

Brussels, 18 November 2025 (OR. en)

15596/25

Interinstitutional File: 2024/0318 (COD)

AGRI 625 AGRIORG 141 CODEC 1845

WORKING DOCUMENT

From:	General Secretariat of the Council		
To:	Delegations		
No. Cion doc.:	16776/24		
Subject:	Regulation on cross-border enforcement of rules against unfair trading practices (UTPs)		
	- Four-Column table		

Delegations will find attached an updated four-column table reflecting the political agreement reached at the second trilogue on 12 November 2025. The table contains, in the second and third columns, the European Parliament's and the Council's negotiating positions and, in the fourth column, in the rows marked in green, the text provisionally agreed. Please note that the green rows where there is no text in the fourth column are considered to be deleted. Technical work to align the recitals with the political agreement is ongoing.

15596/25

LIFE.1 EN

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on cooperation among enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain 2024/0318(COD)

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Formula				
1	2024/0318 (COD)	2024/0318 (COD)	2024/0318 (COD)	2024/0318 (COD) Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Docume	nt Stage			

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement				
g 2	Proposal for a	Proposal for a	Proposal for a	Proposal for a				
Docume	nt Type			Text Origin: Commission Proposal				
6 3	REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL				
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal				
Docume	Document Purpose							
G 4	on cooperation among enforcement authorities							

LIFE.1

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain	responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain	responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain	responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Formula				
G	5	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION, Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	CLEAN	nmission posal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Citation	1							
6	Having regard to Functioning of t Union, and in pa	_	Functionin	gard to the Treaty on the ag of the European d in particular Article eof,	Functionin	gard to the Treaty on the ng of the European d in particular Article eof,	Functionir Union, and 43(2) there	gard to the Treaty on the ag of the European d in particular Article eof,
Citation	2							
g 7	Having regard to from the Europe	o the proposal ean Commission,		gard to the proposal European Commission,		gard to the proposal European Commission,	from the E	gard to the proposal suropean Commission,

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement					
Citation	Citation 3								
s 8	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments, Text Origin: Commission Proposal					
Citation	4								
s 9	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ , 1. OJ C [], [], p. [].	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ , 1. OJ C [], [], p. [].	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ , 1. OJ C [], [], p. [].	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ , ———— 1. OJ C [], [], p. [].					

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement			
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal			
	Citation	5						
		Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ¹ ,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ¹ ;	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ¹ ,[1,]	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ¹ ;[',]			
G	10	1. OJ C , , p	1. OJC,,p	1. OJ C , , p	1. OJ C , , p			
					Text Origin: Council and EP Mandate			
	Citation 6							
G	11	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,			

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Formula	a			
G	12	Whereas:	Whereas:	Whereas:	Whereas: Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Recital 1	1	1		
Υ	13	(1) Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ introduced a minimum Union standard of protection against unfair trading practices to reduce the occurrence	(1) Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ introduced a minimum Union standard of protection against unfair trading practices to reduce the occurrence	(1) Within the agricultural and food supply chain, significant imbalances in bargaining power between suppliers and buyers of agricultural and food products	4

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
of practices which are likely to have a negative impact on the living standards of the agricultural community. 1. Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain (OJ L 111, 25.4.2019, p. 59, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2019/633/oj).	of practices which are likely to have a negative impact on the living standards of the agricultural community. 1. Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain (OJ L 111, 25.4.2019, p. 59, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2019/633/oj).	are likely to lead to unfair trading practices. Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ introduced a minimum Union standard of protection against unfair trading practices to reduce the occurrence of such practices which are likely to have a negative impact on the living standards of the agricultural community. 1. Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain (OJ L 111, 25.4.2019, p. 59, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2019/633/oj).	

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Recital 1a							
v 13a		with a finding the Co. 2025. To Comm prohib practice in the constitution in the constitution of the again increase legisla.	The first evaluation of ive (EU) 2019/633 together report on the main as should be presented by mmission by 1 November. The report from the ission on Implementing the ition of unfair trading the ition of unfair trading the ition of farmers and operators agricultural and food chain - State of play of 23 to 24 highlighted the tence of imbalances within ri-food chain, which the ses the need for a tive proposal amending irective in order to further				

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		step up protection for suppliers and ensure sufficient bargaining power to all operators by broadening its scope.		
Recital	2			
s 14	(2) Directive (EU) 2019/633 requires Member States to designate enforcement authorities that ensure the effective enforcement of the prohibitions laid down in that Directive. That Directive also requires the Commission and those enforcement authorities to	(2) Directive (EU) 2019/633 requires Member States to designate enforcement authorities that ensure the effective enforcement of the prohibitions laid down in that Directive. That Directive also requires the Commission and those enforcement authorities to	(2) Directive (EU) 2019/633 requires Member States to designate enforcement authorities that ensure the effective enforcement of the prohibitions laid down in that Directive. That Directive also requires the Commission and those enforcement authorities to	(2) Directive (EU) 2019/633 requires Member States to designate enforcement authorities that ensure the effective enforcement of the prohibitions laid down in that Directive. That Directive also requires the Commission and those enforcement authorities to
	cooperate closely to ensure a common approach with respect to the application of the rules set out in that Directive. In particular, the	cooperate closely to ensure a common approach with respect to the application of the rules set out in that Directive. In particular, the	cooperate closely to ensure a common approach with respect to the application of the rules set out in that Directive. In particular, the	cooperate closely to ensure a common approach with respect to the application of the rules set out in that Directive. In particular, the

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
enforcement authorities should	enforcement authorities should	enforcement authorities should	enforcement authorities should
provide each other with mutual	provide aim to prevent or stop	provide each other with mutual	provide aim to prevent or stop
assistance, including by sharing	unfair trading practices that have	assistance, including by sharing	unfair trading practices that have
information and assisting in	a cross-border dimension and	information and assisting in	a cross-border dimension and
investigations that have a cross-	that are performed in whole or in	investigations that have a cross-	that are performed on their
border dimension.	part on their respective territories.	border dimension. While the	respective territories. They should
	They should do so by providing	scope and the possibilities for	do so by providing each other with
	each other with mutual assistance,	cooperation under that Directive	mutual assistance, including by
	including by sharing information	remain fully available to the	sharing information and assisting
	and assisting in investigations that	enforcement authorities of the	in investigations that have a cross-
	have a cross-border dimension.	Member States, it is opportune	border dimension. While the scope
		to address some difficultites and	and the possibilities for
		increase the effectiveness of the	cooperation under that Directive
		cooperation mechanism.	remain fully available to the
			enforcement authorities of the
			Member States, it is opportune to
			address some difficulties and
			increase the effectiveness of the
			cooperation mechanism

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Recital 3				Text Origin: EP Mandate
		(3) Due to the principle of	(3) Due to the principle of	(3) Due to the principle of	(3) Due to the principle of
		territoriality, enforcement	territoriality, enforcement	territoriality, enforcement	territoriality, enforcement
		authorities may face difficulties	authorities may face difficulties	authorities may face difficulties	authorities may face difficulties
		gathering information, finding an	gathering information, finding an	gathering information, finding an	gathering information, finding an
		infringement and imposing and	infringement and imposing and	infringement and imposing and	infringement and imposing and
		enforcing fines and other equally	enforcing fines and other equally	enforcing fines and other equally	enforcing fines and other equally
G	15	effective penalties where a buyer	effective penalties where a buyer	effective penalties where a buyer	effective penalties where a buyer
		is established in another Member	or a supplier is established in	is established in another Member	is established in another Member
		State. Such difficulties affect the	another Member State or outside	State. Such difficulties affect the	State, for example when operators
		system of enforcement established	the Union, including when a	system of enforcement established	of the food supply chain or their
		by Directive (EU) 2019/633,	buyer is member of one or more	by Directive (EU) 2019/633,	alliances have a cross-border
		which depends on cooperation	retail alliances, as those entities	which depends on cooperation	purchasing strategy. Such
		between enforcement authorities,	have an inherent cross-border	between enforcement authorities,	difficulties affect the system of
		and may lead to an uneven	purchasing strategy and might	and may lead to an uneven	enforcement established by

CI	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
ent	forcement of unfair trading	circumvent certain legal	enforcement of unfair trading	Directive (EU) 2019/633, which
pra	actices, undermining the	provisions, even when the	practices, undermining the	depends on cooperation between
pro	otection for suppliers of	acquired agricultural and food	protection for suppliers of	enforcement authorities, and may
agı	gricultural and food products	products are intended for the	agricultural and food products	lead to an uneven enforcement of
int	tended by that Directive. It is	market of the Member State of	intended by that Directive. It is	unfair trading practices,
the	erefore appropriate to establish	the supplier. Such difficulties	therefore appropriate to establish	undermining the protection for
rul	les strengthening cooperation	affect the system of enforcement	certain rules strengthening	suppliers of agricultural and food
bet	etween enforcement authorities	established by Directive (EU)	cooperation between enforcement	products intended by that
in	cross-border cases.	2019/633, which depends on	authorities in cross-border cases.	Directive. It is therefore
		cooperation between enforcement	Strengthening that cooperation	appropriate to establish <u>certain</u> ,
		authorities, and may lead to an	leads to a more effective	<u>uniform</u> rules strengthening
		uneven enforcement of unfair	protection against unfair trading	cooperation between enforcement
		trading practices, undermining the	practices with cross-border	authorities in cross-border cases.
		protection for suppliers of	dimension and contributes to	Strengthening that cooperation
		agricultural and food products	strengthening farmers' position	leads to a more effective
		intended by that Directive. It is	in the supply chain and thus	protection against unfair trading
		therefore appropriate to establish	ensuring a fair standard of	practices with cross-border
		<u>uniform</u> rules strengthening	living for the agricultural	dimension and contributes to
		cooperation between enforcement	community.	strengthening farmers' position
		authorities in cross-border cases		in the supply chain and thus

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			with the aim of reinforcing the position of farmers within the agricultural and food supply chain and of ensuring fairer standards of living for them.		ensuring a fair standard of living for the agricultural community. Text Origin: Council and EP Mandate
	Recital 4	4			
G	16	(4) Given that Directive (EU) 2019/633 allows Member States to maintain or introduce stricter national rules against unfair trading practices, it should be clarified that this Regulation does not cover those rules. However, the Regulation should allow the Member States to decide that their enforcement authorities can make use of the possibility to exchange	(4) Given that Directive (EU) 2019/633 allows Member States to maintain or introduce stricter national rules against unfair trading practices, it should be clarified that this Regulation does not cover those rules. However, the Regulation should allow the Member States to decide that their enforcement authorities can make use of the possibility to exchange	(4) Given that Directive (EU) 2019/633 allows Member States to maintain or introduce stricter national rules against unfair trading practices, it should be clarified that this Regulation does not cover those rules. However, the Regulation should allow the Member States to may decide that their enforcement authorities can make use of the possibility to	(4) Given that Directive (EU) 2019/633 allows Member States to maintain or introduce stricter national rules against unfair trading practices, it should be clarified that this Regulation does not cover those rules. However, the <i>Regulation should allow the</i> Member States <i>should be able</i> to decide that their enforcement authorities <i>can</i> -make use of the

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
information established under the mutual assistance mechanism set out by this Regulation in relation to such rules. In those cases, the enforcement authorities should still have the right to refuse to comply with such a request.	information established under the mutual assistance mechanism set out by this Regulation in relation to such rules. In those cases, provided that a reason is given for the refusal, the enforcement authorities should still have the right to refuse to comply with such a request for assistance in the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633, except when it concerns the breach of overriding mandatory provisions within the meaning of Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹a that aim to guarantee the stable and sustainable supply of food products to consumers.	exchange information established under the mutual assistance mechanism set out by this Regulation in relation to such rules. In those cases, the enforcement authorities should still have the right to refuse to comply with such a request on the sole condition that they indicate the reason for the refusal.	information possibilities established under the mutual assistance voluntary cooperation mechanism set out by this Regulation in relation to such rules. This possibility can be important in particular when the stricter national rules are categorised in some Member States as overriding mandatory provisions that aim to guarantee the stable and sustainable supply of food products to consumers. In those cases, the enforcement authorities should still have the right to refuse to comply with such a request.

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
ecital 5			
(5) To ensure the effective application of their obligations under this Regulation, enforcement authorities should be provided with the necessary resources and expertise.	(5) To ensure the effective application of their obligations under this Regulation, enforcement authorities should be provided with the necessary resources and expertise, including to avoid any charge for suppliers for submitting a complaint, to ensure confidentiality and to make available, in particular to agricultural and food producers, regulatory bodies and other responsible authorities, clear and accessible information on the possibilities for submitting a complaint.	(5) To ensure the effective application of their obligations under this Regulation, enforcement authorities should be provided with the necessary resources and expertise.	

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
v 18	(6) Enforcement authorities should have the power to provide one another with and use in evidence in accordance with their national law any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information. Information exchanged shall only be used in evidence for the purpose of applying the rules established by Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in respect of the subject-matter for which it was collected by the transmitting authority.	(6) Enforcement authorities should have the power to provide one another with and use in evidence in accordance with their national law any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information, while paying particular attention to the protection of that information, assuring confidentiality and anonymity if requested by the complainant and applying the rules established by Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council ^{1a} and by Directive (EU) 2019/633. Information exchanged shall only be used in evidence for the purpose of applying the rules established by Directive (EU)	(6) Enforcement authorities should have the power to provide one another with and use in evidence in accordance with their national law any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information. Information exchanged shall only be used in evidence for the purpose of applying this Regulation to enforce the rules established by Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in respect of the subject-matter for which it was collected by the transmitting requested authority. The confidentiality of the information should be guaranteed with due regard to the legitimate interests of a natural person or legal person	

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			2019/633 and in respect of the subject-matter for which it was collected by the transmitting authority.	concerned. Requests of complainants for protection of information based on Article 5 (3) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 should be taken into account and protection should also be ensured in cross border enforcement.	
	Recital 7	7			
Υ	19	(7) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory to exercise the powers referred to in Article 6(1), points (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633, in accordance with their national law, on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities.	(7) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory to exercise the powers referred to in Article 6(1), points (b), (c) and (d) and (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633, in accordance with their national law, on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities.	(7) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory to exercise the powers referred to in Article 6(1), points (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633, in accordance with their national law, conduct investigative measures on behalf of and for the account of other	Y

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
						enforcement authorities. Such investigative measures should be carried out in accordance with the powers referred to in Article 6(1), points (a), (b) and (c) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in accordance with the national law of the requested enforcement authority.		
	Recital 7	'a						
Υ	19a			efficiency this Regu- cooperation authorities costs for the	in order to increase the and effectiveness of lation, to secure smooth on between enforcement es and to avoid excessive the requested ent authorities, rules on the costs of measures	(7a) Cooperation between the enforcement authorities concerning the enforcement of final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance with Article 6(1), first subparagraph, point (e) of		Y

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	taken pursuant to this Regulation should be laid down. Moreover, enforcement authorities should be able to recover the costs incurred in relation to the enforcement from the fines collected.	Directive (EU) 2019/633 is very important in order to achieve an effective protection against unfair trading practices with cross-border dimension. For this purpose it is necessary that the requested enforcement authority is vested with a power to enforce a final decision adopted by the applicant enforcement authority when the collection of the fines or the implementation of the equally effective penalty or interim measure by the applicant enforcement authority is unsuccessful. In case where the collection of fines or the implementation of equally effective penalties or interim measures in the Member State	

