Commission proposal	<b>Drafting Suggestions</b>	MS Comments
Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE		These comments are preliminary from Denmark
EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE		and we reserve the right to come back to these
COUNCIL on establishing a framework of		highly important articles. We have limited our
measures for strengthening Europe's net-zero		comments to article 19, but a similar approach
technology products manufacturing ecosystem (Net Zero Industry Act)		would be applied to article 20.
(Text with EEA relevance)		In a nutshell, we agree that we should lift the
2023/0081 (COD)		common ambitions within the EU, to ensure a
2023/0001 (202)		solid and harmonised increase of resilience and
		sustainability in public procurement of strategic
		Net Zero technologies. We are of the view, that
		the proposed award criteria will not ensure a
		common approach and, due to the technicality
		and flexibility, will lead to divergence across
		procurement entities. This will in turn result in a fragmented implementation and uncertainty for
		companies wanting to participate in the green
		transition.
		To this extend, we propose a model based on so-
		called minimum requirements/pre-qualification
		requirements, by introducing a common set of
		technical requirements or contract clauses. We
		have done this for three main reasons:
		1) This will ensure a harmonised and
		operational approach on the internal market.
		2) This will ensure transparency for companies
		active on the internal market because they
		know what exactly to expect in each member
		state. 3) Contrary to its name ("minimum")
		requirements") we believe will strengthen

sustainability and resilience in cormandatory award criteria  Also, this approach does not expossibility for national procuring autiuse additional criteria, such as award-deemed optimal in the specific situation.  To illustrate how minimum requirer qualification requirements can be practice, we distributed an example pricomments. In this regard, it is also we that resilience criteria also can be lifter for instance social clauses or cyber contribution.  Chapter IV  Access to markets  Article 19  Sustainability and resilience contribution in public procurement procedures	clude the horities to criteria, if on.  ments/preused in or to these orth noting ed through
possibility for national procuring aut use additional criteria, such as award-deemed optimal in the specific situation.  To illustrate how minimum requirer qualification requirements can be practice, we distributed an example pricomments. In this regard, it is also we that resilience criteria also can be lifter for instance social clauses or cyber contribution.  Chapter IV Access to markets  Article 19 Sustainability and resilience contribution in	horities to criteria, if on. ments/preused in or to these orth noting ed through
qualification requirements can be practice, we distributed an example pri comments. In this regard, it is also we that resilience criteria also can be lifted for instance social clauses or cybe contribution.  Chapter IV Access to markets  Article 19 Sustainability and resilience contribution in	used in or to these orth noting ed through
Access to markets  Article 19 Sustainability and resilience contribution in	
Access to markets  Article 19 Sustainability and resilience contribution in	
Article 19 Sustainability and resilience contribution in	
Sustainability and resilience contribution in	
Sustainability and resilience contribution in	
public procurement procedures	
1. Contracting authorities or and contracting 1. When procuring strategic net-zero The suggested article 19 from the Co	mmission
entities shall base the award of contracts for technology listed in article 3b contracting and Presidency needs clarification –	
strategic net-zero technology listed in the authorities and contracting entities shall take the raised by many Member States. Further	rmore, the
Annex Article 3b in a public procurement tender's contribution to sustainability and suggested article interferes with the procurement	rerogative
procedure on the most economically resilience into account through the application of a contracting authority under the Procedure on the most economically	ocurement
advantageous tender, which shall include the of minimum requirements, i.e. technical Directives to choose the award criteria	
best price-quality ratio, comprising at least the specifications, or contract clauses. fit, given the particular purchase	and the
sustainability and resilience contribution of the particular market situation.	
tender, in compliance with Directives  The tender's contribution to sustainability and	
2014/23/EU, 2014/24/EU, or 2014/25/EU and resilience must be handled in an objective. The draft version of article 19 sug	
applicable sectoral legislation, as well as with mandatory use of award criteria ris	

the Union's international commitments, including the GPA and other international agreements by which the Union is bound.

transparent and non-discriminatory manner and shall be taken into account based on:

(a) the proportion of the strategic net-zero technologies that originates from a source of supply accounting for more than 65% of the supply of that specific strategic net-zero technology within the Union. The source of supply shall be determined in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 952/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>1</sup>;

and at least one of the following where applicable:

- (b) the environmental sustainability going beyond the minimum requirements in applicable legislation; or
- (c) where an innovative solution needs to be developed, the contribution to innovation by providing entirely new solutions or improving comparable state-of-the-art solutions.

limiting factor for contracting authorities who wants to accelerate the green transition.

