

Interinstitutional File: 2018/0250(COD)

Brussels, 19 February 2021 (OR. en)

6106/1/21 REV 1

LIMITE

JAI 133 FRONT 48 ENFOPOL 50 CT 12 CODEC 182 CADREFIN 58

NOTE

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Permanent Representatives Committee
No. Cion doc.:	10154/18
Subject:	Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing the Internal Security Fund
	- Analysis of the final compromise text with a view to agreement

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. On 15 June 2018, the <u>Commission</u> put forward a proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing the Internal Security Fund¹ (hereinafter 'ISF' or the 'Fund') under Heading 5 (Security and defence) of the Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) 2021-2027.
- 2. The objective of ISF is to contribute to ensuring a high level of security in the Union, in particular by tackling terrorism and radicalisation, serious and organised crime and cybercrime, and by assisting and protecting victims of crime.

10154/18 + ADD 1

6106/1/21 REV 1 BS/cr 1
JAI.1 **LIMITE EN**

- 3. In the <u>European Parliament</u>, the file was assigned to the Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs (LIBE) Committee with Monika Hohlmeier (EPP, DE) as rapporteur. The European Parliament adopted its first-reading position at the plenary session of 13 March 2019. ² at the plenary session of 13 March 2019. The report contained 154 amendments to the Commission's proposal its meeting.
- 4. The <u>European Economic and Social Committee</u> adopted an opinion at the plenary session of 18 October 2018³.
- 5. The <u>Committee of the Regions</u> did not deliver an opinion on this Fund.
- 6. On <u>7 June 2019</u>, the Council reached a partial general approach⁴ on the abovementioned proposal, which constituted the mandate for the negotiations with the European Parliament in the context of the ordinary legislative procedure. A General Approach was adopted by the Council on 12 October 2020⁵.
- 7. The interinstitutional negotiations started in October 2019, under Finland's Presidency. Four political trilogues (15 October 2019, 8 September 2020, 26 November 2020 and 10 December 2020) were held. Numerous technical tripartite meetings were held as well as meetings dealing with horizontal provisions with parts of the proposal that were shared with the other two Home Affairs instruments AMIF and BMVI. Coordination and synchronisation with other pieces of legislation being negotiated at the same time, and namely with the Common Provisions Regulation and the MFF package, was also ensured.

6106/1/21 REV 1 BS/cr 2
JAI.1 LIMITE EN

² 7404/19

³ 13774/18

^{4 10137/19}

^{11945/20 +} COR 1.

8. At the trilogue on 10 December 2020, the co-legislators secured a provisional agreement, which was presented at the meeting of the Permanent Representatives Committee on 16 December 2020. At the meeting, the text submitted by the Presidency gathered the necessary support from delegations. Work continued on technical level thereafter, notably to finalise some of the recitals, terminology, retroactivity provisions and indicators as well as possible technical alignments with provisions included in the AMIF or the BMVI.

II. ANALYSIS OF THE FINAL COMPROMISE TEXT WITH A VIEW TO AN AGREEMENT

- 9. The key elements of this final compromise text build on the provisional agreement presented to the member of the Permanent Representatives Committee on 16 December 2020. They can be summarised as follows:
 - Financing agencies: In Article 17, a paragraph has been added to make decentralised agencies exceptionally eligible for funding when they assist in the implementation of Union actions falling within the agencies' competence and those actions are not covered by the Union contribution to the budget of the agencies through the annual budget.
 - External migration management: A compromise was made with the EP regarding the addition in Article 8 made in the European Council conclusions stating that a significant part of the funding from the thematic facility should support actions in or in relation to third countries "to contribute to external migration management". The wording was changed to "to combatting and preventing crime, amongst others, including drugs trafficking, trafficking in human beings and combatting cross-border criminal smuggling networks".

6106/1/21 REV 1 BS/cr 3
JAI.1 **LIMITE** EN

- "Intelligence cooperation": The EP position included an amendment introducing the
 development of a common intelligence culture as a fourth specific objective. As a
 compromise, provisional agreement was reached on a recital regarding cooperation and
 information exchange on serious and organised crime and terrorism.
- Standard equipment: Article 4(3)(b) in the Commission proposal, which excludes purchase or maintenance of standard equipment from funding, has been replaced by a recital.
- Non-eligible actions which should be eligible in emergency situations: In 4(3),
 compared to the Commission proposal, there are a more limited number of non-eligible actions which are eligible in emergency situations. For example, actions with a military or defence purpose remain non-eligible.
- Purchase of equipment: The percentage of the allocation of a Member State programme which may be used for the purchase of equipment has been raised from 10 % in the Commission proposal to 35 %.
- Operating support: The percentage of the allocation which may go to operation support has been raised from 10 % to 20 %.
- <u>Delegated acts versus implementing acts</u>. Commission's work programmes will be adopted by implementing acts (examination procedure).

III. CONCLUSION

- 10. The European Parliament's Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs (LIBE) is expected to vote on 1 March 2021, following which the LIBE Chair will transmit a letter to the Presidency confirming that, should the Council approve these texts in first reading, after legal-linguistic revision, the Parliament would approve the Council's position in its second reading.
- 11. Subject to the receipt of the letter from the LIBE Chair, and without prejudice to further linguistic revisions, the Committee is invited to analyse the complete consolidated text of the provisional agreement, as set out in the Annex⁶ to this note, with a view to reach an early second reading agreement with the European Parliament.

Changes compared to the initial Commission proposal (10154/18 + ADD 1) are marked as follows: text deleted appears in strikethrough; new text proposed in bold underlined.

Proposal for a

REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

establishing the Internal Security Fund

THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Articles 82(1), 84 and 87(2) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,

After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,

Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee⁷,

Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions⁸,

Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,

7

8

Whereas:

Ensuring internal While national security, which is remains solely a competence of the (1) Member States, protecting it requires cooperation and coordination at Union level. **Internal security** is a shared endeavour to which the EU institutions, relevant Union agencies and Member States, with the help of the private sector and civil society, should jointly contribute. In the period 2015 to 2020, the Commission, the Council of the European Union and the European Parliament have defined common priorities as set out in the European Agenda on Security of April 2015¹⁰, which were reaffirmed by the Council in the renewed Internal Security Strategy of June 2015¹¹ and by the European Parliament in its Resolution of July That shared strategy aimed at providing the strategic framework for the work at Union level in the area of internal security, and defined the main priorities for action to ensure an effective Union response to security threats for the period 2015-20202015¹², namely tackling preventing and combating terrorism and preventing radicalisation, disrupting serious and organised crime and fighting cybercrime. These common priorities are reaffirmed in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on the EU Security Union Strategy for the period 2020-20259.

⁹ COM(2020) 605 final of 24 July 2020.

- (2) In the Rome Declaration signed on 25-September March 2017, leaders of 27 Member States the European Council, the European Parliament and the European Commission affirmed their determination to a safe and secure Europe and to build a Union where all citizens feel safe and can move freely, where the external borders are secured, with an efficient, responsible and sustainable migration policy, respecting international norms, as well as a Europe determined to fight terrorism and organised crime.
- (3) The European Council of 15 December 2016 called for continued delivery on the interoperability of EU-information systems and databases. The European Council of 23 June 2017 underlined the need to improve the interoperability between databases and on 12 December 2017, the Commission adopted a proposal for a Regulation on establishing a framework for interoperability between EU information systems (Police and judicial cooperation, asylum and migration)¹⁰.
- (4) The Union's objective of ensuring a high level of security within an area of freedom, security and justice pursuant to Article 67(3) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) should be achieved, among others, through measures to prevent and combat crime as well as through measures for coordination and cooperation between law enforcement authorities and other national authorities of Member States, including with relevant Union agencies and other relevant Union bodies, and with relevant third countries and international organisations.

¹⁰ COM(2017) 794 final.

- (5) To achieve this objective, actions should be taken at Union level to protect people, <u>public</u> <u>spaces</u> and <u>goodscritical infrastructure</u> from increasingly transnational threats and to support the work carried out by Member States' competent authorities. Terrorism, serious and organised crime, itinerant crime, drug <u>and arms</u> trafficking, corruption, <u>money laundering</u>, cybercrime, <u>sexual exploitation</u>, including of children, hybrid threats, as well as chemical, <u>biological</u>, radiological and nuclear threats, trafficking in human beings and arms, among others, continue to challenge the internal security of the Union.
- (5 a) The Fund should provide financial support to address the emerging challenges posed by the significant increase in the scale of certain types of crime, such as payment fraud, child sexual exploitation and trafficking in weapons, being committed via the internet in recent years ('cyber-enabled crimes').
- 6) Funding from the Union budget should concentrate on activities where Union intervention can bring added value compared to action by Member States alone. In line with Articles 84 and 87(2) of the TFEU, funding should support measures to promote and support the action of Member States in the field of crime prevention, joint training and police cooperation and judicial cooperation in criminal matters involving all the Member States' competent authorities and Union agencies concerning especially information exchange, increased operational cooperation and supporting necessary efforts to strengthen capabilities to eombat and to prevent and combat terrorism and serious and organised crime. The Fund should also support training of relevant staff and experts, in line with the European Law Enforcement Training Scheme (LETS) general principles. The Fund should not support operating costs and activities related to the essential functions of the Member States concerning the maintenance of law and order and the safeguarding of internal and national security as referred to in Article 72 of the TFEU.

- (7) To preserve the Schengen acquis and to strengthen its functioning(6a) In order to optimise the added value from investments funded wholly or in part through the budget of the Union, synergies should be sought in particular between the Fund and other Union programmes, including those under shared-management. To maximise those synergies, key enabling mechanisms should be ensured, including cumulative funding in an action from the Fund and another Union programme, as long as such cumulative funding does not exceed the total eligible costs of the action. For that purpose, this Regulation should set out appropriate rules, in particular on the possibility to declare the same cost or expenditure on a pro-rata basis to the Fund and another Union programme.
- (6b) When promoting the actions supported by this Fund, the recipients of Union funding should provide information in the languages relevant to the target audience. To ensure the visibility of Union funding, recipients of that funding should refer to its origin when communicating on the action. To this end, recipients should ensure that all communications to the media and the public display the Union emblem, and explicitly mention the Union's financial support.
- (6c) It should be possible for the Commission to use financial resources under this Fund to promote best practices and exchange information as regards to the implementation of the Fund.
- (6d) The Commission should publish information on the support provided from the thematic facility under direct or indirect management in a timely manner and update this information where appropriate. It should be possible to sort the data by specific objective, name of beneficiary, the amount legally committed and the nature and purpose of the measure.

- To preserve the Schengen *acquis* and to contribute to ensuring a high level of security in the Union, Member States have, since 6 April 2017, been obliged to carry out systematic checks against relevant databases on EU citizens who are crossing the EU's external borders. Furthermore, the Commission issued a Recommendation to Member States to make better use of police checks and cross-border cooperation. Solidarity among Member States, clarity about the division of tasks, respect for fundamental rights and freedoms and the rule of law, a strong attention to the global perspective and the necessary coherence with the external dimension of security should be key principles guiding the Union and Member States' action towards the development of an effective and genuine security union.
- (8) To contribute to the development and implementation of an effective and genuine security union aiming at ensuring a high level of internal security throughout the European Union, Member States should be provided with adequate Union financial support by setting up and managing an Internal Security Fund ('the Fund').
- (9) The Fund should be implemented in full compliance with the values enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), the rights and principles enshrined in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and with the Union's international obligations as regards fundamental rights human rights. In particular, this Regulation should be implemented in full respect for fundamental rights, such as the right to human dignity, the right to life, the prohibition of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, the principle of non-discrimination, the right to protection of personal data, the rights of the child and the right to have an effective remedy.

- (10) Pursuant to Article 3 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), the Fund should support activities which ensure the protection of children against violence, abuse, exploitation and neglect. The Fund should also support safeguards and assistance for child witnesses and victims, in particular those who are unaccompanied or otherwise in need of guardianship.
- (11) In line with the shared priorities identified at Union level to ensure a high level of security in the Union, the Fund will support actions aimed at addressing the main security threats and in particular tacklingpreventing and combating terrorism and radicalisation, serious and organised crime, and cybercrime and as well as assisting and protecting victims of crime. The Fund will ensure that the Union and its Member States are well equipped also to address evolving and emerging threats, such as trafficking, including via online channels, hybrid threats and chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear threats, with a view to implementing a genuine security union. This should be pursued through financial assistance to support better information exchange, to increase operational cooperation and improve national and collective capabilities.
- the Fund should in particular support <u>exchange of information as well as police cooperation</u> and judicial cooperation <u>in criminal matters</u> and prevention in the fields of serious and organised crime, illicit arms trafficking, corruption, money laundering, drug trafficking, environmental crime, <u>exchange of and access to information</u>, terrorism, trafficking in human beings, exploitation of <u>illegal immigration</u>, <u>childrefugees and irregular migrants</u>, <u>severe labour exploitation</u>, sexual exploitation <u>and abuse</u>, <u>including of children and women</u>, distribution of child abuse images and child pornography, and cybercrime. The Fund should also support the protection of people, public spaces and critical infrastructure against security-related incidents and the <u>preparedness for and</u> effective management of security-related risks and crises, including through <u>joint training</u>, the development of common policies (strategies, policy cycles, programmes and action plans), legislation and practical cooperation.

- (13) The Fund should build on the results and investments of its predecessors: the Prevention and fight against crime (ISEC) programme and the Prevention, preparedness and consequence management of terrorism and other security-related risks (CIPS) programme for the period 2007-2013 and the instrument for police cooperation, preventing and combating crime, and crisis management as part of the Internal Security Fund for the period 2014-2020, established by Regulation (EU) No 513/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹¹, and should be extended it to take into account new developments.
- (14) There is a need to maximise the impact of Union funding by mobilising, pooling and leveraging public and private financial resources. The Fund should promote and encourage the active and meaningful participation and involvement of civil society, including non-governmental organisations, as well as the European industrial sector in the development and implementation of security policy, including where relevant with involvement of other relevant actors, Union agencies and other Union bodies, third countries and international organisations in relation to the objective of the Fund. However, it should be ensured that support from the Fund is not used to delegate statutory or public tasks to private actors.

6106/1/21 REV 1 BS/cr ANNEX JAI.1 **LIMITE**

13

EN

Regulation (EU) No 513/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 establishing, as part of the Internal Security Fund, the instrument for financial support for police cooperation, preventing and combating crime, and crisis management and repealing Council Decision 2007/125/JHA (OJ L 150, 20.5.2014, p. 93).

- (14a) In order to benefit from the knowledge and expertise of the decentralised agencies with competences in the areas of law enforcement cooperation and training, drugs and drug addiction monitoring, fundamental rights, justice matters and large-scale IT systems, the Commission will involve relevant agencies in the work of the Coordination

 Committee set up by this Regulation, especially at the beginning of the programming phase and at mid-term. Where appropriate, the Commission may also involve the relevant agencies in the monitoring and evaluation, in particular with a view to ensure that the actions supported by the Fund are compliant with the relevant Union acquis and agreed Union priorities.
- (15) Within the comprehensive framework of the Union's anti-drugs strategy, which advocates a balanced approach based on a simultaneous reduction in supply and demand, the financial assistance provided under this Fund should support all actions aimed at preventing and combating trafficking in drugs (supply and demand reduction), and in particular measures targeting the production, manufacture, extraction, sale, transport, importation and exportation of illegal drugs, including possession and purchase with a view to engaging in drug trafficking activities. The Fund should in particular cover the prevention aspects of the drugs policy. To bring further synergies and clarity in the drugs-related area, these elements of drugs-related objectives which in 2014-2020 were covered by the Justice programme should be incorporated into the Fund.