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
						of the requested enforcement authority is carried out by another authority, the requested enforcement authority should have the power to initiate the collection of the fine or the implementation of the equally effective penalty or interim measures before that competent national authority.		
	Recital 7	b						
Υ	19b					(7b) In order to secure smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities, rules on covering costs of measures taken pursuant to this Regulation should be laid down. In order to avoid that requests		Y

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
					for mutual assistance result in		
					excessive costs for the requested		
					enforcement authorities, while		
					ensuring that the enforcement		
					authorities devote sufficient		
					resources and efforts to the		
					requests, the requested authority		
					should be authorised to ask that		
					the applicant enforcement		
					authority bears all additional		
					costs deriving from the request,		
					unless the costs can be		
					considered as unreasonable, for		
					example due to unnecessary		
					actions. However, in case a fine		
					is collected on behalf of the		
					applicant enforcement		
					authority, the fine should in		
					principle be transferred to the		
					applicant enforcement		

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
						requested should be costs incu enforcem collected, national a for the co fine could requested may requested of the cos	Nevertheless the enforcement authority able to recover the erred in relation to the ent from the fine either by it or by the authority responsible enforcement authority the enforcement authority the enforcement authority the enforcement the enforcement.		
	Recital 8	18							
٧	20	should info	forcement authorities rm one another of an ng practice with a	should info	on through a system for	should inf	forcement authorities form one another of an ling practice with a		У

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	cross-border dimension that has occurred or is occurring in their territory.	notifying alerts of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension that has occurred or is occurring in their territory.	cross-border dimension that has occurred or is occurring in their territory.	
Recital	9			
21	(9) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory and in accordance with their national law to enforce, or initiate proceedings for the enforcement of, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities, provided that those other enforcement authorities have made reasonable	(9) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory and in accordance with their national law to enforce, or initiate proceedings for the enforcement of, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities, provided that those other enforcement authorities have <i>made reasonable</i>	(9) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory and in accordance with their national law to enforce, or initiate proceedings for the enforcement of, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties, or interim measures on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities, provided that those other enforcement authorities have	

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	efforts to ascertain that the buyers against which the fines or other equally effective penalties are to be enforced do not have sufficient assets in the Member States of those other enforcement authorities.	efforts to ascertain that the buyers against which ascertained that the fines or other equally effective penalties are to cannot be enforced do not have sufficient assets in the Member States of those other enforcement authorities.	made reasonable efforts to ascertain that the buyers against which the fines or other equally effective penalties, or the interim measures are to be enforced do not have sufficient assets in the Member States of those other enforcement authorities.	
Recital 1	.0			
22	(10) Enforcement authorities should be able to exchange and seek information from other enforcement authorities by issuing requests for information. These requests should specify what information is considered necessary in each case to conduct	(10) Enforcement authorities should be able to exchange and seek information from other enforcement authorities by issuing requests for information. These requests should specify what information is considered necessary in each case to conduct	(10) Enforcement authorities should be able to exchange and seek information from cooperate with other enforcement authorities by issuing requests for information mutual assistance. These requests should specify what information or measure is considered necessary in each case	

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
		investigati practices.	ons of unfair trading	investigat practices.	tions of unfair trading	trading prequeste to ascert should in information	ct investigations of unfair ractices. To enable the ed enforcement authority rain its role, the request neclude all necessary tion about the alleged rading practice.		
	Recital 1	L0a							
Υ	22a			efficiency cooperati authoritic mechanis to allow f urgent re requested will be be	n order to increase the v of cross-border ion between enforcement es, a procedural sm should be established for the prioritisation of equests. In this way, the l enforcement authority exter able to manage provide a response				Y

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			within the prescribed legal time limit, while respecting the principle of good cooperation and in the spirit of effective protection of the legitimate interests of the affected parties in the agricultural and food supply chain. In addition to this, it would be useful to establish a mechanism for managing subsequent requests for clarification, without retaining the 45-day time limit.		
	Recital 1	11			
Υ	23	(11) Enforcement authorities should not be entitled to refuse to comply with a request for information or to refuse to	(11) Enforcement authorities should not be entitled to refuse to comply with a request for information or to refuse to	(11) Enforcement authorities should not be entitled to refuse to comply with a request for information or to refuse to	Y

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
participate in enforcement measures unless it is likely that enforcement actions and administrative decisions taken at national level outside the mutual assistance mechanism would ensure cessation of the unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension. Moreover, enforcement authorities should give reasons for such a refusal.	participate in enforcement measures unless it is likely that enforcement actions and administrative decisions taken at national level outside the mutual assistance mechanism would ensure cessation of the unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension. Moreover, enforcement authorities should give reasons for such a refusal.	participate in enforcement measures unless it is likely that other enforcement actions-and, administrative decisions or judicial proceedings taken at national level outside the mutual assistance mechanism would ensure cessation of the unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension. Refusal should also be possible in case the request falls outside the scope of the Regulation or is in contradiction with the national law of the requested enforcement authority. Moreover, enforcement authorities should give reasons for such a refusal.	

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Recital 1	2			
3 24	arrangements on the language regime may pose obstacles to the smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities. For this reason, rules allowing the enforcement authorities to agree on the language to be used in all notifications, requests and communications between them, as well as rules in case of disagreement among them, should be laid down.	arrangements on the language regime may pose obstacles to the smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities. For this reason, rules allowing the enforcement authorities to agree on the language to be used in all notifications, requests and communications between them, as well as rules in case of disagreement among them, should be laid down.	arrangements on the language regime may pose obstacles to the smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities. For this reason, rules allowing the enforcement authorities to agree on the language to be used in all notifications, requests and communications between them, as well as rules in case of disagreement among them, should be laid down.	arrangements on the language regime may pose obstacles to the smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities. For this reason, rules allowing the enforcement authorities to agree on the language to be used in all notifications, requests and communications between them, as well as rules in case of disagreement among them, should be laid down. Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Recital 1	.3							
v 25	uniform of implement laid down implement conferred be able to for requests for measures exercised Regulation the Europe the Councillation standard for Commiss	With a view to ensuring conditions for the station of the measures in this Regulation, ating powers should be on the Commission to develop standard forms ats for information or for enforcement. Those powers should be in accordance with an (EU) No 182/2011 of the pean Parliament and of cil ¹ . In the absence of forms developed by the ion, the enforcement is should be entitled to	implem laid do implem conferm be able for request measure procedure alerts, notification and interpretation of the confermation of the confermatio	With a view to ensuring in conditions for the mentation of the measures with in this Regulation, menting powers should be red on the Commission to to develop standard forms mests for information, the formula assistance or as for enforcement mest, as well as standard mures for prioritising urgent the transmission of the transmission of mations, communications formation among mement authorities. Those should be exercised in	uniform impleme laid dow impleme conferre be able t for reque requests measure exercise Regulati the Euro the Cour standard Commis	With a view to ensuring conditions for the entation of the measures on in this Regulation, enting powers should be don'the Commission to obvelop standard forms ests for information or for enforcement s. Those powers should be don'the enforcement on (EU) No 182/2011 of pean Parliament and of neil ¹ . In the absence of forms developed by the sion, the enforcement es should be entitled to		

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
develop such forms to facilitate the mutual assistance mechanism. 1. Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2011/182/oj).	accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council*. In the absence of standard forms developed by the Commission, the enforcement authorities should be entitled to develop such forms to facilitate the mutual assistance mechanism. 1. Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2011/182/oj).	develop such forms to facilitate the mutual assistance mechanism. 1. Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2011/182/oj).[1]	

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Recital 1	13a			
v 25a		(13a) As regards the refusal of cooperation between authorities, a mediation mechanism, coordinated or represented by the Commission, should be introduced to clarify ambiguities, to resolve potential disagreements or unjustified refusals to cooperate and to prevent blockages of the mutual assistance process.		
Recital 1	14			
26	(14) Where a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, involving at least three Member States may	(14) Where a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, involving at least three Member States may	(14) Where a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, involving buyers and suppliers from at	

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
be taking pl	lace, the enforcement	be taking p	lace, the enforcement	least three	Member States may be		
authorities of	concerned by that	authorities	concerned by that	taking plac	ce, the enforcement		
practice sho	ould be able to issue	practice sho	ould be able to issue	authorities	concerned by that		
alerts, engag	ge in coordinated	alerts throu	igh a dedicated system,	practice sh	ould be able to issue		
actions, and	l designate a	engage in c	oordinated actions, and	alerts, eng	age in coordinated		
coordinator	to coordinate the	designate a	coordinator to	actions, an	nd designate a		
cooperation	among the relevant	coordinate	the cooperation among	coordinato	or to coordinate the		
authorities i	in whose territories the	the relevan	t authorities in whose	cooperatio	n among the relevant		
practice ma	y be taking place. To	territories t	he practice may be	authorities	in whose territories the		
establish wl	hich enforcement	taking plac	e. To establish which	practice m	ay be taking place. To		
authorities a	are concerned by a	enforcemen	nt authorities are	establish v	which enforcement		
widespread	unfair trading practice	concerned	by a widespread unfair	authorities	are concerned by a		
with a cross	s-border dimension, all	trading pra	ctice with a cross-	widespread	d unfair trading practice		
relevant asp	pects should be	border dim	ension, all relevant	with a cros	ss-border dimension, all		
considered,	in particular the place	aspects sho	uld be considered, in	relevant as	spects should be		
where the b	ouyer is established and	particular t	he place where the	considered	l, in particular the place		
the location	of the suppliers that	buyer is est	ablished and the	where the	buyer is established and		
may be affe	ected by the unfair	location of	the suppliers that may	the locatio	n of the suppliers that		
trading prac	ctice. The detection of	be affected	by the unfair trading	may be aff	fected by the unfair		
widespread	unfair trading	practice. Tl	ne detection of	trading pra	actice. The detection of		

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
practices with a cross-border	widespread unfair trading	widespread unfair trading	
dimension should be supported by	practices with a cross-border	practices with a cross-border	
exchanging information between	dimension should be supported by	dimension should be supported by	
enforcement authorities when	exchanging information between	exchanging information between	
there is a reasonable suspicion of	enforcement authorities when	enforcement authorities when	
such unfair trading practices with	there is a reasonable suspicion of	there is a reasonable suspicion of	
a cross-border dimension. The	such unfair trading practices with	such unfair trading practices with	
coordinator should exercise its	a cross-border dimension. The	a cross-border dimension. The	
competence within a framework of	coordinator should exercise its	coordinator should exercise its	
close cooperation with the other	competence within a framework of	competence within a framework of	
enforcement authorities	close cooperation with the other	close cooperation with the other	
concerned. Likewise, all	enforcement authorities	enforcement authorities	
enforcement authorities concerned	concerned. Likewise, all	concerned. Likewise, all	
should actively engage in the	enforcement authorities concerned	enforcement authorities concerned	
investigation at an early stage, and	should actively engage in the	should actively engage in the	
issue alerts to the Commission and	investigation at an early stage, and	investigation at an early stage, and	
to the enforcement authorities	issue alerts to the Commission and	issue alerts to the Commission and	
concerned by a widespread unfair	to the enforcement authorities	to the enforcement authorities	
trading practice with a cross-	concerned by a widespread unfair	concerned by a widespread unfair	
border dimension and share the	trading practice with a cross-	trading practice with a cross-	

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	necessary information available to them about such practices.	border dimension and share the necessary information available to them about such practices.	border dimension and share the necessary information available to them about such practices.	
Recital 1	.5			
27	(15) Procedures for the coordination of investigation and enforcement measures relating to widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should be laid down. Coordinated actions against widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should ensure that enforcement authorities are able to	(15) Procedures for the coordination of investigation and enforcement measures relating to widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should be laid down. Coordinated actions against widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should ensure that enforcement authorities are able to	(15) Procedures for the coordination of investigation and enforcement measures relating to widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should be laid down. Coordinated actions against widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should ensure that enforcement authorities are able to	(15) Procedures for the coordination of investigation and enforcement measures relating to widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should be laid down. Coordinated actions against widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should ensure that enforcement authorities are able to
	choose the most appropriate and efficient tools to stop those practices.	choose the most appropriate and efficient tools to stop those practices.	choose the most appropriate and efficient tools to stop those practices.	choose the most appropriate and efficient tools to stop those practices.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Recital 1	.6			
3 28	(16) It is necessary to list the cases where a concerned enforcement authority may decide to refuse to participate in a coordinated action. In particular, lack of available resources on the part of an enforcement authority concerned by that unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension should not be considered to justify refusing to participate in a coordinated action.	(16) It is necessary to list the cases where a concerned enforcement authority may decide to refuse to participate in a coordinated action. In particular, lack of available resources on the part of an enforcement authority concerned by that unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension should not be considered to justify refusing to participate in a coordinated action.	(16) It is necessary to list the cases where a concerned enforcement authority may decide to refuse to participate in a coordinated action. In particular, lack of available resources on the part of an enforcement authority concerned by that unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension should not be considered to justify refusing to participate in a coordinated action.	(16) It is necessary to list the cases where a concerned enforcement authority may decide to refuse to participate in a coordinated action. In particular, lack of available resources on the part of an enforcement authority concerned by that unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension should not be considered to justify refusing to participate in a coordinated action.

