

Brussels, 1 December 2025 (OR. en)

16136/25

Interinstitutional File: 2024/0318 (COD)

AGRI 656 AGRIORG 147 CODEC 1969

## **WORKING DOCUMENT**

From:	General Secretariat of the Council			
To:	Delegations			
No. Cion doc.:	16776/24			
Subject:	Regulation on cross-border enforcement of rules against unfair trading practices (UTPs)			
	- Four-Column table			

Delegations will find attached an updated four-column table reflecting in its fourth column the comprehensive agreement on the abovementioned Regulation, as approved by the SCA on 1 December 2025. The text in the fourth column in the rows marked in green is the same as in 15780/25. The text still has to undergo legal-linguistic revision.

16136/25

LIFE.1 EN

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on cooperation among enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain 2024/0318(COD)

		CLEAN	mmission pposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
	Formula								
G	1	2024/0318 (CO	D)	2024/0318	(COD)	2024/0318	(COD)	2024/0318 Text Orig	G(COD) gin: Commission
	Docume	nt Stage							

	Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 2	Proposal for a	Proposal for a	Proposal for a	Proposal for a  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
Docume	ent Type			
s 3	REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL  Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Docume	ent Purpose			
<b>6</b> 4	on cooperation among enforcement authorities			

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain	responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain	responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain	responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
	Formula				
G	5	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,  Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Citation	1							
6	Functioning	rd to the Treaty on the of the European n particular Article f,	Functionin	gard to the Treaty on the ng of the European d in particular Article eof,	Functionii	gard to the Treaty on the ng of the European d in particular Article eof,	Functionin Union, an 43(2) ther	gard to the Treaty on the ng of the European d in particular Article eof,
Citation	2							
7		rd to the proposal ropean Commission,		gard to the proposal European Commission,		gard to the proposal European Commission,	from the F	gard to the proposal European Commission, gin: Commission

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement		
C	Citation 3							
G	8		emission of the draft act to the national es,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal		
С	itation	4						
G	9	the Europe Committee	gard to the opinion of ean Economic and Social e <sup>1</sup> ,  [], p. [].	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee <sup>1</sup> ,  1. OJ C [], [], p. [].	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee <sup>1</sup> ,  1. OJ C [], [], p. [].	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee <sup>1</sup> ,  1. OJ C [], [], p. [].		

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Citation	5			
		Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions <sup>1</sup> ,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions <sup>1</sup> ,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions <sup>1</sup> ,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions <sup>1</sup> ,
G	10	1. OJ C , , p	1. OJ.C., , p	1. OJC,,p	1. OJ C, , p
					Text Origin: Council and EP  Mandate
Ī	Citation	6			
G	11	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,

	Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Formula	3			
6 12	Whereas:	Whereas:	Whereas:	Whereas:  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
Recital 2	1			
g 13	(1) Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> introduced a minimum Union standard of protection against unfair trading practices to reduce the occurrence	(1) Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> introduced a minimum Union standard of protection against unfair trading practices to reduce the occurrence	(1) Within the agricultural and food supply chain, significant imbalances in bargaining power between suppliers and buyers of agricultural and food products	(1) Within the agricultural and food supply chain, significant imbalances in bargaining power between suppliers and buyers of agricultural and food products are likely to lead to unfair trading

Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
of practices which are likely to have a negative impact on the living standards of the agricultural community.  1. Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain (OJ L 111, 25.4.2019, p. 59, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2019/633/oj).	of practices which are likely to have a negative impact on the living standards of the agricultural community.  1. Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain (OJ L 111, 25.4.2019, p. 59, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2019/633/oj).	are likely to lead to unfair trading practices. Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ introduced a minimum Union standard of protection against unfair trading practices to reduce the occurrence of such practices which are likely to—have a negative impact on the living standards of the agricultural community.  1. Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain (OJ L 111, 25.4.2019, p. 59, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2019/633/oj).	practices. Directive (EU)  2019/633 of the European  Parliament and of the Council¹ introduced a minimum Union standard of protection against unfair trading practices to reduce the occurrence of such practices which are likely to have a negative impact on the living standards of the agricultural community.  1. Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain (OJ L 111, 25.4.2019, p. 59, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2019/633/oj).

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Recital 1	a			
с 13а		(1a) The first evaluation of Directive (EU) 2019/633 together with a report on the main findings should be presented by the Commission by 1 November 2025. The report from the Commission on Implementing the prohibition of unfair trading practices to strengthen the position of farmers and operators in the agricultural and food supply chain - State of play of 23 April 2024 highlighted the persistence of imbalances within the agri-food chain, which increases the need for a		(1a) The report from the Commission on Implementing the prohibition of unfair trading practices to strengthen the position of farmers and operators in the agricultural and food supply chain - State of play of 23 April 2024 highlighted the persistence of imbalances within the agri-food chain, which increases the need for new measures to step up protection for suppliers and ensure sufficient bargaining power to all operators.

	Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		legislative proposal amending that Directive in order to further step up protection for suppliers and ensure sufficient bargaining power to all operators by broadening its scope.		
Recita	12			
с 14	(2) Directive (EU) 2019/633 requires Member States to designate enforcement authorities that ensure the effective enforcement of the prohibitions laid down in that Directive. That Directive also requires the Commission and those enforcement authorities to cooperate closely to ensure a common approach with respect to	(2) Directive (EU) 2019/633 requires Member States to designate enforcement authorities that ensure the effective enforcement of the prohibitions laid down in that Directive. That Directive also requires the Commission and those enforcement authorities to cooperate closely to ensure a common approach with respect to	(2) Directive (EU) 2019/633 requires Member States to designate enforcement authorities that ensure the effective enforcement of the prohibitions laid down in that Directive. That Directive also requires the Commission and those enforcement authorities to cooperate closely to ensure a common approach with respect to	(2) Directive (EU) 2019/633 requires Member States to designate enforcement authorities that ensure the effective enforcement of the prohibitions laid down in that Directive. That Directive also requires the Commission and those enforcement authorities to cooperate closely to ensure a common approach with respect to

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
the application of the rules set out			
in that Directive. In particular, the			
enforcement authorities should	enforcement authorities should	enforcement authorities should	enforcement authorities should
provide each other with mutual	provideaim to prevent or stop	provide each other with mutual	<del>provide</del> aim to prevent or stop
assistance, including by sharing	unfair trading practices that have	assistance, including by sharing	unfair trading practices that have
information and assisting in	a cross-border dimension and	information and assisting in	a cross-border dimension and
investigations that have a cross-	that are performed in whole or in	investigations that have a cross-	that are performed on their
border dimension.	part on their respective territories.	border dimension. While the	respective territories. They should
	They should do so by providing	scope and the possibilities for	do so by providing each other with
	each other with mutual assistance,	cooperation under that Directive	mutual assistance, including by
	including by sharing information	remain fully available to the	sharing information and assisting
	and assisting in investigations that	enforcement authorities of the	in investigations that have a cross-
	have a cross-border dimension.	Member States, it is opportune	border dimension. While the scope
		to address some difficultites and	and the possibilities for
		increase the effectiveness of the	cooperation under that Directive
		cooperation mechanism.	remain fully available to the
			enforcement authorities of the
			Member States, it is opportune to
			address some difficulties and

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				increase the effectiveness of the cooperation mechanism.
				Text Origin: EP Mandate
Recital	13			
s 15	(3) Due to the principle of territoriality, enforcement authorities may face difficulties gathering information, finding an infringement and imposing and enforcing fines and other equally effective penalties where a buyer is established in another Member State. Such difficulties affect the system of enforcement established by Directive (EU) 2019/633, which depends on cooperation	(3) Due to the principle of territoriality, enforcement authorities may face difficulties gathering information, finding an infringement and imposing and enforcing fines and other equally effective penalties where a buyer or a supplier is established in another Member State or outside the Union, including when a buyer is member of one or more retail alliances, as those entities	(3) Due to the principle of territoriality, enforcement authorities may face difficulties gathering information, finding an infringement and imposing and enforcing fines and other equally effective penalties where a buyer is established in another Member State. Such difficulties affect the system of enforcement established by Directive (EU) 2019/633, which depends on cooperation	(3) Due to the principle of territoriality, enforcement authorities may face difficulties gathering information, finding an infringement and imposing and enforcing fines and other equally effective penalties where a buyer is established in another Member State, for example when operators of the food supply chain or their alliances have a cross-border purchasing strategy. Such

EN

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement	
between enforcement authorities,	have an inherent cross-border	between enforcement authorities,	difficulties affect the system of	
and may lead to an uneven	purchasing strategy and might	and may lead to an uneven	enforcement established by	
enforcement of unfair trading	circumvent certain legal	enforcement of unfair trading	Directive (EU) 2019/633, which	
practices, undermining the	provisions, even when the	practices, undermining the	depends on cooperation between	
protection for suppliers of	acquired agricultural and food	protection for suppliers of	enforcement authorities, and may	
agricultural and food products	products are intended for the	agricultural and food products	lead to an uneven enforcement of	
intended by that Directive. It is	market of the Member State of	intended by that Directive. It is	unfair trading practices,	
therefore appropriate to establish	the supplier. Such difficulties	therefore appropriate to establish	undermining the protection for	
rules strengthening cooperation	affect the system of enforcement	certain rules strengthening	suppliers of agricultural and food	
between enforcement authorities	established by Directive (EU)	cooperation between enforcement	products intended by that	
in cross-border cases.	2019/633, which depends on	authorities in cross-border cases.	Directive. It is therefore	
	cooperation between enforcement	Strengthening that cooperation	appropriate to establish certain,	
	authorities, and may lead to an	leads to a more effective	<u>uniform</u> rules strengthening	
	uneven enforcement of unfair	protection against unfair trading	cooperation between enforcement	
	trading practices, undermining the	practices with cross-border	authorities in cross-border cases.	
	protection for suppliers of	dimension and contributes to	Strengthening that cooperation	
	agricultural and food products	strengthening farmers' position	<u>leads to a more effective</u>	
	intended by that Directive. It is	in the supply chain and thus	protection against unfair trading	
	therefore appropriate to establish	ensuring a fair standard of	practices with cross-border	
	uniform rules strengthening		dimension and contributes to	

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				authoritie  with the a  position a  agricultu  chain and	on between enforcement s in cross-border cases aim of reinforcing the of farmers within the ral and food supply d of ensuring fairer s of living for them.	living for communit	the agricultural ty.	in the s ensurin for the	hening farmers' position upply chain and thus ug a fair standard of living agricultural community.  rigin: Council and EP
Re	cital 4								
G	16	2019/633 a maintain of national rutrading practical that not cover to the Regular	allows Member States to or introduce stricter ales against unfair actices, it should be not this Regulation does those rules. However, ation should allow the states to decide that their	2019/633 maintain national r trading pr clarified t not cover the Regul	Given that Directive (EU) allows Member States to or introduce stricter ules against unfair ractices, it should be that this Regulation does those rules. However, ation should allow the States to decide that their	2019/633 a maintain o national ru trading pra clarified the not cover to the Regular	allows Member States to be introduce stricter ales against unfair actices, it should be not this Regulation does those rules. However, action should allow the states to may decide that	maintai national trading clarified not cove the <i>Reg</i>	Given that Directive (EU) 33 allows Member States to n or introduce stricter I rules against unfair practices, it should be d that this Regulation does er those rules. However, ulation should allow the er States should be able to

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	enforcement authorities can make use of the possibility to exchange information established under the mutual assistance mechanism set out by this Regulation in relation to such rules. In those cases, provided that a reason is given for the refusal, the enforcement authorities should still have the right to refuse to comply with such a request for assistance in the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633, except when it concerns the breach of overriding mandatory provisions within the meaning of Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 of	their enforcement authorities—can make use of the possibility to exchange information established under the mutual assistance mechanism set out by this Regulation in relation to such rules. In those cases, the enforcement authorities should still—have the right to refuse to comply with such a request on the sole condition that they indicate the reason for the refusal.	decide that their enforcement authorities ean make use of the possibility to exchange information possibilities established under the mutual assistance voluntary cooperation mechanism set out by this Regulation in relation to such rules. This possibility can be important in particular when the stricter national rules are categorised in some Member States as overriding mandatory provisions that aim to guarantee the stable and sustainable supply of food products to consumers. In those cases, the enforcement
	the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1a</sup> that aim to guarantee the stable and		authorities should still have the right to refuse to comply with such a request.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		sustainable supply of food products to consumers.		
Recital 5				
17	(5) To ensure the effective application of their obligations under this Regulation, enforcement authorities should be provided with the necessary resources and expertise.	application of their obligations under this Regulation, enforcement authorities should be provided with the necessary resources and expertise, including to avoid any charge for suppliers for submitting a complaint, to ensure confidentiality and to make available, in particular to agricultural and food producers, regulatory bodies and other responsible authorities, clear and accessible information on the	(5) To ensure the effective application of their obligations under this Regulation, enforcement authorities should be provided with the necessary resources and expertise.	(5) To ensure the effective application of their obligations under this Regulation, enforcement authorities should be provided with the necessary resources and expertise.  Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		possibilities for submitting a complaint.		
Recital 6	6			
18	(6) Enforcement authorities should have the power to provide one another with and use in evidence in accordance with their national law any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information. Information exchanged shall only be used in evidence for the purpose of applying the rules established by Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in respect of the subject-matter for	(6) Enforcement authorities should have the power to provide one another with and use in evidence in accordance with their national law any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information, while paying particular attention to the protection of that information, assuring confidentiality and anonymity if requested by the complainant and applying the	should have the power to provide one another with and use in evidence in accordance with their national law any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information. Information exchanged shall only be used in evidence for the purpose of applying this Regulation to enforce the rules established by Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in	(6) Enforcement authorities should have the power to provide one another with and use in evidence in accordance with their national law any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information. Information exchanged shall only be used in evidence for the purpose of applying this Regulation to enforce the rules established by Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in
	which it was collected by the transmitting authority.	rules established by Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1a</sup>	respect of the subject-matter for which it was collected by the transmittingrequested authority.	respect of the subject-matter for which it was collected by the transmittingrequested authority.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		and by Directive (EU) 2019/633.  Information exchanged shall only be used in evidence for the purpose of applying the rules established by Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in respect of the subject-matter for which it was collected by the transmitting authority.	The confidentiality of the information should be guaranteed with due regard to the legitimate interests of a natural person or legal person concerned. Requests of complainants for protection of information based on Article 5 (3) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 should be taken into account and protection should also be ensured in cross border enforcement.	The confidentiality of the information should be guaranteed with due regard to the legitimate interests of a natural person or legal person concerned.  Requests of complainants for protection of information based on Article 5 (3) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 should be taken into account and protection should also be ensured in cross border enforcement.
Recital	7			
G 19	(7) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own	(7) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own	(7) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own	(7) With the aim to help stopping unfair trading practices

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
territory to exercise the powers referred to in Article 6(1), points (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633, in accordance with their national law, on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities.	territory to exercise the powers referred to in Article 6(1), points (b), (c) and (d) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633, in accordance with their national law, on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities.	territory to exercise the powers referred to in Article 6(1), points (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633, in accordance with their national law, conduct investigative measures on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities. Such investigative measures should be carried out in accordance with the powers referred to in Article	that have a cross-border dimension, enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory to exercise the powers referred to in Article 6(1), points (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633, in accordance with their national law, conduct investigative measures on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement
		6(1), points (a), (b) and (c) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in accordance with the national law of the requested enforcement authority.	authorities. Such investigative measures should be carried out in accordance with the powers referred to in Article 6(1), points (a), (b) and (c) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in accordance with the national law of the requested enforcement authority.

