

Interinstitutional files: 2021/0191(COD)

Brussels, 22 September 2021

WK 11151/2021 ADD 1

LIMITE

EF ECOFIN ENV CODEC SUSTDEV

WORKING PAPER

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

WORKING DOCUMENT

From: To:	Presidency Working Party on Financial Services and the Banking Union (Sustainable Finance)
Subject:	European Green Bond Regulation - Updated consolidation of comments (Annexes) - ddl 16.09.2021 (8 MS)

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions		
	Comments		
2021/0191 (COD)	SE:		
ANNEXES to the Proposal for a Regulation	(Comments):		
of the European Parliament and of the	Harmonising templates against existing standards as far as possible might make the EUGBS		
Council on on European green bonds	more attractive to use.		
	FI:		
	(Comments):		
	FI		
	General remarks regarding Annex I.		
	From our point of view, it seems that the portfolio approach is not fully taken noted in Annex I and Annex III. This needs to be corrected for ensuring the level-playing-field for different kinds of bonds.		
	The Annex I text is written as the factsheet could be done only for one bond, although article 8.2 says that the factsheet can relate one or several green bonds.		
	If we have understood correctly the factsheet is an ex-ante document, not ex-post. Some of the information can be difficult to disclose ex-ante. If this kind of information is going to be required to be disclosed, we think that disclosing this kind of information should not be obligatory.		
	DE: (Comments): Suggest harmonising the templates as far as possible with existing standards in order to facilitate		
	the adoption of the EU GBS.		
ANNEX I			

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI	IE LU DE (new)		Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46
Commission proposal		Drafting Sug Comme	
EUROPEAN GREEN BOND FACTSHEET	DE: (Comments): Different from the propose EuGB issuance. This sho		aplate seems to be designed only for single
1. General Information			
[Date of the publication of the European green bond factsheet]			
[The legal name of the issuer] [where available, legal entity identifier (LEI)] [website address providing investors with information on how to get in contact, and a telephone number]			
[Name of the bond assigned by the issuer] [where available, international securities identification numbers (ISIN)]	identification numbers (IS DE: (Comments):	SIN)]	where available, international securities n be used for multiple, future issuances.
[The identity and contact details of the external reviewer, including website address providing investors with information on how to			

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Drafting Suggestions
Comments
DE:
(Drafting):
- [Information on how the bond programme aligns with the broader environmental
strategy of the issuer]
DE:
(Comments):
See comment above.
BE:
(Comments):
The indication of any detrimental effect on another objective (DNSH principle) should also be
part of the environmental strategy
DE:
(Drafting):
The environmental objectives referred to in Article 9 of Regulation 2020/852 pursued by
the bond programme]

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE F	I IE LU DE (new)	Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46	
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments		
	DE: (Comments): See comment above.		
4. Intended allocation of bond proceeds	likely to result in unnecessary extra we for investors is questionable. The information indicative allocation report, while curred document (GB framework) only informatypes. The proposed provision would reach new factsheet with each new bond is additional work and costs, including to updated factsheet. To avoid such unne	ndicative allocation information in the factsheet is ork and costs for issuers, while the additional benefit mation requested under point 4 seems to create an ent good practice is to provide in the pre-issuance mation on the defined eligible project categories or equire issuers in many cases to prepare and publish suance. For frequent issuers, this creates significant o obtain additional pre-issuance reviews with each cessary costs, this provision should only refer to not specific projects. The present system works well	
4.1 Estimated Time until full allocation of proceeds	DE: (Comments): See comment above. This section should issuances.	be deleted or adjusted to cater for several bond	
[The period within which the proceeds are expected to be allocated]			
[The date by which proceeds are]	AT:		