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Rec	cital 1	7			
6 2	29	(17) With a view to ensuring that the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action have all the tools necessary to communicate, cooperate, and coordinate, this Regulation should lay down rules on language arrangements.	that the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action have all the tools necessary to communicate, cooperate, and coordinate, this Regulation should lay down rules on language arrangements.	(17) With a view to ensuring that the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action have all the tools necessary to communicate, cooperate, and coordinate, this Regulation should lay down rules on language arrangements.	(17) With a view to ensuring that the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action have all the tools necessary to communicate, cooperate, and coordinate, this Regulation should lay down rules on language arrangements. Text Origin: Commission
Rec	cital 1	7a			Proposal

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
٧	29a					(17a) Since Directive (EU) 2019/633 also protects suppliers in the Union against unfair trading practices by buyers established outside the Union, this Regulation should also provide rules for the cooperation of enforcement authorities of Member States with each other as regards unfair trading practices by buyers established outside the Union and which are prohibited by Directive (EU) 2019/633.		Y
	Recital 1	.7b						
Y	29b					(17b) With a view to ensuring uniform conditions for the implementation of the measures		Y

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				laid down in this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission to develop standard forms for requests for mutual assiastance and to laid done rules on the management of the notifications and communications among the enforcement authorities. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹. 1. Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by		
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2011/182/oj).	
Recital 1	18			
s 30	fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and present in the constitutional traditions of the Member States. Accordingly, this Regulation should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	(18) This Regulation respects fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and present in the constitutional traditions of the Member States. Accordingly, this Regulation should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	(18) This Regulation respects fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and present in the constitutional traditions of the Member States. Accordingly, this Regulation should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	(18) This Regulation respects fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and present in the constitutional traditions of the Member States. Accordingly, this Regulation should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Rec	cital 19			
у 3	(19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation.	(19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation.	(19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. Likewise, Council Decision 2008/976/JHA, Framework Decision 2005/214/JHA and Directive 2014/41/EU should have precedence over this Regulation if the unfair trading practice concerned falls under their scope.	
Rec	cital 20			

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
32	(20) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely cooperation between the enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States because they cannot ensure cooperation and coordination by acting alone, but can rather, by reason of its territorial and personal scope, be better achieved at the Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that	(20) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely cooperation between the enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States because they cannot ensure cooperation and coordination by acting alone, but can rather, by reason of its territorial and personal scope, be better achieved at the Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that	(20) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely to strengthen the cooperation between the enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices under Directive (EU) 2019/633 in cross border cases, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States because they cannot ensure cooperation and coordination by acting alone, but can rather, by reason of its territorial and personal scope, be better achieved at the Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of	

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.	Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.	proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.	
Recital 2	21			
33	(21) In order to give the enforcement authorities the time needed to be able to implement the rules laid down in this Regulation, its application should be deferred by 1 year after its entry into force,	(21) In order to give the enforcement authorities the time needed to be able to implement the rules laid down in this Regulation, its application should be deferred by 1 year after its entry into force,	(21) In order to give the enforcement authorities the time needed to be able to implement the rules laid down in this Regulation, its application should be deferred by—1 year 18 months after its entry into force,	
Formula				
34	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission
	СНАРТЕ	3.1			Proposal
	CHAFILI	VI			
		CHAPTER I	CHAPTER I	CHAPTER I	CHAPTER I
		INTRODUCTORY PROVISIONS	INTRODUCTORY PROVISIONS	INTRODUCTORY PROVISIONS	INTRODUCTORY PROVISIONS
G	35				c
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1				
	36	Article 1	Article 1	Article 1	Article 1
G	30	Subject matter	Subject matter	Subject matter	Subject matter

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article	e 1, first paragraph			
	This Regulation lays down certain	With a view to combating	This Regulation lays down certain	With a view to combating
	rules under which the enforcemen	practices that grossly deviate	rules under which the enforcement	practices that grossly deviate
	authorities, having been	from good commercial conduct,	authorities, having been	from good commercial conduct,
	designated by their Member States	that are contrary to good faith	designated by their Member States	that are contrary to good faith
	as responsible for the enforcemen	and fair dealing and that are	as responsible for the enforcement	and fair dealing and that are
	of the prohibition of unfair trading	unilaterally imposed by one	of the prohibition of unfair trading	unilaterally imposed by one
g 37	practices in business-to-business	trading partner on another, this	practices in business-to-business	trading partner on another, this
31	relationships in the agricultural	Regulation lays down certain rules	relationships in the agricultural	Regulation lays down certain rules
	and food supply chain under	under which the enforcement	and food supply chain under	under which the enforcement
	Directive (EU) 2019/633,	authorities, having been	Directive (EU) 2019/633,	authorities, having been
	cooperate and coordinate actions	designated by their Member States	cooperate and coordinate actions	designated by their Member States
	with each other.	as responsible for the enforcement	with each other.	as responsible for the enforcement
		of the prohibition of unfair trading		of the prohibition of unfair trading
		practices in business-to-business		practices in business-to-business

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cooperate and coordinate actions with each other and with the Commission, in order to ensure compliance, to safeguard sound competition and to maintain a level playing field in the internal market.			relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cooperate and coordinate actions with each other, in order to ensure the effectiveness of that Directive. Text Origin: EP Mandate
F	Article 2						
G	38	Scope	Article 2	Article 2 Scope	Scope	Article 2	Article 2 Scope Text Origin: Commission
							Proposal

CLE	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 2(1), fi	rst subparagraph			
of unbusin the chair (2) of	This Regulation applies to enforcement of the prohibition infair trading practices in mess-to-business relationships in agricultural and food supply in laid down in Article 3(1) and of Directive (EU) 2019/633 in a cross-border dimension.	1. This Regulation applies to the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension. including when those unfair trading practices originate from operators whose practices have an inherent cross-border component, such as those of retail alliances.	1. This Regulation applies to the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension which occur in relation to sales of agricultural and food products between buyers and suppliers referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	1. This Regulation applies to the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension which occur in relation to sales of agricultural and food products between buyers and suppliers referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G 40	However, Article 5 of this Regulation also applies in relation to national rules within the meaning of Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 if the Member State so decides in accordance with paragraph 4 of that Article.	However, Article 5 Articles 5, 6 and 7 of this Regulation also applies apply in relation to national rules within the meaning of Article 3(1), point (b), and Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 if thein cases involving Member State so decides States where those Member States mutually so decided in accordance with paragraph 4 of that Article 5(4), Article 6(3a) and Article 7(4a) of this Regulation.	However, Article 5 of this Regulation also applies in relation to national rules adopted on the basis-within the meaning of Article 93(1), point (b), third sentence or maintained or adopted on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 if the Member State so decides in accordance with-paragraph 4 of that Article 5(4) of this Regulation. Article 20a of this Regulation applies in relation to unfair trading practices involving buyers established outside the Union.	However, Article 5 Chapter IIIa of this Regulation also applies in relation to periods set on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence or national rules within the meaning maintained or adopted on the basis of Article 99(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 if the Member State so decides in accordance with paragraph 4 of that Article 12a and Article 12b of this Regulation. Chapter IVa of this Regulation applies in relation to unfair trading practices involving suppliers or buyers established outside the Union. Text Origin: Council Mandate

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 2	2(2)			
41	2. This Regulation is without prejudice to the Union and national rules on private international law, in particular rules related to court jurisdiction and applicable laws.	2. This Regulation is without prejudice to the Union and national rules on private international law, in particular rules related to court jurisdiction and applicable laws.	2. This Regulation is without prejudice to the Union and national rules on private international law, in particular rules related to court jurisdiction and applicable laws.	2. This Regulation is without prejudice to the Union and national rules on private international law, in particular rules related to court jurisdiction and applicable laws. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	2(3)			
s 42	3. This Regulation is without prejudice to the application in the Member States of measures relating to judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters, in	3. This Regulation is without prejudice to the application in the Member States of measures relating to judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters, in	3. This Regulation is without prejudice to the application in the Member States of measures relating to judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters, in	3. This Regulation is without prejudice to the application in the Member States of measures relating to judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters, in

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
particular th	he operation of the	particular	the operation of the	particular	the operation of the	particular	the operation of the
European J	udicial Network	European	Judicial Network	European	Judicial Network	European	Judicial Network
established	by Council Decision	established	d by Council Decision	establishe	d by Council Decision	establishe	ed by Council Decision
2008/976/J	HA^1 .	2008/976/	JHA ¹ .	2008/976/	/JHA ⁴⁶ and to the	2008/976	/JHA ⁴⁶ and to the
				application	on of Council		on of Council
			_	Framewo	ork Decision	Framewo	rk Decision
1. Council De	ecision 2008/976/JHA of 16	1. Council D	Decision 2008/976/JHA of 16	2005/214/	JHA ⁷ and of Directive	2005/214/	/JHA ⁷ and of Directiv
December 200	08 on the European Judicial	December 20	008 on the European Judicial	2014/41/F	$\mathrm{EU^{7a}}$.	2014/41/1	EU^{7a} .
Network (OJ	L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 130,	Network (O.	L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 130,				
ELI:		ELI:					_
http://data.eur	ropa.eu/eli/dec/2008/976/oj).	http://data.eu	uropa.eu/eli/dec/2008/976/oj).				
					Decision 2008/976/JHA of 16		Decision 2008/976/JHA of
					008 on the European Judicial		1908 on the European Judi
				`	J L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 130,		J L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 13
				ELI:	uropa.eu/eli/dec/2008/976/oj).	ELI:	europa.eu/eli/dec/2008/970
				mup.//data.e	uropa.eu/en/dec/2008/970/0j).	пир://аата.с	uropa.eu/eu/aec/2000/9/
						Text Ori	gin: Council Manda

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
			Article 3		Article 3		Article 3		Article 3
G	43	Definitions		Definitions		Definitions			Definitions
								Text Orig	gin: Commission
А	rticle 3,	, first paragrap	ph						
G	44	to in Article 2019/633 app	oses of this the definitions referred 2 of Directive (EU) ply. In addition, the finitions apply:	Regulation to in Article 2019/633 a	poses of this , the definitions referred e 2 of Directive (EU) pply. In addition, the definitions apply:	Regulation to in Article 2019/633 a	poses of this , the definitions referred e 2 of Directive (EU) apply. In addition, the definitions apply:	Regulation to in Artic 2019/633	rposes of this n, the definitions referred le 2 of Directive (EU) apply. In addition, the definitions apply:
								Text Orio	gin: Commission

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement							
Article 3	Article 3, first paragraph, point (a)										
s 45	(a) 'enforcement authority' means the national authority or national authorities designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 4(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;	(a) 'enforcement authority' means the national authority or national authorities designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 4(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;	(a) 'enforcement authority' means thea national authorities designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 4(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;	(a) -enforcement authority' means thea national authorities designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 4(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633; Text Origin: Council Mandate							
Article 3	3, first paragraph, point (b)										
46	(b) 'applicant enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that makes a request for mutual assistance;	(b) 'applicant enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that makes a request for mutual assistance;	(b) 'applicant enforcement authority' means thean enforcement authority that makes a request for mutual assistance;	(b) 'applicant enforcement authority' means thean enforcement authority that makes a request for mutual assistance;							

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 3	3, first paragraph, point (c)			
s 47	(c) 'requested enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that receives a request for mutual assistance;	(c) 'requested enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that receives a request for mutual assistance;	(c) 'requested enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that receives a request for mutual assistance;	(c) 'requested enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that receives a request for mutual assistance; Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 3	3, first paragraph, point (d)			
g 48	(d) 'unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving one	(d) 'unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving one	(d) 'unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means anyan unfair trading practice-within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633	(d) 'unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any an unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	supplier and one buyer that are located in different Member States;	supplier and one buyer that are located in at least two different Member States or involving one supplier or buyer that is located within the Union and one supplier or buyer that is located outside the Union, or involving any unfair trading practice covered by stricter national rules that qualify as overriding mandatory provisions within the meaning of Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 593/2008;	involving one supplier and one buyer that are located inestablished in two different Member States;	involving one supplier and one buyer that are located in two different Member States; Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article	e 3, first paragraph, point (e)			
g 49	(e) 'widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the	(e) 'widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the	(e) 'widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means anyan unfair trading practice within the	(e) 'widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means anyan unfair trading practice within the

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving at least three Member States;	meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving parties located in at least three Member States or parties located in at least two Member States and outside the Union;	meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633-involving suppliers and buyers established in at least three Member States;	meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving suppliers and buyers established in at least three Member States; Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 3	(f) 'final decision' means a decision that cannot be, or that can no longer be, appealed by ordinary means.	(f) 'final decision' means a decision that cannot be, or that can no longer be, appealed by ordinary means.	(f) 'final decision' means a decision that cannot be, or that can no longer be, appealed by ordinary means.	(f) 'final decision' means a decision that cannot be, or that can no longer be, appealed by ordinary means. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 3	s, first paragraph, point (fa)			

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
s 50a			means a person e Union w buyer lo to act on relation under D	EU Responsible Person' ny natural or legal established within the cho is designated by a cated outside the Union the buyer's behalf in to the obligations set out irective (EU) 2019/633 Regulation.				
Article 3	a							
s 50b			Gene	Article 3a ral principle				Article 3a al principle gin: EP Mandate
Article 3	a, first par	agraph	1					

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 50c		1 Member States shall take appropriate administrative and judicial steps to prevent or stop the unfair trading practices referred to in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 that have a cross-border dimension and that are performed in whole or in part on their respective territories.		I The enforcement authorities shall cooperate with each other in order to prevent or stop unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension on their territories. Text Origin: EP Mandate
СНАРТЕ	ER II			
s 51	CHAPTER II RESOURCES AND EXPERTISE	CHAPTER II RESOURCES AND EXPERTISE	CHAPTER II RESOURCES AND EXPERTISE	CHAPTER II RESOURCES AND EXPERTISE Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 4				
	Article 4	Article 4	Article 4	Article 4
	Resources and expertise	Resources and expertise	Resources and expertise	Resources and expertise
52				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 4	, first paragraph			
5 53	Member States shall ensure that enforcement authorities have the necessary resources and expertise for the application of this Regulation.	Member States shall ensure that enforcement authorities have the necessary resources, including technical, financial and human resources, and expertise necessary for the application of this Regulation and for promoting awareness of the rights and obligations that it lays down,	Member States shall ensure that enforcement authorities have the necessary resources and expertise for the application of this Regulation.	Member States shall ensure that enforcement authorities have the necessary resources and expertise for the application of this Regulation and for promoting awareness among buyers and suppliers of the provisions it lays down.

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			including making available clear, accessible and detailed information about its provisions to persons concerned and providing to them the advisory services needed for its application.		Text origin: Council and EP mandate
Article 4	4a				
			Article 4a	Article 4a	Article 4a
s 53a			Implementation and confidentiality of information	Confidentiality of information	Confidentiality of information
					Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 4	4a, first par	agraph			

	Commission Clean Proposal	n vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 53b		1 Member States shall ensure that enforcement authorities do not impose any charges on suppliers for submitting a complaint regarding an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.		Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 4	4a, second paragraph			
s 53c		2 For the purposes of this Regulation, the enforcement authorities shall have the power to provide one another with information and use in evidence any fact or matter of law, including confidential information.	1. For the purposes of this Regulation, the enforcement authorities shall have the power to provide one another with information and use in evidence any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information.	1. For the purposes of this Regulation, the enforcement authorities shall have the power to provide one another with information and use in evidence any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information.

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council	Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
								Text Or: Mandate	igin: Council and EP
	Article 4	a, third par	agraph						
G	53d			shall only for which requested It shall on	nformation exchanged y be used for the purpose it was collected by the l enforcement authority. nly be used in evidence urpose of applying this on.	2. Information shall only be used in for the purpose of approximation and in resubject-matter for we collected by the requestion of the purpose of approximation and in resubject-matter for we collected by the requestions.	pplying this espect of the which it was uested	shall only the purpose Regulation Subject-in collected enforcem	Information exchanged by be used in evidence for ose of applying this on and in respect of the matter for which it was by the requested ment authority.
	Article 4	a, forth par	agraph						
G	53e				When using information pursuant to paragraph	3. The information provided pursuant t			The information provided to paragraph 1 shall

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		2, enforcement authorities shall have due regard to the legitimate interests of natural or legal persons, including protection of trade secrets and intellectual property rights.	1 shall only be used by the enforcement authorities with due regard to the legitimate interests of a natural person or legal person, including protection of trade secrets and intellectual property rights.	only be used by the enforcement authorities with due regard to the legitimate interests of a natural person or legal person, including protection of trade secrets and intellectual property rights. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 4	l la, fifth paragraph			
6 53f		5 In cases where a complainant requests the protection of information, including the protection of the identity of the complainant, pursuant to Article 5(3) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the enforcement authority receiving	4. In cases where a complainant requests the protection of information pursuant to Article 5(3) of Directive 2019/633, the enforcement authority receiving the complaint shall ask for the agreement of the complainant to	4. In cases where a complainant requests the protection of information pursuant to Article 5(3) of Directive 2019/633, the enforcement authority receiving the complaint shall ask for the agreement of the complainant to

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP	Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				agreement of t	shall ask for the he complainant to otected information orcement authority.	informatio	e protected on to another ent authority.	to anothe	ne protected information renforcement authority.
	Article 4	b						l	
G	53g			Article 4					G
	Article 4	b, first para	agraph	,	,				
G	53h			the Union shad Responsible Po entering into a relation on agr	-				G

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a supplier located within the Union.				
	Article 4	b, second լ	paragraph					
G	53i			2 The terms of the designation shall constitute the EU Responsible Person's mandate. That mandate shall be valid from the moment that it is accepted in writing by the EU Responsible Person until its expiration or termination.				G
	Article 4	b, third pa	ragraph					
G	53j			3 The EU Responsible Person shall perform the tasks specified in the mandate agreed				G

	CLE	EAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				Responsible a copy of enforcement request. T	the Person shall provide the mandate to the ent authority, upon The mandate shall t least the following				
Arti	icle 4b, thii	rd para	graph, point a						
G 53	3k			point of co	e act as the primary ontact for enforcement as and the Commission;				
Arti	icle 4b, thii	rd para	graph, point b						
G 5.	31			and legal	assume full financial liability for the buyer's ce with the obligations				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				Directive (EU) and in this Regulation;				
Article 4	b, third par	ragraph, point c						
6 53m			records o	o maintain up-to-date f the transactions in the the buyer established te Union;				
Article 4	b, third par	ragraph, point d						
6 53n			investigat providing testimony	o facilitate tions, including documents, data and to enforcement es or the Commission;				
Article 4	b, third par	ragraph, point e						

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
g 530			(e) to ensure compliance with final decisions, fines or penalties issued under the framework of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and of this Regulation;		
Article	4b, third para	graph, point f			
6 53p			if the buyer acts contrary to its obligations set out in Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in this Regulation; the buyer shall ensure that the EU Responsible Person complies with the terms of its mandate in respect of those minimum required tasks. An EU Responsible Person who terminates its mandate on the		

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
			ground referred to in paragraph 3, point (f), shall immediately inform the enforcement authority of the Member State in which it is established of the termination of the mandate and of the reasons therefor.				
Article	4b, fourth p	paragraph					
6 53q			4. When an unfair trading practice within the meaning of Article 3 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 is committed by a buyer established outside the Union, the EU Responsible Person may be held jointly and severally liable for the fines imposed on the person who designated it.				

