



Brussels, 10 December 2018
(OR. en)

15248/18

LIMITE

AUDIO 118
COMPET 855
CULT 162
PI 178
RECH 525
TELECOM 456
CODEC 2245
DIGIT 245

Interinstitutional File:
2016/0280(COD)

NOTE

From:	Presidency
To:	Permanent Representatives Committee
No. prev. doc.:	15057/18, WK 13585/2018, WK 14640/2018, WK 15197/2018, WK 15203/2018, WK 15316/2018, WK 15350/2018
No. Cion doc.:	12254/16
Subject:	Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on Copyright in the Digital Single Market - Update of negotiating mandate

I. INTRODUCTION

On 25 May 2018, COREPER granted the Presidency a mandate (9134/18) to start negotiations with the European Parliament (EP) on the above mentioned proposal with a view to reaching a first reading agreement. The EP adopted its negotiating mandate on 12 September 2018 (11520/18).

Trilogue negotiations started on 2 October 2018. Meanwhile three more trilogues (on 25 October, 26 November, and 3 December 2018), as well as a number of technical meetings were held. The 5th trilogue is scheduled to take place on 13 December 2018 with the aim of achieving an overall compromise on the proposed Directive.

In the negotiations held so far, substantial progress was achieved, in particular on the chapter on exceptions and limitations, the chapter on out of commerce works (including the Council's Article 9a), and also on major parts of those provisions of the chapter on remuneration that were part of the initial Commission proposal. Most parts of those three chapters have been provisionally agreed between the co-legislators, or are very close to such provisional agreement, on the basis of the text set out in the 4th column of the 4-column table attached to this note. As always, this provisional agreement is based on the understanding that "nothing is agreed until everything is agreed".

Major outstanding issues in the negotiations relate to the following points (listed in the order as they appear in the text of the proposal, not in an order of importance or priority):

- remaining open issues in Article 11 (non-substantial parts ("snippets"), term of protection, authors to receive a share of press publishers' remuneration),
- structure and wording of Article 13 (taking as a basis the building blocks tentatively agreed at the 4th trilogue),
- Article minus 14 of the EP text (principle of fair and proportionate remuneration),
- Article 16a of the EP text (revocation right), and
- Article 14(3) and related provisions on the treatment of in-significant contributions.

All those issues will be addressed at the trilogue on 13 December 2018, and will be essential for an overall compromise on the draft Directive.

With a view to allowing for progress in the negotiations at this trilogue towards such overall compromise, the Presidency seeks a mandate as flexible as possible on those remaining issues.

In the light of the above, the Presidency invites COREPER to support a mandate along the lines suggested in point II of this note to be read in conjunction with the 4-column table attached to this note.

II. PRESIDENCY SUGGESTIONS

1. Confirmation of the provisionally agreed parts of the text (in GREEN) and further Presidency compromise suggestions (in WHITE) set out in the 4th column of the 4 column table

The Presidency invites COREPER to confirm those parts of the text on which compromises have already been provisionally agreed, see the rows indicated in "GREEN" in the fourth column of the table set out in the ANNEX to this note, and to support the texts proposals still in "WHITE" (which include the compromise texts suggested and further explained in points 2(a) and (b) of this note - see next point).

2. Presidency text proposals on Article 16a (mechanism for the revocation of rights) and Article minus 14 (principle of fair and proportionate remuneration)

It has become clear from the negotiations that Articles minus 14 and Article 16a are crucial for the EP and will therefore play an essential role in finding compromises with the EP on other issues. From the views expressed by Member States in the preparation of the negotiations, it is equally clear that any concession on any of these provisions will be a huge step of concession of the Council towards the EP and will require getting in return from the EP concessions of equal importance, for instance on Articles 11 and 13.

(a) Article 16a (Mechanism for the revocation of rights)

Conscious of the importance that Article 16a has for the EP, Member States at COREPER on 23 November 2018 demonstrated some openness for a revocation right in situations of absence of exploitation, but continued to oppose a right of revocation in the case of continuous lack of reporting required by Article 14. Member States also emphasised the importance that any such provision would need to leave sufficient flexibility for Member States in the implementation of any such provision into national law so that the revocation mechanism can work in practice.

Building on the views expressed by delegations at COREPER on 23 November, the Presidency asks COREPER for flexibility for negotiating a text along the following lines for a revocation mechanism for lack of exploitation (evidently, the Presidency will continue to oppose a revocation right for lack of reporting (text in square brackets in paragraph 1). *Please note, this text proposal has already been communicated to delegations by way of WK 15316/2018:*

"Article 16a – Mechanism for the revocation of rights

1. Member States shall ensure that where an author or a performer has licensed or transferred her or his rights concerning a work or other protected subject-matter on an exclusive basis, the author or performer may revoke [in whole or in part] the licence or the transfer of rights where there is a lack of exploitation of the work or other protected subject-matter *[EP: or where there is a continuous lack of regular reporting in accordance with Article 14]*.
2. Specific provisions for the mechanism for revocation shall be provided for in national law taking into account
 - (a) the specificities of the different sectors and the different types of works and performances; and
 - (b) where a work or other subject-matter contains the contribution of more than one author or performer, the relative importance of the individual contributions and the legitimate interests of all authors and performers affected by the exercise of the revocation mechanism by an individual author or performer.

Member States may exclude works or other subject matter from the application of the mechanism if such works or subject matter usually contain contributions of a plurality of authors or performers.

Member States may provide that the revocation mechanism shall be exercised only within a specific time frame, where this is duly justified by the specificities of the concerned sector, type of work or protected subject matter.

Member States may provide that that authors or performers may terminate the exclusivity of the contract instead of revoking the rights.

3. Member States may provide that the revocation provided for in paragraph 1 may be exercised only after a reasonable time after the conclusion of the licence or transfer agreement. The author or performer shall notify the person to whom the rights have been licensed or transferred and set an appropriate deadline by which the exploitation of the licensed or transferred rights is to take place. After the expiration of that deadline, the author or performer may choose to terminate the exclusivity of the contract instead of revoking the license or the transfer.

[EP: 4. Paragraph 1 shall not apply if the non-exercise of the rights is predominantly due to circumstances which the author or the performer can be reasonably expected to remedy.]

[EP: 5. Member States may provide that any contractual provision derogating from the revocation mechanism shall be enforceable only if it is based on a collective bargaining agreement.]

(b) Article minus 14 (Principles of remuneration)

As regards the EP's proposal on Article minus 14, many Member States raised great concerns, however, not against the principle of appropriate remuneration for authors and performers as such, as this is a generally shared aim and also one of the major objectives of the draft Directive.

The major concerns of Member States were that Article minus 14, as drafted by the EP, used legally unclear terms that could not be implemented in law, and that the provision would risk to cause disproportionate administrative burden. Moreover, Member States were concerned that the provision could/would undermine the equally important general principle of contractual freedom and called for a more balanced approach in that regard.

Several Member States, therefore suggested to express this principle in a recital, rather than in the operative part of the Directive. Other Member States indicated openness vis a vis a contractual right to appropriate remuneration in the text of the Articles, but emphasised that flexibility would be needed for Member States in the way how they implement such a right.

Given that Article minus 14 is extremely important for the EP, the Presidency asks COREPER for a mandate to introduce in the text of the Articles a contractual right to appropriate remuneration, but leaving the further specification of this principle to national law. Such a provision could be worded along the following lines:

“Article minus 14 - Principle of fair remuneration

1. Member States shall ensure that when authors and performers license or transfer their exclusive rights for the exploitation of their works or other subject matter they are entitled to receive appropriate remuneration.
2. In the implementation of this principle into national law, Member States shall take into account the principle of contractual freedom and a fair balance of rights and interests.”

It is suggested to clarify, in this Article or in the recitals, that the principle of fair remuneration does not prevent authors or performers from granting a licence/transferring their rights for free, nor from concluding licensing agreements for a lump sum remuneration.

3. Other open issues:

As regards those parts of the text/rows of the 4-column table that do not yet contain any text in the fourth column, the Presidency will continue to defend the Council's position on the basis of the mandate of COREPER of May 2018 as set out in the third column.

This includes, in particular, most parts of the highly sensitive provision of Article 13, and the remaining open issues of Article 11, as well as Article 14(3).

As regards Article 13, the Commission has offered to contribute a compromise text proposal as a basis for discussions at the 5th trilogue. This text proposal will be available, presumably, not before Monday, 10 December 2018 (pm), and will be circulated to delegations as soon as available. The Presidency intends to take into account this text proposal in the negotiations and use any elements as are compatible with the overall Council's mandate on this provision.

Finally, as any negotiation exercise requires a give and take from each side, the Presidency asks COREPER to grant it with some flexibility where minor concessions turn out to be necessary for achieving an overall desired final outcome in the negotiations.

III. CONCLUSION

COREPER is thus invited to grant the Presidency with a broad and flexible mandate for the remaining open issues as addressed in part II of this note, and to, more specifically

- approve the compromise suggestions in the 4th column of the 4 column table, including the compromise wording for
 - (a) an Article 16a (Mechanism for the revocation of rights), and
 - (b) an Article minus 14 (Principle of fair remuneration).

Proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council

on copyright in the Digital Single Market

COM (2016) 593 final - 2016/0280 (COD)

PART 1: CITATIONS AND RECITALS

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
1.	<p>Proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on copyright in the Digital Single Market (Text with EEA relevance)</p>	<p>Proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on copyright in the Digital Single Market (Text with EEA relevance)</p>	<p>Proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on copyright in the Digital Single Market (Text with EEA relevance)</p>	
2.	<p>THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE</p>	<p>THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE</p>	<p>THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE</p>	

	EUROPEAN UNION,	EUROPEAN UNION,	EUROPEAN UNION,	
3.	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 114 thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 114 thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article Articles 53(1), 62 and 114 thereof	
4.	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	
5.	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	
6.	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ ,	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ ,	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ ,	
7.	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ² ,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ² ,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ² ,	
8.	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	
9.	Whereas:	Whereas:	Whereas:	
10.	(1) The Treaty provides for	(1) The Treaty provides for	(1) The Treaty provides for	

¹ OJ C , , p. .

² OJ C , , p. .

	<p>the establishment of an internal market and the institution of a system ensuring that competition in the internal market is not distorted. Harmonisation of the laws of the Member States on copyright and related rights should contribute further to the achievement of those objectives.</p>	<p>the establishment of an internal market and the institution of a system ensuring that competition in the internal market is not distorted. Harmonisation of the laws of the Member States on copyright and related rights should contribute further to the achievement of those objectives.</p>	<p>the establishment of an internal market and the institution of a system ensuring that competition in the internal market is not distorted. Harmonisation of the laws of the Member States on copyright and related rights should contribute further to the achievement of those objectives.</p>	
11.	<p>(2) The directives which have been adopted in the area of copyright and related rights provide for a high level of protection for rightholders and create a framework wherein the exploitation of works and other protected subject-matter can take place. This harmonised legal framework contributes to the good functioning of the internal market; it stimulates innovation, creativity, investment and production of new content, also in the digital environment. The protection provided by this legal framework also contributes to the Union's objective of respecting and promoting</p>	<p>(2) The directives which have been adopted in the area of copyright and related rights contribute to the functioning of the internal market, provide for a high level of protection for rightholders, facilitate the clearance of rights and create a framework wherein the exploitation of works and other protected subject-matter can take place. This harmonised legal framework contributes to the good functioning of the a truly integrated internal market; it stimulates innovation, creativity, investment and production of new content, also in the digital environment, with a view to</p>	<p>(2) The directivesDirectives which have been adopted in the area of copyright and related rights provide for a high level of protection for rightholders and create a framework wherein the exploitation of works and other protected subject-matter can take place. This harmonised legal framework contributes to the good functioning of the internal market; it stimulates innovation, creativity, investment and production of new content, also in the digital environment. The protection provided by this legal framework also contributes to the Union's objective of respecting and promoting</p>	

	<p>cultural diversity while at the same time bringing the European common cultural heritage to the fore. Article 167(4) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union requires the Union to take cultural aspects into account in its action.</p>	<p><i>avoiding fragmentation of the internal market.</i> The protection provided by this legal framework also contributes to the Union's objective of respecting and promoting cultural diversity while at the same time bringing the European common cultural heritage to the fore. Article 167(4) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union requires the Union to take cultural aspects into account in its action.</p>	<p>cultural diversity while at the same time bringing the European common cultural heritage to the fore. Article 167(4) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union requires the Union to take cultural aspects into account in its action.</p>	
12.	<p>(3) Rapid technological developments continue to transform the way works and other subject-matter are created, produced, distributed and exploited. New business models and new actors continue to emerge. The objectives and the principles laid down by the Union copyright framework remain sound. However, legal uncertainty remains, for both rightholders and users, as regards certain uses, including cross-border uses, of works and other subject-matter in the</p>	<p>(3) Rapid technological developments continue to transform the way works and other subject-matter are created, produced, distributed and exploited, <i>and relevant legislation needs to be future proof so as not to restrict technological development.</i> New business models and new actors continue to emerge. The objectives and the principles laid down by the Union copyright framework remain sound. However, legal uncertainty remains, for both</p>	<p>(3) Rapid technological developments continue to transform the way works and other subject-matter are created, produced, distributed and exploited. New business models and new actors continue to emerge. The objectives and the principles laid down by the Union copyright framework remain sound. However, legal uncertainty remains, for both rightholders and users, as regards certain uses, including cross-border uses, of works and other subject-matter in the</p>	

<p>digital environment. As set out in the Communication of the Commission entitled ‘Towards a modern, more European copyright framework’³, in some areas it is necessary to adapt and supplement the current Union copyright framework. This Directive provides for rules to adapt certain exceptions and limitations to digital and cross-border environments, as well as measures to facilitate certain licensing practices as regards the dissemination of out-of-commerce works and the online availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms with a view to ensuring wider access to content. In order to achieve a well-functioning marketplace for copyright, there should also be rules on rights in publications, on the use of works and other subject-matter by online service providers storing and giving access to user uploaded content and on the transparency of authors' and</p>	<p>rightholders and users, as regards certain uses, including cross-border uses, of works and other subject-matter in the digital environment. As set out in the Communication of the Commission entitled 'Towards a modern, more European copyright framework’³, in some areas it is necessary to adapt and supplement the current Union copyright framework. This Directive provides for rules to adapt certain exceptions and limitations to digital and cross-border environments, as well as measures to facilitate certain licensing practices as regards the dissemination of out-of-commerce works and the online availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms with a view to ensuring wider access to content. In order to achieve a well-functioning <i>and fair</i> marketplace for copyright, there should also be rules on rights in publications, <i>on the exercise and enforcement of</i> the use of</p>	<p>digital environment. As set out in the Communication of the Commission entitled ‘Towards a modern, more European copyright framework’³, in some areas it is necessary to adapt and supplement the current Union copyright framework: <u>keeping a high level of protection of copyright and related rights.</u> This Directive provides for rules to adapt certain exceptions and limitations to digital and cross-border environments, as well as measures to facilitate certain licensing practices as regards the dissemination of out-of-commerce works and the online availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms with a view to ensuring wider access to content. In order to achieve a well-functioning marketplace for copyright, there should also be rules on rights in publications, on the use of works and other subject-matter by online service providers</p>	
---	--	--	--

³ COM(2015) 626 final.

	performers' contracts.	works and other subject-matter by <i>on</i> online service providers storing and giving access to user uploaded content <i>providers' platforms</i> and on the transparency of authors' and performers' contracts <i>and of the accounting linked with the exploitation of protected works in accordance with those contracts.</i>	storing and giving access to user uploaded content and on the transparency of authors' and performers' contracts.	
13.	(4) This Directive is based upon, and complements, the rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁴ , Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁶ , Directive 2006/115/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁷ , Directive 2009/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the	(4) This Directive is based upon, and complements, the rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁴ , Directive <i>2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵</i> , Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁶ , Directive 2006/115/EC of the European Parliament and of the	(4) This Directive is based upon, and complements, the rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁴ , Directive <u>2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵</u> , Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁶ , Directive 2006/115/EC of the	

⁴ Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 1996 on the legal protection of databases (OJ L 77, 27.3.1996, p. 20–28).

⁵ **Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2000 on certain legal aspects of information society services, in particular electronic commerce, in the Internal Market (OJ L 178, 17.07.2000, p. 1–16).**

⁶ Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2001 on the harmonisation of certain aspects of copyright and related rights in the information society (OJ L 167, 22.6.2001, p. 10–19).

	Council ⁸ , Directive 2012/28/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁹ and Directive 2014/26/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁰ .	Council ⁷ , Directive 2009/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁸ , Directive 2012/28/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁹ and Directive 2014/26/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁰ .	European Parliament and of the Council ⁷ , Directive 2009/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁸ , Directive 2012/28/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁹ and Directive 2014/26/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁰ .	
14.	(5) In the fields of research, education and preservation of cultural heritage, digital technologies permit new types of uses that are not clearly covered by the current Union rules on exceptions and limitations. In addition, the optional nature of exceptions and limitations provided for in Directives 2001/29/EC, 96/9/EC and 2009/24/EC in these fields may negatively impact the functioning of the	(5) In the fields of research, innovation , education and preservation of cultural heritage, digital technologies permit new types of uses that are not clearly covered by the current Union rules on exceptions and limitations. In addition, the optional nature of exceptions and limitations provided for in Directives 2001/29/EC, 96/9/EC and 2009/24/EC in these fields may negatively impact the	(5) In the fields of research, education and preservation of cultural heritage, digital technologies permit new types of uses that are not clearly covered by the current Union rules on exceptions and limitations. In addition, the optional nature of exceptions and limitations provided for in Directives 2001/29/EC, 96/9/EC and 2009/24/EC in these fields may negatively impact the functioning of the	

⁷ Directive 2006/115/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006 on rental right and lending right and on certain rights related to copyright in the field of intellectual property (OJ L 376, 27.12.2006, p. 28–35).

⁸ Directive 2009/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 on the legal protection of computer programs (OJ L 111, 5.5.2009, p. 16–22).

⁹ Directive 2012/28/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on certain permitted uses of orphan works (OJ L 299, 27.10.2012, p. 5–12).

¹⁰ Directive 2014/26/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on collective management of copyright and related rights and multi-territorial licensing of rights in musical works for online use in the internal market (OJ L 84, 20.3.2014, p. 72–98).

<p>internal market. This is particularly relevant as regards cross-border uses, which are becoming increasingly important in the digital environment. Therefore, the existing exceptions and limitations in Union law that are relevant for scientific research, teaching and preservation of cultural heritage should be reassessed in the light of those new uses. Mandatory exceptions or limitations for uses of text and data mining technologies in the field of scientific research, illustration for teaching in the digital environment and for preservation of cultural heritage should be introduced. For uses not covered by the exceptions or the limitation provided for in this Directive, the exceptions and limitations existing in Union law should continue to apply. Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC should be adapted.</p>	<p>functioning of the internal market. This is particularly relevant as regards cross-border uses, which are becoming increasingly important in the digital environment. Therefore, the existing exceptions and limitations in Union law that are relevant for <i>innovation</i>, scientific research, teaching and preservation of cultural heritage should be reassessed in the light of those new uses. Mandatory exceptions or limitations for uses of text and data mining technologies in the field of <i>innovation and</i> scientific research, illustration for teaching in the digital environment and for preservation of cultural heritage should be introduced. For uses not covered by the exceptions or the limitation provided for in this Directive, the exceptions and limitations existing in Union law should continue to apply. <i>Therefore, existing well-functioning exceptions in those fields should be allowed to continue to be available in Member States, as long as they</i></p>	<p>internal market. This is particularly relevant as regards cross-border uses, which are becoming increasingly important in the digital environment. Therefore, the existing exceptions and limitations in Union law that are relevant for scientific research, teaching and preservation of cultural heritage should be reassessed in the light of those new uses. Mandatory exceptions or limitations for uses of text and data mining technologies in the field of scientific research, illustration for teaching in the digital environment and for preservation of cultural heritage should be introduced. For uses not covered by the exceptions or the limitation provided for in this Directive, the<u>The</u> exceptions and limitations existing in Union law should continue to apply, <u>including to text and data mining, education and preservation activities, as long as they do not limit the scope of the mandatory exceptions laid</u></p>	
---	---	--	--

		<i>do not restrict the scope of the exceptions or limitations provided for in this Directive.</i> Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC should be adapted.	<u>down in this Directive and on condition that their application does not adversely affect nor circumvent the mandatory rules set out in this Directive.</u> Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC should be adapted.	
15.	(6) The exceptions and the limitation set out in this Directive seek to achieve a fair balance between the rights and interests of authors and other rightholders on the one hand, and of users on the other. They can be applied only in certain special cases which do not conflict with the normal exploitation of the works or other subject-matter and do not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of the rightholders.	(6) The exceptions and the limitation limitations set out in this Directive seek to achieve a fair balance between the rights and interests of authors and other rightholders on the one hand, and of users on the other. They can be applied only in certain special cases which do not conflict with the normal exploitation of the works or other subject-matter and do not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of the rightholders.	(6) The exceptions and the limitation set out provided for in this Directive seek to achieve a fair balance between the rights and interests of authors and other rightholders on the one hand, and of users on the other. They can be applied only in certain special cases which do not conflict with the normal exploitation of the works or other subject-matter and do not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of the rightholders.	
16.	(7) The protection of technological measures established in Directive 2001/29/EC remains essential to ensure the protection and the effective exercise of the rights granted to authors and to other rightholders under Union law.	(7) The protection of technological measures established in Directive 2001/29/EC remains essential to ensure the protection and the effective exercise of the rights granted to authors and to other rightholders under Union law.	(7) The protection of technological measures established in Directive 2001/29/EC remains essential to ensure the protection and the effective exercise of the rights granted to authors and to other rightholders under Union law.	

	<p>This protection should be maintained while ensuring that the use of technological measures does not prevent the enjoyment of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive, which are particularly relevant in the online environment. Rightholders should have the opportunity to ensure this through voluntary measures. They should remain free to choose the format and the modalities to provide the beneficiaries of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive with the means to benefit from them provided that such means are appropriate. In the absence of voluntary measures, Member States should take appropriate measures in accordance with the first subparagraph of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC.</p>	<p>This protection should be maintained while ensuring that the use of technological measures does not prevent the enjoyment of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive, which are particularly relevant in the online environment. Rightholders should have the opportunity to ensure this through voluntary measures. They should remain free to choose the format and the modalities to provide the beneficiaries of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive with the means to benefit from them provided that such means are appropriate. In the absence of voluntary measures, Member States should take appropriate measures in accordance with the first subparagraph of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC.</p>	<p>This protection should be maintained while ensuring that the use of technological measures does not prevent the enjoyment of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive, which are particularly relevant in the online environment. Rightholders should have the opportunity to ensure this through voluntary measures. They should remain free to choose the format and the modalities to <u>provide appropriate means of enabling</u> the beneficiaries of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive with the means to benefit from them provided that such means are appropriate. In the absence of voluntary measures, Member States should take appropriate measures in accordance with the first subparagraph of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC, <u>including where works and other subject-matter are made available through on-demand services.</u></p>	
--	---	---	--	--

17.	<p>(8) New technologies enable the automated computational analysis of information in digital form, such as text, sounds, images or data, generally known as text and data mining. Those technologies allow researchers to process large amounts of information to gain new knowledge and discover new trends. Whilst text and data mining technologies are prevalent across the digital economy, there is widespread acknowledgment that text and data mining can in particular benefit the research community and in so doing encourage innovation. However, in the Union, research organisations such as universities and research institutes are confronted with legal uncertainty as to the extent to which they can perform text and data mining of content. In certain instances, text and data mining may involve acts protected by copyright and/or by the <i>sui generis</i> database right, notably the reproduction</p>	<p>(8) New technologies enable the automated computational analysis of information in digital form, such as text, sounds, images or data, generally known as text and data mining. Those technologies allow researchers to process <i>Text and data mining allows the reading and analysis of</i> large amounts of <i>digitally stored</i> information to gain new knowledge and discover new trends. Whilst text and data mining technologies are prevalent across the digital economy, there is widespread acknowledgment that text and data mining can in particular benefit the research community and in so doing encourage innovation. However, in the Union, research organisations such as universities and research institutes are confronted with legal uncertainty as to the extent to which they can perform text and data mining of content. In certain instances, text and data mining may involve acts protected by copyright and/or</p>	<p>(8) New technologies enable the automated computational analysis of information in digital form, such as text, sounds, images or data, generally known as text and data mining. Those technologies allow researchers to process large amounts of information <u>with a view to gaining</u> new knowledge and discover <u>discovering</u> new trends. Whilst text and data mining technologies are prevalent across the digital economy, there is widespread acknowledgment that text and data mining can in particular benefit the research community and in so doing encourages <u>support</u> innovation. However, in the Union, These <u>technologies benefit</u> research organisations such as <u>universities and well as cultural heritage institutions, which may also carry out research institutes in the context of their main activities. However, in the Union, such organisations and institutions</u> are confronted with</p>	
-----	---	--	--	--

	<p>of works or other subject-matter and/or the extraction of contents from a database. Where there is no exception or limitation which applies, an authorisation to undertake such acts would be required from rightholders. Text and data mining may also be carried out in relation to mere facts or data which are not protected by copyright and in such instances no authorisation would be required.</p>	<p>by the <i>sui generis</i> database right, notably the reproduction of works or other subject-matter and/or the extraction of contents from a database. Where there is no exception or limitation which applies, an authorisation to undertake such acts would be required from rightholders. Text and data mining may also be carried out in relation to mere facts or data which are not protected by copyright and in such instances no authorisation would be required.</p>	<p>legal uncertainty as to the extent to which they can perform text and data mining of content. In certain instances, text and data mining may involve acts protected by copyright and/or by the <i>sui generis</i> database right, notably the reproduction of works or other subject-matter and/or the extraction of contents from a database. Where there is no exception or limitation which applies, an authorisation to undertake such acts would be required from rightholders.</p> <p><i>[Last phrase of recital (8) of the COM proposal was moved to new recital (8a) Council's text - see following row 18]</i></p>	
18.			<p><u>(8a)</u> Text and data mining may also be carried out in relation to mere facts or data which are not protected by copyright and in such instances no authorisation would be is required <u>under copyright law. There may also be instances of text and data mining which do not involve acts of reproduction or where the reproductions made fall</u></p>	

			<p><u>under the</u> The new exception should be without prejudice to the existing mandatory exception for temporary acts of reproduction laid down in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29/EC, which should continue to apply to text and data mining techniques which do not involve the making of copies beyond the scope of that exception.</p> <p><i>[First phrase of new recital (8a) was taken from recital (8) (last phrase), second phrase of new recital (8a) was taken from recital (10) (second phrase)]</i></p>	
19.		<p><i>(8a) For text and data mining to occur, it is in most cases necessary first to access information and then to reproduce it. It is generally only after that information is normalised that it can be processed through text and data mining. Once there is lawful access to information, it is when that information is being normalised that a copyright-protected use takes place, since this leads to a</i></p>		

		<p><i>reproduction by changing the format of the information or by extracting it from a database into a format that can be subjected to text and data mining. The copyright-relevant processes in the use of text and data mining technology is, consequently, not the text and data mining process itself which consists of a reading and analysis of digitally stored, normalised information, but the process of accessing and the process by which information is normalised to enable its automated computational analysis, insofar as this process involves extraction from a database or reproductions. The exceptions for text and data mining purposes provided for in this Directive should be understood as referring to such copyright-relevant processes necessary to enable text and data mining. Where existing copyright law has been inapplicable to uses of text and data mining, such uses should remain unaffected by this Directive.</i></p>		
--	--	---	--	--