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
								Text (	Drigin: Council Mandate
	Recital 7	a							
c	19a			efficience this Reg coopera authorit costs for enforces taken pu should be	In order to increase the cy and effectiveness of culation, to secure smooth tion between enforcement ties and to avoid excessive or the requested ment authorities, rules on the costs of measures cursuant to this Regulation be laid down. Moreover, ment authorities should	enforcen concerni final dec other eq and inter in accord first sub Directive important effective	Cooperation between the nent authorities ing the enforcement of isions imposing fines or ually effective penalties rim measures adopted dance with Article 6(1), paragraph, point (e) of e (EU) 2019/633 is very nt in order to achieve an protection against	concer final d other e and in accord subpar Direct import effectiv	Cooperation between the ement authorities  rning the enforcement of lecisions imposing fines or equally effective penalties terim measures adopted in lance with Article 6(1), first ragraph, point (e) of live (EU) 2019/633 is very tant in order to achieve an expression against unfair
					to recover the costs	cross-bo	rading practices with rder dimension. For this it is necessary that the	border	g practices with cross- dimension. For this se it is necessary that the

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
		enforceme	ent from the fines	requeste	d enforcement authority	requeste	d enforcement authority
		<u>collected.</u>		is vested	with a power to enforce	is vested	with a power to enforce
				a final de	ecision adopted by the	<u>a final d</u>	ecision adopted by the
				applican	t enforcement authority	<u>applican</u>	t enforcement authority
				when the	e collection of the fines	when the	collection of the fines or
				or the im	plementation of the	the imple	ementation of the equally
				equally e	effective penalty or	<u>effective</u>	penalty or interim
				interim n	measure by the	<u>measure</u>	by the applicant
				applican	t enforcement authority	<u>enforcen</u>	ient authority is
				is unsucc	cessful. In case where	unsucces	ssful. In case where the
				the collec	ction of fines or the	<u>collection</u>	n of fines or the
				impleme	ntation of equally	<u>impleme</u>	ntation of equally
				effective	penalties or interim	<u>effective</u>	penalties or interim
				measures	s in the Member State	<u>measure</u>	s in the Member State of
				of the red	quested enforcement	the requ	ested enforcement
				authority	y is carried out by	<u>authorit</u> y	is carried out by
				another a	authority, the requested	another (	authority, the requested
				enforcem	nent authority should	<u>enforcen</u>	nent authority should
				have the	power to initiate the	have the	power to initiate the
				collection	n of the fine or the	collection	n of the fine or the

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
						implementation of the equally effective penalty or interim measures before that competent national authority.	implementation of the equally effective penalty or interim measures before that competent national authority.  Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Recital 7	b					
G	19b					(7b) In order to secure smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities, rules on covering costs of measures taken pursuant to this Regulation should be laid down. In order to avoid that requests for mutual assistance result in excessive costs for the requested enforcement authorities, while	(7b) In order to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of this Regulation, to secure smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities and to avoid excessive costs for the requested enforcement authorities, rules on covering the costs of measures taken pursuant to this Regulation should be laid down.

EN

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
	Тторозаг			ensuring that the enforcement authorities devote sufficient resources and efforts to the requests, the requested authority should be authorised to ask that the applicant enforcement authority bears all additional costs deriving from the request, unless the costs can be considered as unreasonable, for example due to unnecessary actions. However, in case a fine is collected on behalf of the applicant enforcement authority, the fine should in principle be transferred to the applicant enforcement		
				authority. Nevertheless the requested enforcement authority should be able to recover the		

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
					enforceme collected, on national and for the coll fine could requested may reque of the cost	ent from the fine either by it or by the uthority responsible lection of fines. If the not be collected, the enforcement authority est the reimbursement as so incurred from the enforcement		
Recital	8				,			
g 20	should inforunfair tradir cross-borde	forcement authorities rm one another of an ng practice with a er dimension that has is occurring in their	should info  Commission  notifying of  trading pra	or through a system for alerts of an unfair actice with a cross-	should info unfair tradi cross-borde	orm one another of an ing practice with a er dimension that has r is occurring in their	should intunfair trac	nforcement authorities form one another of an ding practice with a der dimension that has or is occurring in their

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			occurred or is occurring in their territory.		Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Recital 9				
G	21	(9) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory and in accordance with their national law to enforce, or initiate proceedings for the enforcement of, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities, provided	(9) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory and in accordance with their national law to enforce, or initiate proceedings for the enforcement of, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities, provided	(9) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory and in accordance with their national law to enforce, or initiate proceedings for the enforcement of, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties, or interim measures on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement	(9) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory and in accordance with their national law to enforce, or initiate proceedings for the enforcement of, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties, or interim measures on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement
		that those other enforcement authorities have made reasonable efforts to ascertain that the buyers against which the fines or other	that those other enforcement authorities have made reasonable efforts to ascertain that the buyers against which ascertained that the	authorities, provided that those other enforcement authorities have made reasonable efforts to ascertain that the buyers against	authorities, provided that those other enforcement authorities have made reasonable efforts to ascertain that the buyers against

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	equally effective penalties are to be enforced do not have sufficient assets in the Member States of those other enforcement authorities.	fines or other equally effective penalties are to cannot be enforced do not have sufficient assets in the Member States of those other enforcement authorities.	which the fines or other equally effective penalties, or the interim measures are to be enforced do not have sufficient assets in the Member States of those other enforcement authorities.	whichascertained that the fines or other equally effective penalties are to, or interim measures cannot be enforced do not have sufficient assets in the Member States of those other enforcement authorities.  Text Origin: EP Mandate
Recita	10			
s 22	(10) Enforcement authorities should be able to exchange and seek information from other enforcement authorities by issuing requests for information. These requests should specify what information is considered	(10) Enforcement authorities should be able to exchange and seek information from other enforcement authorities by issuing requests for information. These requests should specify what information is considered	(10) Enforcement authorities should be able to exchange and seek information from cooperate with other enforcement authorities by issuing requests for information mutual assistance.  These requests should specify	(10) Enforcement authorities should be able to exchange and seek information from cooperate with other enforcement authorities by issuing requests for information mutual assistance.  These requests should specify

		Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
l e		necessary in each case to conduct investigations of unfair trading practices.	necessary in each case to conduct investigations of unfair trading practices.	what information or measure is considered necessary in each case to conduct investigations of unfair trading practices. To enable the requested enforcement authority to ascertain its role, the request should include all necessary information about the alleged unfair trading practice.	what information or measure is considered necessary in each case to conduct investigations of unfair trading practices. To enable the requested enforcement authority to ascertain its role, the request should include all necessary information about the alleged unfair trading practice.  Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Recital 1	10a			
G	22a		(10a) In order to increase the efficiency of cross-border cooperation between enforcement authorities, a procedural mechanism should be established		G

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
			to allow for the prioritisation of urgent requests. In this way, the requested enforcement authority will be better able to manage efforts to provide a response within the prescribed legal time limit, while respecting the principle of good cooperation and in the spirit of effective protection of the legitimate interests of the affected parties in the agricultural and food supply chain. In addition to this, it would be useful to establish a mechanism for managing subsequent requests for clarification, without retaining the 45-day time limit.				
Recital 1	11						

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 23	should not be entitled to refuse to comply with a request for information or to refuse to participate in enforcement measures unless it is likely that enforcement actions and administrative decisions taken at national level outside the mutual assistance mechanism would ensure cessation of the unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension. Moreover, enforcement authorities should give reasons for such a refusal.	should not be entitled to refuse to comply with a request for information or to refuse to participate in enforcement measures unless it is likely that enforcement actions and administrative decisions taken at national level outside the mutual assistance mechanism would ensure cessation of the unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension. Moreover, enforcement authorities should give reasons for such a refusal.	should not be entitled to refuse to comply with a request for information or to refuse to participate in enforcement measures unless it is likely that other enforcement actions—and, administrative decisions or judicial proceedings taken at national level outside the mutual assistance mechanism would ensure cessation of the unfair trading practice with a cross—border dimension. Refusal should also be possible in case the request falls outside the scope of the Regulation or is in contradiction with the national law of the requested enforcement authority.	(11) Enforcement authorities should not be entitled to refuse to comply with a request for information or to refuse to participate in enforcement measures unless it is likely that other enforcement actions or and, administrative decisions or judicial proceedings taken at national level outside the mutual assistance mechanism would ensure cessation of the unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension. Refusal should also be possible in case the request falls outside the scope of the Regulation or is in contradiction with the national law of the requested enforcement authority. Moreover, enforcement

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			Moreover, enforcement authorities should give reasons for such a refusal.	authorities should give reasons for such a refusal.  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Recital 1	12			
s 24	arrangements on the language regime may pose obstacles to the smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities. For this reason, rules allowing the enforcement authorities to agree on the language to be used in all notifications, requests and communications between them, as well as rules in case of	arrangements on the language regime may pose obstacles to the smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities. For this reason, rules allowing the enforcement authorities to agree on the language to be used in all notifications, requests and communications between them, as well as rules in case of	arrangements on the language regime may pose obstacles to the smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities. For this reason, rules allowing the enforcement authorities to agree on the language to be used in all notifications, requests and communications between them, as well as rules in case of	arrangements on the language regime may pose obstacles to the smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities. For this reason, rules allowing the enforcement authorities to agree on the language to be used in all notifications, requests and communications between them, as well as rules in case of

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		disagreement among them, should be laid down.	disagreement among them, should be laid down.	disagreement among them, should be laid down.	disagreement among them, should be laid down.  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
	Recital 1	13			
G	25	uniform conditions for the implementation of the measures laid down in this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission to be able to develop standard forms for requests for information or requests for enforcement measures. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with	(13) With a view to ensuring uniform conditions for the implementation of the measures laid down in this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission to be able to develop standard forms for requests for information, requests for mutual assistance or requests for enforcement measures, as well as standard	(13) With a view to ensuring uniform conditions for the implementation of the measures laid down in this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission to be able to develop standard forms for requests for information or requests for enforcement measures. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with	

CI	Commission  LEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
the the sta Co aut dev the sta C	egulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> . In the absence of andard forms developed by the commission, the enforcement thorities should be entitled to thori	procedures for prioritising urgent requests and for the issuing of alerts, the transmission of notifications, communications and information among enforcement authorities. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council 14. In the absence of standard forms developed by the Commission, the enforcement authorities should be entitled to develop such forms to facilitate the mutual assistance mechanism.	Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> . In the absence of standard forms developed by the Commission, the enforcement authorities should be entitled to develop such forms to facilitate the mutual assistance mechanism. <sup>1</sup> 1. Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2011/182/oj).[1]	
		1. Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council	•	

	CLEAN	ommission oposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
			rules and ger mechanisms of the Comm implementing p. 13, ELI:	nry 2011 laying down the meral principles concerning for control by Member States ission's exercise of g powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, propa.eu/eli/reg/2011/182/oj).				
Recital	13a							
6 25a			cooperation a mediation coordinate Commission introduced to resolve or unjustificooperate	on between authorities, on mechanism, ed or represented by the on, should be d to clarify ambiguities, potential disagreements fied refusals to and to prevent of the mutual process.				

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Recital	14			
6 26	unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, involving at least three Member States may be taking place, the enforcement authorities concerned by that practice should be able to issue alerts, engage in coordinated actions, and designate a coordinator to coordinate the cooperation among the relevant authorities in whose territories the practice may be taking place. To establish which enforcement authorities are concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, all relevant aspects should be	unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, involving at least three Member States may be taking place, the enforcement authorities concerned by that practice should be able to issue alerts <i>through a dedicated system</i> , engage in coordinated actions, and designate a coordinator to coordinate the cooperation among the relevant authorities in whose territories the practice may be taking place. To establish which enforcement authorities are concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, all relevant	unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, involving buyers and suppliers from at least three Member States may be taking place, the enforcement authorities concerned by that practice should be able to issue alerts, engage in coordinated actions, and designate a coordinator to coordinate the cooperation among the relevant authorities in whose territories the practice may be taking place. To establish which enforcement authorities are concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, all	unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, involving buyers and suppliers from at least three Member States may be taking place, the enforcement authorities concerned by that practice should be able to issue alerts through a dedicated system, engage in coordinated actions, and designate a coordinator to coordinate the cooperation among the relevant authorities in whose territories the practice may be taking place. To establish which enforcement authorities are concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
considered, in particular the place	aspects should be considered, in	relevant aspects should be	border dimension, all relevant
where the buyer is established and	particular the place where the	considered, in particular the place	aspects should be considered, in
the location of the suppliers that	buyer is established and the	where the buyer is established and	particular the place where the
may be affected by the unfair	location of the suppliers that may	the location of the suppliers that	buyer is established and the
trading practice. The detection of	be affected by the unfair trading	may be affected by the unfair	location of the suppliers that may
widespread unfair trading	practice. The detection of	trading practice. The detection of	be affected by the unfair trading
practices with a cross-border	widespread unfair trading	widespread unfair trading	practice. The detection of
dimension should be supported by	practices with a cross-border	practices with a cross-border	widespread unfair trading
exchanging information between	dimension should be supported by	dimension should be supported by	practices with a cross-border
enforcement authorities when	exchanging information between	exchanging information between	dimension should be supported by
there is a reasonable suspicion of	enforcement authorities when	enforcement authorities when	exchanging information between
such unfair trading practices with	there is a reasonable suspicion of	there is a reasonable suspicion of	enforcement authorities when
a cross-border dimension. The	such unfair trading practices with	such unfair trading practices with	there is a reasonable suspicion of
coordinator should exercise its	a cross-border dimension. The	a cross-border dimension. The	such unfair trading practices with
competence within a framework of	coordinator should exercise its	coordinator should exercise its	a cross-border dimension. The
close cooperation with the other	competence within a framework of	competence within a framework of	coordinator should exercise its
enforcement authorities	close cooperation with the other	close cooperation with the other	competence within a framework of
concerned. Likewise, all	enforcement authorities	enforcement authorities	close cooperation with the other
enforcement authorities concerned	concerned. Likewise, all	concerned. Likewise, all	enforcement authorities
should actively engage in the	enforcement authorities concerned	enforcement authorities concerned	concerned. Likewise, all

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	investigation at an early stage, and issue alerts to the Commission and to the enforcement authorities concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension and share the necessary information available to them about such practices.	should actively engage in the investigation at an early stage, and issue alerts to the Commission and to the enforcement authorities concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension and share the necessary information available to them about such practices.	should actively engage in the investigation at an early stage, and issue alerts to the Commission and to the enforcement authorities concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension and share the necessary information available to them about such practices.	enforcement authorities concerned should actively engage in the investigation at an early stage, and issue alerts to the Commission and to the enforcement authorities concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension and share the necessary information available to them about such practices.  Text Origin: EP Mandate
Recital	15			
s 27	(15) Procedures for the coordination of investigation and enforcement measures relating to widespread unfair trading	(15) Procedures for the coordination of investigation and enforcement measures relating to widespread unfair trading	(15) Procedures for the coordination of investigation and enforcement measures relating to widespread unfair trading	(15) Procedures for the coordination of investigation and enforcement measures relating to widespread unfair trading

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec <b>Draft Agreement</b>
	practices with a cross-border dimension should be laid down. Coordinated actions against widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should ensure that enforcement authorities are able to choose the most appropriate and efficient tools to stop those practices.	practices with a cross-border dimension should be laid down. Coordinated actions against widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should ensure that enforcement authorities are able to choose the most appropriate and efficient tools to stop those practices.	practices with a cross-border dimension should be laid down. Coordinated actions against widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should ensure that enforcement authorities are able to choose the most appropriate and efficient tools to stop those practices.	practices with a cross-border dimension should be laid down. Coordinated actions against widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should ensure that enforcement authorities are able to choose the most appropriate and efficient tools to stop those practices.  Text Origin: Commission
Recital	16			Proposal
6 28	(16) It is necessary to list the cases where a concerned enforcement authority may decide	(16) It is necessary to list the cases where a concerned enforcement authority may decide	(16) It is necessary to list the cases where a concerned enforcement authority may decide	(16) It is necessary to list the cases where a concerned enforcement authority may decide

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	to refuse to participate in a coordinated action. In particular, lack of available resources on the part of an enforcement authority concerned by that unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension should not be considered to justify refusing to participate in a coordinated action.	to refuse to participate in a coordinated action. In particular, lack of available resources on the part of an enforcement authority concerned by that unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension should not be considered to justify refusing to participate in a coordinated action.	to refuse to participate in a coordinated action. In particular, lack of available resources on the part of an enforcement authority concerned by that unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension should not be considered to justify refusing to participate in a coordinated action.	to refuse to participate in a coordinated action. In particular, lack of available resources on the part of an enforcement authority concerned by that unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension should not be considered to justify refusing to participate in a coordinated action.  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
Recital	17	I	I	
s 29	(17) With a view to ensuring that the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action have all the tools necessary	(17) With a view to ensuring that the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action have all the tools necessary	(17) With a view to ensuring that the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action have all the tools necessary	(17) With a view to ensuring that the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action have all the tools necessary