- (16) With a view to ensuring that the Fund makes an effective contribution to a higher level of internal security throughout the European Union, to the development of a genuine security union, it should be used in a way that adds most <u>Union</u> value to the action of the Member States.
- facilities only when they have a clear Union added value and to the extent that they are necessary for achieving the objectives of the Fund. These are, for example, investments in equipment needed for forensics, covert surveillance, explosives and drug detection and any other specialised purpose of relevance to the Fund. The Fund should not finance investments of purely national relevance and necessary for the everyday work of the competent authorities, such as uniforms, cars, buses, scooters, police stations, non-specialised training centres and office equipment.
- (17) In the interests of solidarity within the Union, and in the spirit of shared responsibility for the security therein, where weaknesses or risks are identified, in particular following a Schengen evaluation, the Member State concerned should adequately address the matter by using resources under its programme to implement recommendations adopted pursuant to Council Regulation (EU) No 1053/2013¹².

6106/1/21 REV 1 BS/cr 15 ANNEX JAI.1 **LIMITE EN**

Council Regulation (EU) No 1053/2013 of 7 October 2013 establishing an evaluation and monitoring mechanism to verify the application of the Schengen *acquis* and repealing the Decision of the Executive Committee of 16 September 1998 setting up a Standing Committee on the evaluation and implementation of Schengen (OJ L 295, 6.11.2013, p. 27).

- (18) To contribute to the achievement of the objectives policy objective of the Fund, Member States should ensure that the priorities of their programmes address all the specific objectives of the Fund, that the priorities chosen are in-line with the implementing measures as set out in Annex II and that the allocation of resources between objectives is proportionate to challenges and needs and ensures that the overall policy objective can be met. When implementing the Thematic Facility, the Commission should ensure that the funding addresses the challenges and needs to meet the objectives of the Fund.
- (19) Synergies, consistency and efficiency should be sought with other EU funds and overlap between the actions should be avoided.
- (20) The Fund should be coherent with and complementary to other Union financial programmes in the field of security. Synergies will be sought ensured in particular with the Asylum-and. Migration and Integration Fund, the Integrated Border Management Fund consisting of the border management and visa instrument established by Regulation (EU) X and the customs control equipment instrument established by Regulation (EU) X as well as the other Cohesion Policy Funds covered by Regulation (EU) X [CPR], the security research part of the Horizon Europe programme established by Regulation (EU) X, the Rights and Values programme established by Regulation EU X, the Digital Europe programme established by Regulation EU X and the InvestEU programme established by Regulation EU X. Synergies should be sought in particular on security of infrastructure and public spaces, cybersecurity, the protection of victims and the prevention of radicalisation. Effective coordination mechanisms are essential to maximise the effective achievement of policy objectives, exploit economies of scale and avoid overlaps between actions.

- (20a) In an effort to strengthen complementarities between the Internal Security Fund and the Border Management and Visa Instrument, the Fund should be able to finance multipurpose equipment and ICT systems of which the primary purpose is in accordance with this Regulation but which also contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the Border Management and Visa Instrument established by Regulation (EU) No .../ ... [BMVI].
- (21) Measures in and in relation to third countries supported through the Fund should be implemented in full synergy and coherence with and should complement other actions outside the Union supported through the Union's external financing Union instruments. In particular, in implementing such actions, full coherence should be sought with the principles and general objectives of the Union's external action-and, the Union's foreign policy and development aid policy related to the country or region in question. In relation to the external dimension, the Fund should enhance cooperation with third countries in areas of interest to the Union's internal security, such as countering terrorism and radicalisation, cooperation with. In that context, funding from the thematic facility shall be used to support actions in or in relation to third country law enforcement authorities in the fight against terrorism (countries, within the objectives of the fund, in particular in order to contribute to combatting and organised crime, including detachments and joint investigation teams), serious and organised crime and corruption drug trafficking, trafficking in human beings and migrantcombatting cross-border criminal smuggling networks.

- (22) Funding from the Union budget should concentrate on activities where Union intervention can bring added value as compared to actions by Member States alone. Security has an inherently cross-border dimension and therefore a strong, coordinated Union response is required. Financial support provided under this Regulation will contribute in particular to strengthening national and Union capabilities in the security area.
- (23) A Member State may be deemed not to be compliant with the relevant Union *acquis* as regards the use of operating support under this Fund if it has failed to fulfil its obligations under the Treaties in the area, of security if there is a clear risk of a serious breach by the Member State of the Union's values when implementing the *acquis* on security or if an evaluation report under the Schengen evaluation and monitoring mechanism identified deficiencies in the relevant area.
- (24) The Fund should reflect the need for increased flexibility and simplification while respecting requirements in terms of predictability, and ensuring a fair and transparent distribution of resources to meet the objectives laid down in this Regulation. The implementation of the Fund should be guided by the principles of efficiency, effectiveness, relevance, coherence, Union added value and quality of spending. Furthermore, the Fund should be implemented in the most effective and user-friendly manner possible.

- (25) This Regulation should establish the initial amounts to Member States calculated on the basis of criteria laid down in Annex I.
- (26) These initial amounts should form the basis for Member States' long—term investments in security. To take account of changes in <u>internal and external</u> security threats or in the baseline situation, an additional amount should be allocated to the Member States at mid-term based on the latest available statistical data, as set out in the distribution key, taking into account the state of programme implementation.
- (27) As challenges in the area of security are constantly evolving, there is a need to adapt the allocation of funding to changes in <u>internal and external</u> security threats and steer funding towards the priorities with the highest added value for the Union. To respond to pressing needs, changes in policy and Union priorities and to steer funding towards actions with a high level of Union added value, part of the funding will be periodically allocated to specific actions, Union actions and emergency assistance via a thematic facility.
- (28) Member States should be encouraged to use part of their programme allocation to fund actions listed in Annex IV benefiting from a higher Union contribution, primarily because of their significant Union added value or their high importance for the Union.

- (29) Part of the available resources under the Fund could also be distributed for the implementation of specific actions which require cooperative effort amongst Member States or where new developments in the Union require additional funding to be made available to one or more Member States. These specific actions should be defined by the Commission in its work programmes.
- (30) The Fund should contribute to supporting operating costs related to internal security and enable Member States to maintain capabilities which are crucial to the Union as a whole. Such support consists of full reimbursement of a selection of specific costs related to the objectives under the Fund and should form an integral part of the Member States' programmes.
- (31) To complement the implementation of its policy objective at national level through Member States' programmes, the Fund should also provide support for actions at Union level. Such actions should serve overall strategic purposes within the scope of intervention of the Fund relating to policy analysis and innovation, transnational mutual learning and partnerships and the testing of new initiatives and actions across the Union or among certain Member States.

 The Fund should support Member States' efforts, including at local level, to exchange best practice and to promote joint training, including awareness raising among lawenforcement staff regarding radicalisation and all forms of discrimination that could lead to violence, such as antisemitism, antiziganism and other forms of racism. For this purpose, specialised exchange programmes for junior law-enforcement staff could be funded.

- (31a) The cross-border nature of serious and organised crime and terrorism requires a coordinated response and cooperation within and between Member States and with competent Union bodies. All competent authorities of Member States, including specialised law enforcement services, may hold valuable information to effectively fight serious and organised crime and terrorism. To accelerate information exchange and to improve the quality of information shared, it is crucial to build mutual trust. New approaches to cooperation and information exchange, including on the threat analysis, should be explored and examined, taking into account existing frameworks within and outside the EU framework such as the EU Intelligence and Situation Centre (INTCEN), Europol's European Counter Terrorism Centre (ECTC), the European Counter Terrorism Coordinator and the Counter Terrorism Group. The Fund should support competent authorities of Member States responsible for the prevention, detection and investigation of criminal offences as referred to in Article 87 of the TFEU insofar as their activities are covered by the scope of the Fund. All funded activities should fully respect the legal status of the different competent authorities and European structures and the required principles of information ownership.
- (32) In order to strengthen the Union's capacity to react immediately to security-related incidents or newly emerging threats to the Union, it should be possible to provide emergency assistance in accordance with the framework set out in this Regulation. Emergency assistance should therefore not be provided to support mere contingency and long-term measures or to address situations where the urgency to act results from inadequate administrative organisation and insufficient operational planning on the part of the competent authorities authorities' failure to plan and react properly.

- (33) In order to ensure the necessary flexibility of action and respond to emerging needs, it should be made possible for decentralised agencies to be provided with the appropriate additional financial means to carry out certain emergency tasks. In instances where the task to be undertaken is of such urgent nature that an amendment of their budgets could not be finalised in time, decentralised agencies should be eligible as beneficiaries of emergency assistance, including in the form of grants, consistent with priorities and initiatives identified at Union level by the EU institutions.
- (33 a) In light of the transnational nature of Union actions and in order to promote coordinated action to fulfil the objective of ensuring the highest level of security in the Union, decentralised agencies may exceptionally be eligible as beneficiaries of Union actions, including in the form of grants, when they assist in the implementation of Union actions falling within the agencies' competences and those actions are not covered by the Union contribution to the budget of the agencies through the annual budget. Such support should be consistent with the priorities and initiatives identified at Union level by the Union institutions to ensure Union added value.
- (34) The policy objective of this Fund will be also addressed through financial instruments and budgetary guarantee under the policy windows of the InvestEU. Financial support should be used to address market failures or sub-optimal investment situations, in a proportionate manner and actions should not duplicate or crowd out private financing or distort competition in the Internal market. Actions should have a clear EuropeanUnion added value.

- (34a) Blending operations have a voluntary nature and are operations supported by the Union budget combining repayable and/or non-repayable forms of support from the Union budget with repayable forms of support from promotional/ development or other public finance institutions, as well as from commercial finance institutions and investors.
- (35) This Regulation lays down a financial envelope for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) which is to constitute the prime reference amount, within the meaning ofparagraph X of the Interinstitutional Agreement of X between the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission on budgetary discipline, on cooperation in budgetary matters and on sound financial management¹³, for the European Parliament and the Council during the annual budgetary procedure.
- (36) Regulation (EU, Euratom) No [2018/1046 of the new FR]¹⁴ (European Parliament and of the 'Financial Regulation') Council applies to this Fund. It lays down rules on the implementation of the Union budget, including the rules on grants, prizes, procurement, indirect implementation, financial assistance, financial instruments and budgetary guarantees. In order to ensure coherence in the implementation of Union funding programmes, the Financial Regulation is to apply to the actions to be implemented in direct or indirect management under ISF.

http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-

content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.C .2013.373.01.0001.01.ENG&toc=OJ:C:2013:373:TOC

OJ C 373, 20.12.2013, p. 1.

http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-

<u>content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.C .2013.373.01.0001.01.ENG&toc=OJ:C:2013:373:T</u> OC

Full reference

¹³ OJ C 373, 20.12.2013, p. 1.

- (37) For the purpose of implementation of actions under shared management, the Fund should form part of a coherent framework consisting of this Regulation, the Financial-Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 and the Common Provisions Regulation (EU) No X15.
- Regulation (EU) No X [CPR] establishes the framework for action by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the European Social Fund Plus (ESF+), the Cohesion Fund, the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF), the Asylum-and, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF), Internal Security Fund (ISF) and the instrument for border management and visa (BMVI), as a part of the Integrated Border Management Fund (IBMF), and it lays down, in particular, the rules concerning programming, monitoring and evaluation, management and control for EU funds implemented under shared management. Additionally it is necessary to specify the objectives of the Internal Security Fund in this Regulation, and to lay down specific provisions concerning the activities that may be financed with the support of this Fund.

(38a) A pre-financing scheme for the Fund is set out in Article 84 of Regulation EU.../....[CPR] with a specific pre-financing rate set out in this Regulation. In addition, in order to ensure a prompt reaction to an emergency situation, it is appropriate to set up a specific pre-financing rate for emergency assistance. The pre-financing scheme should ensure that a Member State has the means to provide support to beneficiaries from the start of the implementation of the programme.

¹⁵ Full reference

- (39) The types of financing and the methods of implementation under this Regulation should be chosen on the basis of their ability to achieve the objectives of the actions and to deliver results, taking into account, in particular, the costs of control, the administrative burden, and then expected risk of non-compliance. This should include consideration of the use of lump sums, flat rates and unit costs, as well as financing not linked to costs as referred to in Article 125(1) of the Financial-Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046.
- (39a) In order to make the most use of the single audit principle, it is appropriate to set up specific rules on the control and audit of projects where international Organisations whose internal control systems have been positively assessed by the Commission are the beneficiaries. For those projects, managing authorities should have the possibility to limit their management verifications provided that the beneficiary delivers all necessary data and information on the progress of the project and the eligibility of underlying expenditure in a timely manner. In addition, where a project implemented by such an international organisation is part of an audit sample, it should be possible for the audit authority to carry out its work in line with the principles of the International Standard on Related Services (ISRS) 4400, 'Engagements to Perform Agreed-upon Procedures Regarding Financial Information'.

(39b) In accordance with Article 193(2) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 2018/1046, a grant may be awarded for an action which has already begun, provided that the applicant can demonstrate the need for starting the action prior to signature of the grant agreement.

However, the costs incurred prior to the date of submission of the grant application are not eligible, except in duly justified exceptional cases. In order to avoid any disruption in Union support which could be prejudicial to the Union's interests, it should be possible, for a limited period of time at the beginning of the multi-annual financial framework 2021-2027, that costs incurred in respect of actions supported under this Regulation under direct management and which have already started, be considered eligible as of 1 January 2021, even if they were incurred before the grant application or the request for assistance was submitted.

(40) In accordance with the Financial Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046, Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council 16, Council Regulation (Euratom, EC) No 2988/9517, Council Regulation (Euratom, EC) No 2185/9618 and Council Regulation (EU) 2017/193919, the financial interests of the Union are to be protected through proportionate measures, including the prevention, detection, correction and investigation of irregularities and including fraud, the recovery of funds lost, wrongly paid or incorrectly used and, where appropriate, the imposition of administrative sanctions. In particular, in accordance with Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 and Regulation (Euratom, EC) No 2185/96, the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) may carry out administrate investigations, including on-the-spot checks and inspections, with a view to establishing whether there has been fraud, corruption or any other criminal offences affecting the financial interests of the Union. In accordance with Council Regulation (EU) 2017/1939, the European Public Prosecutor's Office ("the EPPO") may investigate and prosecute fraud and other illegal activities affecting the offences against the Union's financial interests of the Unioninterest as provided for in Directive (EU) 2017/1371 of the European Parliament and of the Council 20. In accordance with the Financial Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046, any person or entity receiving Union funds is to fully cooperate in the protection of the Union's financial interests to grant the necessary rights and access to the Commission, OLAF, the EPPO, in respect of those Member States participating in enhanced cooperation pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2017/1939, and the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and to ensure that any third parties involved in the implementation of Union funds grant equivalent rights. Member States should cooperate fully and provide all necessary assistance to Union institutions, agencies and bodies in the protection of the Union's financial interests.

Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 September 2013 concerning investigations conducted by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1073/1999 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Council Regulation (Euratom) No 1074/1999 (OJ L 248 18.9.2013, p. 1).

Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2988/95 of 18 December 1995 on the protection of the European Communities financial interests (OJ L 312, 23.12.95, p. 1).

Council Regulation (Euratom, EC) No 2185/96 of 11 November 1996 concerning on-the-spot checks and inspections carried out by the Commission in order to protect the European Communities' financial interests against fraud and other irregularities (OJ L 292, 15.11.1996, p. 2).

Council Regulation (EU) 2017/1939 of 12 October 2017 implementing enhanced cooperation on the establishment of the European Public Prosecutor's Office ('the EPPO') (OJ L 283, 31.10.2017, p. 1).

Directive (EU) 2017/1371 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2017 on the fight against fraud to the Union's financial interests by means of criminal law (OJ L 198, 28.7.2017, p. 29).

- (41) Horizontal financial rules adopted by the European Parliament and the Council on the basis of Article-322 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union apply to this Regulation. These Those rules are laid down in the Financial Regulation and determine in particular the procedure for establishing and implementing the budget through grants, procurement, prizes, and indirect implementation, and provide for checks on the responsibility of financial actors. Rules adopted on the basis of Article 322 TFEU also concern the protection of the Union's budget in case of generalised deficiencies as regards the rule of law in the Member States, as the respect for the rule of law is an essential precondition for sound financial management and effective EU funding include a general regime of conditionality for the protection of the Union budget.
- (42) Pursuant to Article 94 of Council Decision 2013/755/EU²¹, persons and entities established in overseas countries and territories (OCTs) are eligible for funding subject to the rules and objectives of the Fund and possible arrangements applicable to the Member State to which the relevant overseas country or territory is linked.

