

Interinstitutional files: 2021/0341 (COD)

Brussels, 24 October 2022

WK 14360/2022 INIT

LIMITE

EF ECOFIN CCG CODEC

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

WORKING DOCUMENT

From: To:	Presidency Working Party on Financial Services and the Banking Union (Basel III finalisation) Financial Services Attachés
Subject:	Basel 3 finalisation: CRD - CZ Presidency revised compromise text, Table 1 of 3

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
2021/0341 (COD)	
Proposal for a	
DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE	
COUNCIL	
amending Directive 2013/36/EU as regards supervisory powers,	
sanctions, third-country branches, and environmental, social and	
governance risks, and amending Directive 2014/59/EU	
(Text with EEA relevance)	
THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE	
EUROPEAN UNION,	
Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and	
in particular Article 53(1) thereof,	
Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	
After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	
Having regard to the opinion of the European Central Bank ¹ ,	
Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social	
Committee ² ,	

OJ C , , p. . OJ C , , p. .

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	
Whereas:	
(1) Competent authorities, their staff and members of their governance	(1) Competent authorities, their staff and members of their governance
bodies should be independent of political and economic influence. Risks of	bodies should be independent of political and economic influence. Risks of
conflicts of interest undermine the integrity of the Union financial system	conflicts of interest undermine the integrity of the Union financial system
and harm the goal of an integrated banking and capital markets union.	and harm the goal of an integrated banking and capital markets union.
Directive 2013/36/EU should provide more detailed provisions for	Directive 2013/36/EU should provide more detailed provisions for
Member States to ensure that the competent authorities, including their	Member States to ensure that the competent authorities, including their
staff and management, act independently and objectively. In this context,	staff and management members of the competent authority's
minimum requirements should be laid down to prevent conflicts of	governance bodies, act independently and objectively. In this context,
interests such as cooling-off periods and the prohibition from of	minimum requirements should be laid down to prevent conflicts of
trading instruments issued by a supervised entity, applicable to	interests such as cooling-off periods and the prohibition from of
supervisory staff directly involved in the supervision of an institution	trading instruments issued by a supervised entity, applicable to
and competent authorities' members of competent authorities'	supervisory staff directly involved in the supervision of an institution
governance bodies. Furthermore where Member States consider it	and competent authorities' members of competent authorities'
necessary, they should be able to adopt or retain a stricter	governance bodies. Furthermore, where Member States consider it
requirements for the prevention of conflicts of interests. The European	necessary, they should be able to adopt or retain a stricter
Banking Authority (EBA) should issue guidelines addressed to	requirements for the prevention of conflicts of interests. The European
competent authorities on the prevention of conflicts of interests, based	Banking Authority (EBA) should issue guidelines addressed to
on international best practices.	competent authorities on the prevention of conflicts of interests, based

Table 1 of 3

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise 2nd Presidency compromise on international best practices. (1a) Where necessary for the respect of fundamental or workers' (1a) Where necessary for the respect of fundamental or workers' rights, Member States should be able to provide appropriate rights, Member States should be able to provide appropriate compensation mechanisms mechanisms for the benefit of members of compensation mechanims for the benefit of members of staff and of governance bodies subject to cooling-off periods. The purpose of such staff and of governance bodies subject to cooling-off periods. The mechanisms should be to compensate for the burden imposed on those purpose of such mechanisms should be to compensate for the burden individuals as a result of the colling-off period, in particular the imposed on those individuals as a result of the colling-off period, in inability for them to take up employment with entities subject to the particular the inability for them to take up employment with entities scope of these restrictions over a certain period of time. The subject to the scope of these restrictions over a certain period of time. compensation should be proportionate to the length of the relevant The compensation should be proportionate to the length of the cooling-off period. relevant cooling-off period. (1b) Supervisors should conduct themselves with the upmost integrity (1b) Supervisors should conduct themselves with the upmost integrity in the exercise of their supervisory function. In order to increase in the exercise of their supervisory function. In order to increase transparency and provide high ethical standards, it is appropriate tha transparency and provide high ethical standards, it is appropriate that staff involved in the supervision of institutions and applicants to direct staff involved in the supervision of institutions and applicants to direct supervisory posts disclose their interests on an annual basis. The supervisory posts disclose their interests on an annual basis. The declaration of conflict of interests would reduce the risk arising from declaration of conflict of interests would reduce the risk arising fron conflicts of interest and would allow competent authorities to manag conflicts of interest and would allow competent authorities to manage appropriately those risks. Therefore Member States should also appropriately those risks. Therefore Member States should also introduce a mechanism of declaration of conflicts of interests by introduce a mechanism of declaration of conflicts of interests by

Table 1 of 3

2nd Presidency compromise

members of staff directly involved in the supervision of institutions, the members of staff who have access to market-sensitive information and the members of the competent authority's governance bodies. This declaration should include information on the member's holdings of financial instruments and any relevant previous occupational activities. The declaration of interests should be without prejudice to any requirement to submit a wealth declaration under applicable national rules.

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise

members of staff directly involved in the supervision of institutions, the members of staff who have access to market-sensitive information and the members of the competent authority's governance bodies. This declaration should include information on the member's holdings of financial instruments and any relevant previous occupational activities. The declaration of interests should be without prejudice to any requirement to submit a wealth declaration under applicable national rules.

(1b) Supervisors should act with the utmost integrity in the exercise of their supervisory function. In order to increase transparency and provide high ethical standards, it is appropriate that members of the competent authority's governance bodies be obliged to make a declaration of interests on an annual basis. This declaration should disclose information on the member's holdings of financial instruments to reduce the risk arising from conflicts of interest that may result from those holdings and allow competent authorities to manage appropriately those risks. The declaration of interests should be without prejudice to any requirement to submit a wealth declaration under applicable national rules.

- (2) Competent authorities should have the necessary power to withdraw
- 2) Competent authorities should have the necessary power to withdraw

Table 1 of 3

2nd Presidency compromise

the authorisation granted to a credit institution where such a credit institution has been declared failing or likely to fail, there is no reasonable prospect that any alternative private sector measures or supervisory action could prevent a failure of such institution within a reasonable timeframe and a resolution action is not necessary in the public interest and, at the same time, has not met the other conditions for resolution set out by Directive 2014/59/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council³ or by Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴. In such a situation, a credit institution should be wound up in accordance with the applicable national insolvency proceedings, or in other types of proceedings laid down for those institutions under national law, which would ensure its

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise

the authorisation granted to a credit institution where such a credit institution has been declared failing or likely to fail, there is no reasonable prospect that any alternative private sector measures or supervisory action could prevent a failure of such institution within a reasonable timeframe and a resolution action is not necessary in the public interest and, at the same time, has not met the other conditions for resolution set out by Directive 2014/59/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵ or by Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶. In such a situation, a credit institution should be wound up in accordance with the applicable national insolvency proceedings, or in other types of proceedings laid down for those institutions under national law, which would ensure its

_

Directive 2014/59/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 establishing a framework for the recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms and amending Council Directive 82/891/EEC, and Directives 2001/24/EC, 2002/47/EC, 2004/25/EC, 2005/56/EC, 2007/36/EC, 2011/35/EU, 2012/30/EU and 2013/36/EU, and Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No 648/2012, of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 173, 12.6.2014, p. 190).

Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 July 2014 establishing uniform rules and a uniform procedure for the resolution of credit institutions and certain investment firms in the framework of a Single Resolution Mechanism and a Single Resolution Fund and amending Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 (OJ L 225, 30.7.2014, p. 1).

Directive 2014/59/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 establishing a framework for the recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms and amending Council Directive 82/891/EEC, and Directives 2001/24/EC, 2002/47/EC, 2004/25/EC, 2005/56/EC, 2007/36/EC, 2011/35/EU, 2012/30/EU and 2013/36/EU, and Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No 648/2012, of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 173, 12.6.2014, p. 190).

Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 July 2014 establishing uniform rules and a uniform procedure for the resolution of credit institutions and certain investment firms in the framework of a Single Resolution Mechanism and a Single Resolution Fund and amending Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 (OJ L 225, 30.7.2014, p. 1).

2nd Presidency compromise

orderly exit from the market, and should therefore discontinue the activities for which the authorisation had been granted. However, there should be no automaticity between the failing or likely to fail determination and the withdrawal of the authorisation, as for other cases where the competent authority may withdraw the authorisation. Competent authorities should remain entitled to exercise their powers in a manner that is proportionate and that takes into consideration the features of the applicable national insolvency proceedings, including existing judicial procedures.

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise

orderly exit from the market, and should therefore discontinue the activities for which the authorisation had been granted. However, there should be no automaticity between the failing or likely to fail determination and the withdrawal of the authorisation, as for other cases where the competent authority may withdraw the authorisation. Competent authorities should remain entitled to exercise their powers in a manner that is proportionate and that takes into consideration the features of the applicable national insolvency proceedings, including existing judicial procedures, while the power to withdraw the authorisation should not be used in order to prevent the opening or force the termination of insolvency proceedings such as the application of a judicial moratorium or other measures which are conditional upon an active license.

(3) The provision of banking services in the Union is conditional upon the credit institution's having previous authorisation and a physical presence through a legal person or a branch in its territory. Only in that way credit institutions may be subject to effective prudential regulation and supervision that are necessary to minimise the risk of failure and, when it occurs, to manage that failure in order to prevent it from spreading in a disorderly manner and leading to the

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
collapse of the financial system (contagion risk by e.g. a bank run or a	
bank failure triggered by imprudent lending). The provision of	
banking services in the Union without such physical presence would	
increase the presence and prevalence in the financial markets where	
credit institutions are closely involved of risk segments not subject to	
Union's prudential regulation and supervision, that may eventually	
threaten the financial stability of the Union or of its individual	
Member States. The financial crisis of 2008-2009 is the latest historical	
precedent, which underlines how small market segments may become	
the source of significant threats to the financial stability of the Union	
and its Member States if left outside the scope of prudential regulation	
and supervision. Hence, it is necessary to lay down an explicit	
requirement in Union law that undertakings established in a third	
country and seeking to provide banking services in the Union should at	
least establish a branch in a Member State and that such branch be	
authorised in accordance with Union legislation, unless the	
undertaking wishes to provide banking services in the Union through a	
subsidiary. However, that requirement to establish a branch should	
not apply to cases of reverse solicitation of services, as in this case it is	
the customer that approaches the undertaking in the third country to	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
solicit the provision of the service.	
(4) Supervisors of credit institutions should have all the necessary	(4) Supervisors of credit institutions should have all the necessary
powers that enable them to perform their duties and that cover the various	powers that enable them to perform their duties and that cover the various
operations conducted by the supervised entities. To that end and to increase	operations conducted by the supervised entities. To that end and to increase
the level playing field, supervisors must should have at their disposal all	the level playing field, supervisors must should have at their disposal all
the supervisory powers enabling them to cover material operations that can	the supervisory powers enabling them to cover material operations that can
be undertaken by the supervised entities. The European Central Bank and	be undertaken by the supervised entities. The European Central Bank and
<u>relevant</u> national competent authorities should therefore be notified in case	relevant national competent authorities should therefore be notified in case
a material operation, including acquisitions by supervised entities of	a material operation, including acquisitions by supervised entities of
material holdings in financial sector or non-financial entities, material	material holdings in financial sector or non-financial entities, material
transfers of assets and liabilities from or to a supervised entities, and	transfers of assets and liabilities from or to a supervised entities, and
mergers and divisions involving a supervised entities, undertaken by a	mergers and divisions involving a supervised entities, undertaken by a
supervised entity raises concerns over its prudential profile, or over	supervised entity raises concerns over its prudential profile, or over
possible money laundering and terrorist financing activities. Furthermore,	possible money laundering and terrorist financing activities. Furthermore,
the ECB and relevant national competent authorities should have the	the ECB and relevant national competent authorities should have the
power to intervene in such cases of acquisition of qualifying material	power to intervene in such cases of acquisition of qualifying material
holdings and mergers and divisions.	holdings and mergers and divisions.
(5) Concerning mergers and divisions, the Directive (EU) 2017/1132	
lays down harmonised rules and procedures, in particular for cross-border	
mergers and divisions of limited liability companies. Therefore, the	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
assessment procedure by the competent authorities stipulated in this	
directive should be complementary to the Directive (EU) 2017/1132 and	
should not contradict any of its provisions. In case of those cross-border	
mergers and divisions which fall under the scope of Directive 2017/1132,	
the motivated opinion issued by the competent supervisory authority	
should be part of the assessment of the compliance with all relevant	
conditions and the proper completion of all procedures and formalities	
required for the pre-merger or pre-division certificate. The motivated	
opinion should therefore be transferred to the designated national authority	
responsible for issuing the pre-merger or pre-division certificate under	
Directive 2017/1132.	
(6) In order to ensure that competent authorities can intervene before	
one of these material operations is undertaken, they should be notified ex	
ante. That notification should be accompanied by information necessary	
for the competent authorities to assess the planned operation from a	
prudential and anti-money laundering and counter-terrorist financing	
perspective. That assessment by competent authorities should commence at	
the moment of the receipt of the notification including all the requested	
information and, in the case of the acquisition of a material holding in a	
financial sector entitity or the material transfer of assets and liabilities,	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
should be limited in time.	
(7) In the case of the acquisition of a qualifying material holding in a	
financial sector entity, or the material transfer of assets or liabilities,	
the conclusion of the assessment could lead the competent authority to	
decide to oppose to the operation. In the absence of opposition from the	
competent authorit <u>vies</u> within a given period, the operation should be	
deemed approved.	
(8) In order to ensure proportionality and avoid undue administrative	
burden, those additional powers of competent authorities should be	
applicable only to operations deemed material. Only operations consisting	
in mergers or divisions should be treated automatically as material	
operations, as the newly created entity can be expected to present a	
significantly different prudential profile from the entities initially involved	
in the merger or division. Also, mergers or division should not be	
concluded by entities undertaking them before a prior positive opinion is	
received from the competent authorities. Other operations (including	
aAcquisitions of holdings in a financial sector entity and transfers of	
assets and liabilities), when considered material, should be assessed by	
the competent authorit <u>vies</u> -based on a tacit approval procedure.	
(9) In some situations (for instance when entities established in various	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
Member States are involved), operations might require multiple	
notifications and assessments from different competent authorities,	
requiring an efficient cooperation among those authorities. It is therefore	
necessary to precise cooperation obligations, in particular early cross	
notifications, smooth exchange of information and coordination in the	
assessment.	
(10) It is necessary to align provisions related to the acquisition of a	
qualifying holding in a credit institution with provisions on the	
acquisition of a qualifying holding by an institution, in case both	
assessments have to be undertaken for the same operation. Indeed,	
without proper articulation these provisions could lead to	
inconsistencies in the assessment undertaken by competent authorities,	
and ultimately the decisions taken by them. It is therefore necessary to	
provide for similar additional time provided to competent authorities	
to acknowledge receipt of the notification when the operation is	
considered complex).	
(11) EBA should be mandated to develop regulatory technical standards.	
and implementing technical standards and guidelines to ensure an	
appropriate framing of the use of those additional supervisory powers.	
Those regulatory technical standards and implementing technical standards	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
should, in particular, specify the information to be received by the	
competent authorities, the elements to be assessed, and cooperation when	
more than one competent authorities are involved. Those various elements	
are crucial to ensure that a sufficiently harmonised supervisory	
methodology allows provisions on the additional powers to be	
implemented efficiently, with the minimum possible additional	
administrative burden.	
(12) It is crucial that credit institutions, financial holding companies and	
mixed financial holding companies comply with the prudential	
requirements to ensure their safety and soundness and preserve the stability	
of the financial system, both at the level of the Union as a whole and in	
each Member State. Therefore, the ECB and national competent authorities	
should have the power to take timely and decisive measures where those	
credit institutions, financial holding companies and mixed financial	
holding companies and their effective managers fail to comply with the	
prudential requirements or supervisory decisions.	
(13) To ensure a level playing field in the area of sanctioning powers,	(13) To ensure a level playing field in the area of sanctioning powers,
Member States should be required to provide for effective, proportionate	Member States should be required to provide for effective, proportionate
and dissuasive administrative penalties, periodic penalty payments and	and dissuasive administrative penalties, periodic penalty payments and
other administrative measures and enforcement measures such as	periodic penalty payments and other administrative measures and

Table 1 of 3

2nd Presidency compromise

periodic penalty payments in relation to breaches of national provisions transposing this Directive and 2013/36/EU, breaches of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵ or decisions issued by a competent authority based on those legal acts. In particular, Member States can should be able to impose administrative penalties where the relevant breach is also subject to national criminal law. Those administrative penalties, periodic penalty payments and other administrative measures and periodic penalty payments should meet certain minimum requirements, including the minimum powers that should be vested on competent authorities to be able to impose them, the criteria that competent authorities should take into account in their application, publication requirements or the levels of administrative penalties and periodic penalty payments. Member States should lay down specific rules and effective mechanisms regarding the application of periodic penalty payments.

