



**COUNCIL OF  
THE EUROPEAN UNION**



15801/06 (Presse 341)

**PRESS RELEASE**

2768th Council Meeting

**Justice and Home Affairs**

Brussels, 4-5 December 2006

President

**Ms Leena LUHTANEN,**  
Minister of Justice, and  
**Mr Kari RAJAMÄKI,**  
Minister of the Interior,  
of Finland

**P R E S S**

---

Rue de la Loi 175 B - 1048 BRUSSELS Tel.: +32 (0)2 281 9548 / 6319 Fax: +32 (0)2 281 8026  
[press.office@consilium.europa.eu](mailto:press.office@consilium.europa.eu) <http://www.consilium.europa.eu/Newsroom>

15801/06 (Presse 341)

1  
**EN**

## **Main Results of the Council**

*The Council adopted conclusions on the Hague Programme review. It welcomed the significant progress that had already been made in implementing the Hague Programme and believed that the measures already taken since the adoption of the Hague Programme contributed in a real way to strengthening freedom, security and justice in the European Union. Insufficient progress was being made in certain areas of judicial cooperation in criminal matters and police cooperation in particular. Improvements could and should be achieved.*

*The Council adopted conclusions on the enlargement of the Schengen area, SISone4all and SIS II.*

*Furthermore, the Council reached a general approach on the establishment of the EU Agency for fundamental rights. The Agency will be operational early next year.*

*Finally, the Council reached a general approach on a draft Framework Decision on taking into account convictions in the Member States of the EU in the course of new criminal proceedings and on a draft Regulation aimed at amending the lists of third countries whose nationals must be in possession of a visa when entering the EU and of those who are exempt from this requirement.*

**CONTENTS**<sup>1</sup>

<b>PARTICIPANTS.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>ITEMS DEBATED</b>	
HAGUE PROGRAMME REVIEW - <i>Council conclusions</i> .....	8
EUROPEAN UNION AGENCY FOR FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS.....	10
TRANSFER OF SENTENCED PERSONS TO ANOTHER MEMBER STATE.....	11
PROCEDURAL RIGHTS IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.....	12
ENLARGEMENT OF THE SCHENGEN AREA - <i>Council conclusions</i> .....	13
GLOBAL APPROACH TO MIGRATION.....	17
CIVIL PROTECTION FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT.....	19
FUTURE OF EUROPOL - <i>Council conclusions</i> .....	20
COUNTER-TERRORISM.....	22
STRATEGY FOR THE EXTERNAL DIMENSION OF JHA.....	23
MIXED COMMITTEE.....	24
– Data protection in the framework of police and judicial co-operation in criminal matters.....	24
– Enlargement of the Schengen area, SISone4all, SIS II.....	24
OTHER BUSINESS.....	25

<sup>1</sup>

- Where declarations, conclusions or resolutions have been formally adopted by the Council, this is indicated in the heading for the item concerned and the text is placed between quotation marks.
- The documents whose references are given in the text are available on the Council's Internet site <http://www.consilium.europa.eu>.
- Acts adopted with statements for the Council minutes which may be released to the public are indicated by an asterisk; these statements are available on the abovementioned Council Internet site or may be obtained from the Press Office.

**OTHER ITEMS APPROVED**

–	Integrated Border Management - <i>Council conclusions</i> .....	26
–	European Police College - Work Programme 2007.....	28
–	Relations with Russia .....	28
–	Judicial cooperation in civil matters.....	28

*JUDICIAL COOPERATION AND CRIMINAL MATTERS*

–	Previous convictions taken into account within the EU.....	29
---	--	----

*TERRORISM*

–	Recommendations on counter-terrorism.....	29
–	Implementation of the radicalisation and recruitment strategy.....	29

*VISAS*

–	List of third countries whose nationals need visas when entering the EU.....	29
---	--	----

*SCHENGEN*

–	Preparedness of new Member States .....	30
–	Local Schengen cooperation - <i>Council conclusions</i> .....	30

*EUROPOL*

–	Rules of procedure.....	33
–	Negotiations with third States and non EU-related bodies.....	33

*CRIMINAL MATTERS*

–	Cooperation against organised crime.....	33
–	UN Convention against corruption.....	34
–	Fight against organised crime .....	34
–	EU action plan against trafficking in human beings .....	34
–	Prevention and control of violence at football matches - <i>Council resolution</i> .....	35
–	Further development of the SECI centre - <i>Council conclusions</i> .....	36
–	European Crime Prevention Network annual report 2005 - <i>Council conclusions</i> .....	38
–	Identity cards - <i>Member States' Resolution</i> .....	40

*EXTERNAL RELATIONS*

- Cooperation with the USA .....45

*ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL AFFAIRS*

- EU support to the Chernobyl Shelter Fund .....45

*EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AREA*

- Norway - Trade preferences in cheese .....46

*ATOMIC QUESTIONS*

- Agreement with Kazakhstan .....46

*TRANSPARENCY*

- Public access to documents .....46

## **PARTICIPANTS**

The Governments of the Member States and the European Commission were represented as follows:

### **Belgium:**

Ms Laurette ONKELINX  
Mr Patrick DEWAEL

Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for Justice  
Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for the Interior

### **Czech Republic:**

Mr Jiří POSPÍŠIL  
Mr Ivan LANGER

Minister for Justice  
Minister for the Interior

### **Denmark:**

Ms Lene ESPERSEN  
Mr Rikke HVILSHØJ

Minister for Justice  
Minister for Refugees, Immigration and Integration

### **Germany:**

Ms Brigitte ZYPRIES  
Mr Wolfgang SCHÄUBLE

Federal Minister for Justice  
Federal Minister for the Interior

### **Estonia:**

Mr Rein LANG  
Mr Kalle LAANET

Minister for Justice  
Minister for the Interior

### **Greece:**

Mr Byron POLYDORAS  
Mr Panagiotis PANOURIS

Minister for Public Order  
Secretary General, Ministry of Justice

### **Spain:**

Mr Alfredo PÉREZ RUBALCABA  
Mr Luis LÓPEZ GUERRA

Minister for the Interior  
State Secretary for Justice

### **France:**

Mr Christian ESTROSI

Minister with responsibility for Regional Planning

### **Ireland:**

Mr Michael McDOWELL

Minister for Justice, Equality and Law Reform

### **Italy:**

Mr Clemente MASTELLA  
Mr Giuliano AMATO

Minister for Justice  
Minister for the Interior

### **Cyprus:**

Mr Sophocles SOPHOCLEOUS  
Mr Neokles SYLIKIOTES

Minister for Justice and Public Order  
Minister for the Interior

### **Latvia:**

Mr Gaidis BĒRZIŅŠ

Minister for Justice

### **Lithuania:**

Mr Petras BAGUŠKA  
Mr Raimondas ŠUKYS

Minister for Justice  
Minister for the Interior

### **Luxembourg:**

Mr Luc FRIEDEN

Minister for Justice, Minister for the Treasury and the Budget

### **Hungary:**

Mr József PETRÉTEI

Minister for Justice and Law Enforcement

### **Malta:**

Mr Tonio BORG

Deputy Prime Minister, Minister for Justice and Home Affairs

### **Netherlands:**

Mr E.M.H. HIRSCH BALLIN

Minister for Justice

### **Austria:**

Ms Karin GASTINGER

Federal Minister for Justice

Ms Liese PROKOP

Federal Minister for the Interior

**Poland:**

Mr Ludwik DORN

Deputy Prime Minister, Minister for the Interior and Administration  
Undersecretary of State, Ministry of Justice  
Deputy State Secretary, Ministry of the Interior and Administration

Mr Andrzej DUDA  
Mr Wiesław TARKA

**Portugal:**

Mr Alberto COSTA  
Mr António COSTA

Minister for Justice  
Ministro de Estado, Minister for the Interior

**Slovenia:**

Mr Lovro ŠTURM  
Mr Dragutin MATE

Minister for Justice  
Minister for the Interior

**Slovakia:**

Mr Štefan HARABIN  
Mr Robert KALIŇÁK

Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for Justice  
Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for the Interior

**Finland:**

Ms Leena LUHTANEN  
Mr Kari RAJAMÄKI

Minister for Justice  
Minister for the Interior

**Sweden:**

Ms Beatrice ASK  
Mr Tobias BILLSTRÖM

Minister for Justice  
Minister for Migration and Asylum Policy

**United Kingdom:**

Mr John REID

Secretary of State for the Home Department; Home Secretary

Baroness ASHTON OF UPHOLLAND

Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State, Department for Constitutional Affairs

