## JAI 576

COPEN 234
CYBER 181
DROIPEN 89
JAIEX 86
ENFOPOL 272
DAPIX 197
EJUSTICE 86
MI 474
TELECOM 240
DATAPROTECT 155
USA 38
RELEX 540
NOTE

| From: | Presidency |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Council |
| No. prev. doc.: | 9289/19 REV1 |
| No. Cion doc.: | 6102/19 ADD 1 |
| Subject: | ADDENDUM to the Recommendation for a COUNCIL DECISION authorising the opening of negotiations with a view to concluding an agreement between the European Union and the United States of America on cross-border access to electronic evidence for judicial cooperation in criminal matters |
|  | - adoption |

1. On 5 February 2019, the Commission adopted two recommendations for Council Decisions including addenda on the negotiating directives on the issue of cross-border access to eevidence - one for opening of negotiations with the US and one for participation in the negotiations within the Council of Europe on the second Additional Protocol to the Convention on Cybercrime (CETS No 185).
2. The texts of the recommendation for Council Decision for opening of negotiations with the US on cross-border access to electronic evidence for judicial cooperation in criminal matters ${ }^{1}$ and of the negotiating directive thereto ${ }^{2}$, were submitted to the Council and examined at technical level in the COPEN Working Party.
3. Following the examination at technical level a number of changes were introduced in both texts in order to accommodate delegations views. This allowed to finalise the text of the recommendation for a Council Decision which was submitted for a revision by the lawyerlinguists as well as of the text of the negotiating directive.
4. The text of the recommendation as revised by the lawyer-linguist and the text of the negotiating directive, with some additional adaptations as set out in the Annex to this note, were approved by Coreper on 22 May 2019.
5. The changes to the original Commission's text are marked in bold for additions and with strikethrough for deletions.
6. On this basis, the Council is invited to adopt:

- the text of the recommendation for a Council Decision for the opening of negotiations with the US on cross-border access to electronic evidence for judicial cooperation in criminal matters as revised by the lawyer-linguists ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$; and
- the text of the negotiating directive as set out in the Annex to this note.
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## Addendum to the Recommendation for a Council Decision authorising the opening of negotiations with a view to concluding an agreement between the European Union and the United States of America on cross-border access to electronic evidence for judicial cooperation in criminal matters

## I. OBJECTIVES

The Commission should, in the course of the negotiations, aim to achieve the specific objectives set out in detail below, while ensuring that the outcome of the negotiations is compatible with the Union's internal rules on electronic evidence. These internal rules, including as they evolve in the Union legislative procedure, by the Union co-legislators and eventually in their final adopted form. These internal rules-will serve as the baseline for the Union's negotiating position. These specific objectives are the following:

1. Set common rules Aaddress conflicts of law and set common rules for orders for obtaining electronic evidence, in the form of content and non-content data, from a judicial authority in one contracting party, addressed to a service provider that is subject to the law of the other contracting party. This should reduce the risk of fragmentation of practices and legal rules and enhance legal certainty between the Union and the United States of America when obtaining electronic evidence in criminal proceedings.
2. Allow for a transfer of electronic evidence directly on a reciprocal basis by a service provider to a requesting authority as set out in paragraph 1.
3. Ensure respect for the fundamental rights, freedoms and general principles of EU law as enshrined in the European Union Treaties and Charter of Fundamental Rights, ineluding proportionality, procedural rights, the presumption of innocence and the rights of defence of persons subject to criminal proceedings as well as privacy and the protection of personat data and communications data when such data is processed, including transfers to law enforeement authorities in third countries, and any obligations ineumbent on law enforeement and judicial authorities in this respect.

To achieve the objectives set out in part 1 , the agreement should address in particular the following elements:

## II. NATURE AND SCOPE OF THE AGREEMENT

1. The agreement should apply to criminal proceedings which include both pre-trial and trial phases.
2. The agreement should create reciprocal rights and obligations of the parties.
3. The agreement should set out the definitions and types of data that are to be covered, including both content and non-content data.
4. The agreement should define its exact scope of application in terms of the criminal offences covered and the thresholds.
5. The agreement should set out what the conditions, including procedural safeguards, are to be met before a judicial authority can issue an order and the ways in which an order can be served.
6. The agreement should include a clause enabling effective judicial remedies for data subjects during criminal proceedings. The agreement should also define in which circumstances a service provider has the right to objec to an order an order can be objected.
7. The agreement should define the time period for supplying the data covered by the order.
8. It should be without prejudice to other existing international agreements on judicial cooperation in criminal matters between authorities, such as the EU-U.S. Mutual Legal Assistance Agreement, which remain applicable.
9. The agreement should, in the bilateral relations between the Union and the United States of America, take precedence over the Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime and any agreement or arrangement reached in the negotiations of the Second Additional Protocol to the Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime, in so far as the provisions of the latter agreement or arrangement cover issues dealt with by the agreement.

