It shall provide the Board with a description of relevant processing activities, of the company's organisation and a description of where decisions are taken.		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 79/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 3	(3)			
۶ 64	3. The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall determine the completeness of the information required by the Form within one month.	deleted	deleted	deleted
Article 3	(4)			
6 65	4. Upon assessment of the completeness of the information required by the Form, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall transmit the complaint to the lead supervisory authority.	deleted	4. Upon assessment of the completeness of the information required by the FormWhere a complaint that relates to cross- border processing is admissible, in the absence of early resolution pursuant to Article 5, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall transmit the complaint to the assumed lead supervisory authority.	4. Upon assessment of the completeness of the information required by the FormWhere a complaint that relates to cross- border processing is admissible, in the absence of early resolution pursuant to Article 5, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall transmit the complaint to the assumed lead supervisory authority and inform the complainant thereof. The assessment of the admissibility of the complaint by the supervisory authority with which the complaint has been lodged shall be binding on the lead supervisory authority.
Article 3	(4a)			
65a			4a. Within eight weeks after receipt of the complaint, the assumed lead supervisory authority shall either confirm its competence or, where there are conflicting views on which supervisory authorities concerned is competent for the main establishment, refer the subject-	Deadlines

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 80/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			matter to the Board for dispute resolution under Article 65 (1) (b) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. This shall not preclude supervisory authorities from referring the subject-matter to the Board for dispute resolution under Article 65(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 after the expiry of this deadline.	
Article 3	(5)			
₅ 66	5. Where the complainant claims confidentiality when submitting a complaint, the complainant shall also submit a non-confidential version of the complaint.	deleted	deleted	
Article 3	(6)			
₅ 67	6. The supervisory authority with which a complaint was lodged shall acknowledge receipt of the complaint within one week. This acknowledgement shall be without prejudice to the assessment of admissibility of the complaint pursuant to paragraph 3.	deleted	deleted	
Chapter	11	1		
67a		<u>Chapter II</u> <u>Complaints and ex officio</u> <u>procedures</u>		
Article 4		1		
68	Article 4 Investigation of complaints	Article 4 Investigation <u>Handling</u> of complaints	Article 4 Investigation of complaints	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 81/189

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Article 4,	first paragraph			
¥	69	While assessing the extent appropriate to which a complaint should be investigated in each case the supervisory authority shall take into account all relevant circumstances, including all of the following:	While assessing the extent appropriate to which a complaint should be investigated in each case the <i>lead</i> supervisory authority shall <i>take into account all relevant</i> <i>circumstances, including all</i> <i>ofendeavour to ensure</i> the following:	While assessing the extent appropriate to which a complaint should be investigated in each case the <b>lead</b> supervisory authority <b>or</b> , <b>as</b> <b>the case may be, the supervisory</b> <b>authorities concerned,</b> shall take into account all relevant circumstances, including all of the following:	While assessing the extent appropriate to which a complaint should be investigated in each case the <u>lead</u> supervisory authority <u>or</u> , <u>where applicable, the supervisory</u> <u>authorities concerned</u> , shall take into account all relevant circumstances, including all of the following: Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 4,	first paragraph, point (a)			
	70	(a) the expediency of delivering an effective and timely remedy to the complainant;	(a) the <i>expediency of</i> <i>delivering<u>delivery of</u></i> an effective and timely remedy to the complainant;	(a) the expediency of deliveringhandling the complaint in an effective and timely remedy to the complainantmanner;	
	Article 4,	first paragraph, point (b)			
	71	(b) the gravity of the alleged infringement;	(b) the gravity of the alleged infringementinvestigation of relevant factual and legal elements required to jointly decide on the complaint and issue a decision under Article 60(7), (8) and (9) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;	(b) the gravitynature of the alleged infringement;	
	Article 4,	first paragraph, point (c)			
	72	(c) the systemic or repetitive nature of the alleged infringement.	(c) the <u>investigation of any other</u> <u>elements necessary for the efficient</u> <u>enforcement of Regulation (EU)</u> 2016/679, including the ex officio <u>exercise of powers pursuant to</u> <u>Article 58(2), Article 83 or Article</u> <u>84 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679,</u> <u>especially in the case of</u> systemic,	(c) the systemic or repetitive naturecharacter of the alleged infringement.	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 82/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			grave or repetitive nature of the alleged infringements.		
	Article 4,	(1a)	T	r	
Y	72a		Ia. The handling of a complaint shall always lead to a legally binding decision that is subject to an effective legal remedy under Article 78 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.		
	Article 4,	(1b), first sub-paragraph	-		
	72b		<u>1b.</u> <u>The lead supervisory authority</u> <u>shall deliver a draft decision</u> <u>pursuant to Article 60(3) of</u> <u>Regulation (EU) 2016/679 without</u> <u>delay, and no later than nine</u> <u>months from the receipt of the</u> <u>complaint.</u>		Deadlines
	Article 4,	(1b), second sub-paragraph	1		
	72c		<u>This deadline may exceptionally</u> <u>be extended by:</u>		Deadlines
	Article 4,	(1b), second sub-paragraph, point (a)	T	r	
	72d		(a) eight weeks when comments under Article 9(3) are submitted with regard to a summary of key issues or an updated summary of key issues;		Deadlines
Į	Article 4,	(1b), second sub-paragraph, point (b)	·		
	72e		(b) eight weeks where the lead supervisory authority intends to issue fines or other penalties;		Deadlines
	Article 4,	(1b), second sub-paragraph, point (c)			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 83/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	72f		(c) the period of time between a <u>reference under Article 26a(1) or</u> (2) and the decision by the Board;		Deadlines
	Article 4,	(1b), second sub-paragraph, point (d)			
	72g		(d) the period of any prolongation permitted by the Board under Article 26a(3).		Deadlines
	Article 4,	(1b), third sub-paragraph			
	72h		Each extension under points (a) to (d) may only be done once.		Deadlines
	Article 4,	(1c)	1	1	
	72i		<b>1c.</b> Paragraph 1b shall not apply once a case is submitted to the consistency mechanism in accordance with Article 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.		Deadlines
	Article 5				
G	73	Article 5 Amicable settlement	Article 5 Amicable settlement	Article 5 Amicable settlementProcedure for the early resolution of complaints relating to cross-border processing	Article 5 <u>Amicable settlementArticle 5</u> <u>Early resolution</u>
	Article 5,	first paragraph			
G	74	A complaint may be resolved by amicable settlement between the complainant and the parties under investigation. Where the supervisory authority considers that an amicable settlement to the complaint has been found, it shall communicate the proposed settlement to the complainant. If the	A complaint may be resolved by amicable settlement between the complainant and the <i>partiesparty</i> under investigation. <i>Where the at</i> <i>any stage of the proceedings. The</i> <i>complaint-receiving or the lead</i> supervisory authority <i>considers that</i> <i>an amicable settlement to the</i> <i>complaint has been found, it shall</i>	1.A complaint relating to cross- border processing which concerns the exercice of data subjects' rights under Regulation (EU) 2016/679, may be resolved by amicable settlement between the complainant and the parties under investigation. Where the supervisory authority considers that	<u>1.A complaint relating to cross-</u> border processing which concerns the exercise of the rights of the data subject under Chapter III of <u>Regulation (EU) 2016/679, may be</u> resolved, where appropriate, through a procedure allowing for its early resolution by: A complaint may be resolved by amicable

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 84/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	complainant does not object to the amicable settlement proposed by the supervisory authority within one month, the complaint shall be deemed withdrawn.	communicate the proposed settlement to the complainant <u>may</u> encourage and facilitate that <u>voluntary process</u> . If the complainant does not object to the amicable settlement proposed by the supervisory authority within one month, the complaint shall be deemed withdrawn.	an amicable settlement to the complaint has been found, it shall communicate the proposed settlement to the complainant. If the complainant does not object to the amicable settlement proposed by the supervisory authority within one month, the complaint shall be deemed withdrawn.through a procedure allowing for its early resolution when:	settlement between the complainant and the parties under investigation. where the supervisory authority considers that an amicable settlement to the complaint has been found, it shall communicate the proposed settlement to the complainant. If the complainant does not object to the amicable settlement proposed by the supervisory authority within one month, the complaint shall be deemed withdrawn.
Article 5,	first paragraph, point (a)			
۶ 74a			(a) the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged, prior to the possible transmission of the complaint to the lead supervisory authority, considers that the controller or processor has duly addressed the alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and that the complainant's request has been dealt with in a satisfactory manner. or,	(a) the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged, after determining by way of preliminary conclusion that the complaint relates to cross-border processing and prior to the possible transmission of the complaint to the lead supervisory authority, or
Article 5,	first paragraph, point (b)		F	
ء 74b			(b) the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged, prior to the possible transmission of the complaint to the lead supervisory authority, or the lead supervisory authority to which the complaint has been	(b) the lead supervisory authority to which the complaint has been transmitted, at any time before the submission of the preliminary findings to supervisory authorities concerned pursuant to Article 14 of this Regulation.

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 85/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			transmitted where applicable, determines that an amicable settlement to the complaint has been found and that the issues raised by the complainant have been dealt with in a satisfactory manner, provided that such settlement is allowed under the supervisory authority's national law and results in the termination of the alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
Article 5, f	first paragraph a			
۶ 74c		1a. An amicable settlement between the complainant and the party under investigation shall be considered to be found where there is explicit agreement. Where an amicable settlement to the complaint has been found, the parties shall within one month communicate the settlement to the lead supervisory authority and the supervisory authority where the complaint has been lodged.		Supervisory authorities may encourage and facilitate such early resolution and communicate with the controller or the complainant for this purpose, as appropriate.
Article 5, f	first paragraph b			
۶ 74d		<u>1b.</u> Within one month after the communication of the amicable settlement under paragraph 1a, a draft decision pursuant to Article 56(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall be submitted, indicating:		deleted
Article 5, f	first paragraph b, point (a)			

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
74e		<u>(a)</u> whether the conditions of an amicable settlement under paragraph 1a are fulfilled, and		deleted
Article 5,	, first paragraph b, point (b)		·	
74f		<u>(b)</u> whether to open an ex officio investigation under paragraph 1d.		deleted
Article 5,	, first paragraph c			
74g			2.The early resolution of a complaint pursuant to paragraph 1 (a) may only apply when:	2. For the purpose of early resolution, a complaint shall be considered devoid of purpose where the supervisory authority has established, based on supporting evidence, that the alleged infringement has been brought to an end.
Article 5	, first paragraph c, point (a)			
74h	, first paragraph c, point (b)		(a) the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged has obtained supporting evidence that the controller or processor has already complied with the request of the complainant and that the infringements of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 are terminated, the supervisory authority has documented and communicated this to the complainant, using clear and plain language, and	deleted

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement	
U	74i			(b) given that the complainant's request have been addressed in a satisfactory manner for the complainant, the supervisory authority can consider that the object of the complaint becomes devoid of purpose.	deleted	G
	Article 5,	first paragraph d				
G	74j		<u>Ic.</u> Where, within one month, none of the other supervisory authorities concerned have objected to the draft decision under paragraph 1b or the Board confirms the amicable settlement in the procedure under Article 65(1), point (a) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the complaint shall be deemed withdrawn and the settlement shall become valid.		deleted	G
	Article 5,	first paragraph e				
G	74k			3. The early resolution of a complaint pursuant to paragraph 1 (b) may only apply when:	3. The supervisory authority shall inform the complainant of the finding under paragraph 2 and of the consequences of the early resolution, using clear and plain language, including of the possibility that he or she may submit an objection within [four weeks] of receiving such information.	G
	Article 5,	first paragraph e, point (a)				
G	741			(a) the supervisory authority has documented and communicated the proposed settlement to the	deleted	G

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 88/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			complainant, using clear and plain language, to inform the complainant about the nature and consequences of the proposed settlement, and	
Article 5	, first paragraph e, point (b)	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
₅ 74m			(b) the complainant does not object to the amicable settlement proposed within four weeks after having received the proposed settlement. The complainant may request an extension of two weeks to object to the proposed settlement. In the absence of objection by the complainant within four or six weeks, as the case may be, the proposed settlement is deemed to have been accepted, and therefore the complaint becomes devoid of purpose.	deleted
Article 5	, first paragraph f		1	
₅ 74n		Id. An amicable settlement does not prevent the lead supervisory authority from conducting an ex officio investigation in the same matter. It may open an ex officio investigation instead, in particular where:		4. In a procedure before the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged, where the complainant does not object within the deadline set out in paragraph 3, the supervisory authority shall establish that the complaint has been resolved and inform the complainant and the party under investigation [within X weeks], and, where applicable, the lead supervisory authority thereof.

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 89/189

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 5, first paragraph f, point (a)			
740	(a) the party under investigation is a repeat offender;		deleted
Article 5, first paragraph f, point (b)			
74p	(b) the party under investigation has been the subject of a large number of other amicable settlements;		deleted
Article 5, first paragraph f, point (c)		1	
74q	(c) the broad subject matter of the complaint concerns a large number of data subjects other than the complainant, is of long duration, or is of serious nature; or		deleted
Article 5, first paragraph f, point (d)		1	
74r	(d) the exercise of powers is otherwise required to ensure effective, proportionate and dissuasive enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.		deleted
Article 5, first paragraph g		•	
74s		4. Where the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged considers that a complaint can be resolved through a procedure allowing for its early resolution in accordance with paragraph 1, it shall inform the assumed lead supervisory authority about the resolution and take into account any views that might be submitted.	5. In a procedure before the lead supervisory authority to which the complaint has been transmitted, where the complainant does not object within the deadline set out in paragraph 3, the authority shall submit a draft decision in accordance with Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 [within X weeks], with a view to adopting a final decision in accordance with

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 90/189

	Commission Proposal	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Article 60(7) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 establishing that the complaint has been resolved.
Article 5	, first paragraph h			
∘ 74t			5. Where the lead supervisory authority considers that a complaint can be resolved through a procedure allowing for its early resolution in accordance with paragraph 1 b), it shall submit a draft decision to the concerned supervisory authorities in accordance with Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, with a view to adopting a final decision in accordance with Article 60(7) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 finding that the complaint, or part of the complaint, has been resolved by the lead supervisory authority and that the handling of the complaint will be terminated.	6. The early resolution of a complaint shall be without prejudice to the exercise by the lead supervisory authority of its powers in accordance with Article 58 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679on the same subject matter.
Article 5	ja la	L		
74u		<u>Article 5a</u> <u>Request for an ex officio</u> <u>procedure</u>		
Article 5	a, first paragraph			
74v		<b>1. Where it considers that</b> <b>Regulation (EU) 2016/679 may be</b> <u>violated and data subjects in the</u> <u>territory of its Member State are</u> <u>affected, any supervisory authority</u> <u>concerned may request an ex</u> <u>officio procedure by submitting a</u>		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 91/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		written request for a discretionary action pursuant to paragraph 2 to the lead supervisory authority. Such a request shall contain at least:		
Article 5	a, first paragraph, point (a)			
74w		(a) a declaration to be a supervisory authority concerned, and		
Article 5	a, first paragraph, point (b)		-	
74x		(b) a summary of key issues pursuant to Article 9.		
Article 5	a, (2), first sub-paragraph			
74y		2. Within three weeks, the assumed lead supervisory authority shall:		
Article 5	a, (2), first sub-paragraph, point (a)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
74z		(a) inform the supervisory authority concerned that it has opened an ex officio procedure;		
Article 5	a, (2), first sub-paragraph, point (b)		-	
74aa		(b) inform the supervisory authority concerned that Article 56(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 applies to the case and that in accordance with Article 56(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 the lead supervisory authority does not intend to handle the case itself; or		
Article 5	a, (2), first sub-paragraph, point (c)		•	·
74ab		(c) reject the request, if it takes the view that it is not the lead		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 92/189

Com	mission Proposal E	P Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		uthority or there is no violation of Regulation 79.		
Article 5a, (2), secon	sub-paragraph			
74ac	(a) of this pa supervisory of may submit to authority a d	referred to in point ragraph, the uthority concerned o the lead supervisory raft decision pursuant (4) of Regulation (EU)		
Article 5a, (2), third s	ub-paragraph			
74ad	(b) and (c) of supervisory of may resubmin for an ex off request a det opening of the	s referred to in point f this paragraph, the withority concerned t an amended request icio procedure, or ermination on the he procedure by the ordance with Article		
Article 5a, third para	graph			
74ae	authority ope procedure, it decision purs of Regulation without delay nine months request purs	lead supervisory ens an ex officio shall deliver a draft suant to Article 60(3) n (EU) 2016/679 v, but no later than from the receipt of the uant to paragraph 1. e may exceptionally be		
Article 5a, third para	graph, point (a)			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 93/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	74af		(a) eight weeks when comments under Article 9(3) are submitted against a summary of key issues or an updated summary of key issues;		
	Article 5a	a, third paragraph, point (b)			
	74ag		(b) eight weeks where the lead supervisory authority intends to issue fines or other penalties;		
	Article 5a	a, third paragraph, point (c)			
	74ah		<u>(c)</u> <u>the period of time between a</u> <u>reference under Article 26a and</u> <u>the decision by the Board;</u>		
	Article 5a	a, third paragraph, point (d)			
	74ai		(d) the period of any prolongation permitted by the Board under Article 26a(3).		
	Article 6	Г <u> </u>	1	Г <u> </u>	
G	75	Article 6 Translations	<del>Article 6</del> deleted	Article 6 deleted	
	Article 6(	(1)			
G	76	<i>I</i> . The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall be responsible for:	deleted	deleted	
	Article 6(	(1), point (a)			
G	77	(a) translation of complaints and the views of complainants into the language used by the lead supervisory authority for the purposes of the investigation;	deleted	deleted	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 94/189

	Commission Proposal	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 6	(1), point (b)			
c 78	<i>(b)</i> translation of documents provided by the lead supervisory authority into the language used for communication with the complainant, where it is necessary to provide such documents to the complainant pursuant to this Regulation or Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	deleted	deleted	
Article 6	(2)			
с 79	2. In its rules of procedure, the Board shall determine the procedure for the translation of comments or relevant and reasoned objections expressed by supervisory authorities concerned in a language other than the language used by the lead supervisory authority for the purposes of the investigation.	deleted	deleted	
Chapter				
80	Chapter III Cooperation under Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Chapter III Cooperation under Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 <u>and with</u> other relevant authorities	Chapter III Cooperation under Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	
Section 1	l			
81	Section 1 Reaching consensus within the meaning of Article 60(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Section 1 Reaching consensus within the meaning of Article 60(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Section 1 <del>Reaching consensus</del> within the meaning of Article 60(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679General principles of cooperation	
Article 6a	a			
81a			Article 6 bis	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 95/189