---

**Commission:**

Mr Franco FRATTINI  
Mr Stavros DIMAS

Vice-President  
Commissioner

---

The Governments of the Acceding States were represented as follows:

**Bulgaria:**

Mr Margarit Nikolov GANEV

Deputy Minister for Justice

**Romania:**

Mr Vasile BLAGA

Minister for Administration and for the Interior

**ITEMS DEBATED****HAGUE PROGRAMME REVIEW - Council conclusions**

The Council adopted the following conclusions:

- "1. The Council takes note of the Commission Report on the implementation of the Hague Programme for 2005 (11228/06) and the Communication drawing up a first political assessment of progress made in implementing the Hague programme as well as proposing the necessary adjustments (11222/06) forwarded by the Commission to the Council in July 2006.
2. The Council takes note of the Report by the Presidency on the Review of the Hague Programme (15844/06), which identifies those areas in respect of which the Presidency believes a renewed effort is necessary to take forward the political agenda of the Union on the basis of The Hague Programme and its timetable.
3. The Council welcomes the significant progress that has already been made in implementing the Hague Programme and believes that the measures already taken since the adoption of the Hague Programme contribute in a real way to strengthening freedom, security and justice in the European Union. Insufficient progress is being made in certain areas of judicial cooperation in criminal matters and police cooperation in particular. Improvements can and should be achieved.
4. The Council, against that background, reaffirms its determination to take forward the remaining priority measures set out in the Hague Programme in keeping with deadlines established in the Action Plan. The Council considers that issues of mutual recognition in civil and criminal matters, the development of a comprehensive EU migration policy, strengthening police cooperation through the principle of availability and more operational cooperation, the fight against terrorism and organised crime, the development of the external aspects of justice and home affairs, the setting up of new generation of the SIS and the enlargement of the Schengen area deserve particular attention during the remainder of the Hague Programme.
5. The Council also reiterates its commitment to maintain the high level of ambition for the area of Freedom, Security and Justice as evidenced by the Hague Programme, convinced that cooperation is necessary to safeguard the interests of the citizens while ensuring protection of their fundamental rights and freedoms.



6. The Council considers the time has come to update the Action Plan implementing the Hague Programme and requests the Commission and the incoming Presidencies to undertake the necessary measures to enable the Council and the Commission to take a decision on the updating of the Action plan, having regard, in particular, to any agreement which might be achieved on new policy initiatives, including those suggested by the Commission in its Communication of June 2006 and the Presidency's Report on the review.
7. The Council acknowledges that the decision making mechanisms which apply in the justice and home affairs area do not always contribute to effective and efficient decision making processes. The subject remains under consideration by the Council. This topic will be brought to the attention of the European Council at its meeting in December.
8. The Council also stresses the importance of careful preparation of proposals or initiatives for new instruments including, in particular, consideration of the need for action at the level of the European Union in line with the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality and a rigorous assessment of their potential impact in view of the different legal and administrative systems of the Member States. The Council also stresses the importance of a timely and accurate implementation by Member States of EU policies and legislations.
9. The Council believes that existing evaluation mechanisms are capable of improvement while avoiding any duplication of efforts at EU and national levels and limiting the administrative burden on Member States as much as possible. The Council intends, in cooperation with the Commission, to discuss further how to develop concrete proposals and objectives for the implementation of the future mechanism so as to allow the Commission to establish the contacts' points network proposed in its Communication of June 2006 with a view to launching the first round of evaluation as soon as possible.
10. The Council takes note of the proposal of the Commission to widen the jurisdiction of the Court of Justice with regard to Title IV of the Treaty establishing the European Community. In this respect the Council underlines the importance of shortening the procedure for, preliminary rulings in JHA matters. The Council notes with interest the reflection document of the Court of Justice with proposals for an emergency procedure. The Council will simultaneously pursue both matters under the incoming Presidency."

Documents of interest:

- Presidency report on the review of the Hague Programme (15844/06)
- Report on the implementation of the Hague Programme in 2005 ("Scoreboard+") (11228/06)
- Evaluation of EU Policies on Freedom, Security and Justice (11223/06)
- Implementing the Hague Programme: the way forward (11222/06)
- The extension of the competence of the Court of Justice foreseen by Article 67(2) TEC (11356/06).

## **EUROPEAN UNION AGENCY FOR FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS**

Pending the examination of the European Parliament's opinion, the Council reached a general approach on the establishment and functioning, early next year, of the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights.

The objective of the Agency will be to provide the relevant institutions, bodies, offices and agencies of the Community and its Member States implementing Community law with assistance and expertise relating to fundamental rights in order to support them when they take measures or formulate courses of action within their respective spheres of competence to fully respect fundamental rights.

The Agency will collect objective, reliable and comparable information on the development of the situation of fundamental rights, analyse this information in terms of causes of failure to respect, consequences and effects and examine examples of good practice in dealing with these matters.

The Agency will have the right to formulate opinions to the Union institutions and to the Member States when implementing Community law, either on its own initiative or at the request of the European Parliament, the Council or the Commission, without interference with the legislative and judicial procedures established in the Treaty. Nevertheless, the institutions should be able to request opinions on their legislative proposals or positions adopted in the course of legislative procedures as far as their compatibility with fundamental rights is concerned.

In addition, it will present an annual report on Fundamental Rights issues covered by the areas of the Agency's activity, also highlighting examples of good practice. Furthermore, the Agency will produce thematic reports on topics of particular importance to the Union's policies.

The Agency will take measures to raise the awareness of the general public about their fundamental rights, and about possibilities and different mechanisms for enforcing them in general, without, however, dealing with individual complaints itself.

The Agency will collaborate closely with the Council of Europe. Such cooperation should guarantee that any overlap between the activities of the Agency and those of the Council of Europe is avoided, in particular by devising mechanisms to ensure complementarity and added value.

Regarding the cooperation of the Agency on dealing with areas of police and judicial cooperation in criminal matters (Title VI of the Treaty of the EU), the Council agreed that the Union institutions and Member States could, as appropriate and on a voluntary basis, avail of the expertise of the Agency within these areas as well. The Council will, before 31 December 2009, reconsider the possibilities for empowering the Agency to pursue its activities in the areas covered by Title VI.

The main focus of the Agency's work would be on the EU and its Member States. The candidate countries will be able to participate in the Agency as observers. The Agency will have the power to deal with fundamental rights issues in these countries to the extent necessary for their gradual alignment to Community law.

## **TRANSFER OF SENTENCED PERSONS TO ANOTHER MEMBER STATE**

The Council examined a Presidency compromise text regarding a draft Framework Decision on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgments in criminal matters imposing custodial sentences or measures involving deprivation of liberty for the purpose of their enforcement in the EU.

All delegations except Poland supported the content of the Presidency text. As unanimity was required, the text could not be agreed by the Council.

The objective of this proposal is to allow the transfer of sentenced persons to another Member State for the purpose of enforcement of the sentence imposed, taking into account the possibility of social rehabilitation of the sentenced person.

All Member States have ratified the Council of Europe Convention on the Transfer of Sentenced Persons of 21 March 1983. Under that Convention, sentenced persons may be transferred to serve the remainder of their sentence only to their State of nationality, and only with their consent and that of the States involved. The Additional Protocol to the Convention of 18 December 1997, which allows transfer without the person's consent, subject to certain conditions, has not been ratified by all the Member States. Neither instrument imposes any basic duty to take charge of sentenced persons for enforcement of a sentence or order.

Relations between the Member States, which are characterised by special mutual confidence in other Member States' legal systems, enable recognition by the executing State of decisions taken by the issuing State's authorities. Therefore, this legal instrument envisages a further development of the cooperation provided for in the Council of Europe instruments concerning the enforcement of criminal judgments, in particular where EU nationals or other persons legally residing in one Member State have been the subject of a criminal judgment and were sentenced to a custodial sentence or a measure involving deprivation of liberty in another Member State.

Enforcement of the sentence in the executing Member State enhances the possibility of social rehabilitation of the sentenced person, giving him or her the opportunity to maintain family, linguistic, cultural and other links.