__

Council Decision 2013/755/EU of 25 November 2013 on the association of the overseas countries and territories with the European Union (Overseas Association Decision) (OJ L 344, 19.12.2013, p. 1).

- (43) Pursuant to Article 349 of the TFEU and in line with the Commission Communication "A stronger and renewed strategic partnership with the EU's outermost regions²²", endorsed by the Council in its conclusion of 12 April 2018, relevant Member States should ensure that their programmes address the specific challenges the outermost regions face. The Fund supports these Member States with adequate resources to help these regions as appropriate.
- Pursuant to paragraph 22 and 23 of the Interinstitutional Inter-institutional Agreement for Better Law Making of 13 April 2016²³, there is a need to evaluate on Better Law-Making²⁶, this Fund should be evaluated on the basis of information collected throughin accordance with specific monitoring requirements, while avoiding overregulation and an administrative burden, in particular on Member States. These, and overregulation. Those requirements, where appropriate, canshould include measurable indicators, as a basis for evaluating the effects of the Fund on the ground. In order to measure the achievements of the Fund, indicators and related targets should be established in relation to each specific objective of the Fund. Those indicators should include qualitative and quantitative indicators.

22

²² COM (2017)623 final.

Interinstitutional Agreement between the European Parliament, the Council of the European Union and the European Commission on Better Law-Making of 13 April 2016; OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1–14.

(45) Reflecting the importance of tackling climate change in lineaccordance with the Union's Union's commitments to implement the Paris Agreement and its commitment to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, the actions under this Fund will Regulation should contribute to mainstream climate actions and to the achievement of an overalla 30% target of 25% of the EU budget expenditures supportingall MFF expenditure being spent on mainstreaming climate objectives. Relevant actions will be identified during and to working towards the Fund's preparation and implementation, and reassessed in the contextambition of 7.5% of the relevant evaluations and review processes. Budget reflecting biodiversity expenditure in 2024 and 10% in 2026 and 2027 while considering the existing overlaps between climate and biodiversity goals. The Fund should support activities that respect the climate and environmental standards and priorities of the Union and would do no significant harm to environmental objectives within the meaning of Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 2020/852.

- (46) Through these indicators and financial reporting, the Commission and the Member States should monitor the implementation of the Fund in accordance with the relevant provisions of Regulation (EU) No-X [CPR] and this Regulation. X [CPR] and this Regulation. Starting from 2023, Member States should submit to the Commission annual performance reports covering the latest accounting year. The reports should contain information on the progress made in the implementation of programmes. The Commission should translate the summaries of the annual performance reports submitted by the Member States, into all the official languages and make them publicly available on its website together with links to the Member States' websites referred to in Art. 44(1) [CPR].
- (46a) Regulation (EU) No 514/2014 or any act applicable to the 2014–2020 programming period should continue to apply to programmes and projects supported by the Fund under the 2014–2020 programming period. Since the implementation period of Regulation (EU) No 514/2014 overlaps with the programming period covered by this Regulation and in order to ensure continuity of implementation of certain projects approved by that Regulation, phasing provisions should be laid down. Each individual phase of the phased project should be implemented in accordance with the rules of the programming period under which it receives funding.

- (47) In order to supplement and amend non-essential elements in this Regulation, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 TFEU should be delegated to the Commission in respect of the list of actions eligible for higher co-financing as listed in Annex IV, operating support and in order to further develop the monitoring and evaluation framework. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law Making of 13 April 2016.
- [48] In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred to the Commission. These Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers the examination procedure should be used for implementing acts that lay down common obligations on Member States, in particular on the provision of information to the Commission, and the advisory procedure should be used for the adoption of implementing acts relating to the modalities of detailed arrangements for providing information to the Commission in the framework of programming and reporting, given their purely technical nature. Furthermore, given the nature and purpose of emergency assistance provided for by this Regulation, it is appropriate to provide for the use of immediately applicable implementing acts on duly justified imperative grounds of urgency in accordance with Article 8 of Regulation 182/2011 for the adoption of decisions to award such assistance.

²⁴ OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13.

Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16

February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by the Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

- (49) In accordance with Articles 1 and 2 of Protocol No 22 on the position of Denmark, annexed to the TEU and to the TFEU, Denmark is not taking part in the adoption of this Regulation and is not bound by it or subject to its application.
- In accordance with Article 3 of Protocol No 21 on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland in respect of the area of freedom, security and justice, annexed to the TEUTreaty on European Union and to the TFEU, and without prejudice to Article 4Treaty on the Functioning of that Protocol the European Union, Ireland [is not taking part in the adoption of this Regulation and is not bound by it or subject to its application / has notified its wish to take part in the adoption and application of this Regulation].
- (51) It is appropriate to align the period of application of this Regulation with that of Council Regulation (EU, Euratom) No X laying down the multiannual financial framework 26,.../2021

 [Multiannual Financial Framework Regulation]. In order to ensure continuity in providing support in the relevant policy area and to allow implementation to start from the beginning of the multi-annual financial framework 2021-2027, this Regulation should enter into force as a matter of urgency and should apply from 1 January 2021.

_

Council Regulation (EU, Euratom) No XXX.

CHAPTER I

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Article 1

Subject matter

- 1. This Regulation establishes the Internal Security Fund ('the Fund'):) for the duration of the MFF 2021-2027.
- 2. It This Regulation lays down-:
 - a) the policy objective of the Fund;
 - the specific objectives of the Fund, and measures to implement those specific objectives;
 - c) the budget for the period 2021-2027,:
 - d) the forms of Union funding and the rules for providing for such funding.

Article 2

Definitions

For the purposes of this Regulation, the following definitions shall apply:

- (a) 'blending operation' means actions supported by the Union budget, including within blending facilities pursuant to as defined in point 6 of Article 2(6) of the Financial Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 of the European Parliament and of the Council, combining non-repayable forms of support and/or financial instruments from the Union budget with repayable forms of support from development or other public finance institutions, as well as from commercial finance institutions and investors;
- -(ab) 'Competent authorities' means all Member States' authorities responsible for the prevention, detection and investigation of criminal offences, as referred to in Article 87 of the TFEU including police, customs and other specialised law enforcement services.
- (b) 'crime prevention' means all measures that are intended to reduce or otherwise contribute to reducing crime and citizens' feeling of insecurity, as referred to in Article 2(2) of Council Decision 2009/902/JHA²⁷;

_

Council Decision 2009/902/JHA of 30 November 2009 setting up a European Crime Prevention Network (EUCPN) and repealing Decision 2001/427/JHA (OJ L 321, 8.12.2009, p. 44).

- (c) 'critical infrastructure' means an asset, network, system or part thereof which is essential for the maintenance of vital societal functions, health, safety, security, economic or social well-being of people, and the disruption, breach or destruction of which would have a significant impact in a Member State or in the Union as a result of the failure to maintain those functions;
- (d) 'cybercrime' means cyber-dependent crimes, that is to say crimes that can be committed only through the use of information and communications technology (ICT) devices and systems, where the devices and systems are either tools for committing the crime or the primary targets of the crime; and cyber-enabled crimes, that is to say traditional crimes, such as child sexual exploitation, which can be increased in scale or reach by the use of computers, computer networks or other forms of ICT systems;
- (a) 'EMPACT actions' (e) 'EU policy cycle operational action' means actions undertaken in the framework of the European multidisciplinary platform against criminal threats (EMPACT)²⁸. EMPACT is a structured multidisciplinary cooperation platform of the relevant Member States, Union institutions and agencies, as well as third countries, international organisations and other public and private partners to address prioritised threats of EU Policy Cycle for organised and serious international crime under the EU Policy Cycle;

<u>*EU Policy Cycle' refers to,</u> an intelligence-led and multidisciplinary initiative with the. <u>Its</u> aim <u>is</u> to fight the most important serious and organised crime threats to the Union by encouraging cooperation between the Member States, the Union institutions, the <u>and</u> agencies and where relevant third countries and <u>international</u> organisations;

This action is undertaken through a structured multidisciplinary cooperation platform, EMPACT (European multidisciplinary platform against criminal threats).

6106/1/21 REV 1 ANNEX JAI.1 BS/cr LIMITE 36 **EN**

²⁸— Conclusions of the Council of Justice and Home Affairs Ministers, 8 and 9 November 2010.

- 'exchange of and access to information' means the secure collection, storage, processing, analysis, access to and exchange transfer of information relevant to the authorities referred to in Article 87 of the Treaty of the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) as well as to Europol and other relevant Union agencies in relation to the prevention, detection, investigation, and prosecution of criminal offences, in particular cross-border, serious and organised crime and terrorism;
- (b) 'judicial cooperation' means judicial cooperation in criminal matters;
- (c) 'LETS' means the European Law Enforcement Training Scheme, aimed at equipping law enforcement officers with the knowledge and skills they need to prevent and combat cross-border crime effectively through efficient cooperation, as outlined in the Commission Communication of 27 March 2013 on establishing a European LETS²⁹ and further referred to in the CEPOL Regulation³⁰;
- (j) 'organised crime' means punishable conduct relating to participation in a criminal organisation, as defined in Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA³¹;
- -(k) 'preparedness' means any measureaction specifically aimed at preventing or reducing risks linked to possible terrorist attacks or other security-related incidents;

²⁹ COM(2013) 172 establishing a European Law Enforcement Training Scheme (LETS).

Regulation (EU) 2015/2219 of 25 November 2015 on the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Training (CEPOL).

Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime (OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42).

- -<u>I)</u> 'Schengen evaluation and monitoring mechanism' means the verification of the correct application of the Schengen *acquis* as laid down in <u>Council</u> Regulation (EU) No 1053/2013<u>32</u>, including in the area of police cooperation;
- (m) 'tackling corruption' covers all areas outlined in the United Nations Convention against corruption, including prevention, criminalisation and law enforcement measures, international cooperation, asset recovery, technical assistance and information exchange;
- (n) 'terrorism' means any of the intentional acts and offences as defined in Directive (EU) 2017/541 of the European Parliament and of the Council-on combating terrorism³³.
- (o) 'emergency situation' means any security-related incident, newly emerging threat or newly detected vulnerability within the scope of this Regulation, which has or may have a significant adverse impact on the security of people, public spaces or critical infrastructure in one or more Member States.
- (p) 'Flash money' is genuine cash which is shown during a criminal investigation as proof of liquidity and solvency to the suspects or other persons who have information about availability or delivery or who act as intermediaries, in order to carry out a fictitious purchase aimed at arresting suspects, identifying illegal production sites or otherwise dismantling an organised crime group.
- (q) 'radicalisation' means radicalisation leading to violent extremism and terrorism, that is a phased and complex process in which an individual or a group of individuals embraces a radical ideology or belief that accepts, uses or condones violence, including acts of terrorism, to reach a specific political, religious or ideological goal.

Directive (EU) 2017/741 on combating terrorism and replacing Council Framework Decision 2002/475/JHA and amending Council Decision 2005/671/JHA (OJ L 88, 31.3.2017, p. 6).

6106/1/21 REV 1 BS/cr 38
ANNEX JAI.1 **LIMITE EN**

Council Regulation (EU) No 1053/2013 of 7 October 2013 establishing an evaluation and monitoring mechanism to verify the application of the Schengen acquis and repealing the Decision of the Executive Committee of 16 September 1998 setting up a Standing Committee on the evaluation and implementation of Schengen (OJ L 295, 6.11.2013, p. 27).

Objectives of the Fund

- 1. The policy objective of the Fund shall be to contribute to ensuring a high level of security in the Union, in particular by tacklingpreventing and combating terrorism and radicalisation, serious and organised crime, and cybercrime and, by assisting and protecting victims of crime as well as by preparing for, protecting against and effectively managing security related incidents, risks and crises within the scope of this Regulation.
- 2. Within the policy objective set out in paragraph 1, the Fund shall contribute to the following specific objectives:
 - (a) to increase improve and facilitate the exchange of information among and within the Union law enforcement and other competent authorities of the Member States and other relevant Union bodies as well as and, where relevant, with third countries and international organisations;
 - (b) to improve and intensify cross-border cooperation, including joint operations among and within the Union law enforcement and other Member States' competent authorities in relation to terrorism and serious and organised crime with a cross-border dimension; and

- (c) to support effort atthe strengthening of the Member States' capabilities in relation to combatting and preventing and combating crime-including terrorism in particular, terrorism and radicalisation as well as managing security-related incidents, risks and crises, including-through increased cooperation between public authorities, the relevant Union agencies, civil society and private partners across the Member States.
- 3. Within the specific objectives set out in paragraph 2, the Fund shall be implemented through the implementation measures listed in Annex II-
- 4. Actions funded shall be implemented in full respect for fundamental rights and human dignity. In particular, actions shall comply with the provisions of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, Union data protection law and the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR). In particular, wherever possible, special attention shall be given by Member States when implementing actions to the assistance and protection of vulnerable persons, in particular children and unaccompanied minors.

Scope of support

- 1. Within the objectives referred to in Article 3 and in-line with the implementation measures listed in Annex II, the Fund shall in particular support the actions measures such as those listed in Annex III.
- 2. To achieve the objectives of this Regulation, the Fund may, subject to appropriate safeguards, support the actions in-line with Union priorities as referred to in Annex III in relation to and in third countries, where appropriate, in accordance with Article 515a.
- 2.(a) As regards actions in and in relation to third countries, the Commission and the Member States, together with the EEAS shall, in accordance with their respective responsibilities, ensure coordination with relevant Union policies, strategies and instruments. They shall, in particular, ensure that actions in and in relation to third countries:
 - (a) are carried out in synergy and in coherence with other actions outside the Union supported through Union instruments;
 - (b) are coherent with the Union's external policy, respect the principle of policy

 coherence for development and are consistent with the strategic programming
 documents for the region or country in question;

- (c) focus on non-development-oriented measures;
- (d) and serve the interests of the Union's internal policies and are consistent with activities undertaken inside the Union.
- 2a. Equipment and ICT systems, financed under this Fund, may be additionally used in the complementary area of the Instrument for financial support for border management and visa established by Regulation (EU) No ../..[BMVI].

Such equipment and ICT systems shall remain available and deployable for the objectives of this Fund.

The use of equipment in the mentioned complementary areas shall not exceed 30 % of the total period of use of that equipment.

ICT systems developed under this category shall provide data and services for the prevention, detention and investigation of criminal offences.

Member States shall inform the Commission in the annual performance report of any such multiple use and the place of deployment for the multi-purpose equipment and ICT systems.

- 3. The following actions shall not be eligible:
- (a) actions limited to the maintenance of public order at national level;
 - (a) actions covering the purchase or maintenance of standard equipment, standard means of transport or standard facilities of the law-enforcement and other competent authorities referred to in Article 87 TFEU;
- (c) _actions with a military or defence purpose;
- (d) equipment of which at least one of the purposes primary purpose is customs control;
- (e) coercive equipment, including weapons, ammunition, explosives and riot sticks, except for training;
- <u>(f)</u> informant rewards and flash money³⁴ outside the framework of an <u>EMPACTEU policy cycle</u> <u>operational</u> action.

Where an emergency situation occurs, non-eligible actions referred to in this paragraph point (a) of the first subparagraph may be considered eligible.

³⁴ 'Flash money' is genuine cash which is shown (exhibited) during a criminal investigation as proof of liquidity and solvency to the suspects or other persons who have information about availability or delivery or who act as intermediaries, in order to carry out a fictitious purchase aimed at arresting suspects, identifying illegal production sites or otherwise dismantling an organised crime group.

