

Interinstitutional files: 2021/0114(COD)

Brussels, 16 September 2021

WK 10807/2021 INIT

LIMITE

RC CODEC COMER COMPET MI

WORKING PAPER

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

WORKING DOCUMENT

From: To:	General Secretariat of the Council Working Party on Competition
Subject:	Proposal for a Regulation on Foreign Subsidies distorting the Internal Market - Table for MS comments on document ST 8576/21 - Articles 1-16, Articles 34, Articles 36 to 39

Delegations will find attached two tables (one with all recitals of the proposal and one with the relevant articles) for written comments on Proposal for a Regulation on Foreign Subsidies distorting the Internal Market (ST 8576/21).

You are invited to send your comments on Articles 1-16, Article 34, Articles 36 to 39 and only on recitals that corresponds to those articles.

Please use **only** the attached table (Microsoft **WORD version**) to send us your comments.

You will also find attached explanations on how to use the tables.

Please send your comments to: <u>Jan.Sitar@gov.si</u> and <u>Competition@consilium.europa.eu</u>, **by Friday, 8 October 2021, cob at the latest**.

Kind regards,

WK 10807/2021 INIT

LIMITE

Secretariat Competition



EN

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on foreign subsidies distorting the	MS Drafting suggestions	MS comments
internal market – doc. 8576/21		
Chapter 1: General provisions		
Article 1		
Subject matter and scope		
This Regulation lays down rules and procedures for investigating foreign subsidies that distort the internal market and for redressing such distortions. Such distortions may arise with respect to any economic activity, and in particular in concentrations and public procurement procedures.		
This Regulation addresses foreign subsidies granted to an undertaking engaging in an economic activity in the internal market. An undertaking acquiring control or merging with an undertaking established in the Union or an undertaking participating in a public procurement procedure is considered to be engaging in an economic activity in the internal market.		
Article 2		
Existence of a foreign subsidy		

For the purpose of this Regulation, a foreign subsidy shall be deemed to exist where a third country provides a financial contribution which confers a benefit to an undertaking engaging in an economic activity in the internal market and which is limited, in law or in fact, to an individual undertaking or industry or to several undertakings or industries.	
For the purpose of this Regulation,	
a financial contribution shall include:	
(i) the transfer of funds or liabilities, such as capital injections, grants, loans, loan guarantees, fiscal incentives, setting off of operating losses, compensation for financial burdens imposed by public authorities, debt forgiveness, debt to equity swaps or rescheduling;	
(ii) the foregoing of revenue that is otherwise due; or	
(iii) the provision of goods or services or the purchase of goods and services;	
the financial contribution provided by the third country shall include the financial contribution provided by:	
(i) the central government and government	

authorities at all other levels;	
(ii) foreign public entities, whose actions can be attributed to the third country, taking into account elements such as the characteristics of the entity, the legal and economic environment prevailing in the State in which the entity operates including the government's role in the economy; or	
(iii) any private entity whose actions can be attributed to the third country, taking into account all relevant circumstances.	
Article 3	
Distortions on the internal market	
A distortion on the internal market shall be deemed to exist where a foreign subsidy is liable to improve the competitive position of the undertaking concerned in the internal market and where, in doing so, it actually or potentially negatively affects competition on the internal market. Whether there is a distortion on the internal market shall be determined on the basis of indicators, which may include the following:	
the amount of the subsidy;	
the nature of the subsidy;	

the situation of the undertaking and the markets concerned;	
the level of economic activity of the undertaking concerned on the internal market;	
the purpose and conditions attached to the foreign subsidy as well as its use on the internal market.	
A foreign subsidy is unlikely to distort the internal market if its total amount is below EUR 5 million over any consecutive period of three fiscal years.	
Article 4	
Categories of foreign subsidies most likely to distort the internal market	
A foreign subsidy falling in any of the following categories is most likely to distort the internal market:	
a foreign subsidy granted to an ailing undertaking, that is to say which will likely go out of business in the short or medium term in the absence of any subsidy, unless there is a restructuring plan that is capable of leading to the long-term viability of that undertaking and includes a significant own contribution by the undertaking;	

a foreign subsidy in the form of an unlimited guarantee for debts or liabilities of the undertaking, that is to say without any limitation as to the amount or the duration of such guarantee;	
a foreign subsidy directly facilitating a concentration;	
a foreign subsidy enabling an undertaking to submit an unduly advantageous tender, on the basis of which the undertaking would be awarded the public contract.	
Article 5	
Balancing	
The Commission shall, where warranted, balance the negative effects of a foreign subsidy in terms of distortion on the internal market with positive effects on the development of the relevant economic activity.	
The Commission shall take into account the balancing between the negative and positive effects when deciding whether to impose redressive measures or to accept commitments, and the nature and level of those redressive measures or commitments.	