This draft Framework Decision respects fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised by Article 6 of the Treaty and reflected by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, in particular Chapter VI thereof. Nothing in this Framework Decision should be interpreted as prohibiting refusal to execute a decision when there are objective reasons to believe that the sentence was imposed for the purpose of punishing a person on the grounds of his or her sex, race, religion, ethnic origin, nationality, language, political opinions or sexual orientation, or that that person's position may be prejudiced on any one of those grounds.

## **PROCEDURAL RIGHTS IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS**

The Council agreed that work should be pursued on this proposal with a view to its adoption as soon as possible.

The main outstanding issues of this proposal relate to the question whether to adopt a Framework Decision or a non-binding instrument, and the risk of developing conflicting jurisdictions with the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR).

The aim of the proposed Framework Decision is to improve the fairness of criminal proceedings and to facilitate judicial cooperation in criminal matters by setting out common ways of complying with Articles 5 and 6 of the ECHR. Furthermore, the Framework Decision could bring added value to the ECHR by strengthening certain rights and making these rights applicable also in the context of the European Arrest Warrant as well as on extradition and surrender procedures to International Criminal Courts and Tribunals.

At its meeting in June 2006, the JHA Council agreed on some principles for further work on this proposal. The scope would be limited to the right to information, the right to legal assistance, the right to legal assistance free of charge, the right to interpretation and the right to translation of procedural documents.

At its meeting in June 2006, the European Council urged the finalisation of negotiations on the procedural rights of accused persons in criminal proceedings.

**ENLARGEMENT OF THE SCHENGEN AREA - Council conclusions**

The Council adopted the following conclusions:

"The Council of the European Union

1. *Notes* that the abolition of controls at the internal border of the Member States which acceded to the EU in May 2004 (hereafter referred to as "the new Member States" for the purpose of these conclusions) depends upon the ability of those Member States to apply the Schengen acquis in full and to participate in the Schengen Information System (SIS).
2. *Notes* that if such abolition of checks at internal borders is to take place as of December 2007 and by March 2008 at the latest, the Council will have to take the Decisions on the lifting of controls at internal borders, as referred to in Article 3(2) of the 2003 Act of Accession, by the end of 2007 at the latest, so as to allow for a sufficient testing and evaluation period for the SIS.

**With respect to the ability of the new Member States to apply the Schengen acquis in full**

3. *Refers* to its separate conclusions on the state of preparedness of the Member States in question for implementation of the provisions of the Schengen acquis containing the results of the first round of non-SIS-related evaluation visits undertaken with the purpose of establishing on the basis of a full evaluation procedure whether the Member States fulfill all the preconditions for the practical application of the relevant parts of the Schengen acquis.
4. *Notes* that those Conclusions, in conjunction with the individual reports, indicate where additional measures are required and in which cases the necessary changes should be reassessed during follow-up visits. The Member States in question are requested to provide satisfactory follow-up to the conclusions.
5. *Invites* the Presidency to reschedule the timetable for the follow-up and remaining evaluation visits with a view to taking the Decisions referred to in Article 3(2) of the 2003 Act of Accession by the end of 2007 allowing the lifting of internal border controls at the land and sea borders as of December 2007 and at air borders by March 2008 if all conditions are fulfilled and *takes note* of the draft calendar (see 16025/06).
6. *Encourages* the new Member States to continue their endeavours to meet all requirements for the lifting of controls at internal borders and to be prepared for the required evaluation visits in accordance with the calendar referred to in paragraph 5.

7. *Notes* that in taking the Decisions referred to in Art 3(2) of the 2003 Act of Accession, the Council may determine that not all the Member States will be ready to apply the Schengen acquis as a whole from the same date. In such a case, it would be necessary to organise in due time additional visits in order to evaluate the application of the Schengen acquis at the borders between Member States at which the Council has decided not to abolish border checks and which have not yet been evaluated.

8. *Notes* that such additional procedures may delay the taking by the Council of the Decisions referred to in Article 3(2) of the 2003 Act of Accession in respect of those Member States. In such a case, the Council Decisions will be taken as soon as possible on an individual basis for those Member States that are ready and able to apply the Schengen acquis in full.

**With respect to the ability of the new Member States to participate in the Schengen Information System**

9. *Reaffirms* that the development of the SIS II remains the absolute priority.

*On the SISone4all*

10. *Welcomes*, under the understanding that the development of SIS II remains the absolute priority, the proposal made by Portugal to extend the current SIS 1+ to the new Member States (proposal called SISone4all; see 13540/06) so as to prevent the abolition of checks at internal borders with the new Member States from being postponed due to the delays affecting the SIS II.

11. *Notes* that the implementation of the Portuguese proposal would allow the new Member States to be integrated into the SIS 1+. Migration to the SIS II would follow as soon as the development of the SIS II is completed.

12. *Notes* that the integration of the new Member States into the SIS 1+ must be accomplished by end-June 2007 at the latest in order to allow the Schengen evaluation of SIS-related aspects to begin in mid-September 2007.

13. *Aware that* some Member States consider that the SISone4all project will not cause any delay to the national SIS II project while other Member States consider that the SISone4all project will place the revised national SIS II project timeframe at risk. The Commission considers that the SISone4all project will cause additional delays to the SIS II project which, if the integration of the new Member States into SIS 1+ is accomplished at mid-October 2007, will at least amount to a period of 9 months in relation to the planning presented to the Council in October 2006. Any delay in the setting up of the SISone4all will according to the Commission indeed cause an additional delay in the setting up of the SIS II.

14. *Conscious* that while the SISone4all project is technically feasible, a number of difficulties linked to its development have been highlighted by experts (see 16007/06), including the risk to the timetable caused by a new release of SISNET encryptors.

15. *Welcomes* the willingness of EE, HU, LV, LT, MT, PL, CZ, SK and SI to implement SISone4all.

16. *Decides* to implement the SISone4all for those Member States, on the understanding that delays as referred to in point 13 are likely to occur and that the technical difficulties referred to in point 14 have to be resolved within the timeframe foreseen.

17. *Notes* that this decision will carry with it financial implications for the Member States participating in the SISone4all so as to cover, on a pro rata basis, the costs incurred with the new equipment installed in 2006 in the C.SIS.

18. *Notes* that this decision will also carry with it financial implications for all States participating to the SIS 1+, with the exception of the Member States which are contributing to the SIS 1+ but do not intend to be connected to it, so as to cover the extra costs derived from the extension of the network (approximately EUR 1.500.000) and the SIS 1+ (approximately EUR 2.130.000) to the new Member States.

19. *Invites* the Member States to make the necessary adjustments to relevant financial regulations, having regard notably to paragraphs 17 and 18, and to consider measures to keep the additional cost to a minimum.

20. *Agrees* to the use of the existing SISNET communication infrastructure for the integration of the new Member States within the framework of the SISone4all project.

21. *Notes that* France, in close coordination with Portugal, will make the necessary technical adjustments within the C.SIS in order to allow for the integration of new Schengen Member States into the current SIS 1 + within the timeframe foreseen (see 16007/06).

22. *Encourages* all Member States concerned to take the necessary steps to prepare their SIRENE Bureaux and VISION applications for the forthcoming changes.

#### *On the SIS II*

23. *Confirms* the agreement reached in Coreper on 31 October 2006 on the three legislative instruments on SIS II and encourages those Member States which still have parliamentary reservations to lift them so that the legislative instruments can formally be adopted as soon as possible.

24. *Confirms* the conclusions adopted at its meeting on 5 October 2006 with respect to the technical development of the SIS II.

25. *Invites* the Commission to present by February 2007 a revised timetable for the SIS II which takes into account the consequences of the implementation of the SISone4all project by that time.

25. *Welcomes* the prompt establishment of the technical arrangements being prepared by France to provide the test data for the SIS II migration planning and supports the commitment of the Commission and France to facilitate the SIS II project implementation by the Commission at the Strasbourg site with the necessary assistance provided by C.SIS.

*On the SISNET network*

26. *Notes* that the contract for the provision of the SISNET network services is due to expire in November 2008 and invites the Member States and the Commission to examine this matter and to report to the Council as soon as possible and at the latest by February 2007 on options for the renewal or replacement of the network and the necessary actions to be taken.

*On the SISone4all, SIS II and SISNET network*

27. *Mandates* all the relevant Council working groups to follow closely the SISone4all, SIS II, VISION and SISNET projects and *invites* the Presidency and the Commission respectively to report regularly on the progress and possible delays of their implementation."