20.	<p>(9) Union law already provides certain exceptions and limitations covering uses for scientific research purposes which may apply to acts of text and data mining. However, those exceptions and limitations are optional and not fully adapted to the use of technologies in scientific research. Moreover, where researchers have lawful access to content, for example through subscriptions to publications or open access licences, the terms of the licences may exclude text and data mining. As research is increasingly carried out with the assistance of digital technology, there is a risk that the Union's competitive position as a research area will suffer unless steps are taken to address the legal uncertainty for text and data mining.</p>	<p>(9) Union law already provides certain exceptions and limitations covering uses for scientific research purposes which may apply to acts of text and data mining. However, those exceptions and limitations are optional and not fully adapted to the use of technologies in scientific research. Moreover, where researchers have lawful access to content, for example through subscriptions to publications or open access licences, the terms of the licences may exclude text and data mining. As research is increasingly carried out with the assistance of digital technology, there is a risk that the Union's competitive position as a research area will suffer unless steps are taken to address the legal uncertainty for text and data mining.</p>	<p>(9) Union law already provides for certain exceptions and limitations covering uses for scientific research purposes which may apply to acts of text and data mining. However, those exceptions and limitations are optional and not fully adapted to the use of technologies in scientific research. Moreover, where researchers have lawful access to content, for example through subscriptions to publications or open access licences, the terms of the licences may exclude text and data mining. As research is increasingly carried out with the assistance of digital technology, there is a risk that the Union's competitive position as a research area will suffer unless steps are taken to address the legal uncertainty for text and data mining.</p>	
21.	<p>(10) This legal uncertainty should be addressed by providing for a mandatory exception to the right of</p>	<p>(10) This legal uncertainty should be addressed by providing for a mandatory exception <i>for research</i></p>	<p>(10) This legal uncertainty should be addressed by providing for a mandatory exception to the exclusive right</p>	

<p>reproduction and also to the right to prevent extraction from a database. The new exception should be without prejudice to the existing mandatory exception on temporary acts of reproduction laid down in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29, which should continue to apply to text and data mining techniques which do not involve the making of copies going beyond the scope of that exception. Research organisations should also benefit from the exception when they engage into public-private partnerships.</p>	<p><i>organisations</i> to the right of reproduction and also to the right to prevent extraction from a database. The new exception should be without prejudice to the existing mandatory exception on temporary acts of reproduction laid down in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29, which should continue to apply to text and data mining techniques which do not involve the making of copies going beyond the scope of that exception. Research organisations should also benefit from the exception when they engage into public-private partnerships. <i>Educational establishments and cultural heritage institutions that conduct scientific research should also be covered by the text and data mining exception, provided that the results of the research do not benefit an undertaking exercising a decisive influence upon such organisations in particular. In the event that the research is carried out in the framework of a public-private</i></p>	<p>of reproduction and also to the right to prevent extraction from a database. The new exception should be without prejudice to the existing mandatory exception on temporary acts of reproduction laid down in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29, which should continue to apply to text and data mining techniques which do not involve the making of copies going beyond the scope of that exception. <u>In line with the existing European research policy, which encourages universities and research institutes to develop collaborations with the private sector,</u> Research<u>research</u> organisations should also benefit from the exception when they engage into <u>their research activities are carried out in the framework of</u> public-private partnerships. <u>While research organisations and cultural heritage institutions should remain the beneficiaries of the exception, they should be able to rely on their private</u></p>	
---	---	--	--

		<i>partnership, the undertaking participating in the public-private partnership should also have lawful access to the works and other subject matter. The reproductions and extractions made for text and data mining purposes should be stored in a secure manner and in a way that ensures that the copies are only used for the purpose of scientific research.</i>	<u>partners for carrying out text and data mining, including by using their technological tools.</u> <i>[The second phrase of recital (10) of the COM proposal was moved to new recital (8a) - see row 18]</i>	
22.	(11) Research organisations across the Union encompass a wide variety of entities the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research or to do so together with the provision of educational services. Due to the diversity of such entities, it is important to have a common understanding of the beneficiaries of the exception. Despite different legal forms and structures, research organisations across Member States generally have in common that they act either on a not for profit basis or in the context of a public-interest mission recognised by the State.	(11) Research organisations across the Union encompass a wide variety of entities the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research or to do so together with the provision of educational services. Due to the diversity of such entities, it is important to have a common understanding of the beneficiaries of the exception. Despite different legal forms and structures, research organisations across Member States generally have in common that they act either on a not for profit basis or in the context of a public-interest mission recognised by the State.	(11) Research organisations across the Union encompass a wide variety of entities the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research or to do so together with the provision of educational services. <u>The term "scientific research" within the meaning of this Directive covers both the natural sciences and the human sciences.</u> Due to the diversity of such entities, it is important to have a common understanding of the beneficiaries of the exception. <u>research organisations. They should for example cover entities</u>	

	<p>Such a public-interest mission may, for example, be reflected through public funding or through provisions in national laws or public contracts. At the same time, organisations upon which commercial undertakings have a decisive influence allowing them to exercise control because of structural situations such as their quality of shareholders or members, which may result in preferential access to the results of the research, should not be considered research organisations for the purposes of this Directive.</p>	<p>Such a public-interest mission may, for example, be reflected through public funding or through provisions in national laws or public contracts. At the same time, organisations upon which commercial undertakings have a decisive influence allowing them to exercise control because of structural situations such as their quality of shareholders or members, which may result in preferential access to the results of the research, should not be considered research organisations for the purposes of this Directive.</p>	<p><u>such as research institutes, hospitals carrying out research, universities, including university libraries, or other higher education institutions.</u> Despite different legal forms and structures, research organisations across <u>the</u> Member States generally have in common that they act either on a not for profit basis or in the context of a public-interest mission recognised by the State. Such a public-interest mission may, for example, be reflected through public funding or through provisions in national laws or public contracts. At the same time <u>Conversely</u>, organisations upon which commercial undertakings have a decisive influence allowing them to exercise control because of structural situations such as their quality of shareholders or members, which may result in preferential access to the results of the research, should not be considered research organisations for the purposes of this Directive.</p>	
--	---	---	---	--

23.			<p><u>(11a) Cultural heritage institutions should be understood as covering publicly accessible libraries, museums and archives regardless of the type of works and other subject matter which they hold in their permanent collections, as well as film or audio heritage institutions. They should include, among others, national libraries and national archives. They should also include educational establishments and public sector broadcasting organisations, as far as their archives and publicly accessible libraries are concerned.</u></p>	
24.			<p><u>(11b) Research organisations and cultural heritage institutions, including the persons attached thereto, should be covered by the text and data mining exception regarding content to which they have lawful access. Lawful access should be understood as covering access</u></p>	

			<p><u>to content based on open access policy or through contractual arrangements between rightholders and research organisations or cultural heritage institutions, such as subscriptions, or through other lawful means. For instance, in cases of subscriptions taken by research organisations or cultural heritage institutions, the persons attached thereto covered by these subscriptions would be deemed to have lawful access. Lawful access also covers access to content that is freely available online.</u></p>	
25.			<p><u>(11c) Research organisations and cultural heritage institutions may in certain cases, for example for subsequent verification of scientific research results, need to retain the copies made under the exception for the purposes of carrying out text and data mining. In such cases, the copies should be stored in a secure</u></p>	

			<p><u>environment and not be retained for longer than necessary for the scientific research activities. Member States may determine, at national level and after discussions with relevant stakeholders, further concrete modalities for retaining the copies, including the possibility to appoint trusted bodies for the purpose of storing such copies. In order not to unduly restrict the application of the exception, these modalities should be proportionate and limited to what is needed for retaining the copies in a safe manner and preventing unauthorised uses. Uses for the purpose of scientific research other than text and data mining, such as scientific peer review and joint research, should remain covered, where applicable, by the exception or limitation provided for in Article 5(3)(a) of Directive 2001/29/EC.</u></p>	
26.	(12) In view of a potentially high number of access requests	(12) In view of a potentially high number of access requests	(12) In view of a potentially high number of access requests	

	to and downloads of their works or other subject-matter, rightholders should be allowed to apply measures where there is risk that the security and integrity of the system or databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted would be jeopardised. Those measures should not exceed what is necessary to pursue the objective of ensuring the security and integrity of the system and should not undermine the effective application of the exception.	to and downloads of their works or other subject-matter, rightholders should be allowed to apply measures where there is risk that the security and integrity of the system or databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted would be jeopardised. Those measures should not exceed what is necessary to pursue the objective of ensuring the security and integrity of the system and should not undermine the effective application of the exception.	to and downloads of their works or other subject-matter, rightholders should be allowed to apply measures where when there is a risk that the security and integrity of the system their systems or databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted would would could be jeopardised. Those Such Such measures could for example be used to ensure that only persons having lawful access to their data can access it, including through IP address validation or user authentication. These measures should not exceed what is necessary to pursue the objective of ensuring the security and integrity of the system however remain proportionate to the risks involved and should not undermine the effective application of the exception prevent or make excessively difficult text and data mining carried out by researchers.	
27.	(13) There is no need to	(13) There is no need to	(13) There is no need to In	

	provide for compensation for rightholders as regards uses under the text and data mining exception introduced by this Directive given that in view of the nature and scope of the exception the harm should be minimal.	provide for compensation for rightholders as regards uses under the text and data mining exception introduced by this Directive given that in view of the nature and scope of the exception the harm should be minimal.	<u>view of the nature and scope of the exception, which is limited to entities carrying out scientific research any potential harm to rightholders created through this exception should be minimal. Therefore, Member States should not</u> provide for compensation for rightholders as regards uses under the text and data mining exception introduced by this Directive given that in view of the nature and scope of the exception the harm should be minimal.	
28.			<u>(13a) In addition to their significance in the context of scientific research, text and data mining techniques are widely used both by private and public entities to analyse large amounts of data in different areas of life and for various purposes, including for government services, complex business decisions and the development of new applications or technologies. Rightholders should remain able to license the uses of</u>	(13a) In addition to their significance in the context of scientific research, text and data mining techniques are widely used both by private and public entities to analyse large amounts of data in different areas of life and for various purposes, including for government services, complex business decisions and the development of new applications or technologies. Rightholders should remain able to license the uses of their

			<p><u>their works and other subject-matter falling outside the scope of the mandatory exception provided for in this Directive and the existing exceptions and limitations provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC. At the same time, consideration should be given to the fact that users of text and data mining techniques may be faced with legal uncertainty as to whether temporary reproductions and extractions which are a part of the process of text and data mining may be carried out on publicly available and lawfully accessed works and other subject-matter, in particular when the reproductions or extractions made for the purposes of the technical process may not fulfil all the conditions of the existing exception for temporary acts of reproduction in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29/EC. In order to provide for more legal certainty in such cases,</u></p>	<p>works and other subject-matter falling outside the scope of the mandatory exception provided for in this Directive and the existing exceptions and limitations provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC. At the same time, consideration should be given to the fact that users of text and data mining techniques may be faced with legal uncertainty as to whether temporary reproductions and extractions which are a part of the process of made for the purposes of text and data mining may be carried out on publicly available and lawfully accessed works and other subject-matter, in particular when the reproductions or extractions made for the purposes of the technical process may not fulfil all the conditions of the existing exception for temporary acts of reproduction in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29/EC.</p> <p>In order to provide for more legal certainty in such cases and to encourage innovation also in</p>
--	--	--	---	--

			<p><u>this Directive should enable the Member States to provide under certain conditions for an exception or limitation for temporary reproductions and extractions of works and other subject-matter, insofar as these form a part of the text and data mining process and the copies made are not kept beyond that process. This optional exception or limitation should only apply when the work or other subject-matter is accessed lawfully by the beneficiary, including when it has been made available to the public online, and insofar as the rightholders have not reserved the right to make reproductions and extractions for text and data mining, for example by agreement, unilateral declaration, including through the use of machine readable metadata or by the use of technical means. Rightholders should be able to apply measures to ensure that their reservations in this regard are respected.</u></p>	<p>the private sector, this Directive should enable the Member States to provide under certain conditions for an exception or limitation for temporary reproductions and extractions of works and other subject-matter, insofar as these form a part of the for the purposes of text and data mining process and allow the copies made are not to be kept beyond that process as long as necessary for the text and data mining purposes. This optional exception or limitation should only apply when the work or other subject-matter is accessed lawfully by the beneficiary, including when it has been made available to the public online, and insofar as the rightholders have not reserved the rights to make reproductions and extractions for text and data mining for example by agreement, unilateral declaration, including through the use of machine readable metadata or by the use of technical means. in an appropriate manner. In the</p>
--	--	--	--	---

			<p><u>This optional exception or limitation should leave intact the mandatory exception for text and data mining for research purposes laid down in this Directive.</u></p>	<p>case of content that has been made publicly available online, it should only be considered appropriate to reserve the rights by the use of machine readable metadata. In other cases, it may be appropriate to reserve the rights by other means, such as this may be expressed by contractual agreements or unilateral declaration, as appropriate. Rightholders should be able to apply measures to ensure that their reservations in this regard are respected. This optional exception or limitation should leave intact the mandatory exception for text and data mining for research purposes laid down in this Directive, as well as the existing exception for temporary acts of reproduction in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29/EC.</p> <p><i>[wording to be adapted once decided whether Article 3a is optional or mandatory]</i></p>
29.		<i>(13a) To encourage</i>		

		<i>innovation also in the private sector, Member States should be able to provide for an exception going further than the mandatory exception, provided that the use of works and other subject matter referred to therein has not been expressly reserved by their rightholders including by machine readable means.</i>		
30.	(14) Article 5(3)(a) of Directive 2001/29/EC allows Member States to introduce an exception or limitation to the rights of reproduction, communication to the public and making available to the public for the sole purpose of, among others, illustration for teaching. In addition, Articles 6(2)(b) and 9(b) of Directive 96/9/EC permit the use of a database and the extraction or re-utilization of a substantial part of its contents for the purpose of illustration for teaching. The scope of those exceptions or limitations as they apply to digital uses is unclear. In addition, there is a	(14) Article 5(3)(a) of Directive 2001/29/EC allows Member States to introduce an exception or limitation to the rights of reproduction, communication to the public and making available to the public for the sole purpose of, among others, illustration for teaching. In addition, Articles 6(2)(b) and 9(b) of Directive 96/9/EC permit the use of a database and the extraction or re-utilization of a substantial part of its contents for the purpose of illustration for teaching. The scope of those exceptions or limitations as they apply to digital uses is unclear. In addition, there is a	(14) Article 5(3)(a) of Directive 2001/29/EC allows Member States to introduce an exception or limitation to the rights of reproduction, communication to the public and -making available to the public <u>of works and other subject matter in such a way that members of the public may access them from a place and a time individually chosen by them ('making available to the public')</u> , for the sole purpose of, among others, illustration for teaching. In addition, Articles 6(2)(b) and 9(b) of Directive 96/9/EC permit the use of a database and the extraction or re-utilization	

	<p>lack of clarity as to whether those exceptions or limitations would apply where teaching is provided online and thereby at a distance. Moreover, the existing framework does not provide for a cross-border effect. This situation may hamper the development of digitally-supported teaching activities and distance learning. Therefore, the introduction of a new mandatory exception or limitation is necessary to ensure that educational establishments benefit from full legal certainty when using works or other subject-matter in digital teaching activities, including online and across borders.</p>	<p>lack of clarity as to whether those exceptions or limitations would apply where teaching is provided online and thereby at a distance. Moreover, the existing framework does not provide for a cross-border effect. This situation may hamper the development of digitally-supported teaching activities and distance learning. Therefore, the introduction of a new mandatory exception or limitation is necessary to ensure that educational establishments benefit from full legal certainty when using works or other subject-matter in digital teaching activities, including online and across borders.</p>	<p>of a substantial part of its contents for the purpose of illustration for teaching. The scope of those exceptions or limitations as they apply to digital uses is unclear. In addition, there is a lack of clarity as to whether those exceptions or limitations would apply where teaching is provided online and thereby at a distance. Moreover, the existing legal framework does not provide for a cross-border effect. This situation may hamper the development of digitally-supported teaching activities and distance learning. Therefore, the introduction of a new mandatory exception or limitation is necessary to ensure that educational establishments benefit from full legal certainty when using works or other subject-matter in digital teaching activities, including online and across borders.</p>	
31.	(15) While distance learning and cross-border education programmes are mostly developed at higher education	(15) While distance learning and cross-border education programmes are mostly developed at higher education	(15) While distance learning and cross-border education programmes are mostly developed at higher education	

	<p>level, digital tools and resources are increasingly used at all education levels, in particular to improve and enrich the learning experience. The exception or limitation provided for in this Directive should therefore benefit all educational establishments in primary, secondary, vocational and higher education to the extent they pursue their educational activity for a non-commercial purpose. The organisational structure and the means of funding of an educational establishment are not the decisive factors to determine the non-commercial nature of the activity.</p>	<p>level, digital tools and resources are increasingly used at all education levels, in particular to improve and enrich the learning experience. The exception or limitation provided for in this Directive should therefore benefit all educational establishments in primary, secondary, vocational and higher education to the extent they pursue their educational activity for a non-commercial purpose. The organisational structure and the means of funding of an educational establishment are not the decisive factors to determine the non-commercial nature of the activity. <i>Where cultural heritage institutions pursue an educational objective and are involved in teaching activities, it should be possible for Member States to consider those institutions as an educational establishment under this exception in so far as their teaching activities are concerned.</i></p>	<p>level, digital tools and resources are increasingly used at all education levels, in particular to improve and enrich the learning experience. The exception or limitation provided for in this Directive should therefore benefit all educational establishments inrecognised by a Member State, including primary, secondary, vocational and higher education. It should apply only to the extent they pursue their educational activity for a that the uses are justified by the non-commercial purpose. of the particular teaching activity. The organisational structure and the means of funding of an educational establishment areshould not be the decisive factors to determine the non-commercial nature of the activity.</p>	
32.	(16) The exception or	(16) The exception or	(16) The exception or	GREEN

<p>limitation should cover digital uses of works and other subject-matter such as the use of parts or extracts of works to support, enrich or complement the teaching, including the related learning activities. The use of the works or other subject-matter under the exception or limitation should be only in the context of teaching and learning activities carried out under the responsibility of educational establishments, including during examinations, and be limited to what is necessary for the purpose of such activities. The exception or limitation should cover both uses through digital means in the classroom and online uses through the educational establishment's secure electronic network, the access to which should be protected, notably by authentication procedures. The exception or limitation should be understood as covering the specific accessibility needs of persons with a disability in the context of illustration for teaching.</p>	<p>limitation should cover digital uses of works and other subject-matter such as the use of parts or extracts of works to support, enrich or complement the teaching, including the related learning activities. <i>The exception or limitation of use should be granted as long as the work or other subject-matter used indicates the source, including the authors' name, unless that turns out to be impossible for reasons of practicability.</i> The use of the works or other subject-matter under the exception or limitation should be only in the context of teaching and learning activities carried out under the responsibility of educational establishments, including during examinations, and be limited to what is necessary for the purpose of such activities. The exception or limitation should cover both uses through digital means in the classroom <i>where the teaching activity is physically provided, including where it takes place outside the premises of the educational</i></p>	<p>limitation for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching provided for in this Directive should cover be understood as covering digital uses of works and other subject-matter such as the use of parts or extracts of works to support, enrich or complement the teaching, including the related learning activities.</p> <p>[...]*</p> <p>In most cases, the concept of illustration would therefore imply uses of parts or extracts of works only, which should not substitute the purchase of materials primarily intended for educational markets. When implementing the exception or limitation, Member States should remain free to specify, for the different categories of works or other subject-matter and in a balanced manner, the proportion of a work or other subject-matter that may be used for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching. The Uses allowed under the</p>	<p>(16) The exception or limitation for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching provided for in this Directive should cover be understood as covering digital uses of works and other subject-matter such as the use of parts or extracts of works to support, enrich or complement the teaching, including the related learning activities.</p> <p>[...]*</p> <p>In most cases, the concept of illustration would therefore imply uses of parts or extracts of works only, which should not substitute the purchase of materials primarily intended for educational markets. When implementing the exception or limitation, Member States should remain free to specify, for the different categories of works or other subject-matter and in a balanced manner, the proportion of a work or other subject-matter that may be used for the sole</p>
---	---	--	---

		<p><i>establishment, for example in libraries or cultural heritage institutions, as long as the use is made under the responsibility of the educational establishment, and online uses through the educational establishment's secure electronic network environment, the access to which should be protected, notably by authentication procedures. The exception or limitation should be understood as covering the specific accessibility needs of persons with a disability in the context of illustration for teaching.</i></p>	<p>exception or limitation should be understood to cover the specific accessibility needs of persons with a disability in the context of illustration for teaching.</p> <p><i>*[The second and third phrase of recital (16) of the COM proposal were moved to new recital (16a) Council's text - see row 33]</i></p>	<p>purpose of illustration for teaching. The Uses allowed under the exception or limitation should be understood to cover the specific accessibility needs of persons with a disability in the context of illustration for teaching.</p> <p><i>*[The second and third phrase of recital (16) of the COM proposal were moved to new recital (16a) Council's text - see row 33]</i></p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at technical meeting tbc at trilogue]</i></p>
33.			<p>(16a) The use of the works or other subject-matter under the exception or limitation should be only in the context of teaching and learning activities carried out under the responsibility of educational establishments, including during examinations or teaching activities taking place outside the premises of educational establishments, for example in a museum,</p>	<p>GREEN</p> <p>(16a) The use of the works or other subject-matter under the exception or limitation should be only in the context of teaching and learning activities carried out under the responsibility of educational establishments, including during examinations or teaching activities taking place outside the premises of educational establishments,</p>

			<p>library or another cultural heritage institution, and be limited to what is necessary for the purpose of such activities. The exception or limitation should cover both uses through digital means of works and other subject matter made in the classroom and online uses or in other venues through digital means, for example electronic whiteboards or digital devices which may be connected to the Internet, as well as uses made at a distance through the educational establishment's secure electronic networks, such as online courses or access to teaching material complementing a given course. Secure electronic networks should be understood as digital teaching and learning environments the access to which should be protected is limited to the educational establishment's teaching staff and to the pupils or students enrolled in a study programme, notably through appropriate</p>	<p>for example in a museum, library or another cultural heritage institution, and be limited to what is necessary for the purpose of such activities. The exception or limitation should cover both uses through digital means of works and other subject matter made in the classroom and online uses or in other venues through digital means, for example electronic whiteboards or digital devices which may be connected to the Internet, as well as uses made at a distance through the educational establishment's secure electronic networks <i>environments</i>, such as online courses or access to teaching material complementing a given course. Secure electronic networks <i>environments</i> should be understood as digital teaching and learning environments the access to which should be protected is limited to the educational establishment's teaching staff and to the pupils or students enrolled in</p>
--	--	--	---	---

			<p>authentication procedures, including password based authentication.</p> <p><i>[Phrases of new recital (16a) were taken from recital (16) (second and third phrase) of the COM proposal – see row 32]</i></p>	<p>a study programme, notably through appropriate authentication procedures, including password based authentication.</p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i></p>
34.		<p><i>(16a) A secure electronic environment should be understood as a digital teaching and learning environment, access to which is limited through an appropriate authentication procedure to the educational establishment’s teaching staff and to the pupils or students enrolled in a study programme.</i></p>		
35.	<p>(17) Different arrangements, based on the implementation of the exception provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC or on licensing agreements covering further uses, are in place in a number of Member States in order to facilitate educational</p>	<p>(17) Different arrangements, based on the implementation of the exception provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC or on licensing agreements covering further uses, are in place in a number of Member States in order to facilitate educational</p>	<p>(17) Different arrangements, based on the implementation of the exception provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC or on licensing agreements covering further uses, are in place in a number of Member States in order to facilitate educational</p>	<p>GREEN</p> <p>(17) Different arrangements, based on the implementation of the exception provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC or on licensing agreements covering further uses, are in place in a</p>

<p>uses of works and other subject-matter. Such arrangements have usually been developed taking account of the needs of educational establishments and different levels of education. Whereas it is essential to harmonise the scope of the new mandatory exception or limitation in relation to digital uses and cross-border teaching activities, the modalities of implementation may differ from a Member State to another, to the extent they do not hamper the effective application of the exception or limitation or cross-border uses. This should allow Member States to build on the existing arrangements concluded at national level. In particular, Member States could decide to subject the application of the exception or limitation, fully or partially, to the availability of adequate licences, covering at least the same uses as those allowed under the exception. This mechanism would, for example, allow giving precedence to licences for materials which are</p>	<p>uses of works and other subject-matter. Such arrangements have usually been developed taking account of the needs of educational establishments and different levels of education. Whereas it is essential to harmonise the scope of the new mandatory exception or limitation in relation to digital uses and cross-border teaching activities, the modalities of implementation may differ from a Member State to another, to the extent they do not hamper the effective application of the exception or limitation or cross-border uses. This should allow Member States to build on the existing arrangements concluded at national level. In particular, Member States could decide to subject the application of the exception or limitation, fully or partially, to the availability of adequate licences, covering. <i>Such licences can take the form of collective licensing agreements, extended collective licensing agreements and licences that are</i></p>	<p>uses of works and other subject-matter. Such arrangements have usually been developed taking account of the needs of educational establishments and different levels of education. Whereas it is essential to harmonise the scope of the new mandatory exception or limitation in relation to digital uses and cross-border teaching activities, the modalities of implementation may differ from a Member State to another, to the extent they do not hamper the effective application of the exception or limitation or cross-border uses. <u>Member States should for example remain free to require that the use of works and other subject matter should respect moral rights of authors and performers.</u> This should allow Member States to build on the existing arrangements concluded at national level. In particular, Member States could decide to subject the application of the exception or limitation, fully or</p>	<p>number of Member States in order to facilitate educational uses of works and other subject-matter. Such arrangements have usually been developed taking account of the needs of educational establishments and different levels of education. Whereas it is essential to harmonise the scope of the new mandatory exception or limitation in relation to digital uses and cross-border teaching activities, the modalities of implementation may differ from a Member State to another, to the extent they do not hamper the effective application of the exception or limitation or cross-border uses. <u>Member States should for example remain free to require that the use of works and other subject matter should respect moral rights of authors and performers.</u> This should allow Member States to build on the existing arrangements concluded at national level. In particular, Member States could decide to</p>
--	---	--	--

	<p>primarily intended for the educational market. In order to avoid that such mechanism results in legal uncertainty or administrative burden for educational establishments, Member States adopting this approach should take concrete measures to ensure that licensing schemes allowing digital uses of works or other subject-matter for the purpose of illustration for teaching are easily available and that educational establishments are aware of the existence of such licensing schemes.</p>	<p><i>negotiated collectively such as “blanket licences”, in order to avoid educational establishments having to negotiate individually with rightholders. Such licenses should be affordable and cover at least the same uses as those allowed under the exception. This mechanism would, for example, allow giving precedence to licences for materials which are primarily intended for the educational market, or for teaching in educational establishments or sheet music.</i> In order to avoid that such mechanism results in legal uncertainty or administrative burden for educational establishments, Member States adopting this approach should take concrete measures to ensure that <i>such</i> licensing schemes allowing digital uses of works or other subject-matter for the purpose of illustration for teaching are easily available and that educational establishments are aware of the existence of such licensing schemes. <i>Member</i></p>	<p>partially, to the availability of adequate licences, covering at least the same uses as those allowed under the exception. <u>This Member States could notably use this</u> mechanism would, for example, allow giving to give precedence to licences for materials which are primarily intended for the educational market <u>or for sheet music</u>. In order to avoid that such mechanism results in legal uncertainty or administrative burden for educational establishments, Member States adopting this approach should take concrete measures to ensure that <u>rightholders make the</u> licensing schemes allowing digital uses of works or other subject-matter for the purpose of illustration for teaching are easily available and that educational establishments are aware of the existence of such licensing schemes. <u>Such measures may include the development of licensing schemes tailored to the needs of educational establishments and the development of</u></p>	<p>subject the application of the exception or limitation, fully or partially, to the availability of adequate licences covering at least the same uses as those allowed under the exception. <u>Member States should ensure that where licenses cover only partially the uses allowed under the exception, all the other uses remain subject to the exception.</u> <u>Member States could for example use this mechanism to give</u> precedence to licences for materials which are primarily intended for the educational market <u>or for sheet music</u>.</p> <p>In order to avoid that <u>the possibility to subject the application of the exception to the availability of licences</u> results in legal uncertainty or administrative burden for educational establishments, Member States adopting this approach should take concrete measures to ensure that <u>right holders make the</u> licensing schemes allowing digital uses of works or other subject-matter for the purpose of illustration</p>
--	--	---	--	---

