

Brussels, 12 March 2018 (OR. en)

6270/18 EXT 1

LIMITE

IA 47 INST 63 MERTENS 2 POLGEN 11 BETREG 2

## **NOTE**

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Delegations
Subject:	Impact assessment - Guidance for Working Party Chairs
	- Indicative Checklist

Delegations will find herewith an extract of the Guidance for Working Party Chairs (Handbook) setting out the indicative Checklist for Working Party Chairs (Annex I of 6270/18).

6270/18 EXT 1

DPG LIMITE EN

## **Examination of Commission IAs in the Council**

## in the context of the consideration of Commission proposals

- Indicative Checklist for Working Party Chairs -

Title of proposal	
Lead DG	
Context of the IA	
Is the IA carried out at the initiative of the Commission, the Council, or the European Parliament?	
Commission Council Parliament	
Is the policy context explained clearly?	
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly	
Comments:	
Is the legal basis of the initiative clear and appropriate?	
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly	
Comments:	

2.	Problem definition
a)	Are the existence, scale and consequences of the problem clearly demonstrated?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Is the analysis of the problem supported by evidence, including comments and studies submitted by Member States or stakeholders during consultations?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Is any gap in evidence acknowledged?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
3.	Methodology
	n appropriate methodology applied? Are the methodological choices, limitations and ertainties clearly set out?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly	
Con	nments:

4.	Policy objectives
a)	Does the IA set out clear policy objectives, including general aims and more specific/operational objectives?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Do the policy objectives correspond to the identified problems?  Yes No Partly
	Comments:
c)	Are the policy objectives consistent with the broad EU policy strategies and the Strategic Agenda?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Are the objectives linked to measurable monitoring indicators?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:

5.	Subsidiarity & Proportionality
a)	Is the Union's competence clearly established?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Does the IA analyse whether the proposed action is consistent with the principle of subsidiarity, and are necessity and added value of EU action clearly demonstrated?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Does the IA analyse whether the proposed action is consistent with the principle of proportionality?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Does the IA take into account action already taken or planned at EU or MS level?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
Con	nments:

ured

7.	Analysis of impacts
a)	Are the criteria used to determine the impact of the different policy options transparent?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
<b>b</b> )	Are the impacts of the different policy options set out in a comparable format?  Yes No Partly  Comments:
c)	Where appropriate, are both the short and long-term costs and benefits of the different policy options taken into consideration?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Are impacts on affected public and stakeholders clearly analysed, for each policy option, in particular for the selected option?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:

8. Specific aspects included in the IA	
Where applicable, indicate whether the impact has been qualitative and quantified terms, and whether the data appropriate.	•
a) Economic impacts	
Impacts on competition	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
Impacts on consumers	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
Impacts on competitiveness	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	
If not, please elaborate:	

Impacts on Small and Medium Enterprises, including micro-enterprises <sup>1</sup>	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
Administrative burdens and compliance costs, especially for bus	sinesses
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
Digital aspects (including on the development of the Digital Sing	gle Market)
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
Futureproofing (degree to which proposal is future proof and innovation-friendly?)	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	

6270/18 EXT 1 9
DPG **LIMITE EN** 

Impact assessments should assess SME impacts, and should also analyse the case for allowing (a) exemptions for micro-enterprises with <10 employees and <€2 mio turnover or balance sheet, and (b) lighter regimes for SMEs. See <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/governance/impact/key\_docs/docs/meg\_guidelines.pdf">http://ec.europa.eu/governance/impact/key\_docs/docs/meg\_guidelines.pdf</a>.

b) Social impacts <sup>2</sup>	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
c) Environmental impacts <sup>3</sup>	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
d) Impacts on individual Member States, regional or local authorities (territorial impacts)	
Sufficiently assessed	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based on appropriate data/evidence	☐ Yes ☐ No
If not, please elaborate:	
9. Opinion of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board <sup>4</sup> (RSB) of the Co	ommission_
Are the comments and recommendations of the RSB considered in the IA report?	
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly	
Comments:	

6270/18 EXT 1 10
DPG **LIMITE EN** 

e.g. impacts on employment and labour markets, social inclusion and protection of particular groups, public health and safety, etc.

See also Guidance for assessing Social Impacts within the Commission Impact Assessment system (<a href="http://ec.europa.eu/smart-regulation/impact/key">http://ec.europa.eu/smart-regulation/impact/key</a> docs/docs/guidance for assessing social impacts.pdf)

e.g. impacts on climate, air and water quality, use of the renewable or non-renewable resources, the likelihood or scale of environmental risks, use of energy etc.

Available by searching by Commission DG and date of publication at the following website <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/governance/impact/ia\_carried\_out/cia\_2012\_en.htm">http://ec.europa.eu/governance/impact/ia\_carried\_out/cia\_2012\_en.htm</a>

10.	Monitoring, transposition, compliance
a)	Will the proposed indicators enable the intended effects to be measured?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
b)	Are those responsible for monitoring (and compliance) identified?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
c)	Are operational monitoring and evaluation arrangements proposed?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
d)	Does the IA address the impact of the proposed transposition deadline for MS?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partly
	Comments:
11.	Summary
Mai	n issues proposed for discussion during the WP meeting on the Commission's IA:
1.	
2.	
3.	
$\epsilon$	etc.