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	
	Comments	
expected to be fully allocated]	(Drafting):	
	[The date by which proceeds are expected to be fully allocated]	
	AT:	
	(Comments):	
	While it is possible to give an estimated time period within which the proceeds of issuing a green	
	bond are expected to be allocated, it is very difficult to indicate the exact date by which the	
	proceeds are expected to be fully allocated.	
	Hence, information about the exact date should not be required.	
– [If the date above is more than five years		
after the date of the issuance of the bond: a		
justification for the longer period, based on the		
specific features of the economic activities		
concerned, accompanied by relevant		
documentation in an annex]		
4.2 Process for selecting green projects and		
estimated environmental impact		
– [A description of the processes by which		
the issuer will determine how projects align		
with the taxonomy requirements]		
	DE.	
- [A description of the relevant technical	DE:	
screening criteria referred to in Articles 10 to 15	(Comments):	
of Regulation (EU) 2020/852, and a	As per our general remarks in the main sheet, the regulation should allow for a reasonable degree	
specification of which delegated acts adopted	of flexibility for sovereign issuers, requiring a respective adjustment of this section.	

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

. ,	
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
under Articles 10(3), 11(3), 12(2), 13(2), 14(2) and 15(2) of Regulation (EU) 2020/852 are taken into account]	
— [Where available: information on the methodology and assumptions to be used for the calculation of key impact metrics in accordance with delegated acts adopted under Articles 10(3), 11(3), 12(2), 13(2), 14(2) and 15(2) of Regulation (EU) 2020/852, and for any additional impact metrics. Where this information is not available, this must be justified.]	BE: (Comments): From experience, impact reporting on operating expenditures is a methodologically very challenging matter, yet is expected already at the level of the green bond factsheet, with justification required when it is not available. In our opinion differentiation between capital expenditures and operating expenditures for the purpose of the methodological explanations required in the pre-issuance and post-issuance reports would be justified.
[Where applicable, information on any related standardisation or certification process in project selection]	
 [Where available, an estimation of expected positive and adverse environmental impacts in aggregated form. Where this information is not available, this must be justified.] 	DE: (Drafting): - [Where available, an estimation of expected positive and adverse environmental impacts in aggregated form. Where this information is not available, this must be justified.] DE: (Comments): 1) Article 6 (1) requires, inter alia, compliance with the "do no significant harm" criteria and the minimum safeguards in accordance with Article 3 (b) and (c), respectively. Hence, there should be no need to include an estimation of adverse environmental impacts.

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT I	BE FI IE LU DE (new)	Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46	
Commission proposal		Drafting Suggestions Comments	
	require more clarity. 2) Under consideration whether this level of		
4.3 Intended qualifying green projects	DE: (Comments): The provisions under point 4 and specifical project-level information in the factsheet, the indicative allocation report. This would profest and pre-issuance review with each additional work and costs for issuers, while We hence strongly suggest clarifying that provided in the factsheet, as the reasons state considerations, or a large number of under should be sufficient to disclose only the type. Where a prospectus is to be published pursue reasonable or even required to publish such	(Drafting): 4.3 Intended types of qualifying green projects DE: (Comments): The provisions under point 4 and specifically this point 4.3 that requires issuers to provide project-level information in the factsheet, thereby basically turning the factsheet into an indicative allocation report. This would practically require issuers to prepare and publish a new factsheet and pre-issuance review with each new bond issuance. That creates significant additional work and costs for issuers, while the additional benefit for investors is questionable. We hence strongly suggest clarifying that project-level information must not necessarily be provided in the factsheet, as the reasons stated below ("confidentiality agreements, competitive considerations, or a large number of underlying qualifying projects") may not be clear enough. It should be sufficient to disclose only the <i>types</i> or <i>categories</i> of eligible projects. Where a prospectus is to be published pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2017/1129, it might be reasonable or even required to publish such project-specific information in the GB factsheet. Where a prospectus is not to be published pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2017/1129, this provision	

