



Council of the
European Union

Brussels, 2 March 2020
(OR. en)

6189/20
PV CONS 10
EDUC 41
JEUN 7
CULT 14
AUDIO 6
SPORT 6

DRAFT MINUTES
COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION
(Education, Youth, Culture and Sport)
20 February 2020

CONTENTS

Page

1.	Adoption of the agenda.....	3
2.	Approval of "A" items	
a)	Non-legislative list	3
b)	Legislative list	4

Non-legislative activities

3.	Resolution on education and training in the European Semester	4
4.	Brain circulation – a driving force for the European Education Area.....	4
5.	Any other business.....	5
	The post-2020 strategic framework for European cooperation in education and training	
	ANNEX - Statements for the Council minutes	6

1. Adoption of the agenda

The Council adopted the agenda set out in 5925/20.

2. Approval of "A" items

a) Non-legislative list

5928/20 + **COR 1**

The Council adopted the "A" items listed in 5928/20, including COR and REV documents presented for adoption. Statements related to these items are set out in the Addendum.

For the following items the documents should read as follows:




Fisheries

- | | |
|--|--|
| 3. Council Decision on the signing and provisional application of the Protocol on the implementation of the Fisheries Partnership Agreement with the Seychelles and its Implementing Protocol
<i>Adoption</i>
approved by Coreper, Part 1, on 19.02.2020 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">C</div> 5381/1/20 REV 1
+ REV 1 ADD 1
5246/20 + COR 1 (el)
5242/20
PECHE |
| 4. Council Decision on the conclusion of the Protocol on the implementation of the Fisheries Partnership Agreement with the Seychelles and its Implementing Protocol
<i>Agreement in principle</i>
<i>Request for the consent of the European Parliament</i>
approved by Coreper, Part 1, on 19.02.2020 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">C</div> 5381/1/20 REV 1
+ REV 1 ADD 1
5243/20
5246/20 + COR 1 (el)
PECHE |

- b) **Legislative list** (Public deliberation in accordance with Article 16(8) of the Treaty on European Union) 5929/20

Transport

Mobility package I

- a) Regulation on access to the profession and access to the haulage market  5424/20 + ADD 1-4
b) Regulations on rest time periods and on tachographs  TRANS
c) Directive on enforcing social rules and on lex specialis for posting of drivers 


Political agreement

approved by Coreper, Part 1, on 29.01.2020

The Council confirmed a political agreement on the two Regulations and the Directive above, as set out in addenda 1, 2 and 3 of doc. 5424/20 (Legal basis: Article 91(1) TFEU).

Statements related to this item are set out in the Annex (pages 6-10).

Non-legislative activities

3. Resolution on education and training in the European Semester 5536/20
Adoption
4. **Brain circulation – a driving force for the European Education Area**  5512/20
Policy debate

The Council held a policy debate on the above topic on the basis of a discussion paper prepared by the Presidency (5512/20).

During the debate ministers recognised that free movement is a fundamental principle in the education sector with undeniable benefits for the diffusion of knowledge and ideas. Ministers also noted the need to strive for a balanced brain circulation in order to support the long-term sustainability and cohesion within the Union. There was a broad consensus on the need for more data and better mapping of brain circulation within the EU.

Any other business

5. The post-2020 strategic framework for European cooperation in education and training 5533/20
Information from the Presidency

-
- ① First reading
- C Item based on a Commission proposal
- ② Public debate proposed by the Presidency (Article 8(2) of the Council's Rules of Procedure)
-

Statements to the legislative "A" item set out in 5929/20**Ad "A" item:****Mobility package I**

- a) Regulation on access to the profession and access to the haulage market**
- b) Regulations on rest time periods and on tachographs**
- c) Directive on enforcing social rules and on lex specialis for posting of drivers**

Political agreement

STATEMENT BY THE COMMISSION

"The Commission takes note of the provisional agreement on the social and market aspects of Mobility Package I reached by the Council and European Parliament during the 4th trilogue which took place on 11-12 December 2019.

