

Interinstitutional files: 2022/0358 (COD)

Brussels, 29 November 2022

WK 15960/2022 INIT

LIMITE

TOUR TELECOM COMPET DIGIT

IND DATAPROTECT

MI IA

CONSOM CODEC

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

WORKING DOCUMENT

From: To:	General Secretariat of the Council Delegations
N° prev. doc.: N° Cion doc.:	6270/18 EXT 1 ST 14741/22 + ADD 1 REV 1 + ADD 2-4
Subject:	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on data collection and sharing relating to short-term accommodation rental services and amending Regulation (EU) 2018/1724 - Procedure regarding the Impact Assessment

In view of the Working Party meeting on 7 December 2022 and in preparation of the presentation by the Commission of the Impact Assessment of the Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on data collection and sharing relating to short-term accommodation rental services and amending Regulation (EU) 2018/1724, delegations will find attached an indicative checklist for the examination of the Impact Assessment (also available in doc. ST 6270/18 EXT 1).

This checklist is intended to help you prepare your views on the Impact Assessment as part of your consideration of the Commission's proposal. Please note that the checklist is not exhaustive and should be used in a flexible way. There is no requirement to formally complete the checklist.

Examination of Commission IAs in the Council

in the context of the consideration of Commission proposals

- Indicative Checklist for Working Party Chairs -

	Title of proposal
_	ATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE ection and sharing relating to short-term accommodation rental egulation (EU) 2018/1724
Lead DG C	COMPET.2
1. Context of the IA	
a) Is the IA carried ou European Parliame Commission	at at the initiative of the Commission, the Council, or the ent? Council Parliament
b) Is the policy context Yes No Comments:	t explained clearly?
c) Is the legal basis of a Yes No Comments:	the initiative clear and appropriate?

2.	<u>Problem definition</u>
a)	Are the existence, scale and consequences of the problem clearly demonstrated? Yes No Partly Comments:
b)	Is the analysis of the problem supported by evidence, including comments and studies submitted by Member States or stakeholders during consultations? Yes No Partly Comments:
c)	Is any gap in evidence acknowledged? Yes No Partly Comments:
3.	Methodology
unce	appropriate methodology applied? Are the methodological choices, limitations and rtainties clearly set out? Tes No Partly ments:

4.	Policy objectives
a)	Does the IA set out clear policy objectives, including general aims and more specific/operational objectives?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Do the policy objectives correspond to the identified problems? Yes No Partly Comments:
c)	Are the policy objectives consistent with the broad EU policy strategies and the Strategic Agenda?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Are the objectives linked to measurable monitoring indicators?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:

5.	Subsidiarity & Proportionality
a)	Is the Union's competence clearly established?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Does the IA analyse whether the proposed action is consistent with the principle of subsidiarity, and are necessity and added value of EU action clearly demonstrated?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Does the IA analyse whether the proposed action is consistent with the principle of proportionality?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Does the IA take into account action already taken or planned at EU or MS level?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
Con	nments:

6.	Policy Options
a)	Which of the following options does the IA identify to meet the objectives? (more than one answer is possible)
	☐ No EU action ☐ Policy alternatives
	☐ Alternatives to regulation ☐ Further harmonization
	Comments:
b)	Are the most affected public/stakeholders identified?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Does the IA contain elements on how public and stakeholders consultations informed the policy options?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Where relevant, are there reasons given for discarding options that were favoured during public and stakeholders consultations?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:

7.	Analysis of impacts
a)	Are the criteria used to determine the impact of the different policy options transparent? Yes No Partly
	Comments:
b)	Are the impacts of the different policy options set out in a comparable format? Yes No Partly Comments:
c)	Where appropriate, are both the short and long-term costs and benefits of the different policy options taken into consideration? Yes No Partly
	Comments:
d)	Are impacts on affected public and stakeholders clearly analysed, for each policy option, in particular for the selected option? Yes No Partly
	Comments:

8. Specific aspects included in the IA	
Where applicable, indicate whether the impact has been sufqualitative and quantified terms, and whether the data and appropriate.	
a) Economic impacts	
Impacts on competition	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
Impacts on consumers	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
Impacts on competitiveness	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	

Impacts on Small and Medium Enterprises, including micro-ent	terprises ¹
Sufficiently assessed Based on appropriate data/evidence If not, please elaborate:	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
Administrative burdens and compliance costs, especially for bus	sinesses
Sufficiently assessed Based on appropriate data/evidence If not, please elaborate:	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
Digital aspects (including on the development of the Digital Sing	gle Market)
Sufficiently assessed Based on appropriate data/evidence If not, please elaborate:	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
Futureproofing (degree to which proposal is future proof and in	novation-friendly?)
Sufficiently assessed Based on appropriate data/evidence If not, please elaborate:	☐ Yes ☐ No☐ Yes ☐ No

Impact assessments should assess SME impacts, and should also analyse the case for allowing (a) exemptions for micro-enterprises with <10 employees and <€2 mio turnover or balance sheet, and (b) lighter regimes for SMEs. See http://ec.europa.eu/governance/impact/key_docs/docs/meg_guidelines.pdf.

b) Social impacts ²	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
c) Environmental impacts ³	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
d) Impacts on individual Member States, regional or	local authorities (territorial impacts)
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
9. Opinion of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board ⁴ (RS	SB) of the Commission
Are the comments and recommendations of the RSB	s considered in the IA report?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly	
Comments:	

e.g. impacts on employment and labour markets, social inclusion and protection of particular groups, public health and safety, etc.

See also Guidance for assessing Social Impacts within the Commission Impact Assessment system (http://ec.europa.eu/smart-regulation/impact/key_docs/docs/guidance_for_assessing_social_impacts.pdf)

e.g. impacts on climate, air and water quality, use of the renewable or non-renewable resources, the likelihood or scale of environmental risks, use of energy etc.

Available by searching by Commission DG and date of publication at the following website http://ec.europa.eu/governance/impact/ia carried out/cia 2012 en.htm

10.	Monitoring, transposition, compliance
a)	Will the proposed indicators enable the intended effects to be measured?
	Yes No Partly
	Comments:
b)	Are those responsible for monitoring (and compliance) identified?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Are operational monitoring and evaluation arrangements proposed?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Does the IA address the impact of the proposed transposition deadline for MS?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
11.	<u>Summary</u>
Mai	n issues proposed for discussion during the WP meeting on the Commission's IA:
1.	
2.	
3.	
	rtc.