

Brussels, 21 November 2022

WK 16024/2022 INIT

LIMITE

TELECOM

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

MEETING DOCUMENT

From: To:	General Secretariat of the Council Working Party on Telecommunications and Information Society
Subject:	Data Act Regulation SI comments on 2nd compromise text

Delegations will find in the annex the SI comments on the 2nd compromise text on Data Act Regulation.

MEMBER STATE comments on second compromise proposal on DA (document 14019/22)

Reference	Third compromise proposal	Drafting suggestion	Comment
Article 1(4.c) - NEW		4c. This Regulation is without prejudice to Union and national legal acts providing for the protection of intellectual property, including 2001/29/EC, 2004/48/EC, 2009/24/EC and (EU) 2019/790 of the European Parliament and of the Council.	Recital 13 should be included in the Article itself as well. The proposed wording has an addition (to the wording of Recital 13): Directive 2009/24/EC (on the legal protection of computer programs) is also listed.
Article 15(c)(1)	An exceptional need to use data within the meaning of this Chapter shall be limited in time and scope and deemed to exist only in any of the following circumstances: (c) where the lack of available data prevents the public sector body, or Union institution, agency or body the Commission, the European Central Bank or Union bodies from fulfilling a specific task in the public interest, such as official statistics, that has been explicitly provided by law; and (1) the public sector body or Union institution, agency or body the Commission, the European Central Bank or Union body has exhausted all other means at its disposal has been unable to obtain such data by alternative means, including, but	An exceptional need to use data within the meaning of this Chapter shall be limited in time and scope and deemed to exist only in any of the following circumstances: (c) where the lack of available data prevents the public sector body, or Union institution, agency or body the Commission, the European Central Bank or Union bodies from fulfilling a specific task in the public interest, such as official statistics, that has been explicitly provided by law; and Option 1: (1) the public sector body or Union institution, agency or body the Commission, the European Central Bank or Union body has exhausted all other means at its disposal has been unable to obtain such data by alternative means, including, if relevant but not limited to, by purchaseing of the data on the market at by offering market rates or by relying on existing obligations to make data	We suggest the following 2 options of the text modification (marked in yellow). It will make an exemption for all the situations in which there is legal impossibility to pay for data required for the purpose of official statistics (as it is the current situation in Slovenia).

Reference	Third compromise proposal	Drafting suggestion	Comment
	the data on the market at by	measures which could guarantee cannot ensure	
	offering market rates or-by relying	the timely availability of the data; or	
	on existing obligations to make		
	data available, and or the adoption	Option 2:	
	of new legislative measures which		
	could guarantee cannot ensure the		
	timely availability of the data; or	(c) where the lack of available data prevents the	
	(2) obtaining the data in line with the	public sector body, or Union institution, agency or	
	procedure laid down in this	body the Commission, the European Central Bank	
	Chapter would substantively	or Union bodies from fulfilling a specific task in the	
	reduce the administrative burden	public interest, such as official statistics, that has	
	for data holders or other	been explicitly provided by law; and	
	enterprises.	(1) the public sector body <u>or Union institution,</u>	
		agency or body the Commission, the European	
		Central Bank or Union body has exhausted all	
		other means at its disposal has been unable to	
		obtain such data by alternative means, including,	
		but not limited to, by purchaseing of the data on	
		the market <u>at</u> by offering market rates or <u>by</u> relying on existing obligations to make data	
		available, and or the adoption of new legislative	
		measures which could guarantee cannot ensure	
		the timely availability of the data; or	
		(2) obtaining the data in line with the procedure	
		laid down in this Chapter would substantively	
		reduce the administrative burden for data holders	
		or other enterprises.	
		(3) obtaining data is necessary for official	
		statistics purposes.	