Article 6	
Commitments and redressive measures	
To remedy the distortion on the internal market	
actually or potentially caused by a foreign	
subsidy, the Commission may impose redressive	
measures. The undertaking concerned may also	
offer commitments.	
Commitments or redressive measures shall fully	
and effectively remedy the distortion caused by	
the foreign subsidy in the internal market.	
Commitments or redressive measures may	
consist of the following:	
offering access under fair and non-	
discriminatory conditions to an infrastructure	
that was acquired or supported by the distortive	
foreign subsidies unless such fair and non-	
discriminatory access is already provided for by	
legislation in force in the Union;	
reducing capacity or market presence;	
reducing capacity of market presence,	
refraining from certain investments;	
Tomaning nom community,	
licensing on fair, reasonable and non-	
discriminatory terms of assets acquired or	
developed with the help of foreign subsidies;	
1 1 5	

publication of results of research and	
development;	
divestment of certain assets;	
requiring the undertakings concerned to dissolve the concentration;	
repayment of the foreign subsidy, including an appropriate interest rate.	
The Commission may impose reporting and transparency requirements.	
If an undertaking offers commitments which fully and effectively remedy the distortion on the internal market, the Commission may accept them and make them binding on the undertaking in a decision with commitments according to Article 9(3).	
Where the undertaking concerned proposes to repay the foreign subsidy including an appropriate interest rate, the Commission shall accept such repayment as commitment if it can ascertain that the repayment is transparent and effective, while taking into account the risk of circumvention.	
Chapter 2: Ex Officio review of foreign subsidies	

Article 7	
Ex officio review of foreign subsidies	
The Commission may on its own initiative	
examine information from any source regarding alleged distortive foreign subsidies.	
aneged distortive foreign subsidies.	M
Article 8	~
Preliminary review	
The Commission shall seek all the information it	
considers necessary to assess, on a preliminary	
basis, whether the financial contribution under	
examination constitutes a foreign subsidy and	
whether it distorts the internal market. To that	
end, the Commission may in particular:	
request information in accordance with Article	
11;	
conduct inspections in and outside the Union in	
accordance with Article 12 or Article 13.	
Where the Commission, based on the	
preliminary review, considers that there are	
sufficient indications that an undertaking has	
been granted a foreign subsidy that distorts the	
internal market, it shall	
adopt a decision to initiate an in-depth	

investigation ('decision to initiate the in-depth investigation'), which shall summarise the relevant issues of fact and law and shall include the preliminary assessment of the existence of a foreign subsidy and of the actual or potential distortion on the internal market;	
inform the undertaking concerned; and	
publish a notice in the Official Journal of the European Union, which invites interested parties, Member States and the third country concerned to express their views in writing within a prescribed period of time.	
Where the Commission, after a preliminary assessment, concludes that there are no sufficient grounds to initiate the in-depth investigation, either because there is no foreign subsidy or because there are no indications of an actual or potential distortion on the internal market, it shall close the preliminary review and inform the undertaking concerned.	
Article 9	
In-depth investigation	
During the in-depth investigation, the Commission shall further assess the foreign subsidy distorting the internal market that has been identified in the decision to initiate the in-	

depth investigation, seeking all the information it considers necessary in accordance with Articles 11, 12 and 13.	
Where the Commission finds that a foreign subsidy distorts the internal market pursuant to Articles 3 to 5, it may impose redressive measures ('decision with redressive measures').	
Where the Commission finds that a foreign subsidy distorts the internal market pursuant to Articles 3 to 5 and the undertaking concerned offers commitments, which the Commission deems appropriate and sufficient to fully and effectively remedy the distortion, it may by a decision make these commitments binding on the undertaking ('decision with commitments'). A decision accepting the repayment of a foreign subsidy in accordance with Article 6(6) shall be considered a decision with commitments.	
The Commission shall adopt a no objection decision where it finds that:	
the preliminary assessment as set out in its decision to initiate the in-depth investigation is not confirmed; or	
a distortion on the internal market is outweighed by positive effects within the meaning of Article 5.	

Article 10	
Interim measures	
The Commission may take interim measures,	
where:	
there are indications that a financial contribution	
constitutes a foreign subsidy and distorts the internal market; and	
there is a serious risk of substantial and	
irreparable damage to competition on the	
internal market.	
A	
Article 11	
Information requests	
1	
The Commission may require an undertaking	
concerned to provide all necessary information.	
The Commission may also request such	
information from other undertakings or	
associations of undertakings.	
A request for information to an undertaking or	
an association of undertakings shall:	
state its legal basis and its purpose, specify what	
information is required and set an appropriate	
time limit within which the information is to be	