A new phrasing of article 19, subsec. 1. is needed in order to secure transparent, implementable and harmonized requirements in the public procurement of net zero technologies, while also securing the necessary flexibility for the contracting authority.

The litras (a), (b) and (c) would need some work still as there are other aspects to consider such as social clauses or cyber security. It also needs to be considered whether the specific criteria should be in the act itself, with the risk of setting them in stone without any chance of reviewing them. In any case, the criteria must be clear and operational for public authorities in their implementation of the requirements and *increase* the transparency for companies bidding. At the same time, it is important to ensure that they are flexible enough due to changing market situations. Commission guidance mentioned in art. 22 (1) could be important in this regard.

Prior and in parallel to the NZIA, it has been discussed in Brussels in recent months how sector regulation can incorporate requirements for contracting authorities in the procurement process. For example, the building products

Regulation (EU) No 952/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 October 2013 laying down the Union Customs Code (OJ L 269, 10.10.2013, p. 1).

regulation, Ecodesign regulation, regulation on heavy duty vehicles. In these discussions, award criteria as a regulatory mechanism was suggested. However, the discussions have shown that policy makers as well as procurement professionals find it insufficient to rely solely on award criteria when utilizing public spend as a lever to reach specific policy goals. Therefore, award criteria have either been supplemented by other procurement mechanisms or abandoned as regulatory mechanism. We suggest to transfer this argumentation to apply to public procurement of Net Zero-technologies due to the challenges in the form of transaction costs, fragmentation through circumvention risk, and untransparent, when relying solely on the award criteriaapproach.

DK's suggestion for a redrafted article 19, subsec. 1. is thus also inspired by the latest version of the procurement-article in regulation on amending Regulation (EU) 2019/1242 as regards strengthening the CO<sub>2</sub> emission performance standards for new heavy-duty vehicles and integrating reporting obligations, and repealing Regulation (EU) 2018/956.

When a strategic net-zero technology is not the main object of the contract but only part of it, but the estimated value of the respective part of the contract is above the thresholds When a strategic net-zero technology is not the main object subject of the contract but only part of it, and can be separated from the mail object of the contract andbut the estimated value of the

The option to divide contracts into separate lots is regulated in the public procurement directive article 46. It is an important tool to help SME's participation in the competition for public

set out in Directives 2014/23/EU, 2014/24/EU, or 2014/25/EU, contracting authorities or contracting entities shall either:	a respective part of the contract regarding the strategic net-zero technologies is above the thresholds set out in Directives 2014/23/EU, 2014/24/EU, or 2014/25/EU, contracting authorities or contracting entities shall divide the strategic net-zero technology part into one or more separate lotseither:	procurement contracts. Dividing into separate lots do however often lead to some increased transaction costs for the contracting authority, as they have to manage several contracts instead of one. It may also lead to a worse product, if tenderers are limited to only winning one or some of the lots. If for example a contracting authority has divided a contract into 2 lots, and limits the tenderers access to only 1 lot per tenderer, the tenderer who wins the main contract may have also had the best offer for the secondary lot, but is restricted from winning it. This will leave the contracting authority with a worse product for the secondary lot, but 2 separate tenderers, which is favourable for SME's, as they would otherwise struggle competing against bigger companies.  It is not clear from the draft, whether or not a tenderer should be allowed to win more than one lot.  Question: Is this equivalent to the assessment of the main subject of a contract as used in Directives 2014/23/EU, 2014/24/EU, or 2014/25/EU?
(a) separate the strategic net-zero technology part into dedicated lot or lots,	(a) separate the strategic net-zero technology part into dedicated lot or lots,	The content of the paragraph has been moved to the above paragraph.
(b) prepare a separate contract or contracts for the strategic net-zero technology part, or	(b) prepare a separate contract or contracts for the strategic net-zero technology part, or	This option seems unnecessary. Without it, the contracting authority will still have the option to do so, since if they choose to prepare separate contracts, then the contract regarding the net-