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
[Where available to the issuer, the following information shall be provided at project level, unless confidentiality agreements, competitive considerations, or a large number of underlying qualifying projects limit the amount of detail that can be made available, in which case the information shall be provided at least at aggregate level, with an explanation of why project-level information is not given:	DE: (Drafting): [Where available to the issuer, the following information shall be provided at project level, unless confidentiality agreements, competitive considerations, or a large number of underlying qualifying projects limit the amount of detail that can be made available, in which case the information shall be provided at least at aggregate level, with an explanation of why project-level information is not given: DE: (Comments): See above.
For intended qualifying projects:	DE: (Drafting): For intended types of qualifying projects: DE: (Comments): See above.
Their environmental objectives referred to in Article 9 of Regulation 2020/852	
Their types, sectors, and the respective NACE codes in accordance with the statistical classification of economic activities established by Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 ¹	BE: (Comments): Sovereigns can use tax credits and subsidies as eligible expenditures. These need to support the environmental objectives, but should they also be linked to an underlying activity/NACE that is

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46

_

Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 December 2006 establishing the statistical classification of economic activities NACE Revision 2 and amending Council

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments		
	specified in the technical screening criteria? We can imagine that this is not unambiguous E.g. tax credit for the installation of chargers for electrical cars can be linked to a variety of activities such as the production of electric cars, the production of chargers, the services of installing such chargers or even the service of organizing transportation using electric vehicles. DE: (Drafting): Their types, sectors, and, where applicable, the respective NACE codes in accordance with the statistical classification of economic activities established by Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 ² DE: (Comments): Some activities re-/financed by sovereign issuers cannot be linked to a NACE code, which needs to be considered here.		
- Their countries			
The respective amount to be allocated from bond proceeds, and the percentage of proceeds to be allocated respectively to projects financed after bond issuance and projects	DE: (Drafting): - Where available, t The respective indicative or intended amount to be allocated from bond proceeds, and the percentage of proceeds to be allocated respectively to projects financed		

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46

Regulation (EEC) No 3037/90 as well as certain EC Regulations on specific statistical domains (OJ L 393, 30.12.2006, p. 1).

Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 December 2006 establishing the statistical classification of economic activities NACE Revision 2 and amending Council Regulation (EEC) No 3037/90 as well as certain EC Regulations on specific statistical domains (OJ L 393, 30.12.2006, p. 1).

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
Commission proposal	Comments
financed before bond issuance	after bond issuance and projects financed before bond issuance
	DE:
	(Comments):
	See above.
Where the issuer is a sovereign, and	
bond proceeds are planned to be allocated to the	
tax relief referred to in Article 4(2), point (c), an	
estimation of the expected volume of revenue	
loss associated with eligible tax relief	
1000 abboolated with englore and rener	
Where a bond co-finances intended	DE:
qualifying projects, an indication of the	(Drafting):
proportion financed by the bond	Where a bond co-finances intended qualifying projects programmes , an indication of
Frequency and the control of the c	the proportion financed by the bond
	DE:
	(Comments):
	See above.
	LU:
	(Drafting):
	- Where a bond refinances another European green bond, a statement to such effect
	and an indication of the proportion refinanced by the bond.
	LU:
	(Comments):
	We consider this also an important information which should be provided by the issuer.
 Where available, links to websites with 	1 1
relevant information	

Deadline: 16 September 2021

[An indication of whether allocation

reports will include project-by-project information on amounts disbursed and the

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI	IE LU DE (new)	Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46
Commission proposal	Drafting Sugges Comments	
Where available, links to relevant public documents with more detailed information 4.4 Unallocated proceeds		
[Information on how the temporary use of unallocated proceeds will not affect the delivery of the environmental objectives]		
5. Information on reporting		
[A link to the website where allocation reports and impact reports will be published]	LU: (Drafting): [A link to the issuer's website referred to in Article 1 reports will be published] LU: (Comments): It seems appropriate to make a more general link to documentation is published (including review reports).	o the issuer's website where all relevant

Deadline: 16 September 2021

What is the difference between "disbursed" and "allocated"?