.1 1	
provided;	
contain a statement that if the information	
supplied is incorrect, incomplete or misleading	
fines and periodic penalty payments provided	
for in Article 15 could be imposed;	\)
contain a statement that, pursuant to Article 14,	
a lack of cooperation from the undertaking	
concerned allows the Commission to take a	
decision on the basis of the facts that are	
available.	
At the request of the Commission, Member	
States shall provide it with all necessary	
information to carry out the duties assigned to it	
by this Regulation.	
The Commission may also request a third	
country concerned to provide all necessary	
information.	
Article 12	
Inspections within the Union	
TI C : 1 + 4	
The Commission may conduct the necessary	
inspections of undertakings.	
Will die Control in the control in t	
Where the Commission undertakes such an	
inspection, the officials authorised by the	
Commission to conduct an inspection shall be	

empowered:		
empowered.		
to enter any premises and land of the		
undertaking concerned;		
undertaking concerned,		
to examine books and other business records and		
take, or request copies;		
mare, or request copies,	A STATE OF THE STA	
to ask any representative or member of staff of		
the undertaking for explanations on facts or		
documents relating to the subject-matter and		
purpose of the inspection and to record the		
answers;		
to seal any business premises and books or		
records for the period and to the extent		
necessary for the inspection.		
The undertaking concerned shall submit to		
inspections ordered by decision of the		
Commission. The officials and other		
accompanying persons authorised by the		
Commission to conduct an inspection shall		
exercise their powers upon production of a Commission decision:		
Commission decision.		
specifying the subject matter and purpose of the		
inspection;		
mopoonon,		
containing a statement that, pursuant to Article		
14, a lack of cooperation from the undertaking		
concerned allows the Commission to take a		

decision on the basis of the facts that are available;	
referring to the possibility to impose fines and penalties provided for in Article 15.	
In good time before the inspection, the Commission shall give notice of the inspection to the Member State in whose territory it is to be conducted.	
Officials of the Commission as well as officials authorised or appointed by the Member State in whose territory the inspection is to be conducted shall, at the request of the Member State or of the Commission, actively assist the officials and other accompanying persons authorised by the Commission. To this end, they shall enjoy the powers specified in paragraph 2.	
Where officials or other accompanying persons authorised by the Commission find that an undertaking opposes an inspection within the meaning of this Article, the Member State concerned shall provide them with the necessary assistance and shall request, where appropriate, the assistance of the police or of an equivalent enforcement authority so as to enable them to conduct their inspection.	
Upon request of the Commission, a Member State shall in its own territory carry out any	

inspection or other fact-finding measure under its national law in order to establish whether there is a foreign subsidy distorting the internal market.	
Article 13	
Inspection outside the Union	
In order to carry out the duties assigned to it by this Regulation, the Commission may conduct inspections in the territory of a third country, provided that the undertaking concerned has given its consent and the government of the third country has been officially notified and has agreed to the inspection. Article 12(1), (2), and (3) points (a) and (b) shall apply by analogy.	
Article 14	
Non-cooperation	
The Commission may take a decision pursuant to Article 8 or Article 9 on the basis of the facts available, if an undertaking concerned or a third country:	
provides incomplete, incorrect or misleading information in response to an information request under Article 11;	
fails to provide the information requested within	

the time limit prescribed by the Commission;	
refuses to submit to the Commission's inspection within or outside the Union ordered under Article 12 or Article 13; or	
otherwise impedes the preliminary review or the in-depth investigation.	
Where an undertaking or association of undertakings, a Member State or the third country has supplied incorrect or misleading information to the Commission, that information shall be disregarded.	
Where an undertaking concerned, including a public undertaking which is directly or indirectly controlled by the State, fails to provide the necessary information to determine whether a financial contribution confers a benefit to it, that undertaking may be deemed to have received such benefit.	
When applying facts available, the result of the procedure may be less favourable to the undertaking concerned than if it had cooperated.	
Article 15	
Fines and periodic penalty payments	
The Commission may impose by decision fines	

and periodic penalty payments where an undertaking concerned or an association of undertakings, intentionally or negligently: supplies incorrect, incomplete or misleading	
information in response to a request made pursuant to Article 11, or does not supply the information within the prescribed time limit;	
produces the required books or other records related to the business in incomplete form during inspections under Article 12;	
in response to a question asked in accordance with Article 12(2), point (c),	
(i) gives an incorrect or misleading answer,	
(ii) fails to rectify within a time-limit set by the Commission an incorrect, incomplete or misleading answer given by a member of staff, or	
(iii) fails or refuses to provide a complete answer on facts relating to the subject-matter and purpose of an inspection ordered by a decision adopted pursuant to Article 12(3);	
refuses to submit to inspections ordered under Article 12 or has broken seals affixed in accordance with Article 12(2)(d).	