Application of enhanced cooperation procedures         Article 6a, first paragraph         81b       1.Supervisory authorities shall cooperate in accordance with the provisions of this Chapter in order to enhance cooperation procedures under Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
81b       1.Supervisory authorities shall cooperate in accordance with the provisions of this Chapter in order to enhance cooperation procedures under Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
81b 81b	
Article 6a, second paragraph	
81c       2. Where the lead supervisory authority considers that, on the basis of the characteristics of a case and previous decisions on similar cases, there is no need to trigger enhanced cooperation procedures between supervisory authorities provided for under Chapter III of this Regulation, the lead supervisory authority may not apply the procedures pursuant to Chapter III of this Regulation and continue to cooperate with supervisory authorities concerned under Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. The lead supervisory authority shall inform the supervisory authority shall inform the supervisory authorities concerned thereof, providing the relevant information on the characteristics of the case and the relevant previous decisions taken into consideration for this assessment, no later than within six weeks	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 96/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			from the transmission of a complaint in accordance to Article 3, paragraph 4, of this Regulation. In such a case, the right to be heard of the parties under investigation and/or of the complainant shall be ensured mutatis mutandis as provided in Section II and Article 14 and 17 of this Regulation.	
Article 6a	a, third paragraph			
81d			3. Where any of the supervisory authorities concerned objects to the assessment made by the lead supervisory authority pursuant to paragraph 2, within two weeks after having been notified of it, the provisions of Chapter III of this Regulation shall apply.	
Article 6a	a, first paragraph	-		
81e			4.Chapter III does not apply for cross-border processing cases handled pursuant to Article 56, paragraph 2 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	4. Chapter III does not apply for cases handled by the concerned supervisory authority pursuant to Article 56, paragraph 2 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 6a	a, fifth paragraph		1	
81f			5.Articles 9 to 15 of this Regulation do not apply to complaint-based cases resolved pursuant to Article 5 of this Regulation.	
Section 1	La			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 97/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	81g			Section 1bis REACHING CONSENSUS WITHIN THE MEANING OF ARTICLE 60(1) OF REGULATION (EU) 2016/679	
	Article 7			•	
G	82	Article 7 Cooperation between supervisory authorities	Article 7 Cooperation between supervisory authorities	Article 7 Cooperation between supervisory authorities	Article 7 Cooperation between supervisory authorities Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 7,	first paragraph		1	
G	83	While cooperating in an endeavour to reach a consensus, as provided for in Article 60(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, supervisory authorities shall use all the means provided for in Regulation (EU) 2016/679, including mutual assistance pursuant to Article 61 and joint operations pursuant to Article 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	While cooperating in an endeavour to reach a consensus, as provided for in Article 60(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, supervisory authorities shall use all the means provided for in Regulation (EU) 2016/679, including mutual assistance pursuant to Article 61 and joint operations pursuant to Article 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	deleted	<ul> <li>While cooperating in an endeavour to reach a consensus, as provided for in Article 60(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, supervisory authorities shall use all the means provided for in Regulation (EU) 2016/679, including mutual assistance pursuant to Article 61 and joint operations pursuant to Article 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.</li> <li>Agreement in principle - place in the text to be decided</li> <li>Text Origin: Commission Proposal</li> </ul>
	Article 7,	second paragraph		I	
Y	84	The provisions in this section concern the relations between supervisory authorities and are not intended to confer rights on	deleted	The provisions in this section concern the relationscooperation between supervisory authorities and are not intended todo not confer	CSL to get back to EP: "do not confer or limit rights"

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 98/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	individuals or the parties under investigation.		rights on individuals or the parties under investigation.	
Article 8	1	1		
s 85	Article 8 Relevant information within the meaning of Article 60(1) and (3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 8 Relevant information within the meaning of Article 60(1) and (3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 8 Relevant information to be exchanged between the lead supervisory authority and supervisory authorities concerned within the meaning of Article 60(1) and (3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 8 Relevant information <u>to be</u> <u>exchanged between the lead</u> <u>supervisory authority and</u> <u>supervisory authorities concerned</u> within the meaning of Article 60(1) and (3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 8	(1)			
86	1. The lead supervisory authority shall regularly update the other supervisory authorities concerned about the investigation and provide the other supervisory authorities concerned, at the earliest convenience, with all relevant information once available.	1. The lead supervisory authority shall-regularly update the other supervisory authorities concerned about the investigation and provide the other supervisory authorities concerned, at the earliest convenience, with instant, unrestricted and continuous remote access to the full joint case file, and shall include in the joint case file all relevant information once available, in particular documents, submissions, memos and other information related to the case within one week from producing or receiving them.	1. The lead supervisory authority shall regularly update the other supervisory authorities concerned about the investigation and provide the other supervisory authorities concerned, at the earliest convenience, with all relevant information onceas soon as it becomes available.	Joint case file Deadlines
Article 8	(2)			
87	2.Relevant information within the meaning of Article 60(1) and (3) of	2. <u>The lead supervisory authority</u> shall actively provide and notify the other supervisory authorities	2-In the course of the investigation, the lead supervisory authority and the supervisory	Joint case file

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 99/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall include, where applicable:	<u>concerned and, where necessary</u> <u>for dispute resolution under Article</u> <u>65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679,</u> <u>the Board, with</u> relevant information within the meaning of Article 60(1) and (3) of <u>that</u> Regulation, <u>within one week from</u> <u>producing or receiving it. This</u> <u>information (EU) 2016/679</u> shall <u>includecover information on major</u> <u>steps in the procedure, including</u> where applicable:	<b>authorities concerned shall</b> <b>exchange</b> relevant information within the meaning of Article 60(1) and (3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, <b>which</b> shall include, where applicable <b>and as soon as</b> <b>available</b> :	
	Article 8	(2), point (a)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
G	88	<ul> <li>(a) information on the opening of an investigation of an alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;</li> </ul>	(a) information on the opening of an <u>ex officio</u> investigation of an alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679or of a complaints procedure;	(a) information on the opening of an investigation of an alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;	<ul> <li>(a) information on the opening of an investigation of an alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;</li> <li>Text Origin: Commission Proposal</li> </ul>
	Article 8	(2), point (b)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
G	89	(b) requests for information pursuant to Article 58(1), point (e) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;	(b) requests for information pursuant to Article 58(1), point (e) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;	(b) requests for information pursuant to Article 58(1), point (e) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and related documents resulting from these requests;	(b) requests for information pursuant to Article 58(1), point (e) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 <u>and</u> <u>related documents resulting from</u> <u>these requests</u> ;
	A				Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 8	(2), point (c)			
G	90	(c) information of the use of other investigative powers referred to in Article 58(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;	(c) information of the use of other investigative powers referred to in Article 58(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;	(c) information of the use of other investigative powers referred to in Article 58(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and related documents resulting from the exercise of these powers;	<ul> <li>(c) information of the use of other investigative powers referred to in Article 58(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 <u>and related documents</u> <u>resulting from the exercise of these powers</u>;</li> </ul>

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 100/189

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 8	(2), point (d)			TONE OFFICE OF THE MANAGES
G	91	(d) in the case of envisaged rejection of complaint, the lead supervisory authority's reasons for rejection of the complaint;	(d) in the case of envisaged rejection of complaint, the lead supervisory authority's reasons for rejection of the complaint;	(d) in the case of envisaged rejection of complaint, the lead supervisory authority's reasons for rejection of the complaint;	<ul><li>(d) in the case of envisaged rejection of complaint, the lead supervisory authority's reasons for rejection of the complaint;</li><li>Text Origin: Council Mandate</li></ul>
	Article 8	(2), point (da)	-	·	
	91a			(dd) the possible early resolution of the complaint pursuant to Article 5 of this Regulation;	
	Article 8	(2), point (e)			
Y	92	(e) summary of key issues in an investigation in accordance with Article 9;	(e) <i>the issuing or updating of the</i> summary of key issues in an investigation in accordance with Article 9;	(e) summary of key issues in an investigation <b>and related</b> <b>comments</b> in accordance with Article 9;	<ul> <li>(e) [the issuing or updating of the] summary of key issues in an investigation <u>and related comments</u> in accordance with Article 9;</li> <li>Text Origin: Council Mandate</li> </ul>
	Article 8	(2), point (ea)			Tene origin. council hundred
	92a		(ea) any comments to a summary of key issues in accordance with Article 9(3);	(ee) information on the scope of investigation, including developments or findings which may lead to the modification of the scope of investigation or the initiation of a new investigation;	
	Article 8	(2), point (f)			
G	93	(f) information concerning steps aiming to establish an infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 prior to the preparation of preliminary findings;	(f) information concerning steps aiming to establish an infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 prior to the preparation of preliminary	(f) information concerning steps <b>and legal analysis</b> aiming to establish an infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 prior to	(f) information concerning steps <u>and legal analysis</u> aiming to establish an infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 prior to the preparation of preliminary

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 101/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		findings <u>and prior to the</u> preparation of the draft decision;	the preparation of preliminary findings;	findings <u>and prior to the</u> preparation of the draft decision; Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 8	] 3(2), point (g)			
۶ 94	(g) preliminary findings;	(g) preliminary findings;	(g) preliminary findings;	(g) preliminary findings; Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 8	3(2), point (h)			
<sup>6</sup> 95	(h) the response of the parties under investigation to the preliminary findings;	(h) the response of the parties under investigation to the preliminary findings;	(h) the response of the parties under investigation to the preliminary findings;	<ul><li>(h) the response of the parties under investigation to the preliminary findings;</li><li>Text Origin: Commission</li></ul>
				Proposal
Article 8	(2), point (i)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
96	(i) the views of the complainant on the preliminary findings;	(i) the views of the complainant on the <u>non-confidential version of the</u> preliminary findings <u>and, if</u> <u>applicable, other aspects of the</u> <u>investigation on which formal</u> <u>written submissions have been</u> <u>made by the complainant</u> ;	(i) the views of the complainant on the <b>non-confidential version of the</b> preliminary findings;	
Article 8	3(2), point (j)			
۶ 97	(j) in the case of rejection of a complaint, the written submissions of the complainant;	(j) in the case of rejection of a complaint, the written submissions of the complainant;	(j) in the case of rejection of a complaint, the written submissions of the complainant;	<ul> <li>(j) in the case of rejection of a complaint, the written submissions of the complainant;</li> <li>Text Origin: Commission Proposal</li> </ul>
Article 8	] 3(2), point (k)			
<sup>6</sup> 98	(k) any relevant steps taken by the lead supervisory authority after	(k) any relevant steps taken by the lead supervisory authority after	(k) any relevant steps taken by the lead supervisory authority after	(k) any relevant steps taken by the lead supervisory authority after

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 102/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		receiving the response of the parties under investigation to the preliminary findings and prior to submission of a draft decision in the sense of Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	receiving the response of the parties under investigation to the preliminary findings and prior to submission of a draft decision in the sense of Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	receiving the response of the parties under investigation -to the preliminary findings and prior to submission of a draft decision in the sense of Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679-;	receiving the response of the parties under investigation to the preliminary findings and prior to submission of a draft decision in the sense of Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 8(	2), point (ka)			
G	98a		(ka) any draft decision in accordance with Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or revised draft decision in accordance with Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;any draft decision in accordance with Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or revised draft decision in accordance with Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;	(l) any other information deemed useful and relevant for the purpose of the investigation.	<pre>(ka) any other information deemed useful and relevant for the purpose of the investigation. Text Origin: Council Mandate</pre>
	Article 8(	2), point (kb)			
G	98b		(kb) any relevant and reasoned objections in accordance with Article 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;		
	Article 8(	2), point (kc)			
G	98c		(kc) any judicial remedy brought during a procedure under Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or against a decision under Article 60(7) to (9) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.		
	Article 8(	2a)			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 103/189

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
G	98d			2a. The Board may specify the modalities and requirements for the exchange of relevant information between supervisory authorities referred to in this Article and for the provision of comments by supervisory authorities concerned on the summary of key issues referred to in Article 9.	2a. The Board may specify the modalities and requirements for the exchange of relevant information between supervisory authorities referred to in this Article and for the provision of comments by supervisory authorities concerned on the summary of key issues.
	Article 9				
G	99	Article 9 Summary of key issues	Article 9 Summary of key issues	Article 9 Summary of key issues	Article 9 Summary of key issues Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 9(	1)		• •	
G	100	1.Once the lead supervisory authority has formed a preliminary view on the main issues in an investigation, it shall draft a summary of key issues for the purpose of cooperation under Article 60(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	1. Once Within four weeks after having received a complaint, or a request to open an ex officio procedure, the lead supervisory authority has formed a preliminary view on the main issues in an investigation, it shall draft a summary of key issues that presumably need to be determined in order to decide the case, for the purpose of cooperation under Article 60(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, and provide this summary to the supervisory authorities concerned. The summary shall be drafted in an impartial way, taking into account any diverging facts and arguments.	1. Once the lead supervisory authority has formedsufficient elements to form a preliminary view on the main issues in an investigation, it shall draft a summary of key issues for the purpose of cooperation under Article 60(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, which shall include the following elements:	<ul> <li>1. Once the lead supervisory authority has formed a preliminary view on the main issues in an investigation, it shall draft a summary of key issues for the purpose of cooperation under Article 60(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. When transferring a case to the lead supervisory authority, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged, may provide relevant elements to the lead supervisory authority.</li> <li>Deadlines</li> </ul>

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 104/189

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			When transferring a case to the lead supervisory authority pursuant to Article 3(2), point (c), point (i), the concerned supervisory authority may provide a draft of a summary of key issues, which is not binding on the lead supervisory authority.		
_	Article 9(	2)	1		
G	101	2. The summary of key issues shall include all of the following elements:	2. The summary of key issues shall include all of the following elements:	deleted	2. The summary of key issues shall include all of the following elements:
	Articlo 0(	(2), point (a)			Text Origin: EP Mandate
	AILICIE 5				
G	102	(a) the main relevant facts;	(a) the <i>main</i> relevant facts;	(a) the main relevant facts;	<ul><li>(a) the main relevant facts;</li><li>Text Origin: Council Mandate</li></ul>
	Article 9(	2), point (b)	·		
G	103	(b) a preliminary identification of the scope of the investigation, in particular the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 concerned by the alleged infringement which will be investigated;	(b) a preliminary identification of the scope of the investigation, in particular the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 concerned by the alleged infringement which will be investigated, and, where applicable, an indication of whether they appear to have been infringed;	(b) a preliminary identification of the scope of the investigation, in particular the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 concerned by the alleged infringement which willare to be investigated;	<ul> <li>(b) a preliminary identification of the scope of the investigation, in particular the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 concerned by the alleged infringement which willare to be investigated;</li> <li>Text Origin: Council Mandate</li> </ul>
	Article 9(	2), point (c)			
Y	104	(c) identification of complex legal and technological assessments which are relevant for preliminary orientation of their assessment;	(c) <i>identification of</i> <i>complexpreliminary factual or</i> legal <i>and technological</i> assessments <i>which are, dealing with all</i> relevant <i>for preliminary orientation of their</i>	(c) where applicable, identification of complex-legal, factual and/or and technological assessmentsissues which are relevant for preliminary orientation of their assessment;	(c) identification of <i>complex</i> _legal and <i>technological assessments</i> which are <u>factual issues</u>

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 105/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		assessmentviews as expressed by parties when the summary is drafted, and including relevant European case law, as well as guidelines, recommendations and best practices issued by the Board;		<i>ca) analysis of</i> relevant <i>for</i> <i>preliminary orientation of their</i> <i>assessmentviews as expressed by</i> <i>parties available when the</i> <i>summary is drafted</i> ;
Article 9(	(2), point (d)			
s 105	(d) preliminary identification of potential corrective measure(s).	(d) preliminary identification of potential corrective measure(s).	(d) <b>where applicable</b> , preliminary identification of– potential corrective measure(s).	(d) <i>where applicable</i> , preliminary identification ofpotential corrective measure(s).
Article 9	(2c), third subparagraph			
105a			The summary of key issues shall be communicated to the supervisory authorities concerned without delay, and no later than three months after the lead supervisory auhtority has confirmed its competence pursuant to Article 3, paragraph 4a of this Regulation. The lead supervisory authority may extend by another three months that period on account of the complexity of the case.	
Article 9	(2a)		-	
₅ 105b		2a. <u>The summary of key issues</u> shall be updated by the lead supervisory authority without undue delay to reflect any factual or legal changes that emerge during the course of the procedure.		deleted
Article 9(	(3)	•	·	•

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 106/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	106	3. The supervisory authorities concerned may provide comments on the summary of key issues. Such comments must be provided within four weeks of receipt of the summary of key issues.	3. The supervisory authorities concerned may provide <u>factual or</u> <u>legal</u> comments on the summary of key issues. Such comments must be provided within four weeks of receipt of the summary of key issues <u>or any update of it, in</u> <u>accordance with Article 60 of</u> <u>Regulation (EU) 2016/679</u> .	3. The supervisory authorities concerned may provide comments on the summary of key issues. Such comments must be provided within four weeks of receipt of the summary of key issues. The period may be extended by another two weeks on account of the complexity of the case upon request of the supervisory authorities concerned or of the lead supervisory authority.	
	Article 9	(4)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
G	107	4.Comments provided pursuant to paragraph 3 shall meet the following requirements:	deleted	deleted	deleted
	Article 9	(4a)			
	107a			4.In cases where the supervisory authorities concerned provided comments under paragraph 3, they shall be shared with all other supervisory authorities concerned. The lead supervisory authority shall respond to these comments within four weeks to indicate whether and how it intends to take them into account. The period may be extended by another four weeks on account of the complexity of the case.	
	Article 9	(4), point (a)			
G	108	(a) language used is sufficiently clear and contains precise terms to enable the lead supervisory	deleted	deleted	deleted g

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 107/189
	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	authority, and, as the case may be, supervisory authorities concerned, to prepare their positions;			
Article 9	(4), point (b)		-	
109	(b) legal arguments are set out succinctly and grouped by reference to the part of the summary of key issues to which they relate;	deleted	deleted	deleted
Article 9	(4), point (c)			
110	<i>(c)</i> the comments of the supervisory authority concerned may be supported by documents, which may supplement the comments on specific points.	deleted	deleted	deleted
Article 9	(5)			
111	5. The Board may specify in its rules of procedure restrictions on the maximum length of comments submitted by supervisory authorities concerned on the summary of key issues.	deleted	deleted	deleted
Article 9	(5a)			
111a			5 Where the lead supervisory authority is required by national law to engage in subsequent domestic procedure related to the same case, the summary of key issues shall be prepared again if the lead supervisory auhtority intends to deviate from previous consensus on the case.	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 108/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	112	6.Cases where none of the supervisory authorities concerned provided comments under paragraph 3 of this Article shall be considered non-contentious cases. In such cases, the preliminary findings referred to in Article 14 shall be communicated to the parties under investigation within 9 months of the expiry of the deadline provided for in paragraph 3 of this Article.	6.Cases where none of the supervisory authorities concerned provided comments under paragraph 3 of this Article <u>which</u> <u>challenge the summary of key</u> <u>issues or raise other important</u> <u>factual or legal questions</u> shall be considered non-contentious cases. In such cases, the <u>preliminary</u> <u>findingsdeadline to issue a draft</u> <u>decision</u> referred to in Article <u>144(1b)</u> shall be <u>communicated to</u> <u>the parties under investigation</u> <u>within 93</u> months of the expiry of <u>the deadline provided for in</u> <u>paragraph 3 of this Article</u> .	deleted	
	Section II	1			
	112a		<u>Section 2Cooperation with other</u> <u>relevant authorities</u>		
	Article 10	)	1	1	
G	113	Article 10 Use of means to reach consensus	Article 10 Use of means to reach consensus	Article 10 Use of means to reach consensus within within the meaning of Article 60(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 10 Use of means to reach consensus <u>within the meaning of Article 60(1)</u> <u>of Regulation (EU) 2016/679</u> Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 10	D(-1)	·	·	
G	113a			1.Pursuant to Article 60(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the lead supervisory authority and supervisory authorities concerned shall endeavour to reach consensus on cross-border processing cases, in accordance	1. Pursuant to Article 60(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the lead supervisory authority and supervisory authorities concerned shall endeavour to reach consensus on cross-border processing cases, in accordance6