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	to communicate, cooperate, and coordinate, this Regulation should lay down rules on language arrangements.	to communicate, cooperate, and coordinate, this Regulation should lay down rules on language arrangements.	to communicate, cooperate, and coordinate, this Regulation should lay down rules on language arrangements.	to communicate, cooperate, and coordinate, this Regulation should lay down rules on language arrangements.
Recital	17a			Text Origin: Commission Proposal
s 29a			(17a) Since Directive (EU) 2019/633 also protects suppliers in the Union against unfair trading practices by buyers established outside the Union, this Regulation should also provide rules for the cooperation of enforcement authorities of Member States with each other	(17a) Since Directive (EU)  2019/633 also protects suppliers in the Union against unfair trading practices by buyers established outside the Union, as well as suppliers established outside the Union when they sell agricultural and food products into the Union, this Regulation

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
						as regards unfair trading practices by buyers established outside the Union and which are prohibited by Directive (EU) 2019/633.	should also provide rules for the cooperation of enforcement authorities of Member States with each other as regards unfair trading practices involving buyers and suppliers established outside the Union and which are prohibited by Directive (EU) 2019/633.
	Recital 1	.7b					
G	29b						(17b) Directive (EU) 2019/633  also protects suppliers in the  Union against unfair trading  practices by buyers established  outside the Union. It is therefore

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
								enabling to conduct effectively end, an end should be buyer des contact w primary p enforcem facilitate Enforcem also infor	enforcement authorities enforcement authorities et investigations more ey in such cases. To that enforcement authority e able to request that a eignates a point of eithin the Union to be the eoint of contact for the eent authority and the investigation. enent authorities should em each other and the eion in cases where a es not comply with such
R	ecital 1	7c							

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 29c					(17b) With a view to ensuring uniform conditions for the implementation of the measures laid down in this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission to develop standard forms for requests for mutual assistance and to laid done rules on the management of the notifications and communications among the enforcement authorities. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> .	uniform conditions for the implementation of the measures laid down in this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission to develop standard forms for requests for mutual assistance.  Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council.  1. Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					1. Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2011/182/oj ).	implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2011/182/oj) .
Recita	l -1					
6 29d						(17d) The Commission should have an overview of the application of the rules under this Regulation in the Member States.  In addition, the Commission should be able to assess the effectiveness of this Regulation.  To that end, the enforcement authorities of the Member States

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
								should include in their annual reports to the Commission activities falling within the scope of this Regulation. In the interest of an effective implementation of the rules to strengthen the position of operators in the agrifood supply chain that are exposed to unfair trading practices, the report on the application of the rules under this Regulation should be used in the review process of Directive (EU) 2019/633.
ı	Recital -1	La						
G	29e							(17e) To facilitate effective enforcement, the Commission should provide and manage a

	Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Recital 1	18			platform that allows the swift exchange of information or requests among the enforcement authorities and, where appropriate, with the Commission.
30	(18) This Regulation respects fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and present in the constitutional traditions of the Member States. Accordingly, this Regulation should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	(18) This Regulation respects fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and present in the constitutional traditions of the Member States. Accordingly, this Regulation should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	(18) This Regulation respects fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and present in the constitutional traditions of the Member States. Accordingly, this Regulation should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	(18) This Regulation respects fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and present in the constitutional traditions of the Member States. Accordingly, this Regulation should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Recital	19			
6 31	(19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation.	(19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation.	(19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. Likewise, Council Decision 2008/976/JHA, Framework Decision 2005/214/JHA and Directive 2014/41/EU should have precedence over this Regulation if the unfair trading practice concerned falls under their scope.	(19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. Likewise, Council Decision 2008/976/JHA,  Framework Decision 2005/214/JHA and Directive 2014/41/EU should have precedence over this Regulation if the unfair trading practice concerned falls under their scope.

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Recital 2	0			Text Origin: Council Mandate
G	32	(20) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely cooperation between the enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States because they cannot ensure cooperation and coordination by acting alone, but can rather, by reason of its	(20) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely cooperation between the enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States because they cannot ensure cooperation and coordination by acting alone, but can rather, by reason of its	(20) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely to strengthen the cooperation between the enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices under Directive (EU) 2019/633 in cross border cases, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States because they cannot ensure cooperation and coordination by acting alone, but	(20) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely to strengthen the cooperation between the enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices under Directive (EU) 2019/633 in cross border cases, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States because they cannot ensure cooperation and coordination by acting alone, but
		territorial and personal scope, be better achieved at the Union level,	territorial and personal scope, be better achieved at the Union level,	can rather, by reason of its territorial and personal scope, be	can rather, by reason of its territorial and personal scope, be

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.	the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.	better achieved at the Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.	better achieved at the Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Re	ecital 2	1			
G	33	(21) In order to give the enforcement authorities the time needed to be able to implement the rules laid down in this Regulation,	(21) In order to give the enforcement authorities the time needed to be able to implement the rules laid down in this Regulation,	(21) In order to give the enforcement authorities the time needed to be able to implement the rules laid down in this Regulation,	(21) In order to give the enforcement authorities the time needed to be able to implement the rules laid down in this Regulation,

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		its application should be deferred by 1 year after its entry into force,	its application should be deferred by 1 year after its entry into force,	its application should be deferred by 1 year 18 months after its entry into force,	its application should be deferred by <u>I year 18 months</u> after its entry into force,  Text Origin: Council Mandate
For	mula				
<b>6</b> 3	34	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:  Text Origin: Commission Proposal
СН	APTEF	RI			
6 3	35	CHAPTER I	CHAPTER I	CHAPTER I	CHAPTER I 6

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
		INTRODU	JCTORY PROVISIONS	INTRODU	UCTORY PROVISIONS	INTRODU	JCTORY PROVISIONS	INTROD	UCTORY PROVISIONS
								Text Ori Proposal	gin: Commission
	Article 1								
			Article 1		Article 1		Article 1		Article 1
	26	Subject ma	atter	Subject ma	atter	Subject ma	atter		Subject matter
G	36							Text Ori Proposal	gin: Commission
	Article 1	, first parag	raph						
G	37		lation lays down certain r which the enforcement		ew to combating hat grossly deviate		lation lays down certain or which the enforcement		ew to combating that grossly deviate from

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
authorities, having been designated by their Member States as responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cooperate and coordinate actions with each other.	from good commercial conduct, that are contrary to good faith and fair dealing and that are unilaterally imposed by one trading partner on another, this Regulation lays down certain rules under which the enforcement authorities, having been designated by their Member States as responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cooperate and coordinate actions with each other and with the Commission, in order to ensure	authorities, having been designated by their Member States as responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cooperate and coordinate actions with each other.	good commercial conduct, that are contrary to good faith and fair dealing and that are unilaterally imposed by one trading partner on another, this Regulation lays down certain rules under which the enforcement authorities, having been designated by their Member States as responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cooperate and coordinate actions with each other, in order to ensure the effectiveness of that Directive.
	compliance, to safeguard sound competition and to maintain a		

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		level playing field in the internal market.		Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 2				
	Article 2	Article 2	Article 2	Article 2
5 38	Scope	Scope	Scope	Scope
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	2(1), first subparagraph			
s 39	1. This Regulation applies to the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain laid down in Article 3(1) and	the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply	1. This Regulation applies to the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain laid down in Article 3(1) and	1. This Regulation applies to the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain laid down in Article 3(1) and

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension.	(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension, including when those unfair trading practices originate from operators whose practices have an inherent cross-border component, such as those of retail alliances.	(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension which occur in relation to sales of agricultural and food products between buyers and suppliers referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension which occur in relation to sales of agricultural and food products between buyers and suppliers referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633.  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 2	2(1), second subparagraph			
с 40	However, Article 5 of this Regulation also applies in relation to national rules within the meaning of Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 if the Member State so decides in accordance with paragraph 4 of that Article.	However, Article 5 Articles 5, 6  and 7 of this Regulation also  applies apply in relation to national rules within the meaning of Article  3(1), point (b), and Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 if thein cases involving Member State so	However, Article 5 of this Regulation also applies in relation to national rules adopted on the basis within the meaning of Article 93(1), point (b), third sentence or maintained or adopted on the basis of Article	However, Article 5 Chapter IIIa of this Regulation also applies in relation to periods set on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence or national rules within the meaning maintained or adopted on the basis of Article

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			decides States where those  Member States mutually so decided in accordance with  paragraph 4 of that Article 5(4),  Article 6(3a) and Article 7(4a) of this Regulation.	9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 if the Member State so decides in accordance with paragraph 4 of that Article 5(4) of this Regulation. Article 20a of this Regulation applies in relation to unfair trading practices involving buyers established outside the Union.	99(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 if the Member State so decides in accordance with paragraph 4 of that Article 12a and Article 12b of this Regulation. Chapter IVa of this Regulation applies in relation to unfair trading practices involving suppliers or buyers established outside the Union.  Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 2	(2)			
G	41	2. This Regulation is without prejudice to the Union and national rules on private international law, in particular	2. This Regulation is without prejudice to the Union and national rules on private international law, in particular	2. This Regulation is without prejudice to the Union and national rules on private international law, in particular	2. This Regulation is without prejudice to the Union and national rules on private international law, in particular

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		rules related to court jurisdiction and applicable laws.	rules related to court jurisdiction and applicable laws.	rules related to court jurisdiction and applicable laws.	rules related to court jurisdiction and applicable laws.  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
	Article 2	(3)			
G	42	3. This Regulation is without prejudice to the application in the Member States of measures relating to judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters, in particular the operation of the European Judicial Network established by Council Decision 2008/976/JHA¹.	3. This Regulation is without prejudice to the application in the Member States of measures relating to judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters, in particular the operation of the European Judicial Network established by Council Decision 2008/976/JHA <sup>1</sup> .	3. This Regulation is without prejudice to the application in the Member States of measures relating to judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters, in particular the operation of the European Judicial Network established by Council Decision 2008/976/JHA¹and to the application of Council Framework Decision	3. This Regulation is without prejudice to the application in the Member States of measures relating to judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters, in particular the operation of the European Judicial Network established by Council Decision 2008/976/JHA¹and to the application of Council Framework Decision

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
1. Council Decision 2008/976/JHA	of 16 1. Council Decision 2008/976/JHA of 16	2005/214/JHA <sup>2</sup> and of Directive	2005/214/JHA <sup>2</sup> and of Directive
December 2008 on the European J	licial December 2008 on the European Judicial	2014/41/EU <sup>3</sup> .	<u>2014/41/EU<sup>3</sup>.</u>
Network (OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p	30, Network (OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 130,		
ELI:	ELI:		
http://data.europa.eu/eli/dec/2008/9	6/oj). http://data.europa.eu/eli/dec/2008/976/oj).		
		1. Council Decision 2008/976/JHA of 16	1. Council Decision 2008/976/JHA of 16
		December 2008 on the European Judicial	December 2008 on the European Judicial
		Network (OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 130,	Network (OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 130,
		ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dec/2008/976/oj).	ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dec/2008/976/oj).
		http://data.europa.eu/en/dec/2008/976/0J).	http://data.europa.eu/en/dec/2008/976/0J).
		2. Council Framework Decision	2. Council Framework Decision
		2005/214/JHA of 24 February 2005 on	2005/214/JHA of 24 February 2005 on
		the application of the principle of	the application of the principle of mutual
		mutual recognition to financial	recognition to financial penalties (OJ L
		penalties (OJ L 76, 22/03/2005, p. 16,	76, 22/03/2005, p. 16, ELI:
		ELI:	http://data.europa.eu/eli/dec_framw/2005
		http://data.europa.eu/eli/dec_framw/200	<u>/214/oj)</u>
		5/214/oj)	3. Directive 2014/41/EU of the European
		3. Directive 2014/41/EU of the	Parliament and of the Council of 3 April
		European Parliament and of the	2014 regarding the European
		Council of 3 April 2014 regarding the	Investigation Order in criminal matters

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			European Investigation Order in criminal matters	Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 3				
	Article 3	Article 3	Article 3	Article 3
	Definitions	Definitions	Definitions	Definitions
43				
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 3	, first paragraph		L	
	For the purposes of this			
44	Regulation, the definitions referred to in Article 2 of Directive (EU)	Regulation, the definitions referred to in Article 2 of Directive (EU)	Regulation, the definitions referred to in Article 2 of Directive (EU)	Regulation, the definitions referred to in Article 2 of Directive (EU)
44	2019/633 apply. In addition, the following definitions apply:	2019/633 apply. In addition, the following definitions apply:	2019/633 apply. In addition, the following definitions apply:	2019/633 apply. In addition, the following definitions apply:

	Commission Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec <b>Draft Agreement</b>
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article	e 3, first paragraph, point (a)			
6 45	(a) 'enforcement authority means the national authorities designated a Member State pursuant to Article 4(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;	means the national authority or	(a) 'enforcement authority' means thea national authority or national authorities designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 4(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;	(a) 'enforcement authority' means then national authorities designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 4(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article	e 3, first paragraph, point (b)			

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec <b>Draft Agreement</b>
46	(b) 'applicant enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that makes a request for mutual assistance;	(b) 'applicant enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that makes a request for mutual assistance;	(b) 'applicant enforcement authority' means thean enforcement authority that makes a request for mutual assistance;	(b) 'applicant enforcement authority' means thean enforcement authority that makes a request for mutual assistance;  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 3	, first paragraph, point (c)			
47	(c) 'requested enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that receives a request for mutual assistance;	(c) 'requested enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that receives a request for mutual assistance;	(c) 'requested enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that receives a request for mutual assistance;	(c) 'requested enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that receives a request for mutual assistance;  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
Article 3	, first paragraph, point (d)		l	1

(d) 'unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving one supplier and one buyer that are located in different Member States;  48  (d) 'unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving one supplier and one buyer that are located in at least two different Member States;  Member States or involving one supplier and one buyer that is located within the Union and one supplier or buyer that is located outside the Union, or involving any unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving one supplier and one buyer that are located inestablished in two different Member States;  48  48  48  Member States or involving any unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving one supplier and one buyer that are located inestablished in two different Member States;  Member States;  Text Origin: Council Mandate  Text Origin: Council Mandate  Text Origin: Council Mandate		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Acquition (BO) No ozoravou,	<b>4</b> 8	with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving one supplier and one buyer that are located in different Member	with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving one supplier and one buyer that are located in at least two different Member States or involving one supplier or buyer that is located within the Union and one supplier or buyer that is located within the Union, or involving any unfair trading practice covered by stricter national rules that qualify as overriding mandatory provisions within the	with a cross-border dimension' means anyan unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving one supplier and one buyer that are located inestablished in two different	with a cross-border dimension' means any an unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving one supplier and one buyer that are located inestablished in two different Member States;

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G 49	(e) 'widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving at least three Member States;	(e) 'widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving parties  located in at least three Member States or parties located in at least two Member States and outside the Union;	(e) 'widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means anyan unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633-involving suppliers and buyers established in at least three Member States;	(e) 'widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means anyan unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU)  2019/633-involving suppliers and buyers established in at least three Member States;
Article 3	3, first paragraph, point (f)			
s 50	(f) 'final decision' means a decision that cannot be, or that can no longer be, appealed by ordinary means.	(f) 'final decision' means a decision that cannot be, or that can no longer be, appealed by ordinary means.	(f) 'final decision' means a decision that cannot be, or that can no longer be, appealed by ordinary means.	(f) 'final decision' means a decision that cannot be, or that can no longer be, appealed by ordinary means.