Fines imposed in the cases referred to in paragraph 1 shall not exceed 1 % of the aggregate turnover of the undertaking or association of undertakings concerned in the preceding business year.	
Periodic penalty payments imposed in the cases referred to in paragraph 1 shall not exceed 5% of the average daily aggregate turnover of the undertaking or association of undertakings concerned in the preceding business year for each working day of delay, calculated from the date established in the decision, until it submits complete and correct information as requested by the Commission.	
Before adopting any decision in accordance with paragraph 1, the Commission shall set a final time limit of two weeks to receive the missing information from the undertaking or from the association of undertakings concerned.	
Where an undertaking concerned does not comply with a decision with commitments pursuant to Article 9(3), a decision ordering interim measures pursuant to Article 10 or a decision imposing redressive measures pursuant to Article 9(2), the Commission may impose by decision:	
fines not exceeding 10 % of the aggregate turnover of the undertaking concerned in the	

1. 1 . 1	
preceding business year; and	
periodic penalty payments not exceeding 5% of the average daily aggregate turnover of the undertaking concerned in the preceding business year for each day of non-compliance, starting from the day of the Commission decision imposing such penalty payments, until the Commission finds that the undertaking concerned complies with the decision.	
In fixing the amount of the fine or periodic penalty payment, regard shall be had to the nature, gravity and duration of the infringement, taking due account of the principles of proportionality and appropriateness.	
Article 16	
Revocation	
The Commission may revoke a decision taken pursuant to Article 9(2), (3) or (4) and adopt a new decision in any of the following cases:	
where the undertaking concerned acts contrary to its commitments or the redressive measures imposed;	
where the decision was based on incomplete, incorrect or misleading information.	

Article 34	
Market investigation	
Where the information available substantiates a	
reasonable suspicion that foreign subsidies in a	
particular sector, for a particular type of	
economic activity or based on a particular	
subsidy instrument may distort the internal	
market, the Commission may conduct a market	
investigation into the particular sector, the	
particular type of economic activity or into the	
use of the subsidy instrument concerned. In the	
course of that market investigation, the	
Commission may request the undertakings or associations of undertakings concerned to supply	
the necessary information and may carry out the	
necessary inspections. The Commission may	
also request the Member State or third country	
concerned to supply information.	
11 3	
The Commission may publish a report on the	
results of its market investigation into particular	
sectors, particular types of economic activity or	
particular subsidy instruments and invite	
comments from interested parties.	
TTI C	
The Commission may use the information	
obtained from such market investigations in the	
framework of procedures under this Regulation.	
Articles 11 12 12 and 15 of this December 2	
Articles 11, 12, 13 and 15 of this Regulation	

shall apply.	
Article 36	<u></u>
Publication of decisions	
The Commission shall publish a summary notice	
of the decisions adopted pursuant to Article 8(2).	×
The Commission shall publish the decisions	
adopted pursuant to Article 9(2), (3) and (4),	
Article 24(3), and Article 30(1), (2) and (3) in	
the Official Journal of the European Union.	
When publishing summary notices and	
decisions, the Commission shall take due	
account of the legitimate interests of	
undertakings in the protection of their business	
secrets and other confidential information.	
Article 37	
121441007	
Addressees of decisions	
D : 1 / 1 0 0 15	
Decisions adopted pursuant to Articles 8, 9, 15, 24(3), 25, 30(1) and 32 shall be addressed to the	
undertakings or to the association of	
undertakings of to the association of undertakings concerned. The Commission shall	
notify the decision to the addressee without	
delay and shall give the addressee the	
opportunity to indicate to the Commission which	
information it considers to be confidential. The	

Commission shall provide the contracting authority or the contracting entity concerned with a copy of any Commission decision addressed to an undertaking participating in a public procurement procedure.	
Decisions adopted pursuant to Article 30(2) and (3) shall be addressed to the contracting authority or the contracting entity concerned. The Commission shall provide the undertaking to which the award of the public contract is prohibited with a copy of that decision.	
Article 38	
Disclosure and rights of defence	
The Commission shall, before adopting a decision pursuant to Articles 9, 15, 24(3) point (c), 25, 30(2) or 32 give the undertaking concerned the opportunity to submit observations on the grounds on which the Commission intends to adopt its decision.	
The Commission shall base its decision only on grounds on which the undertakings concerned have been given the opportunity to submit their observations.	
Article 39	
Professional secrecy	

Information acquired under this Regulation shall		
be used only for the purposes for which it was		
acquired.		
The Commission, its officials and other persons		
working under its supervision shall not disclose		
information covered by the obligation of		
professional secrecy that they have acquired		
under this Regulation.		
B 1 1 10 1 11 4 4 11' (
Paragraphs 1 and 2 shall not prevent publication		
of statistics and reports which do not contain		
information allowing to identify specific undertakings or associations of undertakings.		
undertakings of associations of undertakings.		
		General comments
		General comments
END	END	END