		<p><i>States should be able to provide for systems to ensure that there is fair compensation for rightholders for uses under those exceptions or limitations. Member States should be encouraged to use systems that do not create an administrative burden, such as systems that provide for one-off payments.</i></p> <p><i>[See Council's recital (17a) - row 36]</i></p>	<p><u>information tools aimed at ensuring the visibility of the existing licensing schemes.</u></p>	<p>for teaching are easily available and that educational establishments are aware of the existence of such licensing schemes. <u>Such licensing schemes measures may include the development of licensing schemes tailored to should meet the needs of educational establishments, and the development of Information tools aiming at ensuring the visibility of the existing licensing schemes could also be developed.</u></p> <p><u>Such schemes could, for example, be based on collective licensing or on extended collective licensing in order to avoid educational establishments having to negotiate individually with rightholders. In order to guarantee legal certainty, Member States should specify under which conditions an educational establishment may use protected works or other subject-matter under that exception and, conversely, when it should act</u></p>
--	--	--	--	---

				<p><u>under a licensing scheme.</u></p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i></p>
36.			<p><u>(17a) Member States should remain free to provide that rightholders receive fair compensation for the digital uses of their works or other subject-matter under the exception or limitation for illustration for teaching provided for in this Directive. For the purposes of determining the possible level of fair compensation, due account should be taken, inter alia, of Member States' educational objectives and of the harm to rightholders.</u></p>	<p>GREEN</p> <p>(17a)Member States should remain free to provide that rightholders receive fair compensation for the digital uses of their works or other subject-matter under the exception or limitation for illustration for teaching provided for in this Directive. For the purposes of determining the possible level of fair compensation, due account should be taken, inter alia, of Member States' educational objectives and of the harm to rightholders. Member States deciding to provide for fair compensation should encourage the use of systems, which do not create administrative burden for educational establishments.</p>

				[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
37.		<i>(17 a) In order to guarantee legal certainty when a Member State decides to subject the application of the exception to the availability of adequate licences, it is necessary to specify under which conditions an educational establishment may use protected works or other subject-matter under that exception and, conversely, when it should act under a licensing scheme.</i>		
38.	(18) An act of preservation may require a reproduction of a work or other subject-matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution and consequently the authorisation of the relevant rightholders. Cultural heritage institutions are engaged in the preservation of their collections for future generations. Digital technologies offer new ways to preserve the heritage contained in those collections but they also create new challenges. In	(18) An act of preservation of a work or other subject-matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution may require a reproduction of a work or other subject-matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution and consequently require the authorisation of the relevant rightholders. Cultural heritage institutions are engaged in the preservation of their collections for future generations. Digital technologies offer new ways to	(18) An act of preservation may require a reproduction of a work or other subject-matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution may require a reproduction and consequently the authorisation of the relevant rightholders. Cultural heritage institutions are engaged in the preservation of their collections for future generations. Digital technologies offer new ways to preserve the heritage contained in those collections but they	

	view of these new challenges, it is necessary to adapt the current legal framework by providing a mandatory exception to the right of reproduction in order to allow those acts of preservation.	preserve the heritage contained in those collections but they also create new challenges. In view of these new challenges, it is necessary to adapt the current legal framework by providing a mandatory exception to the right of reproduction in order to allow those acts of preservation by such institutions.	also create new challenges. In view of these new challenges, it is necessary to adapt the current legal framework by providing a mandatory exception to the right of reproduction in order to allow those acts of preservation.	
39.	(19) Different approaches in the Member States for acts of preservation by cultural heritage institutions hamper cross-border cooperation and the sharing of means of preservation by cultural heritage institutions in the internal market, leading to an inefficient use of resources.	(19) Different approaches in the Member States for acts of reproduction for preservation by cultural heritage institutions hamper cross-border cooperation, and the sharing of means of preservation by cultural heritage institutions in the internal market, and the establishment of cross-border preservation networks in the internal market organisations that are engaged in preservation, leading to an inefficient use of resources. This can have a negative impact on the preservation of cultural heritage.	(19) Different approaches in the Member States for acts of preservation by cultural heritage institutions hamper cross-border cooperation and the sharing of means of preservation by cultural heritages such institutions in the internal market, leading to an inefficient use of resources.	
40.	(20) Member States should therefore be required to provide for an exception to permit	(20) Member States should therefore be required to provide for an exception to permit	(20) Member States should therefore be required to provide for an exception to permit	

	<p>cultural heritage institutions to reproduce works and other subject-matter permanently in their collections for preservation purposes, for example to address technological obsolescence or the degradation of original supports. Such an exception should allow for the making of copies by the appropriate preservation tool, means or technology, in the required number and at any point in the life of a work or other subject-matter to the extent required in order to produce a copy for preservation purposes only.</p>	<p>cultural heritage institutions to reproduce works and other subject-matter permanently in their collections for preservation purposes, for example to address technological obsolescence or the degradation of original supports <i>or to insure works</i>. Such an exception should allow for the making of copies by the appropriate preservation tool, means or technology, <i>in any format or medium</i>, in the required number, at any point in the life of a work or other subject-matter <i>and</i> to the extent required in order to produce a copy for preservation purposes only. <i>The archives of research organisations or public-service broadcasting organisations should be considered cultural heritage institutions and therefore beneficiaries of this exception. Member States should, for the purpose of this exception, be able to maintain provisions to treat publicly accessible galleries as museums.</i></p>	<p>cultural heritage institutions to reproduce works and other subject-matter permanently in their collections for preservation purposes, for example to address technological obsolescence or the degradation of original supports. Such an exception should allow for the making of copies by the appropriate preservation tool, means or technology, in the required number and at any point in the life of a work or other subject-matter to the extent required in order to produce a copy for preservation purposes only. <u>Acts of reproduction undertaken by cultural heritage institutions for purposes other than the preservation of works and other subject-matter in their permanent collections should remain subject to the authorisation of rightholders, unless permitted by other exceptions or limitations provided for by Union law.</u></p>	
--	---	---	--	--

41.			<p><u>(20a) Cultural heritage institutions do not necessarily have the technical means or expertise to undertake the acts required to preserve their collections themselves, particularly in the digital environment, and may therefore have recourse to the assistance of other cultural institutions and other third parties for that purpose. Under this exception, cultural heritage institutions should therefore be allowed to rely on third parties acting on their behalf and under their responsibility, including those that are based in other Member States, for the making of copies.</u></p>	
42.	<p>(21) For the purposes of this Directive, works and other subject-matter should be considered to be permanently in the collection of a cultural heritage institution when copies are owned or permanently held by the cultural heritage institution, for example as a result of a transfer of ownership</p>	<p>(21) For the purposes of this Directive, works and other subject-matter should be considered to be permanently in the collection of a cultural heritage institution when copies <i>of such works or other subject matter</i> are owned or permanently held by the cultural heritage institution;</p>	<p>(21) For the purposes of this Directive, works and other subject-matter should be considered to be permanently in the collection of a cultural heritage institution when copies are owned or permanently held by the cultural heritage institution; <u>such institutions</u>, for example as a result of a transfer</p>	<p>(21) For the purposes of this Directive, works and other subject-matter should be considered to be permanently in the collection of a cultural heritage institution when copies <u>of such works or other subject-matter</u> are owned or permanently held by <u>such institutions</u>, for example as a</p>

	or licence agreements.	<i>those organisations</i> , for example as a result of a transfer of ownership or, licence agreements, <i>a legal deposit or a long-term loan</i> . <i>Works or other subject matter that cultural heritage institutions access temporarily via a third-party server are not considered as being permanently in their collections.</i>	of ownership or licence agreements <u>or permanent custody arrangements</u> .	result of a transfer of ownership or licence agreements, legal deposit obligations or permanent custody arrangements . [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
43.		<i>(21a) Technological developments have given rise to information society services enabling their users to upload content and make it available in diverse forms and for various purposes, including to illustrate an idea, criticism, parody or pastiche. Such content may include short extracts of pre-existing protected works or other subject-matter that such users might have altered, combined or otherwise transformed.</i>		
44.		<i>(21b) Despite some overlap with existing exceptions or limitations, such as the ones for quotation and parody, not all content that is uploaded or</i>		

		<p><i>made available by a user that reasonably includes extracts of protected works or other subject-matter is covered by Article 5 of Directive 2001/29/EC. A situation of this type creates legal uncertainty for both users and rightholders. It is therefore necessary to provide a new specific exception to permit the legitimate uses of extracts of pre-existing protected works or other subject-matter in content that is uploaded or made available by users. Where content generated or made available by a user involves the short and proportionate use of a quotation or of an extract of a protected work or other subject-matter for a legitimate purpose, such use should be protected by the exception provided for in this Directive. This exception should only be applied in certain special cases which do not conflict with normal exploitation of the work or other subject-matter concerned and do not unreasonably prejudice the</i></p>		
--	--	---	--	--

		<p><i>legitimate interests of the rightholder. For the purpose of assessing such prejudice, it is essential that the degree of originality of the content concerned, the length/extent of the quotation or extract used, the professional nature of the content concerned or the degree of economic harm be examined, where relevant, while not precluding the legitimate enjoyment of the exception. This exception should be without prejudice to the moral rights of the authors of the work or other subject-matter.</i></p>		
45.		<p><i>(21c) Information society service providers that fall within the scope of Article 13 of this Directive should not be able to invoke for their benefit the exception for the use of extracts from pre-existing works provided for in this Directive, for the use of quotations or extracts from protected works or other subject-matter in content that is uploaded or made available</i></p>		

		<i>by users on those information society services, to reduce the scope of their obligations under Article 13 of this Directive.</i>		
46.	(22) Cultural heritage institutions should benefit from a clear framework for the digitisation and dissemination, including across borders, of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter. However, the particular characteristics of the collections of out-of-commerce works mean that obtaining the prior consent of the individual rightholders may be very difficult. This can be due, for example, to the age of the works or other subject-matter, their limited commercial value or the fact that they were never intended for commercial use. It is therefore necessary to provide for measures to facilitate the licensing of rights in out-of-commerce works that are in the collections of cultural heritage institutions and thereby to allow the conclusion of agreements	(22) Cultural heritage institutions should benefit from a clear framework for the digitisation and dissemination, including across borders, of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter. However, the particular characteristics of the collections of out-of-commerce works mean that obtaining the prior consent of the individual rightholders may be very difficult. This can be due, for example, to the age of the works or other subject-matter, their limited commercial value or the fact that they were never intended for commercial use or have never been in commerce . It is therefore necessary to provide for measures to facilitate the licensing of rights in use of out-of-commerce works that are in the collections of cultural heritage institutions and thereby	(22) Cultural heritage institutions should benefit from a clear framework for the digitisation and dissemination, including across borders, of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter- <u>that are considered out of commerce for the purposes of this Directive.</u> However, the particular characteristics of the collections of out-of-commerce works, <u>together with the amount of works involved in mass digitisation projects,</u> mean that obtaining the prior consent of the individual rightholders may be very difficult. This can be due, for example, to the age of the works or other subject-matter, their limited commercial value or the fact that they were never intended for commercial use. It is therefore necessary to provide for measures to	(22) Cultural heritage institutions should benefit from a clear framework for the digitisation and dissemination, including across borders, of works or other subject-matter that are considered out of commerce for the purposes of this Directive. However, the particular characteristics of the collections of out-of-commerce works, together with the amount of works and other subject-matter involved in mass digitisation projects, mean that obtaining the prior consent of the individual rightholders may be very difficult. This can be due, for example, to the age of the works or other subject-matter, their limited commercial value or the fact that they were never intended for commercial use or that they have never been exploited commercially . It is

	with cross-border effect in the internal market.	to allow the conclusion of agreements with cross-border effect in the internal market.	facilitate the collective licensing of rights in out-of-commerce works that are permanently in the collections of cultural heritage institutions and thereby to allow the conclusion of agreements with cross-border effect in the internal market.	therefore necessary to provide for measures to facilitate certain uses of the collective licensing of rights in out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter that are permanently in the collections of cultural heritage institutions. and thereby to allow the conclusion of agreements with cross-border effect in the internal market. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
47.		<i>(22a) Several Member States have already adopted extended collective licencing regimes, legal mandates or legal presumptions facilitating the licencing of out-of-commerce works. However considering the variety of works and other subject-matter in the collections of cultural heritage institutions and the variance between collective management practices across Member States and sectors of cultural production, such measures may not provide a solution in all cases, for</i>		(22a) Legal mechanisms should therefore exist in all Member States allowing for licences issued by relevant and sufficiently representative collective management organisations to cultural heritage institutions, for certain uses of out-of-commerce works and other subject matter, to also apply to the rights of rightholders that have not mandated a representative collective management organisation in that regard. It should be legally possible for those

		<p><i>example, because there is no practice of collective management for a certain type of work or other subject matter. In such particular instances, it is therefore necessary to allow cultural heritage institutions to make out-of-commerce works held in their permanent collection available online under an exception to copyright and related rights. While it is essential to harmonise the scope of the new mandatory exception in order to allow cross-border uses of out-of-commerce works, Member States should nevertheless be allowed to use or continue to use extended collective licencing arrangements concluded with cultural heritage institutions at national level for categories of works that are permanently in the collections of cultural heritage institutions. The lack of agreement on the conditions of the licence should not be interpreted as a lack of availability of licensing-based</i></p>		<p>licences to cover all territories of the Union.</p> <p>(22b) An adapted legal framework applicable to collective licensing may not provide a solution for all the cases where cultural heritage institutions encounter difficulties in obtaining all the necessary authorisations of right holders for the use of out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter, for example, because there is no practice of collective management for a certain type of works or other subject-matter or because the relevant collective management organisation is not broadly representative for the category of the right holders and of the rights concerned. In such particular instances, it should be possible for cultural heritage institutions to make out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter that are permanently in their collection available online in all territories of the Union</p>
--	--	---	--	---

		<p><i>solutions. Any uses under this exception should be subject to the same opt-out and publicity requirements as uses authorised by a licensing mechanism. In order to ensure that the exception only applies when certain conditions are fulfilled and to provide legal certainty, Member States should determine, in consultation with rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage organisations, and at appropriate intervals of time, for which sectors and which types of works appropriate licence-based solutions are not available, in which case the exception should apply.</i></p>		<p>under a harmonised exception or limitation to copyright and related rights. It is important that uses under that exception or limitation only take place when certain conditions, notably as regards the availability of licensing solutions, are fulfilled. The lack of agreement on the conditions of the licence should not be interpreted as a lack of availability of licensing-based solutions.</p> <p><i>[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]</i></p>
48.	<p>(23) Member States should, within the framework provided for in this Directive, have flexibility in choosing the specific type of mechanism allowing for licences for out-of-commerce works to extend to the rights of rightholders that are not represented by the collective management</p>	<p>(23) Member States should, within the framework provided for in this Directive, have flexibility in choosing the specific type of mechanism allowing for licences for out-of-commerce works to extend to the rights of rightholders that are not represented by the <i>relevant</i> collective management</p>	<p>(23) Member States should, within the framework provided for in this Directive, have flexibility in choosing the specific type of mechanism, <u>such as extended collective licensing or presumption of representation</u>, allowing for licences for out-of-commerce works to extend to the rights of</p>	<p>(23) Member States should, within the framework provided for in this Directive, have flexibility in choosing the specific type of licensing mechanism, such as extended collective licensing or presumptions of representation, that they put in place for the use of out-of-commerce works</p>

	<p>organisation, in accordance to their legal traditions, practices or circumstances. Such mechanisms can include extended collective licensing and presumptions of representation.</p>	<p>organisation, in accordance to to <i>with</i> their legal traditions, practices or circumstances. Such mechanisms can include extended collective licensing and presumptions of representation.</p>	<p>rightholders that are <i>have</i> not represented by the the <u>mandated a representative</u> collective management organisation, in accordance to to <i>with</i> their legal traditions, practices or circumstances. Such mechanisms can include extended collective licensing and presumptions of representation. <u>Member States should also have flexibility in determining the requirements for collective management organisations to be sufficiently representative, as long as this is based on a significant number of rightholders in the relevant type of works or other subject-matter who have given a mandate allowing the licensing of the relevant type of use. Member States should be free to establish specific rules applicable to cases where more than one collective management organisation is representative for the relevant works or other subject matter, requiring for example joint</u></p>	<p>and other subject matter by cultural heritage institutions, in accordance with their legal traditions, practices or circumstances. Member States should also have flexibility in determining the requirements for collective management organisations to be sufficiently representative, as long as this is based on a significant number of rightholders in the relevant type of works or other subject-matter who have given a mandate allowing the licensing of the relevant type of use. Member States should be free to establish specific rules applicable to cases where more than one collective management organisation is representative for the relevant works or other subject matter, requiring for example joint licences or an agreement between the relevant organisations.</p> <p><i>[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]</i></p>
--	---	---	--	---

			<u>licences or an agreement between the relevant organisations.</u>	
49.	<p>(24) For the purpose of those licensing mechanisms, a rigorous and well-functioning collective management system is important. That system includes in particular rules of good governance, transparency and reporting, as well as the regular, diligent and accurate distribution and payment of amounts due to individual rightholders, as provided for by Directive 2014/26/EU. Additional appropriate safeguards should be available for all rightholders, who should be given the opportunity to exclude the application of such mechanisms to their works or other subject-matter. Conditions attached to those mechanisms should not affect their practical relevance for cultural heritage institutions.</p>	<p>(24) For the purpose of those licensing mechanisms, a rigorous and well-functioning collective management system is important <i>and should be encouraged by the Member States</i>. That system includes in particular rules of good governance, transparency and reporting, as well as the regular, diligent and accurate distribution and payment of amounts due to individual rightholders, as provided for by Directive 2014/26/EU. Additional appropriate safeguards should be available for all rightholders, who should be given the opportunity to exclude the application of such <i>licensing mechanisms or of such exceptions</i> to their works or other subject-matter. Conditions attached to those mechanisms should not affect their practical relevance for cultural heritage institutions.</p>	<p>(24) For the purpose of those licensing mechanisms, a rigorous and well-functioning collective management system is important. That system includes in particular rules of good governance, transparency and reporting, as well as the regular, diligent and accurate distribution and payment of amounts due to individual rightholders, as provided for by Directive 2014/26/EU. Additional appropriate safeguards should be available for all rightholders, who should be given the opportunity to exclude the application of such mechanisms <u>in relation to all their works or other subject-matter or to all licences, or in relation to particular works or other subject-matter or to particular licences, at any time before or under the duration of the licence.</u> Conditions attached to those mechanisms should not affect</p>	<p>(24) For the purpose of those licensing mechanisms, a rigorous and well-functioning collective management system is important. That system includes in particular rules of good governance, transparency and reporting, as well as the regular, diligent and accurate distribution and payment of amounts due to individual rightholders, as provided for by Directive 2014/26/EU.</p> <p>(24a) Additional appropriate safeguards should be available for all rightholders, who should be given the opportunity to exclude the application of these licensing mechanisms and the exception or limitation introduced by this Directive for the use of out-of-commerce works in relation to all their works or other subject-matter or in relation to all licences or all uses under the exception or limitation, or in relation to particular works or</p>

			<p>their practical relevance for cultural heritage institutions. <u>It is important that when a rightholder excludes the application of such mechanisms to one or more of their works or other subject-matter, the informed collective management organisation does not continue to issue licences covering the relevant uses and any ongoing uses are terminated within a reasonable period. Such exclusion by the rightholder should not affect their claim to remuneration for the actual use of the work or other subject-matter.</u></p>	<p>other subject-matter or in relation to particular licences or uses under the exception or limitation, at any time before or under the duration of the licence or the uses under the exception or limitation. Conditions attached to those licensing mechanisms should not affect their practical relevance for cultural heritage institutions. It is important that when a rightholder excludes the application of such mechanisms or of such exception or limitation to one or more of their works or other subject-matter, the informed collective management organisation does not continue to issue licences covering the relevant uses and any ongoing uses are terminated within a reasonable period, and, in the case they take place under a collective licence, that the informed collective management organisation does not continue to issue licences covering the relevant uses. Such exclusion by the rightholders should not affect</p>
--	--	--	---	---

				<p>their claims to remuneration for the actual use of the work or other subject-matter under the licence.</p> <p><i>[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]</i></p>
50.			<p><u>(24a) This Directive does not affect the possibility for Member States to determine the allocation of legal responsibility for the compliance of the licensing and the use of out-of-commerce works with the conditions set out in this Directive and for the compliance of the parties with the terms of those licenses.</u></p>	<p>(24a) This Directive does not affect the possibility for Member States to determine the allocation of legal responsibility for the compliance of the licensing and the use of out-of-commerce works with the conditions set out in this Directive and for the compliance of the parties with the terms of those licenses.</p> <p><i>[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]</i></p>
51.	(25) Considering the variety of works and other subject-matter in the collections of cultural heritage institutions, it is	(25) Considering the variety of works and other subject-matter in the collections of cultural heritage institutions, it is	(25) Considering the variety of works and other subject-matter in the collections of cultural heritage institutions, it is	(25) Considering the variety of works and other subject-matter in the collections of cultural heritage institutions, it is

<p>important that the licensing mechanisms introduced by this Directive are available and can be used in practice for different types of works and other subject-matter, including photographs, sound recordings and audiovisual works. In order to reflect the specificities of different categories of works and other subject-matter as regards modes of publication and distribution and to facilitate the usability of those mechanisms, specific requirements and procedures may have to be established by Member States for the practical application of those licensing mechanisms. It is appropriate that Member States consult rightholders, users and collective management organisations when doing so.</p>	<p>important that the licensing mechanisms introduced by this Directive are available and can be used in practice for different types of works and other subject-matter, including photographs, sound recordings and audiovisual works. In order to reflect the specificities of different categories of works and other subject-matter as regards modes of publication and distribution and to facilitate the usability of those mechanisms, <i>the solutions on the use of out-of-commerce works introduced by this Directive</i>, specific requirements and procedures may have to be established by Member States for the practical application of those licensing mechanisms. It is appropriate that Member States consult rightholders, users <i>cultural heritage institutions</i> and collective management organisations when doing so.</p>	<p>important that the licensing mechanisms introduced by this Directive are available and can be used in practice for different types of works and other subject-matter, including photographs, <u>software, phonograms, sound recordings and audiovisual works</u> <u>and unique works of art, irrespective of whether they have ever been commercially available. Never-in-commerce works may include posters, leaflets, trench journals or amateur audiovisual works, but also unpublished works or other subject-matter, without prejudice to other applicable legal constraints, such as national rules on moral rights. When a work is available in any of its different versions, such as subsequent editions of literary works and alternate cuts of cinematographic works, or in any of its different manifestations, such as digital and printed formats of the same work, this work or other subject-matter should not be</u></p>	<p>important that the licensing mechanisms and the exception or limitation introduced by this Directive are available and can be used in practice for different types of works and other subject-matter, including photographs, software, phonograms, audiovisual works and unique works of art, irrespective of whether they have ever been commercially available. Never-in-commerce works may include posters, leaflets, trench journals or amateur audiovisual works, but also unpublished works or other subject-matter, without prejudice to other applicable legal constraints, such as national rules on moral rights. When a work is available in any of its different versions, such as subsequent editions of literary works and alternate cuts of cinematographic works, or in any of its different manifestations, such as digital and printed formats of the same work, this work or other subject-matter should not be considered out of -commerce.</p>
---	--	--	---

			<p><u>considered out of -commerce. Conversely, the commercial availability of adaptations, including other language versions or audiovisual adaptations of a literary work, should not preclude the determination of the out-of-commerce status of a work in a given language.</u> In order to reflect the specificities of different categories types of works and other subject-matter as regards modes of publication and distribution and to facilitate the usability of those mechanisms, specific requirements and procedures may have to be established by Member States for the practical application of those licensing mechanisms, <u>such as a time period which needs to have been elapsed since the first commercial availability of the work.</u> It is appropriate that Member States consult rightholders, users and collective management organisations when doing so.</p>	<p>Conversely, the commercial availability of adaptations, including other language versions or audiovisual adaptations of a literary work, should not preclude the determination of the out-of-commerce status of a work in a given language. In order to reflect the specificities of different <u>types</u> of works and other subject-matter as regards modes of publication and distribution and to facilitate the usability of those mechanisms, specific requirements and procedures may have to be established for the practical application of those licensing mechanisms, such as a time period which needs to have been elapsed since the first commercial availability of the work. It is appropriate that Member States consult rightholders, cultural heritage institutionsusers and collective management organisations when doing so.</p> <p><i>[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]</i></p>
--	--	--	--	---

52.			<p><u>(25a) When determining whether works and other subject-matter are out of commerce, a reasonable effort should be required to assess their availability to the public in the customary channels of commerce, taking into account the characteristics of the particular work or set of works. Member States should be free to determine the allocation of responsibilities for making the reasonable effort. The reasonable effort should not have to be repeated over time but it should also take account of any easily accessible evidence of upcoming availability of works in the customary channels of commerce. A work-by-work assessment should only be required when this is considered reasonable in view of the availability of relevant information, the likelihood of commercial availability and the expected</u></p>	<p>(25a) When determining whether works and other subject-matter are out of commerce, a reasonable effort should be required to assess their availability to the public in the customary channels of commerce, taking into account the characteristics of the particular work or set of works. Member States should be free to determine the allocation of responsibilities for making the reasonable effort. The reasonable effort should not have to be repeated over time but it should also take account of any easily accessible evidence of upcoming availability of works in the customary channels of commerce. A work-by-work assessment should only be required when this is considered reasonable in view of the availability of relevant information, the likelihood of commercial availability and the expected transaction cost. The verification of availability</p>

			<p><u>transaction cost. The verification of availability should normally take place in the Member State where the cultural heritage institution is established, unless verification across borders is considered reasonable, for example when there is easily available information that a literary work was first published in a given language version in another Member State. In many cases the out-of-commerce status of a set of works could be determined through a proportionate mechanism, such as sampling. The limited availability of a work, such as its availability in second-hand shops, or the theoretical possibility to obtain a licence to a work should not be considered as availability to the public in the customary channels of commerce.</u></p>	<p>should normally take place in the Member State where the cultural heritage institution is established, unless verification across borders is considered reasonable, for example when there is easily available information that a literary work was first published in a given language version in another Member State. In many cases the out-of-commerce status of a set of works could be determined through a proportionate mechanism, such as sampling. The limited availability of a work, such as its availability in second-hand shops, or the theoretical possibility to obtain a licence to a work should not be considered as availability to the public in the customary channels of commerce.</p> <p><i>[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]</i></p>
53.	(26) For reasons of international comity, the licensing mechanisms for the digitisation and dissemination	(26) For reasons of international comity, the licensing mechanisms and the exception for the digitisation	(26) For reasons of international comity, the licensing mechanisms for the digitisation and dissemination	(26) For reasons of international comity, the licensing mechanism and the exception or limitation

<p>of out-of-commerce works provided for in this Directive should not apply to works or other subject-matter that are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in a third country or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works the producer of which has his headquarters or habitual residence in a third country. Those mechanisms should also not apply to works or other subject-matter of third country nationals except when they are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in the territory of a Member State or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works of which the producer's headquarters or habitual residence is in a Member State.</p>	<p>and dissemination of out-of-commerce works provided for in this Directive should not apply to works or other subject-matter that are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in a third country or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works the producer of which has his headquarters or habitual residence in a third country. Those mechanisms should also not apply to works or other subject-matter of third country nationals except when they are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in the territory of a Member State or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works of which the producer's headquarters or habitual residence is in a Member State.</p>	<p>of out-of-commerce works provided for in this Directive should not apply to works or other subject-matter that are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in a third country or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works the producer of which has his headquarters or habitual residence in a third country. Those mechanisms should also not apply to works or other subject-matter of third country nationals except when they are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in the territory of a Member State or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works of which the producer's headquarters or habitual residence is in a Member State <u>sets of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter when there is available evidence to presume that they predominantly consist of works or other subject-matter of third countries, unless the</u></p>	<p>provided for in this Directive for the digitisation and dissemination of out-of-commerce works provided for in this Directive should not apply to sets of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter when there is available evidence to presume that they predominantly consist of works or other subject-matter of third countries, unless the concerned collective management organisation is sufficiently representative for that third country, for example via a representation agreement. This assessment can be based on the evidence available following the reasonable effort to determine the out-of-commerce status of the works, without the need to search for further evidence. A work-by-work assessment of the origin of the out-of-commerce works should only be required insofar as it is also required for the reasonable effort to determine their commercial availability.</p> <p><i>[tentatively agreed at TM; to be</i></p>
---	---	---	---