Reference	Third compromise proposal	Drafting suggestion	Comment	
Article 16.1 Article 19.1	Third compromise proposal This Chapter shall not affect obligations laid down in Union or national law for the purposes of reporting, complying with information requests or demonstrating or verifying compliance with legal obligations, including in relation to official statistics the obtaining of data for the purpose of compiling official statistics, not based on an exceptional need. (c) erase destroy the data as soon as they are no longer necessary for the stated purpose and inform the data holder without undue delay that the data have been erased destroyed.	This Chapter shall not affect obligations laid down in Union or national law for the purposes of reporting, complying with information requests or demonstrating or verifying compliance with legal obligations, including in relation to official statistics the obtaining of data for the purpose of compiling official statistics, not based on an exceptional need. (c) erase destroy the data as soon as they are no longer necessary for the stated purpose and inform the data holder without undue delay that the data have been erased destroyed. Official statistics authorities are exempted from the latter obligation	Comment We would prefer the previous compromise version (indicated in a red/yellow). If the new wording is to be maintained – word "compiling" should be changed for "collecting" – to be consistent with the 223/2009 regulation and the last part "not based on an exceptional need" should be removed. In our opinion it is necessary to include the exception for official statistics. Therefore, the provision should be supplemented by the sentence: "Official statistics authorities are exempted from the latter obligation". Informing the	
		Outigation	owner about the erasure of data, as provided for by this obligation, may be difficult to implement or even impossible by official statistics. The exception of that kind is already included in GDPR (Art. 17.3(d)). With regard to data collected by official statistics, which always uses them for statistical purposes, it should be also clarified whether the re-use of the data can be considered as a purpose compatible with the one for which the data were collected.	

Reference	Third compromise proposal	Drafting suggestion	Comment
Article 20.1	1. Data made available to respond to a public emergency pursuant to Article 15, point (a), shall be provided free of charge	Option 1: 1. Data made available to respond to a public emergency pursuant to Article 15, point (a) and for the official statistics needs, shall be provided free of charge. Option 2 – relevant to option 2 for Art. 15: 1. Data made available to respond to a public emergency and to official statistics purposes pursuant to Article 15, point (a), and point (c) (3), shall be provided free of charge. ()	We believe it is necessary to include an exemption for official statistics, as a public sector body fulfilling a specific task in the public interest. So if the new point related to statistics (as proposed in option 2) is not to be added to Art. 15, we propose the following modification for Art. 20 (in yellow).
Chapter V			The subject matter of Chapter V of the Draft Regulation represents an additional limitation of the rights of makers of databases, because holders of rights in these databases will not be able to exercise their rights in the cases specified in this Chapter V (that is, in these cases rightholders will not be able to prohibit the use of their database). Contrary to the Draft Regulation now they can prohibit such use (as defined in Chapter V). Since the related right of the maker of databases will be further limited, any such limitation must be defined in law and must be defined narrowly and only to the extent that absolutely necessary

Reference	Third compromise proposal	Drafting suggestion	Comment
			(in accordance with the three-step test set forth in the international treaties and Directive 2001/29/EC, the so-called InfoSoc directive). Since Chapter V of the Draft Regulation restricts the rights of the holders of related right of the maker of databases (sui generis right), Article 9 of Directive 96/9/EC is amended, which should be stated in this Draft Regulation. Therefore, we would like an explanation of the relationship between Chapter V of the Draft Regulation in relation to Article 9 of Directive 96/9/EC on the legal protection of databases.
Art 35		In order not to hinder the exercise of the right of	General comment: The provision of Article 35 of the Draft Regulation represents a limitation of the scope of Directive 96/9/EC and thus also limits the rights of makers of database, as certain databases will be exempt from protection. Therefore, this Article 35 itself amends Directive 96/9/EC. We support the first of the two options,
Art 35		users to access and use such data in accordance with Article 4 of this Regulation or of the right to share such data with third parties in accordance with Article 5 of this Regulation, For the purposes of the exercise of the rights provided for in Articles 4 and 5 of this Regulation, the sui	because it limits the right of the maker of databases to a lesser extent (only in cases of Articles 4 and 5 of this Data Act).

Reference	Third compromise proposal	Draftir g suggestion	Comment
		generis right provided for in Article 7 of Directive	
		96/9/EC does shall not apply to databases	
		containing data when data is obtained from or	
		generated by a product or related service. OR The	
		sui generis right provided for in Article 7 of	
		Directive 96/9/EC does shall not apply to	
		databases containing data when data is obtained	
		from or generated by the use of a product or a	
		related service.	
			·

Kindly indicate the Member State you are representing in the Title and when renaming the document. For specifying the relevant provision, please indicate the relevant Article or Recital in 1st column and copy the relevant sentence or sentences as they are in the current version of the text in 2nd column. For drafting suggestions, please copy the relevant sentence or sentences from a given paragraph or point into the 3rd column and add or remove text. Please do not use track changes, but highlight your additions in yellow or use strikethrough to indicate deletions. You do not need to copy entire paragraphs or points to indicate your changes, copying and modifying the relevant sentences is sufficient. For providing an explanation and reasoning behind your proposal, please take use of 4th column.