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on foreign subsidies distorting the	MS Drafting suggestions	MS comments
internal market – doc. 8576/21		
THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE		
COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,		
Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning		
of the European Union, and in particular Articles 114 and 207 thereof,		
114 and 207 thereof,		
Having regard to the proposal from the		
European Commission,		
European Commission,		
After transmission of the draft legislative act to		
the national parliaments,		
Having regard to the opinion of the European		
Economic and Social Committee ¹ ,		
Acting in accordance with the ordinary		
legislative procedure,		
Whereas:		
Wilcicas.		
A strong, open and competitive internal market		
enables both European and foreign undertakings		
to compete on merits. The Union benefits from a		
sophisticated and effective system of State aid		
control, aiming at ensuring fair conditions for all		

OJ C [...], [...], p. [...].

undertakings engaging in an economic activity in the internal market. This State aid control system prevents Member States from granting State aid that unduly distorts competition in the internal market.	
At the same time, undertakings might receive subsidies from third countries, that provide public funds which are then used, for instance, to finance economic activities in the internal market in any sector of the economy, such as participation in public procurement tenders, or acquisitions of undertakings, including those with strategic assets such as critical infrastructure and innovative technologies. Such subsidies are currently not subject to Union State aid rules.	
Foreign subsidies can distort the internal market and undermine the level playing field for various economic activities in the Union. This could in particular occur in the context of concentrations entailing a change of control over Union undertakings, where such concentrations are fully or partially financed through foreign subsidies, or if undertakings benefiting from foreign subsidies are awarded public contracts in the Union.	
No existing Union instruments address distortions caused by foreign subsidies. Trade defence instruments enable the Commission to	

act when subsidised goods are imported into the Union, but not when foreign subsidies take the form of subsidised investments, or when services and financial flows are concerned. Under the WTO Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures, the Union has the possibility to initiate State-to-State dispute settlement against certain foreign subsidies granted by WTO members and limited to goods.	
It is therefore necessary to complement existing Union instruments with a new tool to effectively deal with distortions in the internal market caused by foreign subsidies and ensure a level playing field. In particular, the new tool complements Union State aid rules which deal with distortions in the internal market caused by Member State subsidies.	
Rules and procedures to investigate foreign subsidies that actually or potentially distort the internal market should be laid down and, where relevant, those distortions should be redressed. Foreign subsidies could distort the internal market if the undertaking benefitting from the foreign subsidy engages in an economic activity in the Union. This Regulation should therefore establish rules for all undertakings engaging in an economic activity in the Union. Given the significance of the economic activities pursued by SMEs, and their contribution to the fulfilment of the Union's key policy goals, special attention	

is given to the impact of this Regulation on	
them.	
To ensure a level playing field throughout the	
internal market and consistency in the	
application of this Regulation, the Commission	
should be the sole authority competent to apply	
this Regulation. The Commission should have	
the power to examine any foreign subsidy to the	
extent it is in the scope of this Regulation in any	
sector of the economy on its own initiative	
relying on information from all available	
sources. To ensure effective control, in the	
specific case of large concentrations (mergers	
and acquisitions) and public procurement	
procedures above certain thresholds, the	
Commission should have the power to review	
foreign subsidies based on a prior notification by	
the undertaking to the Commission.	
Foreign subsidy in the context of this Regulation	
should be understood as an intervention that	
meets three cumulative conditions.	
There should be a financial contribution	
provided, directly or indirectly, by the public	
authorities of a third country. The financial	
contribution may be granted through public or	
private entities. Whether a public entity provides	
a financial contribution should be determined on	
a case-by-case basis with due regard to elements	
such as the characteristics of the relevant entity	

and the legal and economic environment prevailing in the country in which the entity operates including the government's role in the economy. Financial contributions may also be granted through a private entity if its actions can	
be attributed to the third country.	
Such a financial contribution should confer a benefit to an undertaking engaging in an economic activity in the internal market. A financial contribution that benefits an entity engaging in non-economic activities does not constitute a foreign subsidy. The existence of a benefit should be determined on the basis of comparative benchmarks, such as the investment practice of private investors, rates for financing obtainable on the market, a comparable tax treatment, or the adequate remuneration for a given good or service If no directly comparable benchmarks are available, existing benchmarks could be adjusted or alternative benchmarks could be established based on generally accepted	
assessment methods.	
The benefit should be conferred to an individual undertaking or industry or several undertakings or industries. The benefit could be established by law or in fact.	
Once the existence of a foreign subsidy is established, the Commission should assess whether the foreign subsidy distorts the internal	

market. Unlike State aid granted by a Member State, foreign subsidies are not generally prohibited. Subsidies in the form of export financing may be a cause of particular concern because of their distortive effects. This is not the case if such financing is provided in line with the OECD Arrangement on officially supported export credits. The Commission should assess on a case-by-case basis whether a foreign subsidy distorts the internal market.	
The lack of transparency concerning many foreign subsidies and the complexity of the commercial reality may make it difficult to unequivocally identify or quantify the impact of a given foreign subsidy on the internal market. To determine the distortion, it therefore appears necessary to use a non-exhaustive set of indicators. When assessing the extent to which a foreign subsidy can improve the competitive position of the undertaking concerned and, in doing so, actually or potentially negatively affects competition in the internal market, the Commission could have regard to certain indicators, including but not limited to the amount and nature of the subsidy, the purpose and conditions attached to the foreign subsidy as well as its use in the internal market.	
When applying these indicators, the Commission could take into account different elements such as the size of the subsidy in	