AT:

(Comments):

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

<u> </u>		
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	
	Comments	
expected positive and negative environmental impacts]	DE: (Drafting): [An indication of whether allocation reports will include project-by-project information on amounts disbursed and the expected positive and negative environmental impacts] DE: (Comments): Please see comment to item 4.2, last indent, above. Alternatively, the part "and the expected positive and negative environmental impacts" can be fully deleted since this is part of the impact report and does not need to be added to the allocation report.	
6. Other relevant information		
ANNEX II		
EUROPEAN GREEN BOND ANNUAL		
ALLOCATION REPORT		
[where the allocation report is revised, the title shall reflect this]		
1. General Information		
 [Date of the publication of the allocation report] [where applicable, date of the publication of the final allocation report or date 		

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
of the publication of the revised allocation report]]	
- [The legal name of the issuer] [where available, LEI], [website address providing investors with information on how to get in contact, and a telephone number]	
[Name of the bond assigned by the issuer] [where available, ISIN]	
 [where the allocation report has been subject to post-issuance review, the identity and contact details of the external reviewer, including a website address providing investors with information on how to get in contact, and a telephone number] 	AT: (Comments): In our opinion the review should be mandatory, see Annex I 1. (see comment to recital 14 and Art 9 of the Draft Regulation)
2. Adhesion to the requirements of the European Green Bonds Regulation	
[A statement showing that proceeds have been allocated according to the requirements of this Regulation]	
3. Allocation of bond proceeds	

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
A. For issuers except those referred to in point B below:	
[The following information shall be provided at project level, unless confidentiality agreements, competitive considerations, or a large number of underlying qualifying projects limit the amount of detail that can be made available, in which case the information shall be provided at least at aggregate level, with an explanation of why project-level information is not given:	
The environmental objectives referred to in Article 9 of Regulation 2020/852	BE: (Drafting): The environmental objectives referred to in Article 9 of Regulation 2020/852 and the percentage of proceeds allocated respectively to each objective
The types and sectors of projects, and the respective NACE codes in accordance with the statistical classification of economic activities established by Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 ³	DE: (Drafting): — The types and sectors of projects, and, if applicable, the respective NACE codes in accordance with the statistical classification of economic activities established by Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 ⁴

Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 December 2006 establishing the statistical classification of economic activities NACE Revision 2 and amending Council Regulation (EEC) No 3037/90 as well as certain EC Regulations on specific statistical domains (OJ L 393, 30.12.2006, p. 1).

⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 December 2006

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
	DE: (Comments): See comment above. This provision must allow for not NACE-classified activitivies re-/financed by sovereign issuers, or otherwise clarify how to treat activitivies that are not NACE-classified.
The countries where bond proceeds have been allocated	BE: (Drafting): The countries where bond proceeds have been allocated and the percentage of proceeds allocated respectively to each country
The respective amount allocated from bond proceeds, and the percentage of proceeds allocated respectively to projects financed after bond issuance and projects financed before bond issuance	
- Where the issuer is a sovereign, and bond proceeds are allocated to tax relief referred to in Article 4(2), point (c), an estimation of the volume of revenue loss associated with eligible tax relief	
Where a bond co-finances qualifying projects, an indication of the proportion	

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46

establishing the statistical classification of economic activities NACE Revision 2 and amending Council Regulation (EEC) No 3037/90 as well as certain EC Regulations on specific statistical domains (OJ L 393, 30.12.2006, p. 1).