- (a) legal entities established in any of the following countries:
 - (i) a Member State or an overseas country or territory linked to it;
 - (ii) third country listed in the work programme under the conditions specified therein.
- (b) any legal entity created under Union law or any international organisation.
- 3. Legal entities established in a third country are exceptionally eligible to participate where this is necessary for the achievement of the objectives of a given action.
- 4. Legal entities participating in consortia of at least two independent entities, established in different Member States or overseas countries or territories linked to those states or in third countries, are eligible.

CHAPTER II

FINANCIAL AND IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK

SECTION 1

COMMON PROVISIONS

COMMON PROVISIONS

*Article***-**6

General principles

- Support provided under this Regulation shall complement national, regional and local intervention, and shall focus on bringing <u>Union</u> added value to the objectives of this Regulation.
- 2. The Commission and the Member States shall ensure that the support provided under this Regulation and by the Member States is consistent with the relevant activities actions, policies and priorities of the Union, and is complementary to other Union instruments.
- 3. The Fund shall be implemented under shared, direct or indirect management in accordance with Articles Article 62(1)(a), (b) and (c) of the Financial Regulation (EU, Euratom)

 2018/1046.

4. In accordance with point (a) of the second subparagraph of Article 193(2) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 2018/1046, taking into account the delayed entry into force of this Regulation and in order to ensure continuity, for a limited period, costs incurred in respect of actions supported under this Regulation under direct management and which have already started may be considered eligible as of 1 January 2021, even if they were incurred before the grant application or the request for assistance was submitted.

Article 7

Budget

- 1. The financial envelope for the implementation of the Fund for the period 2021-2027 shall be EUR 2-5001 931 000 000 in current prices.
- 2. The financial envelope shall be used as follows:
 - (a) EUR 1 500352 000 000 shall be allocated to the programmes implemented under shared management;
 - **b)** EUR 1 000579 000 000 shall be allocated to the thematic facility.
- 3. Up to 0.84 % of the financial envelope shall be allocated for technical assistance at the initiative of the Commission for the implementation of the Fund.
- 4. In accordance with Article 21 of Regulation (EU) XX [CPR], up to 5% in total of the initial national allocation from any of the funds of the Common Provisions Regulation under shared management may, at the request of Member States, be transferred to the Fund under direct or indirect management. The Commission shall implement those resources directly in accordance with Article 62(1)(a) of the Financial Regulation or indirectly in accordance with Article 62(1)(c). Those resources shall be used for the benefit of the Member State concerned.

General provisions on the implementation of the thematic facility

- 1. The financial envelope referred to in Article 7(2)(b) shall be allocated flexibly through the thematic facility using shared, direct and indirect management as set out in work programmes. Funding from the thematic facility shall be used for its components:
 - (a) specific actions;
 - **(b)** Union actions; and
 - (c) emergency assistance.

Technical assistance at the initiative of the Commission shall also be supported from the financial envelope for the thematic facility.

2. Funding from the thematic facility shall address priorities with a high added value to the Union or to be used to respond to urgent needs, in line with agreed Union priorities as outlined in Annex II. The allocation of resources of the thematic facility among the different priorities shall, as far as possible, be proportionate to challenges and needs so as to ensure that the objectives of the Fund can be met. Funding from the thematic facility shall be used for supporting actions in or in relation to third countries, within the objectives of the Fund, in particular in order to contribute to combatting and preventing crime, including drug trafficking, trafficking in human beings and combatting crossborder criminal smuggling networks.

- 2a. The Commission shall engage with civil society organisations and relevant networks, notably in view of preparing and evaluating the work programmes for Union Actions financed through the Fund.
- 3. When funding from the thematic facility is granted in direct or indirect management to Member States, it shall be ensured that selected projects are not affected by a reasoned opinion by the Commission in respect of an infringement proceedings under Article 258 of the TFEU that putsput at risk the legality and regularity of expenditure or the performance of those projects shall not be selected.
- 4. When For the purposes of Article 18 and Article 19(2) of Regulation (EU) No .../...

 [CPR], when funding from the thematic facility is implemented in shared management, the Member State shall ensure that, and the Commission shall, for the purposes of Article 18 and Article 19(2) of the Regulation (EU) No [CPR], assess whether, the foreseen actions are not affected by a reasoned opinion by the Commission in respect of an infringement under Article 258 of the TFEU on a matter that puts at risk the legality and regularity of expenditure or the performance of the projects actions.

- 5. The Commission shall establish the overall amount made available for the thematic facility at under the annual appropriations of the Union budget. The Commission shall by means of implementing acts adopt financing decisions as referred to in Article [110] of the Financial Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 for the thematic facility identifying the objectives and actions to be supported and specifying the amounts for each of its components as referred to in paragraph 1. Financing decisions shall set out, where applicable, the overall amount reserved for blending operations. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 29(2a).
- 6. Following the adopting of the financing decision as referred to in paragraph 35, the Commission may amend the programmes implemented under shared management accordingly.
- 7. These financing decisions may be annual or multiannual and may cover one or more components of the thematic facility.

SECTION 2

SUPPORT AND IMPLEMENTATION UNDER SHARED MANAGEMENT

SUPPORT AND IMPLEMENTATION UNDER SHARED MANAGEMENT

Article-<u>9</u>

Scope

- 1. This section applies to the part of the financial envelope referred to in Article 7(2)(a) and the additional resources to the implemented under shared management according to the Commission decision for the thematic facility referred to in Article 8.
- 2. Support under this section shall be implemented under shared management in accordance with Article 63 of the Financial Regulation and the (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 Regulation (EU) No [CPR].

Article-10

Budgetary resources

- 3. Resources referred to in Article 7(2)(a) shall be allocated to the national programmes implemented by Member States under shared management ('the programmes') indicatively as follows:
 - (a) EUR 1 250127 000 000 to the Member States in accordance with the criteria in Annex I;
 - **(b)** EUR 250225 000 000 to the Member States for the adjustment of the allocations for the programmes as referred to in Article 13(1).
- 4. Where the amount referred to in paragraph 1(b) is not allocated, the remaining amount may be added to the amount referred to in Article 7(2)(b).

Pre-financing

In accordance with Article 84(3a) of Regulation EU.../...[CPR], the pre-financing for the Fund shall be paid in yearly instalments before 1 July of each year, subject to the availability of funds, as follows:

- (a) 2021: 4 %
- (b) 2022: 3 %
- (c) 2023: 5 %
- (d) 2024: 5 %
- (e) 2025: 5 %
- (f) 2026: 5 %

Where a programme is adopted after 1 July 2021, the earlier instalments shall be paid in the year of adoption.

Co-financing rates

- 1. The contribution from the Union budget shall not exceed 75 % of the total eligible expenditure of a project.
- 2. The contribution from the Union budget may be increased to 90 % of the total eligible expenditure for projects implemented under specific actions.
- 3. The contribution from the Union budget may be increased to 90 % of the total eligible expenditure for actions listed in Annex IV.
- 4. The contribution from the Union budget may be increased to 100 % of the total eligible expenditure for operating support.
- 5. The contribution from the Union budget may be increased to 100 % of the total eligible expenditure for emergency assistance.

- 5a. The contribution from the Union budget may be increased to 100 % of the total eligible expenditure for technical assistance at the initiative of the Member States within the limits set out in Article 30(x)(x) of Regulation (EU) No [CPR].
- 6. The Commission decision approving a programme shall set the co-financing rate and the maximum amount of support from this Fund for the types of actions referred to in paragraphs 1 to 5.
- 7. For each specific objective type of action, the Commission decision approving a programme shall set out whether the co-financing rate for the specific objective type of action is to be applied to either of the following:
 - (a) the total contribution, including the public and private contributions; or
 - **(b)** the public contribution only.

Programmes

- 1. Each Member State shall ensure that the priorities addressed in its <u>programmes programme</u> are consistent with, and respond to, <u>the</u> Union priorities and challenges in the area of security and are fully in line with the relevant Union *acquis* and agreed Union priorities. In defining these<u>the</u> priorities of their programmes, Member States shall ensure that the <u>implementingimplementation</u> measures as-set out in Annex II are adequately addressed. <u>The Commission shall assess the programmes</u> in <u>the programme.accordance with Article 18 of Regulation [XXXX/XX] [CPR].</u>
- 1 b. In that regard, and without prejudice to paragraph 1c below, Member States shall allocate:
 - a) a minimum of 10% of their allocated resources to the specific objective referred to in point (a) of paragraph 2 of Article 3; and
 - b) a minimum of 10% of their allocated resources to the specific objective referred to in point (b) of paragraph 2 of Article 3.

- 1 c. Member States may depart from the minimum percentages only where a detailed explanation is included in the programme as to why allocating resources below this level does not jeopardise the achievement of the objective.
- 2. The Commission shall ensure that the Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol), the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Training (CEPOL) and the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA)knowledge and expertise of the relevant decentralised agencies are associated to taken into account in the development of the Member States' programmes at an early stage, as regards the areas of their competence. Specifically.
- 2a. In order to avoid overlaps, Member States shall consult Europol relevant agencies on the design of their actions, in particular when including implementing EU policy cycle or EMPACT operational actions or actions coordinated by the Joint Cybercrime Action Taskforce (J-CAT) in their programmes. Prior to including), and on the design of training in their programmes, Member States shall coordinate with CEPOL in order to avoid overlaps activities.
- 3. The Commission may associate the Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation
 (Europol), the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Training (CEPOL) and the
 European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA)relevant
 decentralised agencies, where appropriate, in the monitoring and evaluation tasks as
 specified in Section 5, in particular in view of ensuring that the actions implemented with the
 support of the Fund are compliant with the relevant Union acquis and agreed Union priorities.

- 4. A maximum of 1535 % of the allocation of a Member State programme may be used for the purchase of equipment, means of transport or the construction of security-relevant facilities. This ceiling may be exceeded only in duly justified cases.
- 5. In their programmes, Member States shall give priority to addressing:
 - 5(a). Union priorities and acquis -in the area of security in particular information the efficient exchange of relevant and accurate information and the implementation of the components of the framework for interoperability of IT systems; EU information
 Systems;
 - recommendations with financial implications made in the framework of Regulation (EU) No 1053/2013 on the Schengen evaluation and monitoring mechanism in the area of police cooperation and falling within the scope of this Regulation;
 - (c) country-specific deficiencies with financial implications identified in the framework of needs assessments such as European Semester recommendations in the area of corruption.
- 6. Where necessary, the programme <u>in question</u> shall be amended to take into account the recommendations referred to in paragraph_5. Depending on the impact of the adjustment, the revised programme <u>mayshall</u> be approved by the Commission-<u>in line with the procedures set out Article 19 of the [CPR regulation].</u>

- 7. Member States shall pursue in particular the actions listed in Annex_IV. In the event of unforeseen or new circumstances or to ensure the effective implementation of funding, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article_28 to amend Annex IV.
- 8. Whenever a Member State decides to implement projects with or in a third country, with the support of the Fund, the Member State concerned shall consult the Commission prior to the startapproval of the project.
- 9. Programming as referred to in Article 17(5) of Regulation (EU) No [CPR] shall be based on the types of intervention set out in Table 1 of Annex VI. 2 of Annex VI and shall include an indicative breakdown of the programmed resources by type of intervention within each specific objective.

Mid-term review

- 1. In 2024, the Commission shall allocate to the programmes of the Member States concerned the additional amount referred to in Article 10(1)(b) in accordance with the criteria referred to in paragraph 2 of Annex I. Funding shall be effective for the period as of the calendar year 2025.
- 2. If at least 10 % of the initial allocation of a programme referred to in Article 10(1)(a) has not been covered by interim payment applications submitted in accordance with Article 85 of Regulation (EU) No [CPR], the Member State concerned shall not be eligible to receive the additional allocation for the programme referred to in paragraph 1.
- 3. The allocation of the funds from the thematic facility as from 2025 shall, where appropriate, take into account the progress made in achieving the milestones of the performance framework as referred to in Article-12 of Regulation (EU) No X [CPR] and identified implementation shortcomings.

Specific actions

- 1. Specific actions are transnational or national projects **bringing Union added value** in line with the objectives of this Regulation for which one, several or all Member States may receive an additional allocation to their programmes.
- 2. Member States may, in addition to their allocation calculated in accordance with Article 10(1), receive funding for specific actions, provided that it is earmarked as such in the programme and is used to contribute to the implementation of the objectives of this Regulation, including covering newly emerging threats.
- 3. The funding shall not be used for other actions in the programme except in duly justified circumstances and as approved by the Commission through the amendment of the programme.

Article-_15

Operating support

- 1. Operating support is a part of a Member State's allocation which may be used as support to the public authorities responsible for accomplishing the tasks and services which constitute a public service for the Union-insofar as they contribute to ensuring a high level of security in the Union.
- 2. A Member State may use up to 10-20% of the amount allocated under the Fund to its programme to finance operating support for the public authorities responsible for accomplishing the tasks and services which constitute a public service for the Union.
- 3. A Member State using operating support shall comply with the Union *acquis* on security.
- 4. Member States shall justify in the programme and in the annual performance reports, as referred to in Article-26, the use of operating support to achieve the objectives of this Regulation. Before the approval of the programme, the Commission shall assess the baseline situation in the Member States which have indicated their intention to request operating support, taking into account the information provided by those Member States as well as recommendations from quality control and evaluation mechanisms such as the Schengen evaluation mechanism and other quality control and evaluation mechanisms, as applicable.

- 5. Operating support shall be concentrated on specific tasks and services eligible actions as laid down in Annex VII.
- 6. To address unforeseen or new circumstances or to ensure the effective implementation of funding, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 28 to amend the specific tasks and serviceseligible actions in Annex-VII.

SECTION 3

Support Article 15 a

<u>Management verifications</u> and implementation under directaudits when an international

organisation is a beneficiary

1. This Article applies to international organisations or their agencies as referred to in Article 62 (1) (c) (ii) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 2018/1046 (the 'Financial Regulation') whose systems, rules and procedures have been assessed ex ante as appropriate by the Commission pursuant to Article 154 (4) and (7) of that Regulation for the purpose of indirectly implementing grants financed from the Union budget, hereinafter referred as 'international organisations'.

2. Without prejudice to indirect Article 77 (a) [CPR] and to Article 129 of the Financial Regulation, where the international organisation is a beneficiary, the managing authority is not required to carry out the management verifications referred to in Article 68 (1)(a) [CPR] provided that the international organisation submits to the managing authority the documents required by Article 155 (1) (a), (b) and (c) of the Financial Regulation.

Without prejudice to Article 155 (1) (c) of the Financial Regulation, the management declaration shall confirm that the project complies with applicable law and the conditions for support of the project.

In addition, where costs are to be reimbursed pursuant to Article 48(1)(a) [CPR], the management declaration shall confirm that:

- a) the verification of invoices and proof of their payment by the beneficiary has been carried out;
- b) verification of the accounting records or accounting codes maintained by the beneficiary for transactions linked to the expenditure declared to the managing authority has been carried out.

Where the costs are to be reimbursed pursuant to points (b), (c) and (d) of Article 48(1) [CPR], the management declaration shall confirm that the conditions for reimbursement of expenditure have been met.

The documents referred to in Article 155 (1) (a) and (c) of the Financial Regulation shall be provided to the managing authority together with each payment claim submitted by the beneficiary.

The beneficiary shall submit to the managing authority annually and not later than 15

October the accounts accompanied by an opinion of an independent audit body, drawn
up in accordance with internationally accepted audit standards. This opinion shall
establish whether the control systems put in place function properly and are costeffective, and whether the underlying transactions are legal and regular. The opinion
shall also state whether the audit work puts in doubt the assertions made in the
management declarations, including information on suspicion of fraud. It shall provide
assurance on the expenditure included in the payment claims submitted by the
international organisation to the managing authority.

Without prejudice to existing possibilities for carrying out further audits referred to in Article 127 of the Financial Regulation, the managing authority shall draw up the management declaration referred to in Article 68 (1)(f) [CPR] based on these documents, instead of relying on the management verifications referred to in Article 68 (1) [CPR].