(14) Administrative pecuniary penalties should have a deterrent effect in order to prevent the natural or legal person in breach of national provisions transposing Directive 2013/36/EU or in breach of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 from engaging in the same or similar conduct in the future. Member States should be required to provide for administrative penalties,

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise

enforcement measures such as periodic penalty payments in relation to breaches of national provisions transposing this Directive and 2013/36/EU, breaches of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013-of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵ or decisions issued by a competent authority based on those legal acts. In particular, Member States ean should be able to impose administrative penalties where the relevant breach is also subject to national criminal law. Those administrative penalties, periodic penalty payments and periodic penalty payments and other administrative measures and periodic penalty payments should meet certain minimum requirements, including the minimum powers that should be vested on competent authorities to be able to impose them, the criteria that competent authorities should take into account in their application, publication requirements or the levels of administrative penalties and periodic penalty payments. Member States should lay down specific rules and effective mechanisms regarding the application of periodic penalty payments.

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
which are effective, proportionate and dissuasive. Furthermore, competent	
authorities should have regard to any previous criminal penalties that may	
have been imposed on the same natural or legal person responsible for the	
same breach when determining the type of administrative penalties or other	
administrative measures and the level of administrative pecuniary	
penalties. This is to ensure that the severity of all the penalties and other	
administrative measures imposed for punitive purposes in case of	
accumulation of administrative and criminal proceedings is limited to what	
is necessary in the view of the seriousness of the breach concerned. To	
that end, it is essential to enhance the cooperation between competent	
authorities and judicial authorities in the case of accumulation of	
administrative and criminal proceedings against the same persons	
responsible for the same breach. Member States should lay down	
specific rules and mechanisms to facilitate such cooperation.	
(15) Competent authorities should be able to impose administrative	
penalties on the same natural or legal person responsible for the same	
acts or omissions. However, such accumulation of proceedings and	
penalties on the same breach should pursue different objectives of	
general interest. Member States should lay down rules to provide for	
an appropriate coordination between administrative and criminal	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
proceedings. Such rules should limit the imposition of accumulative	
penalties in relation to the same breach on the natural or legal person	
concerned to the strictly necessary in order to meet those different	
objectives. Furthermore, Member States should lay down rules to	
ensure that the severity of all the administrative and criminal penalties	
and other measures imposed in cases of accumulation of proceedings	
are limited to what is necessary in view of the seriousness of the breach	
concerned. Member States should also ensure that such duplication of	
proceedings and subsequent penalties comply with the ne bis in idem	
principle and that the rights of the natural or legal person concerned	
are duly protected.	
(16) Administrative pecuniary penalties on legal persons should be	
applied consistently, in particular as regards the determination of the	
maximum amount of administrative penalties, which should take into	
account the financial capacity indicator total annual net turnover total	
annual net turnover of the relevant undertaking. However, the current	
definition of the total annual net turnover in Directive 2013/36/EU is	
neither exhaustive enough nor sufficiently clear and complete to	
ensure a level playing field in the application of administrative	
pecuniary penalties. Therefore, it is necessary to clarify several	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
elements of the current definition of total annual net turnover in order	
to avoid an inconsistent interpretation. To ensure a consistent	
calculation throughout the Union, the total annual net turnover should	
be determined by reference to specific categories from the FINREP	
Templates in Annex III, IV and V of the Commission Implementing	
Regulation (EU) 2021/451.	
(17) In addition to administrative penalties, competent authorities should	(17) In addition to administrative penalties, competent authorities should
be empowered to impose periodic penalty payments on credit institutions,	be empowered to impose periodic penalty payments on credit institutions,
financial holding companies, mixed financial holding companies and their	financial holding companies, mixed financial holding companies and their
effective managers those members of the management body in their its	effective managers those members of the management body in their its
management functions function who under national law are identified	management functions function who under national law are identified
as responsible of for the breach of obligation for failure to comply with	as responsible in accordance with national law of for the breach of
their obligations under national provisions transposing Directive	obligation for failure to comply with their obligations under national
2013/36/EU, their obligations under Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or a	provisions transposing Directive 2013/36/EU, their obligations under
decision issued by a competent authority based on those acts. Those	Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or a decision issued by a competent
enforcement measures should be imposed where a breach of a requirement	authority based on those acts. Those enforcement measures should be
or supervisory decision of the competent authority is continuing. Without	imposed where a breach of a requirement or supervisory decision of the
prejudice to the procedural due-process rights of the affected	competent authority is continuing. Without prejudice to the procedural
personsinvolved under applicable law, including their right to be	due-process rights of the affected personsinvolved under applicable
<u>heard</u> , <u>Cc</u> ompetent authorities should be able to impose those enforcement	law, including their right to be heard, Ccompetent authorities should be

Table 1 of 3

2nd Presidency compromise

measures without having to address a prior request, order or warning to the party in breach <u>requiring a return to compliance</u>. Since the purpose of the periodic penalty payments is to compel natural or legal persons to terminate an ongoing breach, the application of periodic penalty payments should not prevent competent authorities from imposing subsequent administrative penalties for the same breach. <u>Periodic penalty payments</u> <u>may be imposed at one point in time on a given date and start applying at a later date in the future.</u>

(18) It is necessary to lay down administrative penalties, periodic penalty payments and other administrative measures and periodic penalty payments in order to ensure the greatest possible scope for action following a breach and to help prevent further breaches, irrespective of their qualification as an administrative penalty or other administrative measure under national law. Member States should therefore be able to provide for additional penalties and higher level of administrative pecuniary penalties and periodic penalty payments. Unless otherwise provided for by Member States, periodic penalty payments should be calculated on a daily basis. Periodic penalty payments should be calculated per days as a rule, but their periodicity of application can be left at the discretion of Member states. The maximum amount of

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise

able to impose those enforcement measures without having to address a prior request, order or warning to the party in breach <u>requiring a return to compliance</u>. Since the purpose of the periodic penalty payments is to compel natural or legal persons to terminate an ongoing breach, the application of periodic penalty payments should not prevent competent authorities from imposing subsequent administrative penalties for the same breach. <u>Periodic penalty payments may be imposed at one point in time on a given date and start applying at a later date in the future.</u>

(18) It is necessary to lay down administrative penalties, periodic penalty payments and periodic penalty payments and other administrative measures and periodic penalty payments in order to ensure the greatest possible scope for action following a breach and to help prevent further breaches, irrespective of their qualification as an administrative penalty or other administrative measure under national law. Member States should therefore be able to provide for additional penalties and higher level of administrative pecuniary penalties and periodic penalty payments. Unless otherwise provided for by Member States, periodic penalty payments should be calculated on a daily basis. Periodic penalty payments should be calculated per days as a rule, but their periodicity of application can be left at the discretion of Member

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
periodic penalty payment to be applied in a given period of time	states. The maximum amount of periodic penalty payment to be
should not exceed the sum of the maximum amount of periodic penalty	applied in a given period of time should not exceed the sum of the
payments per days consituting this given period.	maximum amount of periodic penalty payments per days consituting
	this given period.
(19) Competent authorities should impose periodic penalty payments	
that are proportionate and effective. Accordingly, the competent authority	
should take into account the potential impact of the periodic penalty	
payment on the financial situation of the legal or natural person in breach,	
and seek to avoid that the penalty would cause the legal or natural person	
in breach to become insolvent, lead it to serious financial distress or	
represent a disproportionate percentage of its total annual <u>net</u> turnover.	
(20) Where the legal system of the Member State does not allow the	
administrative penalties provided for in this Directive, the rules on	
administrative penalties may be applied in such a manner that the penalty	
is initiated by the competent authority and imposed by judicial authorities.	
Therefore, it is necessary that those Member States ensure that the	
application of the rules and penalties has an effect equivalent to the	
administrative penalties imposed by the competent authorities. When	
imposing such penalties, judicial authorities should take into account the	
recommendation by the competent authority initiating the penalty. The	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
penalties imposed should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive.	
(21) In order to provide for appropriate sanctions for breaches of	(21) In order to provide for appropriate sanctions for breaches of
national provisions transposing Directive 2013/36/EU and Regulation (EU)	national provisions transposing Directive 2013/36/EU and Regulation (EU)
No 575/2013, the list of breaches subject to administrative penalties,	No 575/2013, the list of breaches subject to administrative penalties,
periodic penalty payments and other administrative measures and periodic	periodic penalty payments and periodic penalty payments and other
penalty payments should be supplemented. Therefore, the list of breaches	administrative measures and periodic penalty payments should be
under Article 67 of Directive 2013/36/EU should be amended.	supplemented. Therefore, the list of breaches under Article 67 of Directive
	2013/36/EU should be amended.
(22) The regulation of branches established by undertakings in a third	
country to provide banking services in a Member State is subject to	
national law and only harmonised to a very limited extent by Directive	
2013/36/EU. While third country branches have a significant presence in	
Union banking markets, they are currently subject only to very high level	
information requirements, but not to any Union-level prudential standards	
or supervisory cooperation arrangements. The complete absence of a	
common prudential framework leads to third country branches' being	
subject to disparate national requirements of varying level of prudence and	
reach. Furthermore, competent authorities lack comprehensive information	
and the necessary supervisory tools to properly monitor the specific risks	
created by third country groups operating in one or various Member States	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
through both branches and subsidiaries. There are currently no integrated	
supervisory arrangements in relation to them and the competent authority	
responsible for the supervision of each branch of a third country group is	
not obliged to exchanging exchange information with the competent	
authorities supervising the other branches and subsidiaries of the same	
group. Such fragmented regulatory landscape creates risks to the financial	
stability and market integrity of the Union which should be properly	
addressed through a harmonised framework on third country branches.	
Such a framework should comprise minimum common requirements on	
authorisation, prudential standards, internal governance, supervision and	
reporting. This set of requirements should build on those that Member	
States already apply to third countries branches in their territories and	
should take into account similar or equivalent requirements that third	
countries apply to foreign branches, with the aim of ensuring consistency	
between Member States and aligning the Union third country branches	
framework with the prevailing international practices in this field.	
(23) For reasons of proportionality, the <u>minimum</u> requirements on third	
country branches should be catered relative to the risk that they pose to the	
financial stability and market integrity of the Union and the Member	
States. Third country branches should, therefore, be categorised as either	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
class 1, where they are deemed riskier, or, otherwise, as class 2, where they	
are small and non-complex and do not pose a significant financial stability	
risk (consistently with the definition of "small and non-complex	
institution" in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013). Accordingly, third country	
branches with booked assets in the Member State in an amount equal to or	
in excess of EUR 5 000 000 000 should be regarded as posing such a	
greater risk due to their larger size and complexity, because their failure	
could lead to a significant disruption of the Member State's market for	
banking services or of its banking system. Third country branches	
authorised to accept retail deposits beyond a certain threshold should	
also be regarded similarly as riskier regardless of their size where the	
amount of such retail deposits exceeds a certain threshold, insofar as	
their failure would affect highly vulnerable depositors and could lead to a	
loss of confidence in the safety and soundness of the Member State's	
banking system to protect citizens' savings. Both of those types of third	
country branches should, therefore, be categorised as class 1.	
(24) Third country branches should also be classified as class 1 where	
the undertaking in the third country that is their head office (the "head	
undertaking") is subject to regulation, oversight and implementation of	
such regulation that are not determined to be at least equivalent to	

standards, the Commission should be able to instruct EBA to conduct an

assessment in accordance with Article 33 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013

1093/2010. EBA should ensure that the assessment is conducted in a

rigorous and transparent manner and in accordance with a sound

Table 1 of 3

Directive 2013/36/EU and Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or where the relevant third country is listed as a high-risk third country that has strategic deficiencies in its regime on anti-money laundering and counter terrorist financing in accordance with Directive (EU) 2015/849 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷. Those third country branches pose a significant risk to the financial stability of the Union and of the Member State of establishment because the banking regulatory or anti-money laundering frameworks that apply to their head undertaking fail to adequately capture or permit a proper monitoring of the specific risks that arise from the activities conducted by the branch in the Member State or of the risks to counterparties in the Member State that arise from the third country group. For the purposes of determining the equivalence of the third country's banking prudential and supervisory standards to the Union's

_

Directive (EU) 2015/849 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2015 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purposes of money laundering or terrorist financing, amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council, and repealing Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Commission Directive 2006/70/EC (OJ L 141, 5.6.2015, p. 73).

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
methodology. Furthermore, EBA should also consult and cooperate closely	
with the third countries' supervisory authorities and government	
departments in charge of banking regulation and, where appropriate,	
private sector parties, endeavouring to treat those parties fairly and to give	
them the opportunity to submit documentation and make representations	
within reasonable timeframes. Furthermore, EBA should ensure that the	
report issued in accordance with Article 33 of Regulation (EU) No	
575/2013 1093/2010 is adequately reasoned, sets out a detailed description	
of the assessed matters and is delivered within a reasonable timeframe.	
(25) Competent authorities should have an explicit power to require on a	
case-by-case basis, that third country branches established in its Member	
<u>State</u> apply for authorisation <u>as a subsidiary institution</u> in accordance	
with Title III, Chapter 1 of Directive 2013/36/EU where they assess that	
the third country branches have a systemic importance for their	
Member State., at a minimum where those branches engage in	
activities with counterparts in other Member States in contravention	
of the internal market rules or where they pose a significant risk to the	
financial stability of the Union or of the Member State where they are	
established. Moreover, competent authorities should be required to	
periodically assess whether third country branches holding assets on	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
their books in an amount equal to or higher than EUR 30 000 000 000	
have systemic importance. All the third country branches that belong	
to the same third country group established in one Member State or	
across the Union should be jointly subject to such periodic assessment.	
That assessment should examine, in accordance with specific criteria,	
whether those branches pose an analogous level of risk to the financial	
stability of the Union or its Member States as institutions defined as	
"systemically important" under Directive 2013/36/EU and Regulation	
EU No 575/2013. Where competent authorities conclude that the third	
country branches are systemically important, they should impose	
requirements on those branches that are appropriate to mitigate the	
risks to financial stability. For those purposes, competent authorities	
should be able to require the third country branches to apply for	
authoritisation as subsidiary institutions under Directive 2013/36/EU	
in order to continue conducting banking activities in the Member State	
or across the Union. Moreover, competent authorities should be able to	
impose other requirements, in particular an obligation to restructure the	
third country branches' assets or activities in the Union so that those	
branches stop being systemic, or a requirement to comply with additional	
capital, liquidity, reporting or disclosure requirements, where that would be	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
sufficient to address the risks to financial stability. Competent authorities	
should have the possibility not to impose any of those requirements on	
third country branches assessed as systemic only where the competent	
authorities can justify that the risks that those branches pose to the	
financial stability and market integrity of the Union and the Member	
States would not significantly increase in the absence of such	
requirements for a period not exceeding one year.	
The EBA should be mandated to submit a report on the merit of	
performing assessments, at an aggregate level, of the systemic	
importance for the EU Union of third country groups that operate	
through third country branches, and as well as on the merit of	
introducing a mechanisms fostering the exchange of information	
among all concerned and of articulating the exercise of supervisory	
powers between the competent authorities while setting out how such	
mechanism would be articulated with their respective supervisory	
powers over responsible for the supervision of those groups and the	
branches established in their respective Member States.	
(26) To ensure the consistency of supervisory decisions on a third	
country group with branches and subsidiaries across the Union, a lead	
competent authority should be designated to conduct the assessment of	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
systemic importance. That role should correspond to the consolidated	
supervisor of the third country group in the Union, where Article 111	
of Directive 2013/36/EU applies, or to the competent authority that	
would become the consolidated supervisor in accordance with that	
Article, should the third country branches of that group be treated as	
its subsidiaries. Where the relevant consolidated supervisor has not	
been determined or where the lead competent authority has not	
started the assessment of systemic importance within three months.	
EBA should, instead, perform that assessment. The lead competent	
authority, or, where applicable, EBA, should consult and cooperate	
fully with the competent authorities responsible for supervising the	
relevant third country group's subsidiaries and branches across the	
Union. The lead competent authority and those competent authorities	
should take a joint decision on whether to impose requirements on the	
third country branches assessed as systemic. For reasons of due	
process, the lead competent authority or, where applicable, EBA	
should ensure that the third country branches' right to be heard and	
to make representations are respected during the assessment of	
systemic importance.	
(27) Competent authorities should conduct regular reviews of third	

Table 1 of 3

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise 2nd Presidency compromise country branches' compliance with relevant requirements under Directive 2013/36/EU, and take supervisory measures on those branches to ensure or restore compliance with those requirements. To enable the cooperation and information exchange with the supervisory authorities of third countries, competent authorities should endeavour to use the model administrative agreements developed by EBA in accordance with Article 33(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010. However, other forms of agreements, for example through exchange of letters, should be equally acceptable. To facilitate the effective supervision of the requirements on third country branches and allow for a comprehensive overview of third country groups' activities within the Union, common supervisory and financial reporting should be made available to competent authorities in accordance with standardised templates. EBA should be mandated to develop draft implementing technical standards setting out those templates and the Commission should be empowered to adopt those draft implementing technical standards. Furthermore, it is necessary to implement appropriate cooperation arrangements between competent authorities to ensure that all the activities of third country groups operating in the Union through third country branches are subject to comprehensive supervision, to prevent the requirements applicable to those groups under