absolute terms or in relation to the size of the	
market or to the value of the investment. For	
instance, a concentration, in the context of which	
a foreign subsidy covers a substantial part of the	
purchase price of the target, is likely to be	
distortive. Similarly, foreign subsidies covering	
a substantial part of the estimated value of a	
contract to be awarded in a public procurement	
procedure are likely to cause distortions. If a	
foreign subsidy is granted for operating costs, it	
seems more likely to cause distortions than if it	
is granted for investment costs. Foreign	
subsidies to small and medium-sized	
undertakings may be considered less likely to	
cause distortions than foreign subsidies to large	
undertakings. Furthermore, the characteristics of	
the market, and in particular the competitive	
conditions on the market, such as barriers to	
entry, should be taken into account. Foreign	
subsidies leading to overcapacity by sustaining	
uneconomic assets or by encouraging investment	
in capacity expansions that would otherwise not	
have been built are likely to cause distortions. A	
foreign subsidy to a beneficiary that shows a low	
degree of activity in the internal market,	
measured for instance in terms of turnover	
achieved in the Union, is less likely to cause	
distortions than a foreign subsidy to a	
beneficiary that has a more significant level of	
activity in the internal market. Finally, foreign	
subsidies not exceeding EUR 5 million should	
be deemed, as a general rule, unlikely to distort	
the internal market within the meaning of this	

Regulation.	
Like certain types of State aid, also certain categories of foreign subsidies, such as unlimited guarantees, are likely to create distortions in the internal market because of their nature. Those categories should not require a detailed assessment based on indicators. An undertaking could in any event show that the foreign subsidy in question would not distort the internal market in the specific circumstances of the case.	
The Commission should take into account the positive effects of the foreign subsidy on the development of the relevant subsidised economic activity. The Commission should weigh these positive effects against the negative effects of a foreign subsidy in terms of distortion on the internal market in order to determine, if applicable, the appropriate redressive measure or accept commitments. The balancing may also lead to the conclusion that no redressive measures should be imposed. Categories of foreign subsidies that are deemed most likely to distort the internal market are less likely to have more positive than negative effects.	
Where the Commission examines a foreign subsidy on its own initiative, it should have the power to impose redressive measures on an undertaking to remedy any distortion caused by	

a foreign subsidy in the internal market. Redressive measures should be proportionate and suitable to remedy the distortion at stake. They should include behavioural or structural remedies or the repayment of the foreign	
subsidy.	
The undertaking concerned should have the possibility to offer commitments in order to remedy the distortion caused by the foreign subsidy. If the Commission considers that the commitments offered fully and effectively remedy the distortion, it could accept them and make them binding by decision.	
The undertaking concerned could offer to repay the subsidy, together with appropriate interest. The Commission should accept a repayment offered as a commitment if it can ascertain that the repayment fully remedies the distortion, is executed in a transparent manner and is effective in practice, while taking into account the risk of circumvention of the objectives of this Regulation.	
Unless the undertakings concerned offer	
commitments that would fully and effectively	
remedy the identified distortion, the	
Commission should have the power to prohibit a	
concentration or the award of a public contract	
before it takes place. Where the concentration has already been implemented, notably in cases	

where no prior notification was required because the notification thresholds were not reached, the distortion may nonetheless be so substantial that it cannot be remedied by behavioural or structural measures or by the repayment of the subsidy. In such cases, the Commission could decide to remedy the distortion by ordering the undertakings concerned to dissolve the concentration.	
The Commission should have the power, on its own initiative, to examine any information on foreign subsidies. To this end, it is necessary to establish a procedure consisting of two steps, namely a preliminary review and an in-depth investigation.	
The Commission should be given adequate investigative powers to gather all necessary information. It should therefore have the power to request information from any undertaking or association of undertakings throughout the whole procedure. In addition, the Commission should have the power to impose fines and periodic penalty payments for failure to timely supply the requested information or for supplying incomplete, incorrect or misleading information. The Commission could also address questions to Member States or to third countries. Furthermore, the Commission should have the power to make fact-finding visits at the Union premises of the undertaking, or, subject to	