		zero technology would no longer "not be the main part of the contract" and thus would not be covered by this paragraph.
(c) impose on the main contractor an obligation of competitive subcontracting for the supply of the respective strategic net-zero technology products applying respectively the rules of Directives 2014/23/EU, 2014/24/EU, or 2014/25/EU and the provisions of this Article.	(c) impose on the main contractor an obligation of competitive subcontracting for the supply of the respective strategic net-zero technology products applying respectively the rules of Directives 2014/23/EU, 2014/24/EU, or 2014/25/EU and the provisions of this Article.	This paragraph is very unclear regarding what obligations it imposes on the contracting authority. It potentially involves a lot of obligations if the contracting authority is responsible for the main contractor's completion of a public procurement, and even more obligations if the contracting authority is required to verify that such a procedure is done within the legal framework of the directives.
		If the paragraph is kept, it needs to be clarified what exactly the contracting authority is obliged to do, and therein what it takes to fulfil those obligations.
		It is doubtful if a contracting authority would ever prefer imposing these requirements on the main contractor with whatever obligations entails by doing so over simply dividing the contract into separate lots.
		For clarity it is suggested, that only the option to divide into separate lots is used, as it is assumed, that this will be the preferred option in practice in most – if not all – cases.
	The contracting authority shall not be obliged to divide the strategic net-zero technology part into one or more separate lots, if a division would lead to disproportionate costs or if it is not	In practice it may prove difficult or disproportionately expensive to separate some parts from the main contract. If the net-zero technology part is not possible to separate from

2. The tender's sustainability and resilience contribution shall be based on the following	technically possible to divide the strategic netzero technology part from the main object of the contract.  Contracting authorities shall provide an explanation of the main reasons for their decision not to divide into lots, which shall be included in the procurement documents.  The tender's sustainability and resilience contribution shall be based on the following	the main contract e.g. due to technical dependency (compatibility), the contracting authority should have the option to not divide the contract. It also seems in accordance with the considerations put forth in paragraph 4 of the proposal that the contracting authority may be exempt from dividing the contract, if the division of the contract would lead to disproportionate costs. It may also be appropriate to add an example of what disproportionate costs would be, as is done in paragraph 4.  As is also the case in the public procurement directive article 46, paragraph 1, contracting authorities should be obliged to provide an explanation for the main reasons for not dividing a contract into lots. Therefore, the suggested addition creates consistency with existing procurement regulation.  Moved to paragraph 1.
eumulative criteria which shall be objective,	cumulative criteria which shall be objective, transparent and non-discriminatory:	
transparent and non-discriminatory:	transparem and non-discriminatory:	
(a) contribution to resilience, taking into account the proportion of the strategic netzero technologies that originates from a source of supply accounting for more than 65% of the supply of that specific strategic netzero technology within the Union.	(a) contribution to resilience, taking into account the proportion of the strategic net zero technologies that originates from a source of supply accounting for more than 65% of the supply of that specific strategic net-zero technology within the Union.	Moved to paragraph 1.
For the purpose of point (a), the source of supply shall be determined in accordance	For the purpose of point (a), the source of supply shall be determined in accordance with	Moved to paragraph 1.