## **GLOBAL APPROACH TO MIGRATION**

The Council held an initial exchange of views on two Commission communications:

- Communication on "The global approach to migration one year on: A comprehensive European migration policy"; and
- Communication on reinforcing the management of the EU's Southern Maritime Borders.

Both communications were adopted by the Commission on 30 November 2006.

The communication "The global approach to migration one year on: A comprehensive European migration policy", takes stock of the vast amount of work carried out this year with African states and regional organisations. This approach is geographically limited to Africa as it responds to the mandate given to the Commission by the European Council. However, it suggests how similar measures could be extended, where relevant, to other regions such as Eastern Europe, Latin America and Asia.

The communication proposes ways to step up the dialogue and cooperation on migration issues with Africa, covering the whole range of migration issues, from legal and illegal migration to strengthening protection for refugees and better harnessing the links between migration policy and development policy. Other proposals include offering more assistance to African countries in better managing migration, also by establishing Migration Support Teams that would bring in technical expertise to improve operational and administrative capacity. The communication also proposes the establishment of European Job Mobility Portals that will provide African countries with information on job opportunities in Europe.

Other actions should help to match demand and supply of labour. By fostering professional training, skills development programmes and language courses, potential migrants can enhance their chances of finding legal employment. For this purpose, specific Migration Centres could be established in partner countries, supported by EC funding. Such centres could also play a role in facilitating the management of seasonal workers, the exchanges of students and researchers and other forms of legal movement of people. In an effort to make dialogue with African countries truly comprehensive, the Commission proposes the development of Mobility Packages. These would provide the overall framework for managing the various forms of legal movement between the EU and third countries and would bring together the possibilities offered by the Member States and the European Community, while fully respecting the division of competences as provided by the Treaty.

The Global Approach to Migration was adopted by the European Council in December 2005 in response to developments in the area of migration and, in particular, the emergence of new migratory pressures.

The second communication focuses on the operational work which supports EU migration policy. In its conclusions on reinforcing the southern external maritime border, adopted on 5 and 6 October 2006, the Council invited the Commission to present a communication to the Council before the end of 2006 identifying further operational measures that can be taken in the short-term to equip the Union with the necessary capacity to assist in preventing and managing migration crisis situations. It addresses maritime borders management as they face the ever increasing pressure of illegal migration. This communication concerns maximising the capacity of FRONTEX. It outlines a number of new tools to improve European integrated border management. These include a Coastal Patrol Network, a European surveillance system and operational assistance to improve the capacity of Member States to deal with mixed flows of illegal immigrants. Finally the Commission has suggested establishing a pool of experts from Member States' administrations. These experts could be deployed rapidly to help Member States with the initial analysis of asylum seekers by providing, for example, translations or expertise on the asylum seekers' country of origin.

## **CIVIL PROTECTION FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT**

The Council re-examined the Commission proposal for a Council Regulation establishing a Rapid Response and Preparedness Instrument for major emergencies, which was renamed "Draft Decision establishing a civil protection financial instrument". This Decision, which the Council had already examined on 5 and 6 October 2006, should establish the financial basis for Community action in the field of civil protection, covering:

- the response and preparedness actions covered by the Civil Protection Mechanism,
- actions presently covered by the 1999-2006 Civil Protection Action Programme, such as contributing to prevention (study of causes of disasters, forecasting, public information) and preparedness (detection, training, networking, exercises, mobilisation of expertise) inside the EU,
- new areas such as financing additional equipment and transport in response actions under the civil protection mechanism.

The indicative annual amounts made available under the 2007-2013 Financial Framework are EUR 17 mio for actions inside the EU and EUR 8 mio for actions in third countries.

The area of transport and equipment was the main point of discussion in Council. In this respect, the Council examined the following Presidency compromise solution:

- helping the Member States to identify commercial transport resources and equipment, as well as helping them to access transport resources, in particular through brokerage contracts,
- free grants for the provision of transport, both inside and outside the EU, if the criteria of absolute need and unavailability are met,
- no finance for the provision of equipment.

Although a large majority could agree to the Presidency proposal, the Council could not reach a consensus on these elements and instructed Coreper to continue work on this file with a view to reaching agreement as soon as possible.

The Council also invited the Commission to take the necessary steps to ensure the continuation of the Union's civil protection activities beyond 31 December 2006.

The latter invitation is meant to remedy the fact that the failure to formally adopt the present financial instrument before January 2007 will lead to difficulties in financing after that date the operation of the civil protection mechanism and of the civil protection activities currently covered by the current Civil Protection Action Programme (2000-2006).

**FUTURE OF EUROPOL - Council conclusions**

Pending the lifting of a scrutiny reservation entered by the Czech Republic, the Council agreed on the following conclusions:

**"Conclusion 1**

The Council is pleased to note that the ratification of the three Protocols amending the Europol Convention has been completed by nearly all Member States. Provided that the remaining ratifications are notified by the end of the year, the Protocols will enter into force during the first quarter of 2007.

**Conclusion 2**

- The Council notes that the procedure for the adoption of the Management Board decisions needed for the implementation of the three Protocols amending the Europol Convention is well underway. The Council calls for the procedure to be finalised as soon as possible, allowing these instruments to enter into force either together with, or shortly after, the entry into force of the respective Protocols.
- The Council underlines the need to adopt the legal instruments based on Articles 6a and 10 of the amended Europol Convention as soon as possible after the entry into force of the 2003 Protocol amending the Europol Convention.
- As far as practical implementation of the Protocols is concerned, the Council recognises the progress made by Europol.

**Conclusion 3**

- The Council welcomes the progress made by Europol regarding the implementation of the relevant options that do not require amendment of the Europol Convention (contained in 9184/1/06).
- The Council endorses the recommendations to implement the aforementioned options set out in the Addendum.

#### **Conclusion 4**

On the basis that it constitutes a clear improvement of the operational and administrative functioning of Europol, the Council agrees that the Europol Convention should be replaced by a Council Decision, subject to a full assessment of the implications of the following elements:

- i) financing of Europol from the general budget of the European Union, and
- ii) application of the EU Staff Regulations as well as the Protocol on Privileges and Immunities of the European Communities to Europol Staff guided by the principle of budget neutrality and taking into account the specific requirements resulting from Europol's mandate and tasks.

The Council takes note of the intention of the Commission to submit a proposal to it for a Council Decision replacing the Europol Convention, which will be based on the aforementioned principles.

The Europol Working Party and the Article 36 Committee are instructed to commence work on the basis of that proposal and, following the aforementioned full assessment, to report to the Council in April 2007 and by the latest in June 2007, in order to allow it to decide on the method of financing and the status of the staff of Europol.

The Council also instructs the Europol Working Party to address the options requiring an amendment of the Europol Convention (contained in *9184/1/06*) on the basis of the proposal of the Commission for Council Decision replacing the Europol Convention.

The Council aims to reach a political agreement on the essential elements of the draft Council Decision before the end of the German Presidency, the adoption of the text should take place in due time thereafter.

#### **Conclusion 5**

The Council decides that in case the Europol Convention is replaced by a Council Decision, there is no need for the Council to establish a Protocol to repeal the Europol Convention.

However, each Contracting Party to the Europol Convention could, if need be, declare that, given the intention to replace the Europol Convention by a Council Decision, and given the transposition period to be foreseen in that Council Decision, it will avail itself of that opportunity to complete any procedure as may be required under constitutional law to terminate the Europol Convention in its national legal order.

Such procedures shall not influence the entry into force and the implementation of the Council Decision."

## **COUNTER-TERRORISM**

The EU Counter-terrorism Coordinator, Gijs de Vries, reported on the implementation of the EU-Counter-Terrorism Strategy/Action Plan (15266/1/06 + ADD 1 REV 2).

During his presentation, Mr de Vries highlighted the following issues:

- Cooperation between the EU and the United States, Russia, Australia and other international partners has been extended further. Pakistan and Egypt have recently made a request to the Counter-terrorism Coordinator to intensify cooperation on counter-terrorism with the EU.
- The efficiency of decision-making in the Justice and Home Affairs field is reduced by the need for unanimity. The process is slow and uncertain and faster progress must be made to implement the counter-terrorism strategy. There also remains a lack of efficiency in implementation.
- Member States should continue to increase their cooperation with Europol.
- Considering the impact of radicalisation and recruitment on the security of the Member States, implementation of the EU Action Plan on Radicalisation and Recruitment is of the utmost importance.
- Member States should further work to manage the consequences of a terrorist attack, by improving capabilities to deal with the aftermath, the coordination of the response, and the needs of victims.