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
								Text Orig	in: Commission
	Article 3	, first para	graph, point (fa)						
G	50a			means and person end of the act on the relation is under Different and the relation in the relation is the relation in the relation in the relation is the relation in the relation in the relation is the relation in the relation in the relation is the relation in the relation in the relation is the relation in the relation in the relation is the relation in the relation in the relation in the relation in the relation is the relation in the rel	EU Responsible Person' ny natural or legal stablished within the ho is designated by a cated outside the Union the buyer's behalf in to the obligations set out irective (EU) 2019/633 Regulation.				G
	Article 3	a							
G	50b			Gene	Article 3a ral principle			<u>G</u>	Article 3a ceneral principle

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Manda	ate vs.ec	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
	Article 3	a, first par	agraph				Text Ori	gin: EP Mandate
G	50c			1 Member States appropriate administra judicial steps to preven the unfair trading prace referred to in Article 3 of Directive (EU) 2019 have a cross-border dir and that are performed or in part on their resp territories.	ntive and nt or stop ctices (1) and (2) 0/633 that mension d in whole		authoritie each othe stop unfa a cross-be territories	he enforcement  s shall cooperate with  r in order to prevent or  ir trading practices with  order dimension on their  gin: EP Mandate
	CHAPTER	RII						
G	51		CHAPTER II	CHAPTER I	п	CHAPTER II		CHAPTER II

		Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		RESOURCES AND EXPERTISE RESOURCES AND EXPERTISE		RESOURCES AND EXPERTISE	RESOURCES AND EXPERTISE
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 4	1			
		Article 4	Article 4	Article 4	Article 4
G	52	Resources and expertise	Resources and expertise	Resources and expertise	Resources and expertise
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 4	1, first paragraph			
G	53	Member States shall ensure that enforcement authorities have the	Member States shall ensure that enforcement authorities have the	Member States shall ensure that enforcement authorities have the	Member States shall ensure that enforcement authorities have the

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	necessary resources and expertise for the application of this Regulation.	necessary resources, including technical, financial and human resources, and expertise necessary for the application of this Regulation and for promoting awareness of the rights and obligations that it lays down, including making available clear, accessible and detailed information about its provisions to persons concerned and providing to them the advisory services needed for its application.	necessary resources and expertise for the application of this Regulation.	necessary resources and expertise for the application of this Regulation and for promoting awareness among buyers and suppliers of the provisions it lays down.  Text origin: Council and EP mandate
Article 4a		<u>Article 4a</u>	Article 4a	Article 4a  Confidentiality of information

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Implementation and confidentiality of information	Confidentiality of information	Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 4	a, first para	ngraph			
G	53b			1 Member States shall ensure that enforcement authorities do not impose any charges on suppliers for submitting a complaint regarding an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.		Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
	Article 4	a, second p	paragraph			
G	53c			2 For the purposes of this Regulation, the enforcement authorities shall have the power to provide one another with	1. For the purposes of this Regulation, the enforcement authorities shall have the power to provide one another with	1. For the purposes of this  Regulation, the enforcement  authorities shall have the power  to provide one another with

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandat	S <b>e</b> VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				information and use in early fact or matter of law including confidential information.	any ma	ation and use in evidence atter of fact or of law, ng confidential ation.	any mat	tion and use in evidence ter of fact or of law, ag confidential tion.
	Article 4	a, third para	graph				Text Or Mandate	igin: Council and EP
G	53d			3 Information exc shall only be used for the for which it was collecte requested enforcement a It shall only be used in e for the purpose of apply Regulation.	shall or description of the state of the sta	Information exchanged ally be used in evidence purpose of applying this tion and in respect of the s-matter for which it was ed by the requested ement authority.	shall on the purp Regulati subject-	Information exchanged ly be used in evidence for cose of applying this ion and in respect of the matter for which it was d by the requested ment authority.

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
							Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 4	a, forth pai	ragraph				
G	53e			provided 2, enforce have due interests persons,	When using information of pursuant to paragraph cement authorities shall be regard to the legitimate of natural or legal including protection of crets and intellectual prights.	3. The information provided pursuant to paragraph 1 shall only be used by the enforcement authorities with due regard to the legitimate interests of a natural person or legal person, including protection of trade secrets and intellectual property rights.	3. The information provided pursuant to paragraph 1 shall only be used by the enforcement authorities with due regard to the legitimate interests of a natural person or legal person, including protection of trade secrets and intellectual property rights.
	Article 4	a, fifth para	agraph				
G	53f				In cases where a nant requests the	4. In cases where a complainant requests the	4. <u>In cases where a</u> complainant requests the

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			protection of information, including the protection of the identity of the complainant, pursuant to Article 5(3) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the enforcement authority receiving the complaint shall ask for the agreement of the complainant to provide the protected information to another enforcement authority.	protection of information pursuant to Article 5(3) of Directive 2019/633, the enforcement authority receiving the complaint shall ask for the agreement of the complainant to provide the protected information to another enforcement authority.	protection of information pursuant to Article 5(3) of Directive 2019/633, the enforcement authority receiving the complaint shall ask for the agreement of the complainant to provide the protected information to another enforcement authority.  Text Origin: Council and EP Mandate
Article 4	1b				
6 53g			Article 4b  EU Responsible Person		
Article 4	1b, first para	agraph			

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
s 53h		I Buyers located outside the Union shall designate an EU Responsible Person before entering into a contractual relation on agricultural and food products within the meaning of Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a supplier located within the Union.		
Artic	le 4b, second paragraph			
6 53i	i	2 The terms of the designation shall constitute the EU Responsible Person's mandate. That mandate shall be valid from the moment that it is accepted in writing by the EU Responsible Person until its expiration or termination.		

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Article 4	b, third pai	ragraph						
6 53j			specifie with the Respon a copy of enforce request	The EU Responsible shall perform the tasks ed in the mandate agreed buyer. The EU sible Person shall provide of the mandate to the ement authority, upon the mandate shall be at least the following				
Article 4	b, third pai	ragraph, point a						
6 53k				to act as the primary f contact for enforcement ities and the Commission;				
Article 4	b, third pai	ragraph, point b						

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mand	ate vs.ec Draft Agreement
g 531			(b) to assume full financial and legal liability for the buyer's compliance with the obligations set out in Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in this Regulation;		G
Article 4	lb, third pa	ragraph, point c			
6 53m			(c) to maintain up-to-date records of the transactions in the Union of the buyer established outside the Union;		G
Article 4	lb, third pa	ragraph, point d			
6 53n			(d) to facilitate investigations, including providing documents, data and testimony to enforcement authorities or the Commission;		G

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Article 4	b, third par	agraph, point e						
6 530			with find penalties framewo	to ensure compliance al decisions, fines or a issued under the ork of Directive (EU) and of this Regulation;				
Article 4	b, third par	agraph, point f						
6 53p			if the but obligation (EU) 200 Regulation ensure the Person control its mand	to terminate the mandate yer acts contrary to its ons set out in Directive 19/633 and in this fon; the buyer shall that the EU Responsible complies with the terms of late in respect of those in required tasks.				

	Commissio CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		An EU Responsible Person who terminates its mandate on the ground referred to in paragraph 3, point (f), shall immediately inform the enforcement authority of the Member State in which it is established of the termination of the mandate and of the reasons therefor.		
Article 4	4b, fourth paragraph			
6 53q		4. When an unfair trading practice within the meaning of Article 3 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 is committed by a buyer established outside the Union, the EU Responsible Person may be held jointly and severally liable		G

	Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec <b>Draft Agreement</b>
		for the fines imposed on the person who designated it.		
СНАРТЕ	RIII			
G 54	CHAPTER III  MUTUAL ASSISTANCE  MECHANISM	CHAPTER III  MUTUAL ASSISTANCE  MECHANISM	CHAPTER III  MUTUAL ASSISTANCE  MECHANISM	CHAPTER III  MUTUAL ASSISTANCE  MECHANISM  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
Article 5	;			
G 55	Article 5 Requests for information	Article 5 Requests for information	Article 5 Requests for information	Article 5  Requests for information

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 5	(1)			
c	56	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, a requested enforcement authority shall, without delay, and within 60 days unless otherwise agreed, provide the applicant enforcement authority with the information requested to establish whether an unfair trading practice in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring.	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, a requested enforcement authority shall, without delay, and within 60 days unless otherwise agreed 45 days from the date of receiving the request, provide the applicant enforcement authority with the information requested to establish whether an unfair trading practice in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority with a cross-border dimension has	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, a requested enforcement authority shall, without delay, and within 6090 days unless otherwise agreed, provide the applicant enforcement authority with the information requested to establish whether an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occuring in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority with a	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, a requested enforcement authority shall, without delay, and within 60 days unless otherwise agreed 90 days, provide the applicant enforcement authority with the information requested to establish whether an unfair trading practice in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring in the
			occurred or is occurring. Where the applicant enforcement	eross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring.	Member State of the applicant enforcement authority. Where the

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		authority and the requested enforcement authority agree to an extension of that period of 45 days, it may be extended by an additional period of 45 days.		applicant enforcement authority and the requested enforcement authority agree to an extension of that period of 90 days, it may be extended by an additional period of 30 days.  Text Origin: Council and EP Mandate
Article	e 5(2)			
s 57	2. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when sending a request for information to the requested enforcement authority, state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, and the	2. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when sending a request for information to the requested enforcement authority, state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, and the	2. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when sending a request for information to Where the requested enforcement authority, state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU)	2. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when sending a request for information to Where the requested enforcement authority, state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU)

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
corresponding provisions of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the purpose of the request, and specify what information is required.	corresponding provisions of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the purpose of the request, and specify what information is required.	2019/633, and the corresponding provisions of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the purpose is not in possession of the request, and specify what information is required requested, the reply referred to in paragraph 1 may be limited to stating the absence of such information. The requested enforcement authority may still decide to collect that information.	2019/633, and the corresponding provisions of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the purpose is not fully in possession of the request, and specify what information requested, the reply referred to in paragraph 1 may contain only partial information or be limited to stating the absence of such information is required indicating the reason for such a reply. The requested enforcement authority may still decide to collect the missing information, in which case it shall inform the applicant enforcement authority of its decision and share the information collected with that authority.

	Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	(40)			Text origin: Council mandate
Article 5	5(3)			
58	3. The information provided shall only be collected by the requested enforcement authority and used by the applicant enforcement authority in accordance with their national law	shall only be collected by the requested enforcement authority and used by the applicant enforcement authority in	3. The information <b>to be</b> provided shall only be collected by the requested enforcement authority and used by the applicant enforcement authority in accordance with their <b>respective</b> national law.	3. The information to be provided shall only be collected by the requested enforcement authority and used by the applicant enforcement authority in accordance with their respective national law.  Text Origin: Council Mandate

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
s 59	4. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national rules within the meaning of Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	4. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national rules within the meaning of Article 3(1), point (b), and Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 that do not qualify as overriding mandatory provisions within the meaning of Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 593/2008.	4. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national rules within the meaning adopted on the basis of Article 93(1), point (b), third sentence or on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	
Article 5	(4), subparagraph 1 a			
6 59a		When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided for in subparagraph 1, it shall cite this Regulation as a legal basis, as	When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in subparagraph 1, it shall:	

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				well as the national law laying down the prohibition of the unfair trading practice concerned. It shall also state the purpose of the request, specify what information is required and describe the unfair trading practice concerned.			
	Article 5	(4), first sul	bparagraph a, point (a)				
G	59b				(a) state this Regulation as legal basis;		G
	Article 5	(4), first sul	bparagraph a, point (b)				
G	59c				(b) indicate the national law laying down the prohibition of the unfair trading practice concerned that goes beyond		G

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
					Directive (EU) 2019/633, and if that national law is based on Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence or Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;		
Article !	5(4), first su	bparagraph a, point (c)					
6 59d					(c) describe the purpose of the request;		
Article !	5(4), first su	bparagraph a, point (d)					
s 59e					(d) describe the unfair trading practice concerned and specify how this goes beyond the Directive (EU) 2019/633;		
Article !	5(4), first su	bparagraph a, point (e)	1				

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
59f			(e) specify what information is required.	
Article 5	(4), second subparagraph			
60	When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in subparagraph 1, the requested enforcement authority may refuse to provide information, indicating the reasons for the refusal.	When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided <u>for</u> in subparagraph 1, the requested enforcement authority may <u>provide only partial information</u> <u>or</u> refuse to provide information. <u>In such case, the requested enforcement authority shall duly justify, indicating</u> the reasons for the <u>incomplete response or for the</u>	When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in subparagraph 1, the requested enforcement authority may refuse to provide information, indicating the reasons for the refusal. In this case, Article 10 shall not apply.	
		refusal in a reasoned and objective reply. That reply shall be sent to the applicant enforcement authority within 45		

EN

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
			days of the submission of its request.				
Article 5	5(4a)						
6 60a			4a In order to increase the efficiency of cross-border cooperation between enforcement authorities in the field of combating unfair commercial practices, a special procedural mechanism shall be established to enable urgent requests submitted by competent authorities in other Member States to be prioritised.				Igin: Council Commission proposal
Article 5	5(4b)						
6 60b			4b The Commission may adopt implementing acts laying				c

	Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		down the special procedural mechanism for the prioritisation of urgent requests provided for in paragraph 4a. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21.		Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 6	;			
	Article 6	Article 6	Article 6	Article 6
6 61	Requests for enforcement measures	Requests for enforcement measures	Requests for enforcement investigative measures	Requests for  enforcementinvestigative measures  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 6	[ G(1)			

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
1. At the request and on behalf of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested enforcement authority shall exercise, in accordance with the national rules of its Member State the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	authority, the requested enforcement authority shall exercise, in accordance with the	1. At the request and on behalf of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested enforcement authority shall exerciseconduct investigative measures, in accordance with the national rules of its Member State, the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and with its national law.	1. At the request and on behalf of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested enforcement authority shall exerciseconduct investigative measures, in accordance with the national rules of its Member State, the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and with its national law, in order to determine whether an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 6	5(2)			
63	2. When a requested enforcement authority exercises the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 at the request and on behalf of an applicant enforcement authority, officials and other accompanying persons authorised or appointed by the applicant enforcement authority shall be permitted to attend and assist the requested enforcement authority, under the supervision of the officials of the requested enforcement authority.	2. When a requested enforcement authority exercises the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b), (c) and (d) and (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 at the request and on behalf of an applicant enforcement authority, officials and other accompanying persons authorised or appointed by the applicant enforcement authority shall be permitted to attend and assist the requested enforcement authority, under the supervision of the officials of the requested enforcement authority.	2. When a requested enforcement authority exercises the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 at the request and on behalf of an applicant enforcement authority, officials and other accompanying persons authorised or appointed by the applicant enforcement authority shall be permitted to attend and assist the requested enforcement authority, upon informing the requested enforcement authority in advance and under the supervision of the officials of the requested enforcement authority.	2. When a requested enforcement authority exercises the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 at the request and on behalf of an applicant enforcement authority, officials and other accompanying persons authorised or appointed by the applicant enforcement authority shall be permitted to attend and assist the requested enforcement authority, upon informing the requested enforcement authority in advance and under the supervision of the officials of the requested enforcement authority.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 6(3)	3)			Text Origin: Council Mandate
e ti a n	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority about the steps and measures taken and the steps and measures that it intends to take.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority <i>without delay</i> about the steps and measures taken and the steps and measures that it intends to take.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority about the steps and measures taken and the steps and measures that it intends to take.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority <i>without delay</i> about the steps and measures taken and the steps and measures that it intends to take.  Text Origin: EP Mandate

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
c	64a		3a. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national rules within the meaning of Article 3(1), point (b), and Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 that do not qualify as overriding mandatory provisions within the meaning of Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 593/2008.		
	Article 7				
	6 65	Article 7	Article 7	Article 7	Article 7
		Requests for the enforcement of decisions imposing fines or other	Requests for the enforcement of decisions imposing fines or other	Requests for the enforcement of decisions imposing fines or other	Requests for the enforcement of decisions imposing fines or other

		Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		equally effective penalties and interim measures	equally effective penalties and interim measures	equally effective penalties and interim measures	equally effective penalties and interim measures  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
	Article 7	7(1)			
G	66	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested authority shall enforce, in accordance with its national law, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance with Article 6(1), first subparagraph, point (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested authority shall enforce, <i>without delay and</i> in accordance with its national law, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance with Article 6(1), first subparagraph, point (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 <i>by the</i>	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested <b>enforcement</b> authority shall-enforce, in accordance with its national law, <b>enforce or initiate proceedings for the enforcement of</b> final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance with Article 6(1), first	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested enforcement authority shall enforce, in accordance with its national law, enforce or initiate, without delay, the proceedings for the enforcement of final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.	subparagraph, point (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 by the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.	with Article 6(1), first subparagraph, point (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 by the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 7	7(1a)				
6 66a			1a. Fines imposed in the framework of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and of this Regulation on buyers established outside the Union may be enforceable against their EU Responsible Persons.		
Article 7	7(2)				

Commissio CLEAN Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec C	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
2. Paragraph 1 shall only to the extent that, a made reasonable efforts territory, the applicant enforcement authority has ascertained that the buye which the fine and the or penalties and interim me enforceable does not have sufficient assets in the terits Member State.	only to the inits own inits own its own its own its own its own as enforced ascertainther assures are penaltic inits own its o	Paragraph 1 shall apply the extent that, after made reasonable efforts in territory, the applicant ment authority has ned that the buyer against me fine and the other is and interim measures are precable does not have that assets in the territory of tember State of the interforcement authority.	only to the ex made reasonal territory, the an enforcement ascertained the which the fine equally effect interim meason does not have	authority has nat the buyer against e and the other etive penalties and ures are enforceable e sufficient assets in of itsthe Member	only to a having is own enforced ascertain which the equally interim does not the terrificate of enforced.	Paragraph 1 shall apply the extent that, after made reasonable efforts in territory, the applicant ment authority has ned that the buyer against he fine and the other effective penalties and measures are enforceable thave sufficient assets in tory of itsthe Member The applicant ment authority.

	Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
₅ 67a		2a. This Regulation shall be without prejudice to national rules laying down sanctions and penalties for engaging in unfair trading practices, as provided for in Directive (EU) 2019/633.		Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 7	(3)			
s 68	3. The applicant enforcement authority may request only the enforcement of a final decision.	3. The applicant enforcement authority may request only the enforcement of a final decision.	3. The applicant enforcement authority may request only the enforcement of a final decision.	3. The applicant enforcement authority may request only the enforcement of a final decision.  Text Origin: Commission
Article 7	(4)			Proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
s 69	4. Questions regarding limitation periods for the enforcement of fines, other equally effective penalties and interim measures shall be governed by the national law of the Member State of the requested authority.	4. Questions regarding limitation periods for the enforcement of fines, other equally effective penalties and interim measures shall be governed by the national law of the Member State of the requested <i>enforcement</i> authority.	4. Questions regarding limitation periods for the enforcement of fines, other equally effective penalties and interim measures shall be governed by the national law of the Member State of the requested <b>enforcement</b> authority.	4. Questions regarding limitation periods for the enforcement of fines, other equally effective penalties and interim measures shall be governed by the national law of the Member State of the requested <i>enforcement</i> authority.
Article 7	7(4a)			
6 69a		4a. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national		6-

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		rules within the meaning of  Article 3(1), point (b), and Article  9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 that do not qualify as overriding mandatory provisions within the meaning of Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 593/2008.		
Article 7	'a			
		Article 7a  Costs	Article 7a	Article 7a  Costs
69b		Costs	Custs	Text Origin: Council and EP Mandate
Article 7	/a(1a)			

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	69c			1 Member States shall waive all claims for the reimbursement of costs incurred in applying this Regulation, with the exception of measures taken pursuant to Articles 5, 6 and 7.	1. In relation to measures taken pursuant to Article 5 or 6, when requested by the requested enforcement authority, the applicant enforcement authority shall bear all reasonable additional costs in full, including translation, labour and administrative costs, arising from the request.	1. Enforcement authorities shall not impose any fees on suppliers to recover costs related to the cross-border dimension of an unfair trading practice.
	Article 7	a, second p	paragraph			
G	69d					2. The enforcement authorities shall waive all claims between them for the reimbursement of costs incurred in applying this Regulation, with the exception of the costs they incur as requested enforcement

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
							pursuant or 12b as paragrap	to Articles 5, 6, 7, 12a s referred to in this 3 and 4 of this Article
Article 7	7a(2)							
6 69e			taken pur. 6, the requauthority Member S enforcement and losses labour an	relation to measures suant to Articles 5 and wested enforcement may recover from the State of the applicant ent authority any costs s, including translation, d administrative costs, om measures that have	taken pu when req enforcem applicant shall bea additiona	n relation to measures rsuant to Article 5 or 6, quested by the requested nent authority, the t enforcement authority r all reasonable al costs in full, including on, labour and	taken put 12a or 12 enforcem request to authority additional including	in relation to measures rsuant to Article 5, 6,  2b the requested nent authority may the applicant enforcement of to bear reasonable al costs in full or in part, g translation, labour and rative costs, arising from

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			been dismissed and held to be unfounded in a final decision by a court, as far as the substance of the infringement is concerned.	administrative costs, arising from the request.	the request. In such case, the applicant enforcement authority shall fulfil the request.
Article 7	a(3)				Text Origin: EP Mandate
6 69f			3 The requested enforcement authority shall recover the amounts due in the currency of its Member State, in accordance with its national law.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall recover the amounts due in the currency of its Member State, in accordance with its national law.	4. The requested enforcement authority may recover the full costs incurred in relation to measures taken pursuant to Article 7 from the fine payments collected on behalf
					of the applicant enforcement authority, including translation, labour and administrative costs. Only if the fine amount does not cover the reasonable additional

EN

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
							costs incurred, or the requested enforcement authority is unsuccessful in collecting the fines, provided that it has made all reasonable efforts to collect them, it may request the applicant authority to bear the costs incurred in full or in part. In such case, the applicant enforcement authority shall fulfil the request.  Text Origin: Council and EP Mandate
Article 7	'a(4)						
6 69g				n relation to measures		he requested ent authority may	5. The requested enforcement authority shall

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			requested enforcement authority may recover the full costs incurred from the fines collected on behalf of the applicant enforcement authority, including translation, labour and administrative costs.	recover the full costs incurred in relation to measures taken pursuant to Article 7 from the fine payments collected on behalf of the applicant enforcement authority, including translation, labour and administrative costs. If the requested enforcement authority is unsuccessful in collecting the fines, it may request the applicant authority to bear the costs incurred.	recover the amounts due in the currency of its Member State, in accordance with its national law.  Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article	7a(5)				
6 69h			5 If necessary, the requested enforcement authority shall, in accordance with its national law, convert the fines	4. The requested enforcement authority shall, if necessary, in accordance with its national law, convert the fines	6. The requested enforcement authority shall, if necessary, in accordance with its national law, convert the fines

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		into the currency of its Member State at the rate of exchange applying on the date on which the fines were imposed.	into the currency of its Member State at the rate of exchange applying on the date on which the fines were imposed.	into the currency of its Member State at the rate of exchange applying on the date on which the fines were imposed  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 8				
	Article 8	Article 8	Article 8	Article 8
g 70	Notification mechanism	Notification mechanism and alert system	Notification mechanism	Notification mechanism
				Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
Article 8	s, first paragraph			

An enforcement authority shall notify all other enforcement authorities within 1 month after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its Member State.  71  An enforcement authority shall notify all other enforcement authorities within 1 month after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its Member State.  An enforcement authority shall notify all other enforcement authorities within 1 month after authorities within 1 month after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its Member State.  An enforcement authority shall notify all other enforcement authorities within 1 month 30 days after adopting a decision establishing trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its Member State.  Member State.  71  An enforcement authority shall notify all other enforcement authorities within 1 month 30 days after adopting a decision establishing trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its Member State.  Member State.  Member State.  Fext Origin: Council Mandate for managing the network in order to authorities within 1 month 30 days after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its Member State.		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
and identify patterns of abuse.	71	notify all other enforcement authorities within 1 month after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border	shall notify all other enforcement authorities within 1 month after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of A system for notifying alerts that an unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension in its might be taking place is hereby established as a network. The Commission and the enforcement authorities shall each designate a single point of contact, which shall be a member State of the network. The Commission shall be responsible for managing the network in order to aggregate complaints	notify all other enforcement authorities within 1 month30 days after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its	notify the Commission and all other enforcement authorities within 1-month 30 days after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its Member State.

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	71a			An enforcement authority shall notify the Commission and all other enforcement authorities within 30 days using the system laid down in paragraph 1 that an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension might be taking place in its Member State, regardless of whether it is taking place only within the Union or is taking place both within the Union and in one or more third countries.		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
	Article 8	(1b)				
G	71b			1b The enforcement authority shall, when issuing an alert referred to in paragraph 1, provide information about the		G

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			suspected unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension covered by this Regulation, including the following:		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 8	(1b), point	a			
6 71c			(a) a detailed description of the unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law;		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 8	(1b), point	b			
6 71d			(b) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;		

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 8	3(1b), third indent			
s 71e		(c) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 8	B(1b), fourth indent			
s 71f		(d) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension and their dates		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				and duration, as well as the status thereof;				
	Article 8	(1b), fifth i	ndent					
G	71g			(e) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.				gin: Council Commission proposal
	Article 8	(1c)						
G	71h			Where a member of the network has information that an unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension might be taking place, this information shall be notified to the Commission through the alert system within				gin: Council Commission proposal

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
			30 days. The Commission shall transmit this information immediately to the members of the network. The Commission may supplement the notification with any information that is likely to facilitate rapid, appropriate action by enforcement authorities.				
Articl	e 8(1d)						
s 71i			The enforcement authority may, when issuing an alert, request enforcement authorities in other Member States to verify whether, based on information that is available or accessible to the relevant enforcement authorities, the same unfair trading practices				gin: Council Commission proposal

with a cross-border dimension might be taking place in the territory of those other Member States or whether any proceedings are pending or any enforcement measures have already been taken against those unfair trading practices in those Member States. The enforcement	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
authorities in those other  Member States shall inform the Commission without delay of the action implemented or the measures taken following receipt of the notifications transmitted under the alert system. The Commission shall transmit that information without delay to the members of the network.			might be taking place in the territory of those other Member States or whether any proceedings are pending or any enforcement measures have already been taken against those unfair trading practices in those Member States. The enforcement authorities in those other Member States shall inform the Commission without delay of the action implemented or the measures taken following receipt of the notifications transmitted under the alert system. The Commission shall transmit that information without delay to the				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec <b>Draft Agreement</b>
Article 8	(1e), first p	paragraph			
₃ 71j			Participation in the alert system may be opened to third countries or international organisations, on the basis of agreements between the Union and those countries or international organisations.		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 8	(1e), secon	nd paragraph			
71k			For the purposes of the first subparagraph, the Commission shall ensure that third countries and international organisations do not have direct access to the identity of natural persons.		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 9					

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6	72	Article 9  Procedure for requests for mutual assistance	Article 9  Procedure for requests for mutual assistance	Article 9  Procedure for requests for mutual assistance	Article 9  Procedure for requests for mutual assistance  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
G	73	1. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when making a request for mutual assistance, provide any relevant information necessary to enable the requested enforcement authority to fulfil that request, including any information that can be obtained only in the	1. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when making a request for mutual assistance, cite this Regulation as a basis, state the national law laying down the prohibition of the unfair trading practice concerned and the purpose of the request, specify the information required under	1. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when making a request for mutual assistance:  (a) state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, the corresponding provisions of Article 1(2) and Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive	1. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when making a request for mutual assistance, provide any relevant information necessary to enable the requested enforcement authority to fulfil that request, including any information that can be obtained only in the

Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.  Article 5(1) or the enforcement  measures requested under Article  6 or 7, describe the unfair trading  practice concerned and provide  any relevant information necessary  to enable the requested  enforcement authority to fulfil that	dimension of the alleged unfair trading practice, and specify the information requested under  Text Origin: Council Mandate
request, including any information that can be obtained only in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.	measures requested under Articles 6 or 7;  (b) provide any additional relevant information necessary to enable the requested enforcement authority to fulfil that request, including any information that can be obtained only in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 73a							(a) state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, the corresponding provisions of Article 1(2) and Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the purpose of the request, including a description of the cross-border dimension of the alleged unfair trading practice, and specify the information requested under Article 5(1) or the enforcement measures requested under Articles 6 or 7;
Article	9(1), point (	(b)					
<sub>6</sub> 73b							(b) provide any additional relevant information necessary to

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				enable the requested enforcement authority to fulfil that request, including any information that can be obtained only in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.
Article	9(2)			
s 74	2. Requests for mutual assistance and all communications linked to them shall be made in writing using standard forms.	2. Requests for mutual assistance and all communications linked to them shall be made in writing using standard forms <i>laid</i> down by the Commission in accordance with Article 12.	2. Requests for mutual assistance and all communications linked to them shall be made in writing using Standard forms for the requests for mutual assistance shall be used when they have been laid down by the Commission in accordance with Article 20b.	2. Requests for mutual assistance and all communications linked to them shall be made in writing using. Standard forms for the requests for mutual assistance shall be used when they have been laid down by the Commission in accordance with Article 20b.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	10			
	Article 10	Article 10	Article 10	Article 10
<sub>6</sub> 75	Refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance	Refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance	Refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance	Refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance  Text Origin: Commission
Article 1	10(1)			Proposal
s 76	1. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for information under Article 5 only if one or both	1. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for information under Article 55(1) in accordance	1. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for information under Article 55(1) only if one or	1. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for information under Article 55(1) only if one or
	of the following applies:	with Article 4a, only if at least	both of the following applies:	both of the following applies:

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 1	0(1), point (a)	one or both of the following applies:		Text Origin: Council Mandate
g 77	(a) following a consultation with the applicant enforcement authority, the information requested is not needed by the applicant enforcement authority to establish whether an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring;	(a) following a consultation with the applicant enforcement authority, both enforcement authorities agree that the information requested is not needed by the applicant enforcement authority to establish whether an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring;	(a) following a consultation with the applicant enforcement authority, both enforcement authorities agree that the information requested is not needed by the applicant enforcement authority to establish whether an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurringor that a new request may be made at a later stage;	(a) following a consultation with the applicant enforcement authority, both enforcement authorities agree that the information requested is not needed by the applicant enforcement authority to establish whether an unfair trading practice with a cross border dimension has occurred or is occurring or that a new request may be made at a later stage;

	Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement				
				Text Origin: Council Mandate				
Article 1	Article 10(1), point (b)							
s 78	(b) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority or of the applicant enforcement authority.	(b) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice towards the same supplier and in the same period before the authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority or of the applicant enforcement authority.	(b) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority or of the applicant enforcement authority.	(b) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice towards the same supplier and during the same period of the unfair trading practice covered by these criminal investigations or judicial proceedings, before the authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority or of the applicant enforcement authority.				

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 1	.0(2)	,		
6 79	2. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Articles 6 and 7 only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, one or both of the following applies:	2. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Articles 6 and 7Article 6 in accordance with Article 4a, only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority and the Commission, at least one or both of the following applies:	2. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Articles 6 and 7  Article 6 only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, at least one or both of the following applies:	2. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Articles 6 and 7Article 6 only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, at least one or both of the following applies:  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	.0(2), point (a)			
s 80	(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already	(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already	(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already	(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
	ed, a judgment has		ted, <u>or</u> a judgment has		ited, or a judgment has		ated, <u>or</u> a judgment has
	or a court settlement ached in respect of the	•	against the same	_	against the same	_	n <u>against the same</u> respect of the same
	trade practice before		ling practice towards	•	ding practice, or a		ding practice towards
the judicial	authorities in the	the same s	upplier and in the same	court settle	ement has been reached	the same	supplier, and during th
Member Sta	ate of the requested	<i>period</i> , or	a court settlement has	with the s	ame buyer in respect of	same per	<u>iod of the unfair tradin</u>
enforcemen	t authority;	been reach	ed with the same buyer	the same u	ınfair <del>trade</del> trading	practice o	overed by these crimin
		•	of the same unfair	•	efore the judicial		tions or judicial
			g practice before the		s in the Member State of		gs, or a court settlemen
		3	thorities in the Member	•	ted enforcement		reached with the same
		authority;	e requested enforcement	authority;			respect of the same unfaing practice before the
		aumority,					uthorities in the Membe
							ne requested enforceme
						authority;	•
						Text Ori	gin: EP Mandate

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec <b>Draft Agreement</b>
g 81	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted in respect of the same intra-Union infringement and against the same trader in the Member State of the requested authority in order to bring about the swift and effective cessation of the same unfair trade practice;	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted against the same buyer in respect of the same intra-Union infringement and against unfair trading practice towards the same tradersupplier in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority in order to bring about the swift and effective cessation of the same unfair tradetrading practice;	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers including administrative proceedings has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted against the same buyer in respect of the same intra-Union infringement and against the same traderunfair trading practice in the Member State of the requested authority in order to bring about the swift and effective cessation of the same unfair tradetrading practice;	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers including administrative proceedings has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted against the same buyer in respect of the same intra Union infringement and against unfair trading practice towards the same trader supplier, and during the same period of the unfair trading practice covered by the investigations or the administrative decision in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority in order to bring about the swift and effective

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					cessation of the same unfair  tradetrading practice;  Text Origin: EP Mandate
Ar	ticle 1	0(2), point (c)			
G	82	(c) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated as regards the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trade practice before the judicial authorities of the applicant;	(c) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated as regards against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair tradetrading practice towards the same supplier before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority;	(c) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated as regardsagainst the same buyer in respect of the same unfair tradetrading practice before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority;	(c) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated as regards against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair tradetrading practice towards the same supplier, and during the same period of the unfair trading practice covered by the criminal investigation or judicial proceedings before the judicial authorities in the Member