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement					
	CHAPTER III									
		CHAPTER III	CHAPTER III	CHAPTER III	CHAPTER III					
G	54	MUTUAL ASSISTANCE MECHANISM	MUTUAL ASSISTANCE MECHANISM	MUTUAL ASSISTANCE MECHANISM	MUTUAL ASSISTANCE MECHANISM					
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal					
	Article 5									
		Article 5	Article 5	Article 5	Article 5					
G	55	Requests for information	Requests for information	Requests for information	Requests for information					
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal					

	CLEAN	nmission posal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Article	5(1)							
6 56	applicant enforce requested enforce shall, without ded days unless other provide the applicant authority with the	elay, and within 60 erwise agreed, icant enforcement he information ablish whether an ractice in the f the applicant hority with a mension has	applicant of requested shall, with days unless days from the requested enforcement information whether are in the Merapplicant of with a cross occurred of the application authority of the enforcement in the matter application occurred to the application of the application of the enforcement in the matter application occurred to the application occurred to the application of the enforcement in the matter application occurred to the application of the enforcement in the matter application of the enforcement in the enforcement in the matter application of the enforcement in the enf	the request of an enforcement authority, a enforcement authority out delay, and within 60 as otherwise agreed 45 the date of receiving of, provide the applicant ent authority with the entrapplication of the enforcement authority with the enforcement authority entrapplication authority with the enforcement authority entrapplication has entrapplication of the enforcement authority entrapplication is occurring. Where entrapplication entrapplication is occurring. Where entrapplication entrapplication of that period of 45 entrapplication is of the entrapplication of that period of 45 entrapplication is occurring to the entrapplication of th	applicant requested shall, with 6090 days agreed, present information whether a with a crubas occur the Members occur and the members occur of the members occur o	at the request of an enforcement authority, a enforcement authority hout delay, and within s unless otherwise rovide the applicant ent authority with the on requested to establish an unfair trading practice coss-border dimension rred or is occuring in the State of the applicant ent authority—with a der dimension has or is occurring.	applicant requester shall, with a conscious control of the manner of the	At the request of an at enforcement authority, a d enforcement authority ithout delay, and within 60 less otherwise agreed 90 ovide the applicant ment authority with the authority with the an unfair trading practice lember State of the at enforcement authority ross-border dimension has d or is occurring in the estate of the applicant ment authority. Where the attenforcement authority requested enforcement authority requested enforcement of

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		days, it may be extended by an additional period of 45 days.		that period of 90 days, it may be extended by an additional period of 30 days. Text Origin: Council and EP Mandate
Article	5(2)			
s 57	2. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when sending a request for information to the requested enforcement authority, state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, and the corresponding provisions of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the	authority shall, when sending a request for information to the requested enforcement authority,	2. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when sending a request for information to Where the requested enforcement authority, state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, and the corresponding provisions of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the purpose is not in	2. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when sending a request for information to Where the requested enforcement authority, state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, and the corresponding provisions of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the purpose is not fully

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
purpose of the request, and specify what information is required.	purpose of the request, and specify what information is required.	possession of the request, and specify what information is required requested, the reply referred to in paragraph 1 may be limited to stating the absence of such information. The requested enforcement authority may still decide to collect that information.	in possession of the request, and specify what information requested, the reply referred to in paragraph 1 may contain only partial information or be limited to stating the absence of such information is required indicating the reason for such a reply. The requested enforcement authority may still decide to collect the missing information, in which case it shall inform the applicant enforcement authority of its decision and share the information collected with that authority. Text origin: Council mandate

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 5	5(3)			
58	3. The information provided shall only be collected by the requested enforcement authority and used by the applicant enforcement authority in accordance with their national law.	3. The information provided shall only be collected by the requested enforcement authority and used by the applicant enforcement authority in accordance with their respective national lawlaws and with Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	3. The information to be provided shall only be collected by the requested enforcement authority and used by the applicant enforcement authority in accordance with their respective national law.	3. The information to be provided shall only be collected by the requested enforcement authority and used by the applicant enforcement authority in accordance with their respective national law. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 5	5(4), first subparagraph			
59	4. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national rules	4. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national rules	4. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national rules	

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		within the meaning of Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	within the meaning of Article 3(1), point (b), and Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 that do not qualify as overriding mandatory provisions within the meaning of Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 593/2008.	within the meaning adopted on the basis of Article 93(1), point (b), third sentence or on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	
	Article 5	5(4), subparagraph 1 a			
G	59a		When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided for in subparagraph 1, it shall cite this Regulation as a legal basis, as well as the national law laying down the prohibition of the unfair trading practice concerned. It shall also state the purpose of the request, specify	When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in subparagraph 1, it shall:	6

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			what information is required and describe the unfair trading practice concerned.		
Article 5	5(4), first su	ibparagraph a, point (a)			
s 59b				(a) state this Regulation as legal basis;	G
Article 5	5(4), first su	bparagraph a, point (b)			
6 59c				(b) indicate the national law laying down the prohibition of the unfair trading practice concerned that goes beyond Directive (EU) 2019/633, and if that national law is based on Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence or Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;	

	Commissi ^{CLEAN} Proposal	ON VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 5	(4), first subparagraph a	point (c)			
6 59d				(c) describe the purpose of the request;	
Article 5	(4), first subparagraph a	point (d)			
6 59e				(d) describe the unfair trading practice concerned and specify how this goes beyond the Directive (EU) 2019/633;	
Article 5	(4), first subparagraph a	point (e)			
6 59f				(e) specify what information is required.	
Article 5	(4), second subparagrap	h			

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in subparagraph 1, the requested enforcement authority may refuse to provide information, indicating the reasons for the refusal.	When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided for in subparagraph 1, the requested enforcement authority may provide only partial information or refuse to provide information. In such case, the requested enforcement authority shall duly justify, indicating the reasons for the incomplete response or for the refusal in a reasoned and objective reply. That reply shall be sent to the applicant enforcement authority within 45 days of the submission of its request.	When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in subparagraph 1, the requested enforcement authority may refuse to provide information, indicating the reasons for the refusal. In this case, Article 10 shall not apply.	

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 60a			4a In order to increase the efficiency of cross-border cooperation between enforcement authorities in the field of combating unfair commercial practices, a special procedural mechanism shall be established to enable urgent requests submitted by competent authorities in other Member States to be prioritised.		Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 5	5(4b)				
6 60b			4b The Commission may adopt implementing acts laying down the special procedural mechanism for the prioritisation of urgent requests provided for in paragraph 4a. Those implementing acts shall be		Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal

	Commiss CLEAN Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		exam	ted in accordance with the ination procedure referred Article 21.		
Articl	e 6				
	Article 6 Requests for enforcen		Article 6 ests for enforcement	Article 6 Requests for enforcement	Article 6 Requests for enforcement
G 61	measures	meas		investigative measures	investigative measures
					Text Origin: Council Mandate
Articl	e 6(1)				
G 62	1. At the request behalf of an applicant authority, the requeste enforcement authority	enforcement behal	At the request and on f of an applicant enforcement rity, the requested cement authority shall	1. At the request and on behalf of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested enforcement authority shall	1. At the request and on behalf of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested enforcement authority shall

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	exercise, in accordance with the national rules of its Member State, the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	exercise, in accordance with the national rules of its Member State, the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b), (c) and (d) and (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	exerciseconduct investigative measures, in accordance with-the national rules of its Member State, the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and with its national law.	exercise conduct investigative measures, in accordance with the national rules of its Member State, the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and with its national law, in order to determine whether an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring.
Article	6(2)			
6 63	2. When a requested enforcement authority exercises	2. When a requested enforcement authority exercises	2. When a requested enforcement authority exercises	2. When a requested enforcement authority exercises

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 at the request and on behalf of an applicant enforcement authority, officials and other accompanying persons authorised or appointed by the applicant enforcement authority shall be permitted to attend and assist the requested enforcement authority, under the supervision of the officials of the requested enforcement authority.	the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b), (c) and (d) and (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 at the request and on behalf of an applicant enforcement authority, officials and other accompanying persons authorised or appointed by the applicant enforcement authority shall be permitted to attend and assist the requested enforcement authority, under the supervision of the officials of the requested enforcement authority.	the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 at the request and on behalf of an applicant enforcement authority, officials and other accompanying persons authorised or appointed by the applicant enforcement authority shall be permitted to attend and assist the requested enforcement authority, upon informing the requested enforcement authority in advance and under the supervision of the officials of the requested enforcement authority.	the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 at the request and on behalf of an applicant enforcement authority, officials and other accompanying persons authorised or appointed by the applicant enforcement authority shall be permitted to attend and assist the requested enforcement authority, upon informing the requested enforcement authority in advance and under the supervision of the officials of the requested enforcement authority.
			Text Origin: Council Mandate

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement			
Article 6	5(3)						
s 64	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority about the steps and measures taken and the steps and measures that it intends to take.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority without delay about the steps and measures taken and the steps and measures that it intends to take.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority about the steps and measures taken and the steps and measures that it intends to take.	authority without delay about the steps and measures that it intends to take. Text Origin: EP Mandate			
Article 6	Article 6(3a)						
6 64a		3a. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national					

83

		Commission Clean Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			rules within the meaning of Article 3(1), point (b), and Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 that do not qualify as overriding mandatory provisions within the meaning of Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 593/2008.		
	Article 7				
G	65	Article 7 Requests for the enforcement decisions imposing fines or of equally effective penalties and interim measures	ther decisions imposing fines or other	Article 7 Requests for the enforcement of decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures	Article 7 Requests for the enforcement of decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 7	7(1)			
s 66	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested authority shall enforce, in accordance with its national law, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance with Article 6(1), first subparagraph, point (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested authority shall enforce, without delay and in accordance with its national law, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance with Article 6(1), first subparagraph, point (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 by the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested enforcement authority shall-enforce, in accordance with its national law, enforce or initiate proceedings for the enforcement of final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance with Article 6(1), first subparagraph, point (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 by the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested enforcement authority shall enforce, in accordance with its national law, enforce or initiate, without delay, the proceedings for the enforcement of final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance with Article 6(1), first subparagraph, point (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 by the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 7	/(1a)			
6 66а		1a. Fines imposed in the framework of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and of this Regulation on buyers established outside to Union may be enforceable against their EU Responsible Persons.		
Article 7	?(2)			
67	2. Paragraph 1 shall appoint only to the extent that, after had made reasonable efforts in its territory, the applicant enforcement authority has ascertained that the buyer again	only to the extent that, after having made reasonable efforts its own territory, the applicant enforcement authority has	only to the extent that, after having made reasonable efforts in its own territory, the applicant enforcement authority has	2. Paragraph 1 shall apply only to the extent that, after having made reasonable efforts in its own territory, the applicant enforcement authority has ascertained that the buyer against

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	which the fine and the other penalties and interim measures are enforceable does not have sufficient assets in the territory of its Member State.	which the fine and the other penalties and interim measures are not enforceable does not have sufficient assets in the territory of itsthe Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.	which the fine and the other equally effective penalties and interim measures are enforceable does not have sufficient assets in the territory of itsthe Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.	which the fine and the other equally effective penalties and interim measures are enforceable does not have sufficient assets in the territory of its the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 7	7(2a)			
6 67a		2a. This Regulation shall be without prejudice to national rules laying down sanctions and penalties for engaging in unfair trading practices, as provided for in Directive (EU) 2019/633.		Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 7	7(3)			
6 68	3. The applicant enforcement authority may request only the enforcement of a final decision.	3. The applicant enforcement authority may request only the enforcement of a final decision.	3. The applicant enforcement authority may request only the enforcement of a final decision.	3. The applicant enforcement authority may request only the enforcement of a final decision. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 7	7(4)			
s 69	4. Questions regarding limitation periods for the enforcement of fines, other equally effective penalties and interim measures shall be governed by the national law of the Member State of the requested authority.	4. Questions regarding limitation periods for the enforcement of fines, other equally effective penalties and interim measures shall be governed by the national law of the Member State of the requested <i>enforcement</i> authority.	4. Questions regarding limitation periods for the enforcement of fines, other equally effective penalties and interim measures shall be governed by the national law of the Member State of the requested enforcement authority.	4. Questions regarding limitation periods for the enforcement of fines, other equally effective penalties and interim measures shall be governed by the national law of the Member State of the requested <i>enforcement</i> authority.

	CLEAN	ommission oposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
							Text Orio	gin: Council and EP
Article 7	(4a)							
6 69a			decide that authorities possibilities Article in the rules within Article 3(1) 9 of Direct do not qual mandatory meaning of	ember States may t enforcement s can make use of the es referred to in this relation to national in the meaning of (), point (b), and Article tive (EU) 2019/633 that elify as overriding exprovisions within the of Article 9 of in (EC) No 593/2008.				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 7	a				
₆ 69b			Article 7a Costs	Article 7a Costs	Article 7a Costs Text Origin: Council and EP Mandate
Article 7	a(1a)				
6 69c			1 Member States shall waive all claims for the reimbursement of costs incurred in applying this Regulation, with the exception of measures taken pursuant to Articles 5, 6 and 7.	1. In relation to measures taken pursuant to Article 5 or 6, when requested by the requested enforcement authority, the applicant enforcement authority shall bear all reasonable additional costs in full, including translation, labour and	1. Enforcement authorities shall not impose any fees on suppliers to recover costs related to the cross-border dimension of an unfair trading practice.