The document setting out the conditions for support referred to in Article 67(4) [CPR] shall include the requirements set out in this Article.

3. Paragraph 2 shall not apply where:

- a) the managing authority identifies a specific risk of irregularity or an indication of fraud with respect to a project initiated or implemented by the international organisation;
- b) the international organisation fails to submit to the managing authority the documents listed in paragraph 2;
- c) the documents listed in paragraph 2 and submitted by the international organisation are incomplete.
- 4. Where a project initiated or initiated and implemented by an international organisation is part of a sample referred to in Article 73 [CPR], the audit authority may perform its work based on a sub-sample of transactions within this project. Where errors are found in the sub-sample, the audit authority, if relevant, may request the auditor of the international organisation to assess the full scope and the total amount of errors.

SECTION 3

SUPPORT AND IMPLEMENTATION UNDER DIRECT AND INDIRECT MANAGEMENT

Article 15a

Eligible entities

- 1. The following entities may be eligible:
 - (a) legal entities established in any of the following countries:
 - (i) a Member State or an overseas country or territory linked to it;
 - (ii) a third country listed in the work programme, under the conditions specified in paragraph (3).
 - (b) any legal entity created under Union law or any international organisation relevant for the purposes of the Fund.
- 2. Natural persons are not eligible.
- Article 3. Entities referred to in paragraph (1)(a)(ii), above, shall participate as part of a consortium with at least two independent entities at least one of which is established in a Member State. Those entities shall ensure that the actions in which they participate are in compliance with the principles enshrined in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the Fund as laid down in Article 3 of this Regulation.

Scope

Support under this section shall be implemented either directly by the Commission in accordance with point (a) of Article 62(1) of the Financial-Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 or indirectly in accordance with point (c) of that Article.

Article_17

Union actions

- 1. Union actions are transnational project or projects of particular interest to the Union, in line with the objectives of this Regulation.
- 2. At the Commission's initiative, the Fund may be used to finance Union actions concerning the objectives of this Regulation as referred to in Article 3 and in accordance with Annex III.
- 3. Union actions may provide funding in any of the forms laid down in the Financial Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046, in particular grants, prizes and procurement. It may also provide financing in the form or of financial instruments within blending operations.
- 3 a. Exceptionally, decentralised agencies may also be eligible for funding within the framework of Union actions when they assist in the implementation of Union actions falling within the agencies' competence and those actions are not covered by the Union contribution to the budget of the agencies through the annual budget.

- 4. Grants implemented under direct management shall be awarded and managed in accordance with Title VIII of the Financial Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046.
- 5. The evaluation committee, assessing the proposals, may be composed of external experts.
- 6. Contributions to a mutual insurance mechanism may cover the risk associated with the recovery of funds due by recipients and shall be considered a sufficient guarantee under the Financial Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046. The provisions laid down in [Article X of] Regulation X [successor of the Regulation on the Guarantee Fund] shall apply.

Blending operations

Blending operations decided under this Fund shall be implemented in accordance with the InvestEU Regulation35 and Title X of the Financial Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046.

Article-19

Technical assistance at the initiative of the Commission

The In accordance with Article 29 of Regulation (EU) No [CPR], the Fund may support technical assistance measures implemented at the initiative of, or on behalf of, the Commission. Those measures may be financed at the financing rate of 100 %.

Full reference.

Audits

Audits on the use of Union contribution carried out by persons or entities, including by other than those mandated by the Union institutions or bodies, shall form the basis of the overall assurance pursuant to Article 127 of the Regulation (EU) No [Regulation on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union]. Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046.

Article-_21

Information, communication and publicity

- 1. The recipient of Union funding shall acknowledge the origin and ensure the visibility of the Union funding, in particular when promoting the actions and their results by providing coherent, effective, meaningful and proportionate targeted information to multiple audiences, including the media and the public. Visibility shall be ensured and information shall be provided except in duly justified cases where public display is not possible or appropriate or information is restricted by law in particular due to reasons of security, public order, criminal investigations or the protection of personal data. To ensure the visibility of Union funding, recipients of Union funding should refer to its origin when communicating on the action and display the Union emblem.
- 2. The To reach the widest possible audience, the Commission shall implement information and communication actions relating to the this Fund and, its actions and results. Financial resources allocated to the this Fund shall also contribute to the corporate communication of on the political priorities of the Union, as far as they are related to the objectives of this Regulation.

SECTION 4

SUPPORT AND IMPLEMENTATION UNDER SHARED, DIRECT AND INDIRECT MANAGEMENT

Article 2 a. The Commission shall publish the programmes of the thematic facility. For support provided under direct and indirect management, the Commission shall publish the information referred to in Article 38(2) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 1046/2018 on a publicly available website and shall update that information regularly. This information shall be published in open, machine-readable format which allows data to be sorted, searched, extracted and compared.

SECTION 4

SUPPORT AND IMPLEMENTATION UNDER SHARED, DIRECT AND INDIRECT MANAGEMENT

Article 22

Emergency assistance

- 1. The Fund shall provide financial assistance to address urgent and specific needs in the event of ana duly justified emergency situation-resulting from a security-related incident or newly emerging threat, as defined in point (o) of Article 2. In response to such a duly justified emergency situation, the Commission may decide to provide emergency assistance within the scope of this Regulation which has or may have a significant adverse impact on the security limits of people in one or more Member States; available resources.
- 2. Emergency assistance may take the form of grants awarded directly to the decentralised agencies.
- 3. Emergency assistance may be allocated to Member States' programmes in addition to their allocation calculated in accordance with Article 10(1), provided that it is earmarked as such in the programme. This funding shall not be used for other actions in the programme except in duly justified circumstances and as approved by the Commission through the amendment of the programme. Pre-financing for emergency assistance may amount to 95% of the Union contribution, subject to the availability of funds.

- 4. Grants implemented under direct management shall be awarded and managed in accordance with Title VIII of the Financial Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046.
- 4a. Where necessary for the implementation of the action, emergency assistance may cover expenditure which was incurred prior to the date of submission of the grant application or the request for assistance, but not prior to 1 January 2021.
- 4b. To ensure a timely availability of resources, the Commission may separately adopt a financing decision for emergency assistance by way of immediately applicable implementing acts in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 29(2b).

Cumulative, complementary and combined alternative-funding

1. An action that has received a contribution under the Fund may also receive a contribution from any other Union programme, including Funds under shared management, provided that the contributions do not cover the same costs. The rules of each contributing Union programme shall apply to its respective contribution to the action. The cumulative funding shall not exceed the total eligible costs of the action and the support from the different Union programmes may be calculated on a pro-rata basis in accordance with the documents setting out the conditions for support.

- 2. Actions awarded a seal of Excellence certification, or which comply with the following cumulative comparable conditions:
 - (a) they have been assessed in a call for proposals under the Fund;
 - (b) they comply with the minimum quality requirements of that call for proposals; and
 - (c) they may not cannot be financed under that call for proposals due to budgetary constraints.

may receive support from the European Regional Development Fund, the European Social Fund+ or the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development, Social Fund+, in accordance with paragraph 5 of Article [67] of Regulation (EU) X [CPR] and Article [8] or .../.. [Common Provisions] Regulation (EU) X [Financing, management and monitoring of the Common Agricultural Policy], provided that such actions are consistent with the objectives of the programme concerned. The rules of the Fund providing support shall apply.].

Section

SECTION 5

MONITORING, REPORTING AND EVALUATION

MONITORING, REPORTING AND EVALUATION

Sub-section 1

Common provisions

Article 23a

Reporting on the thematic facility

1. The Commission shall report on the use and the distribution of the thematic facility between its components, including on the support provided to the actions in or in relation to third countries under the Union Actions. When, based on the information presented to it, the European Parliament decides to make recommendations for actions to be supported under the Thematic Facility, the Commission shall endeavour to take such recommendations into account.

Monitoring and reporting

- In compliance with its reporting requirements pursuant to Article [4341(3)(h)(i)(iii)]) of the Financial Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046, the Commission shall present to the European Parliament and the Council information on performance in accordance with Annex V.
- 2. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 28 to amend Annex V in order to make the necessary adjustments to the information on performance to be provided to the European Parliament and the Council.
- 3. The indicators Indicators to report on progress of the Fund, towards the achievement of the specific objectives set out in Article 3, are set out in Annex VIII. For output indicators, baselines shall be set at zero. The milestones set for 2024 and targets set for 2029 shall be cumulative.
- 4. The performance reporting system shall ensure that data for monitoring programme implementation and results are collected efficiently, effectively, and in a timely manner. To that end, proportionate reporting requirements shall be imposed on recipients of Union funds and where relevant Member States.
- 5. In order to ensure effective assessment of the progress of the Fund towards the achievement of its objectives, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 28 to amend Annex VIII to review and complement the indicators where necessary and to supplement this Regulation with provisions on the establishment of a monitoring and evaluation framework, including for project information to be provided by the Member States. Any amendment to Annex VIII shall apply only to projects selected after its entry into force.

Evaluation

- 1. The <u>By 31 December 2024, the Commission shall carry out a mid-term and a retrospective</u> evaluation of this Regulation. [In addition to Article 40(1) of the Regulation [CPR],] the mid-term evaluation shall assess the following:
 - (a) the effectiveness of the Fund, including the progress made towards the achievement of the objectives of this Regulation, taking into account all relevant information already available, in particular the annual performance reports referred to in Article 26 and the output and result indicators set out in Annex VIII;
 - (b) the efficiency of the use of resources allocated to the Fund and of the management and control measures put in place to implement it;
 - (c) the continued relevance and appropriateness of the implementation measures set out in Annex II;
 - (d) the coordination, coherence and complementarity between the actions supported under the Fund and support provided by other Union funds;
 - (e) the Union added value of actions implemented under this the Fund

That midterm evaluation shall take into account retrospective evaluation results on the effects of the Internal Security Fund for the period 2014-2020.

- 1 a. [In addition to Article 40(2) of the Regulation [CPR],] the retrospective evaluation shall include the elements listed in paragraph 1. In addition, the impacts of the Fund shall be evaluated.
- 2. The mid-term and the retrospective evaluation shall be carried out in a timely manner to feed into the decision-making process in accordance with the timeline set out Article 40, including, where appropriate, revisions of this Regulation (EU) No [CPR].
- 2a. The Commission shall ensure that the evaluations do not include information the dissemination of which may jeopardise security operations.
- 3. In its mid-term and retrospective evaluations, the Commission shall pay particular attention to the evaluation of actions by, in or in relation to third countries in accordance with Article 5 and 12 (8).

Sub-section 2

Rules for shared management

Article-26

Annual performance reports

1. By 15-February 2023 and by the same date of each subsequent year up to and including 2031, Member States shall submit to the Commission the annual performance report as referred to in Article-36(6) of Regulation (EU) No [CPR]. The report submitted in 2023 shall cover the implementation of the programme until 30 June 2022.).../2021 [Common Provisions Regulation].

The reporting period shall cover the last accounting year as defined in Article 2(28) of Regulation (EU).../... [CPR], preceding the year of submission of the report. The report submitted by 15 February 2023 shall cover the period from 1 January 2021.

- 2. The annual performance report shall in particular includeset out information on:
 - (a) the progress in the implementation of the programme and in achieving the milestones and targets, taking into account the latest data as required by Article 37 of Regulation (EU) No [CPR];
 - any issues affecting the performance of the programme and the actions action taken to address them, including information on any reasoned opinion issued by the
 Commission in respect of an infringement under Article 258 TFEU linked to the implementation of the Fund;

- (c) the complementarity between the actions supported by the under this Fund and the support provided by other Union funds, in particular those in or in relation to third countries;
- (d) the contribution of the programme to the implementation of the relevant Union *acquis* and action plans;
- (e) the implementation of communication and visibility actions;
- the fulfilment of the <u>applicable</u> enabling conditions and their application throughout the programming period, in particular compliance with fundamental rights;
- (g) the implementation of projects in, or in relation to a third country.

The annual performance report shall include a summary covering all the points set out in this paragraph. The Commission shall ensure that the summaries provided by the Member States are translated into all official languages and made publicly available.

3. The Commission may make observations on the annual performance report within two months of the date of its receipt. Where the Commission does not provide observations withinby that deadline, the report shall be deemed to have been accepted.

4. On its website, the Commission shall provide the links to Member States' websites referred to in Art. 44(1) [CPR].

4. In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Article, the Commission shall adopt an implementing act establishing the template for the annual performance report. This implementing act shall be adopted in accordance with the advisory procedure referred to in Article-29(2).

Monitoring Specific monitoring and reporting requirements under shared management'

- 1. Monitoring and reporting, in accordance with Title IV of Regulation (EU) No [CPR], shall be based on types of intervention set out in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 34 of Annex VI. To address unforeseen or new circumstances or to ensure the effective implementation of funding, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts to amend Annex VI in accordance with Article-28.
- 2. The indicators <u>set in Annex VIII</u> shall be used in accordance with Articles 12(1), 17 and 37 of Regulation (EU) No [CPR].

CHAPTER III

TRANSITIONAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS

Article-_28

Exercise of the delegation

- 1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.
- 2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Articles 12, 15, 24 and 27 shall be conferred on the Commission until 31 December 2028.
- 3. The European Parliament or the Council may revoke the delegation of powers referred to in Articles 12, 15, 24 and 27 at any time. A decision of revocation shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.
- 4. Before adopting a delegated act, the Commission shall consult experts designated by each Member State in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making of 13 April 2016.
- 5. As soon as it adopts a delegated act, the Commission shall notify it simultaneously to the European Parliament and to the Council thereof.
- 6. A delegated act adopted pursuant to Articles 12, 15, 24 and 27 shall enter into force only if neither the European Parliament nor the Council has expressed an objection within two months of being notified of it or if, before the expiry of that period, they have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or the Council.

Committee procedure

- 1. The Commission shall be assisted by athe Coordination Committee for the Asylum, and Migration and Integration Fund, the Internal Security Fund and the Instrument for Border Management and Visa Instrument. That Committee shall be a Committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.
- 2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article-4 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.
- 2a. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No

 182/2011 shall apply. Where the Committee delivers no opinion, the Commission shall not adopt the draft implementing act. This and the third subparagraph of Article 5(4) of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall not apply.
- 2b. Where reference is made to the this paragraph, Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011, in conjunction with Article 5 thereof, shall apply. Immediately applicable implementing act referred to in Article 26(4) acts adopted pursuant to this regualation shall remain in force for a period of 18 months.

Transitional provisions

- 1. Regulation (EU) No 513/2014 is repealed with effect from 1 January 2021.
- <u>This</u>paragraph 1, this Regulation shall not affect the continuation or modification of the actions concerned, until their closure, under the Police Instrument of the Internal Security Fund, which shall continue to apply to those actions concerned until their closure.
- 32. The financial envelope for the Fund may also cover technical and administrative assistance expenses necessary to ensure the transition between the Fund and the measures adopted under its predecessor, the Police Instrument of the Internal Security Fund established by Regulation (EU) No 513/2014.
- 3. Where Member States continue after 1 January 2021 to support a project selected and started under Regulation (EC) No 513/2014, in accordance with Regulation 514/2014, they shall ensure that the following cumulative conditions are met:
 - (a) the project so selected has two phases identifiable from a financial point of view with separate audit trails;
 - (b) the total cost of the project exceeds EUR 500 000;
 - (c) payments made by the Responsible Authority to beneficiaries for the first phase of the project shall be included in payment requests to the Commission under Regulation (EU) 514/2014. Expenditure for the second phase of the project shall be included in payment applications under Regulation (EU) No .../... [CPR];

- (d) the second phase of the project complies with the applicable law and is eligible for support from the Fund under this Regulation and Regulation (EU) No .../...

 [CPR]:
- (e) the Member State commits to complete the project, render it operational and report it in the annual performance report submitted by 15 February 2024.

The provisions of this Regulation and of Regulation (EU) No .../... [CPR] shall apply to the second phase of the project.

This paragraph shall apply only to projects, which have been selected under shared management pursuant to Regulation (EU) 514/2014.