The Presidency thanked the EU Counter-terrorism Coordinator for his report and recalled the importance of timely implementation of the actions agreed at EU level.

In December 2005 the European Council adopted the European Counter-Terrorism Strategy, which has provided the framework for EU activity in this field. The strategic commitment of the Union is to combat terrorism globally while respecting human rights, and make Europe safer, allowing its citizens to live in an area of freedom, security and justice. The strategy groups all actions under four headings - PREVENT, PROTECT, PURSUE, RESPOND. The revised Action Plan follows this pattern with the objective of setting out clearly what the EU is trying to achieve and the means by which it intends to do so.

## **STRATEGY FOR THE EXTERNAL DIMENSION OF JHA**

The Council took note of a Presidency report on the implementation of the "Strategy for the External Dimension of JHA: Global Freedom, Security and Justice" for the year 2006.

This strategy calls for the establishment of a partnership with third countries in the field of JHA, which includes strengthening the rule of law, and promoting respect for human rights and international obligations. This is achieved through cooperation on:

- migration and asylum;
- border management and effective control of borders;
- law enforcement cooperation on combating terrorism as well as the fight against organised crime, including trafficking in human beings, money laundering and the fight against corruption;
- judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters;
- assisting the judiciary and judicial reform in third countries.

The report focuses on:

- an overall assessment of the effectiveness of political, technical and operational co-operation with third countries on JHA issues in the previous period;
- specific suggestions for geographical and/or thematic priorities for future action; and
- options for measures, whether political or technical, to improve co-operation with specific countries and regions.

**MIXED COMMITTEE*****– Data protection in the framework of police and judicial co-operation in criminal matters***

The Mixed Committee (EU+ Norway, Iceland and Switzerland) took note of the state-of-play concerning this file.

The main fundamental outstanding question is whether this Framework Decision should also apply to domestic data processing, or only to cross-border data processing.

The position of the vast majority of delegations has so far been that any data gathered in the context of an internal investigation could, at a later stage, possibly be exchanged with foreign authorities and that therefore the scope of the Framework Decision should encompass all data. The opposing delegations thought the scope of the Framework Decision should be limited to the cross-border exchange of data.

This Framework Decision would determine common standards to ensure the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data in the framework of police and judicial co-operation in criminal matters, provided for by Title VI of the Treaty on European Union, while safeguarding citizens' freedom and providing them with a high level of safety.

***– Enlargement of the Schengen area, SISone4all, SIS II***

The Mixed Committee agreed on conclusions on this issue. The conclusions were adopted by the Council afterwards (see p. 13).



## **OTHER BUSINESS**

The Council took note of the state-of-play concerning the following issues

- The implementation of the Council Framework Decision on the European Evidence Warrant for obtaining objects, documents and data for use in proceedings in criminal matters;
- The European Contract Law, at the request of Estonia;
- Violent video and computer games, at the request of the Commission;
- Situation on Lithuanian - Latvian border, at the request of Lithuania;
- Progress report on the Prüm Convention, at the request of Germany.

**OTHER ITEMS APPROVED****Integrated Border Management - *Council conclusions***

"The Council:

*Recalls* the importance of the concept of integrated management of the external borders for the progressive establishment of an area of freedom, security and justice, from the Tampere Programme of 1999, the Schengen catalogue on border control, the Commission's Communication "Towards an integrated management of the external borders of the Member States of the European Union" followed by the Plan for the Management of the external borders of the Member States of the European Union of 2002, the Hague Programme of 2004, the Global Approach to Migration of 2005 and the Council Conclusions on reinforcing the southern external maritime borders of October 2006.

*Recognises* the continuous successful work towards integrated management of the external borders and its specific components consisting of:

- *A common corpus of legislation*, in particular the Schengen Borders Code as well as the Regulation on local border traffic;
- *Operational cooperation* between Member States, including cooperation as coordinated by the European Agency for the Management of Operational Cooperation at the External Borders of the Member States of the European Union (FRONTEX);
- *Solidarity* between Member States and the Community through the establishment of an External Borders Fund.

The Council:

*Takes note of* the deliberations of the Strategic Committee on Immigration, Frontiers and Asylum on a strategy for integrated border management as contained in 13926/3/06.

*Encourages* the Strategic Committee on Immigration, Frontiers and Asylum to continue developing its role of monitoring policy development with regard to the control at the external borders,

*Concludes the following:*

1. *Integrated border management* is a concept consisting of the following dimensions:
  - Border control (checks and surveillance) as defined in the Schengen Borders Code, including relevant risk analysis and crime intelligence
  - Detection and investigation of cross border crime in coordination with all competent law enforcement authorities
  - The four-tier access control model (measures in third countries, cooperation with neighbouring countries, border control, control measures within the area of free movement, including return)
  - Inter-agency cooperation for border management (border guards, customs, police, national security and other relevant authorities) and international cooperation
  - Coordination and coherence of the activities of Member States and Institutions and other bodies of the Community and the Union.
2. *Calls* on FRONTEX and the Member States to improve the effect and uniformity of border control, in particular by further developing the Common Integrated Risk Analysis. Common measures should be widened to cover operational and tactical level assessments and activities.
3. *Calls* on FRONTEX and the Member States to continue the development of regional border management initiatives and to involve partner countries in those activities.
4. *Calls* on Member States to contribute to joint operations under the aegis of FRONTEX by providing personnel and equipment.
5. *Invites* FRONTEX to define, in cooperation with Member States, the eligible cost of personnel and equipment for joint operations.
6. *Invites* Member States to improve national inter-agency cooperation, particularly in terms of exercising joint crime intelligence and risk analysis in order to better combat of all forms of cross-border crime and illegal immigration, and facilitate the movement of traffic.

7. *Requests* the relevant bodies of the Community and the Union to further develop their cooperation with regard to integrated border management.
8. *Invites* Member States to continue local coordination of the activities of immigration liaison officers.
9. *Underlines* the importance of consular cooperation and consular activities for the purpose of combating illegal immigration and the protection of the external borders of the Member States of the European Union.
10. *Commits itself* to deepen and widen the discussions on future steps towards the further development of integrated management of the external borders in the light of the Hague Programme, the Communication of the Commission on reinforcing the southern external maritime borders and the Communication of the Commission on Policy priorities in the fight against illegal immigration of third country nationals, while taking into account the evaluation of the tasks of FRONTEX, in particular an assessment of whether the Agency should concern itself with other aspects of border management, including enhanced cooperation with customs services and other competent authorities for goods-related security matters, to be carried out by the Commission in 2007.
11. *Notes* the significant contribution of Schengen Evaluations to the development of a uniform, transparent and high level of border control in Member States and welcomes the forthcoming Commission proposal to supplement the existing Schengen mechanism with a supervisory mechanism, ensuring full involvement of Member States' experts and including unannounced inspections."

### **European Police College - Work Programme 2007**

The Council approved the European Police College (CEPOL) Work Programme for 2007, which will be forwarded to the European Parliament and the Commission for information (13435/06).

### **Relations with Russia**

The Council took note of the action-oriented paper on implementing with Russia the Common Space of Freedom, Security and Justice (15534/1/06 REV 1).

### **Judicial cooperation in civil matters**

The Council took note of a Presidency note on questions relating to judicial cooperation in civil matters examined in other Community draft instruments (15776/1/06).

## **JUDICIAL COOPERATION AND CRIMINAL MATTERS**

### **Previous convictions taken into account within the EU**

The Council reached a general approach on a draft Framework Decision on taking into account convictions in the Member States of the EU in the course of new criminal proceedings (15445/1/06). The text will then be prepared by the Legal Linguists and adopted when all the parliamentary reservations are lifted.

The purpose of this Framework Decision is to determine the conditions under which, in the course of criminal proceedings against a person in a Member State, previous convictions handed down against the same person for different facts in other Member States, are taken into account.

Following entry into force of the Framework Decision, Member States will have two years to comply with its provisions.

## **TERRORISM**

### **Recommendations on counter-terrorism**

The Council approved a set of policy recommendations on counter-terrorism, with a view to their incorporation into the EU Counter-Terrorism Action Plan.