			<p><u>concerned collective management organisation is sufficiently representative for that third country, for example via a representation agreement. This assessment can be based on the evidence available following the reasonable effort to determine the out-of-commerce status of the works, without the need to search for further evidence. A work-by-work assessment of the origin of the out-of-commerce works should only be required insofar as it is also required for the reasonable effort to determine their commercial availability.</u></p>	<p><i>confirmed]</i></p>
54.	<p>(27) As mass digitisation projects can entail significant investments by cultural heritage institutions, any licences granted under the mechanisms provided for in this Directive should not prevent them from generating reasonable revenues in order to cover the costs of the licence and the costs of</p>	<p>(27) As mass digitisation projects can entail significant investments by cultural heritage institutions, any licences granted under the mechanisms provided for in this Directive should not prevent them from generating reasonable revenues in order to cover covering the costs of the licence and the</p>	<p>(27) As mass-<u>The contracting cultural heritage institutions and collective management organisations should remain free to agree on the territorial scope of the licence, the licence fee and the allowed uses. Uses covered by such licence should not be for profit making purpose,</u></p>	<p>(27) The contracting cultural heritage institutions and collective management organisations should remain free to agree on the territorial scope of the licence, <i>including the possibility to cover all Member States,</i> the licence fee and the allowed uses. Uses covered by such licence should</p>

	digitising and disseminating the works and other subject-matter covered by the licence.	costs of digitising and disseminating the works and other subject-matter covered by the licence.	<u>including when copies are distributed by the cultural heritage institution, such as in the case of promotional material about an exhibition. At the same time, as the digitisation projects of the collections of cultural heritage institutions</u> can entail significant investments by cultural heritage institutions, any licences granted under the mechanisms provided for in this Directive should not prevent them <u>cultural heritage institutions</u> from generating reasonable revenues in order to cover <u>for the purposes of covering</u> the costs of the licence and the costs of digitising and disseminating the works and other subject-matter covered by the licence.	not be for profit making purpose, including when copies are distributed by the cultural heritage institution, such as in the case of promotional material about an exhibition. At the same time, as the digitisation of the collections of cultural heritage institutions can entail significant investments, any licences granted under the mechanisms provided for in this Directive should not prevent cultural heritage institutions from generating reasonable revenues for the <u>exclusive purposes</u> of covering the costs of the licence and the costs of digitising and disseminating the works and other subject-matter covered by the licence. <i>[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]</i>
55.	(28) Information regarding the future and ongoing use of out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter by cultural	(28) Information regarding the future and ongoing use of out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter by cultural	(28) Information regarding the future and ongoing use of out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter by cultural	(28) Information regarding the future and ongoing use of out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter by cultural

<p>heritage institutions on the basis of the licensing mechanisms provided for in this Directive and the arrangements in place for all rightholders to exclude the application of licences to their works or other subject-matter should be adequately publicised. This is particularly important when uses take place across borders in the internal market. It is therefore appropriate to make provision for the creation of a single publicly accessible online portal for the Union to make such information available to the public for a reasonable period of time before the cross-border use takes place. Under Regulation (EU) No 386/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹¹, the European Union Intellectual Property Office is entrusted with certain tasks and activities, financed by making use of its own budgetary measures, aiming at facilitating and supporting the activities of national authorities, the private sector and Union institutions in the fight against,</p>	<p>heritage institutions on the basis of the licensing mechanisms <i>or of the exception</i> provided for in this Directive and the arrangements in place for all rightholders to exclude the application of licences <i>or of the exception</i> to their works or other subject-matter should be adequately publicised. This is particularly important when uses take place across borders in the internal market. It is therefore appropriate to make provision for the creation of a single publicly accessible online portal for the Union to make such information available to the public for a reasonable period of time before the cross-border use takes place. Under Regulation (EU) No 386/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹¹, the European Union Intellectual Property Office is entrusted with certain tasks and activities, financed by making use of its own budgetary measures, aiming at facilitating and supporting the activities of national authorities, the private</p>	<p>heritage institutions on the basis of the licensing mechanisms provided for in this Directive and the arrangements in place for all rightholders to exclude the application of licences to their works or other subject-matter should be adequately publicised. <u>both before a licence is granted and during the operation of the licence as appropriate.</u> This is particularly important when uses take place across borders in the internal market. It is therefore appropriate to make provision for the creation of a single publicly accessible online portal for the Union to make such information available to the public for a reasonable period of time before the cross-border use takes place. <u>This portal should facilitate the possibility for rightholders to exclude the application of licences to their works or other subject-matter.</u> Under Regulation (EU) No 386/2012 of the European Parliament and of the</p>	<p>heritage institutions on the basis of the licensing mechanisms provided for in this Directive and the arrangements in place for all rightholders to exclude the application of licences or of the exception or limitation to their works or other subject-matter should be adequately publicised both before a licence is granted and during the operation of the licence the use under a licence or the exception or limitation, as appropriate. This is particularly important when uses take place across borders in the internal market. It is therefore appropriate to make provision for the creation of a single publicly accessible online portal for the Union to make such information available to the public for a reasonable period of time before the use takes place. This portal should facilitate the possibility for rightholders to exclude the application of licences to their works or other subject-matter. Under Regulation (EU) No 386/2012 of the European</p>
--	--	---	--

	<p>including the prevention of, infringement of intellectual property rights. It is therefore appropriate to rely on that Office to establish and manage the European portal making such information available.</p>	<p>sector and Union institutions in the fight against, including the prevention of, infringement of intellectual property rights. It is therefore appropriate to rely on that Office to establish and manage the European portal making such information available.</p>	<p>Council¹¹, the European Union Intellectual Property Office is entrusted with certain tasks and activities, financed by making use of its own budgetary measures<u>means</u>, aiming at facilitating and supporting the activities of national authorities, the private sector and Union institutions in the fight against, including the prevention of, infringement of intellectual property rights. It is therefore appropriate to rely on that Office to establish and manage the European portal making such information available. <u>In addition to making the information available through the portal, further appropriate publicity measures may need to be taken on a case-by-case basis in order to increase the awareness of affected rightholders, for example through the use of additional channels of communication to reach a wider public. The</u></p>	<p>Parliament and of the Council¹¹, the European Union Intellectual Property Office is entrusted with certain tasks and activities, financed by making use of its own budgetary means, aiming at facilitating and supporting the activities of national authorities, the private sector and Union institutions in the fight against, including the prevention of, infringement of intellectual property rights. It is therefore appropriate to rely on that Office to establish and manage the European portal making such information available. In addition to making the information available through the portal, further appropriate publicity measures may need to be taken on a case-by-case basis in order to increase the awareness of affected rightholders, for example through the use of additional channels of communication to reach a wider public. The necessity, the</p>
--	---	---	---	---

¹¹ Regulation (EU) No 386/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 April 2012 on entrusting the Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) with tasks related to the enforcement of intellectual property rights, including the assembling of public and private-sector representatives as a European Observatory on Infringements of Intellectual Property Rights (OJ L 129, 16.5.2012, p. 1–6).

			<p><u>necessity, the nature and the geographic scope of the additional publicity measures should depend on the characteristics of the relevant out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter, the terms of the licences and the existing practices in Member States. Publicity measures should be effective without the need to inform each rightholder individually.</u></p>	<p>nature and the geographic scope of the additional publicity measures should depend on the characteristics of the relevant out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter, the terms of the licences or the type of use under the exception or limitation, and the existing practices in Member States. Publicity measures should be effective without the need to inform each rightholder individually.</p> <p>(-28a) In order to ensure that the licensing mechanisms established by this Directive for out-of-commerce works are relevant and function properly, that rightholders are adequately protected, that licences are properly publicised and that legal clarity is ensured with regard to the representativeness of collective management organisations and the categorisation of works, Member States should foster sector-specific stakeholder</p>
--	--	--	--	--

				<p>dialogue.</p> <p><i>[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]</i></p>
56.			<p><u>(28a) The measures provided for in this Directive to facilitate the collective licensing of rights in out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter that are permanently in the collections of cultural heritage institutions should be without prejudice to the use of such works or other subject-matter under exceptions or limitations provided for in Union law or under other licences with an extended effect, where such licensing is not based on the out-of-commerce status of the covered works or other subject matter. These measures should also be without prejudice to national mechanisms for the use of out of commerce works based on licences between collective management organisation and users other than cultural</u></p>	<p>(28a) The measures provided for in this Directive to facilitate the collective licensing of rights in out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter that are permanently in the collections of cultural heritage institutions should be without prejudice to the use of such works or other subject-matter under exceptions or limitations provided for in Union law or under other licences with an extended effect, where such licensing is not based on the out-of-commerce status of the covered works or other subject matter. These measures should also be without prejudice to national mechanisms for the use of out of commerce works based on licences between collective management organisation and users other than cultural heritage institutions.</p> <p><i>[tentatively agreed at TM; to be</i></p>

			<u>heritage institutions.</u>	<i>confirmed]</i>
57.			<u>(28b) Mechanisms of collective licensing with an extended effect allow a collective management organisation to offer licences as a collective licensing body on behalf of rightholders irrespective of whether they have authorised the organisation to do so. Systems built on such mechanisms, such as extended collective licensing, legal mandates or presumptions of representation, are a well-established practice in several Member States and may be used in different areas. A functioning copyright framework that works for all parties requires the availability of these proportionate, legal mechanisms for the licensing of works. Member States should therefore be able to rely on solutions, allowing relevant licensing organisations, which are</u>	(28b) Mechanisms of collective licensing with an extended effect allow a collective management organisation to offer licences as a collective licensing body on behalf of rightholders irrespective of whether they have authorised the organisation to do so. Systems built on such mechanisms, such as extended collective licensing, legal mandates or presumptions of representation, are a well-established practice in several Member States and may be used in different areas. A functioning copyright framework that works for all parties requires the availability of these-proportionate, legal mechanisms for the licensing of works. Member States should therefore be able to rely on solutions, allowing <u>relevant collective management licensing organisations,</u> which are owned or controlled by their rightholder members (or

			<p><u>owned or controlled by their rightholder members (or entities representing rightholders) or organised on a not for profit basis, to offer licences covering potentially large volumes of works or other subject-matter for certain types of use, and distribute the revenue received to rightholders.</u></p>	<p>entities representing rightholders) or organised on a not for profit basis, to offer licences covering potentially large volumes of works or other subject-matter for certain types of use, and distribute the revenue received to rightholders, <u>in accordance with Directive 2014/26/EU.</u></p> <p>(= wording of WK 15203/18)</p>
58.			<p><u>(28c) In the case of some uses, together with the usually large amount of works involved, the transaction cost of individual rights clearance with every concerned rightholder is prohibitively high and without effective collective licensing mechanisms all the required transactions in these areas to enable the use of these works or other subject matter are unlikely to take place. Extended collective licensing and similar mechanisms have made it possible to conclude agreements in areas affected</u></p>	<p>(28c) In the case of some uses, together with the usually large amount of works involved, the transaction cost of individual rights clearance with every concerned rightholder is prohibitively high and without effective collective licensing mechanisms all the required transactions in these areas to enable the use of these works or other subject matter are unlikely to take place. Extended collective licensing <u>by collective management organisations</u> and similar mechanisms have made it <u>may make it</u> possible to conclude</p>

			<p><u>by this market failure where traditional collective licensing does not provide an exhaustive solution for covering all works and other subject-matter to be used. These mechanisms serve as a complement to collective management based on individual mandates, by providing full legal certainty to users. At the same time, they provide a further opportunity to right holders to benefit from the legitimate use of their works.</u></p>	<p>agreements in these areas affected by this market failure where traditional collective licensing based on an authorisation by rightholders does not provide an exhaustive solution for covering all works and other subject-matter to be used. These mechanisms serve as a complement to collective management based on individual mandates authorisation by rightholders, by providing full legal certainty to users in certain cases. At the same time, they provide an further opportunity to rights-holders to benefit from the legitimate use of their works.</p> <p><i>(= wording of WK 15203/18)</i></p>
59.			<p><u>(28d) Given the increasing importance of the ability to offer flexible licensing solutions in the digital age, and the increasing use of such schemes in Member States, it is beneficial to further clarify in Union law the status of licensing mechanisms allowing collective</u></p>	<p>(28d) Given the increasing importance of the ability to offer flexible licensing solutions in the digital age, and the increasing use of such schemes, in Member States, should be ableHowed to provide it is beneficial to further clarify in Union law the status of for licensing</p>

			<p><u>management organisations to conclude licences, on a voluntary basis, irrespective of whether all rightholders have authorised the organisation to do so. Member States should have the ability to maintain and introduce such schemes in accordance with their legal traditions, practices or circumstances, subject to the safeguards provided for in this Directive and in full respect of Union law and their international obligations related to copyright. These schemes would only have effect in the territory of the Member State concerned, unless otherwise provided for in Union law. Member States should have flexibility in choosing the specific type of mechanism allowing licences for works or other subject-matter to extend to the rights of rightholders that have not authorised the organisation that concludes the agreement, as long as it guarantees sufficient protection of the</u></p>	<p>mechanisms <u>which</u> allowing <u>permit</u> collective management organisations to conclude licences, on a voluntary basis, irrespective of whether all rightholders have authorised the organisation to do so. Member States should have the ability to maintain and introduce such schemes in accordance with their <u>national</u> legal traditions, practices or circumstances, subject to the safeguards provided for in this Directive and in full respect of Union law and their international obligations <u>of the Union.</u> related to copyright. These schemes would only have effect in the territory of the Member State concerned, unless otherwise provided for in Union law. Member States should have flexibility in choosing the specific type of mechanism allowing licences for works or other subject-matter to extend to the rights of rightholders that have not authorised the organisation that concludes the agreement, <u>provided that this is in compliance with Union</u></p>
--	--	--	---	--

			<p><u>non-member rightholders. Such mechanisms may include extended collective licensing, legal mandate and presumptions of representation. The provisions of this Directive concerning collective licensing should not affect existing possibilities of Member States to apply mandatory collective management or other collective licensing mechanisms with an extended effect, such as the one included in Article 3 of Directive 93/83/EEC.</u></p>	<p><u>law, including the rules on collective rights management provided in Directive 2014/26/EU. In particular, such schemes should also ensure and that as long as it guarantees sufficient protection of the Article 7 of Directive 2014/26/EU <u>n applies to non-member rightholders that are not members of the organisation that concludes the agreement.</u> Such mechanisms may include extended collective licensing, legal mandate and presumptions of representation. The provisions of this Directive concerning extended collective licensing should not affect existing possibilities of Member States to apply mandatory collective management or other collective licensing mechanisms with an extended effect, such as the one included in Article 3 of Directive 93/83/EEC.</u></p> <p>(= wording of WK 15203/18)</p>
60.			<p><u>(28e) It is important that such mechanisms are only applied</u></p>	<p>(28e)It is important that such mechanisms are only applied in</p>

			<p><u>in well-defined areas of uses, where obtaining authorisations from rightholders on an individual basis is typically onerous and impractical to a degree that makes the required licensing transaction, i.e. a licence that covers all the involved rightholders unlikely to occur due to the nature of the use or of the types of works concerned. It is equally important that the licensed use neither affects adversely the economic value of the relevant rights nor deprives rightholders of significant commercial benefits. Moreover, Member States should ensure that appropriate safeguards are in place to protect the legitimate interests of rightholders that are not represented by the organisation offering the licence.</u></p>	<p>well-defined areas of uses, where obtaining authorisations from rightholders on an individual basis is typically onerous and impractical to a degree that makes the required licensing transaction, i.e. a licence that covers all the involved rightholders unlikely to occur due to the nature of the use or of the types of works concerned. <u>Such mechanisms should be based on objective, transparent and non-discriminatory criteria as regards the treatment of rightholders including non-members. In particular the mere fact that the affected rightholders are not nationals or residents of or established in the Member State of the user who is seeking a licence, should not be on its own merits a reason to considermake the clearance of rights so onerous and impractical to justify the use of such mechanisms.</u> It is equally important that the licensed use neither affects adversely the economic value</p>
--	--	--	--	---

				<p>of the relevant rights nor deprives rightholders of significant commercial benefits. Moreover, Member States should ensure that appropriate safeguards are in place to protect the legitimate interests of rightholders that are not represented by the organisation offering the licence <u>which that apply in a non-discriminatory manner.</u></p> <p>(= wording of WK 15203/18)</p>
61.			<p><u>(28f) Specifically, to justify the extended effect of the mechanisms, the organisation should be, on the basis of authorisations from rightholders, sufficiently representative of the types of works or other subject-matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence. To ensure legal certainty and confidence in the mechanisms Member States may determine the allocation of legal responsibility for uses authorised by the licence agreement. Equal treatment should be guaranteed to all</u></p>	<p>(28f) Specifically, to justify the extended effect of the mechanisms, the organisation should be, on the basis of authorisations from rightholders, sufficiently representative of the types of works or other subject-matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence. <u>Member States should determine the requirements for those organisations to be sufficiently representative in accordance with Directive 2014/26/EU, taking into account the category of rights managed by the collective</u></p>

			<p><u>rightholders whose works are exploited under the licence as regards, notably, access to information on the licensing and the distribution of remuneration. Publicity measures should be effective throughout the duration of the licence without the need to inform each rightholder individually. In order to ensure that rightholders can easily retain control of their works, and prevent any uses of their works that would be prejudicial to their interests, rightholders must be given an effective opportunity to exclude the application of such mechanisms to their works or other subject-matter for all uses and works or other subject-matter, or for specific uses and works or other subject-matter. In such cases, any ongoing uses should be terminated within a reasonable period. Member States may also decide that additional measures are appropriate to protect rightholders.</u></p>	<p><u>rights management organisation, the ability of the organisation to manage the rights effectively and the creative sector in which it operates and also whether the organisation covers a significant number of rightholders in the relevant type of works or other subject-matter who have given a mandate allowing the licensing of the relevant type of use, and in accordance with Directive 2014/26/EU.</u> To ensure legal certainty and confidence in the mechanisms Member States may determine the allocation of legal responsibility for uses authorised by the licence agreement. Equal treatment should be guaranteed to all rightholders whose works are exploited under the licence as regards, <u>including in particular as regards</u> notably, access to information on the licensing and the distribution of remuneration. Publicity measures should be effective throughout the duration of the</p>
--	--	--	---	--

				<p>licence without <u>imposing disproportionate administrative burdens on users, collective management organisations and rightholders and without</u> the need to inform each rightholder individually. In order to ensure that rightholders can easily retain <u>regain</u> control of their works, and prevent any uses of their works that would be prejudicial to their interests, rightholders must be given an effective opportunity to exclude the application of such mechanisms to their works or other subject-matter for all uses and works or other subject-matter, or for specific uses and works or other subject-matter, <u>at any time including before the conclusion of a licence and or under the duration during the term of the licence.</u> In such cases, any ongoing uses should be terminated within a reasonable period. <u>Such exclusion by the rightholders should not affect their claims to receive remuneration for the actual</u></p>
--	--	--	--	--

				<p><u>use of the work or other subject-matter under the licence.</u> Member States may also decide that additional measures are appropriate to protect rightholders. <u>This could include, for example, encouraging the exchange of information among collective management organisations and other interested parties across the Union to raise awareness about these mechanisms and the rightholders' possibility to exclude their works or other subject-matter from them.</u></p> <p>(= wording of WK 15203/18)</p>
62.			<p><u>(28g) Member States should ensure that the purpose and scope of any licence granted as a result of these mechanisms, as well as the possible users, should always be carefully and clearly defined in national legislation or, if the underlying legislation is a general provision, in the licensing practices applied as a result of such general provisions, or</u></p>	<p>(28g) Member States should ensure that the purpose and scope of any licence granted as a result of these mechanisms, as well as the possible users, should always be carefully and clearly defined in national legislation or, if the underlying legislation is a general provision, in the licensing practices applied as a result of such general provisions, or in the licences</p>

			<p><u>in the licences granted. The ability to operate a licence under these mechanisms should also be limited to organisations which are either owned or controlled by their right holder members or which operate on a not for profit basis, regulated by national law implementing Directive 2014/26/EU.</u></p>	<p>granted. The ability to operate a licence under these mechanisms should also be limited to <u>collective rights management</u> organisations which are either owned or controlled by their right holder members or which operate on a not for profit basis, regulated by national law implementing <u>which are subject to national law implementing</u> Directive 2014/26/EU.</p> <p>(= wording of WK 15203/18)</p>
63.			<p><u>(28h) Given the different traditions and experiences with extended collective licensing across Member States and their applicability to rightholders irrespective of their nationality or their Member State of residence, it is important to ensure transparency and dialogue at Union level about the practical functioning of these mechanisms, including as regards the effectiveness of safeguards for rightholders, their usability and the potential need to lay down</u></p>	<p>(28h) Given the different traditions and experiences with extended collective licensing across Member States and their applicability to rightholders irrespective of their nationality or their Member State of residence, it is important to ensure transparency and dialogue at Union level about the practical functioning of these mechanisms, including as regards the effectiveness of safeguards for rightholders, their usability, <u>the effect on rightholders who are not members and/or who are</u></p>

			<p><u>rules to give such schemes cross-border effect within the internal market. To ensure transparency, information about the use of such mechanisms under this Directive should be regularly published by the Commission. Member States that have introduced such mechanisms should therefore inform the Commission about relevant national legislation and its application in practice, including scopes and types of licensing introduced on the basis of general legislation, the scale of licensing and the collective management organisations involved. Such information should be discussed with Member States in the contact committee referred to in Article 12(3) of Directive 2001/29) — On/EC. The Commission should publish a report by 31 December 2020 on the use of such mechanisms in the Union and their impact on licensing and rightholders.</u></p>	<p><u>nationals of, or resident in, another Member State, the impact on the cross border provision of services, and including</u> the potential need to lay down rules to give such schemes cross-border effect within the internal market. To ensure transparency, information about the use of such mechanisms under this Directive should be regularly published by the Commission. Member States that have introduced such mechanisms should therefore inform the Commission about relevant national legislation and its application in practice, including scopes and types of licensing introduced on the basis of general legislation, the scale of licensing and the collective management organisations involved. Such information should be discussed with Member States in the contact committee referred to in Article 12(3) of Directive 2001/29) — On/EC. The Commission should publish a report by 31</p>
--	--	--	---	---

				<p>December 2020 <u>April 2021</u> on the use of such mechanisms in the Union and their impact on licensing and rightholders, <u>on the dissemination of cultural content and on the cross-border provision of services in the area of collective management of copyright and related rights, and competition.</u></p> <p>(= wording of WK 15203/18)</p>
64.		<p><i>(28a) In order to ensure that the licensing mechanisms established for out-of- commerce works are relevant and function properly, that rightholders are adequately protected under those mechanisms, that licences are properly publicised and that legal clarity is ensured with regard to the representativeness of collective management organisations and the categorisation of works, Member States should foster sector-specific stakeholder dialogue.</i></p>		<p><i>[EP proposal covered as recital -28a in line 55]</i></p> <p><i>[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]</i></p>

65.	(29) On-demand services have the potential to play a decisive role in the dissemination of European works across the European Union. However, agreements on the online exploitation of such works may face difficulties related to the licensing of rights. Such issues may, for instance, appear when the holder of the rights for a given territory is not interested in the online exploitation of the work or where there are issues linked to the windows of exploitation.	(29) On-demand services have the potential to play a decisive role in the dissemination of European works across the European Union. However, agreements on the online exploitation of such works may face difficulties related to the licensing of rights. Such issues may, for instance, appear when the holder of the rights for a given territory is not interested in the online exploitation of the work or where there are issues linked to the windows of exploitation.	(29) <u>Video</u> -on-demand services have the potential to play a decisive role in the dissemination of European audiovisual works across the European Union. However, agreements <u>the availability of those works, in particular European works, on video-on-demand services remains limited. Agreements</u> on the online exploitation of such works may <u>be difficult to conclude due to issues</u> face difficulties related to the licensing of rights. Such issues may, for instance, appear when the holder of the rights for a given territory is not interested in the <u>has low economic incentive to exploit a work</u> online exploitation of the work or where there are issues <u>and does not license or holds back the online rights, which can lead to the unavailability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand services. Other issues may be</u> linked to the windows of exploitation.	(29) Video-on-demand services have the potential to play a decisive role in the dissemination of audiovisual works across the-European Union. However, the availability of those works, in particular European works, on video-on-demand services remains limited. Agreements on the online exploitation of such works may be difficult to conclude due to issues related to the licensing of rights. Such issues may, for instance, appear when the holder of the rights for a given territory has low economic incentive to exploit a work online and does not license or holds back the online rights, which can lead to the unavailability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand services. Other issues may be linked to the windows of exploitation. <i>[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]</i>
66.	(30) To facilitate the licensing	(30) To facilitate the licensing	(30) To facilitate the licensing	(30) To facilitate the licensing

<p>of rights in audiovisual works to video-on-demand platforms, this Directive requires Member States to set up a negotiation mechanism allowing parties willing to conclude an agreement to rely on the assistance of an impartial body. The body should meet with the parties and help with the negotiations by providing professional and external advice. Against that background, Member States should decide on the conditions of the functioning of the negotiation mechanism, including the timing and duration of the assistance to negotiations and the bearing of the costs. Member States should ensure that administrative and financial burdens remain proportionate to guarantee the efficiency of the negotiation forum.</p>	<p>of rights in audiovisual works to video-on-demand platforms, this Directive requires Member States to <i>should</i> set up a negotiation mechanism, <i>managed by an existing or newly established national body</i>, allowing parties willing to conclude an agreement to rely on the assistance of an impartial body. <i>The participation in this negotiation mechanism and the subsequent conclusion of agreements should be voluntary. Where a negotiation involves parties from different Member States, those parties should agree beforehand on the competent Member State, should they decide to rely on the negotiation mechanism.</i> The body should meet with the parties and help with the negotiations by providing professional, <i>impartial</i> and external advice. Against that background, Member States should decide on the conditions of the functioning of the negotiation mechanism, including the timing and</p>	<p>of rights in audiovisual works to video-on-demand platforms services, this Directive requires Member States to set up <u>provide for</u> a negotiation mechanism allowing parties willing to conclude an agreement to rely on the assistance of an impartial body. The body <u>or of one or more mediators. For that purpose, Member States may either create a new body or rely on an existing one that fulfils the conditions established by this Directive. Member States may designate one or more competent bodies or mediators. The body or the mediators</u> should meet with the parties and help with the negotiations by providing professional and external advice. <u>The body or the mediators could meet with the parties to facilitate the start of negotiations or in the course of the negotiations to facilitate the conclusion of an agreement. The use of and the participation in the negotiation mechanism</u></p>	<p>of rights in audiovisual works to video-on-demand services, this Directive requires Member States to provide for a negotiation mechanism allowing parties willing to conclude an agreement to rely on the assistance of an impartial body or of one or more mediators. For that purpose, Member States may either create a new body or rely on an existing one that fulfils the conditions established by this Directive. Member States may designate one or more competent bodies or mediators. The body or the mediators should meet with the parties and help with the negotiations by providing professional, impartial and external advice. Where a negotiation involves parties from different Member States, those parties should agree beforehand on the competent Member State, should they decide to rely on the negotiation mechanism. The body or the mediators could meet with the parties to facilitate the start of</p>
--	---	---	---