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 109/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				with this Article, and may use all the means provided for in Regulation (EU) 2016/679, including mutual assistance pursuant to Article 61 and joint operations pursuant to Article 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	with this Article, and may use all the means provided for in Regulation (EU) 2016/679, including mutual assistance pursuant to Article 61 and joint operations pursuant to Article 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 10	D(-1a)			
	113b			1a. In cases where none of the supervisory authorities concerned provided comments under Article 9, paragraph 3, of this Regulation, or in cases where consensus was found following comments received, the preliminary findings referred to in Article 14 shall be communicated to the parties under investigation within six months of the expiry of the deadline provided for in Article 9, paragraph 3, of this Regulation. In an exceptional case, that period may be extended once on account of the complexity of the case. In such a case, the lead supervisory authority shall notify the supervisory authorities concerned and set out the duration of and reasons for the extension.	
	Article 10	0(1)			
G	114	1.A supervisory authority concerned shall make a request to the lead supervisory authority under Article	1.A supervisory authority concerned shall make a request to the lead supervisory authority under Article	<b>1.1b</b> In cases where a supervisory authority concerned shalldisagrees with the lead supervisory	1. <u>In cases where a supervisory</u> authority concerned shall <u>disagrees</u> with the lead supervisory authority

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 110/189

	Commission Proposal	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	61 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, Article 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, or both, where, following the comments of supervisory authorities concerned pursuant to Article 9(3), a supervisory authority concerned disagrees with the assessment of the lead supervisory authority on:	61 <i>of Regulation (EU) 2016/679,or</i> Article 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, or both, where, following the comments of supervisory authorities concerned pursuant to Article 9(3), a supervisory authority concerned disagrees with the assessment of the lead supervisory authority on:	authority and in the absence of consensus, that supervisory authority may make a request to the lead supervisory authority under Article 61 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679; or to trigger Article 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, or both, where, following the comments of supervisory authorities concerned pursuant to Article 9(3), a supervisory authority concerned disagrees with the assessment of the lead supervisory authority in order to reach consensus on:	and in the absence of consensus, that supervisory authority may make a request to the lead supervisory authority under Article 61 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679; [or to trigger] Article 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, or both, where, following the comments of supervisory authorities concerned pursuant to Article 9(3), a supervisory authority concerned disagrees with the assessment of the lead supervisory authority in order to reach consensus on: Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 10	 0(1), point (a)			
o 115	<ul> <li>(a) the scope of the investigation in complaint-based cases, including the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 concerned by the alleged infringement which will be investigated;</li> </ul>	(a) the scope of the investigation in complaint-based cases, including the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 concerned by the alleged infringement which will be investigated;	(a) the scope of the investigation in complaint-based cases, including the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 concerned by the alleged infringement which will be investigated;	<ul> <li>(a) the scope of the investigation in complaint-based cases, including the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 concerned by the alleged infringement which will be investigated;</li> <li>Text Origin: Commission Proposal</li> </ul>
Article 10	l 0(1), point (b)			-
۵ 116	(b) preliminary orientation in relation to complex legal assessments identified by the lead supervisory authority pursuant to Article 9(2), point (c);	(b) preliminary <i>orientation in</i> <i>relation to complexfactual or</i> legal assessments identified by the lead supervisory authority pursuant to Article 9(2), point (c);	(b) preliminary orientation in relation to complex the legal assessments issues identified by the lead supervisory authority pursuant to Article 9(2), point (c), where applicable;	(b) preliminary orientation in relation to complex the factual or legal assessments issues identified by the lead supervisory authority pursuant to Article 9(2), point (c), where applicable; Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 10	 D(1), point (c)			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 111/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement	
° 117	(c) preliminary orientation in relation to complex technological assessments identified by the lead supervisory authority pursuant to Article 9(2), point (c).	deleted	(c) preliminary orientation in relation to complexthe technological assessmentsissues identified by the lead supervisory authority pursuant to Article 9(2), point (c), where applicable.	deleted	
Article 1	0(1), point (ca)				
≤ 117a		(ca) preliminary identification of potential corrective measure(s) pursuant to Article 9(2), point (d).		(ca) preliminary identification of potential corrective measure(s) pursuant to Article 9(2), point (d). Text Origin: EP Mandate	
Article 1	0(2)		·		
118	2. The request under paragraph 1 shall be made within two months of the expiry of the period referred to in Article 9(3).	2. The request under paragraph 1 shall be made within two months of the expiry of the period referred to in Article 9(3).	2. The request under paragraph 1 shall be made within twoone months of the expiry of the period referred to in Article $9(3)9(4)$ .	Deadlines	
Article 1	0(2a)				
∝ 118a			2a. Where a request to conduct joint operations pursuant to Article 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 is made by a concerned supervisory authority, the lead supervisory authority should respond to the request within one month.	2a. Where a request to conduct joint operations pursuant to Article 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 is made by a concerned supervisory authority, the lead supervisory authority shall respond to the request within one month.Text Origin: Council Mandate	
Article 1	Article 10(3)				
۵ 119	3. The lead supervisory authority shall engage with the supervisory authorities concerned on the basis of their comments on the summary of key issues, and, where applicable, in response to requests under Article	3. <u>In cases not falling under Article</u> <u>9(6) of this Regulation</u> , the lead supervisory authority shall <u>investigate facts relevant for</u> <u>diverging views and</u> engage, <u>making its best effort</u> , with the	3. The lead supervisory authority shall engage with the supervisory authorities concerned on the basis of their comments on the summary of key issues, and, where applicable, in response to requests under Article	3. The lead supervisory authority shall engage with the supervisory authorities concerned on the basis of their comments on the summary of key issues, and, where applicable, in response to requests under Article	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 112/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	61 and 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, in an endeavour to reach a consensus. The consensus shall be used as a basis for the lead supervisory authority to continue the investigation and draft the preliminary findings or, where applicable, provide the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged with its reasoning for the purposes of Article 11(2).	supervisory authorities concerned on the basis of their comments on the summary of key issues, and, where applicable, in response to requests under Article 61 and 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, in an endeavour to reach a consensus. The consensus shall be used as a basis for the lead supervisory authority to continue the investigation and draft the preliminary findings-or, where applicable, provide the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged with its reasoning for the purposes of Article 11(2).	61 and 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, in an endeavour to reach a consensus. The consensus shall be used as a basis for the lead supervisory authority to continue the investigation and draft the preliminary findings or, where applicable, provide the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged -with its reasoning for the purposes of Article 11(2).	61 and 62 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, in an endeavour to reach a consensus. The consensus shall be used as a basis for the lead supervisory authority to continue the investigation and draft the preliminary findings or, where applicable, provide the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged with its reasoning for the purposes of Article 11(2). Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 10	0(4)			
120	4. Where, in a complaint-based investigation, there is no consensus between the lead supervisory authority and one or more concerned supervisory authorities on the matter referred to in Article 9(2), point (b), of this Regulation, the lead supervisory authority shall request an urgent binding decision of the Board under Article 66(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. In that case, the conditions for requesting an urgent binding decision under Article 66(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall be presumed to be met.	4. Where within four weeks after the expiry of the deadlines for comments, the procedure provided for in paragraph 3 of this Article fails to generate-in a complaint- based investigation, there is no consensus between the lead supervisory authority and one or more concerned supervisory authorities concerned on the mattermatters referred to in Article 9(2), point (b), of this Regulation, the lead supervisory authority or a supervisory authority concerned shall request an urgent binding decisiona procedural determination of the Board under Article 66(3) of 26a of this Regulation-(EU) 2016/679. In that case, the	4. Where, in a complaint-based investigation, there is no consensus between the lead supervisory authority and one or more concerned supervisory authorities on the matter referred to in Article 9(2)9(1), point (b), of this Regulation, the lead supervisory authority shalla request for an urgent binding decision of the Board under Article 66(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall be submitted by any supervisory authority concerned or the lead supervisory authority. In those cases. In that case, the conditions for requesting an urgent binding decision under Article 66(3) of	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 113/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			<i>conditions for requesting an urgent</i> <i>binding decision under Article 66(3)</i> <i>of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall</i> <i>be presumed to be met.</i>	Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall be presumed to be met.	
	Article 10	0(5)			
	121	5. When requesting an urgent binding decision of the Board pursuant to paragraph 4 of this Article, the lead supervisory authority shall provide all of the following:	5. When requesting <i>an urgent</i> <i>binding decisiona procedural</i> <i>determination</i> of the Board pursuant to paragraph 4 of this Article, the <i>leadrequesting</i> supervisory authority shall provide all of the following:	5. When requesting an urgent binding decision of the Board pursuant to paragraph 4 of this Article, the leadrequesting supervisory authority shall provide all of the following:	
	Article 10	0(5), point (a)	·	·	
	122	(a) the documents referred to in Article 9(2), points (a) and (b);	<ul> <li>(a) the documents relevant</li> <li>information referred to in Article</li> <li>9(2), points (a) and (b) including</li> <li>any updates when they occur;</li> </ul>	(a) the documents referred to in Article 9(2)9(1), points (a) and (b);	
	Article 10	0(5), point (b)			
G	123	(b) the comments of the supervisory authority concerned that disagrees with the lead supervisory authority's preliminary identification of the scope of the investigation.	(b) the comments of the supervisory <i>authorityauthorities</i> concerned that <i>disagreesdisagree</i> with the lead supervisory authority's preliminary identification of the scope of the investigation- or the factual or legal assessment of the elements of the summary of key issues referred to in Article 9(2);	(b) the comments of the supervisory authority concerned that disagrees with the lead supervisory authority's preliminary identification of the scope of the investigation.;	<ul> <li>(b) the comments of the supervisory authorityauthorities concerned that disagrees disagree with the lead supervisory authority's preliminary identification of the scope of the investigation.</li> <li>Text Origin: Commission Proposal</li> </ul>
	Article 10	0(5), point (ba)			
Y	123a		(ba) access to the joint case file.	(c) further exchanges between the lead supervisory authority and the supervisory authorities concerned under Article 9(4), 9(5) and 10(3);	(ba) from EP text deleted

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 114/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 1	0(5), point (bb)			
∘ 123b			(d) any other relevant document or information, as requested by the Board.	<pre>(bb) any other relevant document or information, as requested by the Board. Text Origin: Council Mandate</pre>
Article 1	0(5a)			
6 123c		5a. The Board may request the supervisory authorities to provide other documents or information, as it deems appropriate in the particular case.		deleted
Article 1	0(6)			
<i>₅</i> 124	6. The Board shall adopt an urgent binding decision on the scope of the investigation on the basis of the comments of the supervisory authorities concerned and the position of the lead supervisory authority on those comments.	6. The Board shall adopt an urgent binding decision on the <i>scope of the</i> <i>investigation</i> <u>summary of key issues</u> or on extending the period referred to in paragraph 4, in accordance with Article 26a, on the basis of the comments of the supervisory authorities concerned and the position of the lead supervisory authority on those commentsall documents received.	6. The Board shall adopt an urgent binding decision on the scope of the investigation on the basis of <del>the</del> <del>comments of the supervisory</del> <del>authorities concerned and the</del> <del>position of the lead supervisory</del> <del>authority on those comments</del> <b>all the</b> <b>documents received</b> .	<ul> <li>6. The Board shall adopt an urgent binding decision on the scope of the investigation on the basis of the comments of the supervisory authorities concerned and the position of the lead supervisory authority on those comments all the documents received.</li> <li>Text Origin: Council Mandate</li> </ul>
Article 1	0(6a)	I		
124a			6bis. Following the implementation of the urgent binding decision referred to under paragraph 6 by the Board, the lead supervisory authority shall continue to cooperate with the supervisory authorities concerned pursuant to Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and	Deadlines

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 115/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			continue handling the case. The preliminary findings referred to in Article 14 shall be communicated to the parties under investigation within six months. In an exceptional case, that period may be extended once on account of the complexitiy of the case. In such a case, the lead supervisory authority shall notify the concerned supervisory authority and set out the duration of and reasons for the extension.	
Article 1	0a	1		
124b		<u>Article 10a</u> <u>Cooperation with other relevant</u> <u>authorities</u>		
Article 1	0a(1)			
124c		Supervisory authorities shall strive to communicate non- personal information obtained in the context of the procedures set out in this Regulation to national and Union supervisory authorities competent in data protection and other areas, including competition, financial services, energy, telecommunications, consumer protection, digital services, or artificial intelligence supervisory authorities, where the information is deemed relevant to the tasks and duties of those authorities, in particular for opening administrative procedures and		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 116/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		investigations into possible violations of legislation under their competences. Information can be only used for the purposes of which it was gathered. However, that does not preclude the supervisory authority to initiate other proceedings based on that information or to share it with other authorities for that purpose.		
Section 2		1	1	
	Section 2 Full or partial rejection of complaints	deleted	<i>Section 2</i> Full or partial rejection of complaints	
125		Heading "Section 2" is placed after Article 9 and its title is changed to "Section 2 Cooperation with other relevant authorities" - see line 112a		
Article 12	1	•	•	
126	Article 11 Hearing of complainant prior to full or partial rejection of a complaint	<del>Article 11</del> deleted	Article 11 Hearing of complainant prior toProcedure for dismissal or full or partial rejection of a complaint within the meaning of Article 60(8) and Article 60(9) of Regulation EU 2016/679	Agreement in principle to refer to "dissmissal and rejection" throughout Art 11, 12 and 13 Drafting team to ensure consistent referencing of both terms
Article 12	1(1)	1		
127	1.Following the procedure provided for in Article 9 and 10, the lead supervisory authority shall provide the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged with the reasons for its preliminary	deleted	1. Following the procedure provided for inPrior to submitting a draft decision pursuant to Article 9 and 1060(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the lead supervisory authority shall provide the supervisory authority with which	Agreed in principle - Drafting team to have a read through and ensure connection between para 1 and 2

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 117/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	view that the complaint should be fully or partially rejected.		the complaint was lodged with the reasons for its preliminary view that the complaint should be <b>dismissed</b> <b>or</b> fully or partially rejected.	
Article 1	1(2)		-	
128	2. The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall inform the complainant of the reasons for the intended full or partial rejection of the complaint and set a time-limit within which the complainant may make known her or his views in writing. The time-limit shall be no less than three weeks. The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall inform the complainant of the consequences of the failure to make her or his views known.	deleted	2. The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall inform the complainant of the reasons for the intended <b>dismissal</b> or full or partial rejection of the complaint and set a time-limit within which the complainant may make known her or his views in writing. The time-limit shall be no less than three weeks. The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall provide the complainant with the possibility to make her or his views known and shall inform the complainant of the consequences of the failure to make her or his views known. The complainant shall make his or her views known in writing and within four weeks upon receiving the reasons for the intended dismissal or full or partial rejection of the complainant, the period shall be extended by two weeks on account of the complexity of the case.	Agreed in principle - Drafting team to have a read through and ensure connection between para 1 and 2 Deadlines
Article 1	1(3)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
129	3.If the complainant fails to make known her or his views within the	deleted	3. If the complainant fails to make known her or his views within the	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 118/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	time-limit set by the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged, the complaint shall be deemed to have been withdrawn.		time-limit set by The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged, the complaint shall without delay transmit any views made by the complainant within the time-limit of four or six weeks, as the case may be, to the lead supervisory authoritybe deemed to have been withdrawn.	Agreed in principle - Drafting team to review wording and clarify "within the time-limit of" Deadline to be addressed separately Deadlines
Article	11(4)	•	•	
ء 130	4. The complainant may request access to the non-confidential version of the documents on which the proposed rejection of the complaint is based.	deleted	deleted	Deleted
Article	11(5)			
131	5. If the complainant makes known her or his views within the time- limit set by the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged and the views do not lead to a change in the preliminary view that the complaint should be fully or partially rejected, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall prepare the draft decision under Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 which shall be submitted to the other supervisory authorities concerned by the lead supervisory authority pursuant to Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	deleted	5. If the complainant makes known her or his views within the time- limit set by the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged and the views do not lead to a change in the preliminary view that the complaint should be <b>dismissed or</b> fully or partially rejected, <b>the lead supervisory</b> <b>authority, in cooperation with</b> the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged, shall prepare the draft decision under Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 which shall be submitted to the <del>other</del> supervisory authorities concerned-by the lead supervisory <del>authority</del> pursuant to Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Drafting team: clarify wording for the beginning of para 5.

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 119/189

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Article 11	1(5a)			
G	131a			6.When the draft decision submitted pursuant to paragraph 5 concludes that the complaint should be partially rejected, the lead supervisory authority shall continue its investigation in cooperation with the concerned supervisory authorities on the part of the complaint which remains to be investigated.	6. When the draft decision submitted pursuant to paragraph 5 concludes that the complaint should be partially rejected, the lead supervisory authority shall continue its investigation in cooperation with the concerned supervisory authorities on the part of the complaint which remains to be investigated. Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 12	2			
G	132	Article 12 Revised draft decision fully or partially rejecting a complaint	deleted	Article 12 Revised draft decision <b>dismissing</b> <b>or</b> fully or partially rejecting a complaint	Article 12 Revised draft decision <u>dismissing</u> <u>or</u> fully or partially rejecting a complaint Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 12	2(1)			
	133	1. Where the lead supervisory authority considers that the revised draft decision within the meaning of Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 raises elements on which the complainant should have the opportunity to make her or his views known, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall, prior to the submission of the revised draft decision under Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, provide the complainant with the possibility	deleted	1. Where the lead supervisory authority considers that the revised draft decision within the meaning of Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 raises <b>new</b> elements on which the complainant should have the opportunity to make her or his views known, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall, prior to the submission of the revised draft decision under Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, provide the complainant with the possibility	Agreed in principle - Drafting team: clarify wording concerning the consideration/assessment by the LSA on which the complainant hasn't been heard.