### **Implementation of the radicalisation and recruitment strategy**

The Council took note of the implementation report of the Radicalisation and Recruitment Strategy and Action Plan drawn up by the Presidency and the Counter-Terrorism Coordinator in cooperation with the Commission.

## **VISAS**

### **List of third countries whose nationals need visas when entering the EU**

The Council agreed on a general approach for a draft Regulation aimed at amending the lists of third countries whose nationals must be in possession of a visa when entering the EU and of those who are exempt from this requirement (16020/06). The European Parliament is expected to deliver its opinion during the December plenary session.

Regulation No 539/2001<sup>1</sup> sets up two lists of third countries: countries whose nationals must be in possession of visas when crossing the external borders of the EU and countries whose nationals are exempt from the visa requirement. The Regulation is based on the principle of reciprocity and takes account of illegal immigration and public policy criteria. It applies to short-stay visas only (no longer than three months).

According to the agreed text, Regulation No 539/2001 would be amended so that:

- Bolivia is transferred to the visa requirement list with effect from 1 April 2007;
- Antigua and Barbuda, the Bahamas, Barbados, Mauritius, Saint Kitts and Nevis and the Seychelles are transferred to the visa exemption list from the date of entry into force of agreements concluded with them;
- various categories of "British" persons who are not nationals of the United Kingdom within the meaning of Community law are added to the visa requirement list; and British nationals overseas are added to the visa exemption list.

The draft Regulation also includes, subject to certain conditions, a visa exemption for holders of a "EU local border traffic card", recognised refugees, stateless persons and pupils on school excursions.

## **SCHENGEN**

### **Preparedness of new Member States**

The Council adopted conclusions on the state of preparedness of the ten new Member States with regard to the implementation of all provisions of the Schengen acquis except SIS-related issues.

### **Local Schengen cooperation - *Council conclusions***

"The Council:

Recalling the Council Conclusions on improving the efficiency of and intensifying local consular cooperation between the Member States in third countries of 11 June 2003<sup>2</sup>;

---

<sup>1</sup> Published in the Official Journal L 81 of 21.3.2001.

<sup>2</sup> 10529/03 VISA 106 COMIX 390.

Appreciating the findings and recommendations made in the targeted missions reports 2004-2006;

Acknowledging the added value and the necessity of local Schengen cooperation in order to ensure a harmonised application of Community legislation on issuing visas and equal treatment of visa applicants;

Aware of the need for the various actors (Member States' central authorities, in particular) to support actively this essential part of the common visa policy;

Aware that this need should be met without waiting for entry into force of the Code on Visas which is to adjust the arrangements for local consular cooperation and without prejudice to the said legislative adjustments;

concludes that :

1. The Member States' central authorities should:

- effectively and actively support the strengthening of local Schengen cooperation;
- inform diplomatic missions and consular posts on legislative acts well in advance of the implementation of these acts, transmit outcomes of proceedings of the Visa Working Party, and other relevant documents highlighting issues of specific importance;
- instruct their diplomatic missions and consular posts that active participation by the heads of visa sections or their deputies and, wherever deemed necessary, Member States' immigration liaison officers at local Schengen cooperation meetings is imperative and mandatory;
- improve the preparation of consular staff to be posted abroad by increasing their knowledge of Community rules on local consular cooperation and by raising their awareness of local Schengen cooperation as a key element of visa work;
- organise regional in-service training regularly, invite other Member States to participate in relevant sessions, and where appropriate, invite guest speakers from other Member States and from the Commission;
- monitor the state of affairs of consular cooperation on the basis of the reports submitted from the various jurisdictions, so as to intervene in time where problems cannot be solved locally;

- submit problems notified by representations and which cannot be solved locally to the relevant Council body.

2. Member States' diplomatic missions and consular posts should:

- actively contribute to the regular organisation of and discussions in local Schengen cooperation meetings in line with the Common Consular Instructions, and ensure harmonised follow up of the conclusions of these meetings;
- set up sub-groups, when appropriate, mandated by all participants of the local Schengen cooperation to examine specific problem areas identified within the jurisdiction;
- draw up reports, individually or collectively, on the outcome of local Schengen cooperation meetings and forward them to central authorities, highlighting important developments, trends and problems which require action by central authorities.

3. The Presidency-in-office at local level should:

- organise local Schengen cooperation meetings, in line with the Common Consular Instructions and take stock of the follow up of the conclusions of these meetings;
- organise exchanges of information in relation to application of new legislative acts in order to promote harmonised implementation by all Member States' consular representations;
- invite the representative of the Commission delegation to participate in the meetings;
- organise common training sessions and coordinate exchanges of personnel among consular representations locally, wherever possible.

4. The Presidency-in-office and the relevant Council body should:

- every January, in collaboration with the Presidency which is to follow (and after consulting of all Member States), select locations where problems in relation to local consular cooperation have been reported, carry out an assessment of the situation with the assistance of experts and suggest solutions and submit a report at the latest in October of the same year for further consideration by the relevant Council body;
- allocate sufficient time and preparation for the relevant Council body to address and solve issues in relation to the harmonised application of the common visa policy and which cannot be solved at local level;



- endeavour in cooperation with the Commission to organise common training sessions in Brussels for experts from Member States especially in view of the new legislation on visas/ after the new legislation on visas comes into force;
- task, on case-by-case basis, experts from the Member States and the Commission to examine relevant operational visa issues;
- examine the Presidency report on local Schengen cooperation."

## **EUROPOL**

### **Rules of procedure**

The Council approved a Decision of the Joint Supervisory Body of Europol of 26 June 2006 amending its rules of procedure in order to introduce a provision on access to documents.

The proposed provision is in line with the rules presently applicable to other EU bodies and will not affect the provision in the Europol Convention on access to data held by Europol.

The Decision of the Joint Supervisory Body will be published in the Official Journal of the European Union.

### **Negotiations with third States and non EU-related bodies**

The Council adopted a Decision whereby China and Liechtenstein are added to the list of third States and non EU-related bodies with which the Director of Europol is authorised to enter into negotiations. This Decision amends Council Decision of 27 March 2000.<sup>1</sup>

## **CRIMINAL MATTERS**

### **Cooperation against organised crime**

The Council took note of the report on the state of implementation by Member States and EU bodies of the Action-Oriented Paper on improving Cooperation on Organised Crime, Corruption, Illegal Immigration and Counter-terrorism, between the EU, the Western Balkans and relevant ENP countries.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ C 106 of 13.4.2000, p. 1.

## **UN Convention against corruption**

The Council agreed on a common position in preparation for the first conference of the States party to the United Nations Convention against corruption scheduled to take place in Jordan from 10 to 14 December.

## **Fight against organised crime**

The Council took note of the state-of-play of implementation by the Member States and EU bodies of EU priorities for the fight against organised crime based on the 2006 Organised Crime Threat Assessments (OCTA).

According to the Council conclusions setting the EU priorities for the fight against organised crime based on the 2006 Organised Crime Threat Assessments (OCTA)<sup>1</sup> adopted by the Council on 6 June 2006, all relevant authorities in the Member States should take into account the strategic priorities adopted by the Council in planning their individual and joint actions against organised crime (OC), alongside their national strategies and priorities. Simultaneously, all relevant EU bodies, agencies and working groups should take these priorities into account and reflect them in their strategic planning, working programmes, budgets, annual reporting and external relations.

## **EU action plan against trafficking in human beings**

The Council took note of the state of play in relation to the EU action plan on trafficking in human beings, and called for continued monitoring and evaluations of the actions contained therein during 2007 and 2008.

The action plan contains broad principles guiding the actions against human trafficking and a table of actions.

In December 2005 the Council adopted an EU action plan on best practices, standards and procedures for combating and preventing trafficking in human beings as required by the Hague Programme and confirmed that the action plan should be updated regularly. (*See Official Journal of the EU C 311, 9.12.2005*).

---

<sup>1</sup> 10181/06 CRIMORG 94 ENFOPOL 115 ENFOCUSTOM 50.