#### Deadline for comments: 27-09-2023 cob

with Regulation (EU) No 952/2013 of the	Regulation (EU) No 952/2013 of the European	
European Parliament and of the Council <sup>2</sup> ;	Parliament and of the Council <sup>3</sup> ;	
and at least one of the following:	and at least one of the following:	
(a)(b) environmental sustainability going beyond	(a)(b)environmental sustainability going beyond	Moved to paragraph 1.
the minimum requirements in applicable	the minimum requirements in applicable	<b>*</b>
legislation;	legislation;	
(c) contribution to innovation by providing	(c) contribution to innovation by providing	Moved to paragraph 1.
entirely new solutions or improving	entirely new solutions or improving comparable	
comparable state-of-the-art solutions;	state of the art solutions;	
(b) where an innovative solution needs to be		
developed, the impact and the quality of the		
implementation plan, including risk		
management measures;		
(c) where applicable, the tender's contribution		
to the energy system integration;		
_		
(d) the tender's contribution to resilience,		
taking into account the proportion of the		
products originating from a single source of		
supply, as determined in accordance with		
Regulation (EU) No 952/2013 of the European		

Regulation (EU) No 952/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 October 2013 laying down the Union Customs Code (OJ L 269, 10.10.2013, p. 1).

Regulation (EU) No 952/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 October 2013 laying down the Union Customs Code (OJ L 269, 10.10.2013, p. 1).

Parliament and of the Council <sup>4</sup> , from which		
more than 65% of the supply for that specific		
net-zero technology within the Union originates		
in the last year for which data is available for		
when the tender takes place.		
This shall not preclude contracting	This shall not preclude contracting authorities	This should obviously be the case due to a
authorities and contracting entities from	and contracting entities from using additional	number of reasons. But just considering the fact
using additional non-price criteria.	non-price criteria.	that other legislation may also contain
		obligatory award criteria should be enough. It is
		however redundant, if the above changes are
		accepted, as award criteria will not then be
		obligatory to use.
		5
3. <u>In public procurement procedures,</u>	3. The tender's contribution to the sustainability	The obligatory weighting will be redundant, if
Contracting authorities and contracting entities	and resilience as referred to in paragraph 1, shall	the above changes are accepted, as award
shall give to each of the criteria applied to	be given a significant weighting in the public	criteria will not be the only option.
assess the tender's sustainability and resilience	procurement documents	
contribution a minimum weight of 5% and a	*	The added text is a bit more flexible, as it allows
<b>combined</b> weight between 15% and 30% of the	3. <u>In public procurement procedures,</u>	for contracting authorities to give the tender's
award criteria. This is without prejudice of the	Ccontracting authorities and contracting entities	contribution to the sustainability and resilience
application of Article 41 (3) of Directive	shall give to each of the criteria applied to	as referred to in paragraph 1 a significant
2014/23/EU, Article 67 (5) of Directive	assess the tender's sustainability and resilience	weighting in the public procurement documents
2014/24/EU or Article 82 (5) of Directive	contribution a minimum weight of 5% and a	by for example using mandatory minimum
2014/25/EU, which allow for giving a higher	combined weight between 15% and 30% of the	criteria as technical specifications.
weighting to the criteriaon on environmental	award criteria. This is without prejudice of the	1
sustainability referred to in paragraph 2,	application of Article 41 (3) of Directive	As a separate comment, we question whether a
points (a) and (b).	2014/23/EU, Article 67 (5) of Directive	weight of 5% will be of significance.
1 (, (-).	2014/24/EU or Article 82 (5) of Directive	
	2014/25/EU, which allow for giving a higher	
	201 "20120 Willest anow for giving a fighter	

<sup>4</sup> Regulation (EU) No 952/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 October 2013 laying down the Union Customs Code (OJ L 269, 10.10.2013, p. 1).