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
Union law from being circumvented and to minimise the potential risks to	
the financial stability of the Union. In particular, class 1 third country	
branches should be included within the scope of the colleges of supervisors	
of third country groups in the Union. Where such a college does not exist	
already, competent authorities should set up an ad hoc college for all class	
1 third country branches of the same group where it operates in more than	
one Member State.	
(28) The Union's third country branches framework should be applied	
without prejudice to the discretion that Member States may currently have	
to require on a general basis that third country undertakings from certain	
third countries conduct banking activities in their territory solely through	
subsidiary institutions authorised in accordance with Title III, Chapter 1 of	
Directive 2013/36/EU. That requirement may refer to third countries that	
apply banking prudential and supervisory standards that are not equivalent	
to the standards under the Member State's national law or to third countries	
that have strategic deficiencies in its regime on anti-money laundering and	
counter terrorist financing.	
(28a) In order to assess adequately the conditions for third country	
groups to apply for authorisation in accordance with Title VI, the	
EBA should be mandated to submit a report to the European	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
Parliament, to the Council and to the Commission.	
(28b) Tax avoidance scandals in the past have shown the need for	(28b) Tax avoidance scandals in the past have shown the need for
improved information exchange between competent authorities and	improved information exchange between competent authorities and
tax authorities. The current secrecy rules applicable to competent	tax authorities. The current secrecy rules applicable to competent
authorities should be adjusted to improve the exchange of information	authorities should be adjusted to improve the exchange of information
between the competent authorities and tax authorities, including in	between the competent authorities and tax authorities, including in
cross-border cases.	cross-border cases.
	(28b) Notwithstanding current secrecy rules applicable, information
	exchange between competent authorities and tax authorities should be
	improved. Such exchanges should be in line with national law, and,
	where the information originates in another Member State, it should
	only be disclosed with the express agreement of the relevant competent
	authority which has disclosed it.
(29) Following the introduction of IFRS 9 on 1 January 2018, the	
outcome of the expected credit losses calculations, which is based on a	
modelling approaches, directly affects the amount of own funds and the	
regulatory ratios of institutions. The same modelling approaches are also	
the basis for the expected credit losses calculation where institutions apply	
national accounting frameworks. As a result, it is important that competent	
authorities and EBA have a clear view of the impact that those calculations	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
have on the range of values for risk-weighted assets and own funds	
requirements that arise for similar exposures. To that end, the	
benchmarking exercise should cover also those modelling approaches.	
Given that institutions calculating capital requirements in accordance with	
the standardised approach for credit risk may also use models for the	
calculation of expected credit losses within the IFRS 9 framework, those	
institutions should also be included in the benchmarking exercise, taking	
into account the principle of proportionality.	
(30) Regulation (EU) 2019/876 ⁸ amended Regulation (EU) No 575/2013	
by introducing a revised market risk framework developed by the Basel	
Committee for Banking Supervision. The alternative standardised approach	
that is part of that new framework allows institutions to model certain	
parameters used in the calculation of risk-weighted assets and own funds	
requirements for market risk. It is therefore important that competent	
authorities and EBA have a clear view of the range of values for risk-	
weighted assets and own funds requirements that arise for similar	
exposures not only under the alternative internal model approach, but also	

_

Regulation (EU) 2019/876 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2019 amending Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 as regards the leverage ratio, the net stable funding ratio, requirements for own funds and eligible liabilities, counterparty credit risk, market risk, exposures to central counterparties, exposures to collective investment undertakings, large exposures, reporting and disclosure requirements, and Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 (OJ L 150, 7.6.2019, p. 1).

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
under the alternative standardised approach. As a result, the market risk	
benchmarking exercise should cover the revised standardised and internal	
model approaches, taking into account the principle of proportionality.	
(31) The global transition towards a sustainable economy as enshrined	
in the Paris Agreement ⁹ , as concluded by the Union, and the United	
Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development will require a profound	
socio-economic transformation and will depend on the mobilisation of	
significant financial resources from the public and private sectors. The	
European Green Deal ¹⁰ commits the Union to becoming climate-neutral by	
2050. The financial system has a relevant role to play in supporting that	
transition, which relates not only to capturing and supporting the	
opportunities that will arise but also to properly managing the risks that it	
may entail. As those risks can have implications for the stability of both	
individual institutions and the financial system as a whole, an	
enhanced risk-based regulatory prudential framework that better	
integrates the related risks is necessary.	
(32) The unprecedented scale of transition towards a sustainable,	(32) The unprecedented scale of transition towards a sustain

_

Council Decision (EU) 2016/1841 of 5 October 2016 on the conclusion, on behalf of the European Union, of the Paris Agreement adopted under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (OJ L 282, 19.10.2016, p. 4).

¹⁰ COM(2019) 640 final.

Table 1 of 3

2nd Presidency compromise

climate-neutral and circular economy will have considerable impacts on the financial system. In 2018, the Network of Central Banks and Supervisors for Greening the Financial System¹¹ acknowledged that climate-related risks are a source of financial risk. The Commission's Renewed Sustainable Finance Strategy¹² emphasises that environmental, social and governance (ESG) risks, and risks steaming from the physical impact of climate change, biodiversity loss and the broader environmental degradation of ecosystems in particular, pose an unprecedented challenge to our economies and to the stability of the financial system. Those risks present specificities such as their forward-looking nature and their distinctive impacts over short, medium and long-term time horizons. The specificity of climate-related environmental—risks, as regards both transition and physical risks, and risks stemming from environmental degradation and biodiversity loss requires in particular to manage

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise

climate-neutral and circular economy will have considerable impacts on the financial system. In 2018, the Network of Central Banks and Supervisors for Greening the Financial System¹³ acknowledged that climate-related risks are a source of financial risk. The Commission's Renewed Sustainable Finance Strategy¹⁴ emphasises that environmental, social and governance (ESG) risks, and risks steaming from the physical impact of climate change, biodiversity loss and the broader environmental degradation of ecosystems in particular, pose an unprecedented challenge to our economies and to the stability of the financial system. Those risks present specificities such as their forward-looking nature and their distinctive impacts over short, medium and long-term time horizons. The specificity of climate-related and other environmental environmental risks, for example risks stemming from environmental degradation and biodiversity loss, as regards both transition and physical risks,

Launched at the Paris One Planet Summit on 12 December 2017, is a group of Central Banks and Supervisors willing, on a voluntary basis, to share best practices and contribute to the development of environment and climate risk management in the financial sector and to mobilise mainstream finance to support the transition toward a sustainable economy.

¹² COM(2021) 390 final, 06.07.2021.

Launched at the Paris One Planet Summit on 12 December 2017, is a group of Central Banks and Supervisors willing, on a voluntary basis, to share best practices and contribute to the development of environment and climate risk management in the financial sector and to mobilise mainstream finance to support the transition toward a sustainable economy.

COM(2021) 390 final, 06.07.2021.

Table 1 of 3

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise 2nd Presidency compromise and risks stemming from environmental degradation and biodiversity those risks over with a long-term horizon of at least 10 years. loss requires in particular to manage those risks over with a long-term horizon of at least 10 years. The long-term nature and the profoundness of the transition The long-term nature and the profoundness of the transition (33)towards a sustainable, climate-neutral and circular economy will entail towards a sustainable, climate-neutral and circular economy will entail significant changes in the business models of institutions. The adequate significant changes in the business models of institutions. The adequate adjustment of the financial sector, and of credit institutions in particular, is adjustment of the financial sector, and of credit institutions in particular, is necessary to achieve the objective of net-zero greenhouse gas emissions in necessary to achieve the objective of net-zero greenhouse gas emissions in the Union's economy by 2050, while maintaining the inherent risks under the Union's economy by 2050, while maintaining the inherent risks under control. Competent authorities should, therefore, be enabled to assess this control. Competent authorities should, therefore, be enabled to assess this process and intervene in cases where institutions' manage climate risks, as process and intervene in cases where institutions' manage climate risks, as well as risks stemming from environmental degradation and biodiversity well as risks stemming from environmental degradation and biodiversity loss, in a way that endangers the stability of the individual institutions, or loss, in a way that endangers the stability of the individual institutions, or the financial stability overall. Competent authorities should also monitor the financial stability overall. Competent authorities should also monitor and be empowered to act, when there are financial risks arising from and be empowered to act, when there are financial risks arising from transition trends towards is a misalignment of institutions' business transition trends towards is a misalignment of institutions' business models and strategies with the relevant Member States and Union legal models and strategies with the relevant Member States and Union legal and regulatory policy objectives and broader transition trends towards and regulatory policy objectives and broader transition trends towards a sustainable economy in relation to environmental, social and a sustainable economy in relation to environmental, social and governance factors, for example in particular as set out in Regulation governance factors, for example in particular as set out in Regulation

Table 1 of 3

2nd Presidency compromise

(EU) 2021/1119 ("European Climate Law"), the Fit for 55 package and the post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, as well as, where relevant for internationally active institutions, third country legal and regulatory objectives, resulting in risks to their business models and strategies, or to the financial stability. When third country objectives in relation to environmental, social and governance factors would result in transition trends that are less ambitious than those under Union law, competent authorities should be empowered to act based on the Union objectives. Climate and, more broadly, environmental risks, should be considered together with social risks and governance risks under one category of risks to enable a comprehensive and coordinated integration of these factors, as they are often intertwined. ESG risks are closely linked with the concept of sustainability, as ESG factors represent the main three pillars of sustainability.

(34) To maintain adequate resilience to the negative impacts of ESG factors, institutions established in the Union need to be able to systematically identify, measure and manage ESG risks, and their supervisors need to assess the risks at the level of the individual institution as well as at the systemic level, giving priority to environmental factors and progressing to the other sustainability factors as the methodologies and

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise

(EU) 2021/1119 ("European Climate Law"), the Fit for 55 package and the post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, as well as, where relevant for internationally active institutions, third country legal and regulatory objectives, resulting in risks to their business models and strategies, or to the financial stability. When third country objectives in relation to environmental, social and governance factors would result in transition trends that are less ambitious than those under Union law, competent authorities should be empowered encouraged to act based on the Union objectives. Climate and, more broadly, environmental risks, should be considered together with social risks and governance risks under one category of risks to enable a comprehensive and coordinated integration of these factors, as they are often intertwined. ESG risks are closely linked with the concept of sustainability, as ESG factors represent the main three pillars of sustainability.

Table 1 of 3

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise 2nd Presidency compromise tools for the assessment evolve. Institutions should assess the alignment of their portfolios with the ambition of the Union to become climate-neutral by 2050 as well as avert environmental degradation and biodiversity loss. Institutions should set out specific plans to address the financial risks arising, in the short, medium and long term, from environmental, social and governance factors, including from transition trends towards from the misalignment of their business model and strategy with the relevant policy legal and regulatory objectives of the Union and Member States, for example as set out, included in the Paris Agreement, Regulation (EU) 2021/1119, the Fit for 55 package¹⁵ Fand the post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, as well as, where relevant for internationally active institutions, third country legal and regulatory objectives. When third country objectives in relation to environmental, social and governance factors would result in transition trends that are less ambitious than those under Union law, institutions should assess the financial risks based on the same level of ambition as under Union law. Institutions should be required to have robust governance arrangements and internal

_

Communication of the Commission COM(2021)568 final, 14.07.2021, comprising the following Commission proposals: COM(2021)562 final, COM(2021)561 final, COM(2021)564 final, COM(2021)563 final, COM(2021)556 final, COM(2021)559 final, COM(2021)558 final, COM(2021)557 final, COM(2021)554 final, COM(2021)555 final, COM(2021)555 final, COM(2021)552 final.

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
processes for the management of ESG risks and to have in place strategies	
approved by their management bodies that take into consideration not only	
the current but also the forward-looking impact of ESG factors. The	
collective knowledge and awareness of ESG factors by the management	
body and institutions' internal capital allocation to address ESG risks will	
also be key to drive the change within each and single institution	
strenghten resilience to the negative impacts of these risks. The	
specificities of ESG risks as well as their relative novelty means that	
understandings, measurements and management practices can differ	
significantly across institutions. To ensure convergence across the Union	
and a uniform understanding of ESG risks, appropriate definitions and	
minimum standards for the assessment of those risks should be provided in	
prudential regulation. To achieve this objective, definitions are laid down	
in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 and the EBA is empowered to specify a	
minimum set of reference methodologies for the assessment of the impact	
of ESG risks on the financial stability of institutions, giving priority to the	
impact of environmental factors. Since the forward-looking nature of ESG	
risks means that scenario analysis and stress testing, together with plans for	
addressing those risks, are particularly informative assessment tools, EBA	
should be also empowered to develop uniform criteria for the content of	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
the plans to address those risks and for the setting of scenarios and	
applying the stress testing methods. Environment-related risks, including	
climate related risks and risks stemming from environmental degradation	
and biodiversity loss, and climate-related risks in particular should take	
priority in light of their urgency and the particular relevance of scenario	
analysis and stress testing for their assessment.	
(35) ESG risks can have far-reaching implications for the stability of	
both individual institutions and the financial system as whole. Hence,	
competent authorities should consistently factor those risks into their	
relevant supervisory activities, including the supervisory evaluation and	
review process and the stress testing of those risks. The European	
Commission, via its Technical Support Instrument, has been providing	
support to national competent authorities in developing and implementing	
stress testing methodologies and stands ready to continue to provide	
technical support in this respect. However, the stress testing methodologies	
for ESG risks have so far mainly been applied in an exploratory manner.	
To firmly and consistently embed stress testing of ESG in supervision, the	
EBA, European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA)	
and the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) should jointly	
develop guidelines to ensure consistent considerations and common	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
methodologies for stress testing ESG risks. Stress testing of those risks	
should start with climate and environment-related factors, and as more	
ESG risk data and methodologies become available to support the	
development of additional tools to assess their quantitative impact on	
financial risks, competent authorities should increasingly assess the impact	
of those risks in their adequacy assessments of credit institutions. In order	
to ensure convergence of supervisory practices, EBA should issue	
guidelines regarding the uniform inclusion of ESG risks in the supervisory	
review and evaluation process (SREP).	
(36) The provisions in Article 133 of Directive 2013/36/EU on the	
systemic risk buffer framework may already be used to address	
various kinds of systemic risks, including risks related to climate	
change. To the extent that the relevant competent or designated	
authorities, as applicable, consider that risks related to climate change	
have the potential to have serious negative consequences for the	
financial system and the real economy in Member States, they should	
introduce a systemic risk buffer rate for those risks where they	
consider the introduction of such rate effective and proportionate to	
mitigate those risks.	
(37) Members of the management body may undergo the suitability	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
assessment only after a significant time after their appointment or, in	
the case of key function holders, not at all. Thus, members of the	
management body who do not meet the suitability criteria may have	
exercised their duties for a long time, which is problematic especially	
for large institutions. Moreover, cross-border institutions must	
navigate through a wide diversity of national rules and processes,	
which does not make the current system efficient. The existence of	
different requirements as regards the suitability assessment across the	
Union is a particularly acute issue in the context of the Banking Union.	
As a result, it is important to provide a set of rules at Union level to	
put in place a consistent and predictable "fit-and-proper" framework.	
This will foster supervisory convergence, enabling further trust	
between competent authorities and give more legal certainty to	
institutions. Having a robust "fit-and-proper" framework for assessing the	
suitability of members of the management body and key function holders is	
a crucial factor to ensure that institutions are adequately run and their risks	
appropriately managed.	
(38) The purpose of assessing the suitability of members of	
management bodies is to ensure that those members are qualified for	
their role and are of good repute. Having the primary responsibility	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
for assessing the suitability of each member of the management body,	
institutions should carry out the suitability assessment, followed by a	
verification by the competent authorities that may perform it before or	
after the member of the management body takes up the position.	
However, due to the risks posed by large institutions resulting in	
particular from potential contagion effects, unsuitable members of	
management body should be prevented from influencing the running	
of such large institutions with potential serious detrimental effects. It is	
therefore appropriate that, safe in exceptional circumstances, the	
competent authorities assess the suitability of members of the	
management body of large institutions before those members exercise	
their duties.	
(39) Not only members of the management body, but also key function	(39) Not only members of the management body, but also key function
holders have a significant influence in ensuring the sound and prudent	holders have a significant influence in ensuring the sound and prudent
management of an institution on a day-to-day basis. Because Directive	management of an institution on a day-to-day basis. Because Directive
2013/36/EU does not currently define key function holders, Member States	2013/36/EU does not currently define key function holders, Member States
have diverging practices across the Union, which impedes an effective and	have diverging practices across the Union, which impedes an effective and
efficient supervision and prevents a level playing field. It is therefore	efficient supervision and prevents a level playing field. It is therefore
necessary to define key function holders. In addition, the responsibility for	necessary to define key function holders. In addition, the responsibility for
assessing the suitability of key function holders should primarily belong to	assessing the suitability of key function holders should primarily belong to

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
institutions. However, due to the risks posed by the activities of large	institutions. However, due to the risks posed by the activities of large
institutions, the suitability of the heads of internal control functions and the	institutions, the suitability of the heads of internal control functions and the
chief financial officer in such large institutions should be assessed by	chief financial officer in such large institutions should be assessed by
competent authorities before those persons take up their positions.	competent authorities before those persons take up their positions. on an
	ex-ante or ex-post basis.
(40) In order to ensure legal certainty and predictability for the	
institutions, it is necessary to establish an efficient and timely process	
for verifying the suitability of members of the management body and	
key function holders by competent authorities. Such process should	
enable competent authorities to request any additional information	
where necessary, but also ensure that those competent authorities are	
able to handle the suitability assessments within the prescribed	
timeframe. Institutions, from their side, should provide the competent	
authorities with correct and complete information within the allocated	
time and respond quickly and in good faith to requests for additional	
information from the competent authorities.	
(41) In light of the role of the suitability assessment for the prudent	
and sound management of institutions, it is necessary to provide	
competent authorities with new tools, such as statements of	
responsibilities and a mapping of duties, to assess the suitability of	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
members of the management body and key function holders. Those	
new tools will also support the work of competent authorities when	
reviewing the governance arrangements of institutions as part of the	
supervisory review and evaluation process. Notwithstanding the	
overall responsibility of the management body as a collegial body,	
institutions should be required to draw up individual statements and a	
mapping that clarify the duties held by members of the management	
body, senior management and key function holders. Their individual	
duties are not always clearly or consistently laid down and there may	
be situations where two or more roles overlap or where areas of duties	
are overlooked because they do not fall neatly under the remit of a	
single person. The scope of each individual's duties should be well	
defined and no areas of duties should be left without ownership. Those	
tools should ensure further accountability of the members of the	
management body, senior management and key function holders.	
(41) In light of the role of the suitability assessment for the prudent	(41) In light of the role of the suitability assessment for the prudent
and sound management of institutions, it is necessary to equip	and sound management of institutions, it is necessary to equip
competent authorities with new tools to assess the suitability of	competent authorities with new tools to assess the suitability of
members of management body in its management function and key	members of management body in its management function, senior
function holders, such as statements of responsibilities and a mapping	management and key function holders, such as statements of

Table 1 of 3

2nd Presidency compromise

of duties. Those new tools should support the work of competent authorities when reviewing the governance arrangements of institutions as part of the supervisory review and evaluation process. Notwithstanding the overall responsibility of the management body as a collegial body, institutions should be required to draw up individual statements and a mapping that clarify the duties and responsibilities held by members of the management body in its management function and by key function holders. Their individual duties and and responsibilities are not always clearly or consistently laid down and there may be situations where two or more roles overlap or where areas of duties and responsibilities are overlooked because they do not fall neatly under the remit of a single person. The scope of each individual's duties and responsibilities should be well defined and no tasks should be left without ownership. Those tools should ensure further accountability of the members of the management body in its management function and key function holders.