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Commission anomasal	Drafting Suggestions	
Commission proposal	Comments	
financed by the bond		
	LU:	
	(Drafting):	
	Where a bond refinances another European green bond, an indication of the	
	proportion refinanced by the bond.	
	LU:	
	(Comments):	
	We consider this also an important information which should be provided by the issuer.	
 For assets that are concerned by a 	BE:	
taxonomy alignment plan: the progress in the	(Drafting):	
implementation of the plan during the reporting	For assets that are concerned by a taxonomy alignment plan: the progress in the implementation	
period, and the estimated date of completion;	of the plan during the reporting period, and the estimated date of completion; if the initial date of	
	completion is postponed, the reasons justifying the delay and the new date for completion.	
 Confirmation of compliance with Point 		
(c) of Article 3 of Regulation (EU) 2020/852		
(minimum safeguards)		
 An indication of which delegated acts 		
adopted in accordance with Articles 10(3),		
11(3), 12(2), 13(2), 14(2) or 15(2) of Regulation		
(EU) 2020/852 were used to determine the		
taxonomy technical screening criteria, and their		
application dates]		
B. For issuers that are financial undertakings		
that allocate proceeds from a portfolio of several		

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
European green bonds to a portfolio of financial assets as referred to in Article 5:	
[The section "Allocation of bond proceeds" shall contain the following information:	
 An overview over all outstanding European green bonds, indicating their individual and combined value. 	AT: (Drafting): An overview over all outstanding European green bonds of the issuer, indicating their individual and combined value. AT: (Comments): It should be clarified that this requirement only concerns bonds of the issuer itself and the group of connected companies of the issuer. BE: (Drafting): An overview over all outstanding European green bonds, indicating their individual and combined value and their date of maturity. DE: (Drafting): An overview over all outstanding European green bonds issued by the issuer, indicating their individual and combined value. DE: (Comments): For clarity.
	1 of Clarity.
An overview over the eligible financial	

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new) Updated: 22/09/		Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46
Commission proposal	Drafting Suga Commen	
assets as referred to in Article 5 on the issuer's balance sheet, indicating:		
a) their total amortised value,	FI: (Comments): FI For us it is unclear, what the term of "total amortise the outstanding amount of a financial asset that takes	· ·
b) the environmental objectives referred to in Article 9 of Regulation (EU) 2020/852,		
c) their types, sectors and countries,	AT: (Comments): Why are NACE codes not included?	
d) where a bond co-finances qualifying projects, an indication of the proportion financed by the bond, where available,		
e) an indication of which delegated acts adopted in accordance with Articles 10(3), 11(3), 12(2), 13(2), 14(2) or 15(2) of Regulation (EU) 2020/852 were used to determine the taxonomy technical screening criteria, at least at sector and country level, and where applicable, at individual asset level,		

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	
Commission proposar	Comments	
f) where relevant, the value of each asset,		
or group of assets		
 A comparison of the total value of 	DE:	
outstanding European green bonds and the total	(Drafting):	
amortised value of eligible financial assets as	A comparison of the total value of outstanding European green bonds <u>issued by the</u>	
referred to in Article 5. The comparison shall	<u>issuer</u> and the total amortised value of eligible financial assets as referred to in Article 5. The	
show that the latter is either equal to or higher	comparison shall show that the latter is either equal to or higher than the former.	
than the former.		
 For the purposes of the above 	SE:	
comparison, the total outstanding value of	(Comments):	
European green bonds shall be based on the	SE would welcome any clarification as to the relevance of quarterly data for these purposes.	
yearly average of quarter-end values of such		
bonds issued by that issuer, and the total		
amortised value of the financial assets shall be		
based on the yearly average of quarter-end		
values of such assets on the issuer's balance		
sheet.]		
4. Environmental impact of bond proceeds	DE:	
	(Drafting):	
	4. Environmental impact of bond proceeds	
	DE:	
	(Comments):	
	Given that no information is required under this heading, we suggest deleting this section.	