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
							State of the applicant enforcement authority;
							Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 1	0(2), point	(ca)				
G	82a					enforcement authority issues reasonable doubts whether the requested enforcement measures are in compliance with Directive (EU) 2019/633 or can show that the request concerns national rules adopted on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence of Directive (EU) 2019/633 or maintained or	(ca) the requested enforcement authority can demonstrate that the requested enforcement measures are not provided in Article 6(1) points (a), (b), (c) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, or can show that the request concerns periods set on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence of Directive (EU) 2019/633 or national rules maintained or adopted on the

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					adopted on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;	basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633; text origin: Council mandate
Article 1	0(2), point (ck	) )				
6 82b					(cb) the requested enforcement authority cannot:	(cb) the requested enforcement authority cannot:  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	0(2), point (cb	b)(i)				
6 82c					(i) ensure the appropriate protection of the relevant information in accordance with Article 5(3) of Directive	(i) ensure the appropriate protection of the relevant information in accordance with Article 5(3) of Directive 2019/633

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			2019/633 that has been provided pursuant to Article 4a(4) of this Regulation and on the protection of which the complainant insists, or	that has been provided pursuant to Article 4a(4) of this Regulation and on the protection of which the complainant insists, or  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	0(2), point (cb)(ii)			
6 82d			(ii) fulfil the request without having access to the information that the complainant did not agree to provide pursuant to Article 4a(4) of this Regulation;	(ii) fulfil the request without having access to the information that the complainant did not agree to provide pursuant to Article 4a(4) of this Regulation;  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	.0(2), point (d)			

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	83	(d) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 5.	(d) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary <i>to act</i> on a complaint in accordance with Article 5.	(d) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 59.	(d) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 59.
	Article 1	0(2a)			
G	83a			2a. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Article 7 only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, at least one of the following applies:	2a. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Article 7 only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, at least one of the following applies:

LIFE.1

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 10	0(2a), point (a)			
s 83b			(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated or a judgment has been given against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice, or a court settlement has been reached with the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority;	(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated or a judgment has been given against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice, or a court settlement has been reached with the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority;

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 1	0(2a), poin	t (b)				
83c					(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers including administrative proceedings has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice in the Member State of the requested authority in order to bring about the swift and effective cessation of the same unfair trading practice;	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers including administrative proceedings has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice in the Member State of the requested authority in order to bring about the swift and effective cessation of the same unfair trading practice;  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	0(2a), poin	nt (c)	•		,	,

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	83d					(c) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority;	(c) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority;
	Article 1	0(2a), poin	t (d)				
G	83e					(d) the requested enforcement authority issues reasonable doubts whether the final decision is in compliance with Directive (EU) 2019/633 or can show that the final decision	(d) the requested enforcement authority can demonstrate that the final decision concerns periods set on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence of Directive (EU)

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
						concerns national rules adopted on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence of Directive (EU) 2019/633 or maintained or adopted on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, or could not have been taken or cannot be enforced in compliance with its national law;	2019/633 or national rules  maintained or adopted on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, or could not have been taken or cannot be enforced in compliance with its national law;  Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 1	0(2a), poin	t (e)				
G	83f					(e) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 9.	(e) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 9.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 1	0(3)			
s 84	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority of any refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance, together with the reasons for that refusal.	and the Commission of any refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance, together with the reasons for that refusal.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority of any refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance, together with the reasons for that refusal.	a. The requested enforcement authority shall inform without delay the applicant enforcement authority of any refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance, together with the reasons for that refusal.  Text Origin: EP and Council Mandate
Article 1	1			
s 85	Article 11 Language arrangements	Article 11 Language arrangements	Article 11  Language arrangements	Article 11  Language arrangements

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission
	Article 1	11(1)			Proposal
G	86	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for requests, notifications and all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the mutual assistance mechanism, shall be agreed upon with the enforcement authorities concerned.	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for requests, notifications and all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the mutual assistance mechanism, shall be agreed upon with the enforcement authorities concerned.	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for requests, notifications and all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the mutual assistance mechanism, shall be agreed upon with the enforcement authorities concerned.	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for requests, notifications and all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the mutual assistance mechanism, shall be agreed upon with the enforcement authorities concerned.
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Article 1	11(2)							
6 87	reached be authoritied mutual assemble official language, languages	f no agreement can be between the enforcement is concerned, requests for esistance shall be sent in al language, or one of the enguages, of the Member the applicant enforcement and replies in the official is, of the Member State of ested enforcement.	authori mutual the off official State of authori courted and rep langual langua the req authori	If no agreement can be d between the enforcement ties concerned, requests for assistance shall be sent in icial language, or one of the languages, of the Member of the applicant enforcement ty, accompanied by a sy translation in English, olies in the official ges, or one of the official ges, of the Member State of uested enforcement ty, accompanied by a sy translation in English.	reached authorit mutual the official State of authorit languag languag	If no agreement can be between the enforcement ties concerned, requests for assistance shall be sent in cial language, or one of the languages, of the Member of the applicant enforcement try and replies in the official ge, or one of the Member State of the Member State of the Member State of the Member State of the	authorismutual the official State of authorismos official official State of authorismos authorismos authorismos official State of authorismos official state of authorismos official official state of authorismos official official state of authorismos of official official official state of authorismos of official official state of authorismos of official	If no agreement can be between the enforcement ties concerned, requests for assistance shall be sent in cial language, or one of the languages, of the Member of the applicant enforcement try, accompanied by a sy translation in English, if and and replies in the languages, of the Member of the requested enforcement try, accompanied by a sy translation in English, if the requested enforcement try, accompanied by a sy translation in English, if the reduction in English, if the sed.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 1	2			
	Article 12	Article 12	Article 12	
s 88	Implementing powers	Implementing powers	Implementing powers	
			This article is now article 20b	
Article 1	2, first paragraph			
	The Commission may adopt	By [6 months from the entry	The Commission may adopt	
	implementing acts laying down standard forms for the requests of	into force of this Regulation], the Commission mayshall adopt	implementing acts laying down standard forms for the requests of	
s 89	mutual assistance under Article	implementing acts laying down	mutual assistance under Article	
	9(2).	standard forms for the requests of	<del>9(2).</del>	
		mutual assistance under Article  9(2).:		
		<del>₹(2).</del>		

LIFE.1

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Article 1	2, first para	agraph, point a						
s 89a			requests o	lard forms for the of mutual assistance ticle 9(2);				
Article 1	2, first para	agraph, point b	•					
89b			implement particular and stand applicable and the transition notification	neasures for nting Article 8, in r the specific conditions lard procedures to the issuing of alerts ransmission of ons, communications mation among ent authorities.				
Article 1	2, second բ	paragraph	•		1			

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
g 90	The implementing acts referred to in the first paragraph shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21.	The implementing acts referred to in <i>the first paragraphthis Article</i> shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21.	The implementing acts referred to in the first paragraph shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21.	
Chapter	r IIIa			
6 90a				Chapter IIIa  VOLUNTARY COOPERATION
Article 1	12a			
s 90b				Article 12a  Requests for information in relation to national rules
Article 1	12a(1)			

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 90c							1. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in Article 5 in relation to periods set on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence or national rules maintained or adopted on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633.
Article	e 12a(2)						
6 90d							2. When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of this possibility, the requested enforcement authority may provide only partial information or refuse to provide information. The requested enforcement

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
								authority shall indicate the reasons for the partial reply or for the refusal. In this case Article 10 shall not apply.
	Article 1	2b						
G	90e							Article 12b  Requests for investigative measures in relation to national rules
	Article 1	2b(1)						
G	90f							1. When a Member State has set periods on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence or maintained or adopted national rules on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
								the same operators unfair tra periods se State on t point (b), national t State mai the basis Directive enforcem agree to n possibiliti 6(1) in re- unfair tra	9/633, that provide for threshold for the or the same type of ading practices as the et by another Member the basis of Article 3(1), third sentence or as the rules of another Member intained or adopted on of Article 9(1) of (EU) 2019/633, their ent authorities may make use of the less referred to in Article lation to one or more ading practices under ional rules.
A	Article 1	2b(2)							

138

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	90g							2. When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of this possibility the requested enforcement authority may refuse to conduct investigative measures, without indicating the reasons for the refusal. In this case, Article 10 shall not apply.
	Article 1	2c						
G	90h							Article 12c  Procedure for request
	Article 1	2c, first pa	ragraph					
G	90i							When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in Article 12a

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
								and 12b, it shall send a request to the requested enforcement authority which:
	Article 1	2c, first par	agraph, point (a)					
G	90j							(a) states this Regulation as legal basis;
	Article 1	2c, first par	agraph, point (b)					
G	90k							(b) indicates the national law laying down the prohibition of the unfair trading practice concerned that goes beyond Directive (EU) 2019/633, and if that national law is based on Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence or Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 1	2c, first par	ragraph, point (c)					
g 901							(c) describes the purpose of the request;
Article 1	2c, first par	ragraph, point (d)					
6 90m							(d) describes the unfair trading practice concerned and specify how this goes beyond the Directive (EU) 2019/633;
Article 1	2c, first par	agraph, point (e)					
6 90n							(e) specifies what information or which investigative measure is requested.
СНАРТЕ	R IV						

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		CHAPTER IV	CHAPTER IV	CHAPTER IV	CHAPTER IV
G	91	INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS FOR WIDESPREAD UNFAIR TRADING PRACTICES WITH A CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION	INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS FOR WIDESPREAD UNFAIR TRADING PRACTICES WITH A CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION	INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS FOR WIDESPREAD UNFAIR TRADING PRACTICES WITH A CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION	INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS FOR WIDESPREAD UNFAIR TRADING PRACTICES WITH A CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION  Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	3			
G	92	Article 13  Launch of a coordinated action and designation of the coordinator	Article 13  Launch of a coordinated action and designation of the coordinator	Article 13  Launch of a coordinated action and designation of the coordinator	Article 13  Launch of a coordinated action and designation of the coordinator

CI	Commission  Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec <b>Draft Agreement</b>
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 13(1)				
ma tra boo aut 93 pra act agg lau sha	Where there is a assonable suspicion that there ay be a widespread unfair ading practice with a crossorder dimension, the enforcement thorities concerned by that actice shall launch a coordinated tion which shall be based on an reement between them. The anch of the coordinated action all be notified to the emmission without delay.	1. Where there is a reasonable suspicion that there may be a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, the enforcement authorities concerned by that practice shall launch a coordinated action which shall be based on an agreement between them. The launch of the coordinated action shall be notified to the Commission without delay.	1. Where there is a reasonable suspicion that there may be a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, the enforcement authorities concerned by that practice shall launch a coordinated action which shall be based on an agreement between them. The launch of the coordinated action shall be notified to the Commission without delay.	1. Where there is a reasonable suspicion that there may be a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, the enforcement authorities concerned by that practice shall launch a coordinated action which shall be based on an agreement between them. The launch of the coordinated action shall be notified to the Commission without delay.

EN

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec <b>Council Mandate</b>	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	3(2)			
s 94	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall designate an enforcement authority to be the coordinator.	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall designate, by common agreement, an enforcement authority to be the coordinator. If those enforcement authorities are unable to reach an agreement on that designation, the enforcement authority that has issued the alert pursuant to Article 19 shall be the coordinator. That enforcement authority shall be assisted in the exercise of its powers by the other	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall designate an enforcement authority to be the coordinator.  If those enforcement authorities are unable to reach an agreement on that designation, the enforcement authority that has issued the alert pursuant to Article 19 shall be the coordinator.	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall designate an enforcement authority to be the coordinator. In order to reach an agreement in the designation of the coordinator, the Commission may, when necessary, facilitate the discussions among the enforcement authorities. If those enforcement authorities are unable to reach an agreement on that designation, the enforcement

	Commissio Proposal	on VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		concern Commis efficient enforces safegua	ment authorities  ed and by the  esion, in order to facilitate  t cooperation among  ment authorities,  rd fair competition and  vulnerable suppliers.		authority that has issued the alert pursuant to Article 19 shall be the coordinator.  Text origin: EP and Council mandate
Article	13(3)				
s 95	3. The enforcement authorities concerned by widespread unfair trading with a cross-border dimes shall conduct investigation basis of information that available to them. They notify the results of such investigations to the other.	authoriting practice widesprension with a coordinate was a coordinate with a coordin	The enforcement ies concerned by the ead unfair trading practice ross-border dimension induct investigations on the information that is e to them. They The attor shall notify the results investigations to the other	3. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall conduct investigations on the basis of information that is available to them. They shall notify the results of such investigations to the other	3. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall conduct investigations on the basis of information that is available to them. They shall notify the results of such investigations to the other

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	enforcement authorities, pursuant to Article 19.	enforcement authorities, pursuant to Article 19.	enforcement authorities, pursuant to Article 19.	enforcement authorities concerned, pursuant to Article 19.
Article 1	13(4)  4. An enforcement authority	4. An enforcement authority	4. An enforcement authority	Text Origin: Council Mandate  4. An enforcement authority
96	shall join the coordinated action if it becomes apparent during that coordinated action that the enforcement authority is concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	shall join the coordinated action if it becomes apparent during that coordinated action that the enforcement authority is concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	shall join the coordinated action if it becomes apparent during that coordinated action that the enforcement authority is concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	shall join the coordinated action if it becomes apparent during that coordinated action that the enforcement authority is concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 1	.3(5)			
s 97	5. In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a crossborder dimension all elements shall be taken into account and in particular:	5. In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a crossborder dimension all elements shall be taken into account and in particular:	5. In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a crossborder dimension all elements shall be taken into account and in particular:	5. In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension all elements shall be taken into account and in particular:  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
Article 1	3(5), point (a)			
s 98	a) the Member States where the buyers are established;	a) the Member States or the third country where the buyers are established;	a) the Member States where the buyers are established;	a) the Member States where the buyers are established;

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council Mandate/Commission proposal
Article 3	13(5), point (b)			
s 99	b) the Member States where the suppliers that may be affected by the unfair trading practice are established.	b) the Member States where the suppliers that may be affected by the unfair trading practice are established.	b) the Member States where the suppliers that may be affected by the unfair trading practice are established.	b) the Member States where the suppliers that may be affected by the unfair trading practice are established.  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
Article 1	14			
s 100	Article 14  Reasons for refusing to take part in the coordinated action	Article 14  Reasons for refusing to take part in the coordinated action	Article 14  Reasons for refusing to take part in the coordinated action	Article 14  Reasons for refusing to take part in the coordinated action

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	4(1)			
G	101	1. An enforcement authority may refuse to take part in a coordinated action only if any of the following applies:	1. An enforcement authority may refuse to take part in a coordinated action only if any of the following applies:	1. An enforcement authority may refuse to take part in a coordinated action only if any of the following applies:	1. An enforcement authority may refuse to take part in a coordinated action only if any of the following applies:  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
	Article 1	4(1), point (a)			
G	102	(a) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated, a judgment has	(a) a criminal investigation, administrative or judicial proceedings have already been	(a) a criminal investigation-or, judicial proceedings or administrative proceedings have	(a) a criminal investigation, or judicial <i>or administrative</i> proceedings have already been

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
	has been rea same buyer same unfair	or a court settlement ached in respect of the and concerning the trading practice in that authority's Member	given, or a been reach same buyer concerning practice to supplier of same periods.	a judgment has been a court settlement has hed in respect of the er or buyers and g the same unfair trading owards the same or suppliers and in the fod in that enforcement s Member State;	has been settlement respect of buyers at unfair tra	een initiated, a judgment given, or a court at has been reached in f the same buyer or and concerning the same ding practicepractices in rement authority's State;	given, or been read same buy concerning practice is supplier, period of practice investigate administration of the state;	a judgment has been a court settlement has ched in respect of the ver or buyers and ing the same unfair trading towards the same and during the same fithe unfair trading covered by this criminal tion, or judicial, or rative proceedings in that ment authority's Member
Article 1	4(1), point (b	)						

Commission Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
(b) the enforcement authors already initiated investigned before the issuing of an alert referred to in Article 19, or a administrative decision has adopted against the same but respect of the same unfair the practice in that enforcement authority's Member State to about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading provided with a cross-border dimension.	has already initiated investigations before the issuing of an alert referred to in Article 19, or an administrative decision has been adopted against the same buyer or ading buyers in respect of the same unfair trading practice towards the bring same supplier or suppliers and in the same period in that enforcement authority's Member	(b) the enforcement authority has already initiated investigations before the issuing of an alert referred to in Article 19, or an administrative decision has been adopted against the same buyer or buyers in respect of the same unfair trading practicepractices in that enforcement authority's Member State to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(b) the enforcement authority has already initiated investigations before the issuing of an alert referred to in Article 19, or an administrative decision has been adopted against the same buyer or buyers in respect of the same unfair trading practice towards the same supplier, and during the same period of the unfair trading practice covered by the investigations or the administrative decision in that enforcement authority's Member State to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;

CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			Text Origin: EP Mandate
ticle 14(1), point (c)			
(c) the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has not occurred in that enforcement authority's Member State and therefore no enforcement measures need to be adopted by that enforcement authority.	(c) the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has not occurred in that enforcement authority's Member State and therefore no enforcement measures need to be adopted by that enforcement authority.	(c) the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has not occurred in that enforcement authority's Member State and therefore no enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 need to be adopted taken by that enforcement authority.	(c) the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has not occurred in that enforcement authority's Member State and therefore no enforcement measures under  Article 6 of Directive (EU)  2019/633 need to be adopted taken by that enforcement authority.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G 105	2. Where an enforcement authority refuses to take part in the coordinated action, it shall inform the Commission and the other enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension about its decision without delay, stating the reasons for its decision and providing any necessary supporting documents.	2. Where an enforcement authority refuses to take part in the coordinated action, it shall inform the Commission and the other enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension about its decision without delay, stating the reasons for its decision and providing any necessary supporting documents.	2. Where an enforcement authority refuses to take part in the coordinated action, it shall inform the Commission and the other enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension about its decision without delay, stating the reasons for its decision and providing, where any necessary, supporting documents.	2. Where an enforcement authority refuses to take part in the coordinated action, it shall inform the Commission and the other enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension about its decision without delay, stating the reasons for its decision and providing any necessary supporting documents.  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
Article 1	14(a)			
6 105a		Article 14a		-

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Auticle	a 14(a) first paragraph	Mediation mechanism		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article	e 14(a), first paragraph			
s 105b		1 The Commission shall act as a mediation mechanism for the resolution of potential disagreements between Member States and refusals of cooperation for no objective reason, and to prevent blockages in the mutual assistance process.		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article	e 15			
g 106	Article 15	Article 15	Article 15	Article 15

		Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
l		Investigation measures in coordinated actions	Investigation Investigative measures in coordinated actions	Investigation measuresInvestigations in coordinated actions	Investigation  measures Investigations in coordinated actions
					Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 1	5(1)			
G	107	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall ensure that investigations and inspections are conducted in a coordinated manner. They shall seek to conduct investigations and inspections and, to the extent that national law so allows, to apply	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall ensure that investigations and inspections are conducted in a <i>timely</i> , <i>effective</i> , <i>and</i> coordinated manner. They shall seek to conduct investigations and inspections and, to the extent that national law so	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall ensure that investigations and inspections are conducted in a coordinated manner. They shall seek to conduct investigations and inspections and, to the extent that national law so allows, to apply	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall ensure that investigations and inspections are conducted in a <i>timely</i> ,  effective, and coordinated manner.  They shall seek to conduct investigations and inspections and, to the extent that national law so

155

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	interim measures simultaneously with one another.	allows, to apply interim measures simultaneously with one another.	interim measures simultaneously with one another.	allows, to apply interim measures simultaneously with one another.
Article 1				Text Origin: EP Mandate
108	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall set out the outcome of the investigation and the assessment of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in a common position, summarising the national decisions adopted.	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall set out the outcome of the investigation and the assessment of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in a common position joint statement, summarising the national	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall set out the outcome of the investigation and the assessment of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in a common positionstatement, summarising the national decisions adopted measures taken	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall set out the outcome of the investigation and the assessment of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in a common positionstatement, summarising the national

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			different opinions of the enforcement authorities.	different opinions of the enforcement authorities.  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	5(3)			
109	3. Without prejudice to the rules on confidentiality and on professional and trade secrecy laid down in Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> , the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall publish the common position or parts thereof on their websites and inform the Commission of the publication.	3. Without prejudice to the rules on confidentiality and on professional and trade secrecy laid down in Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>16</sup> , the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall publish the <i>common positionjoint</i> statement or parts thereof on their websites and inform the Commission of the publication.	3. Without prejudice to the rules on confidentiality and on professional and trade secrecy laid down in Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> , the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall publish the common positionstatement or parts thereof on their websites and inform the Commission of the publication.	3. Without prejudice to the rules on confidentiality and on professional and trade secrecy laid down in Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> , the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall publish the common <i>positionstatement</i> or parts thereof on their websites and inform the Commission of the publication.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	1. Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know-how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L 157, 15.6.2016, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/943/oj).	I. Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L-157, 15.6.2016, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/943/oj).	1. [1] Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know-how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L 157, 15.6.2016, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/943/oj).	1. Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know-how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L 157, 15.6.2016, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/943/oj).
Article 1	.6			
s 110	Article 16 Enforcement measures in coordinated actions	Article 16 Enforcement measures in coordinated actions	Article 16 Enforcement measures in coordinated actions	Article 16  Enforcement measures in coordinated actions

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article	16(1)			
s 111	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall take within their jurisdiction all necessary enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 against the buyer responsible for the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension to bring about the cessation of that unfair trading practice.	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall take within their jurisdiction all necessary enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 against the buyer or buyers responsible for the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension to bring about the cessation of that unfair trading practice.	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall take within their jurisdiction all necessary enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 against the buyer or buyers responsible for the widespread unfair trading practicepractices with a cross-border dimension to bring about the cessation of that unfair trading	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall take within their jurisdiction all necessary enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 against the buyer or buyers responsible for the widespread unfair trading practicepractices with a cross-border dimension to bring about the cessation of that unfair trading
			practice.	practice.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	6(2)			
6 112	pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be taken by the enforcement authorities in accordance with the national rules of their Member State and in a coordinated manner to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall seek to take enforcement measures simultaneously in the Member	2. Enforcement measures pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be taken by the enforcement authorities in accordance with the national rules of their Member State and in a coordinated manner to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall seek to take enforcement measures simultaneously in the Member	2. Enforcement measures pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be taken by the enforcement authorities in accordance with the national rules of their Member State and in a coordinated manner to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall seek to take enforcement measures simultaneously in the Member	2. Enforcement measures pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be taken by the enforcement authorities in accordance with the national rules of their Member State and in a coordinated manner to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall seek to take enforcement measures simultaneously in the Member

		Commiss CLEAN Proposal		VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
		widespread cross-bord infringement.	der	widespread infringeme	cross-border nt.	widespread infringeme	d cross-border ent.	infringeme	d cross-border ent.
	Article 1	7							
		Article 17	7		Article 17		Article 17		Article 17
G	113	Cessation of coordina	ted action	Cessation o	of coordinated action	Cessation	of coordinated action	Cessatio	n of coordinated action
								Text Orio	gin: Commission
	Article 1	7(1)							
G	114	1. A coordinated cease if the enforcement			coordinated action shall enforcement		coordinated action shall e enforcement		coordinated action shall e enforcement

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension was committed.	authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension was committed.	authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension was committed.	authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension was committed.  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
Article	17(2)			
c 115	2. The coordinator referred to in Article 13(2) shall notify, where applicable, the enforcement authorities of the Member States	2. The coordinator referred to in Article 13(2) shall notify, where applicable <i>and without undue delay</i> , the enforcement	2. The coordinator referred to in Article 13(2) shall notify, where applicable, the enforcement authorities of the Member States	2. The coordinator referred to in Article 13(2) shall notify, where applicable <i>and without</i> delay, the enforcement authorities

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	concerned by the coordinated action of the cessation of the coordinated action without delay.	authorities of the Member States concerned by the coordinated action and the Commission of the cessation of the coordinated action without delay.	concerned by the coordinated action of the cessation of the coordinated action without delay.	of the Member States concerned by the coordinated action and the Commission of the cessation of the coordinated action without delay.  Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article	· 18			
	Article 18  Role of the coordinator	Article 18  Role of the coordinator	Article 18  Role of the coordinator	Article 18  Role of the coordinator
б 116				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article	e 18(1), first subparagraph	,		

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
s 117	1. The coordinator appointed in accordance with Article 13 shall in particular:	1. The coordinator appointed in accordance with Article 13 shall in particular:	1. The coordinator appointed in accordance with Article 13 shall in particular:	1. The coordinator appointed in accordance with Article 13 shall in particular:  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
Article 1	.8(1), first subparagraph, point (a)			
G 118	(a) ensure that the enforcement authorities concerned are duly informed, in a timely manner, of the progress of the investigation or of the enforcement action, and informed of any anticipated next steps and the measures to be adopted;	(a) ensure that the enforcement authorities concerned and the Commission are duly informed, in a timely manner, of the progress of the investigation or of the enforcement action, and informed of any anticipated next steps and the measures to be adopted;	(a) ensure that the enforcement authorities concerned are duly informed, in a timely manner, of the progress of the investigation or of the enforcement action, and informed of any anticipated next steps and the measures to be adopted;	(a) ensure that the enforcement authorities concerned are duly informed, in a timely manner, of the progress of the investigation or of the enforcement action, and informed of any anticipated next steps and the measures to be adopted;

EN

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement		
				Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal		
Article 1	18(1), first subparagraph, point (b)					
s 119	(b) coordinate and monitor the investigation measures taken by the enforcement authorities concerned in accordance with this Regulation;	(b) coordinate and monitor the investigation measures taken by the enforcement authorities concerned in accordance with this Regulation;	(b) coordinate and monitor the investigation measures taken by the enforcement authorities concerned in accordance with this Regulation;	(b) coordinate and monitor the investigation measures taken by the enforcement authorities concerned in accordance with this Regulation;  Text Origin: Commission Proposal		
Article 1	Article 18(1), first subparagraph, point (c)					
g 120	(c) coordinate the preparation and sharing of all necessary	(c) coordinate the preparation and sharing of all necessary	(c) coordinate the preparation and sharing of all necessary	(c) coordinate the preparation and sharing of all necessary		

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		documents among the enforcement authorities concerned;	documents among the enforcement authorities concerned;	documents among the enforcement authorities concerned;	documents among the enforcement authorities concerned;  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
G	Article 1	(d) maintain contact with the buyer and other parties concerned by the investigation or enforcement measures, as applicable, unless otherwise agreed by the enforcement authorities concerned and the	(d) inform the buyer or buyers about the launch of a coordinated action and maintain contact with the buyer or buyers and other parties concerned by the investigation or enforcement measures, as applicable, unless	(d) inform the buyer or buyers about the launch of a coordinated action and maintain contact with the buyer or buyers and other parties concerned by the investigation or enforcement measures, as applicable, unless	(d) inform the buyer or buyers about the launch of a coordinated action and maintain contact with the buyer or buyers and other parties concerned by the investigation or enforcement measures, as applicable, unless
		coordinator;	otherwise agreed by the enforcement authorities concerned and the coordinator;	otherwise agreed by the enforcement authorities concerned and the coordinator;	otherwise agreed by the enforcement authorities concerned and the coordinator;

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: EP and Council Mandate
Article 1	8(1), first subparagraph, point (e)			
s 122	(e) where applicable, coordinate the assessment, the consultations and the monitoring by the enforcement authorities concerned as well as other steps necessary to implement the commitments proposed by the buyer concerned;	(e) where applicable, coordinate the assessment, the consultations and the monitoring by the enforcement authorities concerned as well as other steps necessary to implement the commitments proposed by the buyer concerned;	(e) where applicable, coordinate the assessment, the consultations and the monitoring by the enforcement authorities concerned as well as other steps necessary to implement the commitments proposed by the buyer concerned;	(e) where applicable, coordinate the assessment, the consultations and the monitoring by the enforcement authorities concerned as well as other steps necessary to implement the commitments proposed by the buyer concerned;  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
Article 1	8(1), first subparagraph, point (f)			

	Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G 123	(f) where applicable, coordinate enforcement measures adopted by the enforcement authorities concerned;	(f) where applicable, coordinate enforcement measures adopted by the enforcement authorities concerned;	(f) where applicable, coordinate enforcement measures adopted by the enforcement authorities concerned;	(f) where applicable, coordinate enforcement measures adopted by the enforcement authorities concerned;  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
Article 1	.8(1), first subparagraph, point (g)	,		
s 124	(g) coordinate requests for mutual assistance submitted by the enforcement authorities concerned pursuant to Chapter III.	(g) coordinate requests for mutual assistance submitted by the enforcement authorities concerned pursuant to Chapter III.	(g) coordinate requests for mutual assistance submitted by the enforcement authorities concerned pursuant to Chapter III.	(g) coordinate requests for mutual assistance submitted by the enforcement authorities concerned pursuant to Chapter III.  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 1	18.1a			
c 124a				The coordinator shall be assisted in the exercise of the tasks set out in paragraph 1, points b), c), e), f) and g) by the other enforcement authorities concerned  text origin: EP mandate (moved from row 94)
Article 1	18(2)			
s 125	2. The coordinator shall not be held responsible for the action or the omissions of the other enforcement authorities concern when they make use of the power	be held responsible for the actions or the omissions of the other enforcement authorities concerned	2. The coordinator shall not be held responsible for the actions or the omissions of the other enforcement authorities concerned when they make use of the powers	2. The coordinator shall not be held responsible for the actions or the omissions of the other enforcement authorities concerned when they make use of the powers

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	set out in Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in the rules laid down in this Regulation.	set out in Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in the rules laid down in this Regulation.	set out in Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in the rules laid down in this Regulation.	set out in Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in the rules laid down in this Regulation.  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
Article 1	9			
	Article 19	Article 19	Article 19	Article 19
126	Alerts	Alerts	Alerts	<u>AlertsAlert system</u>
Article 1	9(1)			Text Origin: Commission Proposal

170

EN

1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.  1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.  1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.  1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.  1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.  1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.  1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.  1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.  1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.  1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.  1. An enforcement authority shall wi		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	127	shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension	shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension maymight be taking place, regardless of whether it is only taking place within the Union or is taking place both within the Union and in one or more third countries. For that purpose, the enforcement authority shall use the system laid down in Article 8, which shall apply mutatis	shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension	shall without delay alert the Commission and theall other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place, regardless of whether it is only taking place within the Union or is taking place both within the Union and in one or more third countries. The Commission may supplement the alert with any information that is likely to facilitate rapid, appropriate action by

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
б 128	2. The enforcement authority shall, when issuing an alert referred to in paragraph 1, provide information about the suspected widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension covered by this Regulation, including, the following:	2. The enforcement authority shall, when issuing an alert referred to in paragraph 1, provide information about the suspected widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension covered by this Regulation, including, the following:	2. The enforcement authority shall, when issuing an alert referred to in paragraph 1, provide information about the suspected widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension covered by this Regulation, including, the following:	2. The enforcement authority shall, when issuing an alert referred to in paragraph 1, provide information about the suspected widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension covered by this Regulation, including, the following:  Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
Article 1	19(2), point (a)			
s 129	(a) a description of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(a) a description of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(a) a <b>detailed</b> description of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(a) a detailed description of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 2	19(2), point (b)			Text Origin: Council Mandate
G 130	(b) details of the subject matter of the widespread unfair trading practice with a crossborder dimension;	(b) details of the subject matter of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension;	(b) details of the subject matter of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension;	(b) details of the subject matter of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension;  Text Origin: EP and Council mandate
Article 1	19(2), point (c)			
6 131	(c) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the widespread unfair trading	(c) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the widespread unfair trading	(c) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the widespread unfair trading	(c) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the widespread unfair trading

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	practice with a cross-border dimension;	practice with a cross-border  dimension;	practice with a cross-border dimension;	practice with a cross-border dimension;  Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
Article 1	9(2), point (d)			
132	(d) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(d) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(d) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(d) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;  Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
Article 1	9(2), point (e)			

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 133	(e) the unfair trading practice concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law;	(e) the unfair trading practice concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law;	(e) the unfair trading practice concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law;	(e) the unfair trading practice concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law;  Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
Article 1	19(2), point (f)			
s 134	(f) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the widespread unfair trading practice with a crossborder dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof;	(f) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof;	(f) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the widespread unfair trading practice with a crossborder dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof;	(f) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the widespread unfair trading practice with a crossborder dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof;

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
Article :	19(2), point (g)			
G 135	(g) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.	(g) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.	(g) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.	(g) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.  Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
Article :	19(3)			
g 136	3. The enforcement authority may, when issuing an alert, request enforcement authorities in	3. The enforcement authority may, when issuing an alert, request enforcement authorities in	3. The enforcement authority may, when issuing an alert, request enforcement authorities in	3. The enforcement authority may, when issuing an alert, request enforcement authorities in