Article-31

Entry into force and application

This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that day of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

It shall apply from 1 January 2021.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in the Member States in accordance with the Treaties.

Done at Brussels,

For the European Parliament For the Council

The President The President

LEGISLATIVE FINANCIAL STATEMENT

1.	FRAM	EWORK OF THE PROPOSAL/INITIATIVE
	1.1.	Title of the proposal/initiative
	1.2.	Policy area(s) concerned (programme cluster)
	1.3.	Nature of the proposal/initiative
	1.4.	Grounds for the proposal/initiative
	1.5.	Duration and financial impact
	1.6.	-Management mode(s) planned
2.		GEMENT MEASURES
	2.1.	Monitoring and reporting rules
	2.2.	Management and control system
	2.3.	Measures to prevent fraud and irregularities
3.	ESTIM	ATED FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSAL/INITIATIVE
	3.1. affected	Heading(s) of the multiannual financial framework and expenditure budget line(s)
-	3.2.	Estimated impact on expenditure
	3.2.1.	Summary of estimated impact on expenditure
	3.2.2.	Estimated impact on appropriations of an administrative nature
	3.2.3.	Third-party contributions
_	3.3.	Estimated impact on revenue

LEGISLATIVE FINANCIAL STATEMENT

1. FRAMEWORK OF THE PROPOSAL/INITIATIVE

1.1. Title of the proposal/initiative

Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and the Council on the Internal Security Fund (ISF)

1.2. Policy

ANNEX I¹

Criteria for the allocation of funding to the programmes under shared management

The financial envelope referred to in Article 10 shall be allocated to the Member States programmes as follows:

- (1) a one-time fixed amount of EUR 8 000 000 will be allocated to each Member State at the start of the programming period to ensure a critical mass for each programme and to cover needs that would not be directly expressed through the criteria indicated below;
- (2) the remaining resources will be distributed according to the following criteria:
 - (a) 45 % in inverse proportion to their gross domestic product (purchasing power standard per inhabitant),
 - (b) 40 % in proportion to the size of their population,
 - (c) 15 % in proportion to the size of their territory.

The initial allocation shall be based on the annual statistical data produced by the Commission (Eurostat) covering the year 2019. For the mid-term review, the reference figures shall be the annual statistical data produced by the Commission (Eurostat) covering the year 2023 prior to the mid-term review in 2024. Where a Member State has not provided the Commission (Eurostat) with the data for a given year, the Commission may instead use the latest available statistical data preceding the year concerned for the Member State.

_

A majority of Member States welcomed the proposed criteria for the allocation of funding to programmes based on their GDP, population and the size of their territories. Other additional criteria suggested by Member States were the number of criminal offences and the number of visitors. Some Member States also indicated that the fixed amount allocated at the start of the programming could be increased up to EUR 10 million, in line with the reinforced financial envelope of the Fund, with the aim of facilitating implementation.

ANNEX II

Implementation measures

- 1. The Fund shall contribute to achieving the specific objective set out in Article 3(2)(a) by focusing on the following implementation measures:
 - (a) ensuring the uniform application of the Union acquis on security by supporting the exchange of relevant information for example via Prüm, EU PNR and SIS II, including through the implementation of recommendations from quality control and evaluation mechanisms such as the Schengen evaluation mechanism and other quality control and evaluation mechanisms;
 - (b) setting up, adapting and maintaining security-relevant EU and decentralised information systems, including ensuring their interoperability, and developing appropriate tools to address identified gaps;
 - (c) increasing the active use of security relevant EU and decentralised information systems ensuring that these are fed with high quality data; and
 - (d) supporting relevant national measures including the interconnection of securityrelevant national databases and their connection to Union databases when foreseen
 in relevant legal bases, if relevant to implement the specific objectives set out in
 Article 3(2)(a).

The Fund shall contribute to achieving the specific objective set out in Article 3(2)(b), by focusing on the following implementation measures;

- (a) increasing law enforcement operations between Member States, including, where appropriate, with other relevant actors, in particular facilitating and improving the use of joint investigation teams, joint patrols, hot pursuits, discreet surveillance and other operational cooperation mechanisms in the context of the EU Policy Cycle, with special emphasis on cross-border operations;
- (b) increasing coordination and cooperation of competent authorities within and between

 Member States and with other relevant actors, for example through networks of

 specialised national units, Union networks and cooperation structures, Union centres;
- (c) improving inter-agency cooperation at Union level between the Member States, and between Member States and relevant Union bodies, offices and agencies as well as at national level among the competent authorities in each Member State.

The Fund shall contribute to achieving the specific objective set out in Article 3(2)(c), by focusing on the following implementation measures;

- (a) increasing training, exercises and mutual learning, specialised exchange programmes
 and sharing of best practice in and between Member States' competent authorities,
 including at local level, and with third countries and other relevant actors;
- (b) exploiting synergies by pooling resources and knowledge and sharing best practices
 among Member States and other relevant actors, including civil society through, for
 instance, the creation of joint centres of excellence, the development of joint risk
 assessments, or common operational support centres for jointly conducted operations;

- (c) promoting and developing measures, safeguards, mechanisms and best practices for the early identification, protection and support of witnesses, whistle-blowers and victims of crime and to develop partnerships between public authorities and other relevant actors to this effect;
- (d) acquiring relevant equipment and setting up or upgrading specialised training facilities and other essential security relevant infrastructure to increase preparedness, resilience, public awareness and adequate response to security threats.
- (d a) protecting critical infrastructure against security-related incidents by detecting, assessing and closing vulnerabilities

ANNEX III

Scope of support

Support from the Internal Security Fund may, inter alia, be targeted towards the following types of actions:

- setting up, adapting and maintaining ICT systems contributing to the achievement of the objectives of this Regulation, training on the use of such systems, testing and improving the interoperability components and data quality of such systems
- monitoring of the implementation of Union law and Union policy objectives in the
 Member States in the area of security-relevant information systems, including data
 protection, privacy and data security;
- EU policy cycle operational actions;
- actions supporting an effective and coordinated response to crisis linking up existing
 sector-specific capabilities, expertise centres and situation awareness centres, including
 those for health, civil protection, terrorism and cybercrime;
- actions developing innovative methods or deploying new technologies with a potential
 for transferability to other Member States, especially projects aiming at testing and
 validating the outcome of Union-funded security research projects;

- actions that improve resilience to emerging threats including trafficking via online channels, hybrid threats, malicious use of unmanned aerial systems and chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear threats.
- support to thematic or cross-theme networks of specialised national units and national contact points to improve mutual confidence, exchange and dissemination of know-how, information, experiences and best practices, pooling of resources and expertise in joint centres of excellence
- education and training of staff and experts of relevant law enforcement and judicial
 authorities and administrative agencies, taking into account operational needs and risk
 analyses, and in cooperation with CEPOL and, when applicable, the European Judicial
 Training Network including on prevention policies with special emphasis on
 fundamental rights and non-discrimination
- cooperation with the private sector, for instance in the fight against cybercrime, in order
 to build trust and improve coordination, contingency planning and the exchange and
 dissemination of information and best practices among public and private actors
 including in the protection of public spaces and critical infrastructure;
- actions empowering communities to develop local approaches and prevention policies,
 and awareness-raising and communication activities among stakeholders and the
 general public on Union security policies;
- equipment, means of transport, communication systems and security-relevant facilities;
- cost of staff involved in the actions that are supported by the Fund or actions requiring involvement of staff for technical or security-related reasons.

ANNEX IV

Actions referred to in Articles 11(3) and 12(6)

- Projects which aim to prevent and counter radicalisation.
- projects which aim at improving the interoperability of EU information systems and national ICT systems insofar as provided for by Union or Member State law.
- Projects which aim to fight the most important threats posed by serious and organised crime, in the framework of EU policy cycle operational actions.
- Projects which aim to prevent and fight cybercrime, in particular child sexual
 exploitation online, and crimes where the Internet is the primary platform for evidence
 collection.
- Projects which aim at improving the security and resilience of critical infrastructure

ANNEX V

Core performance indicators referred to in Article 24(1)

Specific Objective 1: Better information exchange

- 1. Number of ICT systems made interoperable in the Member States/with securityrelevant EU and decentralised information systems/with international databases
- 2. Number of administrative units that have set up new or adapted existing information exchange mechanisms/procedures/tools/guidance for exchange of information with other Member States/EU agencies/international organisations/third countries
- 3. Number of participants who consider the training useful for their work
- 4. Number of participants who report three months after the training activity that they are using the skills and competences acquired during the training

Specific Objective 2: Increased operational cooperation

- 5. The estimated value of assets frozen in the context of cross-border operations
- 6. Quantity of illicit drugs seized in the context of cross-border operations by type of product²
- 7. Quantity of weapons seized in the context of cross-border operations by type of weapon³

² Breakdown of types of drugs (Based on the categories used in reports on illicit drugs:
EU Drug Market Report, the European Drug Report as well as the EMCDDA Statistical
Bulleting):

⁻ Cannabis;

⁻ Opioids, including heroin;

⁻ Cocaine;

⁻ Synthetic drugs, including amphetamine-type stimulants (including amphetamine and methamphetamine) and MDMA;

⁻ New psychoactive substances;

⁻ Other illicit drugs.

- 8. Number of administrative units that have developed/adapted existing

 mechanisms/procedures/ tools/guidance for cooperation with other Member States/EU

 agencies/international organisations/third countries
- 9. Number of staff involved in cross-border operations
- 10. Number of Schengen Evaluation Recommendations addressed

Specific Objective 3: Strengthened capabilities to combat and to prevent crime

- 11. Number of initiatives developed / expanded to prevent radicalisation
- 12. <u>Number of initiatives developed / expanded to protect / support witnesses and whistle-blowers</u>
- 13. Number of critical infrastructure/public spaces with new/adapted facilities protecting against security related risks
- 14. Number of participants who consider the training useful for their work
- 15. Number of participants who report three months after leaving the training that they are using the skills and competences acquired during the training

Other long firearms: rifles and shotguns (including salute and acoustic weapons);

Breakdown of types of weapons (Based on existing legislation, namely the Council Directive 91/477/EEC of 18 June 1991 on control of the acquisition and possession of weapons. The proposed categories are simplified, compared to those mentioned in Annex I of Directive 91/477/EEC and in line with those inside the Schengen Information System, used by national authorities):

⁻ Weapons of war: automatic firearms and heavy firearms (anti-tank, rocket launcher, mortar, etc.);

⁻ Other short firearms: revolvers and pistols (including salute and acoustic weapons);

ANNEX VI

Types of intervention

TABLE 1: CODES FOR THE INTERVENTION FIELD DIMENSION

- 1 TER-Countering Terrorist Financing
- 2 TER-Prevention and countering of radicalisation
- 3 TER-Protection and resilience of public spaces and other soft targets
- 4 TER- Protection and resilience of critical infrastructure
- 5 TER-Chemical Biological Radioactive Nuclear
- **6** TER-Explosives
- **7** TER-Crisis Management
- **8** TER-Other
- 9 OC-Corruption
- 10 OC-Economic and Financial Crime
- 10a OC Laundering of the proceeds of crime
- 11 OC-Drugs
- 12 OC-Firearms trafficking
- 12a Trafficking of cultural objects

- 13 OC-Trafficking in Human Beings
- 14 OC-Migrant Smuggling
- 15 OC-Environmental Crime
- 16 OC-Organised Property Crime
- 17 OC-Other
- 18 CC-Cybercrime Other
- 19 CC-Cybercrime Prevention
- **20** CC-Cybercrime Facilitating investigations
- 21 CC-Cybercrime Victims assistance
- 22 CC-Child Sexual Exploitation Prevention
- 23 CC-Child Sexual Exploitation Facilitating investigations
- 24 CC-Child Sexual Exploitation Victims assistance
- 25 CC Child Sexual Exploitation, including distribution of child abuse images and child pornography
- 26 CC-Other
- 27 GEN-Information exchange

- 28 GEN-Police or interagency cooperation (customs, border guards, intelligence services)
- 29 **GEN-Forensics**
- 30 GEN-Victim support
- 31 **GEN-Operating support**
- 32 TA-Technical assistance information and communication
- 33 TA-Technical assistance preparation, implementation, monitoring and control
- 34 TA-Technical assistance evaluation and studies, data collection
- 35 TA-Technical assistance capacity building

TABLE 2: CODES FOR THE TYPE OF ACTION DIMENSION

- 1 ICT systems, interoperability, data quality (excluding equipment)
- 2 Networks, centres of excellence, cooperation structures, joint actions and operations
- 3 Joint Investigation Teams (JITs) or other joint operations
- **4** Secondment or deployment of experts
- 5 Training
- 6 Exchange of best practices, workshops, conferences, events, awareness raising campaigns, communication activities
- 7 Studies, pilot projects, risk assessments
- **8** Equipment
- 9 Means of transport
- 10 Buildings, facilities
- 11 Deployment or other follow-up of research projects

TABLE 3: CODES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION MODALITIES DIMENSION

- 1 Actions as per Art. 11.1
- **2** Specific Actions
- 3 Actions listed in Annex IV
- 4 Operating support
- **5** Emergency Assistance

TABLE 4: CODES FOR SECONDARY IMPLEMENTATION MODALITIES DIMENSION

1 Cooperation with third countries

2 Actions in third countries

3 Implementation of Schengen evaluation recommendations in the area(s) concerned (Programme cluster)

Heading 5 (Security and defence); Title 12. Security

1.3. The proposal/initiative relates to:

⊠ a new action

- □ a new action following a pilot project/preparatory action⁴
- **∃**-the extension of an existing action
- □ a merger or redirection of one or more actions towards another/a new action

1.4. Grounds for the proposal/initiative

1.4.1. Requirement(s) to be met in the short or long term, including a detailed timeline for roll-out of the implementation of the initiative

The Fund should build on the results and investments made with the support of its predecessors, namely supporting the overall EU policies in the area of internal security, such as <u>of</u> police cooperation, preventing and combating crime and crisis management (protection of people, public spaces and critical infrastructure), fight against drugs.

In addition, EU funding should be able to address situations resulting from newly emerging security threats or other situations that require immediate action which cannot be addressed by Member States acting alone.

Without prejudice to any future policy development, any future proposal in this area will include its own separate legislative financial statement for which the corresponding financial resources could be covered either by the envelope of this Fund or by any other additional funding available in the MFF envelope.

4

⁴ As referred to in Article 58(2)(a) or (b) of the Financial Regulation.

1.4.2. Added value of Union involvement (it may result from different factors, e.g. coordination gains, legal certainty, greater effectiveness or complementarities). For the purposes of this point 'added value of Union involvement' is the value resulting from Union intervention which is additional to the value that would have been otherwise created by Member States alone.

The management of security threats presents challenges which cannot be dealt with by the Member States acting alone.

In the area of security, serious and organised crime, terrorism and other security-related threats are increasingly cross-border in nature. Transnational cooperation and coordination between law-enforcement authorities is essential to successfully prevent and fight these crimes, for example through the exchange of information, joint investigations, interoperable technologies and common threat and risk assessments. The financial support provided under this Regulation contributes in particular to strengthening national and European capabilities in those policy areas, putting the Union in a better position to provide a framework for expressing Union solidarity and to provide a platform for the development of common IT systems underpinning these policies.

Dealing with the security of the EU requires substantial resources and capabilities from the Member States. Improved operational cooperation and coordination involving the pooling of resources in areas such as training and equipment creates economies of scale and synergies, thereby ensuring a more efficient use of public funds and reinforcing solidarity, mutual trust and responsibility sharing for common EU policies among Member States. This is particularly relevant in the area of security, where financial support for all forms of cross-border joint operation is essential to improve cooperation between police, customs, border guards and judicial authorities.

In relation to the external dimension of home affairs, it is clear that the adoption of measures and the pooling of resources at EU level will increase significantly the EU leverage necessary to persuade third countries to engage with the EU on security-related issues that are primarily in the interest of the EU and the Member States.