		<p>duration of the assistance to negotiations and the bearing division of the any costs <i>arising, and the composition of such bodies</i>. Member States should ensure that administrative and financial burdens remain proportionate to guarantee the efficiency of the negotiation forum.</p>	<p><u>should remain voluntary and should not affect the parties' contractual freedom.</u> Against that background, Member States should <u>be free to</u> decide on the conditions of the<u>concrete</u> functioning of the negotiation mechanism, including the timing and duration of the assistance to negotiations and the bearing of the costs. Member States should ensure that administrative and financial burdens remain proportionate to guarantee the efficiency of the negotiation forum<u>mechanism</u>.</p>	<p>negotiations or in the course of the negotiations to facilitate the conclusion of an agreement. The participation in this negotiation mechanism and the subsequent conclusion of agreements should be voluntary and should not affect the parties' contractual freedom. Against that background, Member States should be free to decide on the concrete functioning of the negotiation mechanism, including the timing and duration of the assistance to negotiations and the bearing of the costs. Member States should ensure that administrative and financial burdens remain proportionate to guarantee the efficiency of the negotiation mechanism.</p> <p><i>[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]</i></p>
67.		<p><i>(30a) The preservation of the Union's heritage is of the utmost importance and should be strengthened for the benefit of future generations. This should be achieved notably</i></p>		

		<p><i>through the protection of published heritage. To this end, a Union legal deposit should be created in order to ensure that publications concerning the Union, such as Union law, Union history and integration, Union policy and Union democracy, institutional and parliamentary affairs, and politics, and, thereby, the Union's intellectual record and future published heritage, are collected systematically. Not only should such heritage be preserved through the creation of a Union archive for publications dealing with Union-related matters, but it should also be made available to Union citizens and future generations. The European Parliament Library, as the Library of the only Union institution directly representing Union citizens, should be designated as the Union depository library. In order not to create an excessive burden on publishers, printers and importers, only electronic publications, such as e-books,</i></p>		
--	--	---	--	--

		<p><i>e-journals and e-magazines should be deposited in the European Parliament Library, which should make available for readers publications covered by the Union legal deposit at the European Parliament Library for the purpose of research or study and under the control of the European Parliament Library. Such publications should not be made available online externally.</i></p>		
68.	<p>(31) A free and pluralist press is essential to ensure quality journalism and citizens' access to information. It provides a fundamental contribution to public debate and the proper functioning of a democratic society. In the transition from print to digital, publishers of press publications are facing problems in licensing the online use of their publications and recouping their investments. In the absence of recognition of publishers of press publications as rightholders, licensing and enforcement in the digital</p>	<p>(31) A free and pluralist press is essential to ensure quality journalism and citizens' access to information. It provides a fundamental contribution to public debate and the proper functioning of a democratic society. <i>The increasing imbalance between powerful platforms and press publishers, which can also be news agencies, has already led to a remarkable regression of the media landscape on a regional level.</i> In the transition from print to digital, publishers <i>and news agencies</i> of press</p>	<p>(31) A free and pluralist press is essential to ensure quality journalism and citizens' access to information. It provides a fundamental contribution to public debate and the proper functioning of a democratic society. In the transition from print to digital, publishers <u>The wide availability of press publications online has given rise to the emergence of new online services, such as news aggregators or media monitoring services, for which the reuse of press publications constitutes an</u></p>	

	environment is often complex and inefficient.	publications are facing problems in licensing the online use of their publications and recouping their investments. In the absence of recognition of publishers of press publications as rightholders, licensing and enforcement in the digital environment is often complex and inefficient.	<u>important part of their business models and a source of revenues. Publishers</u> of press publications are facing problems in licensing the online use of their publications and recouping <u>to the providers of these kind of services, making it more difficult for them to recoup</u> their investments. In the absence of recognition of publishers of press publications as rightholders, licensing and enforcement <u>of rights in press publications regarding online uses by information society service providers</u> in the digital environment is <u>are</u> often complex and inefficient.	
69.	(32) The organisational and financial contribution of publishers in producing press publications needs to be recognised and further encouraged to ensure the sustainability of the publishing industry. It is therefore necessary to provide at Union level a harmonised legal protection for press publications in respect of digital uses. Such	(32) The organisational and financial contribution of publishers in producing press publications needs to be recognised and further encouraged to ensure the sustainability of the publishing industry <i>and thereby to guarantee the availability of reliable information.</i> It is therefore necessary <i>for Member States</i> to provide at Union level	(32) The organisational and financial contribution of publishers in producing press publications needs to be recognised and further encouraged to ensure the sustainability of the publishing industry. It is therefore necessary to provide at Union level a harmonised legal legal <u>le-gal</u> protection for press publications in respect of	(32)

	<p>protection should be effectively guaranteed through the introduction, in Union law, of rights related to copyright for the reproduction and making available to the public of press publications in respect of digital uses.</p>	<p>a harmonised legal protection for press publications in respect of of the Union for digital uses. Such protection should be effectively guaranteed through the introduction, in Union law, of rights related to copyright for the reproduction and making available to the public of press publications in respect of digital uses <i>in order to obtain fair and proportionate remuneration for such uses. Private uses should be excluded from this reference. In addition, the listing in a search engine should not be considered as fair and proportionate remuneration.</i></p>	<p>digital<u>online</u> uses <u>by information society service providers, leaving unaffected current copyright rules in Union law applicable to uses of press publications by other users, including individual users</u>. Such protection should be effectively guaranteed through the introduction, in Union law, of rights related to copyright for the reproduction and making available to the public of press publications in respect of digital <u>uses published by publishers established in a Member State in respect of online uses by information society service providers within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council.¹² The legal protection for press publications provided for by this directive should only benefit publishers established in a Member State in the meaning of the Treaty of the functioning of the European</u></p>	
--	---	--	--	--

¹² Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 September 2015 laying down a procedure for the provision of information in the field of technical regulations and of rules on Information Society services (OJ L 241, 17.9.2015, p. 1–15).

			<p><u>Union, i.e. when they have their registered office, central administration or principal place of business within the Union.</u></p>	<p><i>[following addition was provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i></p> <p><i>The concept of publisher of press publications should be understood as covering service providers, such as news publishers or news agencies, when they publish press publications within the meaning of this Directive.</i></p>
70.	(33) For the purposes of this Directive, it is necessary to define the concept of press publication in a way that embraces only journalistic publications, published by a service provider, periodically or regularly updated in any media,	(33) For the purposes of this Directive, it is necessary to define the concept of press publication in a way that embraces only journalistic publications, published by a service provider, periodically or regularly updated in any media,	(33) For the purposes of this Directive, it is necessary to define the concept of press publication in a way that embraces only journalistic publications, published by a service provider, periodically or regularly updated in any media,	(33) For the purposes of this Directive, it is necessary to define the concept of press publications <u>so that it only covers</u> journalistic publications, published in any media, including on paper, in the context of an economic activity

<p>for the purpose of informing or entertaining. Such publications would include, for instance, daily newspapers, weekly or monthly magazines of general or special interest and news websites. Periodical publications which are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, should not be covered by the protection granted to press publications under this Directive. This protection does not extend to acts of hyperlinking which do not constitute communication to the public.</p>	<p>for the purpose of informing or entertaining. Such publications would include, for instance, daily newspapers, weekly or monthly magazines of general or special interest and news websites. Periodical publications which are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, should not be covered by the protection granted to press publications under this Directive. This protection does not extend to acts of hyperlinking which do not constitute communication to the public. <i>The protection shall also not extend to factual information which is reported in journalistic articles from a press publication and will therefore not prevent anyone from reporting such factual information.</i></p>	<p>for the purpose of informing or entertaining <u>in any media, including on paper, in the context of an economic activity which constitutes a provision of services under Union law. The press publications to be covered are those whose purpose is to inform the general public and which are periodically or regularly updated.</u> Such publications would include, for instance, daily newspapers, weekly or monthly magazines of general or special interest and news websites. <u>Press publications contain mostly literary works but increasingly include other types of works and subject-matter, notably photographs and videos.</u> Periodical publications which are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, should not be covered by the protection granted to press publications under this Directive. This protection does not extend to acts of hyperlinking which do not</p>	<p>which constitutes a provision of services under Union law. The press publications to be covered would include, for instance, daily newspapers, weekly or monthly magazines of general or special interest, <u>including subscription based magazines,</u> and news websites. Press publications contain mostly literary works but increasingly include other types of works and subject-matter, notably photographs and videos. Periodical publications published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, should not be covered by the protection granted to press publications under this Directive. <u>Neither should this protection apply to websites, such as blogs, that provide information as part of an activity which is not carried out under the initiative, editorial responsibility and control of service provider, such as a news publisher.</u></p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at TM on</i></p>
--	--	---	--

			constitute communication to the public.	<i>04/12/2018, to be confirmed by trilogue]</i>
71.	(34) The rights granted to the publishers of press publications under this Directive should have the same scope as the rights of reproduction and making available to the public provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC, insofar as digital uses are concerned. They should also be subject to the same provisions on exceptions and limitations as those applicable to the rights provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC including the exception on quotation for purposes such as criticism or review laid down in Article 5(3)(d) of that Directive.	(34) The rights granted to the publishers of press publications under this Directive should have the same scope as the rights of reproduction and making available to the public provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC, insofar as digital uses are concerned. <i>They Member States should also be able to</i> subject <i>those rights</i> to the same provisions on exceptions and limitations as those applicable to the rights provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC including the exception on quotation for purposes such as criticism or review laid down in Article 5(3)(d) of that Directive.	(34) The rights granted to the publishers of press publications under this Directive should have the same scope as the rights of reproduction and making available to the public provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC, insofar as digital <u>online</u> uses are concerned. <u>by information society service providers are concerned. They should not extend to acts of hyperlinking when they do not constitute communication to the public.</u> They should also be subject to the same provisions on exceptions and limitations as those applicable to the rights provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC, including the exception on quotation for purposes such as criticism or review laid down in Article 5(3)(d) of that Directive.	
72.			<u>(34a) Uses of press publications by information society service providers can consist of the use of entire</u>	

			<p><u>publications or articles but also of parts of press publications. Such uses of parts of press publications have also gained economic relevance. At the same time, where such parts are insubstantial, the use thereof by information society service providers may not undermine the investments made by publishers of press publications in the production of content. Furthermore, insubstantial parts of press publications are not usually the expression of the intellectual creation of their authors, in accordance with the case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union. Therefore, it is appropriate to provide that the use of insubstantial parts of press publications should not fall within the scope of the rights provided for in this Directive. To determine the insubstantial nature of parts of press publications for the purposes of this Directive, Member States may take into</u></p>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<u>account whether these parts are the expression of the intellectual creation of their authors or whether these parts are limited to individual words or very short excerpts, without independent economic significance, or both criteria.</u>	
73.	(35) The protection granted to publishers of press publications under this Directive should not affect the rights of the authors and other rightholders in the works and other subject-matter incorporated therein, including as regards the extent to which authors and other rightholders can exploit their works or other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated. Therefore, publishers of press publications should not be able to invoke the protection granted to them against authors and other rightholders. This is without prejudice to contractual arrangements concluded between the publishers of press publications, on the one side,	(35) The protection granted to publishers of press publications under this Directive should not affect the rights of the authors and other rightholders in the works and other subject-matter incorporated therein, including as regards the extent to which authors and other rightholders can exploit their works or other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated. Therefore, publishers of press publications should not be able to invoke the protection granted to them against authors and other rightholders. This is without prejudice to contractual arrangements concluded between the publishers of press publications, on the one side,	(35) The protection granted to publishers of press publications under this Directive should not affect the rights of the authors and other rightholders in the works and other subject-matter incorporated therein, including as regards the extent to which authors and other rightholders can exploit their works or other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated. Therefore, publishers of press publications should not be able to invoke the protection granted to them against authors and other rightholders- <u>or against other authorised users of the same works and other subject-matter.</u> This is without prejudice to contractual	

	<p>and authors and other rightholders, on the other side.</p>	<p>and authors and other rightholders, on the other side. <i>Notwithstanding the fact that authors of the works incorporated in a press publication receive an appropriate reward for the use of their works on the basis of the terms for licensing of their work to the press publisher, authors whose work is incorporated in a press publication should be entitled to an appropriate share of the new additional revenues press publishers receive for certain types of secondary use of their press publications by information society service providers in respect of the rights provided for in Article 11(1) of this Directive. The amount of the compensation attributed to the authors should take into account the specific industry licensing standards regarding works incorporated in a press publication which are accepted as appropriate in the respective Member State; and the compensation attributed to</i></p>	<p>arrangements concluded between the publishers of press publications, on the one side, and authors and other rightholders, on the other side.</p>	
--	---	---	---	--

		<i>authors should not affect the licensing terms agreed between the author and the press publisher for the use of the author's article by the press publisher.</i>		
74.	(36) Publishers, including those of press publications, books or scientific publications, often operate on the basis of the transfer of authors' rights by means of contractual agreements. In this context, publishers make an investment with a view to the exploitation of the works contained in their publications and may in some instances be deprived of revenues where such works are used under exceptions or limitations such as the ones for private copying and reprography. In a number of Member States compensation for uses under those exceptions is shared between authors and publishers. In order to take account of this situation and improve legal certainty for all concerned parties, Member States should be allowed to	(36) Publishers, including those of press publications, books or scientific publications; often and music publications , operate on the basis of the transfer of authors' rights by means of contractual agreements with authors . In this context, publishers make an investment and acquire rights, in some fields including rights to claim a share of compensation within joint collective management organisations of authors and publishers , with a view to the exploitation of the works contained in their publications and may in some instances be therefore also find themselves being deprived of revenues where such works are used under exceptions or limitations such as the ones for private copying and reprography. In a	(36) Publishers, including those of press publications, books or scientific publications, often operate on the basis of the transfer of authors' rights by means of contractual agreements or statutory provisions. In this context, publishers make an investment with a view to the exploitation of the works contained in their publications and may in some instances be deprived of revenues where such works are used under exceptions or limitations, such as the ones for private copying and reprography-, <u>including the corresponding existing national schemes for reprography in the Member States, or under public lending schemes.</u> In a number of Member States <u>the compensation or remuneration</u>	(36) Publishers, including those of press publications, books or scientific publications and music publications, often operate on the basis of the transfer of authors' rights by means of contractual agreements or statutory provisions. In this context, publishers make an investment with a view to the exploitation of the works contained in their publications and may in some instances be deprived of revenues where such works are used under exceptions or limitations, such as the ones for private copying and reprography, including the corresponding existing national schemes for reprography in the Member States, or under public lending schemes. In several Member States compensation for uses under

<p>determine that, when an author has transferred or licensed his rights to a publisher or otherwise contributes with his works to a publication and there are systems in place to compensate for the harm caused by an exception or limitation, publishers are entitled to claim a share of such compensation, whereas the burden on the publisher to substantiate his claim should not exceed what is required under the system in place.</p>	<p><i>large</i> number of Member States compensation for uses under those exceptions is shared between authors and publishers. In order to take account of this situation and to improve legal certainty for all concerned parties, Member States should be allowed to determine that, when an author has transferred or licensed his rights to a publisher or otherwise contributes with his works to a publication and there are systems in place to compensate for the harm caused by an exception or limitation, publishers are entitled to claim a share of such compensation, whereas the burden on the publisher to substantiate his claim should not exceed what is required under the system in place. <i>provide an equivalent compensation-sharing system if such a system was in operation in that Member State before 12 November 2015. The share between authors and publishers of such compensation could be set in the internal distribution rules</i></p>	<p>for <u>such</u> uses under those exceptions is shared between authors and publishers. In order to take account of this situation and improve legal certainty for all concerned parties, Member States should be allowed but not obliged to determine that, when an author has transferred or licensed his rights to a publisher <u>or a collective management organisation that jointly represents authors and publishers</u> or otherwise contributes with his works to a publication and there are systems in place to compensate for the harm caused by an exception or limitation, publishers are entitled to claim a share of such compensation, whereas. <u>The same possibility should exist for remuneration for public lending, while Member States should remain free to decide not to provide publishers with such remuneration. Member States should remain free to determine</u> the burden on the publisher to substantiate his claim should not exceed what is</p>	<p>those exceptions is shared between authors and publishers. In order to take account of this situation and to improve legal certainty for all concerned parties, this Directive allows Member States that have in place existing schemes for the sharing of compensation between authors and publishers to maintain them.</p> <p>This is particularly important to those Member States that had such compensation-sharing mechanisms before 12 November 2015 <i>although in other Member States, compensation is not shared and solely due to authors in accordance with national cultural policies. While this Directive should apply in a non-discriminatory way to all Member States, it should respect the traditions in this area and not oblige those Member States that do not currently have such compensation-sharing schemes to introduce them. It</i></p>
---	---	--	---

		<p><i>of the collective management organisation acting jointly on behalf of authors and publishers, or set by Member States in law or regulation, in accordance with the equivalent system that was in operation in that Member State before 12 November 2015. This provision is without prejudice to the arrangements in the Member States concerning public lending rights, the management of rights not based on exceptions or limitations to copyright, such as extended collective licensing schemes, or concerning remuneration rights on the basis of national law.</i></p>	<p>required under<u>for the system</u><u>compensation or remuneration and to lay down the conditions as to the sharing of this compensation or remuneration between authors and publishers</u> in place<u>accordance with their national systems.</u></p>	<p><i>should not affect existing and future arrangements in Member States regarding remuneration in the context of public lending. It should also leave untouched national arrangements related to the management of rights and to remuneration rights, provided that they comply with Union law.</i></p> <p>All Member States should be allowed but not obliged to determine that, when an author has transferred or licensed his rights to a publisher or otherwise contributes with his works to a publication and there are systems in place to compensate for the harm caused to them by an exception or limitation, including through collective management organisations that jointly represent authors and publishers, publishers are entitled to a share of such compensation.</p> <p>Member States should remain free to determine the burden on the publisher to substantiate his</p>
--	--	--	---	---

				<p>claim for the compensation or remuneration and to lay down the conditions as to the sharing of this compensation or remuneration between authors and publishers in accordance with their national systems.</p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at TM 04/12/2018 to be confirmed at trilogue]</i></p>
75.		<p><i>(36 a) Cultural and creative industries (CCIs) play a key role in reindustrialising Europe, are a driver for growth and are in a strategic position to trigger innovative spill-overs in other industrial sectors. Furthermore CCIs are a driving force for innovation and development of ICT in Europe. Cultural and creative industries in Europe provide more than 12 million full-time jobs, which amounts to 7,5 % of the Union's work force, creating approximately EUR 509 billion in value added to GDP (5,3 % of the EU's total GVA). The protection of</i></p>		

		<i>copyright and related rights are at the core of the CCI's revenue.</i>		
76.	(37) Over the last years, the functioning of the online content marketplace has gained in complexity. Online services providing access to copyright protected content uploaded by their users without the involvement of right holders have flourished and have become main sources of access to content online. This affects rightholders' possibilities to determine whether, and under which conditions, their work and other subject-matter are used as well as their possibilities to get an appropriate remuneration for it.	(37) Over the last years, the functioning of the online content marketplace marketplace market has gained in complexity. Online services providing access to copyright protected content uploaded by their users without the involvement of right holders have flourished and have become main sources of access to <i>copyright protected</i> content online. <i>Online services are means of providing wider access to cultural and creative works and offer great opportunities for cultural and creative industries to develop new business models. However, although they allow for diversity and ease of access to content, they also generate challenges when copyright protected content is uploaded without prior authorisation from rightholders.</i> This affects rightholders' possibilities to determine whether, and under which conditions, their work	(37) Over the last years, the functioning of the online content marketplace has gained in complexity. Online <u>content sharing</u> services providing access to <u>a large amount of</u> copyright-protected content uploaded by their users without the involvement of right holders have flourished <u>developed</u> and have become main sources of access to content online. <u>This Legal uncertainty exists as to whether such services engage in copyright relevant acts and need to obtain authorisations from rightholders for the content uploaded by their users who do not hold the relevant rights in the uploaded content, without prejudice to the application of exceptions and limitations provided for in Union Law. This situation</u> affects rightholders' possibilities to determine whether, and under which	

		<p>and other subject-matter are used as well as their possibilities to get an appropriate remuneration for it, <i>since some user uploaded content services do not enter into licensing agreements on the basis that they claim to be covered by the “safe-harbour” exemption set out in Directive 2000/31/EC.</i></p>	<p>conditions, their work and other subject-matter are <u>content is</u> used as well as their possibilities to get an appropriate remuneration for it. <u>It is therefore important to foster the development of the licensing market between rightholders and online content sharing service providers. These licensing agreements should be fair and keep a reasonable balance for both parties. Rightholders should receive an appropriate reward for the use of their works or other subject matter.</u></p>	
77.		<p><i>(37a) Certain information society services, as part of their normal use, are designed to give access to the public to copyright protected content or other subject-matter uploaded by their users. The definition of an online content sharing service provider under this Directive shall cover information society service providers one of the main purposes of which is to store</i></p>	<p><u>(37a) The definition of an online content sharing service provider under this Directive targets only online services which play an important role on the online content market by competing with other online content services, such as online audio and video streaming services, for the same audiences. The services covered by this intervention are those the main or one of</u></p>	

		<p><i>and give access to the public or to stream significant amounts of copyright protected content uploaded / made available by its users, and that optimise content, and promote for profit making purposes, including amongst others displaying, tagging, curating, sequencing, the uploaded works or other subject-matter, irrespective of the means used therefor, and therefore act in an active way. As a consequence, they cannot benefit from the liability exemption provided for in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC. The definition of online content sharing service providers under this Directive does not cover microenterprises and small sized enterprises within the meaning of Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC and service providers that act in a non-commercial purpose capacity such as online encyclopaedia, and providers of online services where the content is uploaded with the</i></p>	<p><u>the main purposes of which is to provide access to a large amount of copyright-protected content uploaded by their users with the purpose of obtaining profit therefrom, either directly or indirectly, by organising it and promoting it in order to attract more audiences. Organising and promoting content involves for example indexing the content, presenting it in a certain manner and categorising it, as well as using targeted promotion on it. The definition does not include services whose main purpose is not to provide access to copyright protected content with the purpose of obtaining profit from this activity. These include, for instance, electronic communication services within the meaning of Regulation 2015/2120/EU, including internet access providers, as well as providers of cloud services which allow users, to upload content for their own use,</u></p>	
--	--	--	--	--

		<p><i>authorisation of all right holders concerned, such as educational or scientific repositories. Providers of cloud services for individual use which do not provide direct access to the public, open source software developing platforms, and online market places whose main activity is online retail of physical goods, should not be considered online content sharing service providers within the meaning of this Directive.</i></p>	<p><u>such as cyberlockers, or online marketplaces whose main activity is online retail and not giving access to copyright protected content. Nor does this definition cover websites which store and provide access to content for non-for-profit purposes, such as online encyclopaedias, scientific or educational repositories or open source software developing platforms which do not store and give access to content for profit making purposes. In order to ensure the high level of copyright protection and to avoid the possible application of the liability exemption mechanism provided for in this Directive, this Directive should not apply to services the main purpose of which is to engage in or to facilitate copyright piracy.</u></p>	
78.			<p><u>(37b) The assessment of whether an online content sharing service provider stores and gives access to a large amount of copyright-</u></p>	

			<u>protected content needs to be made on a case-by-case basis and take account of a combination of elements, such as the audience of the service and the number of files of copyright-protected content uploaded by the users of the services.</u>	
79.	(38) Where information society service providers store and provide access to the public to copyright protected works or other subject-matter uploaded by their users, thereby going beyond the mere provision of physical facilities and performing an act of communication to the public, they are obliged to conclude licensing agreements with rightholders, unless they are eligible for the liability exemption provided in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹³ .	(38) Where information society service providers store and provide access to the public to copyright protected works or other subject-matter uploaded by their users, thereby going beyond the mere provision of physical facilities and performing perform an act of communication to the public; they are obliged to and therefore are responsible for their content and should therefore conclude fair and appropriate licensing agreements with rightholders; unless they are eligible for. Where licensing agreements are concluded, they should	(38) <u>This Directive clarifies under which conditions the</u> Where information society <u>online content sharing</u> service providers store and provide access to copyright protected works or other subject-matter uploaded by their users, thereby going beyond the mere provision of physical facilities and performing <u>are engaging in</u> an act of communication to the public <u>or making available to the public within the meaning of Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC</u> they are obliged to conclude licensing agreements with rightholders, unless they are eligible for the	

¹³ Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2000 on certain legal aspects of information society services, in particular electronic commerce, in the Internal Market (OJ L 178, 17.7.2000, p. 1–16).