	weighting to the criteriaon on environmental sustainability referred to in paragraph 2,	
	points (a) and (b).	
4. The contracting authority or the contracting entity shall not be obliged to apply the considerations relating to the sustainability and resilience contribution of <b>strategic</b> net-zero technologies where their application would oblige that authority or entity to acquire equipment having disproportionate costs, or technical characteristics different from those of existing equipment, resulting in incompatibility, technical difficulties in operation and maintenance. Cost differences above 10% may be presumed by contracting authorities and contracting entities to be disproportionate.	4. The contracting authority or the contracting entity shall not be obliged to apply the considerations relating to the sustainability and resilience contribution of strategic net-zero technologies as referred to in paragraph 1, where their when the contracting authority can demonstrate, that the application would impose a reasonable risk of leading tooblige that authority or entity to acquire equipment having disproportionate costs, or technical characteristics different from those of existing equipment, resulting in incompatibility with existing equipment., technical difficulties in operation and maintenance. Cost differences above 10% may be presumed by contracting authorities and contracting entities to be disproportionate.  The contracting authority may demonstrate the risks of disproportionate costs or incompatibility based on information from a market dialogue prior to the publication of the contract notice.	The exemption makes sense as a guard against unreasonable costs due to obligatory requirements. It is however very important to note that contracting authorities cannot make substantial changes to the public procurement documents after the publication of the contract notice. The ECJ made this point in the case C-368/10, pr. 55: "they cannot change, even by means of corrections, the meaning of the essential contractual conditions, to which category the technical specifications and the award criteria belong, as those conditions were formulated in the specifications, upon which the economic operators concerned legitimately relied in taking the decision to prepare to submit a tender or, on the other hand, not to participate in the procurement procedure concerned."  In order for this exemption to be usable without having to make a new tender, the decision to apply or not to apply the conditions must be made before the public procurement procedure begins, as it would entail a great legal risk to make such changes to the public procurement documents
		during the public procurement procedure.
		The reference to paragraph 1 is made to ensure clarity in regards to which conditions the paragraph concerns.

	It does seem reasonable that the contracting authority must be able to demonstrate, that the application of the conditions imposes a reasonable risk of leading to either disproportionate costs or incompatibility with existing equipment. It seems difficult for a contracting authority to demonstrate that technical difficulties are disproportionate without converting their "difficulties" to a monetary value, and in that case it would be contained under "disproportionate costs", which is why it may be left out of the paragraph.
This provision shall be without prejudice of the possibility to exclude abnormally low tenders under Article 69 of Directive 2014/24/EU and Article 84 of Directive 2014/25/EU, and without prejudice to other contract award criteria according to the EU legislation, including social aspects according to Articles 30 (3) and 36 (1), second intent of Directive 2014/23/EU, Articles 18 (2) and 67 (2) of Directive 2014/24/EU and Articles 36 (2) and 82 (2) of Directive 2014/24/EU.	
Article 20 Auctions to deploy renewable energy sources	
1. Without prejudice to Article 4 of Directive (EU) 2018/2001 and Articles 107 and 108 the Treaty, and to the Union's international commitments including the GPA and other	

international agreements by which the Union is	
bound, Member States, regional or local	
authorities, bodies governed by public law or	
associations formed by one or more such	
authorities or one or more such bodies governed	
by public law, shall assess the sustainability and	
resilience contribution as referred to in Article	
paragraph 1a of this Article of this Regulation	
when designing the criteria used for ranking	
bids in the framework of auctions, the aim of	
which is to support the production or	
consumption of energy from renewable sources	
and where technologies listed as a strategic	
net-zero technologies under this Regulation	
are allowed to participate. This is without	
prejudice to Article 4 of Directive (EU)	
<b>2018/2001</b> and Articles 107 and 108 the	
Treaty, and to the Union's international	
commitments as defined in Article 2, point (1)	
of Directive (EU) 2018/2001.	
1a. The auctions' sustainability and	
resilience contribution shall be based on the	
following criteria which shall be objective,	
transparent and non-discriminatory:	
(a) contribution to resilience, taking into	
account the proportion of the strategic net-	
zero technologies that originates from a	
source of supply accounting for more than	
65% of the supply of that specific strategic	
net-zero technology within the Union.	