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
other Member States to verify whether, based on information that is available or easily accessible to the relevant enforcement authorities, the same widespread unfair trading practices may be taking place in the territory of those other Member States or whether any proceedings are pending or any enforcement measures have already been taken against such unfair trading practices in those Member States. The enforcement authorities in those other Member States shall reply to the request without delay.	other Member States to verify whether, based on information that is available or easily accessible to the relevant enforcement authorities, the same widespread unfair trading practices may be taking place in the territory of those other Member States or whether any proceedings are pending or any enforcement measures have already been taken against such unfair trading practices in those Member States. The enforcement authorities in those other Member States shall reply to the request without delay.	other Member States to verify whether, based on information that is available or easily accessible to the relevant enforcement authorities, the same widespread unfair trading practicespractice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place in the territory of those other Member States or whether any proceedings are pending or any enforcement measures have already been taken against suchthat unfair trading practicespractice in those Member States. The enforcement authorities in those other Member States shall reply to the request without delay.	other Member States to verify whether, based on information that is available or easily accessible to the relevant enforcement authorities, the same widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension may be taking place in the territory of those other Member States or whether any proceedings are pending or any enforcement measures have already been taken against suchthat unfair trading practices practice in those Member States. The enforcement authorities in those other Member States shall reply to the request without delay.
		·	

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 2	0			
	Article 20	Article 20	Article 20	Article 20
	Language arrangements	Language arrangements	Language arrangements	Language arrangements
g 137				C
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	0(1)			
s 138	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for notifications, as well as for all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the coordinated actions, shall be	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for notifications, as well as for all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the coordinated actions, shall be	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for notifications, as well as for all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the coordinated actions, shall be	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for notifications, as well as for all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the coordinated actions, shall be

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 2	agreed upon by the enforcement authorities concerned.	agreed upon by the enforcement authorities concerned.	agreed upon by the enforcement authorities concerned.	agreed upon by the enforcement authorities concerned.  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal
139	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, notifications and other communications shall be sent in the official language or one of the official languages of the Member State making the notification or other communication.	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, notifications and other communications shall be sent in the official language or one of the official languages of the Member State making the notification or other communication.  accompanied by a courtesy	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, notifications and other communications shall be sent in the official language or one of the official languages of the Member State making the notification or other communication.	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, notifications and other communications shall be sent in the official language or one of the official languages of the Member State making the notification or other communication, accompanied by a courtesy

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
						translation in English, if requested.
						Text Origin: EP Mandate
Chapter	IVa					
c 139a					Chapter IVa  Cooperation in relation to buyers established outside the Union	Chapter IV  Cooperation in relation to suppliers or buyers established outside the Union
Article 2	Oa, title					Text Origin: Council Mandate
6 139b				Article 20a	Article 20a	Article 20a

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		Cooperation in relation to suppliers or buyers established outside the Union	Cooperation in relation to buyers established outside the Union	Cooperation in relation to suppliers or buyers established outside the Union
				Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 2	0a, paragraph 1			
s 139c		1. This Article applies to unfair trading practices within the meaning of Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension that occur between buyers and suppliers referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 where either the supplier or the	In relation to unfair trading practices laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a crossborder dimension which occur in relation to sales of agricultural and food products between buyers and suppliers referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 where the buyers are established	In relation to unfair trading practices laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 which occur in relation to sales of agricultural and food products between buyers and suppliers referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 where the suppliers or buyers are established outside the

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				buyer is established outside the Union.	outside the Union an enforcement authority may:	Union, an enforcement authority may:
						Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 2	0a, point (a	)			
				2 With a view to	(a) request information	(a) request information from
				establishing whether an unfair	from an enforcement authority	an enforcement authority of
				trading practice has occurred or	of another Member State to	another Member State to
				is occurring in the territory of its	establish whether an unfair	establish whether an unfair
				Member State, an enforcement	trading practice has occurred or	trading practice has occurred or
G	139d			authority may request	is occurring in the Member	is occurring in the Member State
				information from an enforcement	State of the applicant	of the applicant enforcement
				authority of another Member	enforcement authority. For such	authority. For such a request,
				State. Article 4a, Article 5(1), (2)	a request, Articles 4a, 5(1), (2)	Articles 4a, 5(1), (2) and (3), 7a,
				and (3), Article 7a, Article 8,	and (3), 7a, 8, 9, 10(1) and 11	8, 9, 10(1) and 11 shall apply
				Article 9, Article 10(1) and Article	shall apply mutatis mutandis.	mutatis mutandis.

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 20a(b)		11 shall apply to such requests mutatis mutandis.		Text Origin: Council Mandate
G 139e		3 When it suspects that an unfair trading practice by a buyer, irrespective of its place of establishment, takes place and that unfair trading practice might occur in at least three Member States, an enforcement authority may alert the Commission and other enforcement authorities concerned of those suspicions.  Article 4(a), Article 19 and Article 20 shall apply to those alerts mutatis mutandis.	(b) alert the Commission and other enforcement authorities concerned when it suspects that an unfair trading practice by a buyer established outside the Union takes place and that that unfair trading practice may concern suppliers established in at least three Member States. For such alerts, Articles 4a, 19 (2) and (3) and 20 shall apply mutatis mutandis.	(b) alert the Commission and other enforcement authorities concerned when it suspects that an unfair trading practice towards a supplier established outside the Union or by a buyer established outside the Union takes place and that that unfair trading practice may concern buyers or suppliers established in at least three Member States. For such alerts, Articles 4a, 19 (2) and (3) and 20 shall apply mutatis mutandis.

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
l								Text Ori	gin: Council Mandate
	Article 2	0(b), title							
G	139f			Re	Article 20b			Text Ori	gin: EP Mandate
	Article 2	.0(b), paragrap	oh 1						
G	139g			ensure the authoritie report refe of Directiv of any of t	Tember States shall at their enforcement s include in the annual terred to in Article 10(2) we (EU) 2019/633 details their activities falling			Text Ori	gin: EP Mandate

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
			Regulation. Those details shall, inter alia, include the number of requests received by applicant enforcement authorities, in accordance with Articles 5, 6, 7 and 9 of this Regulation, as well as the number of coordinated actions for widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension, in accordance with Article 13 of this Regulation, opened or closed during the previous year. For each request or action that has been concluded, the report shall contain a summary description of the matter and of the steps and measures taken.				
Article 2	20b						

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
a 139h							Article 20b  Contact person responsible for the EU
Article 2	0b(1)						
6 139i							1. Where an enforcement authority takes investigative measures against a buyer established outside the Union in relation to an unfair trading practices laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, and if it considers that the buyer is not cooperating with it, it may request the buyer to designate as its contact person responsible for the EU a natural or legal person established within

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				the territory of the European Union.
Article 2	0b(2)			
с 139ј				2. The contact person responsible for the EU referred to in paragraph 1 shall:
Article 2	0b(2), point (a)			
a 139k				(a) act as the primary point of contact for that enforcement authority;
Article 2	0b(2), point (b)			
g 1391				(b) facilitate investigations, including by providing the requested documents, records of

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
						transactions, data and witness statements to that enforcement authority.
Article 20b(3)						
6 139m						3. Where the buyer established outside the Union does not fulfil the request referred to in paragraph 1 the enforcement authority that issued the request shall without delay alert the Commission and all other enforcement authorities that that buyer has failed to designate a contact person responsible for the EU. The Commission may supplement the alert with any information that is likely to facilitate rapid,

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				appropriate action by enforcement authorities.
СНАРТЕ	ER V			
	CHAPTER V		CHAPTER V	CHAPTER V
с 140	FPROCEDURAL PROVISIONS		FPROCEDURAL PROCEDURA L PROVISIONS	FPROCEDURAL PROCEDURAL PROVISIONS
Article 2	20c			Text Origin: EP Mandate
			Article 20b	Article 20c
в 140а			Implementing powers	Implementing powers

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
						Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 2	Oc paragrap	oh				
s 140b					The Commission may adopt implementing acts laying down:	The Commission may  adopt implementing acts laying  down standard forms for the  requests for mutual assistance  pursuant to Article 9(2);  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 2	0c(a)					
6 140c					(a) standard forms for the requests for mutual assistance pursuant to Article 9(2);	
Article 2	0c(b)					

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 140d					(b) arrangements for the management of the notifications and communications among the enforcement authorities pursuant to Articles 8, 9, 13,14, 15, 17, 19 and 20a.	
Article 2	Oc second	paragraph				
6 140e					The implementing acts referred to in the first paragraph shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21.	The implementing acts referred to in the first paragraph shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21.  Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 2	1					

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		Article 21	Article 21	Article 21	Article 21
6	141	Committee procedure	Committee procedure	Committee procedure	Committee procedure
G	141				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2	1(1)			
G	142	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee for the Common Organisation of the Agricultural Markets established by Article 229 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> . That Committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee for the Common Organisation of the Agricultural Markets established by Article 229 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> . That Committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee for the Common Organisation of the Agricultural Markets established by Article 229 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> . That Committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee for the Common Organisation of the Agricultural Markets established by Article 229 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> . That Committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	1. Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products and repealing Council Regulations (EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No 234/79, (EC) No 1037/2001 and (EC) No 1234/2007 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 671, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2013/1308/oj)	1. Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products and repealing Council Regulations (EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No 234/79, (EC) No 1037/2001 and (EC) No 1234/2007 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 671, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2013/1308/oj) .	1. [1] Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products and repealing Council Regulations (EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No 234/79, (EC) No 1037/2001 and (EC) No 1234/2007 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 671, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2013/1308/oj)	1. Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products and repealing Council Regulations (EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No 234/79, (EC) No 1037/2001 and (EC) No 1234/2007 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 671, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2013/1308/oj)
Article 2	21(2)			
G 143	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.  Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2	11(a), title			
G	143a		Article 21a  Evaluation		Article 21a  Reporting obligation of the  Commission
	Article 2	11(a), paragraph 1, first subparagrap	h		
G	143b		1 By [+ 3 years from the adoption of this Regulation], the Commission shall carry out the first evaluation of this Regulation and shall present a report on the		1. By 4 years from the application of this Regulation, the Commission shall present a report on the application of this Regulation to the European

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec C	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			main findings of that evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council, as well as to the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. That report shall be accompanied, if appropriate, by a legislative proposal.			Parliament and to the Council, as well as to the European  Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. The Commission shall take this report into account when carrying out the evaluation of Directive (EU) 2019/633. That evaluation shall be accompanied if appropriate by a legislative proposal concerning this Regulation.  Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 2	21(a), parag	raph 1, second subparagra	aph			
6 143c			The Commission shall base the report on the annual			2. The Commission shall base the report on the annual

	CLE.	AN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				of of Dire	rferred to in Article 10(2) rective (EU) 2019/633. mission may, if request additional con from Member States.			of Direct Commissive request of from Me	tive (EU) 2019/633. The sion may, if necessary, additional information amber States.
Art	ticle 21(a), p	oaragr	raph 2						
G 12	43d			2 1 an assess	That report shall include ment of:			the evolumechanic this Reguent enforcement the identification frequent unfair tr	That report shall describe ution of the cooperation isms established under ulation and of ment activity, in particular iffication of the most types of cross-border rading practices, the most sectors, and buyer or

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
								buyers' types, including those established outside the Union, most commonly involved.  Text Origin: EP Mandate
٦	Article 2	1(a), parag	raph 2, point a					
G	143e			proportion mechanis this Regu	ne effectiveness and mality of the cooperation ms established under lation in enforcing the down in Directive (EU)			Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
	Article 2:	1(a), parag	raph 2, point b					
G	143f				ny significant changes el of administrative			6

	Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		burden and compliance costs for Member States and businesses, especially small and mediumsized enterprises and primary producers;		Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 2	21(a), paragraph 2, point c			
6 143g		c the evolution of enforcement activity, including the identification of the most frequent types of cross-border unfair trading practices, the most affected sectors, and buyer or buyers types most commonly involved.		Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 2	21aa			
6 143h				Article 21aa

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec <b>Draft Agreement</b>
							Reporting by Member States
Article 21a	aa(1)						
s 143i							The annual report referred to in Article 10(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 shall include details of any of the activities falling within the scope of this Regulation. Those details shall, inter alia, include the number of requests received by applicant enforcement authorities, in accordance with Articles 5, 6, 7 and 9 of this Regulation, as well as the number of coordinated actions for widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension, in accordance with

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
							Article 13 of this Regulation, opened or closed during the previous year. For each request or action that has been concluded, the report shall contain a summary description of the matter and of the steps and measures taken.
Articl	e 21b						
s 143j	i						Article 21b  Internal Market Information  System
Articl	e 21c(1)						
s 143k	C						The Internal Market  Information System (IMI),  established by Regulation (EU)

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
							the purpo 6, Article Article 16 12b, Article Article 14 Article 17 and Article 1. Regulation European H of 25 Octob cooperation Information Commission	2012 <sup>1</sup> , shall be used for eses of Article 5, Article 7, Article 8, Article 9, 2, Article 12a, Article 12c, Article 13, 3, 4, Article 15, Article 16, 7, Article 19, Article 20a 1e 20b of this Regulation 1e 20b of this Regulation 1er 2012 on administrative 1er 2012 on administrative 1er 2012 on administrative 1er 2012 on 2008/49/EC ('the 1er 2013), OJ L 316, 14.11.2012, 1er 2012 on 2015 on 2016 of the 1er 2012, and 1er 2012, and 1er 2012, and 1er 2012, and 1er 2012 on 2018/49/EC ('the 1er 2013), OJ L 316, 14.11.2012, and 1er 2012 on 2018/49/EC ('the 1er 2013).
Article	e 21d							

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 1431							Article 21c  Delegations of power
Article 2	!1d(1)						
6 143m							The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts to amend article 21b concerning the tool for the management of the notifications and communications among the enforcement authorities to take account of future technical needs.
Article 2	!1e						
6 143n							Article 21d  Exercise of the delegation

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 2	1e(1)						
s 143o							1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.
Article 2	1e(2)						
s 143p							2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Article 21c shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of 5 years from [date of entry into force of the basic legislative act]. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the five-

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
							year period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period
Article 2	21e(3)						
6 143q							3. The delegation of power referred to in Article 21c may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec <b>Draft Agreement</b>
							the decision in the Official  Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.
Article 2	1e(4)						
6 143r							4. Before adopting a delegated act, the Commission shall consult experts designated by each Member State in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making.
Article 2	1e(5)		1				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 143s							5. As soon as it adopts a delegated act, the Commission shall notify it simultaneously to the European Parliament and to the Council.
Article	21e(6)						
s 143t							6. A delegated act adopted pursuant to Article 21c shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or by the Council within a period of two months of notification of that act to the European Parliament and the Council or if, before the expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have

		Commission  CLEAN  Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council.
	CHAPTER	R VI			
		CHAPTER VI	CHAPTER VI	CHAPTER VI	CHAPTER VI
		FINAL PROVISIONS	FINAL PROVISIONS	FINAL PROVISIONS	FINAL PROVISIONS
G	144				G
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2	2			
G	145	Article 22	Article 22	Article 22	Article 22

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec <b>EP Mandate</b>	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec <b>Draft Agreement</b>			
	Entry into force and application	Entry into force and application	ry into force and application  Entry into force and application				
Article 2	22, first paragraph						
146	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union Official Journal of the European Union.	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union Official Journal of the European Union.  Text Origin: Council Mandate			
Article 2	Article 22, second paragraph						

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec <b>Draft Agreement</b>			
G	147	It shall apply from [+ 1 year from the adoption].	It shall apply from [+ 1 year from the adoption].	It shall apply from [+ 1 year18 months from the adoptionentry into force].	It shall apply from [+ 1 year 18 months from the adoption entry into force].			
	Article 2	rticle 22, third paragraph						
G	148	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal			
	Formula				110,00001			
G	149	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,			

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Formula	3			
G	150	For the European Parliament  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal			
	Formula	1			
G	151	The President	The President	The President	The President  Text Origin: Commission  Proposal

		Com CLEAN Prop	mission osal	vs.ec ]	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
	Formula								
G	152	For the Council		For the Cou	ncil	For the Co	ouncil	For the Co	uncil in: Commission
	Formula	mula							
G	153	The President		The Preside	nt	The Presid	ent	The Presid	ent in: Commission