## III. SAFEGUARDS

1. The agreement should ensure respect of the fundamental rights, freedoms and general principles of EU law as enshrined in the European Union Treaties and Charter of Fundamental Rights including proportionality, the right to an effective remedy and to a fair trial, the presumption of innocence and the rights of defence of persons subject to criminal proceedings, the non-discrimination principle, the ne bis in idem principle as well as privacy and the protection of personal data and communications data when such data is processed, including transfers to law enforcement authorities in third countries, and any obligations incumbent on law enforcement and judicial authorities in this respect.
2. The agreement should be reciprocal in terms of the categories of persons whether legal or natural persons whose data must not be sought requested pursuant to this agreement. The agreement should not discriminate between persons from different Member States.
3. The agreement should make applicable by reference the EU-U.S. Data Protection and Privacy Agreement, otherwise known as the "Umbrella Agreement", which entered into force on 1 February 2017.
4. The agreement should complement the Umbrella Agreement with additional safeguards that take into account the level of sensitivity of the categories of data concerned and the unique requirements of the transfer of electronic evidence directly by service providers rather than between authorities and transfers from competent authorities directly to service providers.
5. The additional procedural rights safeguards, to be reviewed subject to the scope of the agreement, should include inter alia:
(a) The appropriate safeguards to ensure that data may not be requested for the use in criminal proceedings that could lead to the death penalty.
(a bis) The appropriate safeguards to ensure that data requested may be refused if the execution of the request is likely to be used in criminal proceedings that could lead to life imprisonment without a possibility of review and a prospect of release.
(b) The adequate conditions to ensure necessity and proportionality of orders for access to electronic evidence, distinguishing in particular between data categories as appropriate.
(c) The procedural safeguards for individuals subject to a data order in the framework of criminal proceedings.
(d) The specific safeguards for data protected by privileges and immunities, and data whose disclosure would be contrary to the essential interests of a Member State.
(e) The confidentiality safeguards for authorities and service providers, including nondisclosure requirements.
6. The additional privacy and data protection safeguards, to be reviewed subject to the scope of the agreement, should include inter alia :
(a) The specification of the purposes for which personal data and electronic communications data may be requested and transferred.
(b) The requirement that the order is limited to personal data and electronic communications data that is necessary and proportionate in relation to the purposes for which they are accessed.

1 Point 17 of the original Commission text. Only changes to this text have been marked.
(c) The requirement that use by and disclosure to other U.S. authorities not bound by the Umbrella Agreement is subject to notification to, and prior authorisation by the competent judicial authority designated in the Member State in which the service provider is established or represented and may only take place if it is ensured that the receiving authority effectively protects the personal data and electronic communications data in line with the provisions of the agreement. When considering such prior authorisation, the competent judicial authority should take due account of all relevant factors, including the seriousness of the offence and the purpose for which the data is initially transferred.
(d) The requirement that onward transfers to other third countries may only be made to law enforcement authorities responsible for the prevention, investigation, detection or prosecution of criminal offenses, including terrorism, and should be subject to notification to, and prior authorisation by the competent judicial authority designated by the Member State in which the service provider is established or represented. When considering such prior authorisation, the competent judicial authority should take into account the factors as set out in Article 7(2) of the Umbrella Agreement.
(e) The agreement may consider the exceptional circumstances and the safeguards required where onward transfer may take place without prior authorisation, in case of serious and imminent threat to public security of a Member State or a third country.
(f) The notification of an information security incident to the competent authority designated by the Member State in which the service provider is established or represented shall be made under the conditions of Article 10(2) of the Umbrella Agreement.

## IV. GOVERNANCE OF THE AGREEMENT

1. The agreement should stipulate that the parties shall undertake periodic joint reviews of application of the agreement and examine how to make most effective use thereof. For this purpose, statistics should be collected on both sides to facilitate this process.
2. The agreement should include a clause on its duration. Whether the duration is to be indefinite or definite shall be assessed in the light of the results of negotiation. In either case, a provision should be included requiring a review of the agreement in due course.
3. The agreement should stipulate that the parties should consult each other to facilitate resolution of any dispute regarding interpretation or application of the agreement.
4. The agreement should provide for the possibility of suspension and termination of the agreement by either Party in the event that the above-mentioned consultation procedure is unable to resolve the dispute.
5. The agreement should include a clause addressing its territorial application.
6. The agreement will be equally authentic in all official Union languages.
V. PROCEDURE FOR NEGOTIATIONS
7. The negotiations must be prepared for well in advance. To this end, the Commission shall inform the Council of the schedule anticipated and the issues to be negotiated and shall forward the relevant documents as early as possible.
8. The negotiating sessions shall be preceded by a meeting of the COPEN Working Party in order to identify the key issues, formulate opinions and establish guidance, as appropriate.
9. The Commission shall report to the COPEN Working Party on the outcome of the negotiations after each negotiating session.
10. The Commission shall inform the Council and consult the COPEN Working Party on any important issue that may arise during the negotiations.
