

Brussels, 25 March 2026  
(OR. en, es)

---

---

Interinstitutional File:  
2022/0408 (COD)

---

---

7426/2/26  
REV 2 ADD 1 REV 1

CODEC 470  
JUSTCIV 43  
ECOFIN 347  
COMPET 344  
JAI 364

**'I/A' ITEM NOTE**

---

From: General Secretariat of the Council  
To: Permanent Representatives Committee/Council

---

Subject: Draft DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE  
COUNCIL harmonising certain aspects of insolvency law **(first reading)**  
- Adoption of the legislative act  
= Statement

---

**Spain has requested that the following statement be entered in the Council minutes**

España considera que es importante avanzar en el marco legal de insolvencia para lograr una mayor competitividad de nuestras empresas. Ahora bien, nos abstenemos, lamentamos que el texto reintroduzca la figura jurídica del comité de acreedores, a pesar de que se limite solo a las grandes empresas. Es una institución derogada hace mucho tiempo por su ineficiencia y elevados costes. Contamos, al igual que otros Estados miembros, con otros mecanismos para la protección de acreedores que son más eficaces y están dando muy buenos resultados.

En el título IV del Prepack, el texto no es suficientemente ambicioso, deberíamos haber ido más allá en los mecanismos de protección frente a los abusos que se cometen en fraude de acreedores. También hubiera sido necesaria más ambición en los mecanismos que permiten la continuidad de la actividad de la unidad productiva, a fin de mantener el tejido empresarial en funcionamiento.

## Courtesy translation in English

Spain considers it important to advance the legal framework for insolvency in order to achieve greater competitiveness for our companies. However, we abstain and regret that the text reintroduces the legal concept of the creditors' committee, even though it is limited to large companies. This institution was abolished long ago due to its inefficiency and high costs. Like other Member States, we have other mechanisms for protecting creditors that are more effective and are yielding very good results.

In Title IV of the Prepack, the text is not ambitious enough; we should have gone further in the mechanisms for protection against abuses committed in fraud against creditors. More ambition would also have been necessary in the mechanisms that allow the continuity of the productive unit's activity, in order to keep the business fabric functioning.

---