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 120/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		to make her or his views known on such new elements.		to make her or his views known on such new elements, following the same procedure as provided under Article 11 of this Regulation.	
	Article 12	2(2)			
G	134	2. The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall set a time-limit within which the complainant may make known her or his views.	deleted	deleted	Deleted
	Article 13	3			
G	135	Article 13 Decision fully or partially rejecting a complaint	Article 13 deleted	Article 13 Decision <b>dismissing or</b> fully or partially rejecting a complaint	Article 13 Decision <u>dismissing or</u> fully or partially rejecting a complaint Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 13	3, first paragraph			
G	136	When adopting a decision fully or partially rejecting a complaint in accordance with Article 60(8) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall inform the complainant of the judicial remedy available to him or her in accordance with Article 78 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	deleted	1. When adopting a decision dismissing or fully or partially rejecting a complaint in accordance with Article 60(8) Articles 60(8) or 60(9) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall inform the complainant of the judicial remedy available to him or her in accordance with Article 78 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	When adopting a decision <u>dismissing or</u> fully or partially rejecting a complaint in accordance with <u>Article 60(8) Articles 60(8) or</u> <u>60(9)</u> of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall inform the complainant of the judicial remedy available to him or her in accordance with Article 78 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 13	3, first paragraph a			
	136a			2. The lead supervisory authority shall inform the controller of the	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 121/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				decision adopted pursuant to Article 60(9) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	CSL to come back to EP on possible deletion
	Section 3	3	·		
	137	Section 3 Decisions addressed to controllers and processors	Section 3 Decisions addressed to controllers and processorsparties under investigation	Section 3 Decisions addressed to controllers and processors	
	Article 14	4	·	• •	
	138	Article 14 Preliminary findings and reply	Article 14 Preliminary findings and <i>replythe</i> right to be heard	Article 14 Preliminary findings and reply	
	Article 14	4(1)			
G	139	1. When the lead supervisory authority intends to submit a draft decision within the meaning of Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 to the other supervisory authorities concerned finding an infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it shall draft preliminary findings.	1. Following the consultations and procedures under Articles 9 and 10 of this Regulation, when the lead supervisory authority intends to submit a draft decision within the meaning of Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 to the other supervisory authorities concerned finding an infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it shall draft preliminary findings.	1. When the lead supervisory authority intends to submit a draft decision within the meaning of Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 to the other supervisory authorities concerned finding an infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, -it shall draft preliminary findings.	1. Following the consultations and procedures under Articles 9 and 10 of this Regulation, when the lead supervisory authority intends to submit a draft decision within the meaning of Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 to the other supervisory authorities concerned finding an infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, it shall draft preliminary findings. Text Origin: EP Mandate
ļ	Article 14	4(2), first subparagraph			
	140	2. The preliminary findings shall present allegations raised in an exhaustive and sufficiently clear way to enable the parties under investigation to take cognisance of the conduct investigated by the lead supervisory authority. In particular,	2. The preliminary findings shall present allegations raised in an exhaustive and sufficiently clear way to enable the parties under investigation to take cognisance of the conduct investigated by the lead supervisory authority. In particular,	2. The preliminary findings shall include the main findings of the investigation and present allegations raised in an exhaustive and sufficiently clear way to enable the parties under investigation to take cognisance of the conduct	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 122/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		they must set out clearly all the facts and the entire legal assessment raised against the parties under investigation, so that they can express their views on the facts and the legal conclusions the lead supervisory authority intends to draw in the draft decision within the meaning of Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, and list all the evidence it relies upon.	they <i>mustshall</i> set out clearly all the facts, <i>including listing all the</i> <i>evidence relied upon</i> , and the entire legal assessment raised against the parties under investigation, so that they <i>are heard and</i> can express their views on the facts and the legal conclusions the lead supervisory authority intends to draw in the draft decision within the meaning of Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, and list all the evidence it relies upon.	investigated by the lead supervisory authority. In particular, they must set out clearly all the facts and the entire legal assessment raised against the parties under investigation, so that they can express their views on the facts and the legal conclusions the lead supervisory authority intends to draw in the draft decision within the meaning of Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, and list all the evidence it relies upon.	
	Article 14	1(2), second subparagraph	r I		
G	141	The preliminary findings shall indicate corrective measures the lead supervisory authority intends to use.	The preliminary findings shall indicate <u>the</u> corrective measures <u>that are considered by</u> the lead supervisory authority- <u>intends to</u> <u>use.</u>	The preliminary findings shall indicate, based on the information available at that stage and without prejudice to the views of the parties, corrective measures the lead supervisory authority intends to useconsiders using.	The preliminary findings shall indicate, <i>based on the information</i> <i>available at that stage and without</i> <i>prejudice to the views of the</i> <i>parties</i> , corrective measures the lead supervisory authority <i>intends to</i> <i>useconsiders using</i> . Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 14	4(2), third subparagraph			
	142	Where the lead supervisory authority intends to impose a fine, it shall list in the preliminary findings the relevant elements on which it relies while calculating the fine. In particular, the lead supervisory authority shall list the essential facts and matters of law which may result in the imposition of the fine and the elements listed in Article 83(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679,	Where the lead supervisory authority <i>intends to</i> <i>imposeconsiders imposing</i> a fine, it shall list in the preliminary findings the relevant elements on which it <i>reliesintends to rely in deciding</i> <i>whether to impose an</i> <i>administrative fine and</i> while calculating the fine. In particular, the lead supervisory authority shall list the essential facts and matters of	Where the lead supervisory authority-intends to impose a fine, it shall list in the preliminary findings the relevant elements on which it relies while calculating the, based on the information available at that stage and without prejudice to the views of the parties, considers imposing an administrative fine. In particular in accordance with Article 83 of	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 123/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		including any aggravating or mitigating factors it will take into account.	law which may result in the imposition of the fine and the elements listed in Article 83(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, including any aggravating or mitigating factors it will take into account.	<b>Regulation (EU) 2016/679</b> , the lead supervisory authority shall list in the preliminary findings the main legal and factual elements, the essential facts and matters of law which may result in the impositionare known to it, and on which it intends to rely in deciding whether to impose an administrative fine and in deciding on the amount of the fine, having regard to and the elements listed in Article 83(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, including any aggravating or mitigating factors it will take into account.	
	Article 14	4(2a)			
G	142a			2bis. The preliminary findings shall be transmitted to the supervisory authorities concerned, which may provide comments to the lead supervisory authorities within four weeks. Upon request of one of the supervisory authority concerned, the period shall be extended by another two weeks on account of the complexity of the case.	<ul> <li>2bis. The preliminary findings shall be transmitted to the supervisory authorities concerned, which may provide comments to the lead supervisory authorities within four weeks. [Upon request of one of the supervisory authority concerned, the period shall be extended by another two weeks on account of the complexity of the case].</li> <li>Deadlines</li> <li>Text Origin: Council Mandate</li> </ul>
	Article 14	4(3)	T	T	
G	143	3. The lead supervisory authority shall notify preliminary findings to	3. The lead supervisory authority shall notify preliminary findings to	3. The lead supervisory authority shall notify preliminary findings,	3. The lead supervisory authority shall notify preliminary findings,

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 124/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	each of the parties under investigation.	each of the parties under investigation <u>that may be subject to</u> <u>the exercise of a corrective power,</u> <u>as well as to the supervisory</u> <u>authority with which the complaint</u> <u>was lodged and the supervisory</u> <u>authorities concerned. The</u> <u>supervisory authority with which</u> <u>the complaint was lodged shall</u> <u>notify preliminary findings to the</u> <u>complainant.</u>	where relevant amended to take into account comments received by the supervisory authorities concerned, to each of the parties under investigation.	where relevant amended to take into account comments received by the supervisory authorities concerned, to each of the parties under investigation. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article	14(4)	1	1	
144	4. The lead supervisory authority shall, when notifying the preliminary findings to the parties under investigation, set a time-limit within which these parties may provide their views in writing. The lead supervisory authority shall not be obliged to take into account written views received after the expiry of that time-limit.	deleted	4. The lead supervisory authority shall, when notifying the preliminary findings to the parties under investigation, set a time-limit of four weeks within which these parties may provide their views in writing, or hold a hearing within the same time-limit in order to hear the views of the parties orally. Upon request of the parties under investigation, the period shall be extended by another two weeks on account of the complexity of the case. The lead supervisory authority shall not be obliged tomay take into account written views received after the expiry of that time-limit, in accordance with national law.	
Article	14(5)			
۶ 145	5. When notifying the preliminary findings to the parties under investigation, the lead supervisory	deleted	5. When notifying the preliminary findings to the parties under investigation, the lead supervisory	5. When notifying the preliminary findings to the parties under investigation, the lead supervisory

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 125/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	authority shall provide those parties with access to the administrative file in accordance with Article 20.		authority shall provide those parties with access to the administrative file in accordance with Article 20.	authority shall provide those parties with access to the administrative file in accordance with Article <u>20XX</u> . <u>Text Origin: Commission</u> <u>Proposal</u>
Article 1	4(6)		l	
146	6. The parties under investigation may, in their written reply to preliminary findings, set out all facts and legal arguments known to them which are relevant to their defence against the allegations of the lead supervisory authority. They shall attach any relevant documents as proof of the facts set out. The lead supervisory authority shall, in its draft decision, deal only with allegations, including the facts and the legal assessment based on those facts, in respect of which the parties under investigation have been given the opportunity to comment.	6. The parties under investigation may, in their written reply to preliminary findings, set out all facts and legal arguments known to them which are relevant to their defence against the allegations of the lead supervisory authority. They shall attach any relevant documents as <i>proof</i> of the facts set out. The lead supervisory authority shall, in its draft decision, deal only with allegations, including the facts and the legal assessment based on those facts, in respect of which the parties <i>under investigation</i> have been given the opportunity to comment.	6. The parties under investigation may, in their written <b>or oral</b> reply to preliminary findings, set out all facts and legal arguments known to them which are relevant to their defence against the allegations of the lead supervisory authority. They shall attach any relevant documents as proof of the facts set out. The lead supervisory authority shall, in its draft decision, deal only with allegations, including the facts and the legal assessment based on those facts, in respect of which the parties under investigation have been given the opportunity to comment.	
Article 1	4(6a)		·	
146a			7. The lead supervisory authority shall submit a draft decision in accordance with Article 60(3) GDPR to the other supervisory authorities concerned within three months from the receiving of the views of the parties under investigations and/or the complainant. In an exceptional case, that period may be extended once on account of the complexity	Deadlines

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 126/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			of the case. In such a case, the lead supervisory authority shall notify the concerned supervisory authority and set out the duration of and reasons for the extension.	
Article 14	4(6b)		•	
146b			8. Where the lead supervisory authority is required by national law to engage in subsequent domestic procedure related to the same case, the preliminary findings shall be prepared again if the lead supervisory authority intends to deviate from previous consensus on the case.	
Article 1	5			
147	Article 15 Transmission of preliminary findings to complainants	Article 15 deleted	Article 15 Transmission of preliminary findings to complainants	Agreement in principle to maintain Art. 15
Article 1	5(1)			
148	1. Where the lead supervisory authority issues preliminary findings relating to a matter in respect of which it has received a complaint, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall provide the complainant with a non-confidential version of the preliminary findings and set a time-limit within which the complainant may make known its views in writing.	deleted	1. Where the lead supervisory authority issues preliminary findings relating to a matter in respect of which it has received a complaint, the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall provide the complainant with a non-confidential version of the preliminary findings, in accordance with Article 20 and 21, and set a time- limit of four weeks within which the complainant may make known	Mandate to drafting team: fine tune wording, spelling out the content/title of Article 20 and Article 21 + reference to "access to the administrative file" Deadline to be discussed separately Deadlines

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 127/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				its views in writing. Upon request of the complainant, the period shall be extended by another two weeks on account of the complexity of the case.	
	Article 15	5(1a)			
G	148a			1a. For the purpose of paragraph 1, administrative modalities and requirement under the national procedural law of the supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall continue to apply.	<u>Ia.</u> For the purpose of paragraph <u>I, administrative modalities and</u> <u>requirement under the national</u> <u>procedural law of the supervisory</u> <u>authority with which the complaint</u> <u>was lodged shall continue to apply.</u> Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 15	5(2)	1	1	
	149	2.Paragraph 1 shall apply also when a supervisory authority, where appropriate, treats several complaints jointly, splits the complaints in several parts or in any other way exercises its discretion concerning the scope of the investigation as set out in preliminary findings.	deleted	deleted	CSL to get back to EP on the possibility to reinstate paragraph 2
	Article 15	5(3)			
G	150	3. Where the lead supervisory authority considers that it is necessary for the complainant to be provided with documents included in the administrative file in order for the complainant to effectively make known her or his views on the preliminary findings, the supervisory authority with which	deleted	deleted	Deleted

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 128/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	the complaint was lodged shall provide the complainant with the non-confidential version of such documents when providing the preliminary findings pursuant to paragraph 1.			
Article 1	5(4)			
s 151	4. The complainant shall be provided with the non-confidential version of the preliminary findings only for the purpose of the concrete investigation in which the preliminary findings were issued.	deleted	deleted	Deleted
Article 1.	5(5)			
s 152	5. Before receiving the non- confidential version of preliminary findings and any documents provided pursuant to paragraph 3, the complainant shall send to the lead supervisory authority a confidentiality declaration, where the complainant commits himself or herself not to disclose any information or assessment made in the non-confidential version of preliminary findings or to use those findings for purposes other than the concrete investigation in which those findings were issued.	deleted	deleted	Deleted
Article 1	6 Article 16 Adoption of final decision	Article 16 <u>Submission of draft decisions,</u> <u>revised draft decisions and</u> adoption of final decision	Article 16 Adoption of final decision	Article 16 Adoption of final decision

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 129/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	6, first paragraph			rtoposat
154	After submitting the draft decision to supervisory authorities concerned pursuant to Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and where none of the supervisory authorities concerned has objected to the draft decision within the periods referred to in Article 60(4) and (5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the lead supervisory authority shall adopt and notify its decision under Article 60(7) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 to the main establishment or single establishment of the controller or processor, as the case may be, and inform the supervisory authorities concerned and the Board of the decision in question, including a summary of the relevant facts and grounds.	After submitting the draft decision to supervisory authorities concerned pursuant to Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and where none of the supervisory authorities concerned has objected to the draft decision within the periods referred to in Article 60(4) and (5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the lead supervisory authority shall, <i>within four weeks</i> from the end of the periods referred to in Article 60(4) and (5) of Regulation 2016/679, adopt and notify its decision under Article 60(7) and Article 60(9) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 to the main establishment or single establishment of the controller or processor, as the case may be, and inform the supervisory authorities concerned and the Board of the decision in question, including a summary of the relevant facts and grounds.	1. After submitting the draft decision to supervisory authorities concerned pursuant to Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and where none of the supervisory authorities concerned has objected to the draft decision within the periods referred to in Article 60(4) and (5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the lead supervisory authority shall adopt and notify, within one month, its decision under Article 60(7) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 to the main establishment or single establishment of the controller or processor, as the case may be, and inform the supervisory authorities concerned and the Board of the decision in question, including a summary of the relevant facts and grounds. The supervisory authority with which the complaint was lodged shall inform the complainant of the decision.	Drafting team - Clarify wording for deadline (including starting point) - Include last sentence of Council - include and clarify reference to Art. 60(9) in paragraph 1, to cover Art 16(2) CSL Deadlines
Article 1	6, first paragraph a	1. 11/1	2 W/h and the local array array	
154a		1a. Where a supervisory authority concerned has objected to the draft decision within the period referred to in Article 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, and the lead	2. Where the lead supervisory authority and the supervisory authorities concerned agree to dismiss or reject parts of a complaint and act on other parts	Para 1a EP to be discussed under Article 22, together with line 191a and 191b

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 130/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		supervisory authority intends to follow that objection, the lead supervisory authority shall, within four weeks, submit a revised draft decision pursuant to Article 60(5) of that Regulation.	of that complaint, a separate decision shall be adopted and notified to the main or single establishment of the controller or the processor and the complainant, following the article 60 (9) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
Article 2	L6, first paragraph b			
154b		1b. Where a supervisory authority concerned has objected to the draft decision within the period referred to in Article 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, and the lead supervisory authority does not follow the relevant and reasoned objection or is of the opinion that the objection is not relevant or reasoned, the lead supervisory authority shall, within four weeks, submit the matter to the consistency mechanism referred to in Article 63, in accordance with Article 60(4) of that Regulation.		Para 1b EP, to be discussed under Article 22, together with line 191a and 191b
Article 2	L6, first paragraph c	T		
∝ 154c		<u>1c.</u> <u>Without prejudice to additional</u> <u>requirements under national law,</u> <u>any draft decision or final decision</u> <u>under Article 60(3), (5) or (7) to (9)</u> <u>of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall</u> <u>be issued in writing, using a short,</u> <u>concise, transparent, intelligible</u> <u>form and clear and plain language.</u> <u>It shall be drafted in an impartial</u> way, taking into account any		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 131/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		diverging evidence and views of the parties, and shall at least contain the following elements:		
Article 1	6, first paragraph c, point (a)	· · · · · ·		
∘ 154d		(a) the name of the supervisory authority which issued the decision;		
Article 1	6, first paragraph c, point (b)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
۵ 154e		(b) the date of issuing the decision;		
Article 1	6, first paragraph c, point (c)			
۶ 154f		(c) <u>an impartial summary of the</u> relevant facts of the case and their source;		
Article 1	6, first paragraph c, point (d)			
۹ 154g		(d) <u>the legal grounds for the</u> <u>decision;</u>		
Article 1	6, first paragraph c, point (e)			
۹ 154h		(e) the exercised corrective powers, penalties or other measures; and		
Article 1	6, first paragraph c, point (f)			
۵ 154i		(f) information on the right to an effective judicial remedy under Article 78 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and any applicable national procedural law.		
Article 1	6, first paragraph c			
∝ 154j		<u>1d.</u> In the event where the legally binding decision is to be issued by the supervisory authority with which the complaint has been		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 132/189

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	lodged in accordance with Article 60(8) or (9) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the lead supervisory authority shall ensure that the decision contains all elements necessary under the applicable national procedural law of the supervisory authority concerned. The supervisory authority concerned with which the complaint has been lodged shall assist the lead supervisory authority in drafting the decision in such a manner.		
Article 16, first paragraph d			
154k	<u>1e.</u> <u>Any draft decision or final</u> <u>decision shall only rely on factual</u> <u>findings made on the basis of</u> <u>documents or other evidence, on</u> <u>which the parties under</u> <u>investigation had the opportunity</u> <u>to make their views known.</u>		EP to get back to CSL - Proposal for a recital on the possibility for the LSA to hear the complainant further to the hearing of the complainant under Art. 15
Article 16, first paragraph e			
1541	<u>1f.</u> <u>The information provided to</u> the parties under Article 60(7) to (9) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall include a copy of the legally binding decision, and information about a judicial remedy available in accordance with Article 78 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.		Drafting team - Provision describing the key elements of the decision to be communicated to the complainant - CSL to get back to EP on the inclusion of the possibility for the complainant to request a non-confidential version of the decision

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 133/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	154m Article 17	7	1g. Supervisory authorities shall publish all legally binding decisions they issue without undue delay, but no later than three months after adoption, unless the new decisions do not materially depart from previously published decisions. In accordance with applicable national law, supervisory authorities may redact party names, any other information that may allow the identification of parties, and other information that is protected under applicable law.		Drafting team - Recital to clarify the content and elements of existing EDPB register of decisions
	Article 17	Article 17	Article 17	Article 17	Article 17
G	155	Right to be heard in relation to revised draft decision	deleted	Right to be heard in relation to revised draft decision	Right to be heard in relation to revised draft decision
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 17	7(1)			
G	156	1. Where the lead supervisory authority considers that the revised draft decision within the meaning of Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 raises elements on which the parties under investigation should have the opportunity to make their views known, the lead supervisory authority shall, prior to the submission of the revised draft decision under Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, provide the parties under investigation with	deleted	1. Where the lead supervisory authority considers that the revised draft decision within the meaning of Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 raises <b>new</b> elements on which the parties under investigation should have the opportunity to make their views known, the lead supervisory authority shall, prior to the submission of the revised draft decision under Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, provide	1. Where the lead supervisory authority considers that the revised draft decision within the meaning of Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 raises <i>new</i> elements on which the parties under investigation should have the opportunity to make their views known, the lead supervisory authority shall, prior to the submission of the revised draft decision under Article 60(5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, provide