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	
Comment Proposition	Comments	
[No information is required under this heading	SE:	
for this report]	(Comments):	
	We would be interested to understand why no information is needed on the environmental	
	impact of bond proceeds and why this section is there at all if this is the case.	
	AT:	
	(Comments): The heading seems to be not necessary, when no information is required.	
	DE:	
	(Drafting):	
	[No information is required under this heading for this report]	
	1 to mitor mation is required under this neutring for this report	
5. Other relevant information		
ANNEX III		
EUROPEAN GREEN BOND IMPACT		
REPORT		
[Where the impact report is revised, the title		
shall reflect this.]		
1. General Information		
FD 4 C4 11: 4: C4 :		
– [Date of the publication of the impact		
report] [where applicable, Date of the publication of the revised impact report]		
publication of the revised impact report		

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Deadline: *16 September 2021*Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
- [The legal name of the issuer] [where available, LEI], [website address providing investors with information on how to get in contact, and a telephone number]	
[Name of the bond assigned by the issuer] [where available, ISIN]	
 [where the impact report was assessed by an external reviewer, the identity and contact details of the external reviewer, including website address providing investors with information on how to get in contact, and a telephone number] 	AT: (Comments): Why is assessment of an impact report not mandatory?
2. Environmental strategy and rationale	
 [Information on how the bond aligns with the broader environmental strategy of the issuer as set out in the factsheet] 	
[Where applicable, an explanation of any changes to broader environmental strategy of the issuer since the publication of the factsheet]	
[The environmental objectives referred]	

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI	IE LU DE (new)		Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46
Commission proposal		Drafting Sug Comme	
to in Article 9 of Regulation 2020/852 pursued by the bond]			
3. Allocation of bond proceeds	LU: (Comments):		
	conciceness and user-fri the allocation report – u	iendlyness, we suggest repla	r included in the allocation report. For acing this detailled section with a reference to t information not yet covered in the allocation ted allocation report).
[The following information shall be provided at project level, unless confidentiality agreements, competitive considerations, or a large number of underlying qualifying projects limit the amount of detail that can be made available, in which case the information shall be provided at least at aggregate level, with an explanation of why project-level information is not given:		•	
- The environmental objectives referred to in Article 9 of Regulation 2020/852,	BE: (Drafting): The environmental obje	ctives referred to in Article	9 of Regulation 2020/852 and the percentage

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

. ,		
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments	
	of proceeds allocated respectively to each objective	
 The types and sectors of projects, and countries where bond proceeds have been allocated 		
 The respective amount to allocated from bond proceeds, and the percentage of proceeds to allocated respectively to projects financed after bond issuance and projects financed before bond issuance 	DE: (Drafting): The respective amount to-allocated from bond proceeds, and the percentage of proceeds to allocated respectively to projects financed after bond issuance and projects financed before bond issuance	
 Where the issuer is a sovereign, and bond proceeds are allocated to the tax relief referred to in Article 4(2), point (c), an estimation of the volume of revenue loss associated with eligible tax relief 		
 Where a bond co-finances qualifying projects, an indication of the proportion financed by the bond 		
	LU: (Drafting): - Where a bond refinances another European green bond, an indication of the proportion refinanced by the bond.	
	LU:	

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	
0 0 1111111111111111111111111111111111	Comments	
	(Comments): We consider this also an important information which should be provided by the issuer.	
 Where applicable, an indication of those assets that were concerned by a taxonomy alignment plan, the duration of each plan, and the date of completion of each asset 		
- An indication of which delegated acts adopted in accordance with Articles 10(3), 11(3), 12(2), 13(2), 14(2) or 15(2) of Regulation (EU) 2020/852 were used to determine the taxonomy technical screening criteria, and their application date]	DE: (Comments): As per our general remarks in the main sheet, the regulation should allow for a reasonable degree of flexibility for sovereign issuers, requiring a respective adjustment of this section.	
4. Environmental impact of bond proceeds		
[An estimation of positive and adverse environmental impacts in aggregated form]	BE: (Comments): It will be recommandable to make a reference to the indicators of adverse impacts included in the SFDR RTS to enhance coherence between the regulation. DE: (Drafting): — [An estimation of positive and adverse environmental impacts in aggregated form] DE: (Comments): See comment to Annex I, item 4.2, last indent, above.	