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise

responsibilities and a mapping of duties. Those new tools should support the work of competent authorities when reviewing the governance arrangements of institutions as part of the supervisory review and evaluation process. Notwithstanding the overall responsibility of the management body as a collegial body, institutions should be required to draw up individual statements and a mapping that clarify the duties and responsibilities held by members of the management body in its management function, senior management and by key function holders. Their individual duties and and responsibilities are not always clearly or consistently laid down and there may be situations where two or more roles overlap or where areas of duties and responsibilities are overlooked because they do not fall neatly under the remit of a single person. The scope of each individual's duties and responsibilities should be well defined and no tasks should be left without ownership. Those tools should ensure further accountability of the members of the management body in its management function, senior management and key function holders. Furthermore where Member States consider it necessary, they should be able to adopt or retain stricter requirements for such tools.

(42) In order to safeguard financial stability, competent authorities

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
should be able to take and implement decisions swiftly. In the context	
of early intervention measures or resolution action, competent	
authorities and resolution authorities may consider it appropriate to	
remove or replace members of the management body or senior	
management. To take into account such situations, competent	
authorities should perform the suitability assessment of members of	
the management body or key function holders after those members of	
the management body or key function holders have taken up their	
position.	
(42) In order to safeguard financial stability, competent authorities	(42) In order to safeguard financial stability, competent authorities
should be able to take and implement decisions swiftly. In the context	should be able to take and implement decisions swiftly. In the context
of early intervention measures or resolution action, competent	of early intervention measures or resolution action, competent
authorities and resolution authorities may consider appropriate to	authorities and resolution authorities may consider appropriate to
remove or replace key function holders. To cater for such situations,	remove or replace key function holders. To cater for such situations,
competent authorities should perform the suitability assessment of	competent authorities should perform the suitability assessment of
members of key function holders after those key function holders have	members of key function holders after those key function holders have
taken up their position in case of early intervention measures and	taken up their position in case of early intervention measures and
before or alongside the the decision to appoint them by the resolution	before or alongside the the decision to appoint them by the resolution
authority in case of resolution.	authority in case of resolution.
(43) Upon becoming bound by the output floor laid down in Regulation	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
(EU) No 575/2013, the nominal amount of an institution's additional own	
funds requirement set by the institution's competent authority in	
accordance with Article 104(1), point (a), of Directive 2013/36/EU to	
address risks other than the risk of excessive leverage should not	
immediately increase as a result, all else being equal. Furthermore, in such	
case, the competent authority should review the institution's additional	
own funds requirement and assess, in particular, whether and to what	
extent such requirement captures model risk from the use of internal	
models by the institution. Where that is the case, the institution's additional	
own funds requirement should be regarded as overlapping with the risks	
captured by the output floor in the own funds requirement of the institution	
and, consequently, the competent authority should reduce that requirement	
to the extent necessary to remove any such overlap for as long as the	
institution remains bound by the output floor.	
(44) Similarly, upon becoming bound by the output floor, the nominal	
amount of an institution's CET1 capital required under the systemic risk	
buffer should not could increase where although there has not been no a	
corresponding increase in the macroprudential or systemic risks	
associated with the institution. In such cases, the institution's competent or	
designated authority, as applicable, should review the calibration of the	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
systemic risk buffer rates and make sure that they remain appropriate and	
do not double-count the risks that are already covered by virtue of the fact	
that the institution is bound by the output floor. More in general, competent	
and designated authorities, as applicable, should not impose systemic risk	
buffer requirements for risks which are already fully covered by the output	
floor.	
(45) Furthermore, when an institution designated as an 'other	
systemically important institution' becomes bound by the output floor, its	
competent or designated authority, as applicable, should review the	
calibration of the institution's O-SII buffer requirement and make sure that	
it remains appropriate.	
(46) To enable the timely and effective activation of the systemic risk	
buffer it is necessary to clarify the application of the relevant provisions	
and simplify and align the applicable procedures. Setting a systemic risk	
buffer should be possible for designated authorities in all Member States to	
enable the recognition of systemic risk buffer rates set by authorities in	
other Member States and to ensure that authorities are empowered to	
address systemic risks in a timely and effective manner. Recognition of a	
systemic risk buffer rate set by another Member State should require only a	
notification from the authority recognising the rate. To avoid unnecessary	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
authorisation procedures where the decision to set a buffer rate results in a	
decrease or no change from any of the previously set rates, the procedure	
laid down in Article 131(15) of Directive 2013/36/EU needs to be aligned	
with the procedure laid down in Article 133(9) of that Directive. The	
procedures laid down in Article 133(11) and (12) of that Directive should	
be clarified and made more consistent with the procedures applying for	
other systemic risk buffer rates, where relevant.	
(47) To increase proportionality in the permission regime for the	
reduction of eligible liabilities instruments laid down in Regulation	
(EU) No 575/2013, which is also applicable to institutions and liabilites	
subject to the minimum requirement for own funds and eligible	
liabilities under Directive 2014/59/EU, institutions whose resolution	
plan provides for a winding up under normal insolvency proceedings	
should not be required to obtain the prior permission of the resolution	
authority to reduce eligible liabilities in those cases where the	
resolution authority has not set a minimum requirement for own funds	
and eligible liabilities that exceeds the institution's own funds	
requirement as set out in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 and Directive	
<u>2013/36/EU.</u>	
HAVE ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
2021/0341 (COD)	
Proposal for a	
DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE	
COUNCIL	
amending Directive 2013/36/EU as regards supervisory powers,	
sanctions, third-country branches, and environmental, social and	
governance risks, and amending Directive 2014/59/EU	
Article 1	
Amendments to Directive 2013/36/EU	
Directive 2013/36/EU is amended as follows:	
	[(1) in Article 2, paragraph 5 is amended as follows:]
	[(a) point(5) is replaced by the following:]
	['(5) in Germany, the 'Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau',
	'Landwirtschaftliche Rentenbank', 'Bremer Aufbau-Bank GmbH',
	'Hamburgische Investitions- und Förderbank', 'Investitionsbank
	Berlin', 'Investitionsbank des Landes Brandenburg', 'Investitionsbank
	Sachsen-Anhalt', 'Investitionsbank Schleswig-Holstein', 'Investitions-
	und Förderbank Niedersachsen – NBank', 'Investitions- und
	Strukturbank Rheinland-Pfalz', 'Landeskreditbank Baden-
	Württemberg – Förderbank', 'LfA Förderbank Bayern',

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
	'NRW.BANK'. Saarländische Investitionskreditbank AG',
	'Sächsische Aufbaubank – Förderbank', 'Thüringer Aufbaubank',
	undertakings which are recognised under the
	'Wohnungsgemeinnützigkeitsgesetz' as bodies of State housing policy
	and are not mainly engaged in banking transactions, and undertakings
	recognised under that law as non-profit housing undertakings;']
	[(b) the following point (25) is added:]
	['(25) in Romania, the 'Investment and Development Bank'.]
(1) in Article 3, paragraph 1 is amended as follows:	(1a) in Article 3, paragraph 1 is amended as follows:
(a) the following point (8a) is inserted:	
'(8a) 'management body in its management function' means the	
management body acting in its role of directing effectively the institution	
and includes the persons who effectively direct the business of the	
institution;';	
(b) point (9) is replaced by the following:	
'(9) 'senior management' means those natural persons who exercise	
executive functions within an institution and are directly accountable to the	
institution's management body in its management function but are not	
members of that body, and who are responsible for the day-to-day	
management of the institution under the direction of the management	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
body of the institution;';	
(c) the following points (9a) to (9d) are inserted:	
'(9a) 'key function holders' means persons who have significant	
influence over the direction of the institution but are not members of the	
management body, including the heads of internal control functions and the	
chief financial officer, where those heads or that officer are not members of	
the management body;	
(9b) 'chief financial officer' means the person who has is overall	
responsible responsibility for the institution's financial resources	
management, financial planning and financial reporting of the institution;	
(9c) 'heads of internal control functions' means the persons at the	(9c) 'heads of internal control functions' means the persons at the
highest hierarchical level responsible for effectively managing the day-	highest hierarchical level responsible for effectively managing the day-
to-day operation of the independent risk management, compliance and	to-day operation of the independent risk management, compliance and
internal audit functions of the institution;	internal audit functions of the institution;
(9c) 'internal control functions' means independent risk	(9c) 'internal control functions' means independent risk
management, compliance and internal audit functions;';	management, compliance and internal audit functions;';
(9d) 'internal control functions' means risk management,	(9d) 'internal control functions' means risk management,
compliance and internal audit functions;';	compliance and internal audit functions;';
(9d) 'heads of internal control functions' means the persons at the	(9d) 'heads of internal control functions' means the persons at the
highest hierarchical level responsible for effectively managing the day-	highest hierarchical level responsible for effectively managing the day-

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
to-day operation of the independent internal control —risk	to-day operation of the independent internal control risk
management, compliance and internal audit functions of the	management, compliance and internal audit functions of the
institution;	institution;
(d) point (11) is replaced by the following:	
'(11) 'model risk' means model risk as defined in Article 4(1), point	
(52b), of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013;';	
(e) the following point (29a) is inserted:	
'(29a) 'stand-alone institution in the EU' means stand-alone institution in	
the EU as defined in Article 4(1), point (33a), of Regulation (EU) No	
575/2013;';	
(f) the following point (47a) is inserted:	
'(47a) 'eligible capital' means the eligible capital as defined in Article	
4(1), point (71), of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013;';	
(g) the following points (66) to (69) are added:	
'(66) 'large institution' means an institution as defined in Article 4(1),	
point (146), of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013;	
(67) 'relevant subsidiary' means a material subsidiary as defined in	
Article 4(1), point (135), of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or a large	
subsidiary as defined in Article 4(1), point (147), of that Regulation;	
(68) (67) 'periodic penalty payments' means <u>periodic</u> daily	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
pecuniary enforcement penalties measures, aimed at ending ongoing	
breaches <u>-of national provisions transposing this Directive, breaches of</u>	
Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the	
Council or decisions issued by a competent authority based on those	
legal acts and compelling legal or natural person to return to compliance	
with such requirements their obligations under this Directive 2013/36/EU	
and Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.;	
(69) (68) 'environmental, social and governance risk' means	
environmental, social and governance (ESG) risk as defined in Article	
4(1), point (52d), or of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013;';	
(2) in Article 4, paragraph 4 is replaced by the following:	
'4. Member States shall ensure that competent authorities have the	
expertise, resources, operational capacity, powers and independence	
necessary to carry out the functions relating to prudential supervision,	
investigations and the powers to impose periodic penalty payments and	
penalties set out in this Directive and in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.	
For the purposes of preserving the independence of competent authorities	For the purposes of preserving the independence of competent authorities
in the exercise of their powers, Member States shall provide all the	in the exercise of their powers, Member States shall provide all the
necessary arrangements to ensure that those competent authorities,	necessary arrangements to ensure that those competent authorities,
including their staff and members of their governance bodies, can aet	including their staff and members of their governance bodies, can act

Table 1 of 3

2nd Presidency compromise

exercise their supervisory powers independently and objectively, without seeking or taking instructions, or being subject to influence from supervised institutions, from any government of a Member State or body of the Union or from any other public or private body without prejudice to arrangements under national law whereby the competent authorities are subject to accountability vis-à-vis the government or other public body without prejudice to arrangements under national law whereby the competent authorities are subject to public and democratic accountability. These arrangements shall be without prejudice to the rights and obligations of the competent authorities as stemming from being part of the international and European systems of financial supervision as stemming from Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010*1 as well as from Article IV from the Articles of Agreement of the International Monetary Fund, the Single Supervisory Mechanism as stemming from Council Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 of 15 October 2013*2 and Regulation (EU) No 468/2014 of the European Central Bank of 16 April 2014*3, for the Single Resolution Board Mechanism as stemming from stemming from Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 July 2014*4.

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise

exercise their supervisory powers independently and objectively, without seeking or taking instructions, or being subject to influence from supervised institutions, from any government of a Member State or body of the Union or from any other public or private body without prejudice to arrangements under national law whereby the competent authorities are subject to accountability vis-à-vis the government or other public body without prejudice to arrangements under national law whereby the competent authorities are subject to public and democratic accountability. These arrangements shall be without prejudice to the rights and obligations of the competent authorities as stemming from being part of the international and European systems of financial supervision as stemming from Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010*1 as well as from Article IV from the Articles of Agreement of the International Monetary Fund, the Single Supervisory Mechanism as stemming from Council Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 of 15 October 2013*2 and Regulation (EU) No 468/2014 of the European Central Bank of 16 April 2014*3, for the Single Resolution Board Mechanism as stemming from stemming from Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 July 2014*4

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
Member States shall, in particular, ensure that competent authorities have	
in place all-the necessary arrangements to prevent conflicts of interests of	
their staff and members of their governance bodies. For those purposes,	
Member States shall lay down rules proportionate to the role and	
responsibilities of those staff and members of the governance bodies, at	
a minimum prohibiting them from:	
At a minimum, Member States shall ensure that:	
(a) The members of the competent authorities' staff and of their	
governance bodies members of staff directly involved in the	
supervision of institutions, the members of staff who have access to	
market-sensitive informations and the members of the competent	
authority's governance bodies are prohibited from trading in financial	
instruments issued by or referenced to the institutions supervised by the	
competent authorities, their direct or indirect parent undertakings,	
subsidiaries or affiliates, with the exemption of:	
i) instruments managed by third parties excluding any intervention of	
the principal provided that the owners of the instruments are	
precluded from intervening in the management of the portfolio;	
management and	

Table 1 of 3

14016 1 01 5	
2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
ii) the investments in collective investment undertakings	
provided that those do not focus on they do not predominantly invest	
in instruments issued by or referenced to the above-mentioned	
<u>undertakings</u> ;	
(b) the members referred to in point (a) are subject to a declaration of	(b) the members referred to in point (a) of the competent authority's
conflicts of interests. The declaration should include information on	governance bodies are subject to a declaration of conflicts of interests.
the member's holdings in the form of stocks, equities, bonds, mutual	The declaration should shall include information on the member's
funds, investment funds, mixed-type funds, hedge funds and exchange	holdings in the form of stocks, equities, bonds, mutual funds,
traded funds, as well as on their previous occupational activities,	investment funds, mixed-type funds, hedge funds and exchange traded
private activities, official mandates and financial interests and any	funds, as well as on their previous occupational activities, private
gainful occupational activity of their spouse or partner, that may raise	activities, official mandates and financial interests and any gainful
conflict of interest concerns. The declaration of interests shall be	occupational activity of their spouse or partner, that may raise conflict
without prejudice to any requirement to submit a wealth declaration	of interest concerns. The members shall submitt the declaration of
under applicable national rules;	interest on an annual basis. The declaration of interests shall be
	without prejudice to any requirement to submit a wealth declaration
	under applicable national rules;
(b) (c) for a period of time ("cooling off period"), members of staff	(b) (c) for a period of time ("cooling off period"), members of staff
directly involved in the supervision of institutions and the members of	directly involved in the supervision of institutions and the members of
the governance bodies of the competent authority are prohibited from	the governance bodies of the competent authority are prohibited from

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
following the end of their employment at the competent authority,	following the end of their employment at the competent authority,
being hired by or accepting any kind of contractual agreement for the	being hired by or from accepting any kind of contractual agreement for the
provision of professional services with any of the following:	provision of professional services with any of the following:
(i) institutions they have directly supervised, including institutions	(i) institutions they have directly supervised, including institutions
in relation to which the member of staff or the member of the	in relation to which the member of staff or the member of the
governance body has been directly involved with for the purposes of	governance body has been directly involved with for the purposes of
supervision or decision-making, respectively, as well as their direct or	supervision or decision-making, respectively, as well as their direct or
indirect parent undertakings, subsidiaries or affiliates or where competent	indirect parent undertakings, subsidiaries or affiliates or where competent
authority considers it appropriate, their relevant competitors; , over at	authority considers it appropriate, their relevant competitors; , over at
least the two preceding years from the date when taking up any new	least the two
role;	
(ii) firms that provide services directly or indirectly to any of the	(ii) firms that provide services directly or indirectly to any of the
undertakings referred to in point (i) that were directly supervised over at	undertakings referred to in point (i) that were directly supervised over at
least the two preceding years from the date when taking up any new	least the two preceding years from the date when taking up any new
role, unless the relevant member of the competent authority's staff or	role, unless the relevant member of the competent authority's staff or
governance body they are is strictly precluded from taking part in any	governance body they are is strictly precluded from taking part in any
provision of those services while the prohibition referred to herein remains	provision of those services while the prohibition referred to herein remains
in force.	in force.