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 134/189

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		the possibility to make their views known on such new elements.		the parties under investigation with the possibility to make their views known on such new elements.	the parties under investigation with the possibility to make their views known on such new elements.
					Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 17	7(2)			
	157	2. The lead supervisory authority shall set a time-limit within which the parties under investigation may make known their views.	deleted	2. The lead supervisory authority shall set a time-limit <b>of four weeks</b> within which the parties under investigation may make known their views. <b>Upon request of the parties</b> <b>under investigation, the period</b> <b>shall be extended by two week on</b> <b>account of the complexity of the</b> <b>case.</b>	Deadlines
	Article 17	7(2a)			
	157a			2bis. The lead supervisory authority shall inform the supervisory authorities concerned of the views made by the parties under investigation.	Drafting team to check if this element of information is already covered already under Art. 8 - if not, include under Art. 8 or maintain para 2bis CSL under Art. 17.
	Section 4			·	
G	158	Section 4 Relevant and reasoned objections	Section 4 Relevant and reasoned objections	Section 4 Relevant and reasoned objections	Section 4 Relevant and reasoned objections Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 18	3			
G	159	Article 18 Relevant and reasoned objections	Article 18 Relevant and reasoned objections	Article 18 Relevant and reasoned objections	Article 18 Relevant and reasoned objections Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 18	3(1)			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 135/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
G	160	1.Relevant and reasoned objections within the meaning of Article 4(24) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall:	1.Relevant and reasoned objections within the meaning of Article 4(24) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall:	1.Relevant and reasoned objections within the meaning of Article 4(24) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall:	1.Relevant and reasoned objections within the meaning of Article 4(24) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall: Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	8(1), point (a)	·	·	
	161	(a) be based exclusively on factual elements included in the draft decision; and	(a) be based <i>exclusively</i> on factual elements included in the draft decision; <i>and</i> , <i>or on the evidence the joint case file or on any additional evidence submitted together with the relevant and reasoned objection;</i>	(a) be based exclusively on factual and legal elements included in the draft decision, taking into account the comments relating to the summary of key issues and the preliminary findings; and	Drafting team: - Delete "exclusively" - Include "and legal " - clarify final wording on "additional evidence" to refer instead to elements included in the cooperation file
	Article 1	8(1), point (b)	۱ 	۱ 	
G	162	(b) not change the scope of the allegations by raising points amounting to identification of additional allegations of infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or changing the intrinsic nature of the allegations raised.	(b) not change the scope of the <i>allegations by raising points</i> <i>amounting to identification of</i> <i>additional allegations of</i> <i>infringement of Regulation (EU)</i> 2016/679 or changing the intrinsic <i>naturecase as defined in the latest</i> <u>version</u> of the <i>allegations</i> <i>raised.summary of key issues; and</i>	(b) not change concern the scope of the allegations by raising points amounting to identification of additional allegations of infringement of Regulation an investigation on which consensus was found pursuant to Article 10(EU1a) 2016/679 or changing the intrinsic natureor 10(3), or as defined in the binding decision of the allegations raised.Board adopted under Article 10 (6) when applicable,	(b) not change concern the scope of the allegations by raising points amounting to identification of additional allegations of infringement of Regulation an investigation on which consensus was found pursuant to Article <u>10(EU1a)</u> 2016/679 or changing the intrinsic nature or 10(3), or as defined in the binding decision of the allegations raised.Board adopted under Article 10 (6) when applicable,
					Drafting team: ensure correct cross- referencing of Art. 10(1a), covering both cases where there was no comment on the SKI, and cases, where

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 136/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				following comments, consensus was found - to be checked against line 113b Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	8(1), point (ba)			
162a		(ba) clearly identify the elements of the draft decision that should be changed, including, when possible, the precise wording of the proposed change or a sufficiently precise description of the proposed change to the draft decision.	(c) not concern a draft decision adopted in accordance with the conditions pursuant to Article 5 of this Regulation.	(ba) - CSL to get back to EP on possibility to include in Article 18 a paragraph concerning the elements to be contained in a RRO (c) CSL acceptable for EP
Article 1	8(1a)			
₃ 162b			2.Notwithstanding point (b) of paragraph 1, a supervisory authority concerned may provide relevant and reasoned objections that concern the scope of an investigation as referred to in point (b) of paragraph 1, provided that, in duly justified cases:	2.Notwithstanding point (b) of paragraph 1, a supervisory authority concerned may provide relevant and reasoned objections that concern the scope of an investigation as referred to in point (b) of paragraph 1, provided that, in duly justified cases: Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	8(1a), point (a)			
₅ 162c			- the lead supervisory authority has failed to investigate all the elements of the summary of key issues agreed pursuant to Article 10(1a) or 10(3), or has failed to comply with the binding decision of the Board under Article 10 (6). or,	- the lead supervisory authority has failed to investigate all the elements of the summary of key issues agreed pursuant to Article 10(1a) or 10(3), or has failed to comply with the binding decision of the Board under Article 10 (6). or, Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	8(1a), point (b)	1	1	1

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 137/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
∘ 162d			<ul> <li>additional new elements not available at the time of agreeing on the summary of key issues pursuant to Article 10(1a) or 10(3), or at the time of the binding decision of the Board under Article 10 (6), demonstrate a significant risk posed by the draft decision as regards the fundamental rights and freedom of the data subject and, where applicable, the free flow of personal data within the Union; or both</li> </ul>	<ul> <li><u>additional new elements not</u> <u>available at the time of agreeing on</u> <u>the summary of key issues</u> <u>pursuant to Article 10(1a) or 10(3)</u>, <u>or at the time of the binding</u> <u>decision of the Board under Article</u> <u>10 (6), demonstrate a significant</u> <u>risk posed by the draft decision as</u> <u>regards the fundamental rights and</u> <u>freedom of the data subject and,</u> <u>where applicable, the free flow of</u> <u>personal data within the Union;</u> <u>or both</u></li> <li>Text Origin: Council Mandate</li> </ul>
Article 18	8(2)			
۶ 163	2. The form and structure of relevant and reasoned objections shall meet all of the following requirements:	2. The form and structure of relevant and reasoned objections shall meet all of the following requirements:	deleted	Delete
Article 18	8(2), point (a)	·	·	
₅ 164	(a) the length of each relevant and reasoned objection and the position of the lead supervisory authority on any such objection shall not exceed three pages and shall not include annexes. In cases involving particularly complex legal issues, the maximum length may be increased to six pages, except if specific circumstances justifying a longer length are accepted by the Board;	deleted	deleted	Delete
Article 18	8(2), point (b)			

	Commission Proposal	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
= 165	(b) the disagreement of the supervisory authority concerned with the draft decision shall be stated at the beginning of the relevant and reasoned objection and shall be worded in sufficiently clear, coherent and precise terms to enable the lead supervisory authority, and as the case may be, supervisory authorities concerned, to prepare their positions and to enable the Board to efficiently resolve the dispute;	(b) the disagreement of the supervisory authority concerned with the draft decision shall be stated at the beginning of the relevant and reasoned objection and shall be worded in sufficiently clear, coherent and precise terms to enable the lead supervisory authority, and as the case may be, supervisory authorities concerned, to prepare their positions and to enable the Board to efficiently resolve the dispute;	deleted	Delete
Article 18	8(2), point (c)			
= 166	(c) legal arguments shall be set out and grouped by reference to the operative part of the draft decision to which they relate. Each argument or group of arguments shall generally be preceded by a summary statement.	(c) legal arguments shall be set out and grouped by reference to the operative part of the draft decision to which they relate. Each argument or group of arguments shall generally be preceded by a summary statement.	deleted	Delete
Chapter I	IV			
167	Chapter IV Access to the administrative file and treatment of confidential information	<del>Chapter IV</del> deleted	Chapter IV Access to the administrative file and treatment of confidential information	
Article 19	9		•	
• 168	Article 19 Content of the administrative file	<del>Article 19</del> deleted	Article 19 Content of the administrative file	Article 19 <i>Content of the</i> -Administrative file Text in Article 19 agreed, but its placement in the act still to be decided.
Article 19	9(1)		•	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 139/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
c 169	1. The administrative file in an investigation concerning an alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 consists of all documents which have been obtained, produced and/or assembled by the lead supervisory authority during the investigation.	deleted	1. The administrative file in an investigation concerning an alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 consists of allthe documents which have been obtained, or produced and/orby the concerned supervisory authorities and the lead supervisory authority, and assembled by the lead supervisory authority during the investigationprocedure, including inculpatory and exculpatory documents. The administrative file shall not include internal communication within a supervisory authority or internal drafts.	<ol> <li>The administrative file in an investigation concerning an alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 consists of <i>all<u>the</u></i> documents which have been obtained, <u>or</u> produced <i>and/orby the</i> <i>concerned supervisory authorities</i> <i>and the lead supervisory authorities</i> <i>and the lead supervisory authority,</i> <i>and</i> assembled by the lead supervisory authority during the investigation <u>procedure, including</u> <i>all inculpatory and exculpatory</i> <i>evidence</i>.</li> <li><u>The administrative file shall not</u> <i>include internal communications</i> <i>within a supervisory authority.</i></li> </ol>
Article 1	9(2)			
۹ 170	2. In the course of investigation of an alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the lead supervisory authority may return to the party from which they have been obtained documents which following a more detailed examination prove to be unrelated to the subject matter of the investigation. Upon return, these documents shall no longer constitute part of the administrative file.	deleted	deleted	2. In the course of Upon request of a party under investigation of an alleged infringement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, or a complainant where the decision is liable to affect his or her interests adversely, the lead supervisory authority may returnshall grant access to the party from which they have been obtained documents which following a more detailed examination prove to be unrelated to the administrative file to the subject matter of theparties under investigation. Upon return, these documents, or the complainant, enabling them to exercise their right to be heard. This shall no

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 140/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article	19(2)			longer constitute part of be without prejudice to more favourable rules on granting access to the administrative file under the lead supervisory authority's national law.Where access is granted by the lead supervisory authority, the party under investigation shall be provided with such access by the lead supervisory authority, while the complainant shall be provided with such access by the supervisory authority with which the complaint has been lodged.
G 171	3. The right of access to the administrative file shall not extend to correspondence and exchange of views between the lead supervisory authority and supervisory authorities concerned. The information exchanged between the supervisory authorities for the purpose of the investigation of an individual case are internal documents and shall not be accessible to the parties under investigation or the complainant.	deleted	deleted	3. The right offollowing documents or their parts shall be excluded from access, notwithstanding whether access to the administrative file shall not extend to is granted under Union or national law: a) correspondence and exchange of views between the lead supervisory authority and supervisory authorities concerned. The information exchangedor deliberations between the supervisory authorities for the purpose of the investigation of an individual case are internal documents and shall not be accessible to the parties under investigation or the complainant;

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 141/189

	Commission Proposal	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				b) confidential information pursuant to Article XX(1) of this <u>Regulation</u> .
Article 1	9(4)		-	
172	4. Access to relevant and reasoned objections pursuant to Article 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall be provided in accordance with Article 24.	deleted	deleted	4. Access to relevant and reasoned objections pursuant to Article 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 <u>on the</u> <u>basis to which the lead supervisory</u> <u>authority intends to adopt a revised</u> <u>draft decision</u> shall <u>only</u> be provided <u>in accordance with Article</u> <u>24by the lead supervisory authority</u> <u>where necessary to enable the</u> <u>parties under investigation or the</u> <u>complainant to express their views</u> <u>and defend their rights</u> .
Article 2	0		Т	
173	Article 20 Access to the administrative file and use of documents	Article 20 deleted	Article 20 Access to the administrative file by parties under investigation and the complainantand use of documents	<del>Article 20</del> deleted
Article 20	0(1)			L
174	<i>I</i> . The lead supervisory authority shall grant access to the administrative file to the parties under investigation, enabling them to exercise their right to be heard. Access to the administrative file shall be granted after the lead supervisory authority notifies the preliminary findings to the parties under investigation.	deleted	1. Upon request of the parties under investigation, or the complainant where the decision is liable to affect his or her interests adversely, the lead supervisory authority shall grant access to the administrative file to the parties under investigation, or the complainant, enabling them to exercise their right to be heard. Access to the administrative file	deleted

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 142/189

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
		shall be granted after the lead supervisory authority notifies the preliminary findings to the parties under investigation.			
0(2)					
2. The administrative file shall include all documents, inculpatory and exculpatory, including facts and documents which are known to the parties under investigation.	deleted	deleted	deleted		
0(3)					
3. The conclusions of the lead supervisory authority in the draft decision under Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and the final decision under Article 60(7) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 may only rely on documents cited in the preliminary findings or on which the parties under investigation had the opportunity to make their views known.	deleted	3. The conclusions of the lead supervisory authority in the draft decision under Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and the final decision under Article 60(7) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 mayshall only rely on documents cited in the preliminary findings or <b>on elements</b> on which the parties under investigation had the opportunity to make their views known.	<i>deleted</i> Text Origin: Council Mandate		
0(3a)					
		<b>3bis.</b> Correspondence, exchange of views and other information exchanged between supervisory authorities for the purpose of the investigation shall not be accessible to the parties under investigation, the complainant or third parties.	deleted		
	<ul> <li>O(2)</li> <li>2. The administrative file shall include all documents, inculpatory and exculpatory, including facts and documents which are known to the parties under investigation.</li> <li>O(3)</li> <li>3. The conclusions of the lead supervisory authority in the draft decision under Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and the final decision under Article 60(7) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 may only rely on documents cited in the preliminary findings or on which the parties under investigation had the opportunity to make their views known.</li> </ul>	O(2)         2. The administrative file shall         include all documents, inculpatory         and exculpatory, including facts and         documents which are known to the         parties under investigation.         O(3)         3. The conclusions of the lead         supervisory authority in the draft         decision under Article 60(3) of         Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and the         final decision under Article 60(7) of         Regulation (EU) 2016/679 may         only rely on documents cited in the         preliminary findings or on which         the parties under investigation had         the opportunity to make their views         known.	O(2)       Shall be granted after the lead supervisory authority notifies the preliminary findings to the parties under investigation.         O(2)       Include all documents, inculpatory and exculpatory, including facts and documents which are known to the parties under investigation.         O(3)       3. The conclusions of the lead supervisory authority in the draft decision under Article 60(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and the final decision under Article 60(7) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 may only rely on documents cited in the preliminary findings or on which the parties under investigation had the opportunity to make their views known.       3. The conclusions of on elements on which the parties under investigation had the opportunity to make their views known.         O(30)       O(30)       3. The conclusions of the lead supervisory authority in the draft decision under Article 60(7) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 may only rely on documents cited in the preliminary findings or on which the parties under investigation had the opportunity to make their views known.         O(30)       O(30)		
		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
---	------------	---	----------------------------------	--	---
G	176b			3ter. Access to relevant and reasoned objections pursuant to Article 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 on the basis to which the lead supervisory authority intends to adopt a revised draft decision shall only be provided by the lead supervisory authority where necessary to enable the parties under investigation or the complainant to express their views and defend their rights.	<i>deleted</i> Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 20	D(4)			
G	177	4. Documents obtained through access to the administrative file pursuant to this Article shall be used only for the purposes of judicial or administrative proceedings for the application of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 in the specific case for which such documents were provided.	deleted	deleted	deleted
	Article 21	1			
G	178	Article 21 Identification and protection of confidential information	<del>Article 21</del> deleted	Article 21 Identification and protection of confidential information	Article 21 Identification and protection of confidential information Text in Article 21 agreed, but its placement in the act still to be decided.
	Article 21	1(1)		I	
G	179	1.Unless otherwise provided in this Regulation, information collected or obtained by a supervisory authority in cross-border cases under of	deleted	1.Unless otherwise provided in this Regulation, <b>any</b> information collected, <b>produced</b> or obtained by a supervisory authority in cross-	1. Unless otherwise provided in this Regulation, <u>1. Any</u> information collected or obtained by a supervisory authority in cross-

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 144/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Regulation (EU) 2016/679, including any document containing such information, shall not be communicated or made accessible by the supervisory authority in so far as it contains business secrets or other confidential information of any person.		border cases under-of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, including any document containing such information, shall not be communicated or made accessible by the supervisory authority to the <b>parties under the investigation or</b> to the complainant in so far as it contains businesstrade secrets as defined in directive (EU) 2016/943 or other confidential information of any personin accordance with Union and Member State law.	border cases under of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, including any document containing such information, and documents or parts of documents shall not be communicated or made accessible by the supervisory authoritybe considered confidential in so far as it contains business they contain trade secrets as defined in Directive (EU) 2016/943 or other confidential information of any personin accordance with Union or national law.
Article 2	1(2)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
c 180	2. Any information collected or obtained by a supervisory authority in cross-border cases under Regulation (EU) 2016/679, including any document containing such information, is excluded from access requests under laws on public access to official documents as long as the proceedings are ongoing.	deleted	2. Any information collected, <b>produced</b> or obtained by a supervisory authority in cross- border cases under Regulation (EU) 2016/679 <b>referred to in paragraph</b> 1, including any document containing such information, <del>is</del> <u>excluded from access requests</u> <u>under laws on public access to</u> <u>official documents shall not be</u> <b>disclosed, unless required by</b> <b>Union or Member State law,</b> as long as the proceedings are ongoing.	2. Any Unless otherwise provided by Union law or national law, information collected, produced or obtained by a supervisory authority in cross-border cases under Regulation (EU) 2016/679, including any document containing such information, is excluded from access requests which is considered confidential pursuant to paragraph I, shall not be communicated or made accessible to a party under laws on public access to official documents as long as the proceedings are ongoing investigation, a complainant or any third party.
Article 2	1(3)		1	
۶ 181	3. When communicating preliminary findings to parties under	deleted	deleted	deleted

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 145/189

	Commission Proposal	<b>EP</b> Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	investigation and providing for access to the administrative file on the basis of Article 20, the lead supervisory authority shall ensure that the parties under investigation to whom access is being given to information containing business secrets or other confidential information treat such information with utmost respect for its confidentiality and that such information is not used to the detriment of the provider of the information. Depending on the degree of confidentiality of the information, the lead supervisory authority shall adopt appropriate arrangements to give full effect to the rights of defence of the parties under investigation with due regard for the confidentiality of the information.			
Articl	e 21(4)			
<i>∝</i> 182	4. An entity submitting information that it considers to be confidential shall clearly identify the information which it considers to be confidential, giving reasons for the confidentiality claimed. The entity shall provide a separate non- confidential version of the submission.	deleted	4. An entityA party under investigation, a complainant, or a third party submitting information that it considers to be confidential shall clearly identify the information which it considers to be confidential, giving reasons for the confidentiality claimed. The entityparty under investigation, complainant, or third party shall provide a separate non-confidential version of the submission.	4. <u>An entity A party under</u> investigation, a complainant, or a third party submitting information that it considers to be confidential shall clearly identify the information which it considers to be confidential, giving reasons for the confidentiality claimed. The entityparty under investigation, complainant, or third party shall always provide the full version of the information. Where possible, it shall also provide a