agreement by the undertaking and the third country concerned, at the premises of the undertaking in the third country. The Commission should also have the power to take decisions on the basis of facts available if the undertaking in question does not cooperate.	
Furthermore, where necessary to restore competition in the internal market immediately and to prevent irreparable harm, the Commission should have the power to adopt interim measures.	
In all cases where, as a result of the preliminary review, the Commission has sufficient indications of the existence of a foreign subsidy distorting the internal market, the Commission should have the power to launch an in-depth investigation to gather additional relevant information to assess the foreign subsidy, and to allow the interested parties to exercise their rights of defence.	
The Commission should close the in-depth investigation by adopting a decision.	
The Commission should have appropriate instruments to ensure the effectiveness of commitments and redressive measures. If the undertaking concerned does not comply with a decision with commitments, a decision imposing redressive measures, or a decision ordering	

interim measures, the Commission should have the power to impose fines and periodic penalty payments.	
In order to ensure the correct and effective application of this Regulation, the Commission should have the power to revoke a decision and adopt a new one, where the decision was based on incomplete, incorrect or misleading information, or where an undertaking acts contrary to its commitments or the redressive measures imposed.	
Given the potentially significant impact of concentrations on the internal market, the Commission should have the power, upon notification, to examine information on foreign financial contributions in the context of a proposed concentration. Undertakings should not be allowed to implement the concentration prior to the conclusion of the Commission's review.	
This examination by the Commission should follow the same procedure as the one where a foreign subsidy is reviewed on the Commission's initiative, subject to adjustments to reflect the specificities of concentrations.	
It is necessary to strike a balance between effective protection of the internal market and the need to limit the administrative burden on	

undertakings subject to this Regulation. Therefore, only concentrations meeting combined thresholds as defined in this Regulation based on the size of the turnover in the Union and the size of the subsidy should be subject to mandatory prior notification.	
Below the notification thresholds, the Commission could require the notification of potentially subsidised concentrations that were not yet implemented or the notification of potentially subsidised bids prior to the award of a public contract, if it considers that the concentration or the bid would merit ex-ante review given their impact in the Union. The Commission should also have the possibility to carry out a review on its own initiative of already implemented concentrations or awarded public contracts.	
When reviewing a concentration, the assessment of whether there is a distortion in the internal market should be limited to the concentration at stake, and only foreign subsidies granted in the three years prior to the concentration should be considered in the assessment. The need to address distortive foreign subsidies	
is especially salient in public procurement, given its economic significance in the internal market and the fact that it is financed by taxpayer funds. The Commission should have the power, upon	

notification prior to the award of a public	
contract or concession, to examine information	
on foreign financial contributions to the	
participating undertakings in the context of a	
public procurement procedure. Prior	
notifications should be mandatory above a	
threshold set in this Regulation to capture	
economically significant cases while minimising	
the administrative burden and not hindering the	
participation of SMEs in public procurement.	
That obligation of prior notification above a	
threshold should also apply to groups of	
economic operators referred to in Article 26(2)	
of Directive 2014/23/EU of the European	
Parliament and of the Council ² , Article 19(2) of	
Directive 2014/24/EU of the European	
Parliament and of the Council ³ and Article 37(2)	
of Directive 2014/25/EU of the European	
Parliament and of the Council ⁴ . It should also	
apply to the main subcontractors and the main	
suppliers of undertaking.	
When a foreign financial contribution is notified	

Directive 2014/23/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on the award of concession contracts (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 1).

Directive 2014/24/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65).

Directive 2014/25/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors and repealing Directive 2004/17/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 243).

in the context of a public procurement procedure, the assessment should be limited to that procedure.	
It should be ensured that the principles governing public procurement, notably	
proportionality, non-discrimination, equal treatment, and transparency, are respected as	
regards all undertakings involved in the public	
procurement procedure, regardless of investigations initiated and pending pursuant to	
this Regulation.	
Foreign subsidies that enable an undertaking to	
submit a tender which is unduly advantageous in	
relation to the works, supplies or services concerned should be deemed to actually or	
potentially create a distortion in a public	
procurement procedure. Those distortions should	
therefore be assessed on the basis of the non-	
exhaustive set of indicators described in recitals	
13 and 14 as well as the notion of unduly advantageous tender. The indicators should	
allow to determine how the foreign subsidy	
distorts competition by improving the	
competitive position of an undertaking and	
enabling it to submit an unduly advantageous	
tender. The opportunity should be given to	
undertakings to justify that the tender is not	
unduly advantageous, including by adducing the elements referred to in Article 69(2) of Directive	
2014/24/EU. The prohibition of the award	

should only apply where the advantageous nature of the tender benefiting from foreign subsidies cannot be justified, the tender would be awarded the contract and the undertaking submitting the tender did not offer commitments considered appropriate and sufficient to fully and effectively remove the distortion.	
Taking into account the nature of the ex ante review mechanism for concentrations and public procurement awards, and the need for legal certainty regarding these specific transactions, a concentration or public procurement tender notified and assessed under the respective procedures cannot be reviewed again by the Commission on its own initiative. Financial contributions of which the Commission was informed through the notification procedure may however also be relevant outside the concentration or procurement procedure. In order to gather information on foreign subsidies, the Commission should have the possibility to launch investigations regarding specific sectors of the economy, particular types of economic activity or the use of particular foreign subsidy instruments.	
For the same reasons, it is appropriate to provide for limitation periods for the imposition and enforcement of fines and periodic penalty payments.	