		<p><i>also cover, to the same extent and scope, the liability exemption provided in of users when they are acting in a non-commercial capacity. In accordance with Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council 11(2a) the responsibility of online content sharing providers pursuant to Article 13 does not extend to acts of hyperlinking in respect of press publications. The dialogue between stakeholders is essential in the digital world. They should define best practices to ensure the functioning of licensing agreements and cooperation between online content sharing service providers and rightholders. Those best practices should take into account the extent of the copyright infringing content on the service.</i></p>	<p>liability exemption provided in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. <u>It does not change the concept of communication to the public or of making available to the public under Union law nor does it affect the possible application of Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC to other services using copyright-protected content.</u></p>	
80.	In respect of Article 14, it is necessary to verify whether the service provider plays an active role, including by optimising	<i>Deleted</i>	<i>Deleted, partly moved to recital (37a) Council's text – see row 77</i>	

	the presentation of the uploaded works or subject-matter or promoting them, irrespective of the nature of the means used therefor.			
81.	In order to ensure the functioning of any licensing agreement, information society service providers storing and providing access to the public to large amounts of copyright protected works or other subject-matter uploaded by their users should take appropriate and proportionate measures to ensure protection of works or other subject-matter, such as implementing effective technologies. This obligation should also apply when the information society service providers are eligible for the liability exemption provided in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC.	<i>Deleted</i>	<i>Deleted, partly moved to recital (38c) Council's text – see row 84</i>	
82.			<u>(38a)</u> [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital 38(b)] <u>When online content sharing service providers communicate to the public,</u>	

			<p><u>they should not benefit from the limited liability provided for in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC for the purposes of copyright relevant acts. This should not affect the possibility for the same online content sharing providers to benefit from such exemption of liability for other purposes than copyright when they are providing their services and host content at the request of their users in accordance with Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC.</u></p>	
83.			<p><u>(38b) [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital (38c)]</u></p> <p><u>Taking into account the fact that online content sharing service providers give access to content which is not uploaded by them but by their users, it is appropriate to provide that, for cases where no authorisation has been obtained by the services and, for the purpose of this Directive, they should not be liable for unauthorised acts in specific, well-defined</u></p>	

			<p><u>circumstances, when they demonstrate that they have acted in a diligent manner with the objective to prevent such unauthorised acts, without prejudice to remedies under national law for cases other than liability for copyright infringements and to the possibility for national courts or administrative authorities of issuing injunctions. In particular, they should not be liable if some unauthorised content is available on their services despite their best efforts to prevent its availability by applying effective and proportionate measures based on the information provided by rightholders. In addition, for the online content sharing service providers not to be liable, they should also in any case, upon notification by rightholders of specific unauthorised works or other subject-matter, act expeditiously to remove or disable access to these and</u></p>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<u>make their best efforts to prevent their future availability.</u>	
84.			<p><u>(38c) [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital (38ca)]</u></p> <p><u>Appropriate collaboration carried out in good faith between online content sharing service providers and rightholders is essential for the effective application of the measures by the online content sharing service providers. These service providers should be transparent towards rightholders with regard to the deployed measures. As different measures may be used by the online content sharing service providers, they should provide rightholders with appropriate information on the type of measures used and the way they operate, including for example information on the success rate of the measures. Such information should be sufficiently specific to provide</u></p>	

			<p><u>enough transparency for rightholders and allow cooperation to ensure effective functioning of the measures, without prejudice to the business secrets of service providers. Service providers should however not be required to provide rightholders with detailed and individualised information for each work and other subject matter identified. This is without prejudice to contractual arrangements, which may contain more specific provisions on the information to be provided where agreements are concluded between service providers and rightholders. On the other hand, rightholders should provide the service providers with necessary and relevant data for the application of the measures to their specific unauthorised works or other subject matter taking also into account the size of rightholders and the type of their works and other</u></p>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<p><u>subject matter. As long as no data for the application of the measures or no notification concerning removal or disabling access to specific unauthorised works or other subject matter has been provided by rightholders and, as a result, online content sharing service providers cannot take the measures or expeditious action as set out in this Directive, these service providers should not be liable for unauthorised acts of communication to the public or of making available to the public.</u></p>	
85.			<p><u>(38d) Where online content sharing service providers obtain authorisations, including via licensing agreements, for the use on the service of content uploaded by the users of the services, these authorisations should also cover the copyright relevant acts in respect of uploads by the users but only in cases where the users act in their private capacity and for</u></p>	

			<p><u>non-commercial purposes, such as sharing their content without any profit making purpose.</u></p> <p><u>(38e) The measures taken by the online content sharing service providers to prevent the availability of unauthorised works or other subject-matter should be effective but remain proportionate, in particular with regard to the size of the online content sharing service provider. While this Directive is expected to foster the development of effective technologies on the market, the availability of the measures may differ according to the type of content for which the measures are applied. Having regard to the technological developments in line with industry best practices, those measures should consequently ensure a level of efficiency appropriate to the amount and the type of works or other subject matter uploaded by the users of the</u></p>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<p><u>services. For the purposes of assessing the proportionality of measures to be taken by the online content sharing service providers, the state of the art of existing technologies for the different types of content as well as the size of the services should be taken into account notably whether they are small and micro enterprises. Different measures may be appropriate and proportionate per type of content and it is therefore not excluded that in some cases unauthorised content may only be avoided upon notification of rightholders. The measures should be proportionate in order to avoid imposing disproportionately complicated or costly obligations on certain online content sharing service providers, taking into account notably their small size. In particular, small and micro enterprises as defined in Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation</u></p>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<p><u>2003/361/EC, should be expected to be subject to less burdensome obligations than larger service providers. Therefore, taking into account the state of the art and the availability of technologies and their costs, in specific cases it may not be proportionate to expect small and micro enterprises to apply preventive measures and that therefore in such cases these enterprises should only be expected to expeditiously remove specific unauthorised works and other subject matter upon notification by rightholders.</u></p>	
86.	<p>(39) Collaboration between information society service providers storing and providing access to the public to large amounts of copyright protected works or other subject-matter uploaded by their users and rightholders is essential for the functioning of technologies, such as content recognition technologies. In such cases,</p>	<p>(39) Collaboration between information society Member States should provide that where right holders do not wish to conclude licensing agreements, online content sharing service providers storing and providing access to the public to large amounts of copyright and right holders should cooperate in good faith</p>	<p><u>(39)</u> <i>Moved up to recital (38c)[which was recital (38ca) in ST 9134/18]</i></p>	

	<p>rightholders should provide the necessary data to allow the services to identify their content and the services should be transparent towards rightholders with regard to the deployed technologies, to allow the assessment of their appropriateness. The services should in particular provide rightholders with information on the type of technologies used, the way they are operated and their success rate for the recognition of rightholders' content. Those technologies should also allow rightholders to get information from the information society service providers on the use of their content covered by an agreement.</p>	<p><i>in order to ensure that unauthorised</i> protected works or other subject matter uploaded by, are not available on their users and rightholders is essential for the functioning of technologies, such as content recognition technologies. In such cases, rightholders should provide the necessary data to allow the services. Cooperation between online to identify their content and the services should be transparent towards rightholders with regard to the deployed technologies, to allow the assessment of their appropriateness. The services should in particular provide rightholders with information on the type of technologies used, the way they are operated and their success rate for the recognition of rightholders' content. Those technologies should also allow rightholders to get information from the information society service providers on the use of their content <i>and right holders should not lead to preventing the availability of non-</i></p>		
--	---	--	--	--

		<i>infringing works or other protected subject matter, including those covered by an agreement exception or limitation to copyright.</i>		
87.		<i>(39a) Members States should ensure that online content sharing service providers referred to in paragraph 1 put in place effective and expeditious complaints and redress mechanisms that are available to users in case the cooperation referred to in paragraph 2a leads to unjustified removals of their content. Any complaint filed under such mechanisms should be processed without undue delay. Right holders should reasonably justify their decisions to avoid arbitrary dismissal of complaints. Moreover, in accordance with Directive 95/46/EC, Directive 2002/58/EC and the General Data Protection Regulation, the cooperation should not lead to any identification of individual users nor the</i>	<u>(39a) [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital (39b)]</u> <u>The measures taken by the online content sharing service providers should be without prejudice to the application of exceptions and limitations to copyright, including in particular those which guarantee the freedom of expression of users. For that purpose the service providers should put in place mechanisms allowing users to complain about the blocking or removal of uploaded content that could benefit from an exception or limitation to copyright. Replies to the users' complaints should be provided in a timely manner. To make these mechanisms function, cooperation from</u>	

		<i>processing of their personal data. Member States should also ensure that users have access to an independent body for the resolution of disputes as well as to a court or another relevant judicial authority to assert the use of an exception or limitation to copyright rules.</i>	<u>rightholders is needed, in particular with regard to the assessment of the complaints submitted and justifications for the removal of users' content. Member States should remain free to put in place independent authorities for assessing the complaints submitted by users and making decisions on their validity. The redress mechanism should be without prejudice to the right of the parties to take action before a court.</u>	
88.		<i>(39b) As soon as possible after the entry into force of this Directive, the Commission and the Member States should organise dialogues between stakeholders to harmonise and to define best practices. They should issue guidance to ensure the functioning of licensing agreements and on cooperation between online content sharing service providers and right holders for the use of their works or other subject matter within the</i>	<u>(39b) [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital (39c)]</u> <u>In order to foster best practices with regard to the measures to be taken by online content sharing service providers to avoid liability for unauthorised copyright acts, stakeholder dialogues should be encouraged by the Member States and the Commission. In order to give more clarity to the parties some guidance should also be provided by the Commission</u>	

		<p><i>meaning of this Directive. When defining best practices, special account should be taken of fundamental rights, the use of exceptions and limitations. Special focus should also be given to ensuring that the burden on SMEs remains appropriate and that automated blocking of content is avoided.</i></p>	<p><u>on the implementation of the measures including as to which measures could be considered to be proportionate for different types of content. For the purposes of the guidance the Commission should consult relevant stakeholders, including user organisations and technology providers, and take into account the developments on the market.</u></p>	
89.		<p><i>(39c) Member States should ensure that an intermediate mechanism exists enabling service providers and rightholders to find an amicable solution to any dispute arising from the terms of their cooperation agreements. To that end, Member States should appoint an impartial body with all the relevant competence and experience necessary to assist the parties in the resolution of their dispute.</i></p>		
90.		<p><i>(39d) As a principle, rightholders should always receive fair and appropriate</i></p>		

		<p><i>remuneration. Authors and performers who have concluded contracts with intermediaries, such as labels and producers, should receive fair and appropriate remuneration from them, either through individual agreements and/ or collective bargaining agreements, collective management agreements or rules having a similar effect, for example joint remuneration rules. This remuneration should be mentioned explicitly in the contracts according to each mode of exploitation, including online exploitation. Members States should look into the specificities of each sector and should be allowed to provide that remuneration is deemed fair and appropriate if it is determined in accordance with the collective bargaining or joint remuneration agreement.</i></p>		
91.	(40) Certain rightholders such as authors and performers need information to assess the economic value of their rights	(40) Certain rightholders such as authors and performers need information to assess the economic value of their rights	(40) Certain rightholders such as authors Authors and performers need information to assess the economic value of	(40) Certain rightholders such as authors Authors and performers need information to assess the economic value of

<p>which are harmonised under Union law. This is especially the case where such rightholders grant a licence or a transfer of rights in return for remuneration. As authors and performers tend to be in a weaker contractual position when they grant licences or transfer their rights, they need information to assess the continued economic value of their rights, compared to the remuneration received for their licence or transfer, but they often face a lack of transparency. Therefore, the sharing of adequate information by their contractual counterparts or their successors in title is important for the transparency and balance in the system that governs the remuneration of authors and performers.</p>	<p>which are harmonised under Union law. This is especially the case where such rightholders grant a licence or a transfer of rights in return for remuneration. As authors and performers tend to be in a weaker contractual position when they grant licences or transfer their rights, they need information to assess the continued economic value of their rights, compared to the remuneration received for their licence or transfer, but they often face a lack of transparency. Therefore, the sharing of adequate <i>comprehensive and relevant</i> information by their contractual counterparts or their successors in title is important for the transparency and balance in the system that governs the remuneration of authors and performers. <i>The information that authors and performers are entitled to expect should be proportionate and cover all modes of exploitation, direct and indirect revenue generated, including revenues</i></p>	<p>their rights which are harmonised under Union law. This is especially the case where such rightholders <u>natural persons</u> grant a licence or a transfer of rights <u>for the purposes of exploitation</u> in return for remuneration. <u>This need does not arise when the contractual counterpart acts as end user of the work and does not exploit the work or performance itself, which could among others be the case in some employment contracts. Additionally, this need does not arise when the exploitation has ceased, or when the author or performer has granted licence to the general public without remuneration.</u></p> <p><i>[Last two phrases of recital (40) of the COM proposal were moved to new recital (40a) of Council's text - see following row 92]</i></p>	<p>their rights which are harmonised under Union law. This is especially the case where such rightholders <u>natural persons</u> grant a licence or a transfer of rights <u>for the purposes of exploitation</u> in return for remuneration. <u>This need does not arise when the contractual counterpart acts as end of the work and does not exploit the work or performance itself, which could among others be the case in some employment contracts. Additionally, this need does not arise when the exploitation has ceased, or when the author or performer has granted licence to the general public without remuneration.</u></p> <p><i>[to be discussed further]</i></p>
---	--	---	--

		<p><i>from merchandising, and the remuneration due. The information on the exploitation should also include information about the identity of any sub-licensee or sub-transferee. The transparency obligation should nevertheless apply only where copyright relevant rights are concerned.</i></p> <p><i>[See Council's recital (40a) - row 92]</i></p>		
92.			<p>(40a) As authors and performers tend to be in a weaker contractual position when they grant licences or transfer their rights, they need information to assess the continued economic value of their rights, compared to the remuneration received for their licence or transfer, but they often face a lack of transparency. Therefore, the sharing of adequate information by their contractual counterparts or their successors in title is important for the transparency and balance in the system that governs the remuneration of authors and</p>	<p>(40a) As authors and performers tend to be in a weaker contractual position when they grant licences or transfer their rights, they need information to assess the continued economic value of their rights, compared to the remuneration received for their licence or transfer, but they often face a lack of transparency. Therefore, the sharing of adequate <i>and accurate</i> information by their contractual counterparts or their successors in title is important for the transparency and balance in the system that governs the remuneration of</p>

			<p>performers. <u>The information should be: timely to allow access to recent data; adequate to include information relevant to the exploitation of the work or performance in a manner that is comprehensible to the author or performer; and sufficient to assess the economic value of the rights in question. As long as exploitation is ongoing, contractual counterparts of authors and performers should provide information available to them on all modes of exploitation and on all relevant revenues with a regularity which is appropriate in the relevant sector, but at least annually. The processing of personal data, such as contact details and information on remuneration, that are necessary to keep authors and performers informed on the exploitation of their works and performances should be carried out by those who need to comply with the</u></p>	<p>authors and performers. The information should be: timely up-to-date to allow access to recent data; adequate to include information relevant to the exploitation of the work or performance in a manner that is comprehensible to the author or performer; and comprehensive to cover all sources of revenues relevant to the case, including, where applicable, merchandising revenues sufficient to assess the economic value of the rights in question. As long as exploitation is ongoing, contractual counterparts of authors and performers should provide information available to them on all modes of exploitation and on all relevant revenues <u>worldwide</u> with a regularity which is appropriate in the relevant sector, but at least annually. <u>The information should be provided in a manner that is comprehensible to the author or performer and it should allow the effective assessment of the economic</u></p>
--	--	--	---	---

			<p><u>transparency obligation on the basis of Article 6(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).</u></p>	<p><i>value of the rights in question. <u>The transparency obligation should nevertheless apply only where copyright relevant rights are concerned.</u></i> The processing of personal data, such as contact details and information on remuneration, that are necessary to keep authors and performers informed on the exploitation of their works and performances should be carried out by those who need to comply with the transparency obligation on the basis of Article 6(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).</p> <p><i>[to be discussed further]</i></p>
93.			<p><u>(40b) In order to ensure that exploitation-related information is duly provided to authors and performers</u></p>	<p>(40b) In order to ensure that exploitation-related information is duly provided to authors and performers</p>

			<p><u>also in cases where the rights have been sublicensed by the first contractor to other parties who exploit the rights, this Directive entitles authors and performers, in cases where the contractual partner has provided the information available to them, but the received information is not sufficient to assess the economic value of their rights, to request additional relevant information on the exploitation of the rights. This can be ensured either directly or through the contractual counterparts of authors and performers. Member States should have the option, in compliance with Union law, to provide for further measures through national provisions to ensure transparency for authors and performers.</u></p>	<p>also in cases where the rights have been sublicensed by the first contractor to other parties who exploit the rights, this Directive entitles authors and performers, in cases where the <i>first contractual counterpart</i> partner has provided the information available to them, but the received information is not sufficient to assess the economic value of their rights, to request additional relevant information on the exploitation of the rights. This can be ensured either directly <i>from sub-licensees</i> or through the contractual counterparts of authors and performers. <i>Authors and performers and their contractual counterparts may agree to keep the shared information confidential, but authors and performers should always have the possibility to use the shared information for exercising their rights under in this Directive.</i> Member States should have the option, in compliance with Union law, to provide for further</p>
--	--	--	---	---

				<p>measures through national provisions to ensure transparency for authors and performers.</p> <p><i>[to be discussed further]</i></p>
94.	<p>(41) When implementing transparency obligations, the specificities of different content sectors and of the rights of the authors and performers in each sector should be considered. Member States should consult all relevant stakeholders as that should help determine sector-specific requirements. Collective bargaining should be considered as an option to reach an agreement between the relevant stakeholders regarding transparency. To enable the adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency obligations, a transitional period should be provided for. The transparency obligations do not need to apply to agreements concluded with collective management organisations as those are already subject to</p>	<p>(41) When implementing transparency obligations, the specificities of different content sectors and of the rights of the authors and performers in each sector should be considered. Member States should consult all relevant stakeholders as that should help determine sector-specific requirements. Collective bargaining should be considered as an option to reach an agreement between the relevant stakeholders regarding transparency. To enable the adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency obligations, a transitional period should be provided for. The transparency obligations do not need to apply to agreements concluded with collective management organisations as those are already subject to</p>	<p>(41) When implementing transparency obligations, <u>Member States should take into account</u> the specificities of different content sectors and, <u>such as those</u> of the rights of the authors and performers in each music sector should be considered. Member States should consult, <u>the audiovisual sector and the publishing sector and</u> all relevant stakeholders as that should help determine <u>be involved when determining such</u> sector-specific requirements. <u>Where relevant, the significance of the contribution of authors and performers to the overall work or performance should also be considered.</u> Collective bargaining should be considered as an option to reach an agreement between the</p>	<p>(41) When implementing transparency obligations, <u>Member States should take into account</u> the specificities of different content sectors and, <u>such as those</u> of the rights of the authors and performers in each music sector should be considered. Member States should consult, <u>the audiovisual sector and the publishing sector and</u> all relevant stakeholders as that should help determine <u>be involved when determining such</u> sector-specific requirements. <u>Where relevant, the significance of the contribution of authors and performers to the overall work or performance should also be considered.</u> Collective bargaining should be considered as an option to reach an agreement between the</p>

	<p>transparency obligations under Directive 2014/26/EU.</p>	<p>transparency obligations under Directive 2014/26/EU.</p>	<p>relevant stakeholders regarding transparency- <u>which should ensure authors and performers the same or higher level of transparency as the minimum requirements provided for in this Directive.</u> To enable the adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency obligations, a transitional period should be provided for. The transparency obligations do not need to apply to agreements concluded with collective management organisations <u>and independent management entities or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU</u> as those are already subject to transparency obligations under Directive 2014/26/EU.</p>	<p>relevant stakeholders regarding transparency- <u>which should ensure authors and performers the same or higher level of transparency as the minimum requirements provided for in this Directive.</u> To enable the adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency obligations, a transitional period should be provided for. The transparency obligations do not need to apply <i>in respect of</i> agreements concluded between rightholders and collective management organisations, independent management entities or other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU as those organisations or entities are already subject to transparency obligations under Article 18 of Directive 2014/26/EU. Article 18 of Directive 2014/26/EU applies to organisations which manage copyright or related rights on behalf of more than one rightholder for the collective benefit of those rightholders. <i>However,</i></p>
--	---	---	--	--

				<p>individually negotiated agreements concluded between rightholders and their contractual partners who act in their own interest and should be subject to the transparency obligation provided for in this Directive.</p> <p><i>[to be discussed further]</i></p>
95.	<p>(42) Certain contracts for the exploitation of rights harmonised at Union level are of long duration, offering few possibilities for authors and performers to renegotiate them with their contractual counterparts or their successors in title. Therefore, without prejudice to the law applicable to contracts in Member States, there should be a remuneration adjustment mechanism for cases where the remuneration originally agreed under a licence or a transfer of rights is disproportionately low compared to the relevant revenues and the benefits derived from the exploitation of</p>	<p>(42) Certain contracts for the exploitation of rights harmonised at Union level are of long duration, offering few possibilities for authors and performers to renegotiate them with their contractual counterparts or their successors in title. Therefore, without prejudice to the law applicable to contracts in Member States, there should be a remuneration adjustment mechanism for cases where the remuneration originally agreed under a licence or a transfer of rights is disproportionately low compared to the relevant direct and indirect revenues and the benefits derived from the</p>	<p>(42) Certain contracts for the exploitation of rights harmonised at Union level are of long duration, offering few possibilities for authors and performers to renegotiate them with their contractual counterparts or their successors in title- <u>when the economic value of the rights turns out to be significantly higher than initially estimated.</u> Therefore, without prejudice to the law applicable to contracts in Member States, there should be a remuneration adjustment mechanism should be provided for cases where the remuneration originally agreed under a licence or a transfer of</p>	<p>(42) Certain contracts for the exploitation of rights harmonised at Union level are of long duration, offering few possibilities for authors and performers to renegotiate them with their contractual counterparts or their successors in title- <u>when the economic value of the rights turns out to be significantly higher than initially estimated.</u> Therefore, without prejudice to the law applicable to contracts in Member States, there should be a remuneration adjustment mechanism should be provided for cases where the remuneration originally agreed under a licence or a transfer of</p>

<p>the work or the fixation of the performance, including in light of the transparency ensured by this Directive. The assessment of the situation should take account of the specific circumstances of each case as well as of the specificities and practices of the different content sectors. Where the parties do not agree on the adjustment of the remuneration, the author or performer should be entitled to bring a claim before a court or other competent authority.</p>	<p>exploitation of the work or the fixation of the performance, including in light of the transparency ensured by this Directive. The assessment of the situation should take account of the specific circumstances of each case, as well as of the specificities and practices of the different content sectors <i>as well as of the nature and the contribution to the work of the author or performer. Such a contract adjustment request could also be made by the organisation representing the author or performer on his or her behalf, unless the request would be detrimental to the interests of the author or performer.</i> Where the parties do not agree on the adjustment of the remuneration, the author or performer <i>or a representative organisation appointed by them</i> should <i>on request by the author or performer</i> be entitled to bring a claim before a court or other competent authority.</p>	<p>rights is<u>clearly becomes</u> disproportionately low compared to the relevant revenues and the benefits derived from the <u>subsequent</u> exploitation of the work or the fixation of the performance; including in light of <u>by</u> the transparency ensured by this Directive.<u>contractual counterpart of the author or performer.</u> The assessment of the situation should take account of the specific circumstances of each case, <u>including the contribution of the author or performer,</u> as well as of the specificities and <u>remuneration</u> practices of the different content sectors, <u>and whether the contract is based on a collective bargaining agreement.</u> Where the parties do not agree on the adjustment of the remuneration, the author or performer should be entitled to bring a claim before a court or other competent authority. <u>This mechanism should not apply to contracts concluded by entities defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of Directive</u></p>	<p>rights is<u>clearly becomes</u> disproportionately low compared to the relevant revenues and the benefits derived from the <u>subsequent</u> exploitation of the work or the fixation of the performance; including in light of <u>by</u> the transparency ensured by this Directive.<u>contractual counterpart of the author or performer. <i>The revenues which should be taken into account for the assessment of the disproportion are all revenues relevant to the case, including, where applicable, merchandising revenues.</i></u> The assessment of the situation should take account of the specific circumstances of each case, <u>including the contribution of the author or performer,</u> as well as of the specificities and <u>remuneration</u> practices of the different content sectors, <u>and whether the contract is based on a collective bargaining agreement. <i>Representatives of authors and performers duly mandated in accordance with</i></u></p>
---	---	--	---

			<p><u>2014/26/EU or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/UE.</u></p>	<p><i>national law, in compliance with Unions law, should have the possibility to provide assistance to one or more authors or performers in requesting the adjustment of the contracts, also taking into account the interests of other authors or performers when relevant. Those representatives should protect the identity of the represented authors and performers for as long as this is possible.</i> Where the parties do not agree on the adjustment of the remuneration, the author or performer should be entitled to bring a claim before a court or other competent authority. This mechanism should not apply to contracts concluded by entities defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of Directive 2014/26/EU or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/UE.</p> <p><i>[provisionally agree at TM 07/12/2018 tbc at trilogue]</i></p>
96.	(43) Authors and performers are often reluctant to enforce	(43) Authors and performers are often reluctant to enforce	(43) Authors and performers are often reluctant to enforce	(43) Authors and performers are often reluctant to enforce

<p>their rights against their contractual partners before a court or tribunal. Member States should therefore provide for an alternative dispute resolution procedure that addresses claims related to obligations of transparency and the contract adjustment mechanism.</p>	<p>their rights against their contractual partners before a court or tribunal. Member States should therefore provide for an alternative dispute resolution procedure that addresses claims related to obligations of transparency and the contract adjustment mechanism. <i>Representative organisations of authors and performers, including collective management organisations and trade unions, should be able to initiate such procedures at the request of authors and performers. Details about who initiated the procedure should remain undisclosed.</i></p>	<p>their rights against their contractual partners before a court or tribunal. Member States should therefore provide for an alternative dispute resolution procedure that addresses claims <u>by authors and performers or their representatives on their behalf</u> related to obligations of transparency and the contract adjustment mechanism. <u>For that purpose, Member States may either create a new body or mechanism or rely on an existing one that fulfils the conditions established by this Directive-irrespective of whether these are industry-led or public, including when incorporated in the national judiciary system. Member States should have flexibility in deciding how the costs of the dispute resolution procedure should be allocated. This alternative dispute resolution procedure should be without prejudice to the right of parties to assert and defend their rights by bringing an action before a</u></p>	<p>their rights against their contractual partners before a court or tribunal. Member States should therefore provide for an alternative dispute resolution procedure that addresses claims <u>by authors and performers or their representatives on their behalf</u> related to obligations of transparency and the contract adjustment mechanism. <u>For that purpose, Member States may either create a new body or mechanism or rely on an existing one that fulfils the conditions established by this Directive-irrespective of whether these are industry-led or public, including when incorporated in the national judiciary system. Member States should have flexibility in deciding how the costs of the dispute resolution procedure should be allocated. This alternative dispute resolution procedure should be without prejudice to the right of parties to assert and defend their rights by bringing an action before a</u></p>
---	--	---	---

			<u>court.</u>	<u>court.</u>
97.		<p><i>(43a) When authors and performers license or transfer their rights, they expect their work or performance to be exploited. However, it happens that works or performances that have been licensed or transferred are not exploited at all. When these rights have been transferred on an exclusive basis, authors and performers cannot turn to another partner to exploit their work. In such a case, and after a reasonable period of time has lapsed, authors and performers should have a right of revocation allowing them to transfer or license their right to another person. Revocation should also be possible when the transferee or licensee has not complied with his or her reporting/transparency obligation provided for in Article 14 of this Directive. The revocation should only be considered after all the steps of alternative dispute resolution</i></p>		

		<p><i>have been completed, particularly with regard to reporting. As exploitation of works can vary depending on the sectors, specific provisions could be taken at national level in order to take into account the specificities of the sectors, such as the audiovisual sector, or of the works and the anticipated exploitation periods, notably providing for time limits for the right of revocation. In order to prevent abuses and take into account that a certain amount of time is needed before a work is actually exploited, authors and performers should be able to exercise the right of revocation only after a certain period of time following the conclusion of the license or of the transfer agreement. National law should regulate the exercise of the right of revocation in the case of works involving a plurality of authors or performers, taking into account the relative importance of the individual contributions.</i></p>		
--	--	--	--	--

98.		<p><i>(43b) To support the effective application across Member States of the relevant provisions of this Directive, the Commission should, in cooperation with Member States, encourage the exchange of best practices and promote dialogue at Union level.</i></p>		
99.			<p><u>(43a) The obligations laid down in Articles 14 and 15 of this Directive should be of a mandatory nature and parties should not be able to derogate from these contractual provisions, whether included in the contracts between authors, performers and their contractual counterparts or in agreements between those counterparts and third parties such as non-disclosure agreements. As a consequence, the rules set out in Article 3(4) of the Regulation (EC) No 593/2008</u></p>	<p><u>(43a) The obligations laid down in Articles 14, 15 and 16 of this Directive should be of a mandatory nature and parties should not be able to derogate from these contractual provisions, whether included in the contracts between authors, performers and their contractual counterparts or in agreements between those counterparts and third parties such as non-disclosure agreements. As a consequence, the rules set out in Article 3(4) of the Regulation (EC) No 593/2008</u></p>

			<u>of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁴ should apply to the effect that where all other elements relevant to the situation at the time of the choice are located in one or more Member States, the parties' choice of applicable law other than that of a Member State shall not prejudice the application of Articles 14 and 15, as implemented in the Member State of the forum.</u>	of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁴ should apply to the effect that where all other elements relevant to the situation at the time of the choice are located in one or more Member States, the parties' choice of applicable law other than that of a Member State shall not prejudice the application of Articles 14, 15 <i>and</i> 16, as implemented in the Member State of the forum.
100.	(44) The objectives of this Directive, namely the modernisation of certain aspects of the Union copyright framework to take account of technological developments and new channels of distribution of protected content in the internal market, cannot be sufficiently achieved by Member States but can rather, by reason of their scale, effects and cross-border dimension, be better achieved at Union level. Therefore, the Union may adopt measures in	(44) The objectives of this Directive, namely the modernisation of certain aspects of the Union copyright framework to take account of technological developments and new channels of distribution of protected content in the internal market, cannot be sufficiently achieved by Member States but can rather, by reason of their scale, effects and cross-border dimension, be better achieved at Union level. Therefore, the Union may adopt measures in	(44) The objectives of this Directive, namely the modernisation of certain aspects of the Union copyright framework to take account of technological developments and new channels of distribution of protected content in the internal market, cannot be sufficiently achieved by Member States but can rather, by reason of their scale, effects and cross-border dimension, be better achieved at Union level. Therefore, the Union may adopt measures in	

¹⁴ **Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 on the law applicable to contractual obligations (Rome I) (OJ L 177, 4.7.2008, p. 6–16).**

	accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.	accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.	accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.	
101.	(45) This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. Accordingly, this Directive should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	(45) This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. Accordingly, this Directive should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	(45) This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. Accordingly, this Directive should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	
102.	(46) Any processing of personal data under this Directive should respect fundamental rights, including the right to respect for private and family life and the right to protection of personal data under Articles 7 and 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and	(46) Any processing of personal data under this Directive should respect fundamental rights, including the right to respect for private and family life and the right to protection of personal data under Articles 7 and 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and must be in compliance with	(46) Any processing of personal data under this Directive should respect fundamental rights, including the right to respect for private and family life and the right to protection of personal data under Articles 7 and 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and must be in compliance with	

	must be in compliance with Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁵ and Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁶ .	Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁵ Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁶ The provisions of the General Data Protection Regulation, including the "right to be forgotten" should be respected.	Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁵ and Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁶ .	
103.		<i>(46 a) It is important to stress the importance of anonymity, when handling personal data for commercial purposes. Additionally, the "by default" not sharing option with regards to personal data while using online platform interfaces should be promoted.</i>		
104.	(47) In accordance with the Joint Political Declaration of 28 September 2011 of Member	(47) In accordance with the Joint Political Declaration of 28 September 2011 of Member	(47) In accordance with the Joint Political Declaration of 28 September 2011 of Member	

¹⁵ Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (OJ L 281, 23.11.1995, p. 31–50). This Directive is repealed with effect from 25 May 2018 and shall be replaced by Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1–88).