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 146/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				separateproposed non-confidential version-of the submission.
Article 2	1(5)			1
183	5. Without prejudice to paragraph 4, the lead supervisory authority may require the parties under investigation, or any other party which produces documents pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2016/679, to identify the documents or parts of documents which they consider to contain business secrets or other confidential information belonging to them and to identify the parties for which these documents are considered to be confidential.	deleted	<ul> <li>5. Without prejudice to paragraph 4, the lead-supervisory authority to which the information is submitted may require the parties under investigation, or any other party which produces documents pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2016/679, to identify the documents or parts of documents which they consider to contain businesstrade secrets or other confidential information belonging to them and to identify the parties for which these documents are considered to be confidential.</li> </ul>	5. Without prejudice to paragraph 4, the <i>lead</i> supervisory authority <u>to</u> <u>which the information is submitted</u> may require the parties under investigation, or any other party which produces documents pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2016/679, to identify the documents or parts of documents which they consider to contain <i>businesstrade</i> secrets or other confidential information belonging to them and to identify the parties for which these documents are considered to be confidential.
Article 2	1(6)			
184	6. The lead supervisory authority may set a time-limit for parties under investigation and any other party raising a confidentiality claim to:	deleted	6. The lead supervisory authority mayto which the information is submitted shall set a time-limit for parties under investigation and any other party raising a confidentiality claim to:	6. The- <i>lead</i> supervisory authority <i>mayto which the information is</i> <i>submitted shall</i> set a time-limit <i>[of</i> <i>not more than [X] weeks]</i> for parties under investigation and any other party raising a confidentiality claim to:
Article 2	1(6), point (a)			1
185	(a) substantiate their claims for business secrets and other confidential information for each individual document or part of document, statement, or part of statement;	deleted	(a) substantiate their claims for businesstrade secrets and other confidential information for each individual document or part of document, statement, or part of statement;	(a) substantiate their claims for <i>businesstrade</i> secrets and other confidential information for each individual document or part of document, statement, or part of statement;
Article 2	1(6), point (b)			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 147/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
∘ 186	(b) provide a non-confidential version of the documents and statements, in which the business secrets and other confidential information are redacted;	deleted	(b) provide a non-confidential version of the documents and statements, in which the businesstrade secrets and other confidential information are redacted;	(b) provide, <i>where possible, a</i> <u>proposed-a</u> non-confidential version of the documents and statements, in which the <i>businesstrade</i> secrets and other confidential information are redacted;
Article	21(6), point (c)		-	
۶ 187	(c) provide a concise, non- confidential, description of each piece of redacted information.	deleted	<i>(c)</i> provide a concise, non- confidential, description of each piece of redacted information.	(c) provide a concise, non- confidential, description of each piece of redacted information.
Article	21(7)			
188	7.If the parties under investigation or any other party fails to comply with paragraphs 4 and 5, the lead supervisory authority may assume that the documents or statements concerned do not contain business secrets or other confidential information.	deleted	7.If the parties under investigation or any other party fails to comply with paragraphs 4 and 5, the <del>lead</del> supervisory authority <b>to which the</b> <b>information is submitted</b> may assume that the documents or statements concerned do not contain <del>business</del> <b>trade</b> secrets or other confidential information.	7. If the parties under investigation or any other party fails to comply with paragraphs <i>4 and 5, the lead</i> <u>5</u> <i>and 6, the</i> supervisory authority <u>to</u> <i>which the information is submitted</i> may assume that the documents or statements concerned do not contain <i>businesstrade</i> secrets or other confidential information.
Article	21(7a)			
≤ 188a			7bis. The authority to which the information is submitted shall determine whether or not the information or relevant and specific parts of documents are confidential, in accordance with paragraph 1, and shall inform the other supervisory authorities about the confidential nature of the information when transmitted.	7a. The authority to which the information is submitted shall determine whether or not the information or relevant and specific parts of documents are confidential, in accordance with paragraph 1, ensuring that the redaction of documents is limited to the extent necessary and proportionate to protect the confidential information. It shall inform the other supervisory authorities about the confidential

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 148/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				nature of the information when transmitted.
Article 2	1(7b)		-	
∝ 188b			8. Information exchanged between supervisory authorities in the application of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, where it is regarded as confidential information under the national law of the supervisory authority to which the information is submitted, shall remain treated as confidential by the supervisory authority receiving it.	7b. Information exchanged between supervisory authorities in the application of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, where it is regarded as confidential information under the national law of the supervisory authority to which the information is submitted, shall remain treated as confidential by the supervisory authority receiving it.
Article 2	1a			
₅ 188c				<u>Article 21a</u> <u>Cooperation file</u> Text in Article 21a agreed, but its placement in the act still to be decided.
Article 2	1a(1)		•	
≤ 188d				1. For the purpose of relevant information to be exchanged between the lead supervisory authority and supervisory authorities concerned in accordance with Article 8, the lead supervisory authority shall ensure such relevant information is available through a cooperation file dedicated to each complaint or investigation related to cross- border processing. The cooperation file shall be maintained in

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 149/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				electronic form and shall, by means of a common electronic tool, be remotely accessible to supervisory authorities and, upon submission of a matter to dispute resolution under Article 65(1)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, and, where applicable, when an urgent opinion or an urgent binding decision is requested pursuant to Article 66 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, to the Board. It shall not be directly accessible to parties under investigation, complainants or third parties.
Article	21a(2)			
٥ 188e				2. The cooperation file shall include all information exchanged pursuant to Article 8 of this <u>Regulation.</u>
Chapte	r V			
۶ 189	Chapter V Dispute resolution	Chapter V Dispute resolution	Chapter V Dispute resolution	Chapter V Dispute resolution Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article	22			
ء 190	Article 22 Referral to dispute resolution under Article 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 22 Referral to dispute resolution under Article 6565(1), point (a) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 22 Referral to dispute resolution under Article 65, <b>paragraph 1(a)</b> , of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 22 Referral to dispute resolution under Article 65, <i>paragraph 1(a)</i> , of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article	22(1)	1	1	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 150/189

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
G	191	1.If the lead supervisory authority does not follow the relevant and reasoned objections or is of the opinion that the objections are not relevant or reasoned, it shall submit the subject-matter to the dispute resolution mechanism set out in Article 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	1. If the lead supervisory authority does not follow the relevant and reasoned objections or is of the opinion that the objections are not relevant or reasoned, it shall submit the subject-matter to the dispute resolution mechanism set out in Article 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, within four weeks from the receipt of all relevant and reasoned objections or from the lapse of the deadline pursuant to Article 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Relevant and reasoned objections that have been received after the deadline shall not be taken into consideration.	deleted	1. <i>If the lead supervisory authority</i> <i>does not follow the relevant and</i> <i>reasoned objections or is of the</i> <i>opinion that the objections are not</i> <i>relevant or reasoned, it shall submit</i> <i>the subject-matter to the dispute</i> <i>resolution mechanism set out in</i> <i>Article 65 of Regulation (EU)</i> <i>2016/679. deleted</i>
	Article 22	2(1a)	•		
G	191a			<ul> <li>1a. Within three months after the expiry of the period set out by Article 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the lead supervisory authority shall either submit a revised draft pursuant to Article 60 (5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 to the other supervisory authorities concerned or refer the subject-matter to the Board for dispute resolution under Article 65 (1) (a) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.</li> </ul>	Ia. Within three months after the expiry of the period set out byArticle 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the lead supervisory authority shall either submit a revised draft pursuant to Article 60 (5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 to the other supervisory authorities concerned or refer the subject- matter to the Board for dispute resolution under Article 65 (1) (a) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 22	2(1b)	•		
G	191b			1b. Within three months after the expiry of the period set out by	<u>1b.</u> Within three months after the expiry of the period set out by

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 151/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			Article 60(5) of Regulation 2016/679, the lead supervisory authority shall either submit another revised draft decision under 60 (5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or refer the subject- matter to the Board for dispute resolution under Article 65 (1) (a) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	Article 60(5) of Regulation 2016/679, the lead supervisory authority shall either submit another revised draft decision under 60 (5) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or refer the subject- matter to the Board for dispute resolution under Article 65 (1) (a) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article	22(2)	1		
s 192	2. When referring the subject-matter to dispute resolution, the lead supervisory authority shall provide the Board with all of the following documents:	2. When referring the subject-matter to dispute resolution, the lead supervisory authority shall provide the Board with all of the following <i>documents</i> :	2. When referring the subject-matter to dispute resolution <b>under Article</b> <b>65, pargraph 1(a) of Regulation</b> <b>(EU) 2016/679</b> , the lead supervisory authority shall provide the Board with all of the following documents:	<ul> <li>2. When referring the subject-matter to dispute resolution <u>under Article</u> 65, pargraph 1(a) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the lead supervisory authority shall provide the Board with all of the following documents:</li> <li>Points below to be finalised by the drafting team + alignment with cooperation file</li> <li>Text Origin: Council Mandate</li> </ul>
Article	22(2), point (a)	•		
٥ 193	(a) the draft decision or revised draft decision subject to the relevant and reasoned objections;	(a) the draft decision or revised draft decision subject to the relevant and reasoned objections;	(a) the draft decision or revised draft decision subject to the relevant and reasoned objections;	<ul> <li>(a) the draft decision or revised draft decision subject to the relevant and reasoned objections;</li> <li>Text Origin: Commission Proposal</li> </ul>
Article	22(2), point (aa)			
193a		(aa) the summary of key issues;		
Article	22(2), point (b)	·		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 152/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
194	(b) a summary of the relevant facts;	(b) a summary of the relevant facts, including the description of processing activities, the description of the controller's organisation and where the relevant decisions on the purposes and means of the processing of personal data are taken;	(b) a summary of the relevant facts;	
Article 2	2(2), point (c)	-		
195	(c) the preliminary findings;	(c) the preliminary findings;	deleted	
Article 2.	2(2), point (d)			
196	( <i>d</i> ) view made in writing by the parties under investigation, as the case may be, pursuant to Articles 14 and 17;	(d) <i>view<u>views</u></i> made in writing by the parties <i>under investigation, as</i> <i>the case may be</i> , pursuant to <i>Articles 14 and 17<u>Article 14</u>;</i>	(d) view made in writing by the parties under investigation, as the case may be, pursuant to Articles 14 and 17 at least to the extent they relate to the subject matter submitted to the dispute resolution mechanism;	
Article 2	2(2), point (e)			
197	(e) views made in writing by complainants, as the case may be, pursuant to Articles 11, 12, and 15;	deleted	(e) views made in writing by complainants, as the case may be, pursuant to Articles 11, 12, and 15 at least to the extent they relate to the subject matter submitted to the dispute resolution mechanism;	
Article 2	2(2), point (f)	· ·		
198	(f) the relevant and reasoned objections which were not followed by the lead supervisory authority;	(f) the relevant and reasoned objections which were not followed by the lead supervisory authority, and the objections that the lead	(f) the relevant and reasoned objections which were not followed or rejected by the lead supervisory authority and the objections that the lead supervisory authority has	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 153/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			supervisory authority has rejected as not relevant or reasoned;	rejected as being neither relevant nor reasoned;	
	Article 22	2(2), point (g)			
	199	(g) the reasons on the basis of which the lead supervisory authority did not follow the relevant and reasoned objections or considered the objections not to be relevant or reasoned.	(g) the reasons on the basis of which the lead supervisory authority did not follow <i>the relevant and</i> <i>reasoned</i> objections or <i>considered rejected</i> the objections <u>as</u> not <i>to be</i> relevant or reasoned.;	(g) the reasons on the basis of which the lead supervisory authority did not follow the relevant and reasoned objections or considered the objections not to be relevant or reasoned.	
	Article 22	2(2), point (ga)			
	199a		(ga) access to the joint case file.		
	Article 22	2(2a)			
Y	199b			2a. Where appropriate, the Board may request further documents from the lead supervisory authority, at least to the extent they relate to the subject matter submitted to the dispute resolution mechanism.	<ul> <li>2a. The Board may request further documents from the lead supervisory authority.</li> <li>Pres to check with MS, drafting team to check - crosscheck with line 200a</li> <li>Text Origin: Council Mandate</li> </ul>
	Article 22	2(3)			
	200	3. The Board shall within four weeks of receiving the documents listed in paragraph 2 identify retained relevant and reasoned objections.	3. The Board shall <u>register the</u> <u>submission of a subject-matter to</u> <u>the dispute resolution mechanism</u> within <u>fourtwo</u> weeks of receiving <u>all of</u> the documents listed in paragraph 2 <u>identify retained</u> <u>relevant and reasoned objectionsor</u> <u>it shall demand a resubmission that</u> <u>includes any missing information</u> <u>within another week. When</u> <u>registering the submission, the</u> <u>Board shall list and structure the</u>	3. The Board shall within four weeks of receiving the documents listed in paragraph 2 identify retained relevant and reasoned objections.	for the drafting team. PE agrees to reintroduce RRO To be considered with line 59, which may be revised if necessary.

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 154/189

Commission	Proposal EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	disputes between supervisory authorities which form the scope of the procedure before the Board, and instantly provide them to all supervisory authorities.		
Article 22(3a)			
200a	3a. Once all information specified in paragraph 2 have been received, the Chair of the Board is empowered to request from the lead supervisory authority or the supervisory authorities concerned any additional information, documents or clarifications necessary for the Board to take a binding decision concerning all of the matters which are the subject of the relevant and reasoned objections. The authorities shall provide this additional information no later than one week after having received the request.		Council prefers to stick to "documents". To make it clear form when the 1 month deadline should be running / the "intermediary" step (between triggering 65 and 65 deadlines kicking off). Additional documents can only requested by the Board before the RROs are registered.
Article 22(3b)			
200b	3b. The supervisory authorities concerned may, within two weeks after having been provided with the submission pursuant to paragraph 3, submit other relevant information that they have on that case which was not included in the objections, including but not limited to, facts and documentation related to their objection.		drafting team
Article 22(3c)	I		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 155/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
200c		3c. The "referral of the subject- matter" pursuant to Article 65(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall mean the moment when all of the documents referred to in Article 22(2) are available and translated in accordance with Article 2d.		drafting team
Article 22	2(3d)			
200d		3d. The prohibition provided for in Article 65(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 for supervisory authorities to adopt a decision on the subject matter submitted to the Board during the periods referred to in Article 65(2) and (3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall also apply during the periods referred in paragraph 3 of this Article.		drafting team
Article 23	3			
201	Article 23 Registration in relation to a decision under Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 23 deleted	Article 23 Registration in relation to a decision under Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	
Article 2	3, first paragraph		-	
202	The Chair of the Board shall register the referral of a subject- matter to dispute resolution under Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 no later than one week after having received all of the following documents:	deleted	1. The Chair of the Board shall register the referral of a subject- matter to dispute resolution under Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 no later than one week after having received all of the following documents: referred to in Article 22, pargraph 2 and 2a, and the	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 156/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			retained relevant and reasoned objections.	
Article 2	3, first paragraph, point (a)			
203	(a) the draft decision or revised draft decision subject to the relevant and reasoned objections;	deleted	deleted	
Article 2.	3, first paragraph, point (b)		-	
204	(b) a summary of the relevant facts;	deleted	deleted	
Article 2	3, first paragraph, point (c)			
205	(c) view made in writing by the parties under investigation, as the case may be, pursuant to Articles 14 and 17;	deleted	deleted	
Article 2	3, first paragraph, point (d)			
206	<i>(d)</i> views made in writing by complainants, as the case may be, pursuant to Articles 11, 12 and 15;	deleted	deleted	
Article 2	3, first paragraph, point (e)			
207	<i>(e)</i> the retained relevant and reasoned objections;	deleted	deleted	
Article 2.	3, first paragraph, point (f)		1	
208	(f) the reasons on the basis of which the lead supervisory authority did not follow the retained relevant and reasoned objections.	deleted	deleted	
Article 2	з, 1. а		·	•

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
208a			2.As soon as the file is registered by the Chair of the Board, it shall be provided to the members of the Board.	
Article 2	4			
209	Article 24 Statement of reasons prior to adoption of decision under Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	<del>Article 24</del> deleted	Article 24 Statement of reasons prior to adoption of decision under Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	
Article 2	4(1)			
210	1. Prior to adopting the binding decision pursuant to Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the Chair of the Board shall, through the lead supervisory authority, provide the parties under investigation and/or, in the case of full or partial rejection of a complaint, the complainant, with a statement of reasons explaining the reasoning the Board intends to adopt in its decision. Where the Board intends to adopt a binding decision requiring the lead supervisory authority to amend its draft decision or revised draft decision, the Board shall decide whether such statement of reasons should be accompanied by the retained relevant and reasoned objections on the basis of which the Board intends to adopt its decision.	deleted	1.Prior to adopting the binding decision pursuant to Article 65(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the Chair of where the Board shall, through intends to adopt a decision requiring the lead supervisory authority to amend its draft decision or revised draft decision, it shall assess whether the adoption of such decision relies on elements on which, provide the parties under investigation and/or, in the case of full or partial rejection of a complaint, the complainant, have been provided with an opportunity to express their views with a statement of reasons explaining the reasoning the Board intends to adopt in its decision. Where the Board intends to adopt a binding decision requiring the lead supervisory authority to amend its draft decision or revised draft	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 158/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			decision, the Board shall decide whether such statement of reasons should be accompanied by the retained relevant and reasoned objections on the basis of which the Board intends to adopt its decision.	
Article 24	4(1a)			
210a			1bis. Where the Board considers that the parties under investigation and/or, in the case of full or partial rejection of a complaint, the complainant, have not been provided with an opportunity to express their views on the elements referred to in paragraph 1, the Board shall provide the parties under investigation and/or, in the case of full or partial rejection of a complaint, the complainant, with a statement of reasons explaining the reasoning the Board intends to adopt in its decision.	
Article 24	4(1b)	L		<u> </u>
210b			1ter. The Board shall assess and decide whether such statement of reasons should be accompanied by the retained relevant and reasoned objections on the basis of which the Board intends to adopt its decision.	
Article 24	4(2)		·	·
211	2. The parties under investigation and/or, in the case of full or partial	deleted	2. <b>The Board shall set a time limit for</b> the parties under investigation	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 159/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	rejection of a complaint, the complainant, shall have one week from receipt of the statement of reasons referred to in paragraph 1 to make their views known.		and/or, in the case of full or partial rejection of a complaint, the complainant, shall have one week from receipt of the statement of reasons referred to in paragraph 1-to make their views known.	
Article 2	4(3)	• •	-	
212	3. The deadline in paragraph 2 shall be extended by one week where the Board extends the period for adoption of the binding decision in accordance with Article 65(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	deleted	deleted	
Article 2	4(4)	·		
213	4. The period for adoption of the binding decision of the Board provided for in Article 65(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall not run during the periods provided for in paragraphs 2 and 3.	deleted	deleted	
Article 2	5			
• 214	Article 25 Procedure in relation to decision under Article 65(1), point (b), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	A <del>rticle 25</del> deleted	Article 25 Procedure in relation to decision under Article 65(1), point (b), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 25 Procedure in relation to decision under Article 65(1), point (b), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 2	5(1)	• •		
G 215	<ol> <li>When referring a subject-matter to the Board under Article 65(1), point (b), of Regulation 2016/679, the supervisory authority referring the subject-matter regarding the</li> </ol>	deleted	<i>I</i> . When referring a subject-matter to the Board under Article 65(1), point (b), of Regulation 2016/679, the supervisory authority referring the subject-matter regarding the	1. When referring a subject-matter to the Board under Article 65(1), point (b), of Regulation 2016/679, the supervisory authority referring the subject-matter regarding the

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 160/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		competence for the main establishment shall provide the Board with all of the following documents:		competence for the main establishment shall provide the Board with all of the following documents:	competence for the main establishment shall provide the Board with all of the following documents:
					Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 25	5(1), point (a)			
G	216	(a) a summary of the relevant facts;	deleted	(a) a summary of the relevant facts, inlcuding regarding the processing at stake;	(a) a summary of the relevant facts, including regarding the processing at stake;
					Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 25	5(1), point (b)			
G	217	(b) the assessment of these facts as far as the conditions of Article 56(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 are concerned;	deleted	(b) the assessment of these facts as far as the conditions of Article 56(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 are concerned, in particular the assessment as to whether the processing is to be considered as a cross-border processing and as to where the main establishment of the controller or processor is located;	<ul> <li>(b) the assessment of these facts as far as the conditions of Article 56(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 are concerned, <i>in particular the assessment as to whether the processing is to be considered as a cross-border processing and as to where the main establishment of the controller or processor is located;</i></li> <li>Text Origin: Council Mandate</li> </ul>
	Article 25	5(1), point (c)			
G	218	(c) views made by the controller or processor whose main establishment is the subject of the referral;	deleted	(c) views made by the controller or processor whose main establishment is the subject of the referral;	<ul><li>(c) views made by the controller or processor whose main establishment is the subject of the referral;</li><li>Text Origin: Council Mandate</li></ul>
	Article 25	5(1), point (d)			

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
G	219	(d) the views of other supervisory authorities concerned by the referral;	deleted	<i>(d)</i> the views of other supervisory authorities concerned by the referral;	(d) the views of other supervisory authorities concerned by the referral;
					Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 25	5(1), point (e)			
G	220	(e) any other document or information the referring supervisory authority considers relevant and necessary in order to find a resolution on the subject- matter.	deleted	(e) any other document or information the referring supervisory authority considers relevant and necessary in order to find a resolution on the subject- matter.	(e) any other document or information the referring supervisory authority considers relevant and necessary in order to find a resolution on the subject- matter.
	Article 25	5(1a)			Text Origin: Council Mandate
G	220a			1a. Where appropriate, the Board may request further documents from the lead supervisory authority.	Ia.The Board may request further documents from the lead supervisory authority.Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 25	5(2)			
G	221	2. The Chair of the Board shall register the referral no later than one week after having received the documents referred to in paragraph 1.	deleted	2. The Chair of the Board shall register the referral no later than one week after having received the documents referred to in <del>paragraph</del> <b>4paragraphs 1 and 1a</b> .	<ul> <li>2. The Chair of the Board shall register the referral no later than one week after having received the documents referred to in <i>paragraph 4paragraphs 1 and 1a</i>.</li> <li>Text Origin: Council Mandate</li> </ul>
	Article 25	5(2a)			
	221a			3.As soon as the file is registered by the Chair of the Board, it shall be provided to the members of the Board.	3.As soon as the file is registered by the Chair of the Board, it shall be provided to the members of the Board.

