Council of the European Union
General Secretariat

Interinstitutional files:
2018/0216(COD)

Brussels, 20 September 2019

WK 10242/2019 INIT

LIMITE

AGRI
AGRILEG
AGRIFIN
AGRISTR
CODEC
AGRIORG

WORKING PAPER

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

WORKING DOCUMENT

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Working Party on Horizontal Agricultural Questions (CAP Reform)
N° Cion doc.:	9645/18 + COR 1 + ADD 1
Subject:	Proposal for a Regulation on CAP Strategic Plans - Comments from the Lithuanian delegation on updated Commission fiches on result and output indicators

Delegations will find attached comments from the Lithuanian delegation on updated Commission fiches on result and output indicators (WK 9352/2019 + WK 9353/2019 REV1).

Lithuanian comments on the updated fiches on result and output indicators following the discussions in a Working Party on Horizontal Agricultural Questions (CAP reform) on 12-13 September (doc. WK 9352/2019 INIT and WK 9353/2019 REV 1)

We would like to receive clarifications and answers to the arguable aspects and questions as set out below:

1. It is likely that investments attributed to indicator R.9 *(Farm modernisation)* may coincide with investments attributed to indicator R.23 *(Environment-/climate-related performance through investment),* especially in terms of costs that improve resource efficiency. In this case foreseeable situation may arise when some part of the costs of the project will be attributed to indicator R.23 and the other part to indicator R.9. However, when calculating indicator, the farm will be calculated and no double counting of indicators is available. At this point it is unclear how the investments should be classified and attributed to result indicators if double counting is not foreseen?

2. Could one person receive two installation grants? One grant for young farmer‘s installation, another one for rural entrepreneurs installation? Wouldn‘t there be a problem with calculation of output indicators O.22 and O.23 as it will be the same person (subject)?

3. A broader comment from the Commision side would be necessary regarding output indicator O.27 (*Number of local develpoment strategies (LEADER);* please see *doc. WK 9353/2019 REV1; O.27 fiche, 2nd paragraph in a comments/caveats section*). It is unclear whether the the LEADER Local Action Group (LAG) may be an applicant under other measures, such as investments? If not, then in the light of the above, what kind of model of support is involved here?