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
that may give rise to conflicts of interest at the time of being hired or	instruments that may give rise to conflicts of interest at the time of
appointed or at any time thereafter, the competet authority shall have	being hired or appointed or at any time thereafter, the competet
the power to require on a case by case basis that those instruments be	competent authority shall have the power to require on a case by case
sold or diposed of within a reasonable time. Competent authorities	case-by-case basis that those instruments be sold or diposed disposed
shall also have the power to allow on a case-by-case basis that those	of within a reasonable time. Competent authorities shall also have the
members referred to in point (a) sell or dispose of financial	power to allow on a case-by-case basis that those members referred to
instruments that they owned at the time of being hired or appointed.	in point (a) sell or dispose of financial instruments that they owned at
	the time of being hired or appointed.
For the purposes of paragraph 4 point (b) (c), Member States may	
shall lay down rules proportionate to the role and responsibilities of	
the affected individual.	
The cooling off period shall start from the date on which the direct	
involvement in the supervision of the institution ceased and its length	
shall be no less than six months for members of staff directly involved	
in the supervision of institutions and no less than twelve months for	
the members of the competent authority's governance bodies.	
In case the staff member is involved in the supervision of the hiring	In case the staff member is involved in the supervision of the hiring
institution's relevant competitors, the length of the cooling off period	institution's relevant competitors, Member States may subject the

Table 1 of 3

14016 1 01 5	
2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
shall be no less than three months for members of staff directly	members referred to in point (a) to a cooling-off period in the event of
involved in the supervision of those competing institutions and no less	hires by direct competitors of one of the undertakings referred to in
than six months for the members of the competent authority's	point (c)(i). For these purposes, the length of the cooling off period
governance bodies.	shall be no less than three months for members of staff directly
	involved in the supervision of those the competing institutions and no
	less than six months for the members of the competent authority's
	governance bodies.
	(2a) in Article 4, the following paragraph 4a is inserted:
By way of derogation from the preceding second subparagraph,	4a. By way of derogation from the preceding second third and fourth
Member States may apply shorter cooling-off periods for all or part of	subparagraph subparagraphs of paragraph 4, Member States may
the competent authority's staff members of staff directly involved in	apply shorter cooling-off periods for all or part of the competent
the supervision of institutions when the minimum length of six months	authority's staff members of staff directly involved in the supervision
<u>is:</u>	of institutions when the minimum length of six months or three
	months in case of direct competitors is:
i) deemed to excessively restrict unduly restricts the ability of the	i)a) deemed to excessively restrict unduly restricts the ability of the
competent authority to attract hire new members of staff with the	competent authority to attract hire new members of staff with the
adequate or necessary skills for the performance of its supervisory	adequate or necessary skills for the performance of its supervisory

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
functions level of aptitude, in particular because of taking into account	functions-level of aptitude, in particular because of taking into account
the small size of the domestic labour market; or	the small size of the domestic labour market; or
ii) constitutes a breach of any relevant fundamental rights recognised	ii)b) constitutes a breach of any relevant fundamental rights
in the constitution of the Member State or of any relevant workers'	recognised in the constitution of the Member State, the European
rights as set out in the labour laws of the Member State.	Charter of Human Rights, or of any relevant workers' rights as set out
	in the labour laws of the Member State.';
Member States may not invoke the exemption set out in point (ii)	Member States may not invoke the exemption set out in point (ii)
where the breach of those rights may be prevented through the	where the breach of those rights may be prevented through the
provision of appropriate compensation mechanisms for cooling-off	provision of appropriate compensation mechanisms for cooling off
period restrictions.';	period restrictions.';
Members of staff and of governance bodies subject to the prohibitions	
provided for in the third subparagraph, point (b), shall be entitled to	
an appropriate compensation for the inability to take up a prohibited	
role.	
EBA shall issue guidelines addressed to the competent authorities, in	
accordance with Article 16 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010, on the	
prevention of conflicts of interests in and independence of competent	
authorities, taking into account international best practices, for a	
proportionate application of this Article.';	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
*1 Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 of the European Parliament and of	
the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory	
Authority (European Banking Authority), amending Decision No	
716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/78/EC (OJ L 331,	
15.12.2010, p. 12).	
*2 Council Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 of 15 October 2013	
conferring specific tasks on the European Central Bank concerning policies	
relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions (OJ L	
287,29.10.2013, p. 63).	
*3 Regulation (EU) No 468/2014 of the European Central Bank of 16	
April 2014 establishing the framework for cooperation within the Single	
Supervisory Mechanism between the European Central Bank and national	
competent authorities and with national designated authorities (SSM	
Framework Regulation) (ECB/2014/17) (OJ L 141, 14.5.2014, p. 1).	
*4 Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 of the European Parliament and of	
the Council of 15 July 2014 establishing uniform rules and a uniform	
procedure for the resolution of credit institutions and certain investment	
firms in the framework of a Single Resolution Mechanism and a Single	
Resolution Fund and amending Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 (OJ L 225,	
30.7.2014, p. 1).	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
(3) (2a) In Article 4, the following paragraph 9 is inserted:	(3) (2ab) In Article 4, the following paragraph 9 is inserted:
'9. For the purposes of this Article, the following shall apply:	
(a) 'members of staff directly involved in the supervision of	
institutions' means staff of the competent authority whose primary	
first responsibility is to perform the regular assessment and	
monitoring of one or several specific institutions' compliance with the	
prudential requirements that apply to them in accordance with this	
Directive and Regulation (EU) No 575/2013;	
(b) 'members of the competent authority's governance bodies' means	(b) 'members of the competent authority's governance bodies' means
individuals sitting on collective decision-making bodies that are vested	individuals sitting that form part of on collective decision-making
with the power to:	bodies that are, regarding the exercise of the competent authority's
	supervisory powers, vested with the power to:
(i) exercise executive functions within the relevant competent authority	
and who are responsible for its management; or	
(ii) to make take decisions on any relevant matters concerning the	(ii) to make take decisions on any relevant matters concerning the
supervision of institutions regarding the exercise of the competent	supervision of institutions regarding the exercise of the competent
authority's supervisory powers.	authority's supervisory powers.
(c) references to members of the competent authority's governance	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
bodies shall be understood to include, as the case may be, the	
individual function holders and officers of the authority that are	
vested with analogous powers as those referred to herein for collective	
decision-making bodies:	
(d) 'market-sensitive information' means non-public information of a	
precise nature which, if made public, is likely to have a significant	
effect on the price of assets or prices in the financial markets.';	
(3) In Article 18 the following point (g) is added:	
'(g) meets all of the following conditions:	
(i) it has been determined to be failing or likely to fail in accordance	
with Article 32(1), point (a) of Directive 2014/59/EU or in accordance with	
Article 18(1), point (a), of Regulation (EU) No 806/2014;	
(ii) the resolution authority considers that the condition in Article	
32(1), point (b) of Directive 2014/59/EU or in Article 18(1), point (b), of	
Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 is met with respect to that credit institution;	
(iii) the resolution authority considers that the condition in Article	
32(1), point (c) of Directive 2014/59/EU or in Article 18(1), point (c), of	
Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 is not met with respect to that credit	
institution.';	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
(4) Article 21a is amended as follows:	
(a) paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:	
'1. Parent financial holding companies in a Member State, parent mixed	'1. Parent financial holding companies in a Member State, parent mixed
financial holding companies in a Member State, EU parent financial	financial holding companies in a Member State, EU parent financial
holding companies and EU parent mixed financial holding companies shall	holding companies and EU parent mixed financial holding companies shall
seek approval in accordance with this Article. Other financial holding	seek approval in accordance with this Article. Other financial holding
companies or mixed financial holding companies shall seek approval in	companies or mixed financial holding companies shall seek approval in
accordance with this Article where they are required to comply with this	accordance with this Article where they are required to comply with this
Directive or Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 on a sub-consolidated basis.	Directive or Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 on a sub-consolidated basis or
	where they are designated as responsible to ensure the group's
	compliance with prudential requirements on a consolidated basis in
	accordance with paragraph 4.
Competent authorities shall, on a regular basis, and at least on an	Competent authorities shall, on a regular basis, and at least on an
annualy basis, perform a review of the parent undertakings of an	annually basis, perform a review of the parent undertakings of an
institution, or of the parent undertakings of an entity requesting an	institution, or of the parent undertakings of an entity requesting an
authorisation pursuant to Article 8, in order to verify if the institution, or	authorisation pursuant to Article 8, in order to verify if the institution, or
the entity requesting authorisation or the designated entity has	the entity requesting authorisation or the designated entity has
correctly identified any detect the presence or not of an undertaking	correctly identified any detect the presence or not of an undertaking
complying with the criteria to be considered as a parent financial holding	complying with the criteria to be considered as a parent financial holding
company in a Member State, a parent mixed financial holding company in	company in a Member State, a parent mixed financial holding company in

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
a Member State, an EU parent financial holding company or an EU parent	a Member State, an EU parent financial holding company or an EU parent
mixed financial holding company.	mixed financial holding company.
For the purposes of the second sub-paragraph, where the parent	
undertakings companies are located in other Member States than the	
Member State in which the institution, or the entity requesting an	
authorisation pursuant to Article 8, is established, competent authorities of	
those two Member States shall cooperate closely to perform the review.	
Competent authorities shall publish on their websites and update on an	Competent authorities shall publish on their websites and update on an
annual basis, a list of financial holding companies and mixed financial	annual basis, a list of financial holding companies and mixed financial
holding companies approved, designated or exempted in the Member	holding companies approved, designated or exempted from approval
State in accordance with the first sub-paragraph. the outcome of the	in the Member State in accordance with the first sub-paragraph-this
review referred to in the second sub-paragraph.';	Article. Where an exemption has been granted the list shall also name
	the credit institution or financial holding company that has been
	designated in accordance with paragraph 4 as responsible to ensure
	the group's compliance with prudential requirements on a
	consolidated basisthe outcome of the review referred to in the second
	sub-paragraph.';
(b) paragraph 2 is amended as follows:	
(i) in the first subparagraph, point (b) is replaced by the following:	
2. For the purposes of paragraph 1, financial holding companies and	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
mixed financial holding companies referred to therein shall provide	
the consolidating supervisor determined in accordance with Article	
and, where different, the competent authority in the Member	
State where they are established with the following information:	
(a) the structural organisation of the group of which the financial	
holding company or the mixed financial holding company is part, with	
a clear indication of its subsidiaries and, where applicable, parent	
undertakings, and the location and types of activities undertaken by	
each of the entities within the group;	
(b) information regarding i) the nomination of at least two persons	(b) information regarding h the nomination of at least two persons
effectively directing the financial holding company or mixed financial	effectively directing the financial holding company or mixed financial
holding company, and ii) regarding compliance with the requirements set	holding company, and ii) regarding the compliance with the requirements
out in Article 91(1);	set out in Article 91(1);
(c) information regarding compliance with the criteria set out in	
Article 14 concerning shareholders and members, where the financial	
holding company or mixed financial holding company has a credit	
institution as its subsidiary;	
(d) the internal organisation and distribution of tasks within the	
group;	
(e) any other information that may be necessary to carry out the	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
assessments referred to in paragraphs 3 and 4 of this Article.';	
(ii) the second subparagraph is replaced by the following:	
'Where the approval or the exemption from approval of a financial	
holding company or mixed financial holding company referred to in	
paragraphs 3 and 4 takes place concurrently with the assessment referred	
to in Article 8, Article 22 and or Article 27a, the competent authority for	
the purposes of that those Articles shall coordinate, as appropriate, with the	
consolidating supervisor and, where different, the competent authority in	
the Member State where the financial holding company or mixed financial	
holding company is established. In that case, tThe assessment period	
referred to in Article 22(2) Article 22(3), second subparagraph, and or in	
Article 27a(3) Article 27a(6) shall be suspended for a period exceeding	
20 working day until the procedure set out in this Article is complete.';	
(c) in paragraph 3 the point (c) is replaced by the following:	
(c) the criteria regarding shareholders and members of credit	
institutions set out in Article 14 and the requirements laid down in	
Article 121 are complied with.';	
(d) paragraph 4 is amended as follows:	(d) in paragraph 4 the first subparagraph is replaced by the following
	is amended as follows:

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
(ba) point e (i) the first subparagraph is replaced by the following:	(ba) point e (i) the first subparagraph is replaced by the following:
'4. The financial holding company or mixed financial holding company	
may seek exemption from approval under this Article which shall be	
granted where all of the following conditions are met:	
(a) the financial holding company's principal activity is to acquire	
holdings in subsidiaries or, in the case of a mixed financial holding	
company, its principal activity with respect to institutions or financial	
institutions is to acquire holdings in subsidiaries;	
(b) the financial holding company or mixed financial holding company	
has not been designated as a resolution entity in any of the group's	
resolution groups in accordance with the resolution strategy	
determined by the relevant resolution authority pursuant to Directive	
<u>2014/59/EU;</u>	
(c) a subsidiary credit institution or a subsidiary financial holding	
company or mixed financial holding company approved in accordance	
with this Article is designated as responsible to ensure the group's	
compliance with prudential requirements on a consolidated basis and	
is given all the necessary means and legal authority to discharge those	
obligations in an effective manner;	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
(d) the financial holding company or mixed financial holding company	
does not engage in taking management, operational or financial	
decisions affecting the group or its subsidiaries that are institutions or	
financial institutions;	
(e) there is no impediment to the effective supervision of the group on	
a consolidated basis.';	
(bb) in paragraph 4 (ii) the following subparagraph is added:	e) (bb) in paragraph 4 (ii) the following subparagraph 4a is added:
By way of derogation, the consolidating supervisor may allow on a	By way of derogation Without prejudice to paragraph 4, the
case-by-case basis financial holding companies or mixed financial	consolidating supervisor may allow on a case-by-case basis financial
holding company which are exempted from approval to be excluded	holding companies or mixed financial holding companies which are
from the perimeter of consolidation provided that the following	exempted from approval to be excluded from the perimeter of
conditions are met:	consolidation provided that the following conditions are met:
(i) the exclusion does not affect the effectiveness of the supervision on	
the subsidiary credit institution, or the group;	
(ii) the financial holding company or mixed financial holding company	
has no equity exposures other than the equity exposure in the	
subsidiary credit institution or in the intermediate parent financial	
holding company or mixed financial holding company controlling the	
subsidiary credit institution;	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
(iii) the financial holding company or mixed financial holding	(iii) the financial holding company or mixed financial holding
company does not make substantial recourse to leverage and does not	company does not make substantial recourse to leverage and does not
have exposures which are not related to its ownership in the subsidiary	have exposures which are not related to its ownership in the subsidiary
credit institution or in the intermediate parent financial holding	credit institution or in the intermediate parent financial holding
company or mixed financial holding company controlling the	company or mixed financial holding company controlling the
subsidiary credit institution or essential for its activity;	subsidiary credit institution or essential for its activity;
(be) (e) Paragraph 7 is replaced by the following	(be) (e) f) Paragraph 7 is replaced by the following
7. Where the consolidating supervisor has established that the	
conditions set out in paragraph 4, first subparagraph, are no longer	
met, the financial holding company or mixed financial holding	
company shall seek approval in accordance with this Article. Where	
the consolidating supervisor has established that the conditions set out	
in paragraph 4, third subparagraph, are no longer met, the	
consolidating supervisor shall require full consolidation of the	
financial holding company or mixed financial holding company, which	
shall seek approval in accordance with this Article.	
(f) paragraph 8 is amended as follows:	(f) g) paragraph 8 is amended as follows:
(i) the first subparagraph is replaced by the following:	
'For the purpose of taking decisions on the approval, exemption from	'Where the consolidating supervisor is different from the competent
approval and exclusion from the perimeter of consolidation referred to	authority in the Member State where the financial holding company or