**Prevention and control of violence at football matches - *Council resolution***

"The Council of the European Union,

Whereas:

- (1) The European Union's objective is, inter alia, to provide citizens with a high level of safety within an area of freedom, security and justice by developing common action among the Member States in the field of police *mutatis mutandis* in connection with other international cooperation.
- (2) On 21 June 1999 the Council adopted a resolution concerning a handbook for international police cooperation and measures to prevent and control violence disturbances in connection with international football matches<sup>1</sup>.
- (3) This resolution was replaced by the Council resolution of 6 December 2001 concerning a handbook with recommendations for international police cooperation and measures to prevent and control violence and disturbances in connection with football matches with an international dimension, in which at least one Member State is involved<sup>2</sup>.
- (4) The current resolution suggests that amendments to the handbook be proposed in the light of recent experience.
- (5) Taking into account experience in recent years, such as the European Championships in 2004 and the experts' assessment of international police cooperation in the framework of that tournament as well as extensive police cooperation in respect of international and club matches in Europe generally, the handbook annexed to the aforementioned resolution of 6 December 2001 has been revised and updated.
- (6) The changes included in the annexed updated handbook are without prejudice to existing national provisions, in particular the divisions and responsibilities among the different authorities and services in the Member States concerned, and to the exercise by the Commission of its powers under the Treaty establishing the European Community,

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ C 196, 13.7.1999, p. 1.

<sup>2</sup> OJ C 22, 24.1.2002, p. 1.

hereby adopts this resolution,

- (7) The Council requests Member States to continue to step up police cooperation in respect of football matches with an international dimension.
- (8) To that end, the updated handbook annexed hereto provides examples of strongly recommended working methods that should be made available to police forces.
- (9) This Resolution replaces the Council Resolution of 6 December 2001."

#### **Further development of the SECI centre - *Council conclusions***

"The Council of the European Union:

- 1. Recognising the serious dimension of organised crime originating from or linked to South East Europe, which is endangering long-term political, economical and social development in the region and undermining the concept of rule of law;
- 2. Welcomes the *South East European Cooperation Initiative Center for Combating Transborder Crime* (SECI Center), which, along with other initiatives, plays an important role in strengthening regional cooperation in fighting organised crime;
- 3. Considers the SECI Center as an important regional contribution to the security of the European Union as a whole and thanks SECI Center's the current facilitators, in particular the transatlantic partners, for the support they have given so far;
- 4. Stresses that these initiatives must not duplicate existing mechanisms within the European Union, such as Europol, or other initiatives in the region;
- 5. Welcomes the fact that the SECI Member States are considering the development of the SECI Center as an organisation cooperating in close association with Europol and underlines the importance of close cooperation between the SECI Center and Europol as recommended in the *Action Oriented Paper on Improving Cooperation, on Organised Crime, Corruption, Illegal Immigration and Counter-terrorism, between the EU, Western Balkans and relevant ENP countries* and endorses Europol's continued support for the SECI Center;

6. In line with the European Commission Assessment of the SECI Regional Center for Combating Trans-Border Crime, welcomes the intention of the SECI Center Member States to develop a new legal basis for the SECI Center which is a basic requirement for a full cooperation between the SECI Center and Europol.
7. Underlines that the compatibility of membership of both the European Union and of the SECI Center requires an institutional and operational link between Europol and SECI Center which takes into account the driving role of Europol as the EU body for the fight against organised crime, this should be reflected in the legal framework of the SECI Center so as to avoid any duplication of roles and tasks.
8. Encourages the SECI Member States to participate actively in the work on the future of the SECI Center.
9. Endorses the creation of the "SECI Center Support Group" to assist the SECI Member States in creating a new legal framework to strengthen and further develop the SECI Center and invites the Member States, the European Commission and Europol to support this endeavour in an appropriate manner.
10. Invites the European Commission to examine possibilities for financial support for specific projects of the SECI Center in accordance with the procedures of the CARDS programme and of the Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance under the new Financial Perspective as of 1 January 2007, especially in order to enhance cooperation between South East European countries, facilitated by the SECI Center.
11. Considering the expected timeframe for entry into force of the new legal basis, the Council encourages the SECI Member States to adequately finance, fully make use of and further develop the existing structure of the SECI Center and support it in reaching its objective.
12. Calls upon the Presidency-in-office to present annually a report, drawn up in cooperation with the European Commission and Europol, on the cooperation of the EU Member States and the EU institutions and bodies concerned with the SECI Center, and to keep the Council informed on the further development of a new legal framework for the SECI Center.

Reference documents:

1. The London Statement – Defeating Organised Crime in South Eastern Europe of 25 November 2002

2. Report by the Friends of the Presidency on concrete measures to be taken to effectively enhance the fight against organised crime originating from the Western Balkans of 13 October 2004
3. Council Conclusions on the Report of the Friends of Presidency on the fight against organised crime originating from or linked to the Western Balkans of 2 December 2004
4. Development of an EU strategy towards the Western Balkan region (11087/05)
5. Conclusions Statement of the EU-Troika and the Western Balkans - Third Meeting of the EU-Western Balkans Forum of 25 November 2005
6. Action-Oriented Paper on Improving Cooperation on Organised Crime, Corruption, illegal Immigration and Counter-terrorism, between the EU, the Western Balkans and relevant ENP countries of 1 and 2 June 2006
7. SECI Center Joint Cooperation Committee's Strategy of the Southeast European Cooperative Initiative Regional Center for Combating Transborder Crime 2005-2010
8. European Commission Assessment of the SECI Regional Center for Combating Trans-Border Crime"

#### **European Crime Prevention Network annual report 2005 - *Council conclusions***

"The Council,

Bearing in mind that the European Crime Prevention Network (EUCPN) Annual Report 2005 deals, *inter alia*, with an overview of objectives for 2005 on institutional and organisational matters especially focused on

- (a) the restructuring of the EUCPN,
- (b) the EUCPN website,
- (c) the establishment of a more effective approach to EUCPN support services,
- (d) the creation of a meaningful, achievable Work Programme,
- (e) the establishment of a basis for gathering Good Practice,

Bearing in mind that the European Crime Prevention Network (EUCPN) Annual Report 2005 also gives an overview of the objectives for 2006, which are:

- (f) Working in close cooperation with the Commission, to implement further measures which will strengthen and professionalize the EUCPN, in line with the Hague Programme. This will include a further assessment of the need to amend the 2001 Council Decision setting the EUCPN up;
  - (g) Delivering the work programme (per the agreed project plans);
  - (h) Developing the work programme around specific content, and supporting and encouraging participation of more Member States and especially new Member States;
  - (i) Developing the independent EUCPN website (covering a number of areas which would be delivered as work programme projects);
  - (j) Developing the knowledge database with good practice crime prevention material;
  - (k) Developing the library of research material and other information on the EUCPN website;
  - (l) Through the Research and Validation Committee, developing a research-based approach to the investigation of present and future criminal activity. The Research and Validation Committee will develop and deliver an agreed programme of work which will inform the strategic direction and future activity of the EUCPN in terms of considering measures to prevent criminality.
1. Welcomes with interest the Annual Report 2005 and endorses its content as set out in *11131/06* . It stresses again the fact that it regards volume crime prevention as a fully integrated part of the fight against crime and the fear of crime to ensure the well being of the citizens in the EU Member States. The Council further underlines that crime prevention can be regarded as a tool to promote the aim that the citizens of Europe can really live in an area of freedom, security and justice.
  2. Notes with satisfaction that the EUCPN has taken a very important step towards strengthening and professionalizing its organisation, in line with the Hague Programme as adopted by the European Council on 4 and 5 November 2004.

3. Expresses its confidence in the EUCPN's capability to contribute, through its initiatives, to the further development and implementation of the various aspects of crime prevention at European Union level and in Member States.
4. Welcomes the initiatives of the EUCPN and the Commission for further cooperation with the Commission on strengthening and professionalizing the EUCPN.
5. Supports the objectives, scope and subjects set out for 2006 and outlined in the Annual Report 2005, but, in respect of point a) of the 2006 objectives, notes that there is already an agreement among the members of the EUCPN not to amend in the short term the 2001 Council Decision setting the EUCPN up.
6. Calls upon the EUCPN, to submit, if appropriate, recommendations as part of its future Annual Reports.
7. Supports the Network's decision to postpone the independent evaluation of the EUCPN from 2007 to 2008, taking note of its internal progress review in 2007."