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions		
 [Information on the methodology and 	DE:		
assumptions used to evaluate the impacts of	(Drafting):		
projects, where the European green bond	- [Information on the methodology and assumptions used to evaluate the impacts of		
factsheet of the bond did not include this	projects, where the European green bond facts heet of the bond did not include this		
information]	information		
	DE:		
	(Comments):		
	This information should at least be repeated, if not elaborated, in the impact report.		
 [Information about the projects' positive 	DE:		
and negative environmental impacts and, where	(Drafting):		
available, related metrics. Where this	– [Information about the projects' positive and negative environmental impacts and, where		
information is not available at project level, this	available, related metrics. Where this information is not available at project level, this must be		
must be justified]	justified]		
	DE:		
	(Comments): See comment to Annex I, item 4.2, last indent, above.		
	See comment to Annex 1, item 4.2, last indent, above.		
	Moreover, it might be useful to provide some guidance on relevant metrics and methods.		
	7 6 1		
5. Other relevant information			
ANNEX IV:			
CONTENTS OF DDF ISSUANCE AND			
CONTENTS OF PRE-ISSUANCE AND			
POST-ISSUANCE REVIEWS			

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Deadline: *16 September 2021*Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
The title 'Pre-issuance review' or 'Post-issuance review' shall appear prominently at the top of the first page of the document.	LU: (Comments):
General Information	
[Date of the publication of the pre- issuance review or post-issuance review]	
[The legal name of the issuer]	
[Name of the bond assigned by the issuer] [where available, ISIN]	
[The identity and contact details of the external reviewer, including website address providing investors with information on how to get in contact, and a telephone number]	
[The name and job title of the lead analyst in a given assessment activity]	
[The name and position of the person primarily responsible for approving the pre-issuance review or post-issuance review]	

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Deadline: *16 September 2021*Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments
— [The date on which the pre-issuance review or the post-issuance review was first released for distribution and, where relevant, when it was last updated]	
	LU: (Drafting): - any other services provided by the external reviewer for the assessed entity or any related third party. LU: (Comments): This would be consistent with Article 28 of the proposal.
2. Introductory statements	
[For pre-issuance reviews:	
A statement that an external reviewer has assessed the completed European green bond factsheet laid down in Annex I in accordance with this Regulation;	
 A statement that this pre-issuance review represents an independent opinion of the external reviewer; 	

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI	IE LU DE (new)	Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46
Commission proposal	Drafting Sugge Comment	
A statement that the independent opinion	AT:	
of the external review is to be relied upon only	(Comments):	
to a limited degree;]	It should be clarified what is meant by "limited degree	,,,
[For post-issuance reviews:		
A statement that an external reviewer	<u> </u>	
has assessed the completed allocation report laid		
down in Annex II in accordance with this		
Regulation;		
A statement that this post-issuance		
review represents an independent opinion of the		
external reviewer;		
	A.T.	
A statement that the independent opinion		
of the external review is to be relied upon only to a limited degree;]	(Comments): It should be clarified what is meant by "limited degree	,,,,
to a minited degree, j	It should be clarified what is meant by finited degree	· .
3. Statements on the compliance with the		
European green bonds Regulation		
[a statement regarding the compliance of the		
European green bond with this Regulation, and		
in particular:		
(a) where the opinion expressed by the		