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					administrative costs, arising from the request.	
Article 7	7a, second _I	oaragraph				
6 69d						2. The enforcement authorities shall waive all claims between them for the reimbursement of costs incurred in applying this Regulation, with the exception of the costs they incur as requested enforcement authority for measures taken pursuant to Articles 5, 6, 7, 12a or 12b as referred to in paragraphs 3 and 4 of this Article Text origin: EP mandate (row 53b)

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 7a(2)			
69e	2 In relation to measures taken pursuant to Articles 5 and 6, the requested enforcement authority may recover from the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority any costs and losses, including translation, labour and administrative costs, arising from measures that have been dismissed and held to be unfounded in a final decision by a court, as far as the substance of the infringement is concerned.	2. In relation to measures taken pursuant to Article 5 or 6, when requested by the requested enforcement authority, the applicant enforcement authority shall bear all reasonable additional costs in full, including translation, labour and administrative costs, arising from the request.	3. In relation to measures taken pursuant to Article 5, 6, 12a or 12b the requested enforcement authority may request the applicant enforcement authority to bear reasonable additional costs in full or in part including translation, labour and administrative costs, arising from the request. In such case, the applicant enforcement authority shall fulfil the request.

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 69f			3 The requested enforcement authority shall recover the amounts due in the currency of its Member State, in accordance with its national law.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall recover the amounts due in the currency of its Member State, in accordance with its national law.	4. The requested enforcement authority may recover the full costs incurred in relation to measures taken pursuant to Article 7 from the fine payments collected on behalf of the applicant enforcement authority, including translation, labour and administrative costs. Only if the fine amount does not cover the reasonable additional costs incurred, or the requested enforcement authority is unsuccessful in collecting the fines, provided that it has made all reasonable efforts to collect them, it may request the applicant authority to bear the costs incurred in full or in part. In such case, the applicant

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
						enforcement authority shall fulfil the request.
						Text Origin: Council and EP Mandate
Article 7	a(4)					
69g			taken pur requested may recov incurred j on behalf enforcement	n relation to measures resuant to Article 7, the renforcement authority rer the full costs refrom the fines collected ref of the applicant rent authority, including rent, labour and reative costs.	The requested enforcement authority may recover the full costs incurred in relation to measures taken pursuant to Article 7 from the fine payments collected on behalf of the applicant enforcement authority, including translation, labour and administrative costs. If the requested enforcement authority	5. The requested enforcement authority shall recover the amounts due in the currency of its Member State, in accordance with its national law. Text Origin: EP Mandate

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					is unsuccessful in collecting the fines, it may request the applicant authority to bear the costs incurred.	
	Article 7	a(5)				
G	69h			5 If necessary, the requested enforcement authority shall, in accordance with its national law, convert the fines into the currency of its Member State at the rate of exchange applying on the date on which the fines were imposed.	4. The requested enforcement authority shall, if necessary, in accordance with its national law, convert the fines into the currency of its Member State at the rate of exchange applying on the date on which the fines were imposed.	6. The requested enforcement authority shall, if necessary, in accordance with its national law, convert the fines into the currency of its Member State at the rate of exchange applying on the date on which the fines were imposed Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 8					

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 8	Article 8	Article 8	Article 8
s 70	Notification mechanism	Notification mechanismand alert system	Notification mechanism	Notification mechanism
				Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
Artio	icle 8, first paragraph			
6 71	An enforcement authority shall notify all other enforcement authorities within 1 month after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its Member State.	An enforcement authority shall notify all other enforcement authorities within I month after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of A system for notifying alerts that an unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension in itsmight be taking place is hereby established as a network. The Commission	An enforcement authority shall notify all other enforcement authorities within 1 month30 days after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its Member State.	An enforcement authority shall notify the Commission and all other enforcement authorities within 1 month 30 days after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its Member State.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		and the enforcement authorities shall each designate a single point of contact, which shall be a member State of the network. The Commission shall be responsible for managing the network in order to aggregate complaints and identify patterns of abuse.		Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article	8(1a)			
6 71a		An enforcement authority shall notify the Commission and all other enforcement authorities within 30 days using the system laid down in paragraph 1 that an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension might be taking place in its Member State, regardless of whether it is taking		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				place only within the Union or is taking place both within the Union and in one or more third countries.			
Aı	rticle 8((1b)					
G	71b			1b The enforcement authority shall, when issuing an alert referred to in paragraph 1, provide information about the suspected unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension covered by this Regulation, including the following:			Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Aı	rticle 8((1b), point	a				
G	71c			(a) a detailed description of the unfair trading practice with a			

		Commis CLEAN Proposa	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
			concern	ed under Directive (EU) 3 and by reference to 1 law;				gin: Council Commission proposal
	Article 8	S(1b), point b						
G	71d		concern by the u	the Member States ed or possibly concerned nfair trading practice ross-border dimension;				gin: Council Commission proposal
	Article 8	(1b), third indent	,					
G	71e		or buyer committ	the identity of the buyer s suspected of ing the unfair trading with a cross-border on;				gin: Council Commission proposal

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 8	(1b), fourt	h indent			
71f			(d) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof;		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 8	(1b), fifth i	ndent			
71g			(e) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 8	(1c)				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council	Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
s 71h			Where a member of the network has information that an unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension might be taking place, this information shall be notified to the Commission through the alert system within 30 days. The Commission shall transmit this information immediately to the members of the network. The Commission may supplement the notification with any information that is likely to facilitate rapid, appropriate action by enforcement authorities.				gin: Council Commission proposal
Article 8	B(1d)						

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
6 71i			when issue enforcement of the same of the	ing an alert, request ent authorities in other States to verify whether, information that is or accessible to the inforcement authorities, unfair trading practices iss-border dimension taking place in the if those other Member whether any gs are pending or any ent measures have ien taken against those ding practices in those States. The enforcement is in those other States shall inform the fon without delay of the				gin: Council Commission proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		action implemented or the measures taken following receipt of the notifications transmitted under the alert system. The Commission shall transmit that information without delay to the members of the network.		
Article 8	S(1e), first paragraph			
6 71j		Participation in the alert system may be opened to third countries or international organisations, on the basis of agreements between the Union and those countries or international organisations.		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 8	B(1e), second paragraph	'		

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	71k		For the purposes of the first subparagraph, the Commission shall ensure that third countries and international organisations do not have direct access to the identity of natural persons.		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
	Article 9				
		Article 9	Article 9	Article 9	Article 9
G	72	Procedure for requests for mutual assistance	Procedure for requests for mutual assistance	Procedure for requests for mutual assistance	Procedure for requests for mutual assistance Text Origin: Commission
	Article 9	(1)			Proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G 73	1. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when making a request for mutual assistance, provide any relevant information necessary to enable the requested enforcement authority to fulfil that request, including any information that can be obtained only in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.	1. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when making a request for mutual assistance, cite this Regulation as a basis, state the national law laying down the prohibition of the unfair trading practice concerned and the purpose of the request, specify the information required under Article 5(1) or the enforcement measures requested under Article 6 or 7, describe the unfair trading practice concerned and provide any relevant information necessary to enable the requested enforcement authority to fulfil that request, including any information that can be obtained only in the	1. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when making a request for mutual assistance: (a) state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, the corresponding provisions of Article 1(2) and Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the purpose of the request, including a description of the cross-border dimension of the alleged unfair trading practice, and specify the information requested under Article 5(1) or the enforcement measures requested under Articles 6 or 7;	1. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when making a request for mutual assistance: (a) state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, the corresponding provisions of Article 1(2) and Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the purpose of the request, including a description of the cross-border dimension of the alleged unfair trading practice, and specify the information requested under Article 5(1) or the enforcement measures requested under Articles 6 or 7;

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				tate of the applicant nt authority.	relevant in enable the authority t including a be obtaine	e any additional information necessary to requested enforcement to fulfil that request, any information that can ad only in the Member the applicant enforcement	information the request authority to including the obtained State of the authority.	e any additional relevant on necessary to enable ted enforcement to fulfil that request, any information that can ed only in the Member he applicant enforcement
Article	9(2)							
s 74	assistance a	equests for mutual and all communications nem shall be made in ng standard forms.	assistance linked to the	equests for mutual and all communications hem shall be made in ing standard forms <i>laid</i>	assistance linked to t writing use the reques	equests for mutual and all communications hem shall be made in ing Standard forms for sts for mutual e shall be used when	assistance linked to t writing us the reques	equests for mutual and all communications hem shall be made in ing. Standard forms for sts for mutual assistance sed when they have

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement				
		down by the Commission in accordance with Article 12.	they have been laid down by the Commission in accordance with Article 20b.	been laid down by the Commission in accordance with Article 20b. Text Origin: Council Mandate				
Article 1	10							
	Article 10	Article 10	Article 10	Article 10				
6 75	Refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance	Refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance	Refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance	Refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance				
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal				
Article 1	Article 10(1)							

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
c 76	1. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for information under Article 5 only if one or both of the following applies:	1. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for information under Article 55(1) in accordance with Article 4a, only if at least one or both of the following applies:	1. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for information under Article 55(1) only if one or both of the following applies:	1. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for information under Article 55(1) only if one or both of the following applies: Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	.0(1), point (a)			
s 77	(a) following a consultation with the applicant enforcement authority, the information requested is not needed by the applicant enforcement authority to establish whether an unfair trading practice with a cross-border	(a) following a consultation with the applicant enforcement authority, both enforcement authorities agree that the information requested is not needed by the applicant enforcement authority to establish whether an unfair trading practice	(a) following a consultation with the applicant enforcement authority, both enforcement authorities agree that the information requested is not needed by the applicant enforcement authority to establish whether an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has	(a) following a consultation with the applicant enforcement authority, both enforcement authorities agree that the information requested is not needed by the applicant enforcement authority to establish whether an unfair trading practice with a cross border dimension has

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
li de la companya de		dimension has occurred or is occurring;	with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring;	occurred or is occurringor that a new request may be made at a later stage;	occurred or is occurring or that a new request may be made at a later stage; Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 1	0(1), point (b)			
G	78	(b) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority or of the applicant enforcement authority.	(b) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice towards the same supplier and in the same period before the authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority or of the applicant enforcement authority.	(b) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority or of the applicant enforcement authority.	(b) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice towards the same supplier and during the same period of the unfair trading practice covered by these criminal investigations or judicial proceedings, before the authorities in the Member State of the

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 2	10(2)			requested enforcement authority or of the applicant enforcement authority. Text Origin: EP Mandate
s 79	2. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Articles 6 and 7 only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, one or both of the following applies:	2. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Articles 6 and 7Article 6 in accordance with Article 4a, only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority and the Commission, at least one or both of the following applies:	2. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Articles 6 and 7 Article 6 only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, at least one-or both of the following applies:	2. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under <i>Articles 6 and 7 Article 6</i> only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, <i>at least</i> one <i>or both</i> of the following applies: Text Origin: Council Mandate

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 1	LO(2), point (a)			
6 80	(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated, a judgment has been given, or a court settlement has been reached in respect of the same unfair trade practice before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority;	(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated, or a judgment has been given against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice towards the same supplier and in the same period, or a court settlement has been reached with the same buyer in respect of the same unfair tradetrading practice before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority;	(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated, or a judgment has been given against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice, or a court settlement has been reached with the same buyer in respect of the same unfair tradetrading practice before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority;	(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated, <u>or</u> a judgment has been given <u>against the same</u> <u>buyer in respect of the same</u> <u>unfair trading practice towards</u> <u>the same supplier, and during the</u> <u>same period of the unfair trading</u> <u>practice covered by these criminal</u> <u>investigations or judicial</u> <u>proceedings</u> , or a court settlement has been reached <u>with the same</u> <u>buyer</u> in respect of the same unfair tradetrading practice before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority;

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 10((2), point (b)			Text Origin: EP Mandate
81	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted in respect of the same intra-Union infringement and against the same trader in the Member State of the requested authority in order to bring about the swift and effective cessation of the same unfair trade practice;	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted against the same buyer in respect of the same intra-Union infringement and against unfair trading practice towards the same tradersupplier in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority in order to bring about the swift and effective cessation of the same unfair tradetrading practice;	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers including administrative proceedings has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted against the same buyer in respect of the same intra-Union infringement and against the same traderunfair trading practice in the Member State of the requested authority in order to bring about the swift and effective cessation of	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers including administrative proceedings has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted against the same buyer in respect of the same intra-Union infringement and against unfair trading practice towards the same trader supplier, and during the same period of the unfair trading practice covered by the investigations or the

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			the same unfair tradetrading practice;	administrative decision in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority in order to bring about the swift and effective cessation of the same unfair tradetrading practice; Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 1	10(2), point (c)	'	'	
6 82	(c) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated as regards the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trade practice before the judicial authorities of the applicant;	(c) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated as regards against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair tradetrading practice towards the same supplier before the judicial authorities in the	(c) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated as regardsagainst the same buyer in respect of the same unfair tradetrading practice before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority;	(c) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated as regards against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair tradetrading practice towards the same supplier, and during the same period of the unfair trading practice covered by

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Member State of the applicant enforcement authority;		the criminal investigation or judicial proceedings before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority; Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 1	.0(2), point	(ca)		•	
G	82a				enforcement authority issues reasonable doubts whether the requested enforcement measures are in compliance with Directive (EU) 2019/633 or can show that the request concerns national rules adopted on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b), third	(ca) the requested enforcement authority can demonstrate that the requested enforcement measures are not provided in Article 6(1) points (a), (b), (c) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, or can show that the request concerns periods set on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b),

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
						sentence of Directive (EU) 2019/633 or maintained or adopted on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;	third sentence of Directive (EU) 2019/633 or national rules maintained or adopted on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633; text origin: Council mandate
	Article 1	0(2), point	(cb)				
G	82b					(cb) the requested enforcement authority cannot:	(cb) the requested enforcement authority cannot: Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 1	0(2), point	(cb), second subparagraph	1			

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 82c					(i) ensure the appropriate protection of the relevant information in accordance with Article 5(3) of Directive 2019/633 that has been provided pursuant to Article 4a(4) of this Regulation and on the protection of which the complainant insists, or	(i) ensure the appropriate protection of the relevant information in accordance with Article 5(3) of Directive 2019/633 that has been provided pursuant to Article 4a(4) of this Regulation and on the protection of which the complainant insists, or
Article 1	.0(2), point	(cb), third subparagraph				
6 82d					(ii) fulfil the request without having access to the information that the complainant did not agree to provide pursuant to Article 4a(4) of this Regulation;	(ii) fulfil the request without having access to the information that the complainant did not agree to provide pursuant to Article 4a(4) of this Regulation;

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	.0(2), point (d)			
s 83	(d) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 5.	(d) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary <i>to act on a complaint</i> in accordance with Article 5.	(d) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 59.	(d) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 52. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	.0(2a), first subparagraph			
6 83а			2a. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Article 7 only if,	2a. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Article 7 only if,

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, at least one of the following applies:	having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, at least one of the following applies: Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	.0(2a), seco	nd subparagraph				
s 83b					(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated or a judgment has been given against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice, or a court settlement has been reached with the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the	(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated or a judgment has been given against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice, or a court settlement has been reached with the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the judicial authorities in

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			judicial authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority;	the Member State of the requested enforcement authority; Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	.0(2a), third subparagraph			
6 83c			(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers including administrative proceedings has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice in the Member State of the requested authority in order to bring about the swift	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers including administrative proceedings has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice in the Member State of the requested authority in order to bring about the swift and

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			and effective cessation of the same unfair trading practice;	effective cessation of the same unfair trading practice; Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article	10(2a), fourth subparagraph			
6 83d			(c) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority;	(c) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority;
				Text Origin: Council Mandate