For the purpose of point (a), the source of supply shall be determined in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 952/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council;	
and at least one of the following:	
(b) environmental sustainability going beyond the minimum requirements in applicable legislation;	
(c) contribution to innovation by providing entirely new solutions or improving comparable state-of-the-art solutions;	
(d) contribution to the energy system integration.	
This shall not preclude Member States from using additional non-price criteria beyond those listed in this paragraph 1a.	
2. Member States shall give to each of  The criteria applied to assess the auction's sustainability and resilience contribution a minimum weight of 5% and a combined weight between 15% and 30% of the award criteria. This is without prejudice of the possibility to give a higher weighting to the criteria on on environmental sustainability referred to in Article 19(2) 20(1a), points (a) and (b), where applicable under Union	

Directive 2018/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2018 on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources

strategic net-zero technology final products listed in the Annex, Member States, regional or local authorities, bodies governed by public law or associations formed by one or more such authorities or one or more such bodies governed by public law, shall design them in such a way as to promote the purchase by beneficiaries of strategic net-zero technology final products with a high sustainability and resilience contribution as referred in Article 21(3a) 19(2), by providing additional proportionate financial compensation.	
2. The additional financial compensation granted by authorities in accordance with paragraph 1, due to the application of the criteria referred to in Article 21(3a) (a), (b) (c) and (d) shall not exceed 5% of the cost of the net-zero technology final product for the consumer.	
3. When designing and implementing a scheme falling under paragraph 1, the authority shall base itself on an open, non-discriminatory and transparent process to assess the resilience and sustainability contribution of available <b>strategic net-zero technology final</b> products on the market. Any <b>strategic</b> net-zero technology final product shall be entitled to apply to join the scheme at any time. The authority shall specify a pass mark for <b>strategic net-zero technology final</b> products to be eligible to the	

ditional financial compensation under the	
pport scheme.	
. The sustainability and resilience	
ntribution of other forms of public	
tervention shall be based on the following	
iteria which shall be objective, transparent	
nd non-discriminatory:	
	V
contribution to resilience, taking into	
count the proportion of the strategic net-	
ro technologies that originates from a	
urce of supply accounting for more than	
% of the supply of that specific strategic	
et-zero technology within the Union.	
For the purpose of point (a), the source	
supply shall be determined in accordance	
ith Regulation (EU) No 952/2013 of the	
uropean Parliament and of the Council;	
nd at least one of the following:	
) environmental sustainability going	
yond the minimum requirements in	
pplicable legislation;	
contribution to innovation by providing	
tirely new solutions or improving	
mparable state-of-the-art solutions;	
) contribution to the energy system	
tegration.	

This shall not preclude Member States from	
using additional non-price criteria beyond	
those listed in this paragraph 3a.	
4. Member States shall publish on a single free access website all information relating to schemes pursuant to Article 21(1) for each relevant <b>strategic</b> net-zero technology <b>final</b> product.	
Article 22 Coordination of access to markets initiatives	
1. Where relevant, tThe Commission shall provide guidance on the application of the criteria to assess the resilience and sustainability contribution of available products covered by the forms of public intervention covered under articles 19, 20 and 21.	
2. For the assessment of the contribution to the resilience criterion, Tthe Commission shall make available and regularly update a list of each of the net-zero technology final products listed in the Annex, broken down by the share of Union supply originating in different third countries in the last year for which data is available.	
3. The Net-Zero Europe Platform shall discuss measures carried out by Member States to implement Articles 19 and to 21 and	

# Deadline for comments: 27-09-2023 cob

exchange best practices, inter alia, as concerns the practical use of criteria defining the sustainability and resilience contribution in public procurement, or schemes incentivising the purchase of net-zero technology final products.		
		<b>Genral comments</b> :
END	END	END



Interinstitutional files: 2023/0081 (COD)

Brussels, 26 October 2023

WK 13940/2023 INIT

LIMITE

COMPET IND

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

#### **WORKING DOCUMENT**

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Working Party on Competitiveness and Growth (Industry)
Subject:	DK preliminary comments on NZIA Chapter IV on Access to markets