Table 1 of 3

2nd Presidency compromise

in paragraphs 3 and 4, notably, and the supervisory measures referred to in paragraphs 6 and 7, where the consolidating supervisor is different from the competent authority in the Member State where the financial holding company or the mixed financial holding company is established, the two authorities shall work together in full consultation. The consolidating supervisor shall prepare an assessment on the matters referred to in paragraphs 3, 4, 6 and 7, as applicable, and shall forward that assessment to the competent authority in the Member State where the financial holding company or the mixed financial holding company is established. The two authorities shall do everything within their powers to reach a joint decision within two months of receipt of that assessment.';

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise

the mixed financial holding company is established, the two authorities shall work together in full consultation Ffor the purpose of taking decisions on the approval, exemption from approval and exclusion from the perimeter of consolidation referred to in paragraphs 3 and 4, notably, and the supervisory measures referred to in paragraphs 6 and 7, where the consolidating supervisor is different from the competent authority in the Member State where the financial holding company or the mixed financial holding company is established, the two authorities <mark>shall work together in full consultation</mark>. The consolidating supervisor shall prepare an assessment on the matters referred to in paragraphs 3, 4, 6 and 7, as applicable, and shall forward that assessment to the competent authority in the Member State where the financial holding company or the mixed financial holding company is established. The two authorities shall do everything within their powers to reach a joint decision within two months of receipt of that assessment.';

(ii) the following second subparagraph is added:

'Where the consolidating supervisor is different from the competent authority in the Member State where the financial holding company or the mixed financial holding company is established, the joint decision shall also apply under the national law of to the legislation of the

'Where the consolidating supervisor is different from the competent authority in the Member State where the financial holding company or the mixed financial holding company is established, the joint decision shall also apply under the national law of to the legislation of the

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
Member State where the financial holding company or mixed financial	Member State where the financial holding company or mixed financial
holding company is established.';	holding company is established.';
(g) the paragraph 10 is replaced by the following:	(gh) in the paragraph 10 the first subparagraph is replaced by the
	following:
'(10) Where approval or exemption from approval of a financial	'(10) Where approval or exemption from approval of a financial
holding company or mixed financial holding company pursuant to this	holding company or mixed financial holding company pursuant to this
Article is refused, the consolidating supervisor shall notify the	Article is refused, the consolidating supervisor shall notify the
applicant of the decision and the reasons therefore within four months	applicant of the decision and the reasons therefore within four months
of receipt of the application, or where the application is incomplete,	of receipt of the application, or where the application is incomplete,
within four months of receipt of the complete information required for	within four months of receipt of the complete information required for
the decision.';	the decision.';
(5) in Article 21b(6), the following second and third subparagraphs are	
added:	
'EBA shall develop draft implementing technical standards to specify the	
uniform formats, definitions and the IT solutions to be applied in the Union	
for the reporting of the information referred to in the first subparagraph.	
EBA shall submit those draft implementing technical standards to the	
Commission by [OP please insert the date = 12 months from date of entry	
into force of this amending Directive].	
Power is conferred on the Commission to adopt he implementing technical	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
standards referred to in the second subparagraph in accordance with Article	
15 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010.';	
(6) the following new Article 21c is inserted:	
Article 21c	
Requirement to establish a branch for the provision of banking	
services by third country undertakings and exception for the reverse	
solicitation of services	
1. Member States shall require undertakings established in a third	
country as referred to in Article 47(1) and (2) to establish a branch in	
their territory and apply for authorisation in accordance with Title VI	
to commence or continue conducting the activities referred to in	
paragraph (1) of that Article in the relevant Member State.	
2. Where a retail client, an eligible counterparty or a professional	
client within the meaning of Sections I and II of Annex II to Directive	
2014/65/EU established or situated in the Union approaches an	
undertaking established in a third country at its own exclusive	
initiative for the provision of any service or activity referred to in	
Article 47(1), the requirement laid down in paragraph 1 of this Article	
shall not apply to the provision to that person of the relevant service or	
activity, including a relationship specifically related to the provision of	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
that service or activity. Without prejudice to intragroup relationships,	
where a third country undertaking, including through an entity acting	
on its behalf or having close links with such third-country undertaking	
or any other person acting on behalf of such undertaking, solicits	
clients or potential clients in the Union, it shall not be deemed to be a	
service provided at the own exclusive initiative of the client.	
3. An initiative by a client or counterparty as referred to in paragraph	
2 shall not entitle the third-country undertaking to market other	
categories of products, activities or services than those that the client	
or counterparty had solicited, other than through a third country	
branch established in a Member State.';	
(6) in Article 22 paragraph 2 the first subparagraph is replaced by the	
following:	
'2. The competent authorities shall acknowledge receipt of notification	
under paragraph 1 or of further information under paragraph 3	
promptly and in any event within ten working days following receipt	
in writing to the proposed acquirer.'	
(6a) Article 23 is amended as follows:	
(a) in paragraph 1 the point (e) is replaced by the following:	
(e) whether there are reasonable grounds to suspect that, in	'(e) whether there are reasonable grounds to suspect that, in

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
connection with the proposed acquisition, money laundering or	connection with the proposed acquisition, money laundering or
terrorist financing within the meaning of Article 1 of Directive	terrorist financing within the meaning of Article 1 of Directive
2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26	2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26
October 2005 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for	October 2005 Directive (EU) 2015/849, on the prevention of the use of
the purpose of money laundering and terrorist financing (5) is being or	the financial system for the purpose of money laundering and terrorist
has been committed or attempted, or that the proposed acquisition	financing (5) is being or has been committed or attempted, or that the
could increase the risk thereof.	proposed acquisition could increase the risk thereof.
For the purposes of assessing the criterion laid down in paragraph 1,	
point (e), competent authorities shall consult, in the context of their	
verifications, the authorities competent for the supervision of the	
undertakings in line with Directive (EU) 2015/849.	
(b) in paragraph 2 the following subparagraph is added:	
'For the purpose of this paragraph and with regard to the criterion	
laid down in paragraph 1, point (e), an objection in writing by the	
authorities competent for the supervision of the undertakings in line	
with Directive (EU) 2015/849 shall constitute a reasonable ground for	
opposition.'	
(c) the following paragraph 6 is added:	
6. EBA shall develop draft regulatory technical standards specifying	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
the minimum list of information to be provided to the competent	
authorities at the time of the notification referred to in paragraph 1.	
For the purpose of the first subparagraph, EBA shall take into	
consideration Directive (EU) 2017/1132 of the European Parliament	
and of the Council.	
EBA shall submit those draft regulatory technical standards to the	
Commission by [OP please insert the date = 18 months from the date	
of entry into force of this amending Directive].	
Power is conferred on the Commission to adopt the regulatory	
technical standards referred to in the first subparagraph in	
accordance with Article 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010.';	
(7) In Title III, the following Chapters 3, 4 and 5 are added:	
'CHAPTER 3	
Acquisition or divesture of a qualifying material holding	
Article 27a	
Notification and assessment of the acquisition	
1. Member States shall require any institutions, parent financial holding	1. Member States shall require any institutions, parent financial holding
companies in a Member State, parent mixed financial holding companies in	companies in a Member State, parent mixed financial holding companies in
a Member State, EU parent financial holding companies and EU parent	a Member State, EU parent financial holding companies and EU parent

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
mixed financial holding companies, or other financial holding companies	mixed financial holding companies, or other financial holding companies
or mixed financial holding companies- required to seek for approval in	or mixed financial holding companies—required that are obliged to seek
accordance with Article 21a(1) on a sub-consolidated basis (the "acquirer")	for approval in accordance with Article 21a(1) on a sub-consolidated basis
to notify their competent authority where they intend to acquire, carrying	(the "acquirer") to notify their competent authority where they intend to
out, directly or indirectly, an acquisition of a qualifying material holding	acquire, carrying out, directly or indirectly, an acquisition of a qualifying
in a financial sector entity which exceeds 15% of the eligible capital of	material holding in a financial sector entity which exceeds 15% of the
the acquirer (the "proposed acquisition") to notify the competent	eligible capital of the acquirer (the "proposed acquisition") to notify the
authority in advance. The notification shall indicating indicate the size	competent authority in advance. The notification shall indicating
of the proposed intended holding and the relevant information, as	<u>indicate</u> the size of the <u>proposed</u> intended holding and the relevant
specified in Article 27b(5).	information, as specified in Article 27b(5).
For the purposes of the first subparagraph, the holding shall be	
deemed material where it is at least equal to 15% of the eligible capital	
of the acquirer.	
For the purpose of the first subparagraph, where the acquirer is	
included in the consolidated situation of a group, the threshold shall	
apply on the basis of the consolidated situation of the parent institution	
in the EU, EU parent financial holding company and EU parent mixed	
financial holding company; and the consolidated supervisor, in	
accordance with Article 111, shall be the competent authority to be	
notified and in charge of the assessment.	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
For the purpose of the first subparagraph, where the acquirer is an	
institution, the threshold shall apply at both an individual level and on	
the basis of the consolidated situation of the parent institution in the	
EU. In case the threshold referred to in the second subparagraph is	
only exceeded at an individual level, the competent authority in the	
Member State where the acquirer is established shall be notified and	
asssess the proposed acquisition. In case the threshold is also exceeded	
on the basis of the consolidated situation of the parent institution in	
the EU, the consolidating supervisor, in accordance with Article 111,	
shall also be notified and assess the proposed acquisition.	
For the purpose of the first subparagraph, where the acquirer is a	
parent financial holding company in a Member State, a parent mixed	
financial holding company in a Member State, an EU parent financial	
holding company and an EU parent mixed financial holding company,	
or another financial holding company or mixed financial holding	
company required to seek for approval in accordance with Article	
21a(1) on a sub-consolidated basis, the threshold referred to in the	
second subparagraph shall apply on the basis of the consolidated	
situation, and the consolidated supervisor, in accordance with Article	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
111, shall be the competent authority to be notified and in charge of	
the assessment.	
[paragraph 2 was deleted by accident in June presidency compromise text]	
2. The competent authorit <u>vies</u> shall acknowledge, in writing, the receipt of	
the notification under paragraph 1 or of any additional information under	
paragraph 5 promptly and in any event within two ten working days	
following receipt of that the notification or of the additional information.	
By way of derogation from the paragraph 2 of this Article, and of	
Article 22(2), when the proposed acquisition referred to in paragraph	
1 of this Article or in Article 22(1) is deemed complex by the	
competent authorities, acknowledgment of the receipt of the	
notification of any additional information shall be done promptly and	
in any event within ten working days following the receipt of that	
notification.	
3. The competent <u>authority</u> authorities shall have 60 working days from	
the date of the written acknowledgement of receipt of the notification and	
from the receipt of all documents, including those required by the Member	
State to be attached to the notification in accordance with Article 27b(4)	
(the "assessment period"), to carry out the assessment provided for in	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
Article 27b(1) (the "assessment").	
If the proposed acquisition consists in the acquisition of a qualifying	
holding in a credit institution as referred in Article 22(1), the acquirer shall	
also still be subject to the notification requirement and the assessment	
under that Article.	
3a. Where the acquisition of a material holding is conducted between	
entities of the same group that are subject to Article 113 (6) of	
Regulation 575/2013 or between entities within the same institutional	
protection scheme and are subject to Article 113(7) of Regulation	
575/2013, the competent authority shall not be required to carry out	
the assessment provided for in Article 27a(3).	
3b. Where the acquisition of a material holding is conducted between	
small and non-complex institutions subject to Article 4 paragraph 1	
number 145 of Regulation 575/2013, the competent authority shall not	
be required to carry out the assessment provided for in Article 27a(3).	
4. The competent <u>authority</u> authorities shall inform the proposed acquirer	
of the date of the expiry of the assessment period at the time of	
acknowledging receipt referred to in paragraph 23.	
5. The competent <u>authority</u> authorities may, during the assessment period	
where necessary, and no later than on the 50th working day of the	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
assessment period, request additional information that is necessary to	
complete the assessment. Such a request shall be made in writing and shall	
specify the additional information needed.	
6. The assessment period shall be suspended between the date of request	
for additional information by the competent authorities authority and the	
date of receipt of a response thereto by the acquirer, providing all the	
requested information. The suspension shall not exceed 20 working days.	
Any further requests by the competent authority authorities for	
completion or clarification of the information shall be at their discretion	
but shall not result in a suspension of the assessment period.	
7. The competent <u>authority</u> authorities may extend the suspension	
referred to in the second sentence subparagraph of paragraph 6 up to 30	
working days in the following situations:	
(a) the entity acquired is situated or regulated in a third country;	
(b) exchange of information with authorities responsible for	
supervising the obliged entities listed in Article 2(1) points (1) and (2) of	
Directive (EU) 2015/849 of the European Parliament and of the Council*5	
is necessary to perform the assessment referred to in Article 27a(3) Article	
27b(1) of this Directive.	
8. Where the approval of a financial holding company or mixed financial	8. Where the approval of a financial holding company or mixed financial