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement	
Article 10(2a), fifth subparagraph							
83e					enforcement authority issues reasonable doubts whether the final decision is in compliance with Directive (EU) 2019/633 or can show that the final decision concerns national rules adopted on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence of Directive (EU) 2019/633 or maintained or adopted on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, or could not have been taken or cannot be enforced in compliance with its national law;	enforcement authority can demonstrate that the final decision concerns periods set on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b) third sentence of Directive (EU) 2019/633 or national rules maintained or adopted on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, or could not have been taken or cannot be enforced in compliance with its national law;	
Article 1	0(2a), sixtl	n subparagraph					

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	83f			(e) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 9.	(e) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 9. Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 1	0(3)			
G	84	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority of any refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance, together with the reasons for that refusal.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform without delay the applicant enforcement authority and the Commission of any refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance, together with the reasons for that refusal.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority of any refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance, together with the reasons for that refusal.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform without delay the applicant enforcement authority of any refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance, together with the reasons for that refusal.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: EP and Council Mandate
Article	11			
	Article 11	Article 11	Article 11	Article 11
	Language arrangements	Language arrangements	Language arrangements	Language arrangements
s 85				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article	11(1)			
g 86	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for requests, notifications and all other communications covered by this	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for requests, notifications and all other communications covered by this	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for requests, notifications and all other communications covered by this	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for requests, notifications and all other communications covered by this
	Chapter which are linked to the mutual assistance mechanism,	Chapter which are linked to the mutual assistance mechanism,	Chapter which are linked to the mutual assistance mechanism,	Chapter which are linked to the mutual assistance mechanism,

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
li.		shall be agreed upon with the enforcement authorities concerned.	shall be agreed upon with the enforcement authorities concerned.	shall be agreed upon with the enforcement authorities concerned.	shall be agreed upon with the enforcement authorities concerned. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	.1(2)			
G	87	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, requests for mutual assistance shall be sent in the official language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority and replies in the official language, or one of the official language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, requests for mutual assistance shall be sent in the official language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority, accompanied by a courtesy translation in English, and replies in the official	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, requests for mutual assistance shall be sent in the official language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority and replies in the official language, or one of the official language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, requests for mutual assistance shall be sent in the official language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority, accompanied by a courtesy translation in English, if requested and replies in the

		CLEAN	ommission oposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
		the requested eauthority.	enforcement	languages, the request authority, <i>c</i>	or one of the official of the Member State of ed enforcement accompanied by a manslation in English.	the request authority.	ed enforcement	official land State of the authority. courtesy to requested.	nguage, or one of the nguages, of the Member e requested enforcement accompanied by a ranslation in English, if
	Article 1	2							
G	88	An	rticle 12 powers	Implement	Article 12 ing powers	Imp l	Article 12 lementing powers		c
	Article 1	2, first paragrap	oh			This article i	is now article 20b		

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	89	The Commission may adopt implementing acts laying down standard forms for the requests of mutual assistance under Article 9(2).	By [6 months from the entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission mayshall adopt implementing acts laying down standard forms for the requests of mutual assistance under Article 9(2).:	The Commission may adopt implementing acts laying down standard forms for the requests of mutual assistance under Article 9(2).	G
	Article 1	2, first paragraph, point a			
G	89a		(a) standard forms for the requests of mutual assistance under Article 9(2);		G
	Article 1	2, first paragraph, point b			
G	89b		(b) the measures for implementing Article 8, in particular the specific conditions and standard procedures		G

	Commission Clean Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		applicable to the issuing of alerts and the transmission of notifications, communications and information among enforcement authorities.		
Article :	12, second paragraph			
s 90	The implementing acts referred in the first paragraph shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred in Article 21.	in the first paragraphthis Article shall be adopted in accordance	The implementing acts referred to in the first paragraph shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21.	
Chapter	r IIIa			
6 90a				Chapter IIIa VOLUNTARY COOPERATION
Article :				

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
s 90b				Article 12a Requests for information in relation to national rules
Article 12	2a(1)			
g 90c				1. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in Article 5 in relation to periods set on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence or national rules maintained or adopted on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633.
Article 12	2a(2)			

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	90d							2. When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of this possibility, the requested enforcement authority may provide only partial information or refuse to provide information. The requested enforcement authority shall indicate the reasons for the partial reply or for the refusal. In this case Article 10 shall not apply.
	Article 1	2b						
G	90e							Article 12b Requests for investigative measures in relation to national rules

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement	
Article 1	Article 12b(1)								
6 90f							has set per Article 3() sentence of adopted no basis of A (EU) 2019 the same to operators unfair transperiods see State on the point (b), national restate main the basis of Directive in the same to the basis of th	then a Member State riods on the basis of the point (b), third or maintained or ational rules on the rticle 9(1) of Directive threshold for the or the same type of ding practices as the thy another Member the basis of Article 3(1), third sentence or as the ules of another Member atained or adopted on of Article 9(1) of (EU) 2019/633, their ent authorities may	

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
							agree to make use of the possibilities referred to in Article 6(1) in relation to one or more unfair trading practices under those national rules.
Article 1	12b(2)						
6 90g							2. When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of this possibility the requested enforcement authority may refuse to conduct investigative measures, without indicating the reasons for the refusal. In this case, Article 10 shall not apply.
Article 1	12c						
6 90h							<u>Article 12c</u>

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Procedure for request
Article 1	12c, first paragraph			
s 90i				When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in Article 12a and 12b, it shall send a request to the requested enforcement authority which:
Article 1	12c, first paragraph, point (a)			
s 90j				(a) states this Regulation as legal basis;
Article 1	12c, first paragraph, point (b)		,	
6 90k				(b) indicates the national law laying down the prohibition of the

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
								unfair trading practice concerned that goes beyond Directive (EU) 2019/633, and if that national law is based on Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence or Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;
	Article 1	2c, first par	ragraph, point (c)					
G	901							(c) describes the purpose of the request;
	Article 1	2c, first par	ragraph, point (d)					
G	90m							(d) describes the unfair trading practice concerned and specify how this goes beyond the Directive (EU) 2019/633;
	Article 1	2c, first par	ragraph, point (e)					

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 90n				(e) specifies what information or which investigative measure is requested.
СНАРТЕ	R IV			
	CHAPTER IV	CHAPTER IV	CHAPTER IV	CHAPTER IV
s 91	INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS FOR WIDESPREAD UNFAIR TRADING PRACTICES WITH A CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION	INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS FOR WIDESPREAD UNFAIR TRADING PRACTICES WITH A CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION	INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS FOR WIDESPREAD UNFAIR TRADING PRACTICES WITH A CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION	INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS FOR WIDESPREAD UNFAIR TRADING PRACTICES WITH A CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Article 1	.3							
3 92	Launch of a c	Article 13 coordinated action on of the coordinator		Article 13 a coordinated action ation of the coordinator		Article 13 of a coordinated action gnation of the coordinator	and desig	Article 13 of a coordinated action quation of the coordinator
Article 1	3(1)						Proposal	
s 93	reasonable su may be a wid trading practi border dimen authorities co practice shall	re there is a aspicion that there espread unfair ce with a cross- sion, the enforcement encerned by that launch a coordinated shall be based on an	reasonable may be a w trading pra border dim authorities practice sh	here there is a suspicion that there videspread unfair ctice with a cross-tension, the enforcement concerned by that all launch a coordinated ch shall be based on an	reasonab may be a trading p border di authoritie practice s	Where there is a le suspicion that there widespread unfair ractice with a crossmension, the enforcement es concerned by that shall launch a coordinated hich shall be based on an	reasonabl may be a trading pr border dir authoritie practice s	where there is a e suspicion that there widespread unfair ractice with a cross- mension, the enforcement s concerned by that hall launch a coordinated ich shall be based on an

EN

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
l.		agreement between them. The launch of the coordinated action shall be notified to the Commission without delay.	agreement between them. The launch of the coordinated action shall be notified to the Commission without delay.	agreement between them. The launch of the coordinated action shall be notified to the Commission without delay.	agreement between them. The launch of the coordinated action shall be notified to the Commission without delay. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	3(2)			
G	94	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall designate an enforcement authority to be the coordinator.	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall designate, by common agreement, an enforcement authority to be the coordinator. If those enforcement authorities are unable to reach an agreement on	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall designate an enforcement authority to be the coordinator.	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall designate an enforcement authority to be the coordinator

136

EN

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		that designation, the enforcement authority that has issued the alert pursuant to Article 19 shall be the coordinator. That enforcement authority shall be assisted in the exercise of its powers by the other enforcement authorities concerned and by the Commission, in order to facilitate efficient cooperation among enforcement authorities, safeguard fair competition and protect vulnerable suppliers.	If those enforcement authorities are unable to reach an agreement on that designation, the enforcement authority that has issued the alert pursuant to Article 19 shall be the coordinator.	In order to reach an agreement in the designation of the coordinator, the Commission may, when necessary, facilitate the discussions among the enforcement authorities. If those enforcement authorities are unable to reach an agreement on that designation, the enforcement authority that has issued the alert pursuant to Article 19 shall be the coordinator. Text origin: EP and Council mandate

CLEA	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Article 13(3)							
wides with shall basis availa notify inves enfor	The enforcement prities concerned by the spread unfair trading practice a cross-border dimension conduct investigations on the of information that is able to them. They shall by the results of such stigations to the other recement authorities, pursuant rticle 19.	authorities widespread with a cros shall cond basis of in available t coordinate of such inv	ne enforcement concerned by the d unfair trading practice ess-border dimension uct investigations on the formation that is to them. They The expressions are the other or shall notify the results exestigations to the other authorities, pursuant 19.	authoriti widespre with a cr shall cor basis of available notify th investiga	The enforcement ies concerned by the ead unfair trading practice ross-border dimension induct investigations on the information that is to them. They shall he results of such ations to the other ment authorities, pursuant e 19.	widesp with a shall c basis c availab notify investi enforc	The enforcement rities concerned by the pread unfair trading practice cross-border dimension conduct investigations on the of information that is ble to them. They shall the results of such rigations to the other ement authorities rened, pursuant to Article 19.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
s 96	4. An enforcement authority shall join the coordinated action if it becomes apparent during that coordinated action that the enforcement authority is concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	4. An enforcement authority shall join the coordinated action if it becomes apparent during that coordinated action that the enforcement authority is concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	4. An enforcement authority shall join the coordinated action if it becomes apparent during that coordinated action that the enforcement authority is concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	4. An enforcement authority shall join the coordinated action if it becomes apparent during that coordinated action that the enforcement authority is concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	13(5)			
s 97	5. In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension all elements	5. In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension all elements	5. In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension all elements	5. In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a crossborder dimension all elements

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		shall be taken into account and in particular:	shall be taken into account and in particular:	shall be taken into account and in particular:	shall be taken into account and in particular: Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	3(5), point (a)			
G	98	a) the Member States where the buyers are established;	a) the Member States or the third country where the buyers are established;	a) the Member States where the buyers are established;	a) the Member States where the buyers are established; Text Origin: Council
	Article 1	3(5), point (b)			Mandate/Commission proposal
G	99	b) the Member States where the suppliers that may be affected	′	b) the Member States where the suppliers that may be affected	b) the Member States where the suppliers that may be affected

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		by the unfair trading practice are established.	by the unfair trading practice are established.	by the unfair trading practice are established.	by the unfair trading practice are established. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	4	,		
		Article 14	Article 14	Article 14	Article 14
G	100	Reasons for refusing to take part in the coordinated action	Reasons for refusing to take part in the coordinated action	Reasons for refusing to take part in the coordinated action	Reasons for refusing to take part in the coordinated action
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	4(1)			

141

EN

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G 101	1. An enforcement authority may refuse to take part in a coordinated action only if any of the following applies:	1. An enforcement authority may refuse to take part in a coordinated action only if any of the following applies:	1. An enforcement authority may refuse to take part in a coordinated action only if any of the following applies:	1. An enforcement authority may refuse to take part in a coordinated action only if any of the following applies: Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article	14(1), point (a)			
g 102	(a) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated, a judgment has been given, or a court settlement has been reached in respect of the same buyer and concerning the same unfair trading practice in that enforcement authority's Member State;	(a) a criminal investigation, administrative or judicial proceedings have already been initiated, a judgment has been given, or a court settlement has been reached in respect of the same buyer or buyers and concerning the same unfair trading practice towards the same	(a) a criminal investigation—or, judicial proceedings or administrative proceedings have already been initiated, a judgment has been given, or a court settlement has been reached in respect of the same buyer or buyers and concerning the same unfair trading practicepractices in	(a) a criminal investigation, or judicial <i>or administrative</i> proceedings have already been initiated, a judgment has been given, or a court settlement has been reached in respect of the same buyer <i>or buyers</i> and concerning the same unfair trading practice <i>towards the same</i>

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		supplier or suppliers and in the same period in that enforcement authority's Member State;	that enforcement authority's Member State;	supplier, and during the same period of the unfair trading practice covered by this criminal investigation, or judicial, or administrative proceedings in that enforcement authority's Member State; Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article	e 14(1), point (b)			
s 103	(b) the enforcement au has already initiated investi before the issuing of an ale referred to in Article 19, or administrative decision has adopted against the same by respect of the same unfair to	has already initiated investigations before the issuing of an alert referred to in Article 19, or an administrative decision has been uyer in adopted against the same buyer or	(b) the enforcement authority has already initiated investigations before the issuing of an alert referred to in Article 19, or an administrative decision has been adopted against the same buyer or buyers in respect of the same	(b) the enforcement authority has already initiated investigations before the issuing of an alert referred to in Article 19, or an administrative decision has been adopted against the same buyer or buyers in respect of the same

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	practice in that enforcement authority's Member State to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	unfair trading practice towards the same supplier or suppliers and in the same period in that enforcement authority's Member State to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	unfair trading practicepractices in that enforcement authority's Member State to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	unfair trading practice towards the same supplier, and during the same period of the unfair trading practice covered by the investigations or the administrative decision in that enforcement authority's Member State to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension; Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 1	4(1), point (c)			
g 104	(c) the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has not occurred	(c) the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has not occurred	(c) the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has not occurred	(c) the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has not occurred

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	in that enforcement authority's Member State and therefore no enforcement measures need to be adopted by that enforcement authority.	in that enforcement authority's Member State and therefore no enforcement measures need to be adopted by that enforcement authority.	in that enforcement authority's Member State and therefore no enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 need to be adoptedtaken by that enforcement authority.	in that enforcement authority's Member State and therefore no enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 need to be adopted taken by that enforcement authority. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	.4(2)			
s 105	2. Where an enforcement authority refuses to take part in the coordinated action, it shall inform the Commission and the other enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension about its decision	2. Where an enforcement authority refuses to take part in the coordinated action, it shall inform the Commission and the other enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension about its decision	2. Where an enforcement authority refuses to take part in the coordinated action, it shall inform the Commission and the other enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension about its decision	2. Where an enforcement authority refuses to take part in the coordinated action, it shall inform the Commission and the other enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension about its decision

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
		for its dec	elay, stating the reasons ision and providing any supporting documents.	for its dec	elay, stating the reasons ision and providing any supporting documents.	for its dec	elay, stating the reasons ision and providing, recessary, supporting s.	for its dec necessary	elay, stating the reasons ision and providing any supporting documents.
	Article 1	4(a)						Proposal	
O	105a			Mediati	Article 14a on mechanism				gin: Council Commission proposal
	Article 1	4(a), first pa	aragraph						
G	105b				he Commission shall act ation mechanism for the				G