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments	
independent reviewer is positive, a statement that the bond meets the requirements of this Regulation and that the designation 'European green bond' can be applied to that bond;		
(b) where the opinion expressed by the independent reviewer is negative, a statement that the bond does not meet the requirements of this Regulation and that the designation 'European green bond' cannot be applied to that bond;	BG: (Comments): BG: In our view in the regulation it should be clarified that the bond can use the designation EU green bonds only where the opinion of the external reviewer is positive.	
(c) where the opinion expressed by the independent reviewer indicates that the issuer does not intend to comply with Articles 3 to 7, or will not be able to do so, a statement that the designation 'European green bond' can only be used for the bond in question if the necessary steps have been taken to ensure that the bond complies with the requirements of this Regulation]	BG: (Comments): BG: In our view it is not clear if the bond could be issued as EU green bond and how the compliance with the requirements of the regulation would be ensured. LU: (Drafting): (c) where the opinion expressed by the independent reviewer indicates that the issuer was not able does not but intends and will be able to comply with Articles 43 to 7, or will not be able to do so, a statement that the designation 'European green bond' can only be used for the bond in question if the necessary steps have been taken to ensure that the bond complies with the relevant requirements of this Regulation] LU: (Comments): It is our view that such an opinion, which implies the use of "European green bond designation"	

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments in the future, should only be provided if the issuer intends and is able to comply with the relevant requirements of the proposal, as to avoid "green washing" and as otherwise the external reviewer should provide a negative opinion. It does not make sense to foresee a situation where the issuer does not even intend to comply with the relevant articles. Article 3 only deals with the designation; as provided for in point 5, reference should therefore be made to articles 4 to 7.	
	DE: (Drafting): (e) where the opinion expressed by the independent reviewer indicates that the issuer does not intend to comply with Articles 3 to 7, or will not be able to do so, a statement that the designation 'European green bond' can only be used for the bond in question if the necessary steps have been taken to ensure that the bond complies with the requirements of this Regulation] DE: (Comments): The practical case of application for this provision is not clear to us. From the investor's point of view, the external reviewer's opinion should be either positive or negative, but not positive conditional on the implementation of further measures to be taken by the issuer where the fulfilment is not subject to a renewed review by the external reviewer. We propose deleting this	
	provision.	
4. Sources, assessment methodologies, and key assumptions		
[Information about the sources relied upon to prepare the pre-issuance review or the content of the conten	LU: (Comments):	

Deadline: 16 September 2021

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI IE LU DE (new)

Deadline: *16 September 2021*Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions	
Commission proposar	Comments	
post-issuance review, including links to		
measurement data and the methodology applied,		
when available]		
 [An explanation of the assessment 		
methodologies and key assumptions]		
5A 1 (C(1 () 1		
- [An explanation of the assumptions and		
taxonomy requirements used, of the limits and uncertainties surrounding the methodologies		
used and a clear statement that the external		
reviewer considers the quality of information		
provided by the issuer a or related third party is		
sufficient to perform the pre-issuance review or		
the post-issuance review and the extent to		
which, if any, the external reviewer has		
attempted to verify the information so provided]		
5. Assessment and opinion		
ID.		
[For pre-issuance reviews:		
A detailed assessment of whether the	AT:	
completed green bond factsheet complies with	(Comments):	
Articles 4 to 7 of this Regulation	What is the difference between a "detailed assessment" and an assessment?	
	The second secon	
The opinion of the external reviewer on		

Comments received from: SE (new) BG AT BE FI	IE LU DE (new)	Updated: 22/09/2021 11:46
Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions Comments	
the assessment mentioned above]		
[For post-issuance reviews:		
A detailed assessment of whether the issuer has allocated the proceeds of the bond in compliance with Articles 4 to 7 of this Regulation, based on the information provided to the external reviewer	AT: (Comments): What is the difference between a "detailed assessment"	ent" and an assessment?
 An assessment of whether the issuer has complied with the intended use of proceeds set out in the green bond factsheet, based on the information provided to the external reviewer 	AT: (Comments): What is the difference between a "detailed assessme	ent" and an assessment?
The opinion of the external reviewer on the two assessments referred to directly above]		
6. Any other information		
[Any other information that the reviewer may deem relevant to its pre or post-issuance review]		

Deadline: 16 September 2021

End