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 162/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
					to be streamlined by the drafting team, horizontal issue - registration
					Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 25	5(2b)			
	221b			4. Where a decision is adopted by the Board under Article 65 (1) (b) of Regulation 2016/679, in accordance with Article 65(6) of Regulation 2016/679, the competent supervisory authority designated by the Board shall acknowledge receipt of the binding decision and of its competence within one month as foreseen under Article 65(6) of Regulation 2016/679.	1 month to be discussed with deadlines. Issue of "acknowledgement". Notification as the way forward.
	Article 26	5			
G	222	Article 26 Procedure in relation to decision under Article 65(1), point (c), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 26 Procedure in relation to decision under Article 65(1), point (c), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 26 Procedure in relation to decision under Article 65(1), point (c), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 26 Procedure in relation to decision under Article 65(1), point (c), of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 Text Origin: Commission
					Proposal
	Article 26	5(1)			
G	223	1. When referring a subject-matter to the Board under Article 65(1), point (c), of Regulation 2016/679, the supervisory authority referring the subject-matter or the Commission shall provide the Board with all of the following documents:	1. When referring a subject-matter to the Board under Article 65(1), point (c), of Regulation 2016/679, the supervisory authority referring the subject-matter or the Commission shall provide the Board with all of the following documents:	1. When referring a subject-matter to the Board under Article 65(1), point (c), of Regulation 2016/679, the supervisory authority referring the subject-matter or the Commission shall provide the Board with all of the following documents:	1. When referring a subject-matter to the Board under Article 65(1), point (c), of Regulation 2016/679, the supervisory authority referring the subject-matter or the Commission shall provide the Board with all of the following documents:

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 163/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 20	6(1), point (a)			
224	(a) a summary of the relevant facts;	(a) a summary of the relevant facts;	(a) a summary of the relevant facts;	(a) a summary of the relevant facts; Text Origin: Commission
				Proposal
Article 20	6(1), point (b)			l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l
<sup>3</sup> 225	<ul><li>(b) the opinion, as the case may be, issued by the Board pursuant to Article 64 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;</li></ul>	(b) the opinion, as the case may be, issued by the Board pursuant to Article 64 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;	<ul><li>(b) the opinion, as the case may be, issued by the Board pursuant to Article 64 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;</li></ul>	(b) the opinion, as the case may be, issued by the Board pursuant to Article 64 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 20	6(1), point (ba)			
<sup>3</sup> 225a			(ba) the decision, as the case may be, adopted by the competent supervisory authority following the opinion issued by the Board pursuant to Article 64 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	(ba) the decision, as the case may be, adopted by the competent supervisory authority following the opinion issued by the Board pursuant to Article 64 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 20	6(1), point (c)			
3 226	(c) the views of the supervisory authority referring the subject- matter or the Commission as to whether, as the case may be, a supervisory authority was required to communicate the draft decision to the Board pursuant to Article 64(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, or a supervisory authority did not follow an opinion of the Board issued	(c) the views of the supervisory authority referring the subject- matter or the Commission as to whether, as the case may be, a supervisory authority was required to communicate the draft decision to the Board pursuant to Article 64(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, or a supervisory authority did not follow an opinion of the Board issued	(c) the views of the supervisory authority referring the subject- matter or the Commission as to whether, as the case may be, a supervisory authority was required to communicate the draft decision to the Board pursuant to Article 64(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, or a supervisory authority did not follow an opinion of the Board issued	(c) the views of the supervisory authority referring the subject- matter or the Commission as to whether, as the case may be, a supervisory authority was required to communicate the draft decision to the Board pursuant to Article 64(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, or a supervisory authority did not follow an opinion of the Board issued

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 164/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	pursuant to Article 64 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	pursuant to Article 64 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, <i>including an</i> <i>explanation of which points were</i> <i>not followed and a reference to the</i> <i>relevant part of the adopted</i> <i>decision</i> .	pursuant to Article 64 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	pursuant to Article 64 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 <u>, including an</u> indication of which points were not followed and a reference to the relevant part of the adopted decision. Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 2	6(1a)			
° 226a		<u>1a</u> <u>The Chair of the Board shall</u> inform all supervisory authorities of the referral made to the Board under paragraph 1, so as to allow the supervisory authorities to make their views known.	1a. Where appropriate, the Board may request further documents from the lead supervisory authority.	<u>Ia. The Board may request further</u> <u>documents from the lead</u> <u>supervisory authority.</u> Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 2	6(2), first subparagraph	T	[	
g 227	2. The Chair of the Board shall request the following documents:	2. The Chair of the Board shall request the following documents:	2. The Chair of The Board shall request the following documents:	2. <i>The Chair of</i> The Board shall request the following documents: Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 2	6(2), first subparagraph, point (a)		l	
<sup>G</sup> 228	(a) the views of the supervisory authority alleged to have breached the requirement to communicate a draft decision to the Board or to have failed to follow an opinion of the Board;	(a) the views of the supervisory authority alleged to have breached the requirement to communicate a draft decision to the Board or to have failed to follow an opinion of the Board;	(a) the views of the supervisory authority alleged to have breached the requirement to communicate a draft decision to the Board or to have failed to follow an opinion of the Board;	(a) the views of the supervisory authority alleged to have breached the requirement to communicate a draft decision to the Board or to have failed to follow an opinion of the Board;
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	6(2), first subparagraph, point (b)			
s 229	(b) any other document or information the supervisory authority considers relevant and	(b) any other document or information the supervisory authority considers relevant and	(b) any other document or information the supervisory authority considers relevant and	(b) any other document or information the supervisory authority considers relevant and

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 165/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		necessary in order to find a resolution on the subject-matter.	necessary in order to find a resolution on the subject-matter.	necessary in order to find a resolution on the subject-matter.	necessary in order to find a resolution on the subject-matter.
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 26	5(2), second subparagraph			
G	230	If any supervisory authority declares a need to submit its views on the referred subject-matter, it shall submit those views within two weeks of the referral referred to in paragraph 1.	If any supervisory authority declares a need to submit its views on the referred subject-matter, it shall submit those views within two weeks of the referral referred to in paragraph 1.	If any supervisory authority declares a need to submit its views on the referred subject-matter, it shall submit those views within two weeks of the referral referred to in paragraph 1.	If any supervisory authority declares a need to submit its views on the referred subject-matter, it shall submit those views within two weeks of the referral referred to in paragraph 1. Text Origin: Commission
	Article 26	5(3)			Proposal
	AITICIE 20	3. The Chair of the Board shall	3. The Chair of the Board shall	3. The Chair of the Board shall	
	231	register the referral no later than one week after having received the documents referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2.	register the referral no later than one week after having received <u>all of</u> the documents referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2.	register the referral no later than one week after having received the documents referred to in paragraphs 1, 1a and 2.	to be aligned, for a , b , c
	Article 26	5(3a)			
G	231a			4.As soon as the file is registered by the Chair of the Board, it shall be provided to the members of the Board.	<u>4.As soon as the file is registered</u> <u>by the Board, it shall be provided to</u> <u>the members of the Board.</u> Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 26	ba	-	-	
	231b		<u>Article 26a</u> Procedural determinations by the <u>Board</u>		
	Article 26	5a(1)			
G	231c		<u>1.Pursuant to Article 66 of</u> <u>Regulation (EU) 2016/679, a</u>		deleted

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 166/189

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	<b>Council Mandate</b>	Draft Agreement
Article 26a(2)	supervisory authority may request from the Board to take an urgent binding decision in the form of a procedural determination on any procedural dispute arising between supervisory authorities in cases foreseen by this Regulation.		
231d	2. Where the lead supervisory authority is of the view that it cannot possibly comply with a deadline pursuant to Article 4(1b) or Article 5a(3), especially because of the need for exceptionally complex factual investigations, it shall request from the Board an urgent binding decision pursuant to paragraph 1, regarding an extension of the deadline of up to nine more months. The supervisory authority shall demonstrate that despite its compliance with Article 2c(1), the extension sought is inevitable.		to be discussed during the deadline discussion. EP insists of having this point covered in the text. CSL to check with MS
Article 26a(3)			
231e	3.Requests under paragraph 1 and 2 shall at least contain:		to be agreed together with para 2
Article 26a(3), point (a)			
231f	(a) the facts relied upon and any evidence available to the authority or party;		
Article 26a(3), point (b)			

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
231g		<u>(b)</u> <u>the legal grounds for the</u> <u>request;</u>		
Article 26	6a(3), point (c)	-	1	
231h		(c) the determination pursuant to paragraph 1 or the deadline extension pursuant to paragraph 2 that the authority or party requests from the Board;		
Article 20	6a(4)		•	
231i		4. Within two weeks, the Board shall determine the matter based on the information before it or it shall reject the application. Determinations are binding on the supervisory authorities.		
Article 20	6b			
231j		<u>Article 26b</u> <u>Right to an effective judicial</u> <u>remedy against a supervisory</u> <u>authority</u>		
Article 26	6b(1)	•		
231k		<b>1. Without prejudice to existing</b> <u>remedies under Article 78 of</u> <u>Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and any</u> <u>other administrative or non-</u> <u>judicial remedy, each party to the</u> <u>procedure shall have the right to</u> <u>an effective judicial remedy:</u>		
Article 20	6b(1), point (a)	-	·	
2311		(a) where the supervisory authority with which the complaint has been lodged does not use its powers to		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 168/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		ensure that another supervisory authority progresses the procedure;		
Article 2	6b(1), point (b)			
231m		(b) where a lead supervisory authority does not comply with deadlines as provided for in <u>Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and this</u> <u>Regulation; or</u>		
Article 2	6b(1), point (c)			
231n		(c) where a supervisory authority does not comply with a binding decision of the Board.		
Article 2	6b(2)			
2310		2.Any party to the procedure or a not-for-profit body under Article 80 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 may bring an action under paragraph 1, point (c) if it considers that the rights of a data subject under Regulation (EU) 2016/679 have been infringed as a result of the processing.		
Article 2	6b(3)			
231p		3. Where a court or tribunal exercising the review pursuant to paragraph 1 finds that a supervisory authority has not fulfilled its duties, it shall have the power to order that supervisory authority to take the necessary action.		
Chapter	VI	· · · · ·		·

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 169/189

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
G	232	Chapter VI Urgency procedure	Chapter VI Urgency procedure	Chapter VI Urgency procedure	Chapter VI Urgency procedure
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 27	7			
G	233	Article 27 Urgent opinions under Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 27 Urgent opinions under Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 27 Urgent opinions under Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 27 Urgent opinions under Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 27	7(1)		-	
	234	1.A request for an urgent opinion of the Board pursuant to Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall be made no later than three weeks prior to the expiry of provisional measures adopted under Article 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and shall contain all of the following items:	1.A request for an urgent opinion of the Board pursuant to Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall be made no later than three weeks prior to the expiry of provisional measures adopted under Article 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and shall contain all of the following items:	1.A request for an urgent opinion of the Board pursuant to Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall be made no later than threefour weeks prior to the expiry of provisional measures adopted under Article 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and shall contain all of the following items:	
	Article 27	7(1), point (a)			
G	235	(a) a summary of the relevant facts;	(a) a summary of the relevant facts, <i>including evidence of an</i> <i>infringement of Regulation EU</i> <u>2016/679</u> ;	(a) a summary of the relevant facts;	(a) a summary of the relevant facts, including an allegation of an infringement of Regulation EU 2016/679;
					Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 27	7(1), point (b)	I		
G	236	(b) a description of the provisional measure adopted on its own territory, its duration and the reasons for adopting it, including	(b) a description of the provisional measure adopted on <i>its ownthe</i> territory of the Member State of the supervisory authority requesting	(b) a description of the provisional measure adopted on its own territory, its duration and the reasons for adopting it, including	(b) a description of the provisional measure adopted on <i>its ownthe</i> territory of the Member State of the supervisory authority requesting

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 170/189

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		the justification of the urgent need to act in order to protect the rights and freedoms of data subjects;	<i>the opinion</i> , its duration and the reasons for adopting it, including the justification of the urgent need to act in order to protect the rights and freedoms of data subjects;	the justification of the urgent need to act in order to protect the rights and freedoms of data subjects;	<b>the opinion</b> , its duration and the reasons for adopting it, including the justification of the urgent need to act in order to protect the rights and freedoms of data subjects; Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 27	7(1), point (c)			
G	237	(c) a justification of the urgent need for final measures to be adopted on the territory of the Member State of the requesting supervisory authority, including an explanation of the exceptional nature of circumstances requiring the adoption of the measures concerned.	(c) a justification of the urgent need for final measures to be adopted on the territory of the Member State of the requesting supervisory authority, including an explanation of the exceptional nature of circumstances requiring the adoption of the measures concerned.	(c) a justification of the urgent need for final measures to be adopted <del>on</del> the territory of the Member State of the requesting supervisory authority, including an explanation of the exceptional nature of circumstances requiring the adoption of the measures concerned.	<ul> <li>(c) a justification of the urgent need for final measures to be adopted on the territory of the Member State of the requesting supervisory authority, including an explanation of the exceptional nature of circumstances requiring the adoption of the measures concerned.</li> <li>Text Origin: Council Mandate</li> </ul>
	Article 27	7(1), point (ca)		-	
	237a		(ca) where the requesting authority is not the lead supervisory authority, the views of the lead supervisory authority.		drafting team to merge 237a and 237b
	Article 27	7(1a)		1	
¥	237b			1a. Where appropriate, the Board may request further documents from the lead supervisory authority.	Ia. the Board may request further documents from the lead supervisory authority.drafting team to merge 237a and 237b, shall we still refer to request?Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 27	7(1b)			

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
237c			1bis. The Chair of the Board shall register the referral no later than one week after having received the documents referred to in paragraphs 1 and 1a.	drafting team, horizontal
Article 2	7(1c)	•		
237d			1ter. As soon as the file is registered by the Chair of the Board, it shall be provided to the members of the Board.	drafting team
Article 2	7(2)	1	1	
۶ 238	2. The urgent opinion of the Board shall be addressed to the supervisory authority that submitted the request. It shall be similar to an opinion within the meaning of Article 64(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and enable the requesting authority to maintain or amend its provisional measure in line with the obligations of Article 64(7) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	2. The urgent opinion of the Board shall be addressed to <i>theall</i> supervisory <i>authority that submitted</i> <i>the requestauthorities</i> . It shall be similar to an opinion within the meaning of Article 64(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and enable the <i>requesting</i> <i>authority authorities</i> to maintain or amend- <i>its</i> provisional measure in line with the obligations of Article 64(7) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	deleted	deleted
Article 2	8	·	·	
• 239	Article 28 Urgent decisions under Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 28 Urgent <u>binding</u> decisions under Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 28 Urgent <b>binding</b> decisions under Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	Article 28 Urgent <u>binding</u> decisions under Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 2	8(1)	1		
<b>2</b> 40	1.A request for an urgent decision of the Board pursuant to Article	1.A request for an urgent <u>binding</u> decision of the Board pursuant to	1.A request for an urgent <b>binding</b> decision of the Board pursuant to	1.A request for an urgent <i>binding</i> decision of the Board pursuant to

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 172/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall be made no later than three weeks prior to the expiry of provisional measures adopted under Articles 61(8), 62(7) or 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. That request shall contain all of the following items:	Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall be made no later than three weeks <i>prior to the</i> <i>expiryafter the adoption</i> of provisional measures adopted under Articles 61(8), 62(7) or 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. That request shall contain all of the following items:	Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall be made no later than threefour weeks prior to the expiry of provisional measures adopted under Articles 61(8), 62(7) or 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. That request shall contain all of the following items:	Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall be made no later than <i>threefour</i> weeks prior to the expiry of provisional measures adopted under Articles 61(8), 62(7) or 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. That request shall contain all of the following items: still deadline Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 28	3(1), point (a)			
G	241	(a) a summary of the relevant facts;	(a) a summary of the relevant facts, including evidence of an infringement of Regulation EU 2016/679;	(a) a summary of the relevant facts;	(a) a summary of the relevant facts, <u>including allegation of an</u> <u>infringement of Regulation EU</u> <u>2016/679</u> ;
					Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 28	3(1), point (b)			
G	242	(b) the provisional measure adopted on the territory of the Member State of the supervisory authority requesting the decision, its duration and the reasons for adopting the provisional measures, in particular the justification of the urgent need to act in order to protect the rights and freedoms of data subjects;	(b) the provisional measure adopted on the territory of the Member State of the supervisory authority requesting the decision, its duration and the reasons for adopting <i>the</i> <i>provisional measuresit</i> , in particular the justification of the urgent need to act in order to protect the rights and freedoms of data subjects;	(b) the provisional measure adopted on the territory of the Member State of the supervisory authority requesting the decision, its duration and the reasons for adopting the provisional measures, in particular the justification of the urgent need to act in order to protect the rights and freedoms of data subjects;	(b) the provisional measure adopted on the territory of the Member State of the supervisory authority requesting the decision, its duration and the reasons for adopting the provisional measures, in particular the justification of the urgent need to act in order to protect the rights and freedoms of data subjects; Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 28	3(1), point (c)			
G	243	(c) information on any investigatory measures taken on its	(c) information on any investigatory measures taken on its	(c) information on any investigatory measures taken on its	(c) information on any investigatory measures taken on its

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 173/189

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		own territory and replies received from the local establishment of the parties under investigation or any other information in the possession of the requesting supervisory authority;	own territory and replies received from <i>the local establishment of</i> the parties under investigation or any other information in the possession of the requesting supervisory authority;	own territory and replies received from the local establishment of the parties under investigation or any other information in the possession of the requesting supervisory authority;	own territory and replies received from <i>the local establishment of</i> the parties under investigation or any other information in the possession of the requesting supervisory authority; Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 28	8(1), point (d)			
	244	(d) a justification of the urgent need for final measures to be adopted on the territory of the requesting supervisory authority, bearing in mind the exceptional nature of circumstances requiring the adoption of the final measure, or proof that a supervisory authority failed to respond to a request under Article 61(3) or 62(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;	(d) a justification of the urgent need for final measures to be adopted- <i>on</i> <i>the territory of the requesting</i> <i>supervisory authority</i> , bearing in mind the exceptional nature of circumstances requiring the adoption of the final measure, or proof that a supervisory authority failed to <i>provide the information</i> <i>requested under Article 61(5) of</i> <i>Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or failed</i> <i>to</i> respond to a request <i>underpursuant to</i> Article <i>61(3)61(8)</i> or 62(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;	(d) a justification of the urgent need for final measures to be adopted-on the territory of the requesting supervisory authority, bearing in mind the exceptional nature of circumstances requiring the adoption of the final measure, or proof that a supervisory authority failed to respond to a request under Article <del>61(3)</del> <b>61(5)</b> or 62(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679;	first part the same, second - drafting team.
	Article 28	3(1), point (e)			
G	245	(e) where the requesting authority is not the lead supervisory authority, the views of the lead supervisory authority;	(e) where the requesting authority is not the lead supervisory authority, the views of the lead supervisory authority;	(e) where the requesting authority is not the lead supervisory authority, the views of the lead supervisory authority;	<ul> <li>(e) where the requesting authority is not the lead supervisory authority, the views of the lead supervisory authority;</li> <li>Text Origin: Commission Proposal</li> </ul>
	Article 28	3(1), point (f)			
Y	246	(f) where applicable, the views of the local establishment of the parties	(f) where applicable available, the views of the local establishment	(f) where applicable, the views of the local establishment of the parties	(f) where applicable, the views of the local establishment of the parties