In the interest of transparency and legal certainty, it is appropriate to publish either in full or in a summary form all decisions adopted by the Commission. The Commission, when publishing its decisions, should respect the rules on professional secrecy, including the protection of all confidential	
information, business secrets and personal data, in accordance with Article 339 of the Treaty.	
In cases where information marked by the undertaking as confidential or business secret does not seem to be covered by obligations of professional secrecy, it is appropriate to have a mechanism in place according to which the Commission can decide the extent to which such information can be disclosed. Any such decision to reject a claim that information is confidential should indicate a period at the end of which the information will be disclosed, so that the respondent can make use of any judicial protection available to it, including any interim measure.	
The undertakings or associations of undertakings concerned by an investigation under this Regulation should have the opportunity of submitting their observations. While ensuring preservation of the rights of defence of the undertakings concerned, it is essential that business secrets be protected.	

The implementation of this Regulation by the Union should comply with Union law, the WTO		
Agreement and be consistent with commitments		
made under other trade and investment		
agreements to which the Union or the Member		
States are parties.		
Restrictions to Articles 34, 49, 56 and 63 of the		
Treaty can be justified by the need to avoid		
unfair competition, provided that such		
restrictions, like other restrictions of		
fundamental freedoms comply with the general principles of Union law, such as proportionality,		
legal certainty, and with fundamental rights.		
regar certainty, and with randamental rights.		
The implementation of this Regulation may		
overlap with sectoral rules, in particular in the		
area of maritime and air transport. Therefore, it		
is necessary to clarify the relationship between		
this Regulation and sectoral instruments dealing		
with foreign subsidies, namely Regulation (EU)		
2016/1035 of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁵ ; Council Regulation (EEC)		
4057/86 ⁶ ; and Regulation (EU) 2019/712 of the		
European Parliament and of the Council ⁷ .		

Regulation (EU) 2016/1035 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on protection against injurious pricing of vessels (OJ L 176, 30.6.2016, p. 1).

⁶ Council Regulation (EEC) No 4057/86 of 22 December 1986 on unfair pricing practices in maritime transport (OJ L 378, 31.12.1986, p. 14).

*

Regulation (EU) 2019/712 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on safeguarding competition in air transport, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 868/2004 (OJ L 123, 10.5.2019, p. 4).

Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

procedural steps concerning investigations regarding public procurement procedures. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.	
In order to ensure a level playing field on the internal market also in the long term, with a view to ensuring adequate coverage of cases investigated both through notifications as well as ex officio, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission in respect of amending the notification thresholds for concentrations and for public procurement procedures, exempting certain categories of undertakings from the notification obligations under this Regulation, as well as amending the time limits for the preliminary review and the indepth investigations of notified concentrations or notified financial contributions in the context of a public procurement procedure. In relation to financial contributions in the context of a public procurement procedure, the power to adopt such	
acts should be exercised in a way that takes into account the interests of SMEs. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during the preparations of those acts, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the	

Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making ⁹ . In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council should receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically should have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.		
Where a concentration is notifiable pursuant to this Regulation, financial contributions to any of the parties to the concentration granted in the three years prior to the date of application of this Regulation should fall within the scope of this Regulation. In the context of a public procurement procedure, this Regulation should also apply to a financial contribution granted to an undertaking in the three years prior to the date of application of this Regulation,		
END	END	General comments END

Interinstitutional Agreement between the European Parliament, the Council of the European Union and the European Commission of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making (OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1).

Explanations for submitting drafting suggestions and comments using the table

Please use the attached table to send us your comments. Send the table as a WORD document.

Please note the following **important rules** when completing the table:

- Column 1 is for information and should not be modified at all.
- Add your **drafting suggestions in column 2** in track changes
- For adding your suggestions DO NOT modify the text in column 1 copy and paste the text from column 1 to column 2 and make your changes on the text in column 2
- Add your comments related to the specific part of the text and your suggested changes in column 3
- Please add any general comments at the bottom of the table in column 3.
- Please, <u>do not delete</u> any lines or squares from the table!
- Please, <u>do not insert</u> any new lines or columns!
- Use the existing empty lines to add new text (e.g. to add two new articles after existing Article 1, please add them both in the <u>same</u> empty row after Article 1). It is really important that the table you will send us back with your comments contains <u>the same number of rows and columns</u> as the original table.
- You are free to change header/footer of the attached files as you wish but *please keep the table intact*.
- In case you send additional comments regarding the same package at a later point, please do it on an empty table. Please *do not add* your comments to the table you previously sent us.

Thanks a lot for your collaboration!