



Council of the European Union  
General Secretariat

Brussels, 03 December 2021

---

---

Interinstitutional files:  
2013/0186(COD)

---

---

WK 11950/2021 ADD 5

LIMITE

AVIATION  
CODEC

### WORKING PAPER

*This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.*

### WORKING DOCUMENT

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Working Party on Aviation
Subject:	Amended proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and the Council on the implementation of the Single European Sky (recast) - Comments from Member States on the outcome of technical meetings with the EP for Chapter III

Delegations will find, attached, comments from THE NETHERLANDS on the above mentioned subject.

#### General comments

NLD supports the General Approach (GA). If no specific comment is made for a line, then we follow the GA. If NLD has not comment on a proposed compromise texts then these are acceptable.

<b>H3</b>	<b>Article 6 (document 11637/21 REV2)</b>
118/119	The EP proposal is not acceptable for both lines. The EP effectively introduces two certificates under the umbrella of one. It is therefore against the principle taken up by member states in GA of a 'single certificate'. Also, this is a duplication of the EASA NBR as adapted by GA.
121	Support for compromise text, it is consistent with GA.
122	Not acceptable. The introduction of Delegated Acts (in EASA NBR) is not acceptable. The GA for the EASA NBR fully describes the conditions and the possibility to modify them through Implementing Acts. There is no need for Delegated Acts.
123-124b and 126-127	<p>Retain the GA text on these provisions.</p> <p>The EP proposals assume two certificates and supervision of the economic certificate. This is not acceptable because NLD considers one certificate as described in GA for both SES2+ and EASA NBR a more targeted, less bureaucratic, and sufficient approach.</p> <p>The PCY proposals for 123 and 124b creep close to EP proposals and too strongly suggest two separate parts in the certificate and supervision. Therefore, it is not supported by NLD.</p>
125	Retain the GA approach, i.e. no text on this point. No additional Implementing Rule with economic requirements as originally proposed by the EC is needed.
129	Retain GA text.
129a	The EP proposal is not acceptable because it is based on two separate parts in the certificate and is therefore contrary to the 'single certificate' principle. See also comments on lines 118/119.

- - - - -