1.4.3. Lessons learned from similar experiences in the past

The interim evaluation of the ISF-P of the 2014-2020 programming period and the *ex post* evaluations of funds of the 2007-2013 period ('prevention of and the fight against crime' (ISEC) and 'prevention, preparedness and consequence management of terrorism and other

security related risks' (CIPS)) produced the findings indicated below. It is worth noting that ISF-P is the first EU funding programme in this policy field implemented also through shared management with the Member States; previous funds were implemented only directly by the Commission.

Relevance, scope and size

The broad scope of the current ISF-P ensured it could support the implementation of the necessary actions at EU level in the area of security. The flexibility offered by the Fund (possibility of transferring funding between objectives) helped to address the changing needs, but Member States would appreciate even more flexibility by abandoning the minimum allocations of funds to objectives and reducing the number of national objectives. Furthermore, allocations fixed at the beginning of the programming period, on the basis of statistical data, do not reflect changes in the needs of Member States during the implementation period. When providing additional financial support during the programming period, the distribution key established at the beginning of the programming period does not allow for flexibility, potentially affecting the effectiveness and efficiency of the Fund.

- Effectiveness

The current ISF-P is considered effective, contributing to its objectives. The evaluations show that a mix of delivery modes in the areas of security, migration and border management allowed for an effective way to achieve the objectives of the Funds. The holistic design of the delivery mechanisms should be maintained. On the other hand, the evaluations point to the remaining lack of flexibility, to be balanced with predictability as Member States authorities and beneficiaries need financial and legal certainty to plan the implementation of the Fund throughout the programming period, as a limitation to their effectiveness. The current ISF-P does not include a financial envelope to be distributed across the national programmes in the framework of the mid-term review, limiting the possibility to provide additional funding to the national programmes. Another limitation relates to the fact that the mid-term review can be used only at a pre-defined time during the implementation period. The migration crisis and the increased security threats in the EU in recent years have shown that flexibility was needed from the beginning of the programming period onwards to be able to react to changes on the ground. The interim evaluations also noted the need for a clear intervention logic, and that more focused national programmes would increase the effectiveness by allowing for some objectives to be prioritised, and the importance of a full monitoring and evaluation system, for both direct and shared management. Designed at an early stage, it ensures a consistent and uniform monitoring of progress and effectiveness from the outset.

- Efficiency (including simplification and reduction of administrative burden)

Stakeholders have noted progress in the simplification of the procedures. Innovative measures (simplified cost options, multiannual programming) are considered beneficial. Establishing common rules on the implementation of AMIF and the ISF supported the links of the management of the three funding instruments, leading to close cooperation between — and in some cases the unification of — the entities responsible for the management of the two funds.

However, the administrative burden is still perceived to be high by both administrations and beneficiaries, undermining the efficiency of the Funds. For example, even though the use of national eligibility rules has the potential to reduce administrative burden, eligibility rules that are applied at times remain too heavy. Simplified cost options are not applied to the full extent. There is also a relatively high administrative burden for both Member States and the Commission in the provision of emergency assistance through direct management. This entails setting up a detailed grant agreement in a short period of time and more detailed reporting requirements towards the Commission for the beneficiaries (compared to shared management). There is a need for a sufficient level of technical assistance to facilitate the successful management of the Fund and for further efforts to simplify access to the Funds for potential beneficiaries by providing information regarding actions and funding opportunities. Furthermore, in the current ISF-P there is no mechanism aiming at improving performance, such as, for example, a system of incentives which would further improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the Funds. In the absence of such a mechanism, Member States that perform well in the implementation of the Funds could not be rewarded. This could have increased the effectiveness of the Funds to achieve their objectives.

- Coherence

The Fund is considered to be coherent and its objectives are complementary to other national policies. Coherence and complementarity with other EU financing instruments have been ensured during the design, programming and implementation stages. Coordinating mechanisms have been put in place to ensure coherence and complementarity at the implementation stage. The format of the funds (national programmes aiming at long term capacities, emergency assistance aiming at alleviating immediate pressure and Union actions

designed to support transnational cooperation) was considered as positive. Nevertheless, ensuring coherence and synergies remains challenging in three main areas: supporting asylum and integration objectives through the ESIFs, supporting border management, and return and reintegration measures as well as developing protection systems in third countries and, finally, ensuring coherence between the interventions of EU funds in the area of security.

1.4.4. Compatibility and possible synergy with other appropriate instruments

The objectives of the Fund in the area of security will be supported through a fully coordinated set of funding instruments, including both their internal and external aspects. It is important to avoid overlaps with funding provided through other EU instruments by establishing dividing lines and effective coordination mechanisms.

The future ISF will act as the Union's dedicated instrument in the area of security. Complementarities will be ensured in particular with the Cohesion Policy, the Integrated Border Management Fund consisting of the instrument for border management and visa and the customs control equipment instrument, the security research part of Horizon Europe and the future 'rights and values' programme. Synergies will be sought in particular on border management and customs control equipment, security of infrastructure and public spaces, eybersecurity and the prevention of radicalisation. Effective coordination mechanisms are essential to maximise the effectiveness in the achievement of policy objectives, exploit economies of scale and avoid overlaps between actions.

Measures in and in relation to third countries supported through the Fund should be taken in synergy and coherence with other actions outside the Union supported through Union external assistance instruments. In particular, in implementing such actions, coherence should be sought with the principles and general objectives of Union external action and foreign policy related to the country or region in question. In relation to the external dimension, the Fund will target support to improve cooperation with third countries in areas of interest to the Union's internal security, such as in particular countering terrorism and radicalisation, trade and transport security, cooperation with third country law enforcement authorities in the fight against terrorism (including detachments and joint investigation teams), organised crime and corruption as well as trafficking in human beings and migrant smuggling.

1.5. Duration and financial impact

☐ limited duration

- □ in effect from 1.1.2021 to 31.12.2027
- Financial impact from 2021 to 2027 for commitment appropriations and from 2021 for payment appropriations.

□ unlimited duration

- Implementation with a start-up period from YYYY to YYYY,
- followed by full-scale operation.

1.6. Management mode(s) planned⁵

☑ Direct management by the Commission

- by its departments, including by its staff in the Union delegations;
- $-\Box$ by the executive agencies⁶

☑ Shared management with the Member States

☑-Indirect management by entrusting budget implementation tasks to:

- third countries or the bodies they have designated;
 third countries or the bodies of the bodies.
 third countries of the bodies of the
- ✓ international organisations and their agencies (to be specified);
- ■ bodies referred to in Articles 70 and 71 of the Financial Regulation;
- ☐ public law bodies;

6106/1/21 REV 1 ANNEX JAI.1

⁵ Details of management modes and references to the Financial Regulation may be found on the BudgWeb site:

https://mvintracomm.ec.europa.eu/budgweb/EN/man/budgmanag/Pages/budgmanag.aspx

The programme might be (partially) delegated to an executive agency, subject to the outcome of the cost/benefit analysis and related decisions to be taken, and to the related administrative appropriations for programme implementation in the Commission and the executive agency being adapted accordingly.

- ☐ bodies governed by the private law of a Member State that are entrusted with the implementation of a public-private partnership and that provide adequate financial guarantees;
- persons entrusted with the implementation of specific actions in the CFSP pursuant to Title V of the TEU, and identified in the relevant basic act.
- If more than one management mode is indicated, please provide details in the 'Comments' section.

Comments

The proposed Fund will be implemented in shared, or direct or indirect management. The largest part of the resources will be allocated to the national programmes in shared management. The remaining part will be allocated to a thematic facility and could be used for specific actions (implemented by the Member States nationally or transnationally), Union actions (direct/indirect management) and emergency assistance (shared, or direct or indirect management).

Technical assistance at the initiative of the Commission will be implemented by direct management.

2. MANAGEMENT MEASURES

2.1. Monitoring and reporting rules

Specify frequency and conditions.

Direct/indirect management:

The Commission will directly monitor the implementation of the actions according to the arrangements set out in the respective grant agreements, delegated agreements with international organisations where applicable and contracts with the beneficiaries.

Shared management:

Each Member State will establish a management and control systems for its programme and ensure the quality and the reliability of the monitoring system and of data on indicators, in accordance with the Common Provision Regulation (CPR). In order to facilitate a swift start of implementation, it is possible to 'roll-over' existing well-functioning management and control systems to the next programming period.

In this context, Member States will be asked to set up a monitoring committee in which the Commission shall participate in an advisory capacity. The monitoring committee will meet at least once a year. It will review all issues that affect programme progress towards achieving its objectives.

The Member States will send an annual performance report, which should set out information on the progress in the implementation of the programme and in achieving the milestones and targets. It should also raise any issues affecting the performance of the programme and describe the action taken to address them.

At the end of the period, each Member States will submit a final performance report. The final report should focus on the progress made towards achieving the objectives of the programme and should give an overview of the key issues that affected the programme's performance, the measures taken to address those issues and the assessment of the effectiveness of those measures. In addition, it should present the contribution of the programme to tackling the challenges identified in the relevant EU recommendations addressed to the Member State, the progress made in achieving the targets set out in the performance framework, the findings of

the relevant evaluations and the follow-up given to those findings and the results of the communication actions.

According to the draft CPR proposal, the Member States will send each year an assurance package, which includes the annual accounts, the management declaration and the audit authority's opinions on the accounts, the management and control system and the legality and regularity of the expenditure declared in the annual accounts. This assurance package will be used by the Commission to determine the amount chargeable to the Fund for the accounting year.

A review meeting between the Commission and each Member State will be organised every two years to examine the performance of each programmes.

The Member States send six times per year data for each programme broken down by specific objectives. These data refer to the cost of operations and the values of common output and result indicators.

In general:

The Commission will carry out a mid-term and a retrospective evaluation of the actions implemented under this Fund, in line with the CPR. The mid-term evaluation should be based in particular on the mid-term evaluation of programmes submitted to the Commission by the Member States by 31 December 2024.

2.2. Management and control system(s)

2.2.1. Justification of the management mode(s), the funding implementation mechanism(s), the payment modalities and the control strategy proposed

Both the *ex post* evaluations of the DG HOME 2007-2013 funds and the interim evaluations of the current DG HOME funds show that a mix of delivery modes in the areas of migration and home affairs allowed for an effective way to achieve the objectives of the funds. The holistic design of the delivery mechanisms is maintained and includes shared, direct and indirect management.

Through shared management, Member States implement programmes that contribute to the policy objectives of the Union and are tailor-made to their national context. Shared management ensures that financial support is available in all participating States.

Furthermore, shared management allows for funding predictability and for Member States, who are most knowledgeable of the challenges they are faced with, to plan their long-term endowments accordingly. Top-up funding for specific actions (which require cooperative effort among Member States or where new developments in the Union require additional funding to be made available to one or more Member States) and for resettlement and transfer activities can be implemented through shared management. In a new development, the Fund can also provide emergency assistance through shared management, in addition to direct and indirect management.

Through direct management, the Commission supports other actions that contribute to the common policy objectives of the Union. The actions enable tailor-made support for urgent and specific needs in individual Member States ('emergency assistance'), support transnational networks and activities, test innovative activities that could be scaled up under national programmes and cover studies in the interest of the Union as a whole ('Union actions').

Through indirect management, the Fund retains the possibility to delegate budget implementation tasks to, among others, international organisations and home affairs agencies for particular purposes.

Bearing in mind the different objectives and needs, a thematic facility is proposed under the Fund as a way to balance the predictability of multiannual allocation of funding to the national programmes with flexibility in disbursing funding periodically to actions with a high level of added value to the Union. The thematic facility will be used for specific actions in and among Member States, Union actions, emergency assistance, resettlement and relocation. It will ensure that funds can be allocated and transferred among the different modalities above, on the basis of a two-year programming cycle.

The payment arrangements for shared management are described in the draft CPR proposal, which provides for annual pre-financing, followed by a maximum of four interim payments per programme and year based on the payment applications sent by the Member States during the accounting year. As per the draft CPR proposal, the pre-financing is cleared within the final accounting year of the programmes.

The control strategy will be based on the new Financial Regulation and on the CPR. The new Financial Regulation and the draft proposal for the CPR should extend the use of the

simplified forms of grants such as lump-sums, flat rates and unit costs. They also introduce new forms of payments, based on the results achieved, instead of the cost. Beneficiaries will be able to receive a fixed amount of money if they prove that certain actions such as training courses or delivery of emergency assistance have taken place. This is expected to simplify the control burden both at beneficiary and Member State level (e.g. check of bills and receipts for costs).

For shared management, the draft CPR proposal builds on the management and control strategy in place for the 2014-2020 programming period but introduces some measures aimed at simplifying the implementation and reducing the control burden at the level of both beneficiaries and Member States. The new developments include:

- the removal of the designation procedure (which should make it possible to speed up the implementation of the programmes);
- -management verifications (administrative and on-the-spot) to be carried out by the managing authority on a risk basis (compared to the 100 % administrative controls required in the 2014-2020 programming period). Furthermore, under certain conditions, the managing authorities may apply proportionate control arrangements in line with the national procedures;
- conditions to avoid multiple audits on the same operation/expenditure.

The programme authorities will submit to the Commission interim payment claims based on expenditure incurred by beneficiaries. The draft CPR proposal allows the managing authorities to carry out management verifications on a risk basis and also provides for specific controls (e.g. on the spot controls by the managing authority and audits of operations/expenditure by the audit authority) after the associated expenditure has been declared to the Commission in the interim payment claims. In order to mitigate the risk of reimbursing ineligible expenditure, the draft CPR caps the Commission's interim payments at 90 %, given that at this moment only part of the national controls have been carried out. The Commission will pay the remaining balance following the annual clearance of accounts exercise, upon receipt of the assurance package from the programme authorities. Any irregularities detected by the Commission or the European Court of Auditors after the transmission of the annual assurance package may lead to a net financial correction.

2.2.2. Information concerning the risks identified and the internal control system(s) set up to mitigate them

Shared management:

DG HOME has not been facing important risks of errors in its spending programmes. This is confirmed by the recurrent absence of significant findings in the annual reports of the Court of Auditors. Furthermore, DG HOME has already revised its legal basis (Regulation (EU) 2015/378 and Delegated Regulation (EU) 1042/2014) to align further to the control framework of the Cohesion Policy and its assurance model and to continue to maintain a low level errors in its spending programmes. This effort of alignment continues with the current proposal, where the Control Framework is consistent with the Cohesion Policy DGs.

In shared management, the general risks in relation to the implementation of the current programmes concern the under-implementation of the Fund by the Member States and the possible errors derived from the complexity of rules and weaknesses in management and control systems. The draft CPR simplifies the regulatory framework by harmonising the rules and management and control systems across the different Funds implemented under shared management. It also enables risk-differentiated control requirements (e.g. risk-based management verifications, possibility for proportionate control arrangements based on national procedures, limitations of audit work in terms of timing and/or specific operations).

Direct/indirect management:

Based on the recent analysis of the main causes and types of most commonly detected errors in *ex post* audits, the main areas of non-compliances stem from poor financial management of the grants awarded to the beneficiaries, missing or inadequate supporting documents, incorrect public procurement, and costs not budgeted.

Consequently the risks are mainly due to

- ensuring quality of selected projects and their subsequent technical implementation, unclear or incomplete guidance provided to beneficiaries or insufficient monitoring;
- risk of inefficient or non-economic use of funds awarded, both for grants (complexity of reimbursing actual eligible costs coupled with limited possibilities to check eligible costs at the desk) and for procurement (sometimes limited number of economic providers with the required specialist knowledge, entailing insufficient possibilities to compare price offers);

—risk relating to the capacity of (especially) smaller organisations to effectively control expenditure as well as to ensure the transparency of operations carried out;

- reputational risk for the Commission, if fraud or criminal activities are discovered; only partial assurance can be drawn from the third parties' internal control systems due to the rather large number of heterogeneous contractors and beneficiaries, each operating their own control system, often rather small in size.