The Commission regrets that the political agreement reached by the Council and European Parliament includes elements that are not in line with the ambitions of the European Green Deal and the EUCO endorsement of the objective of achieving a climate-neutral EU by 2050. These are the compulsory return of the vehicle to the Member State of establishment every 8 weeks and the restrictions imposed on combined transport operations. These measures were not part of the Commission's proposals adopted on 31 May 2017 and have not been the subject of an impact assessment. The obligation of return of the truck will lead to inefficiencies in the transport system and an increase in unnecessary emissions, pollution and congestion, while the restrictions on combined transport diminish its effectiveness to support multimodal freight operations.

The social improvements in this proposal are significant. The Commission will now closely assess the climate, environmental, and single market functioning impact of these two aspects. The Commission will do so in view of the Green Deal and measures to decarbonise transport and protect the environment, whilst ensuring a well-functioning Single Market.

After impact assessment, the Commission, if necessary, will exercise its right to come forward with a targeted legislative proposal before the two provisions enter into force."

STATEMENT BY BULGARIA, CYPRUS, HUNGARY, LATVIA, LITHUANIA, MALTA, POLAND AND ROMANIA

"Bulgaria, Cyprus, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland and Romania express deep concerns that the provisional agreement on Mobility Package I contradicts the basic freedom to provide services on the Single Market, the principle of free movement of workers, as well as the key EU policies and goals on climate.

In particular, the requirement to return heavy vehicles to the Member State of establishment at least once every 8 weeks contradicts the EU ambitious climate goals, set out by the European Commission in the new Green Deal on December 11, 2019. Such an obligation, if adopted, will result in a major increase in the number of empty runs of trucks on the European roads and, subsequently, in a substantial increase of CO₂ emissions from the transport sector. This sector already accounts for approximately a quarter of GHG emissions in the EU.

Despite our efforts to highlight these points and regardless of scientific evidence from studies on the impact of such an obligation on the increase of empty runs and CO₂ emissions, there is no sensitivity for the expected impact of this provision and rational arguments are being dismissed. At the same time, even though the better regulation agenda requires impact assessment at EU level for all such measures, no such assessment has been presented yet.

Returning vehicles to the Member State of establishment is just one example of excessively restrictive and discriminatory measures proposed in Mobility Package I. We share similar concerns about the limitations on cabotage in the form of an excessive cooling off period. This cooling off period amounts to a protectionist measure, which will have a rather negative effect on the Single Market. The obligation for a truck to return as well as the restrictions imposed on cabotage operations, according to estimates of renowned research institutes, will generate additional millions of tons of CO₂ emissions per year.

Another major point of concern is that the mandatory return of the vehicle will put in a disadvantaged position Member States which due to their geographical location will have substantial difficulties in providing truck transport services on the Single Market, as their vehicles will have to cover far greater distances and to overcome significant natural barriers, especially in the case of islands.

Unfair competition from third countries' operators is also a factor that has not been properly addressed. This is especially worrisome since the solution to be enacted will have long-term effects not only on the transport sector, but also on the EU economy as a whole.

The transport sector deserves a fair and robust EU legal framework, which will further stimulate its development, while ensuring realistic and enforceable rules. Instead of balanced provisions and a genuine compromise, the provisional agreement imposes restrictive, disproportionate and protectionist measures.

Mobility Package I is a crucial dossier for the European Single Market, as well as for the road transport sector. Today, more than ever, we need to preserve the smooth functioning of the Single Market and the economies of all Member States in the EU while being consistent with other EU policies."

STATEMENT BY BELGIUM

"Belgium has taken note of the results of the provisional agreement reached on December the 11th between the European Parliament and the Council on the social and market pillar of Mobility Package I.

Belgium welcomes the substantial improvement in the working conditions of truck drivers, in particular by prohibiting weekly rest in the cabin, and by applying posting to cabotage operations. A better level playing field should be reached in the future, through the integration of light commercial vehicles within the scope of the entire Mobility package, the return of trucks every 8 weeks to the base, and the ambitious timetable for the deployment of new smart tachographs that will allow a better enforcement of the existing and new rules.