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement		
		resolution of potential disagreements between Member States and refusals of cooperation for no objective reason, and to prevent blockages in the mutual assistance process.		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal		
Article	15					
	Article 15	Article 15	Article 15	Article 15		
в 106	Investigation measures in coordinated actions	Investigation Investigative measures in coordinated actions	Investigation measuresInvestigations in coordinated actions	Investigation measures Investigations in coordinated actions		
				Text Origin: Council Mandate		
Article	Article 15(1)					

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
s 107	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall ensure that investigations and inspections are conducted in a coordinated manner. They shall seek to conduct investigations and inspections and, to the extent that national law so allows, to apply interim measures simultaneously with one another.	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall ensure that investigations and inspections are conducted in a <i>timely</i> , <i>effective</i> , <i>and</i> coordinated manner. They shall seek to conduct investigations and inspections and, to the extent that national law so allows, to apply interim measures simultaneously with one another.	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall ensure that investigations and inspections are conducted in a coordinated manner. They shall seek to conduct investigations and inspections and, to the extent that national law so allows, to apply interim measures simultaneously with one another.	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall ensure that investigations and inspections are conducted in a <i>timely</i> , effective, and coordinated manner. They shall seek to conduct investigations and inspections and, to the extent that national law so allows, to apply interim measures simultaneously with one another.
Article :	15(2)			
g 108	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall set out the	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall set out the	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall set out the	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall set out the

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	outcome of the investigation and the assessment of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in a common position, summarising the national decisions adopted.	outcome of the investigation and the assessment of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in a <i>common positionjoint statement</i> , summarising the national decisions adopted.	outcome of the investigation and the assessment of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in a common positionstatement, summarising the national decisions adopted measures taken and, where applicable, the different opinions of the enforcement authorities.	outcome of the investigation and the assessment of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in a common positionstatement, summarising the national decisions adopted measures taken and, where applicable, the different opinions of the enforcement authorities. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	.5(3)			
s 109	3. Without prejudice to the rules on confidentiality and on professional and trade secrecy laid down in Directive (EU) 2016/943	3. Without prejudice to the rules on confidentiality and on professional and trade secrecy laid down in Directive (EU) 2016/943	3. Without prejudice to the rules on confidentiality and on professional and trade secrecy laid down in Directive (EU) 2016/943	3. Without prejudice to the rules on confidentiality and on professional and trade secrecy laid down in Directive (EU) 2016/943

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ , the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall publish the common position or parts thereof on their websites and inform the Commission of the publication.	of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁶ , the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall publish the <i>common positionjoint</i> statement or parts thereof on their websites and inform the Commission of the publication.	of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ , the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall publish the common positionstatement or parts thereof on their websites and inform the Commission of the publication.	of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ , the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall publish the common <i>positionstatement</i> or parts thereof on their websites and inform the Commission of the publication.
1. Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know-how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L 157, 15.6.2016, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/943/oj).	1. Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L 157, 15.6.2016, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/943/oj).	1. [1] Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know-how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L 157, 15.6.2016, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/943/oj).	1. Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know-how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L 157, 15.6.2016, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/943/oj).

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 1	1.6			
110	Article 16 Enforcement measures in coordinated actions	Article 16 Enforcement measures in coordinated actions	Article 16 Enforcement measures in coordinated actions	Article 16 Enforcement measures in coordinated actions
Article 1	16(1)			Text Origin: Commission Proposal
s 111	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall take within their jurisdiction all necessary enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 against the buyer responsible for the widespread	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall take within their jurisdiction all necessary enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 against the buyer or buyers responsible for the	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall take within their jurisdiction all necessary enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 against the buyer or buyers responsible for the	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall take within their jurisdiction all necessary enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 against the buyer or buyers responsible for the

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension to bring about the cessation of that unfair trading practice.	widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension to bring about the cessation of that unfair trading practice.	widespread unfair trading practicepractices with a cross- border dimension to bring about the cessation of that unfair trading practice.	widespread unfair trading practicepractices with a cross- border dimension to bring about the cessation of that unfair trading practice. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	.6(2)			
g 112	2. Enforcement measures pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be taken by the enforcement authorities in accordance with the national rules of their Member State and in a coordinated manner to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	2. Enforcement measures pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be taken by the enforcement authorities in accordance with the national rules of their Member State and in a coordinated manner to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	2. Enforcement measures pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be taken by the enforcement authorities in accordance with the national rules of their Member State and in a coordinated manner to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	2. Enforcement measures pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be taken by the enforcement authorities in accordance with the national rules of their Member State and in a coordinated manner to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement	
	The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall seek to take enforcement measures simultaneously in the Member States concerned by that widespread cross-border infringement.	The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall seek to take enforcement measures simultaneously in the Member States concerned by that widespread cross-border infringement.	The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall seek to take enforcement measures simultaneously in the Member States concerned by that widespread cross-border infringement.	The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall seek to take enforcement measures simultaneously in the Member States concerned by that widespread cross-border infringement. Text Origin: Commission Proposal	
Article	17				
6 113	Article 17 Cessation of coordinated action	Article 17 Cessation of coordinated action	Article 17 Cessation of coordinated action	Article 17 Cessation of coordinated action	

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article :	17(1)			
s 114	1. A coordinated action shall cease if the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension was committed.	1. A coordinated action shall cease if the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension was committed.	1. A coordinated action shall cease if the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension was committed.	1. A coordinated action shall cease if the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension was committed.
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 1	.7(2)			
115	2. The coordinator referred to in Article 13(2) shall notify, where applicable, the enforcement authorities of the Member States concerned by the coordinated action of the cessation of the coordinated action without delay.	2. The coordinator referred to in Article 13(2) shall notify, where applicable <i>and without undue delay</i> , the enforcement authorities of the Member States concerned by the coordinated action <i>and the Commission</i> of the cessation of the coordinated action <i>without delay</i> .	2. The coordinator referred to in Article 13(2) shall notify, where applicable, the enforcement authorities of the Member States concerned by the coordinated action of the cessation of the coordinated action without delay.	2. The coordinator referred to in Article 13(2) shall notify, where applicable and without delay, the enforcement authorities of the Member States concerned by the coordinated action and the Commission of the cessation of the coordinated action without delay. Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 1	.8		<u> </u>	
116	Article 18 Role of the coordinator	Article 18 Role of the coordinator	Article 18 Role of the coordinator	Article 18 Role of the coordinator

		Commission Clean Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
						Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	8(1)				
G	117	1. The coordinator applied in accordance with Article in particular:		The coordinator appointed ance with Article 13 shall slar:	1. The coordinator appointed in accordance with Article 13 shall in particular:	1. The coordinator appointed in accordance with Article 13 shall in particular: Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	8(1), point (a)				
G	118	(a) ensure that the enforcement authorities con are duly informed, in a time manner, of the progress of t	enforcement enforc	nsure that the nent authorities concerned commission are duly, in a timely manner, of	(a) ensure that the enforcement authorities concerned are duly informed, in a timely manner, of the progress of the	(a) ensure that the enforcement authorities concerned are duly informed, in a timely manner, of the progress of the

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		investigation or of the enforcement action, and informed of any anticipated next steps and the measures to be adopted;	the progress of the investigation or of the enforcement action, and informed of any anticipated next steps and the measures to be adopted;	investigation or of the enforcement action, and informed of any anticipated next steps and the measures to be adopted;	investigation or of the enforcement action, and informed of any anticipated next steps and the measures to be adopted; Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
	Article 1	8(1), point (b)			
G	119	(b) coordinate and monitor the investigation measures taken by the enforcement authorities concerned in accordance with this Regulation;	(b) coordinate and monitor the investigation measures taken by the enforcement authorities concerned in accordance with this Regulation;	(b) coordinate and monitor the investigation measures taken by the enforcement authorities concerned in accordance with this Regulation;	(b) coordinate and monitor the investigation measures taken by the enforcement authorities concerned in accordance with this Regulation;
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 1	18(1), point (c)			
120	(c) coordinate the preparation and sharing of all necessary documents among the enforcement authorities concerned;	(c) coordinate the preparation and sharing of all necessary documents among the enforcement authorities concerned;	(c) coordinate the preparation and sharing of all necessary documents among the enforcement authorities concerned;	(c) coordinate the preparation and sharing of all necessary documents among the enforcement authorities concerned; Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	18(1), point (d)			
121	(d) maintain contact with the buyer and other parties concerned by the investigation or enforcement measures, as applicable, unless otherwise agreed by the enforcement	(d) inform the buyer or buyers about the launch of a coordinated action and maintain contact with the buyer or buyers and other parties concerned by the investigation or enforcement measures, as applicable, unless	(d) inform the buyer or buyers about the launch of a coordinated action and maintain contact with the buyer or buyers and other parties concerned by the investigation or enforcement measures, as applicable, unless	(d) inform the buyer or buyers about the launch of a coordinated action and maintain contact with the buyer or buyers and other parties concerned by the investigation or enforcement measures, as applicable, unless

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		authorities concerned and the coordinator;	otherwise agreed by the enforcement authorities concerned and the coordinator;	otherwise agreed by the enforcement authorities concerned and the coordinator;	otherwise agreed by the enforcement authorities concerned and the coordinator; Text Origin: EP and Council Mandate
	Article 1	.8(1), point (e)			
G	122	(e) where applicable, coordinate the assessment, the consultations and the monitoring by the enforcement authorities concerned as well as other steps necessary to implement the commitments proposed by the buyer concerned;	(e) where applicable, coordinate the assessment, the consultations and the monitoring by the enforcement authorities concerned as well as other steps necessary to implement the commitments proposed by the buyer concerned;	(e) where applicable, coordinate the assessment, the consultations and the monitoring by the enforcement authorities concerned as well as other steps necessary to implement the commitments proposed by the buyer concerned;	(e) where applicable, coordinate the assessment, the consultations and the monitoring by the enforcement authorities concerned as well as other steps necessary to implement the commitments proposed by the buyer concerned;

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	L8(1), point (f)			
s 123	(f) where applicable, coordinate enforcement measures adopted by the enforcement authorities concerned;	(f) where applicable, coordinate enforcement measures adopted by the enforcement authorities concerned;	(f) where applicable, coordinate enforcement measures adopted by the enforcement authorities concerned;	(f) where applicable, coordinate enforcement measures adopted by the enforcement authorities concerned; Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	L8(1), point (g)			
s 124	(g) coordinate requests for mutual assistance submitted by the enforcement authorities concerned pursuant to Chapter III.	(g) coordinate requests for mutual assistance submitted by the enforcement authorities concerned pursuant to Chapter III.	(g) coordinate requests for mutual assistance submitted by the enforcement authorities concerned pursuant to Chapter III.	(g) coordinate requests for mutual assistance submitted by the enforcement authorities concerned pursuant to Chapter III.

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
							Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	8.1a						
6 124a							The coordinator shall be assisted in the exercise of the tasks set out in paragraph 1, points b), c), e), f) and g) by the other enforcement authorities concerned
Article 1	8(2)						

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
s 125	2. The coordinator shall not be held responsible for the actions or the omissions of the other enforcement authorities concerned when they make use of the powers set out in Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in the rules laid down in this Regulation.	2. The coordinator shall not be held responsible for the actions or the omissions of the other enforcement authorities concerned when they make use of the powers set out in Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in the rules laid down in this Regulation.	2. The coordinator shall not be held responsible for the actions or the omissions of the other enforcement authorities concerned when they make use of the powers set out in Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in the rules laid down in this Regulation.	2. The coordinator shall not be held responsible for the actions or the omissions of the other enforcement authorities concerned when they make use of the powers set out in Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in the rules laid down in this Regulation. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	19			
c 126	Article 19 Alerts	Article 19 Alerts	Article 19 Alerts	Article 19 Alerts Alert system

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	19(1)			
s 127	1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.	1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may might be taking place, regardless of whether it is only taking place within the Union or is taking place both within the Union and in one or more third countries. For that purpose, the enforcement authority shall use the system laid down in Article 8,	1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.	1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and theall other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place, regardless of whether it is only taking place within the Union or is taking place both within the Union and in one or more third countries. The Commission may supplement the alert with any information that is likely to facilitate rapid,

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		which shall apply mutatis mutandis.		appropriate action by enforcement authorities.
Article 1	19(2)			
128	2. The enforcement authoric shall, when issuing an alert referred to in paragraph 1, provid information about the suspected widespread unfair trading practic with a cross-border dimension covered by this Regulation, including, the following:	shall, when issuing an alert e referred to in paragraph 1, provide information about the	2. The enforcement authority shall, when issuing an alert referred to in paragraph 1, provide information about the suspected widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension covered by this Regulation, including, the following:	2. The enforcement authority shall, when issuing an alert referred to in paragraph 1, provide information about the suspected widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension covered by this Regulation, including, the following:
				Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal

164

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
s 129	(a) a description of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(a) a description of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(a) a detailed description of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(a) a detailed description of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension; Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article	19(2), point (b)			
G 130	(b) details of the subject matter of the widespread unfair trading practice with a crossborder dimension;	(b) details of the subject matter of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension;	(b) details of the subject matter of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension;	(b) details of the subject matter of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension; Text Origin: EP and Council mandate
Article	19(2), point (c)			

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 131	(c) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(c) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(c) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(c) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension; Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
Article :	19(2), point (d)			
g 132	(d) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(d) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(d) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(d) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement		
				Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal		
Article 1						
g 133	(e) the unfair trading practice concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law;	(e) the unfair trading practice concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law;	(e) the unfair trading practice concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law;	(e) the unfair trading practice concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law; Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal		
Article 1	Article 19(2), point (f)					
s 134	(f) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the widespread unfair	(f) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the widespread unfair	(f) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the widespread unfair	(f) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the widespread unfair		

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	trading practice with a cross- border dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof;	trading practice with a cross- border dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof;	trading practice with a cross- border dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof;	trading practice with a cross- border dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof;
Article	19(2), point (g)			mandate/Commission Proposal
6 135	(g) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.	(g) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.	(g) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.	(g) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.
				Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal

	Commission Clean Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Article :	19(3)					
6 136	3. The enforcement authorities of the Member States to verify whether, based on information is available or easily accessible the relevant enforcement authorities, the same widespresunfair trading practices may be taking place in the territory of those other Member States or whether any proceedings are pending or any enforcement measures have already been to against such unfair trading practices in those Member States. The enforcement authorities in	may, when that whether, is averaged and enforcement the territe widespread the territe maken already buttes. In Member 18	the enforcement authority in issuing an alert, inforcement authorities in inber States to verify based on information idable or easily is to the relevant into the relevant into the same ind unfair trading imay be taking place in intry of those other istates or whether any ings are pending or any ient measures have ient taken against such iding practices in those istates. The enforcement	3. The enforcement authoric may, when issuing an alert, request enforcement authorities is other Member States to verify whether, based on information the is available or easily accessible to the relevant enforcement authorities, the same widespread unfair trading practicespractice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place in the territor of those other Member States or whether any proceedings are pending or any enforcement measures have already been take against suchthat unfair trading practicespractice in those Member States. The enforcement	may, who request of other Monate whether is available the relevant of those whether pending measure against a practice.	The enforcement authority nen issuing an alert, enforcement authorities in ember States to verify, based on information that able or easily accessible to want enforcement ies, the same widespread rading practices practice ross-border dimension taking place in the territory other Member States or any proceedings are or any enforcement is have already been taken such that unfair trading in those Member The enforcement