Most of these risks are expected to be reduced thanks to a better design of calls for proposals, guidance to beneficiaries, targeting of proposals and the better use simplified costs and cross-reliance on audits and assessments as included in the new Financial Regulation.

2.2.3. Estimation and justification of the cost-effectiveness of the controls (ratio of 'control costs

÷ value of the related funds managed'), and assessment of the expected levels of risk of
error (at payment & at closure)

Shared management:

The cost of controls is expected to remain the same or potentially be reduced for Member States. For the present (2014-2020) programming cycle, as of 2017, the cumulative cost of control by the Member States is estimated at approximately 5 % of the total amount of payments requested by the Member States for the year 2017.

This percentage is expected to decrease with efficiency gains in implementation of the programmes and increase in payments to Member States.

With the risk-based approach to management and controls being introduced in the draft CPR coupled with enhanced drive to adopt simplified cost options (SCOs), the cost of controls for Member States is expected to be reduced further.

Direct/indirect management:

The cost of controls amounts to approximately 2.5 % of the payments made by DG HOME.

This is expected to stay stable, or decrease slightly in the event of the broader use being made of SCOs in the next programming period.

2.3. Measures to prevent fraud and irregularities

Specify existing or envisaged prevention and protection measures, e.g. from the Anti-Fraud Strategy.

Fraud prevention and detection is one of the objectives of internal control as stipulated in the Financial Regulation and a key governance issue, which the Commission has to address throughout the whole expenditure life cycle.

In addition, DG HOME's anti-fraud strategy (ASF) mainly aims at the prevention, detection and reparation of fraud ensuring inter alia that its internal anti-fraud related controls are fully aligned with the Commission's anti-fraud strategy (CAFS) and that its fraud risk management approach is geared to identifying fraud risk areas and adequate responses.

As regards shared management, Member States will ensure the legality and regularity of expenditure included in their accounts submitted to the Commission. In this context, Member States will take all required actions to prevent, detect and correct irregularities, including fraud. As in the present (2014-2020) programming cycle⁷, Member States will be obliged to put in place procedures for detection of irregularities and anti-fraud and to report to the Commission irregularities, including suspected fraud and established fraud in the areas of shared management. Anti-fraud measures will remain a cross-cutting principle and obligation for Member States.

Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) N 1042/2014 of 25 July 2014, Annex I; Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) N°2015/1973 of 8 July 2015.

3. ESTIMATED FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSAL/INITIATIVE

3.1. Heading of the multiannual financial framework and new expenditure budget line(s) proposed

	Budget line	Type of expenditure		Con	tribution	
Heading of multiannual financial framework	Heading No 5: 'Security and Defence'	Diff./Non- diff ⁴³ -	from EFTA countries 44	from candidate countries ⁴⁵	from third countries	within the meaning of Article [21(2)(b)] of the Financial Regulation
5	12.02.01 — Internal Security Fund (ISF) ⁴⁶	Diff.	NO.	NO	NO	NO NO
5	12.01.01 — Support expenditure for Internal Security Fund (Technical Assistance)	Non diff.	NO	NO	NO	NO

_

⁴³ Diff. – differentiated appropriations / Non-diff. – non-differentiated appropriations.

⁴⁴ EFTA: European Free Trade Association.

⁴⁵ Candidate countries and, where applicable, potential candidates from the Western Balkans.

In the context of CIS, DG BUDG suggested a further breakdown into specific objectives.

Given the existence of the thematic facility, DG HOME does not agree to take this suggestion on board at this stage.

3.2. Estimated impact on expenditure

3.2.1. Summary of estimated impact on expenditure

EUR million (to three decimal places)

Heading of multiannual financial framework	5	'Security and Defence'
---	--------------	------------------------

			2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	Post 2027	TOTAL
Operational appropriations (split according to	Commitments	(1)	224.811	341.107	345.694	-384.921	389.693	394.560	398.214		-2 479.000
the budget lines listed under 3.1)	Payments	(2)	23.212	-51.821	-105.901	265.329	373.387	371.677	-369.230	-918.443	2 479.000
Appropriations of an administrative nature financed from the envelope of the programme ⁴⁷	Commitments = Payments	(3)	2.827	-2.884	2.941	-3.000	-3.060	3.121	-3.167	l	21.000
TOTAL appropriations for the envelope	Commitments	=1+3	-227.638	343.991	348.635	387.921	392.753	397.681	-401.381	1	2 500.000
of the programme	Payments	=2+3	-26.039	-54.705	-108.842	268.329	376.447	374.798	372.397	918.443	-2 500.000

6106/1/21 REV 1

ANNEX

BS/cr 116

LIMITE

EN

Technical and/or administrative assistance and expenditure in support of the implementation of EU programmes and/or actions (former 'BA' lines), indirect research, direct research.

Heading of multiannual financial framework	7	'Administrative expenditure'
---	---	------------------------------

This section should be filled in using the 'budget data of an administrative nature' to be firstly introduced in the Annex to the Legislative Financial Statement, which is uploaded to DECIDE for interservice consultation purposes.

EUR million (to three decimal places)

		2021	2022	2023	202 4	2025	2026	2027	Post 2027	TOTAL
Human resources		5.942	6.943	6.943	6.943	6.943	6.943	6.943	-	47.600
Other administrative expenditure		0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	-	1.412
	(Total commitments = Total payments)	6.144	7.145	7.145	7.145	7.145	7.145	7.145	-	49.012

EUR million (to three decimal places)

		2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	Post 2027	TOTAL
TOTAL appropriations	Commitments	233.782	351.136	355.780	395.066	399.898	-404.826	408.526	-	2 549.012
across HEADINGS of the multiannual financial framework	Payments	32.182	61.849	-115.987	275.474	383.592	381.943	379.542	918.443	2 549.012

3.2.2. Summary of estimated impact on appropriations of an administrative nature

- The proposal/initiative does not require the use of appropriations of an administrative nature
- The proposal/initiative requires the use of appropriations of an administrative nature, as explained below:

EUR million (to three decimal places)

Years	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	TOTAL
HEADING 7 of the multiannual financial framework								
Human resources	5.942	6.943	6.943	6.943	6.943	6.943	6.943	4 7.600
Other administrative expenditure	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	1.412
Subtotal HEADING 7 of the multiannual financial framework	6.144	7.145	7.145	7.145	7.145	7.145	7.145	49.012
Outside HEADING 7 of the multiannual financial framework								
Human resources								
Other expenditure of an administrative nature	2.827	2.884	2.941	3.000	3.060	3.121	3.167	21.000
Subtotal outside HEADING 7 of the multiannual financial framework	2.827	2.884	2.941	3.000	3.060	3.121	3.167	21.000
TOTAL	8.971	10.029	10.086	10.145	10.205	10.266	10.312	70.012

The appropriations required for human resources and other expenditure of an administrative nature will be met by appropriations from the DG that are already assigned to management of the action and/or have been redeployed within the DG, together if necessary with any additional allocation which may be granted to the managing DG under the annual allocation procedure and in the light of budgetary constraints.

[For guidance related to possible delegation of programme implementation tasks to executive agencies, see p. 8/9 above].

3.2.2.1. Estimated requirements of human resources

- The proposal/initiative does not require the use of human resources.
- I The proposal/initiative requires the use of human resources, as explained below:

Estimate to be expressed in full time equivalent units

Years		2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027			
• Establishment plan posts (officials and temporary staff)											
_	Headquarters and Commission's Representation Offices		45	45	45	45	45	45			
Delegations		2	2	2	2	2	2	2			
Research											
* External staff (in Full Time Equivalent unit: FTE) — AC, AL, END, INT and JED Heading 7											
Financed from HEADING 7 of the	- at Headquarters	3	3	3	3	3	3	3			
multiannual financial framework	- in Delegations										
Financed from the	- at Headquarters										
envelope of the programme	- in Delegations										
Research	Research										
Other (specify)											
TOTAL		43	50	50	50	50	50	50			

The human resources required will be met by staff from the DG who are already assigned to management of the action and/or have been redeployed within the DG, together if necessary with any additional allocation which may be granted to the managing DG under the annual allocation procedure and in the light of budgetary constraints.

Description of tasks to be carried out:

Officials and temporary staff	The staff of 50 FTE by 2027 represents the overall staff needed for the implementation
	and the management of the Fund. It is composed of staff already working in DG HOME
	for the ISF (baseline: 33 FTE = 3 CA, 11 AST, 19 AD) as of April 2018 and additional
	staff (+3 AST, +14 AD of which 2 for the Delegations). Please see below the description
	of tasks for these additional staff:
	Planning, programming, relation with agencies (+ 1 AD):

- providing input to the budgetary procedure, -making a link between funds and agencies (e.g. grants/delegation agreements to agencies) Audits, OLAF, ECA (+3 AD): -performing controls, as described above (ex ante verification, procurement committee, ex post audits, internal audit, clearance of accounts), -to follow up the audits managed by the IAS and the CoA. Direct management (+1 AST, +2 AD): --preparing annual work programmes/financing decisions, establishing annual priorities, --communicating with stakeholders (potential/actual beneficiaries, Member States, etc.), -managing calls for proposals and calls for tenders and the subsequent selection procedures, - operational management of projects. **Shared management (including TF) (+1 AST, +3AD):** -conducting the policy dialogue with Member States, - managing national programmes, -drafting guidelines to Member States, -developing and managing grant and national programme management IT tools.

-coordination with the external dimension funds,

Synergies with other funds (+1 AD):

-coordination in the context of the CPR,

	- synergies and complementarity with other funds.
	Financial management (+1 AST):
	- financial initiation and financial verification,
	-accounting,
	- monitoring and reporting on achievement of objectives, including in annual activity
	report and authorising officer by sub-delegation reports.
	Staff for other directorates working on funding matters (+ 2 AD):
	-Policy officers involved in the implementation of the funds (e.g. evaluation of technical
	reports from direct management beneficiaries, review of annual implementation reports
	for shared management, operational management of projects).
External staff	The tasks are similar to those of officials and temporary agents, except for tasks that
	cannot be fulfilled by external personnel.
Personnel in delegations	+ 2 AD: To accompany the development of policy implementation in the home affairs
	area, and in particular its external dimension, EU delegations will need to be equipped
	with sufficient home affairs expertise. This could be staff from the European
	······································

3.2.3. Third-party contributions

The proposal/initiative:

—
— does not provide for co-financing by third parties

— D provides for the co-financing by third parties estimated below:

Appropriations in EUR million (to three decimal places)

Years	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	TOTAL
Specify the co-financing body	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS	
TOTAL appropriations co financed	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	

3 3	Estimata	d impact	Λn	MOMONIIO
J.J.	Estimate	u mipaci	UII	i evenue

	TC1 1/' '/'	. 1 .	1 .	1
 \/	The proposal/initi	ativa had no t	เทลทดเลโ เพทลด	t on ravanua
<u> </u>	THE DIODOSAL/HILL	ative has no i	manciai mibac	t on revenue.

— ☐ The proposal/initiative has the following financial impact:

— □ on own resources

— □ on other revenue

— please indicate if the revenue is assigned to expenditure lines □

EUR million (to three decimal places)

Budget revenue line:	Impact of the proposal/initiative ⁴⁸							
Budget revenue inic.	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	
Article								

For assigned revenue, specify the budget expenditure line(s) affected.

Other remarks (e.g. method/formula used for calculating the impact on revenue or any other information).

6106/1/21 REV 1 BS/cr 122 ANNEX JAI.1 **LIMITE EN**

As regards traditional own resources (customs duties, sugar levies), the amounts indicated must be net amounts, i.e. gross amounts after the relevant deduction for collection costs.

ANNEX VII

Eligible actions for operating support

Within specific objective *better information exchange*, operating support within the programmes shall cover:

- Maintenance and helpdesk of security relevant EU and, where relevant, national ICT systems contributing to the achievement of the objectives of this Regulation.
- staff costs contributing to the achievement of the objectives of this Regulation

Within specific objective *increased operational cooperation*, operating support within the national programmes shall cover:

- maintenance of technical equipment or means of transport used for actions in the area of prevention, detection and investigation of serious and organised crime with a cross-border dimension.
- staff costs contributing to the achievement of the objectives of this Regulation

Within specific objective strengthened capabilities to prevent and to combat crime, operating support within the national programmes shall cover:

- maintenance of technical equipment or means of transport used for actions in the area of prevention, detection and investigation of serious and organised crime with a crossborder dimension.
- staff costs contributing to the achievement of the objectives of this Regulation

 Actions which are not eligible under Article 4(3) shall not be covered.

ANNEX VIII

Output and result indicators referred to in Article 24(3)

Specific Objective 1: Better information exchange

Output indicators

- 1. Number of participants in training activities
- 2. Number of expert meetings/workshops/study visits
- 3. Number of ICT systems set up/adapted/maintained
- 4. Number of equipment items purchased

Result indicators

- 5. Number of ICT systems made interoperable in the Member States/ with securityrelevant EU and decentralised information systems/with international databases
- 6. Number of administrative units that have set up new or adapted existing information exchange mechanisms/procedures/tools/guidance for exchange of information with other Member States/EU agencies/international organisations/third countries
- 7. Number of participants who consider the training useful for their work
- 8. Number of participants who report three months after the training activity that they are using the skills and competences acquired during the training

Specific Objective 2: Increased operational cooperation

Output indicators

- 1. Number of cross-border operations
- 1.1 Of which number of joint investigation teams
- 1.2 Of which number of EU policy cycle operational actions
- 2. Number of expert meetings/workshops/study visits/common exercises
- 3. Number of equipment items purchased
- 4. Number of transport means purchased for cross-border operations

Result indicators

- 5. The estimated value of assets frozen in the context of cross-border operations
- 6. Quantity of illicit drugs seized in the context of cross-border operations by type of product⁴⁹
- 7. Quantity of weapons seized in the context of cross-border operations by type of weapon⁵⁰
- 8. Number of administrative units that have developed/adapted existing

 mechanisms/procedures/ tools/guidance for cooperation with other Member States/EU

 agencies/international organisations/third countries
- 9. Number of staff involved in cross-border operations
- 10. Number of Schengen Evaluation Recommendations addressed

- Weapons of war: automatic firearms and heavy firearms (anti-tank, rocket launcher, mortar, etc.);
- Other short firearms: revolvers and pistols (including salute and acoustic weapons):
- Other long firearms: rifles and shotguns (including salute and acoustic weapons);

Breakdown of types of drugs (Based on the categories used in reports on illicit drugs:

EU Drug Market Report, the European Drug Report as well as the EMCDDA Statistical Bulleting):

⁻ Cannabis;

⁻ Opioids, including heroin;

^{- &}lt;u>Cocaine;</u>

⁻ Synthetic drugs, including amphetamine-type stimulants (including amphetamine and methamphetamine) and MDMA;

⁻ New psychoactive substances;

⁻ Other illicit drugs.

Breakdown of types of weapons (Based on existing legislation, namely the Council Directive 91/477/EEC of 18 June 1991 on control of the acquisition and possession of weapons. The proposed categories are simplified, compared to those mentioned in Annex I of Directive 91/477/EEC and in line with those inside the Schengen Information System, used by national authorities):

Specific Objective 3: Strengthened capabilities to combat and to prevent crime

Output indicators

- 1. Number of participants in training activities
- 2. Number of exchange programmes/workshops/study visits
- 3. Number of equipment items purchased
- 4. Number of transport means purchased
- 5. Number of items of infrastructure/security relevant facilities/tools/mechanisms constructed/ purchased/upgraded
- 6. Number of projects to prevent crime
- 7. Number of projects to assist victims of crime
- 8. Number of victims of crimes assisted

Result indicators

- 9. Number of initiatives developed / expanded to prevent radicalisation
- 10. Number of initiatives developed / expanded to protect / support witnesses and whistleblowers
- 11. Number of critical infrastructure/public spaces with new/adapted facilities protecting against security related risks
- 12. Number of participants who consider the training useful for their work
- 13. Number of participants who report three months after leaving the training that they are using the skills and competences acquired during the training