Table 1 of 3

1 able 1 of 3	
2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
holding company pursuant to Article 21a takes place concurrently with the	holding company pursuant to Article 21a takes place concurrently with the
assessment referred in this Article, the competent authority for the	assessment referred in this Article, the competent authority for the
purposes of that Article shall coordinate, as appropriate, with the	purposes of that Article shall coordinate, as appropriate, with the
consolidating supervisor and, where different, the competent authority in	consolidating supervisor and, where different, the competent authority in
the Member State where the financial holding company or mixed financial	the Member State where the financial holding company or mixed financial
holding company is established. In that case, the assessment period shall	holding company is established. In that case, the assessment period shall
be suspended for a period not exceeding 20 working days until the	be suspended for a period not exceeding 20 working days until the
procedure set out in Article 21a is-complete.	procedure set out in Article 21a is complete.
9. Where the competent authority authorities decides to oppose the	
proposed acquisition, it they shall, within two working days of completion	
of the assessment, and not exceeding the assessment period, inform the	
acquirer in writing, providing the reasons for their objection. Subject to	
national law, aAn appropriate statement of the reasons for the decision	
opposing the proposed acquisition may be made accessible to the public at	
the request of the acquirer. The absence of provisions in the national law	
regarding an appropriate statement of the reasons for the decision opposing	
the proposed acquisition shall not prevent Member States from allowing	
the competent authority to publish such information in the absence of a	
request by the acquirer.	
10. Where the competent <u>authority</u> authorities do <u>es</u> not oppose the	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
proposed acquisition within the assessment period in writing, it shall be	
deemed approved. Members States may require the competent	
authority to notify the acquirer of such approval or publish the	
decision.	
11. Competent <u>authority</u> authorities may set a maximum period for	
completing the proposed acquisition and extend it where appropriate.	
12. Member States may not impose requirements for notification to, or	
approval by, competent authorities of direct or indirect acquisitions or	
capital that are more stringent than those set out in Article 89 of	
Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.	
*5 Directive (EU) 2015/849 of the European Parliament and of the	
Council of 20 May 2015 on the prevention of the use of the financial	
system for the purposes of money laundering or terrorist financing,	
amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 of the European Parliament and	
of the Council, and repealing Directive 2005/60/EC of the European	
Parliament and of the Council and Commission Directive 2006/70/EC (OJ	
L 141, 5.6.2015, p. 73).	
Article 27b	
Assessment criteria	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
1. In dealing with the notification of the proposed acquisition provided for	1. In dealing with the notification of the proposed acquisition provided for
in Article 27a(1) and the information referred to in Article 27a(5), the	in Article 27a(1) and the information referred to in Article 27a(5), the
competent <u>authority</u> <u>authorities</u> shall, <u>while acting within their</u>	competent authority authorities shall, while acting in accordance with
discretion as laid out in paragraph 3a and 3b of Article 27a, assess the	within their discretion as laid out in paragraph 3a and 3b of Article
sound and prudent management of the acquirer after the acquisition and in	27a, assess the sound and prudent management of the acquirer after the
particular of the risks to which the acquirer is or might be exposed, in	acquisition and in particular of the risks to which the acquirer is or might
accordance with the following criteria:	be exposed, in accordance with the following criteria:
(a) the sufficiently good repute and sufficient knowledge, skills and	
experience, as set out in Article 91(1), of any new member of the	
management body of the acquirer to be appointed as a result of the	
proposed acquisition.	
(ba) whether the acquirer will be able to comply and continue to comply	
with the prudential requirements set out in this Directive and Regulation	
(EU) No 575/2013, and where applicable, other acts of Union law.	
(eb) whether there are reasonable grounds to suspect that, in connection	
with the proposed acquisition, money laundering or terrorist financing	
within the meaning of Article 1 of Directive (EU) 2015/849 is being or has	
been committed or attempted, or that the proposed acquisition could	
increase the risk thereof.	
2. For the purposes of assessing the criterion laid down in paragraph 1,	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
point (eb), and criterion laid down in Article 23(1), point (e), competent	
authorities authority shall consult, in the context of its their verifications,	
the authorities competent for the supervision of the undertakings in line	
with Directive (EU) 2015/849.	
3. The competent <u>authority</u> authorities may oppose the proposed	
acquisition only if there are reasonable grounds for doing so on the basis of	
the criteria set out in paragraph 1 or if the information provided by the	
acquirer is incomplete, despite a request made in accordance with Article	
27a <u>(5)</u> .	
For the purposes of this paragraph and Article 23(2), and with regard to the	For the purposes of this paragraph and Article 23(2), and with regard to the
criterion laid down in paragraph 1, point (c), an objection in writing by the	criterion laid down in paragraph 1, point (eb), an objection in writing by
authorities competent for the supervision of the undertakings under	the authorities competent for the supervision of the undertakings under
Directive (EU) 2015/849 shall constitute a reasonable ground for	Directive (EU) 2015/849 shall constitute a reasonable ground for
opposition.	opposition.
4. Member States shall neither impose any prior conditions in respect of	
the level of holding that must be acquired nor allow the their competent	
authorities <u>authority</u> to examine the proposed acquisition in terms of the	
economic needs of the market.	
5. Member States shall publish a list specifying the information required to	
carry out the assessment. That information shall be provided to the	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
competent authorities at the time of the notification referred to in Article	
27a(1), covering at least the information requirements included in the	
regulatory technical standards referred to in Article 27b(7)(a). The	
information shall be proportionate and appropriate to the nature of the	
entity to be acquired. Member States shall not require information that is	
not relevant for the prudential assessment under this Article.	
6. Notwithstanding Article 27a, paragraphs 2 to 7, where two or more	
proposals to acquire material qualifying holdings in the same entity have	
been notified, the competent authority shall treat the acquirers in a non-	
discriminatory manner.	
7. EBA shall develop draft regulatory technical standards specifying:	
(a) the minimum list of information to be provided to the competent	(a) the minimum list of information to be provided to the competent
authorities at the time of the notification referred to in Article $\underline{22(1)}$ $\underline{23(1)}$,	authorities at the time of the notification referred to in Article 22(1) 23(1),
Article 27a(1), Article 27f(1) and Article 27k(1);	Article 27a(1), Article 27f(1) and Article 27k(1);
(b) a common assessment methodology of the criteria set out in this	
Article, Article 23 Article 27g and Article 27l;	
(c) the process applicable to notification and the prudential assessment	(c) the process applicable to notification and the prudential assessment
required under Article 27a, Article 27b(1)(b), Article 27g(1)(b) Article	required under Article 27a, Article 27b(1)(b), Article 27g(1)(b) Article
27f and Article 27k.	27f and Article 27k.
For the purpose of the first sub-paragraph, the EBA shall take into	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
consideration the Directive (EU) 2017/1132 of the European Parliament	
and of the Council*6.	
EBA shall submit those draft implementing regulatory technical standards	
to the Commission by [OP please insert the date = 18 months from the date	
of entry into force of this amending Directive].	
Power is conferred on the Commission to adopt the implementing	
regulatory technical standards referred to in the first subparagraph in	
accordance with Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010.	
*6 Directive (EU) 2017/1132 of the European Parliament and of the	
Council of 14 June 2017 relating to certain aspects of company law	
(codification).	
Article 27c	
Cooperation between competent authorities	
1. The relevant competent authorityies shall consult the relevant	
authorities entrusted with the supervision of other financial sector	
entities each other when carrying out the assessment referred to in Article	
27 <u>a(3)</u> where the entity acquired is one of the following:	
(a) a credit institution, insurance undertaking, reinsurance undertaking,	
investment firm or an asset management company or a management	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
company within the meaning of Article 2(1) point (b) of Directive	
2009/65/EC ("UCITS management company") authorised in another	
Member State or in a sector other than that of the proposed acquirer;	
(b) a parent undertaking of a credit institution, insurance undertaking,	
reinsurance undertaking, investment firm or an asset management	
company a management company within the meaning of Article 2(1),	
point (b) of Directive 2009/65/EC ("UCITS management company")	
authorised in another Member State or in a sector other than that of the	
proposed acquirer;	
(c) a legal person controlling a credit institution, insurance	
undertaking, reinsurance undertaking, investment firm or an asset UCITS	
management company authorised in another Member State or in a sector	
other than that in which the acquisition is proposed.	
Where the acquirer is part of a group, the competent authority in	
charge of the assessment pursuant to Article 27a shall carry out its	
assessment in full consultation with the other relevant competent	
authorities involved in the supervision of the group. It shall forward its	
assessment to the relevant competent authorities involved in the	
supervision of the group.	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
In the case where the acquirer is an institution and the threshold as	
referred to in Article 27a(1) is only exceeded at an individual level, the	
competent authority assessing the proposed acquisition shall notify the	
consolidating supervisor of the proposed acquisition within ten	
working days following receipt of the notification by the acquirer, if	
the acquirer is part of a group and the competent authority in charge	
of the assessment is different from the consolidating supervisor. The	
competent authority shall also forward its assessment to the	
consolidating supervisor.	
In the case where the cognizer is a percent financial holding company	
In the case where the acquirer is a parent financial holding company	
in a Member State, a parent mixed financial holding company in a	
Member State, an EU parent financial holding company and an EU	
parent mixed financial holding company, or another financial holding	
company or mixed financial holding company required to seek for	
approval in accordance with Article 21a(1) on a sub-consolidated	
basis, the consolidating supervisor shall notify the competent authority	
in the Member State where the acquirer is established of the proposed	
acquisition within ten working days following receipt of the	
notification by the acquirer, if this competent authority is different	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
from the consolidating supervisor assessing the proposed acquisition.	
The consolidating supervisor shall also forward its assessment to that	
competent authority.	
In the case where the acquirer is an institution and the threshold as	
referred to in Article 27a(1) is exceeded at both individual and on the	
basis of the consolidated situation of the parent institution in the EU,	
the competent authority and consolidating supervisor assessing the	
proposed acquisition shall seek to coordinate their assessments, in	
particular with regard to their consultation of the relevant authorities	
referred to in Article 27c(1).	
	Where the assessment of the proposed acquisition needs to be carried
	out by the consolidating supervisor referred to in paragraph 1 of
	Article 27a, and the consolidating supervisor is different from the
	competent authority in the Member State where the acquirer is
	established, the two authorities shall work together in full consultation.
	The consolidating supervisor shall prepare an assessment on the
	proposed acquisition and shall forward that assessment to the
	competent authority in the Member State where the acquirer is
	established. The two authorities shall do everything within their

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
	powers to reach a joint decision within two months of receipt of that
	assessment. The joint decision shall be duly documented and reasoned.
	The consolidating supervisor shall communicate the joint decision to the acquirer.
	In the event of a disagreement, the consolidating supervisor or the
	competent authority in the Member State where the acquirer is
	established shall refrain from taking a decision and shall refer the
	matter to EBA in accordance with Article 19 of Regulation (EU) No
	1093/2010. EBA shall take its decision within one month of receipt of
	the referral to EBA. The competent authorities concerned shall adopt
	a joint decision in conformity with the decision of EBA. The matter
	shall not be referred to EBA after the end of the two-month period or
	after a joint decision has been reached.
The competent authorities shall, without undue delay, provide each other	
with any information which is essential or relevant for the assessment. For	
those purposes, the competent authorities shall communicate to each other	
upon request or on their own initiative all relevant information for the	
assessment.	
2. The competent authorities shall seek to coordinate their assessments	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
and ensure the consistency of their decisions. To this end, the decision	
by the competent authority in charge of the assessment of the acquirer	
shall indicate any views or reservations made by the competent authority	
that has authorised the credit institution controlled by the parent	
undertaking in which the acquisition is proposed. other relevant	
competent authorities.	
3. EBA shall develop draft implementing technical standards to establish	
common procedures, forms and templates for the consultation process	
between the relevant competent authorities as referred to in this Article.	
EBA shall submit those draft implementing technical standards to the	
Commission by [OP please insert the date = 18 months from the date of	
entry into force of this amending Directive].	
Power is conferred on the Commission to adopt the implementing technical	
standards referred to in the first subparagraph in accordance with Article	
15 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010.	
Article 27d	
Notification in the case of divestiture	
Member States shall require institutions, parent financial holding	Member States shall require institutions, parent financial holding
companies in a Member State, parent mixed financial holding companies	companies in a Member State, parent mixed financial holding companies
in a Member State, EU parent financial holding companies and EU parent	in a Member State, EU parent financial holding companies and EU parent

Table 1 of 3

Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise 2nd Presidency compromise mixed financial holding companies, as well as other financial holding mixed financial holding companies, as well as other financial holding companies and mixed financial holding companies required to seek for companies and mixed financial holding companies required that are approval in accordance with Article 21a(1) on a sub-consolidated obliged to seek for approval in accordance with Article 21a(1) on a basis, to notify the competent authorities where they intend to dispose, sub-consolidated basis, to notify the competent authorities where they directly or indirectly, of a qualifying holding carrying out a disposal, intend to dispose, directly or indirectly, of a qualifying holding directly or indirectly, of a material holding in a financial sector entity carrying out a disposal, directly or indirectly, of a material holding in a financial sector entity that exceeds 15% of the eligible capital on a that exceeds 15% of the eligible capital on a consolidated basis, in accordance with Article 27a(1), to notify the competent authority of consolidated basis, in accordance with Article 27a(1), to notify the the acquirer. That notification shall be made in writing and in advance of competent authority of the acquirer. That notification shall be made in the divestiture, indicating the size of the holding concerned. writing and in advance of the divestiture, indicating the size of the holding concerned. Article 27e Information obligations and penalties Where the acquirer fails to notify the proposed acquisition in advance in accordance with Article 27a(1) or has acquired a material qualifying holding as referred to in that Article despite the competent authority's authorities' opposition, Member States shall require that this those competent authority authorities to take appropriate measures. Such measures may include injunctions, periodic penalty payments and penalties, in accordance with Articles 65 to 72, against members of the

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
management body and senior management. Where a qualifying	
material holding is acquired despite opposition by the competent	
<u>authority</u> authorities, Member States shall, without prejudice to potential	
penalties, provide either for exercise of the corresponding voting rights to	
be suspended or for votes cast to be declared null and void.	
CHAPTER 4	
Material transfers of assets and liabilities	
Article 27f	
Notification and assessment of material transfers of assets and	
liabilities	
1. Member States shall require institutions, parent financial holding	1. Member States shall require institutions, parent financial holding
<u>companies</u> <u>company</u> <u>companies</u> in a Member State, parent mixed	<u>companies</u> <u>company</u> companies in a Member State, parent mixed
financial holding <u>companies-company</u> <u>companies</u> in a Member State, EU	financial holding companies company companies in a Member State, EU
parent financial holding <u>companies</u> <u>company</u> <u>companies</u> , EU parent	parent financial holding <u>companies</u> <u>company</u> companies , EU parent
mixed financial holding companies, or other financial holding companies	mixed financial holding companies, or other financial holding companies
and mixed financial holding companies required to seek for approval in	and mixed financial holding companies required that are obliged to seek
accordance with Article 21a(1) on a sub-consolidated basis carrying out	for approval in accordance with Article 21a(1) on a sub-consolidated basis
any material transfer of assets or liabilities which they execute either	carrying out any material transfer of assets or liabilities which they
through a sale or any other type of transaction (the "proposed	execute either through a sale or any other type of transaction (the
operation"), to notify their competent authority in advance of the	"proposed operation"), to notify their competent authority in advance

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
completion of the proposed operation, their competent authority. of any	of the completion of the proposed operation, their competent authority.
material transfer of assets or liabilities which they intend to execute	of any material transfer of assets or liabilities which they intend to
either through a sale or any other type of transaction (the "intended	execute either through a sale or any other type of transaction (the
operation"). The notification shall indicate the size of the intended	"intended operation"). The notification shall indicate the size of the
operation and provide the information specified in Article 27g(5).	intended operation and provide the information specified in Article
	27g(5).
When the intended proposed operation involves only institutions from the	When the intended proposed operation involves only institutions entities
same group, these institutions shall also be subject to the first sub-	from the same group, these institutions shall also be subject to the first sub-
paragraph of the same article.	paragraph of the same article.
For the purposes of the first and second sub-paragraphs, each of the	For the purposes of the first and second sub-paragraphs, each of the
institutions involved in the same intended proposed operation shall be	institutions entities involved in the same intended proposed operation
subject individually to the obligation to notify set out in those	shall be subject individually to the obligation to notify set out in those
subparagraphs.	subparagraphs.
2. For the purposes of paragraph 1:	
(a) the intended proposed operation shall be deemed material for an	(a) the intended proposed operation shall be deemed material for an
institution where it is at least equal to 10 % of its total assets or liabilities,	institution entity where it is at least equal to 10 % of its total assets or
<u>unless</u> where the intended <u>proposed</u> operation is performed between	liabilities, <u>unless</u> where the <u>intended</u> <u>proposed</u> operation is performed
entities of the same group, in which case the intended proposed operation	between entities of the same group, in which case the intended proposed
is shall be deemed material for an institution where it is represents at least	operation is shall be deemed material for an institution entity where it is