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		those other Member States shall reply to the request without delay.	States shall reply to the request without delay.	authorities in those other Member States shall reply to the request without delay.	authorities in those other Member States shall reply to the request without delay. Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 20	0			
		Article 20	Article 20	Article 20	Article 20
G	137	Language arrangements	Language arrangements	Language arrangements	Language arrangements
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 20	0(1)			
0	138	The languages used by the enforcement authorities for	The languages used by the enforcement authorities for	The languages used by the enforcement authorities for	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	notifications, as well as for all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the coordinated actions, shall be agreed upon by the enforcement authorities concerned.	notifications, as well as for all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the coordinated actions, shall be agreed upon by the enforcement authorities concerned.	notifications, as well as for all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the coordinated actions, shall be agreed upon by the enforcement authorities concerned.	notifications, as well as for all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the coordinated actions, shall be agreed upon by the enforcement authorities concerned. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	20(2)			
g 139	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, notifications and other communications shall be sent in the official language or one of the official languages of the Member	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, notifications and other communications shall be sent in the official language or one of the official languages of the Member	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, notifications and other communications shall be sent in the official language or one of the official languages of the Member	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, notifications and other communications shall be sent in the official language or one of the official languages of the Member

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		State making the notification or other communication.	State making the notification or other communication, accompanied by a courtesy translation in English.	State making the notification or other communication.	State making the notification or other communication. accompanied by a courtesy translation in English, if requested. Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Chapter	· IVa			
G	139a			Chapter IVa Cooperation in relation to buyers established outside the Union	Chapter -V Cooperation in relation to suppliers or buyers established outside the Union
					Text Origin: Council Mandate

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 2	0a, title				
139b			Article 20a Cooperation in relation to suppliers or buyers established outside the Union	Article 20a Cooperation in relation to buyers established outside the Union	Article 20a Cooperation in relation to suppliers or buyers established outside the Union Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 2	0a, paragra	ph 1			
139c			1. This Article applies to unfair trading practices within the meaning of Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension that occur between buyers and suppliers referred to in Article	In relation to unfair trading practices laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a crossborder dimension which occur in relation to sales of agricultural and food products	In relation to unfair trading practices laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 which occur in relation to sales of agricultural and food products between buyers and suppliers referred to in

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 where either the supplier or the buyer is established outside the Union.	between buyers and suppliers referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 where the buyers are established outside the Union an enforcement authority may:	Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 where the suppliers or buyers are established outside the Union, an enforcement authority may: Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article	20a, point (a)			
6 139d		2 With a view to establishing whether an unfair trading practice has occurred or is occurring in the territory of its Member State, an enforcement authority may request information from an enforcement authority of another Member State. Article 4a, Article 5(1), (2)	(a) request information from an enforcement authority of another Member State to establish whether an unfair trading practice has occurred or is occurring in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority. For such a request, Articles 4a, 5(1), (2)	(a) request information from an enforcement authority of another Member State to establish whether an unfair trading practice has occurred or is occurring in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority. For such a request, Articles 4a, 5(1), (2) and (3), 7a,

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			and (3), Article 7a, Article 8, Article 9, Article 10(1) and Article 11 shall apply to such requests mutatis mutandis.	and (3), 7a, 8, 9, 10(1) and 11 shall apply mutatis mutandis.	8, 9, 10(1) and 11 shall apply mutatis mutandis. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article	20a(b)				
s 139e			When it suspects that an unfair trading practice by a buyer, irrespective of its place of establishment, takes place and that unfair trading practice might occur in at least three Member States, an enforcement authority may alert the Commission and other enforcement authorities concerned of those suspicions. Article 4(a), Article 19 and Article	(b) alert the Commission and other enforcement authorities concerned when it suspects that an unfair trading practice by a buyer established outside the Union takes place and that that unfair trading practice may concern suppliers established in at least three Member States. For such alerts, Articles 4a, 19 (2) and (3) and 20 shall apply mutatis mutandis.	(b) alert the Commission and other enforcement authorities concerned when it suspects that an unfair trading practice towards a supplier established outside the Union or by a buyer established outside the Union takes place and that that unfair trading practice may concern buyers or suppliers established in at least three Member States. For such alerts, Articles 4a, 19 (2)

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				20 shall a mutatis m	pply to those alerts utandis.			mutatis m	nd 20 shall apply nutandis. gin: Council Mandate
	Article 2	O(b), title							
G	139f				Article 20b			Text Ori	gin: EP Mandate
	Article 2	0(b), paragr	raph 1						
G	139g			ensure the authoritie	Tember States shall at their enforcement s include in the annual erred to in Article 10(2) we (EU) 2019/633 details			Text Ori	gin: EP Mandate

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
			of any of their activities falling				
			within the scope of this				
			Regulation. Those details shall,				
			inter alia, include the number of				
			requests received by applicant				
			enforcement authorities, in				
			accordance with Articles 5, 6, 7				
			and 9 of this Regulation, as well				
			as the number of coordinated				
			actions for widespread unfair				
			trading practices with a cross-				
			border dimension, in accordance				
			with Article 13 of this Regulation,				
			opened or closed during the				
			previous year. For each request				
			or action that has been				
			concluded, the report shall				
			contain a summary description of				
			the matter and of the steps and				
			measures taken.				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 2	0b						
6 139h							Article 20b Contact person responsible for the EU
Article 2	0b(1)						
6 139i							1. Where an enforcement authority takes investigative measures against a buyer established outside the Union in relation to an unfair trading practices laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, and if it considers that the buyer is not cooperating with it, it may request the buyer to designate as its contact person

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
							responsible for the EU a natural or legal person established within the territory of the European Union.
Article 2	20b(2)						
6 139j							2. The contact person responsible for the EU referred to in paragraph 1 shall:
Article 2	20b(2), poin	it (a)					
6 139k							(a) act as the primary point of contact for that enforcement authority;
Article 2	20b(2), poin	it (b)					

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
g 1391							(b) facilitate investigations, including by providing the requested documents, records of transactions, data and witness statements to that enforcement authority
Article 2	20b(3)						
6 139m							3. Where the buyer established outside the Union does not fulfil the request referred to in paragraph 1 the enforcement authority that issued the request shall without delay alert the Commission and all other enforcement authorities that that buyer has failed to designate a contact person responsible for the EU. The

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
						Commission may supplement the alert with any information that is likely to facilitate rapid, appropriate action by enforcement authorities.
	CHAPTER	R V				
G	140	CHAPTER V FPROCEDURAL PROVISIONS			CHAPTER V FPROCEDURAL PROCEDURA L PROVISIONS	CHAPTER V FPROCEDURAL PROCEDURAL PROVISIONS
	Article 20	0c				Text Origin: EP Mandate
G	140a				Article 20b Implementing powers	Article 20c Implementing powers

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
							Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 2	Oc paragra	ph				
II.	140b					The Commission may adopt implementing acts laying down:	The Commission may adopt implementing acts laying down standard forms for the requests for mutual assistance pursuant to Article 9(2); Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 2	0c(a)					
(140c					(a) standard forms for the requests for mutual assistance pursuant to Article 9(2);	

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 2	0c(b)					
s 140d					(b) arrangements for the management of the notifications and communications among the enforcement authorities pursuant to Articles 8, 9, 13,14, 15, 17, 19 and 20a.	
Article 2	Oc second	paragraph				
s 140e					The implementing acts referred to in the first paragraph shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21.	The implementing acts referred to in the first paragraph shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21. Text Origin: Council Mandate

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 2	21			
	Article 21	Article 21	Article 21	Article 21
	Committee procedure Committee procedure		Committee procedure	Committee procedure
g 141				
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	21(1)			
s 142	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee for the Common Organisation of the Agricultural Markets established by Article 229 of Regulation (EU No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ . That Committee shall be a	assisted by the Committee for the Common Organisation of the Agricultural Markets established	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee for the Common Organisation of the Agricultural Markets established by Article 229 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ . That Committee shall be a	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee for the Common Organisation of the Agricultural Markets established by Article 229 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ . That Committee shall be a

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
committee within the meaning of			
Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.			
1. Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the	1. Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the	1. [1] Regulation (EU) No	1. Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the
European Parliament and of the Council of	European Parliament and of the Council of	1308/2013 of the European Parliament	European Parliament and of the Council of
17 December 2013 establishing a common	17 December 2013 establishing a common	and of the Council of 17 December 2013	17 December 2013 establishing a common
organisation of the markets in agricultural	organisation of the markets in agricultural	establishing a common organisation of the	organisation of the markets in agricultural
products and repealing Council	products and repealing Council	markets in agricultural products and	products and repealing Council
Regulations (EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No	Regulations (EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No	repealing Council Regulations (EEC) No	Regulations (EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No
234/79, (EC) No 1037/2001 and (EC) No	234/79, (EC) No 1037/2001 and (EC) No	922/72, (EEC) No 234/79, (EC) No	234/79, (EC) No 1037/2001 and (EC) No
1234/2007 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 671,	1234/2007 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 671,	1037/2001 and (EC) No 1234/2007 (OJ L	1234/2007 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 671,
ELI:	ELI:	347, 20.12.2013, p. 671, ELI:	ELI:
http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2013/1308/oj)	http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2013/1308/oj)	http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2013/1308/oj)	http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2013/1308/oj)
			Text Origin: Commission
			Proposal

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	143	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2	1(a), title			
G	143a		Article 21a Evaluation		Article 21a Reporting obligation of the Commission
	Article 2	1(a), paragraph 1, first subparagraph			
G	143b		1 By [+ 3 years from the adoption of this Regulation], the		1 By 4 years from the application of this Regulation, the

Cl	LEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			Commission shall carry out the first evaluation of this Regulation and shall present a report on the main findings of that evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council, as well as to the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. That report shall be accompanied, if appropriate, by a legislative proposal.			Commission shall present a report on the application of this Regulation to the European Parliament and to the Council, as well as to the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. The Commission shall take this report into account when carrying out the evaluation of Directive (EU) 2019/633. That evaluation shall be accompanied if appropriate by a legislative proposal concerning this Regulation. Text Origin: EP Mandate

		Commiss CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC Draft Agreement
G	143c		The Commission shades the report on the annuareports referred to in Article of of Directive (EU) 2019/6. The Commission may, if necessary, request additional information from Member S	<u>al</u> <u>al</u> <u>al</u>	2 The Commission shall base the report on the annual reports referred to in Article 10(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633. The Commission may, if necessary, request additional information from Member States.
	Article 2	1(a), paragraph 2			
G	143d		2 That report shall in an assessment of:	nclude	2 That report shall describe the evolution of the cooperation mechanisms established under this Regulation and of enforcement activity, in particular the identification of the most frequent types of cross-border

188

EN

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
							unfair trading practices, the most affected sectors, and buyer or buyers' types, including those established outside the Union, most commonly involved. Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 2	1(a), parag	raph 2, point a					
6 143e			proportion mechanism this Regul	e effectiveness and nality of the cooperation ms established under lation in enforcing the down in Directive (EU)			Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 2	1(a), paragi	raph 2, point b					

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 143f		b any significant changes in the level of administrative burden and compliance costs for Member States and businesses, especially small and medium- sized enterprises and primary producers;		Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 2	11(a), paragraph 2, point c			
c 143g		c the evolution of enforcement activity, including the identification of the most frequent types of cross-border unfair trading practices, the most affected sectors, and buyer or buyers types most commonly involved.		Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article 2	11aa			

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
s 143h							Article 21aa Reporting by Member States
Article	21aa(1)						
c 143i							The annual report referred to in Article 10(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 shall include details of any of the activities falling within the scope of this Regulation. Those details shall, inter alia, include the number of requests received by applicant enforcement authorities, in accordance with Articles 5, 6, 7 and 9 of this Regulation, as well as the number of coordinated actions for

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
							widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension, in accordance with Article 13 of this Regulation, opened or closed during the previous year. For each request or action that has been concluded, the report shall contain a summary description of the matter and of the steps and measures taken.
Article 2	21b						
c 143j							Article 21b Internal Market Information System
Article 2	21(2k)						

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
6 143k							Information establish No 1024, the purpose, Article 1 12b, Article 1 12b, Article 1 1 Article 1 1 and Article 1 1 European of 25 Octon cooperation Information Commission	The Internal Market tion System (IMI), ted by Regulation (EU) /2012 ¹ , shall be used for toses of Article 5, Article te 7, Article 8, Article te 7, Article 12a, Article ticle 12c, Article 13, 4, Article 15, Article 16, 7, Article 19, Article 20a tcle 20b of this Regulation tion (EU) No 1024/2012 of the Parliament and of the Council ther 2012 on administrative In through the Internal Market ton System and repealing ton Decision 2008/49/EC ('the lation'), OJ L 316, 14.11.2012,

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 2	1(21)						
G 1431							Article 21c Delegations of power
Article 2	1(2m)						
6 143m							The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts to amend article 21b concerning the tool for the management of the notifications and communications among the enforcement authorities to take account of future technical needs.
Article 2	1a						
6 143n							Article 21d

	Comn CLEAN Propo	nission sal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
							Exercise of the delegation
Article 2	1a(1)						
s 143o							1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.
Article 2	1a(2)	,					
s 143p							2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Article 21c shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of 5 years from [date of entry into force of the basic legislative act].
Article 2	1a(3)						

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 143q							3. The delegation of power referred to in Article(s) may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.
Article 2	21a(4)						
6 143r							4. Before adopting a delegated act, the Commission

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
								shall consult experts designated by each Member State in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making.
	Article 2	1a(5)						
G	143s							5. As soon as it adopts a delegated act, the Commission shall notify it simultaneously to the European Parliament and to the Council.
	Article 21a(6)							
G	143t							6. A delegated act adopted pursuant to Article(s) shall enter into force only if no

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
								objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or by the Council within a period of [two months] of notification of that act to the European Parliament and the Council or if, before the expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by [two months] at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council.
	CHAPTE	R VI						
G	144		CHAPTER VI		CHAPTER VI		CHAPTER VI	CHAPTER VI

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement				
	FINAL PROVISIONS		FINAL PROVISIONS	PROVISIONS FINAL PROVISIONS					
	Article 2	2			Proposal				
		Article 22	Article 22	Article 22	Article 22				
G	145	Entry into force and application							
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal				
	Article 2	Article 22, first paragraph							
G	146	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day				

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement	
			that of its publication in all Journal of the Union.		that of its publication in all Journal of the Union.	the Official	that of its publication in al Journal of the UnionOfficial Journal ropean Union.	the Official European of the Eur	that of its publication in all Journal of the Union Official Journal Copean Union.	
,	Article 2	2, second p	aragraph	1		1				
G	147	It shall app the adoption	oly from [+ 1 year from on].	It shall app the adoption	oly from [+ 1 year from on].	•	ply from [+ 1 year 18 rom the adoptione ntry e].	months frointo force	ply from [+ year	
1	Article 2	2, third para	Article 22, third paragraph							

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	148	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Formula				
G	149	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels, Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Formula				
G	150	For the European Parliament			

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Formula				
6 151	The President	The President	The President	The President Text Origin: Commission
				Proposal
Formula				
s 152	For the Council	For the Council	For the Council	For the Council
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Formula	1			
G	153	The President	The President	The President	The President Text Origin: Commission Proposal