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 174/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		under investigation against which provisional measures were taken pursuant to Article 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	ofparties. In case the requesting authority is not the lead supervisory authority, the requesting authority shall grant the right to be heard to the parties under investigation against which provisional measures were taken pursuant to Article 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	under investigation against which provisional measures were taken pursuant to Article 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	under investigation against which provisional measures were taken pursuant to Article 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. still to be checked why word "against" removed by the Council Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 28	3(1a)	Г <u> </u>	T	
G	246a			1a. Where appropriate, the Board may request further documents from the lead supervisory authority.	<u>1a.</u> <u>The Board may request further</u> <u>documents from the lead</u> <u>supervisory authority.</u> Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 28	3(1b)		1	
Y	246b			1bis. The Chair of the Board shall register the referral no later than one week after having received the documents referred to in paragraphs 1 and 1a. As soon as the file is registered by the Chair of the Board, it shall be provided to the members of the Board	Ibis.The Board shall register the referral no later than one week after having received the documents referred to in paragraphs 1 and 1a. As soon as the file is registered by the Chair of the Board, it shall be provided to the members of the Boardhorizontal, aligned with registrationText Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 28	3(1c)			
	246c			1ter. Prior to the adoption of the urgent binding decision pursuant to Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the Board shall assess whether the adoption of	still discussed

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 175/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			such decision relies on elements on which the parties under investigation have not been provided with an opportunity to express their views and, where necessary, provide the parties under investigation or the complainant, as the case may be, with an opportunity to make their views known.	
Article 2	8(2)	1		
۶ 247	2. The urgent decision referred to in paragraph 1 shall be addressed to the supervisory authority that submitted the request and shall enable the requesting authority to maintain or amend its provisional measure.	2. The urgent <i>binding</i> decision referred to in paragraph 1 shall be addressed to the <i>lead</i> supervisory authority <i>that submitted the</i> <i>requestand all the supervisory</i> <i>authorities concerned</i> and shall <i>enable the requesting authority to</i> <i>maintain or amend its provisional</i> <i>measurespecify the supervisory</i> <i>authorities that would need to</i> <i>adopt final measures, if applicable,</i> <i>in light of the urgent opinion or</i> <i>decision of the Board pursuant to</i> <i>Article 66(2) of Regulation (EU)</i> 2016/679.	deleted	deleted
Article 2	8(3)			
• 248	3. Where the Board adopts an urgent binding decision indicating that final measures should be adopted, the supervisory authority to which the decision is addressed shall adopt such measures prior to the expiry of the provisional measures adopted	3. Where the Board adopts an urgent binding decision indicating that final measures should be adopted, the supervisory authority <u>or</u> <u>authorities</u> to which the decision is addressed shall adopt such measures prior to the expiry of the provisional	3. Where the Board adopts an urgent binding decision indicating that final measures should be adopted, the supervisory authority to which the decision is addressed shall adopt such measures prior to the expiry of the provisional measures adopted	3. Where the Board adopts an urgent binding decision indicating that final measures should be adopted, the supervisory authority to which the decision is addressed shall adopt such measures prior to the expiry of the provisional measures adopted

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 176/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	under Article 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	measures adopted under Article 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	under Article 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	under Article 66(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article	28(4)			
× 249	4. The supervisory authority that submitted the request referred to in paragraph 1 shall notify its decision on the final measures to the establishment of the controller or processor on the territory of its Member State and inform the Board. Where the lead supervisory authority is not the requesting authority, the requesting authority shall inform the lead supervisory authority of the final measure.	4. <i>TheA</i> supervisory authority that <i>submitted the request referred to in</i> <i>paragraph lis responsible to adopt</i> <i>final measures</i> shall notify its decision on the final measures to the <i>establishment of the controller or</i> <i>processor on the territory of its</i> <i>Member Stateparties under</i> <i>investigation</i> and inform the Board. Where the lead supervisory authority is not the requesting authority, the requesting authority shall inform the <i>parties under</i> <i>investigation against which the</i> <i>provisional measures were adopted</i> <i>about the Board's decision and the</i> <i>final measures adopted by the</i> lead supervisory authority. <i>The</i> <i>complaint-receiving supervisory</i> <i>authority shall inform the</i> <i>complainant about the Board's</i> <i>decision and-of</i> the final <i>measuremeasures adopted by the</i> <i>lead supervisory authority</i> .	deleted	deleted still EP scrutiny reservations
Article	28(5)			<u> </u>
G 250	5. Where the urgent binding decision indicates that final measures do not urgently need to be adopted, the lead and supervisory authorities concerned shall follow the	5. Where the urgent binding decision indicates that final measures do not urgently need to be adopted, the lead and supervisory authorities concerned shall follow the	5. Where the urgent binding decision indicates that final measures do not urgently need to be adopted, the lead and supervisory authorities concerned shall follow the	5. Where the urgent binding decision indicates that final measures do not urgently need to be adopted, the lead and supervisory authorities concerned shall follow the

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 177/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		procedure in Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	procedure in Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	procedure in Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	procedure in Article 60 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 28	3a			
	250a		<u>Article 28a</u> <u>Remedies against procedural</u> <u>determinations</u>	Article 28bis (new) Urgent decisions under Article 66(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679	
	Article 28	3a(1)	·	·	
	250b		<u>Remedies against procedural</u> <u>determinations by a supervisory</u> <u>authority under national law shall</u> <u>only be brought together with the</u> <u>remedy against the final material</u> <u>decision. Deadlines for remedies</u> <u>against procedural determinations</u> <u>under applicable national law are</u> <u>prolonged for the duration of the</u> <u>procedure before the supervisory</u> <u>authority.</u>	1.A request for an binding urgent decision of the Board pursuant to Article 66(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall contain all of the following items:	
-	Article 28	Ba(1), point (a)			
	250c			(a) a summary of the relevant facts;	
	Article 28	3a(1), point (b)	• •	• •	
	250d			(b) the justification of the urgent need to take appropriate measures in order to protect the rights and freedoms of data subjects, bearing in mind the exceptional nature of circumstances requiring the adoption of such measures, in	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 178/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			particular elements which the competent authority should have taken into account in order to protect the rights and freedoms of data subjects;	
Article 2	8a(1), point (c)			
250e			(c) where relevant and available, information on any investigatory measures taken by the requesting supervisory authority on its own territory and replies received from the local establishment of the parties under investigation or any other information in the possession of the requesting supervisory authority;	
Article 2	8a(1), point (d)			
250f			(d) where the requesting authority is not the lead supervisory authority, the views of the lead supervisory authority.	
Article 2	8a(2)			
250g			2.Where appropriate, the Board may request further documents from the supervisory authority concerned or the lead supervisory authority.	
Article 2	8a(3)	·	1	
250h			3. The Chair of the Board shall register the referral no later than one week after having received the documents referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2. As soon as	

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 179/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			the file is registered by the Chair of the Board, it shall be provided to the members of the Board.	
Article 28	8a(4)			
250i			4.Prior to the adoption of the urgent binding decision pursuant to Article 66(3) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, the Board shall assess whether the adoption of such decision relies on elements on which the parties under investigation have not been provided with an opportunity to express their views and, where necessary, provide the parties under investigation or the complainant, as the case may be, with an opportunity to make their views known.	
Article 28	Ba(2)			
250j			5. Where the Board adopts an urgent binding decision indicating that appropriate measures should be adopted, the supervisory authority to which the decision is addressed shall adopt such measures as soon as possible and within a maximum of one month as provided under Article 65(6) of Regulation 2016/679.	
Chapter	VII	•		
250k		<u>Chapter VII</u> <u>General and final provisions</u>		
Article 28	3b			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 180/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
2501		<u>Article 28b</u> <u>Enforcement Statistics</u>		
Article 28	3b(1)	1		
250m		Supervisory authorities shall report the following numbers in their activity report under Article 59 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679:		
Article 28	3b(1), point (a)	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
250n		<u>(a)</u> <u>the number of ex officio</u> <u>investigations initiated by the</u> <u>supervisory authority;</u>		
Article 28	3b(1), point (b)	·		
2500		(b) the number of ex officio investigations initiated by other supervisory authorities;		
Article 28	3b(1), point (c)	1		
250p		(c) the number of complaints received, including the number that were rejected, dismissed, withdrawn, partly upheld, fully upheld or otherwise closed;		
Article 28	3b(1), point (d)			
250q		(d) the number of legally binding decisions currently on appeal;		
Article 28	3b(1), point (e)	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
250r		<u>(e)</u> <u>the number and average</u> <u>duration of open and decided</u> procedures under (a) to (d) to date;		
Article 28	3b(1), point (f)			

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement				
250s		(f) the number of each type of measure taken in accordance with Article 58(2) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or applicable national law;						
Article 28	Article 28b(1), point (g)							
250t		(g) the number and the amount of fines issued and collected under Article 83 and 84 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or relevant national law; and						
Article 28	8b(1), point (h)							
250u		<u>(h)</u> the annual budget and the number of staff, by training, tasks and organizational units.						
Article 28	8b(2)							
250v		2.Supervisory authorities shall publish the activity report for the past year without undue delay, but no later than by 30 June.						
Article 28	8b(3)							
250w		3. The Board shall make the information of all supervisory authorities in paragraph 1 available to the public no later than 31 July of each year for the previous year.						
Chapter '	VII							
251	Chapter VII General and final provisions	<del>Chapter VII</del> deleted	Chapter VII General and final provisions					

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 182/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement				
		Heading "Chapter VII General and final provisions" is placed before Article 28 b - see line 250k.						
Article 2	Article 29							
252	Article 29 Beginning of time periods and	Article 29	Article 29					
232	definition of working day	deleted	deleted					
Article 2	9(1)							
253	<i>1</i> . Time-limits provided for in or fixed by the supervisory authorities pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2016/679 shall be calculated in accordance with Regulation (EEC, Euratom) No 1182/71 of the Council <sup>1</sup> .	deleted	deleted					
	1. Regulation (EEC, Euratom) No 1182/71 of the Council of 3 June 1971 determining the rules applicable to periods, dates and time limits (OJ L 124, 8.6.1971, p. 1).							
Article 2	9(2)	•						
254	2. Time periods shall begin on the working day following the event to which the relevant provision of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or this Regulation refers.	deleted	deleted					
Article 29a								
254a			Article 29bis Commission report					
Article 2	9a, first paragraph	1		F				
254b			The Commission, as part of its report on the evaluation and					

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 183/189

	<b>Commission Proposal</b>	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			review of the Regulation (EU) 2016/679 under Article 97 Regulation (EU) 2016/679 , shall also report on the application and functionning of this regulation.	
Article 3	0	-		
₃ 255	Article 30 Transitional provisions	Article 30 Transitional provisions	Article 30 Transitional provisions	Article 30 Transitional provisions Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 3	), first paragraph			
256	Chapters III and IV shall apply to ex officio investigations opened after the entry into force of this Regulation and to complaint-based investigations where the complaint was lodged after the entry into force of this Regulation.	Chapters <i>III-and IVI, II and III</i> shall apply to ex officio investigations opened after the entry into force of this Regulation and to complaint-based investigations where the complaint was lodged after the entry into force of this Regulation.	Chapters III and IV shall apply to <i>ex</i> <i>officioex-officio</i> investigations opened after <b>18 months after the</b> <b>date ofthe</b> entry into force of this Regulation and to complaint-based investigations where the complaint was lodged after <b>18 months after</b> <b>the date ofthe</b> entry into force of this Regulation.	
Article 3	0, second paragraph	-		
257	Chapter V shall apply to all cases submitted to dispute resolution under Article 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 after the entry into force of this Regulation.	<i>Chapter V</i> Chapters V and VI shall apply to all cases submitted to dispute resolution under Article 65 and urgency procedure under <u>Article 66(2) and (3)</u> of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 after the entry into force of this Regulation.	Chapter V shall apply to all cases submitted to dispute resolution under Article 65 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 after <b>18 months after the</b> <b>date ofthe</b> entry into force of this Regulation	
Article 3	0, second paragraph a	1	1	
257a		<u>Until [six months from the date</u> of application of this Regulation], the lead supervisory authority		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 184/189

		<b>Commission Proposal</b>	<b>EP Mandate</b>	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			<u>shall, upon request, provide all</u> <u>documents in its own file to other</u> <u>supervisory authorities by other</u> <u>electronic means.</u>		
	Article 30	Ja		L	
	257b		Article 30a Evaluation and review		
	Article 30	)a, first paragraph		l	
	257c		The Commission shall evaluate and review this Regulation as part of its reports to the European Parliament and to the Council under Article 97 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679.		
	Article 31	L			
	258	Article 31 Entry into force	Article 31 Entry into force <i>and application</i>	Article 31 Entry into force <b>and application</b>	
	Article 31	I, first paragraph			
G	259	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.	<u>1.</u> This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.	<b>1.</b> This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.	<b><u>1.</u></b> This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union. Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 31	L, first paragraph a			
	259a		Ia. It shall apply from [one year from the date of entry into force of this Regulation].However, Article 2b(1), point(c), Article 2b(3), last sentence, Article 2c(2), last sentence, and (5), Article 2d(3) and (6), Articles 8(1) and		

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 185/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement			
		<u>18(1), point (a) shall apply from</u> [six months from the date of application of this Regulation].					
Article 31, second paragraph							
260	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	2. This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States apply from 18 months after its date of entry into force.				
Article 3	1, second paragraph a	·	·				
260a			This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.				
Formula	Formula						
	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,			
<b>26</b> 1				Text Origin: Commission Proposal			
Formula							
	For the European Parliament	For the European Parliament	For the European Parliament	For the European Parliament			
<sup>3</sup> 262				Text Origin: Commission Proposal			
Formula		I	I				
	The President	The President	The President	The President			
263				Text Origin: Commission Proposal			
Formula		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	For the Council	For the Council	For the Council	For the Council			
<sup>3</sup> 264				Text Origin: Commission			
				Proposal			

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying down additional procedural rules relating to the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 2023/0202(COD) 10-02-2025 at 10h29 186/189

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement			
Formula							
۶ 265	The President	The President	The President	The President Text Origin: Commission Proposal			
Annex	Annex						
۶ 266	Annex	Annex	deleted	deleted			
Annex, T	Annex, Table 1						
۶ <i>267</i>	Table 1	Table 1	deleted	deleted			

## **Commission Proposal Table 1**

Complaint submitted on the basis of Article 3<sup>1</sup>

Part A: Mandatory information

1. Identification of person or entity filing the complaint Where the complainant is a natural person, submit a form of identification.<sup>2</sup> Where the complaint is submitted by a body referred to in Article 80 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, submit proof that the body has been properly constituted in accordance with the law of a Member State. Where the complaint is submitted on the basis of Article 80(1) of Regulation 2016/679, proof that the body lodging the complaint is acting on the basis of the mandate of a data subject.

2. Contact details<sup>3</sup> Where the complaint is submitted electronically, email address. Where the complaint is submitted by post, postal address. Telephone number.

3. Entity whose processing of your personal data infringes Regulation (EU) 2016/679 Provide all information in your possession to facilitate the identification of the entity which is the subject of your complaint.

4. Subject of complaint Please set out the facts from which, in your opinion, there is or was processing of your personal data which infringes Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (the GDPR). Indicate in particular the context in which your personal data were processed.

Part B: Supplementary information

If possible, indicate the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (the GDPR) which you consider have been breached by the entity processing your personal data. Please specify whether you have contacted the entity mentioned in point 3 of Part A prior to your complaint and outline the result of any such actions. If possible, please attach any relevant correspondence between you and the entity. Please specify whether you have started other administrative and/or judicial proceedings regarding the subject matter of your complaint. If so, please provide an explanation of the status, and, where relevant, outcome of such actions. Submit all documentation in your possession relating to the facts set out in the complaint (for example, copy of the documents attesting the relationship with the data controller (e.g. invoices, contracts); copy of any marketing messages or e-mails; pictures, photographs or screenshots; expert reports; witness reports; inspection reports).

Part C: Declaration and signature

Please confirm that the information given in this form is given entirely in good faith. Date and signature.

2. For example, passport, driving licence, national ID.

<sup>1.</sup> The complaint should be completed and submitted electronically or completed and submitted to the supervisory authority by post.

<sup>3.</sup> In the case a complaint is submitted by a body referred to in Article 80 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, all of the information in point 2 should be provided.

## **EP Mandate Table 1**

Complaint submitted on the basis of Article 3<sup>1</sup>

Part A: Mandatory information

1.-Identification of person or entity filing the complaint *Where the complainant is a natural person, submit a form of identification.*<sup>2</sup>-Where the complaint is submitted by a body, *organisation or association* referred to in Article 80 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, submit proof that the body, *organisation or association* has been properly constituted in accordance with the law of a Member State. Where the complaint is submitted on the basis of Article 80(1) of Regulation 2016/679, proof that the body, *organisation or association* lodging the complaint is acting on the basis of the mandate of a data subject.

2. <u>Contact details</u> 2 The name, address and any other available contact details - of the complainant, including, where the complaint is submitted electronically, email address. <u>Where the complaint is submitted by post, postal address. Telephone number.</u>

3. Entity whose processing of your personal data infringes Regulation (EU) 2016/679 Provide all information in your possession to facilitate the identification of the entity which is the subject of your-complaint, *including the name, address and any other contact details of that entity*.

4. Subject of complaint Please set out the facts from which, in your opinion, there is or was processing of your personal data which infringes Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (the GDPR). Indicate in particular the context in which your personal data were processed.

Part B: Supplementary information

If possible, indicate the provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (the GDPR) which you consider have been breached by the entity processing your personal data. Please specify whether you have contacted the entity mentioned in point 3 of Part A prior to your complaint and outline the result of any such actions. If possible, please attach any relevant correspondence between you and the entity. Please specify whether you have started other administrative and/or judicial proceedings regarding the subject matter of your complaint. If so, please provide an explanation of the status, and, where relevant, outcome of such actions. Submit all documentation in your possession relating to the facts set out in the complaint (for example, copy of the documents attesting the relationship with the data controller (e.g. invoices, contracts); copy of any marketing messages or e-mails; pictures, photographs or screenshots; expert reports; witness reports; inspection reports).

Part C: Declaration and signature

Please confirm that the information given in this form is given entirely in good faith. Date and signature.

<sup>1.</sup> The complaint should be completed and submitted electronically or completed and submitted to the supervisory authority by post.

<sup>2.</sup> For example, passport, driving licence, national IDIn the case a complaint is submitted by a body referred to in Article 80 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, all of the information in point 2 should be provided.

<sup>3.</sup> In the case a complaint is submitted by a body referred to in Article 80 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, all of the information in point 2 should be provided.