#### **Identity cards - *Member States' Resolution***

"The representatives of the governments of the Member States of the European Union, meeting within the Council,

Recognising the mandate given to Member States by the Hague Programme and the 13 July 2005 Justice and Home Affairs Council;

Recognising the importance of ensuring the security of travel and other identity documents;

Considering that these standards relate only to security standards, not to any domestic uses of national identity cards and that no legally binding standards or timetables are being imposed;

Recalling the Council conclusions from December 2005 approving "the above mentioned interim conclusions of the experts working in the Committee created by Article 6 of Council Regulation (EC) 1683/95";

Considering that the experts working in the Committee created by Article 6 of Council Regulation (EC) 1683/95 have prepared minimum security standards of identity cards;

Inviting the Member States of the European Union to continue the work on an intergovernmental basis;



have agreed as follows:

minimum security standards of identity cards valid for travel issued by Member States

Introduction:

This document lays down the minimum level of security that the Member States' Identity Cards valid for travel are required to meet. The provisions are concerned primarily with the biographical data (front side of the card). Appropriate provisions should be made also on the back of the card in order to protect the card against attempts at tampering with the data e.g. by splitting and/or delamination.

The ID-card may consist of various basic materials. This document specifies the minimum level of security for the specific material that is used.

## 1. Material

The ID-card can be established as a card with paper core which is laminated on both sides or a card made entirely of a synthetic substrate.

If the ID-card is made of a synthetic substrate with paper core the paper shall meet the following minimum requirements:

- no optical brighteners,
- duotone watermarks,
- security reagents or equivalent protection to guard against attempts at tampering by chemical erasure and/or delamination,
- coloured fibres (partly visible and partly fluorescent under UV light, or invisible and fluorescent in at least two colours),
- UV-fluorescent planchettes are recommended,
- the use of security thread is recommended.

If the ID-card is made entirely of a synthetic substrate, it is not usually possible to incorporate the authentication marks used in passport or travel document paper. In this case, the lack of marks in the materials shall be compensated for by measures in respect of security printing, use of an anti copying device or an issuing technique according to sections 3, 4 and 5 over and above the following minimum standards.

## 2. Biographical data

The ID-card shall be machine-readable in compliance with Part 3 Volume 1 of ICAO Document 9303<sup>1</sup> ("Size 1 and Size 2 Machine Readable Official Travel Documents") and the way they are issued shall comply with the specifications for machine-readable cards set out therein.

The portrait of the holder shall not be affixed but integrated into the material of the front side of the card by the issuing techniques referred to in Section 5.

## 3. Printing techniques

The following printing techniques shall be used:

### A. Background printing:

- two-tone guilloches or equivalent structures,
- rainbow colouring, where possible fluorescent,
- UV-fluorescent overprinting,
- effective anti-counterfeiting and anti-falsification motifs (especially on the biographical data page) with optional use of microprinting,
- reagent inks must be used on paper substrate,
- if the paper of the ID-card is well protected against attempts at tampering, the use of reagent inks is optional

The lay-out of the front of the card shall be distinguishable from the back of the card.

### B. Form printing

With integrated microprinting (unless already included in background printing).

---

<sup>1</sup> Third edition (not yet published)

### C. Numbering

The ID-card shall have a unique document number:

- printed with a special style of figures or typeface and in UV-fluorescent ink or integrated using the same techniques as for the biographical data.

It is recommended that in the ID-cards the unique document number is visible on both sides of the card.

Additional optically variable security devices shall be used on ID-cards made entirely of a synthetic substrate, e.g. ink with optically variable properties or other optically variable devices, completing the requirement of protection at least through the use of a DOVID (diffractive optically variable image device) or equivalent measures.

#### 4. Protection against copying

An optically variable (OVD) or equivalent device, which provides for at least the same level of identification and security as currently used in the uniform format for visas, shall be used on the biographical data page and shall take the form of diffractive structures which vary when viewed from different angles (DOVID)<sup>1</sup> or of features with at least the same level of security, incorporated between the card layers, into the hot-sealed or an equivalent laminate or applied as an OVD overlay.

The OVD devices should be integrated into the document as an element of a layered structure, effectively protecting against forgery and falsification. In documents made of paper, they should be integrated over as wide a surface as possible as an element of the hot-sealed or an equivalent laminate or applied as a security overlay, as described in section 5. In documents made of a synthetic substrate, they should be integrated within the card layers over as wide a surface as possible or applied as a security overlay.

If a synthetic card is personalised by laser engraving, and an optically variable laser written device is incorporated therein, the diffractive OVD shall be applied at least in the form of a positioned metallised or transparent DOVID, to achieve enhanced protection against reproduction.

---

<sup>1</sup> A DOVID is an element of security with a variable and optically diffractive image with a high resolution. An optically variable image can be made of either animated elements of an image (multichannel image), or a permutation of colours, or a switch from a positive image to a negative image, or a 3D effect. Optically diffractive means the spatial distribution of light along the path of propagation for each wavelength individually, e.g. separating white light into its different coloured components.

If the card is made of a synthetic substrate with paper core, the diffractive OVD shall be applied at least in the form of a positioned metallised or transparent DOVID within the layers, to achieve enhanced protection against reproduction.

It is recommended that the biographical data area for cards be given additional protection by means of raised or embossed tactile features.

## 5. Issuing technique

To ensure that ID-cards data are properly secured against attempts at counterfeiting and falsification, biographical data including the holder's portrait, the holder's signature and main issue data, including the machine-readable zone, shall be integrated into the basic material of the document. Conventional methods of attaching the photograph shall no longer be used.

The following issuing techniques may be used:

- laser printing,
- thermotransfer,
- ink-jet printing,
- photographic,
- laser-engraving that effectively penetrates into the card layers bearing the security characteristics.

To ensure that biographical and issue data are adequately protected against attempts at tampering, hot-seal or equivalent lamination, for example in form of a laminated pouch or an overlay with an anti-copying device is compulsory where laser printing, thermo-transfer, ink-jet printing or photographic techniques are used. For paper based cards personalised with ink-jet printing lamination is required for sufficient durability.

For all card types it is important that also the back of the card is sufficiently protected in order that the biographical data cannot be altered from behind.

Travel documents shall be issued in machine-readable form. The layout of the ID-card shall follow the specifications given in part 3 Volume 1 of ICAO Document 9303<sup>1</sup> ("Size 1 and Size 2 Machine Readable Official Travel Documents"), and the issuing procedures shall meet the specifications it sets for machine-readable documents.

#### 6. Further security features

Member States have the possibility, with regard to the points above to introduce further security features provided that these are in conformity with decisions already taken on these matters.

#### 7. Biometric features

If ID-cards used for travel purposes contain biometric identifiers, these data shall be stored and be readable in compliance with ICAO specifications on biometrics (Document 9303 part 3<sup>2</sup> Volume 2) and European Union regulations and technical specifications for biometrics in passports, established in Council Regulation (EC) 2252/2004. Other options of biometric features can be added for national use."

### **EXTERNAL RELATIONS**

#### **Cooperation with the USA**

The Council adopted a Decision on the conclusion of the Agreement between the European Community and the United States of America renewing the cooperation programme in the field of higher education and vocational education and training.

### **ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL AFFAIRS**

#### **EU support to the Chernobyl Shelter Fund**

The Council adopted a Decision in order to provide the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development with EUR 14.4 million for the Chernobyl Shelter Fund in 2006 (*14138/06*).

The EU contribution is aimed at supporting Ukraine's efforts to eliminate the consequences of the nuclear accident which occurred at the Chernobyl nuclear power plant on 26 April 1986.

The EU has already contributed EUR 90.5 million over the years 1999-2000 to the Chernobyl Shelter Fund and a further EUR 100 million over the years 2001-2005.

---

<sup>1</sup> Third edition (not yet published)

<sup>2</sup> Third edition (not yet published)

**EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AREA****Norway - Trade preferences in cheese**

The Council adopted a Decision approving the conclusion of an agreement with Norway concerning adjustments of trade preferences in cheese undertaken on the basis of the European Economic Area agreement (13333/06).

Under the agreement Norway will increase the existing annual duty free tariff quota on imports of cheese originating in the European Community by 500 tonnes. The EU will merge the two existing annual duty free tariff quotas on imports of Norwegian cheese and will apply its provisions under a licensing management system.

**ATOMIC QUESTIONS****Agreement with Kazakhstan**

The Council adopted a Decision approving the conclusion by the Commission of an agreement for cooperation in the peaceful uses of nuclear energy between the European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom) and Kazakhstan (15495/06).

**TRANSPARENCY****Public access to documents**

The Council adopted the reply to confirmatory application 38/c/01/06 (14660/06).

---