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
equal to 15 % of its total assets or liabilities.	represents at least equal to 15 % of its total assets or liabilities.
For the purpose of point (a) of paragraph 2, for parent financial	
holding companies or mixed financial holding companies referred to in	
paragraph 1, the threshold shall apply on the basis of their	
consolidated situation;	
(b) transfers of non-performing assets, or of assets for the purpose of	
being included in a cover pool, within the meaning of Article 3(3) of	
Directive (EU) 2019/2162 of the European Parliament and of the	
Council*7, or to be securitised, shall not be taken into account for	
calculating the percentage in point (a);	
(c) transfers of assets or liabilities in the context of the use of	
resolution tools, powers and mechanisms provided for in Title IV of	
Directive 2014/59/EU shall not be taken into account for calculating the	
percentage referred to in point (a).	
3. Competent authorities shall acknowledge receipt of the notification	
under paragraph 1 or of additional information under paragraph 6	
promptly and in any event within two working days following receipt	
of the notification.	
4. From the date of the written acknowledgement of receipt of the	
notification and of the documents, including those required by the	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
Member State to be attached to the notification in accordance with	
Article 27g(5), competent authorities shall have a maximum of 60	
working days to carry out the assessment provided for in Article	
27g(1) (the "assessment period").	
5. Competent authorities shall inform the institution of the date of the	
expiry of the assessment period at the time of acknowledging receipt.	
6. Competent_authorities may request further necessary information to	
complete the assessment at any time during the assessment period and	
no later than the 50th working day of the assessment period. Such a	
request shall be made in writing and specify the additional	
information needed.	
7. For the period between the date of request for information by the	
competent authorities and the receipt of a response thereto by the	
institution providing all the requested information, the assessment	
period shall be suspended. The suspension shall not exceed 20 working	
days. Any further requests by the competent authorities for the	
completion or clarification of the information shall be at their	
discretion but shall not result in a suspension of the assessment period.	
8. Where competent authorities decide to oppose the intended	
operation, they shall inform the institution in writing and provide the	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
reasons thereto within two working days of completion of the	
assessment and not later than the date of the expiry of the assessment	
period. Subject to national law, an appropriate statement of the	
reasons for the decision may be made accessible to the public at the	
request of the institution. The absence of provisions in the national law	
regarding an appropriate statement of the reasons for the decision	
opposing the proposed acquisition shall not prevent a Member State	
from allowing the competent authority to publish such information in	
the absence of a request by the institution.	
9. Where the competent authority authorities do not oppose the	
proposed intended operation in writing within the assessment period,	
it shall be deemed approved.	
10. The competent authorities may set a maximum period for	
completing the intended operation and extend it where appropriate.	
11 . Member States may not impose requirements for notification on,	
or approval by, the competent authorities that are more stringent than	
those set out in Article 27f.	
Directive (EU) 2019/2162 of the European Parliament and of	
the Council of 27 November 2019 on the issue of covered bonds and	
covered bond public supervision and amending Directives 2009/65/EC	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
and 2014/59/EU (OJ L 328, 18.12.2019, p. 29).	
Article 27g	
Assessment criteria	
1. In dealing with the notification provided for in Article 27f(1) and the	
information referred to in Article 27f(6), competent authorities shall	
assess the intended operation in accordance with the following criteria:	
(a) whether the institution will be able to comply and continue to	
comply with the prudential requirements set out in this Directive and	
Regulation (EU) No 575/2013, and where applicable, other acts of	
Union law.	
(b) whether there are reasonable grounds to suspect that, in	
connection with the intended operation, money laundering or terrorist	
financing within the meaning of Article 1 of Directive (EU) 2015/849 is	
being or has been committed or attempted, or that the proposed	
acquisition could increase the risk thereof.	
2. For the purposes of assessing the criterion laid down in paragraph	
1, point (b), competent authorities shall consult, in the context of	
their verifications, the authorities competent for the supervision of the	
undertakings under Directive (EU) 2015/849.	
3. The competent authorities may oppose the intended operation only	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
where the criteria set out in paragraph 1 are not met or where the	
information provided by the institution is incomplete despite a request	
made in accordance with Article 27f.	
With regard to the criterion laid down in paragraph 1, point (b), an	
objection in writing by the competent authorities under Directive (EU)	
2015/849 shall constitute a reasonable ground for opposition.	
4. Member States may neither subject the intended operation to	
meeting a specified level or amount, nor allow their competent	
authority to examine the intended operation in terms of the economic	
needs of the market.	
5. Member States shall publish a list of information items that are	
necessary to carry out the assessment referred to in paragraph 1. That	
information shall be provided to the competent authorities at the time	
of the notification referred to in Article 27f(1). Member States shall	
not require information that is not relevant for a prudential	
assessment of the intended operation.	
Article 27h	
Cooperation between competent authorities	
1. The relevant competent authorities shall consult each other when	
carrying out the assessment referred to in Article 27g where the	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
parties involved in the intended operation are one of the following:	
(a) a credit institution, insurance undertaking, reinsurance	
undertaking, investment firm or a management company within the	
meaning of Article 2(1), point (b) of Directive 2009/65/EC ("UCITS	
management company") authorised in another Member State or in a	
sector other than that in which the acquisition is proposed;	
(b) a parent undertaking of a credit institution, insurance	
undertaking, reinsurance undertaking, investment firm or a	
management company within the meaning of Article 2(1), point (b) of	
Directive 2009/65/EC ("UCITS management company") authorised in	
another Member State or in a sector other than that in which the	
acquisition is proposed;	
(c) a legal person controlling a credit institution, insurance	
undertaking, reinsurance undertaking, investment firm or UCITS	
management company authorised in another Member State or in a	
sector other than that in which the acquisition is proposed.	
2. Competent authorities shall, without undue delay, provide each	
other with any information which is essential or relevant for the	
assessment. For these purposes, competent authorities shall	
communicate to each other upon request or on their own initiative all	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
relevant information for the assessment.	
3. The competent authorities shall seek to coordinate their	
assessments, ensure the consistency of their decisions, and shall	
indicate in their decisions any views or reservations made by the	
competent authority supervising other entities involved in the intended	
operation.	
4. EBA shall develop draft implementing technical standards to	
establish common procedures, forms and templates for the	
consultation process between the relevant competent authorities as	
referred to in this Article.	
EBA shall submit those draft implementing technical standards to the	
Commission by [OP please insert the date = 18 months from the date	
of entry into force of this amending Directive].	
Power is conferred on the Commission to adopt the implementing	
technical standards referred to in the first subparagraph in	
accordance with Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010.	
Article 27i	
Information obligations and penalties	
Member States shall require that, where the institutions fail to notify	
the intended operation in advance in accordance with Article 27f(1), or	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
has performed the intended operation as referred to that Article	
despite opposition by the competent authorities, the competent	
authorities take appropriate measures. Such measures may consist in	
injunctions, periodic penalty payments, penalties, subject to Articles	
65 to 72, against members of the management body and managers.	
CHAPTER 5	
Mergers and divisions	
Article 27j	
Definitions	
For the purposes of this Chapter, the following definitions shall apply:	
(a) 'merger' means any of the following operations whereby:	
(i) one or more companies, on being dissolved without going into	
liquidation, transfer all or parts of their assets and liabilities to another	
existing company, in exchange for the issue to their members of securities	
or shares representing the capital of that other company and, where	
applicable, a cash payment not exceeding 10 % of the nominal value	
(unless stated otherwise by the applicable national law), or, in the absence	
of a nominal value, of the accounting par value of those securities or	
shares;	
(ii) one or more companies, on being dissolved without going into	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
liquidation, transfer all or parts their assets and liabilities to another	
existing company, the acquiring company, without the issue of any new	
shares by the acquiring company, provided that one person holds directly	
or indirectly all the shares in the merging companies or the members of the	
merging companies hold their securities and shares in the same proportion	
in all merging companies;	
(iii) two or more companies, on being dissolved without going into	
liquidation, transfer all or parts of their assets and liabilities to a company	
that they form in exchange for the issue to their members of securities or	
shares representing the capital of that new company and, where applicable,	
a cash payment not exceeding 10 % of the nominal value (unless stated	
otherwise by the applicable national law), or, in the absence of a nominal	
value, of the accounting par value of those securities or shares;	
(iv) a company, on being dissolved without going into liquidation,	
transfers all or parts of its assets and liabilities to the company holding all	
the securities or shares representing its capital.	
(b) 'division' means any of the following operations:	
(i) an operation whereby, after being wound up without going into	
liquidation, a company transfers to more than one company all its assets	
and liabilities in exchange for the allocation to the shareholders of the	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
company being divided of shares in the companies receiving contributions	
as a result of the division and, where applicable, a cash payment not	
exceeding 10 % of the nominal value (unless stated otherwise by the	
applicable national law), or, in the absence of a nominal value, of the	
accounting par value of those securities or shares;	
(ii) an operation whereby, after being wound up without going into	
liquidation, a company transfers to more than one newly-formed company	
all its assets and liabilities in exchange for the allocation to the	
shareholders of the company being divided of shares in the recipient	
companies, and, where applicable, a cash payment not exceeding 10 % of	
the nominal value (unless stated otherwise by the applicable national law),	
or, in the absence of a nominal value, of the accounting par value of those	
securities or shares;	
(iii) an operation consisting in a combination of operations described	
under points (i) and (ii);	
(iv) an operation whereby a company being divided transfers part of its	
assets and liabilities to one or more recipient companies, in exchange for	
the issue to the shareholders of the company being divided of shares in the	
recipient companies, in the company being divided or in both the recipient	
companies and the company being divided, and, where applicable, a cash	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
payment not exceeding 10 % of the nominal value (unless stated otherwise	
by the applicable national law), or, in the absence of a nominal value, of	
the accounting par value of those securities or shares;	
(v) an operation whereby a company being divided transfers part of its	
assets and liabilities to one or more recipient companies, in exchange for	
the issue to the company being divided of securities or shares in the	
recipient companies.	
Article 27k	
Notification and assessment of the merger or division	
1. Member States shall require institutions, parent financial holding	1. Member States shall require institutions, parent financial holding
companies in a Member State, parent mixed financial holding companies in	companies in a Member State, parent mixed financial holding companies in
a Member State, EU parent financial holding companies, EU parent mixed	a Member State, EU parent financial holding companies, EU parent mixed
financial holding companies, or other financial holding companies and	financial holding companies, or other financial holding companies and
mixed financial holding companies required to seek for approval in	mixed financial holding companies required that are obliged to seek for
accordance with Article 21a(1) on a sub-consolidated basis (the 'financial	approval in accordance with Article 21a(1) on a sub-consolidated basis (the
stakeholders') carrying out a merger or division (the "proposed	'financial stakeholders') carrying out a merger or division (the "proposed
operation"), to notify after the adoption of the draft terms of the	operation"), to notify after the adoption of the draft terms of the
proposed operation and in advance of the completion of the proposed	proposed operation and in advance of the completion of the proposed
operation the competent authorities which will be responsible for the	operation the competent authorities which will be responsible for the
supervision of the entities resulting from such proposed operation,	supervision of the entities resulting from such proposed operation,

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
indicating the relevant information, as specified in accordance with Article	indicating the relevant information, as specified in accordance with Article
271(4 <u>5</u>). The competent authorities shall carry out the assessment	27l(4 <u>5</u>). The competent authorities shall carry out the assessment
provided for in Article 27l(1) (the "assessment").	provided for in Article 27l(1) (the "assessment").
By way of derogation of the first paragraph mergers and divisions that	
result from the application of Directive 2014/59/EU shall not be	
subject to the obligations of this chapter.	
For the purpose of the first sub-paragraph, the ECB shall considered	
as the competent authority to be notified and in charge the assessment	
when the entities resulting from the proposed operation would meet on	
a consolidated bases any of the following conditions:	
(a) the total value of its assets exceeds EUR 30 billion;	
(b) the ratio of its total assets over the GDP of the participating	
Member State of establishment exceeds 20%, unless the total value of	
its assets is below EUR 5 billion.	
For the purpose of the first sub-paragraph in case the proposed operation	
consists in of a division, the competent authority in charge of the	
supervision of the entity carrying out the proposed operation shall be the	
competent authority to be notified and in charge of the assessment.	
2. The competent authorities shall acknowledge, in writing, the receipt of	
the notification referred to in paragraph 1 or of the additional information	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
submitted in accordance with paragraph 3 promptly and in any event	
within 10 working days following receipt of the notification or of the	
additional information.	
Where the proposed operation consists of a division involves only	
financial stakeholders from the same group, the competent authorityies	
shall have a maximum of 60 working days as from the date of the written	
acknowledgement of receipt of the notification and all documents required	
by the Member State to be attached to the notification in accordance with	
Article 27l(5) ("the assessment period"), to carry out the assessment	
provided for in Article 27l(1).	
The competent authority shall inform the financial stakeholder of the date	
of the expiry of the assessment period at the time of acknowledging	
receipt.	
3. Competent authorities may request further information that is necessary	
to complete the assessment. Such a request shall be made in writing and	
shall specify the additional information needed.	
Where the proposed operation consists of a division involves only	
financial stakeholders from the same group, the competent authorityies	
may request additional information by no later than the fiftieth working	
day of the assessment period.	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
For the period between the date of request of additional information by the	
competent authorities and the receipt of a response thereto by the financial	
stakeholders providing all the requested information, the assessment period	
shall be suspended. The suspension shall not exceed 20 working days. Any	
further requests by the competent authorities for completion or clarification	
of the provided information shall be at their discretion but shall not result	
in a suspension of the assessment period.	
4. By way of derogation from paragraph 3, third subparagraph, competent	
authorities may extend the suspension referred to therein to a maximum of	
30 working days in the following cases:	
(a) the entity acquired is one or multiple financial stakeholders are	
situated or regulated in a third country;	
(b) an exchange of information with authorities responsible for	
supervising the obliged entities referred to in Article 2(1), points (1) and	
(2), of Directive (EU) 2015/849 is necessary to perform the assessment	
foreseen under Article 274k(1) of this Directive.	
5. The proposed operations shall not be completed before the issuance of a	
positive opinion by the competent authority.	
6. The competent authorities shall, within two working days from the	
completion of their assessment, issue in writing a motivated positive or	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
negative opinion to the financial stakeholders. Subject to national law, an	
appropriate statement of the reasons for the opinion may be made	
accessible to the public at the request of the financial stakeholders. This	
shall not prevent a Member State from allowing the competent authority to	
publish such information in the absence of a request by the financial	
stakeholder.	
The financial stakeholders shall transmit the motivated opinion issued by	
their competent authorities under the first subparagraph to the authorities in	
charge, under the national law, of the scrutiny of the proposed operation.	
7. When the proposed operation consists of a division involves only	
financial stakeholders from the same group, and the competent authorityies	
does not oppose the proposed operation within the assessment period in	
writing, the opinion shall be deemed to be positive.	
8. The positive opinion issued by the competent authority may be <u>time</u>	
limited in time.	
9. Member States shall not impose requirements related to notification and	
approval as described in this Chapter that are more stringent than those set	
out herein.	
109. This Chapter is without prejudice to the application of the Council	
Regulation (EC) No 139/2004*8 and Directive (EU) 2017/1132 of the	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
European Parliament and of the Council.	
140. The assessment under Article 27k(1) shall not be performed where the	
proposed operation requires an authorisation in accordance with Article 8,	
or an approval in accordance with Article 21a.	
121. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, when the proposed	
operation is a merger that only involves financial stakeholders from	
the same group, including a group of credit institutions that are	
permanently affiliated to a central body and which is supervised as a	
group, Article 27k shall not apply	
*8 Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 of 20 January 2004 on the	
control of concentrations between undertakings (the EC Merger	
Regulation).	
Article 27l	
Assessment criteria	
1. In assessing the notification provided for in Article 27k(1) and the	
information referred to in Article 27k(3), competent authorities shall, in	
order to ensure the soundness of the prudential profile of the financial	
stakeholders after the completion of the proposed operation and in	
particular the risks to which the financial stakeholder is or might be	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
exposed in the course of the proposed operation and the risks to which the	
financial stakeholder resulting from the proposed operation might be	
exposed, assess the proposed operation in accordance with the following	
criteria:	
(a) the reputation of entities involved in the proposed operation;	
(b) the sufficiently good repute and sufficient knowledge, skills and	
experience, as set out in Article 91(1), of any member of the management	
body who will direct the business of the financial stakeholder resulting	
from the proposed operation;	
(eb) the financial soundness of entities involved in the proposed	
operation, in particular in relation to the type of business pursued and	
envisaged for the financial stakeholder resulting from the proposed	
operation;	
(dc) whether the entity resulting from the proposed operation will be	
able to comply and continue to comply with the prudential requirements	
laid down in this Directive and Regulation (EU) No 575/2013, and where	
applicable, other acts of Union law, in particular Directives 2002/87/EC	
and 2009/110/EC;	
(ed) whether the implementation plan of the proposed operation is	
realistic; and sound and efficient from a prudential perspective;	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
(fe) whether there are reasonable grounds to suspect that, in connection	
with the proposed operation, money laundering or terrorist financing	
within the meaning of Article 1 of Directive (EU) 2015/849 is being or has	
been committed or attempted, or that the proposed operation could increase	
the risk thereof.	
The implementation plan referred to in point (e) (d) shall be subject to	The implementation plan referred to in point (e) (d) (e) shall be subject to
appropriate monitoring by the competent authorityies until completion of	appropriate monitoring by the competent authorityies until completion of
the proposed operation.	the proposed operation.
2. For the purposes of assessing the criterion laid down in paragraph 1,	2. For the purposes of assessing the criterion laid down in paragraph 1,
point (f), competent authorities shall consult, in the context of their	point (f) (e), competent authorities shall consult, in the context of their
verifications, the authorities competent for the supervision of the	verifications, the authorities competent for the supervision of the
undertakings under Directive (EU) 2015/849.	undertakings under Directive (EU) 2015/849.
3. The competent authorities may issue a negative opinion to the proposed	
operation only if the criteria set out in paragraph 1 are not met or where the	
information provided by the financial stakeholder is incomplete despite a	
request made in accordance with Article 27k(3).	
With regard to the criterion laid down in paragraph 1, point (f), an	
objection in writing by the authorities competent for the supervision of the	
undertakings in line with Directive (EU) 2015/849 shall constitute a	
reasonable ground for negative opinion.	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
4. Member States shall not allow their competent authorities to examine	
the proposed operation in terms of the economic needs of the market.	
5. Member States shall publish a list of information items that are	
necessary to carry out the assessment referred to in Article 27k(1) and that	
must be provided to the competent authorities at the time of notification	
referred to that Article. The information required shall be proportionate and	
appropriate to the proposed operation. Member States shall not require	
information that is not relevant for a prudential assessment.	
Article 27m	
Cooperation between competent authorities	
1. The relevant competent authorityies shall consult the relevant	
authorities entrusted with the supervision of other financial sector	
entities each other when carrying out the assessment referred to in Article	
27 1 <u>k(1)</u> where the proposed operation involves, in addition to the financial	
stakeholder(s), entities that are one of the following:	
(a) a credit institution, insurance undertaking, reinsurance undertaking,	(a) a credit institution, insurance undertaking, reinsurance undertaking,
investment firm or an asset management company within the meaning of	investment firm or an asset management company within the meaning of
Article 2(1), point (b) of Directive 2009/65/EC ("UCITS management	Article 2(1), point (b) of Directive 2009/65/EC ("UCITS management"
company") authorised in another Member State or in a sector other than	company") authorised in another Member State or in a sector other than
that in which the acquisition is proposed;	that in which the proposed operation is undertaken acquisition is

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
	proposed ;
(b) a parent undertaking of a credit institution, insurance undertaking,	
reinsurance undertaking, investment firm or an asset UCITS management	
company authorised in another Member State or in a sector other than that	
in which the proposed operation is undertaken acquisition is proposed;	
(c) a legal person controlling a credit institution, insurance	(c) a legal person controlling a credit institution, insurance
undertaking, reinsurance undertaking, investment firm or an asset UCITS	undertaking, reinsurance undertaking, investment firm or an asset UCITS
management company authorised in another Member State or in a sector	management company authorised in another Member State or in a sector
other than that in which the acquisition is proposed.	other than that in which the proposed operation is undertaken
	acquisition is proposed.
2. The competent authorities shall, without undue delay, provide each other	
with any information which is relevant for the assessment. In that regard,	
the competent authorities shall communicate to each other upon request all	
relevant information and shall communicate on their own initiative all	
essential information. A decision by the competent authority of the	
financial stakeholder shall indicate any views or reservations expressed by	
the competent authority that supervise one or more several of the entities	
listed above and involved in the proposed operation.	
3. The competent authorities shall seek to coordinate their assessments,	
ensure the consistency of their opinions, and shall indicate in their opinions	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
any views or reservations made by the competent authority supervising	
other financial stakeholders.	
4. EBA shall develop draft implementing technical standards to establish	
common procedures, forms and templates for the consultation process	
between the relevant competent authorities as referred to in this Article.	
EBA shall submit those draft implementing technical standards to the	
Commission by [OP please insert the date = 18 months from the date of	
entry into force of this amending Directive].	
Power is conferred on the Commission to adopt the implementing technical	
standards referred to in the first subparagraph in accordance with Article	
15 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010.	
Article 27n	
Information obligations and penalties	
Member States shall require that, where the financial stakeholders fail to	
provide prior notification of the proposed operation in accordance with	
Article 27k(1) or have carried out the proposed operation as referred to that	
Article without prior positive opinion by the competent authorities, the	
competent authorities shall take appropriate measures. Such measures	
may consist in injunctions, periodic penalty payments, penalties,	
subject to Articles 65 to 72, against members of the management body	

Table 1 of 3

2 nd Presidency compromise	Changes following comments on the 2nd compromise
and managers of the financial stakeholders or of the entity resulting	
from the proposed operation.';	
CRD – Continues in Tables 2 and 3	