¹⁶ Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 July 2002 concerning the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy in the electronic communications sector (Directive on privacy and electronic communications) (OJ L 201, 31.7.2002, p. 37–47), called, as amended by Directives 2006/24/EC and 2009/136/EC, the “e-Privacy Directive”.

	<p>States and the Commission on explanatory documents¹⁷, Member States have undertaken to accompany, in justified cases, the notification of their transposition measures with one or more documents explaining the relationship between the components of a directive and the corresponding parts of national transposition instruments. With regard to this Directive, the legislator considers the transmission of such documents to be justified,</p>	<p>States and the Commission on explanatory documents¹⁷, Member States have undertaken to accompany, in justified cases, the notification of their transposition measures with one or more documents explaining the relationship between the components of a directive and the corresponding parts of national transposition instruments. With regard to this Directive, the legislator considers the transmission of such documents to be justified,</p>	<p>States and the Commission on explanatory documents¹⁷, Member States have undertaken to accompany, in justified cases, the notification of their transposition measures with one or more documents explaining the relationship between the components of a directive and the corresponding parts of national transposition instruments. With regard to this Directive, the legislator considers the transmission of such documents to be justified,</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

¹⁷ OJ C 369, 17.12.2011, p. 14.

Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council

on copyright in the Digital Single Market

COM (2016) 593 final - 2016/0280 (COD)

PART 2: ARTICLES

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
105.		TITLE I GENERAL PROVISIONS	TITLE I GENERAL PROVISIONS	TITLE I GENERAL PROVISIONS	TITLE I GENERAL PROVISIONS
106.	Art.1, title	<i>Article 1</i> <i>Subject matter and scope</i>	<i>Article 1</i> <i>Subject matter and scope</i>	<i>Article 1</i> <i>Subject matter and scope</i>	<i>Article 1</i> <i>Subject matter and scope</i>
107.	Art. 1, para 1	1. This Directive lays down rules which aim at further harmonising the Union law applicable to copyright and related rights in the framework of the internal market, taking into account in particular digital and cross-border uses of protected content. It also lays down rules on exceptions and limitations, on the facilitation of	1. This Directive lays down rules which aim at further harmonising the Union law applicable to copyright and related rights in the framework of the internal market, taking into account in particular digital and cross-border uses of protected content. It also lays down rules on	1. This Directive lays down rules which aim at further harmonising the Union law applicable to copyright and related rights in the framework of the internal market, taking into account in particular digital and cross-border uses of protected content. It also lays down rules on	GREEN 1. This Directive lays down rules which aim at further harmonising the Union law applicable to copyright and related rights in the framework of the internal market, taking into account in particular digital and cross-border uses of protected

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		licences as well as rules aiming at ensuring a well-functioning marketplace for the exploitation of works and other subject-matter.	exceptions and limitations, on the facilitation of licences as well as rules aiming at ensuring a well-functioning marketplace for the exploitation of works and other subject-matter.	exceptions and limitations, on the facilitation of licences as well as rules aiming at ensuring a well-functioning marketplace for the exploitation of works and other subject-matter.	content. It also lays down rules on exceptions and limitations, on the facilitation of licences as well as rules aiming at ensuring a well-functioning marketplace for the exploitation of works and other subject-matter. <i>[identical text]</i>
108.	Art. 1, para 2	2. Except in the cases referred to in Article 6, this Directive shall leave intact and shall in no way affect existing rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directives 96/9/EC, 2001/29/EC, 2006/115/EC, 2009/24/EC, 2012/28/EU and 2014/26/EU.	2. Except in the cases referred to in Article 6, this Directive shall leave intact and shall in no way affect existing rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directives 96/9/EC, 2000/31/EC , 2001/29/EC, 2006/115/EC, 2009/24/EC, 2012/28/EU and 2014/26/EU.	2. Except in the cases referred to in Article 6 <u>17</u> , this Directive shall leave intact and shall in no way affect existing rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directives 96/9/EC, 2000/31/EC , 2001/29/EC, 2006/115/EC, 2009/24/EC, 2012/28/EU and 2014/26/EU.	2. Except in the cases referred to in Article 6 <u>17</u> , this Directive shall leave intact and shall in no way affect existing rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directives 96/9/EC, 2000/31/EC , 2001/29/EC, 2006/115/EC, 2009/24/EC, 2012/28/EU and 2014/26/EU.
109.	Art. 2, title	<i>Article 2 Definitions</i>	<i>Article 2 Definitions</i>	<i>Article 2 Definitions</i>	<i>Article 2 Definitions</i>
110.	Art. 2, introductory	For the purposes of this Directive, the following	For the purposes of this Directive, the following	For the purposes of this Directive, the following	GREEN

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	part	definitions shall apply:	definitions shall apply:	definitions shall apply:	For the purposes of this Directive, the following definitions shall apply:
111.	Art. 2, para 1, introductory part	(1) ‘research organisation’ means a university, a research institute or any other organisation the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research or to conduct scientific research and provide educational services:	(1) ‘research organisation’ means a university, <i>including its libraries</i> , a research institute or any other organisation the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research or to conduct scientific research and provide educational services:	(1) ‘research organisation’ means a university, a research institute or any other organisation <u>an entity</u> , the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research or to conduct scientific research and provide educational services: <u>involving also the conduct of scientific research:</u>	GREEN (1) ‘research organisation’ means a university, <i>including its libraries</i> , a research institute or any other <i>entity</i> the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research <i>or to carry out educational activities involving also the conduct of scientific research:</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]</i>
112.	Art. 2, para 1, point (a)	(a) on a non-for-profit basis or by reinvesting all the profits in its scientific research; or	(a) on a non-for-profit basis or by reinvesting all the profits in its scientific research; or	(a) on a non-for-profit basis or by reinvesting all the profits in its scientific research; or	GREEN (a) on a non-for-profit basis or by reinvesting all the profits in its scientific research; or <i>[provisionally agreed at</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					<i>Trilogue 25/10/2018]</i>
113.	Art. 2, para 1, point (b)	(b) pursuant to a public interest mission recognised by a Member State;	(b) pursuant to a public interest mission recognised by a Member State;	(b) pursuant to a public interest mission recognised by a Member State;	GREEN (b) pursuant to a public interest mission recognised by a Member State; <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]</i>
114.	Art. 2, para 1, closing phrase	in such a way that the access to the results generated by the scientific research cannot be enjoyed on a preferential basis by an undertaking exercising a decisive influence upon such organisation;	in such a way that the access to the results generated by the scientific research cannot be enjoyed on a preferential basis by an undertaking exercising a significant influence upon such organisation;	in such a way that the access to the results generated by the scientific research cannot be enjoyed on a preferential basis by an undertaking exercising a decisive influence upon such organisation;	GREEN in such a way that the access to the results generated by the scientific research cannot be enjoyed on a preferential basis by an undertaking exercising a significant decisive influence upon such organisation; <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]</i>
115.	Art. 2, para 2	(2) ‘text and data mining’ means any automated analytical technique aiming to analyse text and data in digital form in order to generate information such as	(2) 'text and data mining' means any automated analytical technique which analyses works and other subject matter in digital	(2) ‘text and data mining’ means any automated analytical technique aiming to analyse text and data in digital form in order to	GREEN (2) ‘text and data mining’ means any automated analytical technique aiming to analyse text and data in digital

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		patterns, trends and correlations;	form in order to generate information, including, but not limited to , patterns, trends and correlations.	generate information such as patterns, trends and correlations;	form in order to generate information, including, but not limited to , patterns, trends and correlations; <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]</i>
116.	Art. 2, para 3	(3) ‘cultural heritage institution’ means a publicly accessible library or museum, an archive or a film or audio heritage institution;	(3) ‘cultural heritage institution’ means a publicly accessible library or museum, an archive or a film or audio heritage institution;	(3) ‘cultural heritage institution’ means a publicly accessible library or museum, an archive or a film or audio heritage institution;	GREEN (3) ‘cultural heritage institution’ means a publicly accessible library or museum, an archive or a film or audio heritage institution; <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]</i>
117.	Art. 2, para 4	(4) ‘press publication’ means a fixation of a collection of literary works of a journalistic nature, which may also comprise other works or subject-matter and constitutes an individual item within a periodical or regularly-updated publication under a single title, such as a newspaper or a	(4) ‘press publication’ means a fixation by publishers or news agencies of a collection of literary works of a journalistic nature, which may also comprise other works or subject-matter and constitutes an individual item within a periodical or	(4) ‘press publication’ means a fixation of a collection composed mainly of literary works of a journalistic nature, which; <i>[remaining part of this paragraph was split up in points (a) to (d) - see following rows 118-121]</i>	GREEN (4) ‘press publication’ means a collection composed mainly of literary works of a journalistic nature which: <i>[provisionally agreed at</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		general or special interest magazine, having the purpose of providing information related to news or other topics and published in any media under the initiative, editorial responsibility and control of a service provider.	regularly-updated publication under a single title, such as a newspaper or a general or special interest magazine, having the purpose of providing information related to news or other topics and published in any media under the initiative, editorial responsibility and control of a service provider. <i>Periodicals which are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, shall not be covered by this definition;</i>		<i>trilogue on 03/12/2018]</i>
118.	Art. 2, para 4, point (a)			(a) ___ may also comprise include other works or subject-matter and i <i>[See Article 2(4) of COM proposal and of EP text (row 117)]</i>	GREEN (a) may also include other works or subject matter; <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue on 03/12/2018]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
119.	Art. 2, para 4, point (b)			<p>(b) constitutes an individual item within a periodical or regularly-updated publication under a single title, such as a newspaper or a general or special interest magazine;</p> <p><i>[See Article 2(4) of COM proposal and of EP text (row 117)]</i></p>	<p>GREEN</p> <p>b) constitutes an individual item within a periodical or regularly updated publication under a single title, such as a newspaper or a general or special interest magazine;</p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue on 03/12/2018]</i></p>
120.	Art. 2, para 4, point (c)			<p>(c) has having the purpose of providing the general public with information related to news or other topics; and</p> <p><i>[See Article 2(4) of COM proposal and of EP text (row 117)]</i></p>	<p>GREEN</p> <p>(c) has the purpose of providing the general public(*) with information related to news or other topics; and</p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue on 03/12/2018; (*) to be read in conjunction with the clarifications in recital (33), row 70]</i></p>
121.	Art. 2, para 4, point (d)			<p>(d) is published in any media under the initiative, editorial responsibility and</p>	<p>GREEN</p> <p>(d) is published in any media</p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				control of a service provider; <i>[See Article 2(4) of COM proposal and of EP text (row 117)]</i>	under the initiative, editorial responsibility and control of a service provider. Periodicals which are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, shall not be considered as press publications for the purposes of this Directive. <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue on 03/12/2018]</i>
122.	Art. 2, para 4a, introductory part		<i>(4a) ‘out of commerce work’ means:</i>		
123.	Art. 2, para 4a, point (a)		<i>(a) an entire work or other subject matter in any version or manifestation that is no longer available to the public in a Member State through customary channels of commerce;</i>		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
124.	Art. 2, para 4a, point (b)		<i>(b) a work or other subject matter that has never been in commerce in a Member State, unless, from the circumstances of that case, it is apparent that its author objected to making it available to the public;</i>		
125.	Art. 2, para 4b (EP)/para 5 (Council)		<i>(4b) ‘online content sharing service provider’ means a provider of an information society service one of the main purposes of which is to store and give access to the public to a significant amount of copyright protected works or other protected subject-matter uploaded by its users, which the service optimises and promotes for profit making purposes.</i> <i>Microenterprises and small-sized enterprises within the meaning of Title I of the Annex to</i>	<u>(5) ‘online content sharing service provider’ means a provider of an information society service whose main or one of the main purposes is to store and give the public access to a large amount of works or other subject-matter uploaded by its users which it organises and promotes for profit-making purposes.</u> <u>Providers of services such as non-for-profit online encyclopaedias, non-for-profit educational and scientific repositories, non-</u>	5) ‘online content sharing service provider’ means a provider of an information society service whose main or one of the main purposes is to store and enable user to upload and share <i>a large</i> (*) amount of works or other subject-matter uploaded by its users which it organises and promotes for profit-making purposes. [EP: <i>Microenterprises and small-sized enterprises within the meaning of Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC</i>] providers of

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<p><i>Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC and services acting in a non-commercial purpose capacity such as online encyclopaedia, and providers of online services where the content is uploaded with the authorisation of all right holders concerned, such as educational or scientific repositories, shall not be considered online content sharing service providers within the meaning of this Directive. Providers of cloud services for individual use which do not provide direct access to the public, open source software developing platforms, and online market places whose main activity is online retail of physical goods, should not be considered online content sharing service providers within the</i></p>	<p><u>for-profit open source software developing platforms, as well as internet access service providers, online marketplaces and providers of cloud services which allow users, including businesses for their internal purposes, to upload content for their own use shall not be considered online content sharing service providers within the meaning of this Directive;</u></p>	<p><i>services such as not-for profit online encyclopedias, [Council: not-for profit] educational and scientific repositories, open source software developing and sharing platforms, electronic communication service providers [as defined in the new Telecom Code], online marketplaces and business-to-business cloud services and cloud services which allow users to upload content for their own use shall not be considered online content sharing service providers within the meaning of this Directive.</i></p> <p><i>[(*) COM to provide recital on “large amount”; exclusion of Micro- and SMEs to be further discussed; non-for profit/acting in a non-commercial purpose open for educational and scientific repositories]</i></p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>meaning of this Directive;</i>		
126.	Art. 2, para 4c (EP)/para 6 (Council)		<p><i>(4c) ‘information society service’ means a service within the meaning of point (b) of Article 1(1) of Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council^{1a};</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>^{1a} Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 September 2015 laying down a procedure for the provision of information in the field of technical regulations and of rules on Information Society services (OJ L 241, 17.9.2015, p. 1).</i></p>	<p><u>(6) ‘information society service’ means a service within the meaning of Article 1(1)(b) of Directive (EU) 2015/1535.</u></p>	<p>(6) ‘information society service’ means a service within the meaning of Article 1(1)(b) of Directive (EU) 2015/1535^{1a}.</p> <hr/> <p><i>^{1a} Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 September 2015 laying down a procedure for the provision of information in the field of technical regulations and of rules on Information Society services (OJ L 241, 17.9.2015, p. 1).</i></p>
127.	Art. 2, para 4d		<p><i>(4d) ‘automated image referencing service’ means any online service which</i></p>		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>reproduces or makes available to the public for indexing and referencing purposes graphic or art works or photographic works collected by automated means via a third-party online service.</i>		
128.		TITLE II MEASURES TO ADAPT EXCEPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS TO THE DIGITAL AND CROSS- BORDER ENVIRONMENT	TITLE II MEASURES TO ADAPT EXCEPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS TO THE DIGITAL AND CROSS- BORDER ENVIRONMENT	TITLE II MEASURES TO ADAPT EXCEPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS TO THE DIGITAL AND CROSS- BORDER ENVIRONMENT	TITLE II MEASURES TO ADAPT EXCEPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS TO THE DIGITAL AND CROSS- BORDER ENVIRONMENT
129.	Art. 3, title	<i>Article 3 Text and data mining</i>	<i>Article 3 Text and data mining</i>	<i>Article 3 Text and data mining <u>for the purposes of scientific research</u></i>	GREEN <i>Article 3 Text and data mining <u>for the purposes of scientific research</u></i> <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]</i>
130.	Art. 3, para 1	1. Member States shall provide for an exception to the	1. Member States shall provide for an exception to	1. Member States shall provide for an exception to	GREEN

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for reproductions and extractions made by research organisations in order to carry out text and data mining of works or other subject-matter to which they have lawful access for the purposes of scientific research.	<p>the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for reproductions and extractions made by research organisations in order to carry out text and data mining of works or other subject-matter to which they have lawful access <i>research organisations have lawful access and made in order to carry out text and data mining</i> for the purposes of scientific research <i>by such organisations.</i></p> <p><i>Member States shall provide for educational establishments and cultural heritage institutions conducting scientific research within the meaning of point (1)(a) or (1)(b) of Article 2, in such a</i></p>	<p>the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for reproductions and extractions made by research organisations <u>and cultural heritage institutions</u> in order to carry out text and data mining of works or other subject-matter to which they have lawful access, for the purposes of scientific research.</p>	<p>1. Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for reproductions and extractions made by research organisations <i>and cultural heritage institutions</i> in order to carry out text and data mining of works or other subject-matter to which they have lawful access, for the purposes of scientific research.</p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]</i></p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>way that the access to the results generated by the scientific research cannot be enjoyed on a preferential basis by an undertaking exercising a decisive influence upon such organisations, to also be able to benefit from the exception provided for in this Article.</i>		
131.	Art. 3, para 1a		<i>1a. Reproductions and extractions made for text and data mining purposes shall be stored in a secure manner, for example by trusted bodies appointed for this purpose.</i>	<u>1a. Copies of works or other subject-matter made in compliance with paragraph 1 shall be stored with an appropriate level of security and not be retained for longer than necessary for achieving the purposes of scientific research.</u>	GREEN <i>1a. Copies of works or other subject-matter made in compliance with paragraph 1 shall be stored with an appropriate level of security and may be retained for the purposes of scientific research, including for the verification of research results.</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]</i>
132.	Art. 3, para	2. Any contractual provision	2. Any contractual	2. <i>[Moved to Article 6(1)]</i>	GREEN

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	2	contrary to the exception provided for in paragraph 1 shall be unenforceable.	provision contrary to the exception provided for in paragraph 1 shall be unenforceable. <i>[See Council's Article 6(1) (row 155)]</i>		<i>[Moved to Article 6(1)]</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]</i>
133.	Art. 3, para 3	3. Rightholders shall be allowed to apply measures to ensure the security and integrity of the networks and databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted. Such measures shall not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that objective.	3. Rightholders shall be allowed to apply measures to ensure the security and integrity of the networks and databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted. Such measures shall not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that objective.	3. Rightholders shall be allowed to apply measures to ensure the security and integrity of the networks and databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted. Such measures shall not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that objective.	GREEN 3. Rightholders shall be allowed to apply measures to ensure the security and integrity of the networks and databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted. Such measures shall not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that objective. <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]</i>
134.	Art. 3, para 4	4. Member States shall encourage rightholders and research organisations to define commonly-agreed best practices concerning the application of	4. Member States shall encourage rightholders and research organisations to define commonly-agreed best practices concerning	4. Member States shall encourage rightholders and research organisations <u>and</u> cultural heritage institutions to define	GREEN 4. Member States shall encourage rightholders and, research organisations and

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		the measures referred to in paragraph 3.	the application of the measures referred to in paragraph 3 <i>may continue to provide text and data mining exceptions in accordance with point (a) of Article 5(3) of Directive 2001/29/EC.</i>	commonly-agreed best practices concerning the application of the obligation and measures referred to respectively in paragraphs 1a and 3 .	cultural heritage institutions to define commonly-agreed best practices concerning the application of the obligation and measures referred to respectively in paragraphs 1a and 3. <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26 November]</i> <i>[the relation with existing exceptions under InfoSoc Directive under Article 17a (see row 306)]</i>
135.	Art. 3a, title		<u>Article 3a</u> <u>Optional exception or limitation for text and data mining</u>	<u>Article 3a</u> <u>Optional exception or limitation for text and data mining</u>	<u>Article 3a</u> <u>Optional exception or limitation for text and data mining</u>
136.	Art. 3a, para 1		1. <i>Without prejudice to Article 3 of this Directive, Member States may provide for an exception or a limitation to the rights</i>	<u>1. Without prejudice to Article 3 of this Directive Member States may provide for an exception or a limitation to the</u>	<u>1. Without prejudice to Article 3 of this Directive, Member States may provide for an exception or a limitation to the rights</u>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for reproductions and extractions of lawfully accessible works and other subject-matter that form a part of the process of text and data mining, provided that the use of works and other subject matter referred to therein has not been expressly reserved by their rightholders, including by machine readable means.</i>	<u>rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for temporary reproductions and extractions of lawfully accessible works and other subject-matter that form a part of the process of text and data mining.</u>	<i>provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) and (b) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for temporary reproductions and extractions of lawfully accessible works and other subject-matter that form a part of the process for the purposes of text and data mining.</i> <i>[Apart from 'may' or 'shall', text of Article 3a provisionally agreed at trilogue 26/11/2018 as set out in rows 136, 137, 138 and 139]</i>
137.	Art. 3a, para 2 (EP)		2. Reproductions and extractions made pursuant to paragraph 1 shall not be used for purposes other than text and data mining.		GREEN 2. Reproductions and extractions made pursuant to paragraph 1 may be retained as long as necessary for the purposes of text and data mining.

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					<i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 / 26/11/2018]</i>
138.	Art. 3a, para 2 (Council)			<p><u>2. The exception or limitation provided for in paragraph 1 shall apply provided that the use of works and other subject matter referred to therein has not been expressly reserved by their rightholders including by technical means.</u></p> <p><i>[See para. 1 of EP text (row 136)]</i></p>	<p>GREEN</p> <p><i>2. The exception or limitation provided for in paragraph 1 shall apply provided that the use of works and other subject matter referred to therein has not been expressly reserved by their rightholders in an appropriate manner, such as machine readable means <u>for the content made publicly available online.</u></i></p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018 in conjunction with wording of recital (13a) (row 28)]</i></p>
139.	Art. 3a, para 3		<p><i>3. Member States may continue to provide text and data mining exceptions in accordance with point (a) of Article 5 (3) of Directive</i></p>		<p>GREEN</p> <p><u>3. This Article shall not affect the application of</u></p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>2001/29/EC.</i>		<u>Article 3 of this Directive.</u> <i>[This phrase replaces the 'Without prejudice'-clarification previously contained in paragraph 1.</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i> <i>Agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 to introduce the relation with existing exceptions under InfoSoc Directive under Article 17a (see row 306)]</i>
140.	Art. 4, title	<i>Article 4 Use of works and other subject-matter in digital and cross-border teaching activities</i>	<i>Article 4 Use of works and other subject-matter in digital and cross-border teaching activities</i>	<i>Article 4 Use of works and other subject-matter in digital and cross-border teaching activities</i>	<i>Article 4 Use of works and other subject-matter in digital and cross-border teaching activities</i>
141.	Art. 4, para 1	1. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive	1. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a)	1. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles-5(a),	GREEN 1. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		96/9/EC, Article 4(1) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive in order to allow for the digital use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching, to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, provided that the use:	and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive in order to allow for the digital use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching, to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, provided that the use:	(b), (d) and (e) and Article 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) and (b) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive in order to allow for the digital use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching, to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, provided that thesuch use:	3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a), (b), (d) and (e) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1) (a), and (b) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive in order to allow the digital use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching, to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, provided that such use: <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018; reference to Directive 2009/24/EC agreed provided that it is clarified in a recital that distribution of software allowed under the exception is limited to digital transmission of software]</i>
142.	Art. 4, para 1, point (a)	(a) takes place on the premises of an educational establishment or through a	(a) takes place on the premises of an educational establishment, or in any	(a) takes place on under the premises- responsibility of an educational	GREEN (a) takes place under the

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		secure electronic network accessible only by the educational establishment's pupils or students and teaching staff;	<i>other venue in which the teaching activity takes place under the responsibility of the educational establishment</i> , or through a secure electronic network <i>environment</i> accessible only by the educational establishment's pupils or students and teaching staff;	establishment, <u>on its premises or other venues</u> , or through a secure electronic network accessible only by the educational establishment's pupils or students and teaching staff; <u>and</u>	<i>responsibility</i> of an educational establishment, <i>on its premises or other venues</i> , or through a secure electronic <i>environment</i> accessible only by the educational establishment's pupils or students and teaching staff; <i>and</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018 together with text on recital 16a, row 33]</i>
143.	Art. 4, para 1, point (b)	(b) is accompanied by the indication of the source, including the author's name, unless this turns out to be impossible.	(b) is accompanied by the indication of the source, including the author's name, unless this turns out to be impossible <i>for reasons of practicability</i> .	(b) is accompanied by the indication of the source, including the author's name, unless this turns out to be impossible.	GREEN (b) is accompanied by the indication of the source, including the author's name, unless this turns out to be impossible. <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]</i>
144.	Art. 4, para 2, sub-para 1	2. Member States may provide that the exception adopted pursuant to paragraph 1	2. Member States may provide that the exception adopted pursuant to	2. <u>Notwithstanding Article 6(1)</u> , Member States may provide that the	GREEN 2. <i>Notwithstanding Article</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		does not apply generally or as regards specific types of works or other subject-matter, to the extent that adequate licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 are easily available in the market.	paragraph 1 does not apply generally or as regards specific types of works or other subject-matter, <i>such as material which is primarily intended for the educational market or sheet music</i> , to the extent that adequate licences <i>licencing agreements</i> authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 <i>and tailored to the needs and specificities of educational establishments</i> are easily available in the market.	exception adopted pursuant to paragraph 1 does not apply generally or as regards specific <u>uses or</u> types of works or other subject-matter, to the extent that adequate <u>adequate</u> licences <u>covering the needs of educational establishments</u> <u>and</u> authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 are easily available in the market.	6(1) , Member States may provide that the exception adopted pursuant to paragraph 1 does not apply generally or as regards specific <i>uses or</i> types of works or other subject-matter, <i>such as material which is primarily intended for the educational market or sheet music</i> , to the extent that <i>suitable</i> licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 <i>covering the needs and specificities of educational establishments</i> are easily available in the market. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 / 26/11/2018 together with text on recitals (16) and (17), rows 32 and 35 tbc by trilogue]
145.	Art. 4, para 2, sub-para 2	Member States availing themselves of the provision of the first subparagraph shall take the necessary measures to ensure appropriate availability	Member States availing themselves of the provision of the first subparagraph shall take the necessary measures to ensure	Member States availing themselves of the provision of the first subparagraph shall take the necessary measures to ensure	GREEN Member States availing themselves of the provision of the first subparagraph shall