Therefore, Belgium considers it is incoherent to further restrict access to the market by imposing a cooling-off period of 4 days on cabotage, while at the same time the European Union will ensure upward social convergence.

To our understanding, the cooling-off period is a trade barrier contrary to the spirit of the internal market, and to the efficiency of the logistics chain, since cabotage operations makes it possible to avoid empty journeys.

We regret as well, the inclusion of a proposal on long-term posting, which did not appear in the Commission proposal neither in the agreements of the two co-legislators, and hasn't been carefully assessed yet.

Despite the positive social elements contained in the Package, Belgium will therefore abstain on the agreement."

STATEMENT BY ESTONIA

"Estonia fully supports the objectives of the original proposals of the social and market pillar of Mobility Package I¹, which were intended to pave the way towards clear road transport rules. Estonia believes that the international road haulage market in the European Union must be in line with the general principles of the Single Market, open to competition, efficient and environmentally friendly. Estonia believes that additional requirements must not impose an unreasonable administrative burden on businesses or public sector authorities or conflict with the objectives of the European Union's climate policy.

During the negotiations of the Package, Estonia adopted a constructive approach by striving to take into account and support proposals that would improve the working conditions for drivers, combat illegal market practices and reduce the negative effects for the environment. However, the negotiations resulted in an agreement that puts Estonian carriers in a competitive disadvantage, notably by imposing an obligation for road transport undertakings to organise their fleet's activity in such a way as to ensure its vehicles to return to the Member State of establishment within 8 weeks after leaving it ("return of the vehicle obligation").

This obligation was not part of the original package. It has not been subject to a substantive impact assessment, which raises concerns about its relationship with the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making².

Secondly, having the vehicles returning to the Member State of establishment limits the geographical area of operations for road transport undertakings of that Member State and as such, it is not in line with the aim of Mobility Package I to ensure a level playing field.

¹ Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL amending Regulation (EC) No 1071/2009 and Regulation (EC) No 1072/2009 with a view to adapting them to developments in the sector; COM(2017)281;

<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52017PC0281>

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL amending Regulation (EC) No 561/2006 as regards on minimum requirements on maximum daily and weekly driving times, minimum breaks and daily and weekly rest periods and Regulation (EU) 165/2014 as regards positioning by means of tachographs; COM(2017)277;

<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52017PC0277>

Proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL amending Directive 2006/22/EC as regards enforcement requirements and laying down specific rules with respect to Directive 96/71/EC and Directive 2014/67/EU for posting drivers in the road transport sector; COM(2017)278;

<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52017PC0278>

² Interinstitutional Agreement between the European Parliament, the Council of the European Union and the European Commission on Better Law-Making;

<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32016Q0512%2801%29>

Thirdly, as this obligation increases the number of empty runs and additional CO₂ emissions, Estonia is of the position that this requirement contradicts the EU's climate policy objectives and the Paris Agreement goals. It is not line with the Conclusions of 12 December 2019 of the European Council³.

Moreover, Estonia considers this requirement disproportionate as the agreement already contains measures to fight against the phenomenon of so-called "letterbox companies". The return of the vehicle obligation will potentially incentivize such practices and, in addition, encourages road transport undertakings from peripheral Member States to relocate, causing a decrease in jobs and tax revenues.

Finally, as the measure can potentially increase traffic volumes, Estonia is concerned about its impact on road safety.

Consequently, and yet again stressing its support to the objectives of the original proposals of the social and market pillar of Mobility Package I, Estonia regrets the inclusion of the return of the vehicle obligation in the agreement. In the context outlined above, Estonia will vote against said agreement."

³ Section 1, paragraph 5: *All relevant EU legislation and policies need to be consistent with, and contribute to, the fulfilment of the climate neutrality objective while respecting a level playing field. /.../*
<https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-29-2019-INIT/en/pdf>