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		and visibility of the licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 for educational establishments.	appropriate availability and visibility of the licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 for educational establishments.	appropriate availability and visibility of <u>that</u> rightholders make the licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 available and visible for educational establishments.	take the necessary measures to ensure appropriate availability and visibility of the licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 for educational establishments. <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018 together with text on recital (17) and (17a), rows 35 and 36]</i>
146.	Art. 4, para 3	3. The use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching through secure electronic networks undertaken in compliance with the provisions of national law adopted pursuant to this Article shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the educational establishment is established.	3. The use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching through secure electronic networks environmets undertaken in compliance with the provisions of national law adopted pursuant to this Article shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the educational establishment is established.	3. The use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching through secure electronic networks undertaken in compliance with the provisions of national law adopted pursuant to this Article shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the educational establishment is established.	GREEN 3. The use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching through secure electronic environmets undertaken in compliance with the provisions of national law adopted pursuant to this Article shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the educational establishment is established. <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 /</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					26/11/2018 together with text on recital 16a, row 33]
147.	Art. 4, para 4	4. Member States may provide for fair compensation for the harm incurred by the rightholders due to the use of their works or other subject-matter pursuant to paragraph 1.	4. Member States may provide for fair compensation for the harm incurred by the rightholders due to the use of their works or other subject-matter pursuant to paragraph 1.	4. Member States may provide for fair compensation for the harm incurred by the <u>to</u> rightholders due to the use of their works or other subject-matter pursuant to paragraph 1.	GREEN 4. Member States may provide for fair compensation for the harm incurred by the <u>to</u> rightholders due to the use of their works or other subject-matter pursuant to paragraph 1. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 / 26/11/2018 together with text on recital (17a), row 36]
148.	Art. 4, para 4a		<i>4a. Without prejudice to paragraph 2, any contractual provision contrary to the exception or limitation adopted pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be unenforceable. Member States shall ensure that rightholders have the right to grant royalty-free licences authorising the</i>		[provisionally agreed at trilogue 25/10/2018 to deal with contractual override under Article 6(1)] [Issue of royalty-free licences to be further discussed at trilogue in the context of the remuneration chapter and recital (40) of the Council]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<p><i>acts described in paragraph 1, generally or as regards specific types of works or other subject-matter that they may choose.</i></p> <p><i>[See Council's Article 6(1) (row 155)]</i></p>		
149.	Art. 5, title	<i>Article 5 Preservation of cultural heritage</i>	<i>Article 5 Preservation of cultural heritage</i>	<i>Article 5 Preservation of cultural heritage</i>	<i>Article 5 Preservation of cultural heritage</i>
150.	Art. 5, [para 1 (EP)]	Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions, to make copies of any works or other subject-matter that are permanently in their collections, in any format or medium, for the sole purpose of the preservation of such works or other subject-matter	I. Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions to make copies of any works or other subject-matter that are permanently in their collections, in any format or medium, for the sole	Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions, to make copies of any works or other subject-matter that are permanently in their collections, in any format or medium, for the sole	GREEN 1. Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions to make copies of any works or other subject-matter that are permanently in their collections, in any format or

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		and to the extent necessary for such preservation.	purpose <i>purposes</i> of preservation of such works or other subject-matter and to the extent necessary for such preservation.	purpose of the preservation of such works or other subject-matter and to the extent necessary for such preservation.	medium, for <i>purposes</i> of preservation of such works or other subject-matter and to the extent necessary for such preservation. <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 25/10/2018]</i>
151.	Art. 5, para 1a		<i>1a. Member States shall ensure that any material resulting from an act of reproduction of material in the public domain shall not be subject to copyright or related rights, provided that such reproduction is a faithful reproduction for purposes of preservation of the original material.</i>		<i>[Presidency compromise suggestion discussed at COREPER on 05/12/2018 - see doc. 15057/18]</i> 2. Member States shall provide that, when the term of protection of a work of visual art has expired, any material resulting from an act of reproduction of that work shall not be subject to copyright or related rights, unless the material resulting from that act of reproduction is original in the sense that it is the author's own intellectual creation. <i>Recital (new)</i> The expiry of

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					the term of protection of a work entails the entry of that work into the public domain and the expiry of the rights that Union copyright law provides to that work. In the field of visual arts, the circulation of faithful reproductions of works in the public domain contributes to the access to and promotion of culture (or access to cultural heritage). In the digital environment, the protection of these reproductions through copyright or related rights is inconsistent with the expiry of the copyright protection of works. In addition, differences between the national copyright laws governing the protection of these reproductions give rise to legal uncertainty and affect the cross-border dissemination of works of visual arts in the public domain. Therefore, it should be clarified that certain reproductions of works of

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					visual arts in the public domain should not be protected by copyright or related rights. This should not prevent cultural heritage institutions from selling reproductions, such as postcards.
152.	Art. 5, para 1b		1b. <i>Any contractual provision contrary to the exception provided for in paragraph 1 shall be unenforceable.</i> [See Council's Article 6(1) (row 155)]		GREEN [provisionally agreed at trilogue 25/10/2018 to deal with contractual override under Article 6(1)]
153.	Art. 6, title	<i>Article 6 Common provisions</i>	<i>Article 6 Common provisions</i>	<i>Article 6 Common provisions</i>	<i>Article 6 Common provisions</i>
154.	Art. 6, para 1 (EP)		<i>1. Accessing content covered by an exception provided for in this Directive shall not confer on users any entitlement to use it pursuant to another exception.</i>		[To be discussed further at trilogue]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
155.	Art. 6, para 1 (Council)			<p>1. Any contractual provision contrary to the exceptions provided for in Articles 3, 4(1) and 5 shall be unenforceable.</p> <p><i>[See Article 3(2) of the COM proposal and Parliament's Articles 3(2) and 4(4a) and 5(1b)]</i></p>	<p>GREEN</p> <p>1. Any contractual provision contrary to the exceptions provided for in Articles 3, 4 and 5 shall be unenforceable.</p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 25/10/2018]</i></p>
156.	Art. 6, para 2	Article 5(5) and the first, third and fifth subparagraphs of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC shall apply to the exceptions and the limitation provided for under this Title.	2. Article 5(5) and the first, third, fourth and fifth subparagraphs of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC shall apply to the exceptions and the limitation provided for under this Title.	<p>2. Article 5(5) and the of Directive 2001/29/EC shall apply to the exceptions and the limitation provided for under this Title. The first, third and fifth subparagraphs of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC shall apply to the exceptions Articles 3, 4(1) and the limitation provided for under 5 of this Title Directive.</p>	<p>2. Article 5(5) of Directive 2001/29/EC shall apply to the exceptions and the limitation provided for under this Title. The first, third and fifth subparagraphs of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC shall apply to Articles 3, 4 and 5 of this Directive.</p> <p><i>[EP agrees to drop reference to the fourth subparagraph of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC;</i></p> <p><i>EP: exact cross-references in second sentence to be</i></p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					<i>finalised at technical level]</i>
157.		TITLE III MEASURES TO IMPROVE LICENSING PRACTICES AND ENSURE WIDER ACCESS TO CONTENT	TITLE III MEASURES TO IMPROVE LICENSING PRACTICES AND ENSURE WIDER ACCESS TO CONTENT	TITLE III MEASURES TO IMPROVE LICENSING PRACTICES AND ENSURE WIDER ACCESS TO CONTENT	TITLE III MEASURES TO IMPROVE LICENSING PRACTICES AND ENSURE WIDER ACCESS TO CONTENT
158.		CHAPTER 1 Out-of-commerce works	CHAPTER 1 Out-of-commerce works	CHAPTER 1 Out-of-commerce works	CHAPTER 1 Out-of-commerce works
159.	Art. 7, title	<i>Article 7 Use of out-of-commerce works by cultural heritage institutions</i>	<i>Article 7 Use of out-of-commerce works by cultural heritage institutions</i>	<i>Article 7 Use of out-of-commerce works by cultural heritage institutions</i>	<i>Article 7 Use of out-of-commerce works by cultural heritage institutions</i>
160.	Art. 7, para 1, introductory part	1. Member States shall provide that when a collective management organisation, on behalf of its members, concludes a non-exclusive licence for non-commercial purposes with a cultural heritage institution for the digitisation, distribution, communication to the public or making available of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter	1. Member States shall provide that when a collective management organisation, on behalf of its members, concludes a non-exclusive licence for non-commercial purposes with a cultural heritage institution for the digitisation, distribution, communication to the public or making available of out-of-	1. Member States shall provide that when a collective management organisation, on behalf of in accordance with its members, concludes mandates, may conclude a non-exclusive licence for non-commercial purposes with a cultural heritage institution for the digitisation reproduction,	GREEN 1. Member States shall provide that a collective management organisation, in accordance with its mandates, may conclude a non-exclusive licence for non-commercial purposes with a cultural heritage institution for the reproduction, distribution, communication to the public

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		permanently in the collection of the institution, such a non-exclusive licence may be extended or presumed to apply to rightholders of the same category as those covered by the licence who are not represented by the collective management organisation, provided that:	commerce works or other subject-matter permanently in the collection of the institution, such a non-exclusive licence may be extended or presumed to apply to rightholders of the same category as those covered by the licence who are not represented by the collective management organisation, provided that:	distribution, communication to the public or making available to the public of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter permanently in the collection of the institution, such a non-exclusive licence may be extended or presumed to apply to rightholders of the same category as those irrespective of whether all rightholders covered by the licence who are not represented by have mandated the collective management organisation, provided that:	or making available to the public of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter permanently in the collection of the institution, irrespective of whether all rightholders covered by the licence have mandated the collective management organisation, provided that: <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
161.	Art. 7, para 1, point (a)	(a) the collective management organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, broadly representative of rightholders in the category of works or other subject-matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence;	(a) the collective management organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, broadly representative of rightholders in the category of works or other subject-matter and of the rights which are the subject of the	(a) the collective management organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, broadly sufficiently representative of rightholders in the category relevant type of works or other subject-	GREEN (a) the collective management organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, sufficiently representative of rightholders in the relevant type of works or other subject-matter and of

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			licence;	matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence;	the rights which are the subject of the licence; <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
162.	Art. 7, para 1, point (b)	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence;	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence;	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence;	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence.
163.	Art. 7, para 1, point (c)	(c) all rightholders may at any time object to their works or other subject-matter being deemed to be out of commerce and exclude the application of the licence to their works or other subject-matter.	(c) all rightholders may at any time object to their works or other subject-matter being deemed to be out of commerce and exclude the application of the licence to their works or other subject-matter.	(c) all rightholders may at any time object to <u>exclude the possibility for collective management organisations to license</u> their works or other subject-matter being deemed to be out of commerce and in <u>accordance with this Article, either in general or in specific cases, or</u> exclude the application of the <u>any licence granted in accordance with this Article</u> to their works or other subject-matter.	GREEN <i>[This point is moved to new paragraph 1b below – row 167]</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
164.	Art. 7, para		1a. <i>Member States shall</i>		GREEN

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	1a		<i>provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1) of Directive 2009/24/EC, and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions to make copies available online of out-of-commerce works that are located permanently in their collections for not-for-profit purposes, provided that:</i>		1a. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a), (b), (d) and (e) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1) of Directive 2009/24/EC, and Article 11(1) of this Directive, in order to allow cultural heritage institutions to make available out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter that are permanently in their collections for non-commercial purposes, provided that: <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue, in return for EP agreement on Council Article 9a; see comment in row 192]</i>
165.	Art. 7, para 1a, point (a)		(a) <i>the name of the author or any other identifiable rightholder is</i>		GREEN (a) the name of the author or any other identifiable

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible;</i>		rightholder is indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible; <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018; see also comment in row 164]</i>
166.	Art. 7, para 1a, point (b)		<i>(b) all rightholders may at any time object to their works or other subject-matter being deemed to be out of commerce and exclude the application of the exception to their works or other subject-matter.</i>		GREEN (b) such works or other subject-matter are made available on non-commercial websites [. <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018; see also comment in row 164]</i> <i>[Text of EP covered in new paragraph 1b below – row 167]</i>
167.	Art. 7, para 1b		<i>1b. Member States shall provide that the exception adopted pursuant to paragraph 1a does not apply in sectors or for types of works where appropriate</i>		GREEN 1b. Member States shall provide that the exception or limitation referred to in the previous paragraph only

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>licensing-based solutions, including but not limited to solutions provided for in paragraph 1, are available. Member States shall, in consultation with authors, other rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions, determine the availability of extended collective licensing-based solutions for specific sectors or types of works.</i>		<p>applies to types of works or other subject-matter for which no collective management organisation exists that fulfils the conditions referred to in point (a) of paragraph 1.</p> <p>1c. Member States shall provide that all rightholders may at any time, easily and effectively, exclude their works or other subject-matter from the licensing mechanism referred to in paragraph 1 or from uses under the exception or limitation referred to in paragraph 1a, either in general or in specific cases, including after the conclusion of a licence or the beginning of the use concerned.</p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018; see also comment in row 164]</i></p>
168.	Art. 7, para 2, sub-para 1	2. A work or other subject-matter shall be deemed to be out of commerce when the whole	2. A work or other subject-matter shall be deemed to be out of	2. A work or other subject-matter shall be deemed to be out-of-	<p>GREEN</p> <p>2. A work or other subject-</p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		work or other subject-matter, in all its translations, versions and manifestations, is not available to the public through customary channels of commerce and cannot be reasonably expected to become so.	commerce when the whole work or other subject-matter, in all its translations, versions and manifestations, is not available to the public through customary channels of commerce and cannot be reasonably expected to become so. <i>Member States may provide a cut-off date in relation to determining whether a work previously commercialised is deemed to be out of commerce.</i> <i>[See definition of out-of-commerce work in Parliament's Article 2(4a) (rows 122-126)]</i>	commerce when <u>it can be presumed in good faith that</u> the whole work or other subject-matter, in all its translations, versions and manifestations, is not available to the public through customary channels of commerce and cannot be reasonably expected to become so. <u>after a reasonable effort is made to determine such availability.</u>	matter shall be deemed to be out-of-commerce when it can be presumed in good faith that the whole work or other subject-matter is not available to the public through customary channels of commerce after a reasonable effort is made to determine such availability. <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
169.	Art. 7, para 2, sub-para 2	Member States shall, in consultation with rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions, ensure that the requirements used to determine whether works and other subject-matter can be licensed in accordance with	Member States shall, in consultation with rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions, ensure that the requirements used to determine whether works and other subject-matter can	Member States shall, in consultation with rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions, ensure that the <u>may provide for specific</u> requirements used to determine whether works	GREEN Member States may provide for specific requirements, <u>such as a cut-off date,</u> to determine whether works and other subject-matter can be licensed in accordance with paragraph 1 or used under

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		paragraph 1 do not extend beyond what is necessary and reasonable and do not preclude the possibility to determine the out-of-commerce status of a collection as a whole, when it is reasonable to presume that all works or other subject-matter in the collection are out of commerce.	be licensed in accordance with paragraph 1 <i>or used in accordance with paragraph 1a</i> do not extend beyond what is necessary and reasonable and do not preclude the possibility to determine the out-of-commerce status of a collection as a whole, when it is reasonable to presume that all works or other subject-matter in the collection are out of commerce.	and other subject-matter can be licensed in accordance with paragraph 1- do . Such requirements shall not extend beyond what is necessary and reasonable, and do shall not preclude the possibility to determine the out-of-commerce status of a collection as set of works or other subject-matter as a whole, when it is reasonable to presume that all works or other subject-matter in the collection are out- of- commerce.	the exception or limitation referred to in paragraph 1a. Such requirements shall not extend beyond what is necessary and reasonable, and shall not preclude the possibility to determine the out-of-commerce status of a set of works or other subject-matter as a whole, when it is reasonable to presume that all works or other subject-matter are out-of-commerce. <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018; see also comment in row 164]</i>
170.	Art. 7, para 3, introductory part	3. Member States shall provide that appropriate publicity measures are taken regarding:	3. Member States shall provide that appropriate publicity measures are taken regarding:	3. <i>[Moved to new Article 8a(2)]</i>	3. <i>[Moved to new Article 8a(2)]</i>
171.	Art. 7, para 3, point (a)	(a) the deeming of works or other subject-matter as out of commerce;	(a) the deeming of works or other subject-matter as out of commerce;		
172.	Art. 7, para 3, point (b)	(b) the licence, and in particular its application to	(b) the <i>any</i> licence, and in particular its application to		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		unrepresented rightholders;	unrepresented rightholders;		
173.	Art. 7, para 3, point (c)	(c) the possibility of rightholders to object, referred to in point (c) of paragraph 1;	(c) the possibility of rightholders to object, referred to in point (c) of paragraph 1 and point (b) of paragraph 1a;		
174.	Art. 7, para 3, closing phrase	including during a reasonable period of time before the works or other subject-matter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available.	including during a reasonable period of time at least six months before the works or other subject-matter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available.		
175.	Art. 7, para 4, introductory part / Art. 7, para 4 (Council)	4. Member States shall ensure that the licences referred to in paragraph 1 are sought from a collective management organisation that is representative for the Member State where:	4. Member States shall ensure that the licences referred to in paragraph 1 are sought from a collective management organisation that is representative for the Member State where:	4. Member States shall ensure that the licences referred to in paragraph 1 are sought from a collective management organisation that is representative for the Member State where: <u>the cultural heritage institution is established.</u>	GREEN 4. Member States shall ensure that the licences referred to in paragraph 1 are sought from a collective management organisation that is representative for the Member State where the cultural heritage institution is established.

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					<i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
176.	Art. 7, para 5, introductory part (Council)			<u>5. This Article shall not apply to sets of out-of-commerce if, following the reasonable effort to determine commercial availability, there is evidence that such sets predominantly consist of:</u>	GREEN 5. This Article shall not apply to sets of out-of-commerce works if, on the basis of the reasonable effort referred to in paragraph 2, there is evidence that such sets predominantly consist of: <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
177.	Art. 7, para 4, point (a) (EP)/ Art. 7, para 5, point (a) (Council)	(a) the works or phonograms were first published or, in the absence of publication, where they were first broadcast, except for cinematographic and audiovisual works;	(a) the works or phonograms were first published or, in the absence of publication, where they were first broadcast, except for cinematographic and audiovisual works;	(a) the works or <u>other subject-matter</u> phonograms were first published or, in the absence of publication, where they were first broadcast <u>in a third country</u> , except for cinematographic and/or audiovisual works;	GREEN (a) works or other subject-matter first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in a third country, except for cinematographic or audiovisual works; <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
178.	Art. 7, para 4, point (b) (EP)/ Art. 7, para 5, point (b) (Council)	(b) the producers of the works have their headquarters or habitual residence, for cinematographic and audiovisual works; or	(b) the producers of the works have their headquarters or habitual residence, for cinematographic and audiovisual works; or	(b) <u>cinematographic or audiovisual works</u> , the producers of the works <u>which</u> have their headquarters or habitual residence, for cinematographic and audiovisual works <u>in a third country</u> ; or	GREEN (b) cinematographic or audiovisual works, the producers of which have their headquarters or habitual residence in a third country; or <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
179.	Art. 7, para 4, point (c) (EP) /Art. 7, para 5, point (c) (Council)	(c) the cultural heritage institution is established, when a Member State or a third country could not be determined, after reasonable efforts, according to points (a) and (b).	(c) the cultural heritage institution is established, when a Member State or a third country could not be determined, after reasonable efforts, according to points (a) and (b).	c) the cultural heritage institution is established, <u>works or other subject-matter of third country nationals</u> when a Member State or a third country could not be determined, after a <u>reasonable effort</u> , according to points (a) and (b). ;	GREEN c) works or other subject-matter of third country nationals when a Member State or a third country could not be determined, after a reasonable effort, according to points (a) and (b); <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
180.	Art. 7, para 5 (EP)/ Art. 7, para 5, closing	5. Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall not apply to the works or other subject-matter of third country nationals except where points (a) and (b) of paragraph 4	5. Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall not apply to the works or other subject-matter of third country nationals except where points (a) and	5. Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall not apply to <u>unless</u> the works or other subject-matter <u>collective management organisation</u>	GREEN unless the collective management organisation is sufficiently representative of

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	phrase (Council)	apply.	(b) of paragraph 4 apply.	is sufficiently representative of rightholders of that third country nationals except where points in the meaning of point (a) and (b) of paragraph 4 apply 1.	rightholders of that third country in the meaning of point (a) of paragraph 1. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
181.	Art. 8, title	<i>Article 8 Cross-border uses</i>	<i>Article 8 Cross-border uses</i>	<i>Article 8 Cross-border uses</i>	<i>Article 8 Cross-border uses</i>
182.	Art. 8, para 1	1. Works or other subject-matter covered by a licence granted in accordance with Article 7 may be used by the cultural heritage institution in accordance with the terms of the licence in all Member States.	1. Works Out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter covered by a licence granted in accordance with Article 7 may be used by the cultural heritage institution in accordance with the terms of the licence that Article in all Member States.	1. Works or other subject-matter covered by a A licence granted in accordance with Article 7 may be used allow the use of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter by the cultural heritage institution in accordance with the terms of the licence in all any Member States State .	GREEN 1. A licence granted in accordance with Article 7 may allow the use of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter by the cultural heritage institution in any Member State. 2. The uses of works and other subject-matter under the exception or limitation referred to in Article 7(1a) shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the cultural heritage institution undertaking that

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					<i>use is established.</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018; see also comment in row 164]</i>
183.	Art. 8, para 2	2. Member States shall ensure that information that allows the identification of the works or other subject-matter covered by a licence granted in accordance with Article 7 and information about the possibility of rightholders to object referred to in Article 7(1)(c) are made publicly accessible in a single online portal for at least six months before the works or other subject-matter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available in Member States other than the one where the licence is granted, and for the whole duration of the licence.	2. Member States shall ensure that information that allows the identification of the works or other subject-matter covered by a licence granted in accordance with Article 7 and information about the possibility of rightholders to object referred to in <i>point (c) of Article 7(1) and point (b) of Article 7(1a)</i> are made publicly <i>permanently, easily and effectively</i> accessible in a <i>public</i> single online portal for at least six months before the works or other subject-matter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available in Member States other than the one where the licence is granted, <i>or in the</i>	2. <i>[Moved to new Article 8a(1)]</i>	GREEN 2. <i>[Moved to new Article 8a(1)]</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>cases covered by Article 7(1a), where the cultural heritage institution is established</i> and for the whole duration of the licence.		
184.	Art. 8, para 3	3. The portal referred to in paragraph 2 shall be established and managed by the European Union Intellectual Property Office in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 386/2012.	3. The portal referred to in paragraph 2 shall be established and managed by the European Union Intellectual Property Office in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 386/2012.	3. <i>[Moved to new Article 8a(1) second subparagraph]</i>	GREEN 3. <i>[Moved to new Article 8a(1) second subparagraph]</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
185.	Art. 8a, title			<u>Article 8a</u> <u>Publicity measures</u>	GREEN <u>Article 8a</u> <u>Publicity measures</u> <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
186.	Art. 8a, para 1, sub-para 1			1. Member States shall ensure that information that allows for the purposes of the identification of the <u>out-of-commerce</u> works or other subject-matter covered by a licence granted in	GREEN 1. Member States shall ensure that information from cultural heritage institutions, collective management organisations

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<p>accordance with Article 7 and as well as information about the possibility possibilities of rightholders to object referred to in Article 7(1)(c) are), and, as soon as it is available, information on the parties to the licence, the covered territories and the allowed uses is made publicly accessible in a single online portal for from at least six months before the works or other subject-matter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available in Member States other than the one where the licence is granted, and for the whole duration of to the public in accordance with the licence.</p> <p><i>[Article 8(2) of the COM proposal, amended]</i></p>	<p>or relevant public authorities for the purposes of the identification of the out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter covered by a licence granted in accordance with Article 7(1) or used under the exception or limitation referred to in Article 7(1a) as well as information about the possibilities of rightholders referred to in Article 7(1c), and, as soon as it is available and where relevant, information on the parties to the licence, the covered territories and the allowed uses is made permanently, easily and effectively accessible in a public single online portal from at least six months before the works or other subject-matter are distributed, communicated to the public or made available to the public in accordance with the licence or under the</p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					<p>exception or limitation.</p> <p><i>[Article 8(2) of the COM proposal, amended]</i></p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018, including clarification in recital (27) that the licence may cover one, several or all Member States; see also comment in row 164]</i></p>
187.	Art. 8a, para 1, sub- para 2			<p>3.—The portal referred to in paragraph 2 shall be established and managed by the European Union Intellectual Property Office in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 386/2012.</p> <p><i>[Article 8(3) of the COM proposal, amended]</i></p>	<p>GREEN</p> <p>The portal shall be established and managed by the European Union Intellectual Property Office in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 386/2012.</p> <p><i>[Article 8(3) of the COM proposal, amended]</i></p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i></p>
188.	Art. 8a,			2. Member States shall	GREEN

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	para 2			<p>provide that, <u>if necessary for the general awareness of rightholders, further</u> appropriate publicity measures are taken regarding: (a) the deeming of works or other subject-matter as out of commerce; (b) the licence, and in particular its application to unrepresented rightholders; <u>the possibility for collective management organisations to license works or other subject-matter in accordance with Article 7, the licences granted and</u> (e) the possibilities to object of rightholders referred to in point (e) of paragraph 1 Article 7(1)(c);</p> <p>Including during a reasonable period of time before the works or other subject-matter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made</p>	<p>2. Member States shall provide that, if necessary for the general awareness of rightholders, further appropriate publicity measures are taken regarding the possibility for collective management organisations to license works or other subject-matter in accordance with Article 7, the licences granted, the uses under the exception or limitation referred to in Article 7(1a) and the possibilities of rightholders referred to in Article 7(1c).</p> <p>The additional appropriate publicity measures shall be taken in the Member State where the licence is sought in accordance to Article 7(1) or, for uses under the exception or limitation referred to in Article 7(1a), where the cultural heritage institution is established. If there is evidence, such as the</p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<p>available.</p> <p><u>The additional appropriate publicity measures shall be taken in the Member State where the licence is sought. If there is evidence, such as the origin of the works or other subject-matter, to suggest that the awareness of rightholders could be more efficiently raised in other Member States or third countries, such publicity measures shall also cover those Member States and third countries.</u></p> <p><i>[Article 7(3) of the COM proposal, amended]</i></p>	<p>origin of the works or other subject-matter, to suggest that the awareness of rightholders could be more efficiently raised in other Member States or third countries, such publicity measures shall also cover those Member States and third countries.</p> <p><i>[Article 7(3) of the COM proposal, amended]</i></p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018; see also comment in row 164]</i></p>
189.	Art. 9, title	<i>Article 9 Stakeholder dialogue</i>	<i>Article 9 Stakeholder dialogue</i>	<i>Article 9 Stakeholder dialogue</i>	<i>Article 9 Stakeholder dialogue</i>
190.	Art 9	Member States shall ensure a regular dialogue between representative users' and rightholders' organisations, and	Member States shall ensure a regular dialogue between representative users' and rightholders' organisations,	Member States shall ensure <u>consult rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural</u>	GREEN Member States shall consult rightholders, collective

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		any other relevant stakeholder organisations, to, on a sector-specific basis, foster the relevance and usability of the licensing mechanisms referred to in Article 7(1), ensure the effectiveness of the safeguards for rightholders referred to in this Chapter, notably as regards publicity measures, and, where applicable, assist in the establishment of the requirements referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 7(2).	and any other relevant stakeholder organisations, to, on a sector-specific basis, foster the relevance and usability of the licensing mechanisms referred to in Article 7(1) and the exception referred to in Article 7(1a) , ensure the effectiveness of the safeguards for rightholders referred to in this Chapter, notably as regards publicity measures, and, where applicable, assist in the establishment of the requirements referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 7(2).	<u>heritage institutions in each sector before establishing specific requirements pursuant to Article 7(2), and encourage</u> a regular dialogue between representative users' and rightholders' organisations, <u>including collective management organisations,</u> and any other relevant stakeholder organisations, to, on a sector-specific basis, <u>to</u> foster the relevance and usability of the licensing mechanisms referred to in Article 7(1), <u>and to</u> ensure the effectiveness of the safeguards for rightholders referred to in this Chapter, notably as regards publicity measures, and, where applicable, assist in the establishment of the requirements referred to in the second subparagraph of	management organisations and cultural heritage institutions in each sector before establishing specific requirements pursuant to Article 7(2), and encourage a regular dialogue between representative users' and rightholders' organisations, including collective management organisations, and any other relevant stakeholder organisations, on a sector-specific basis, to foster the relevance and usability of the licensing mechanisms referred to in Article 7(1) and to ensure the effectiveness of the safeguards for rightholders referred to in this Chapter. <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				Article 7(2).	
191.				<u>CHAPTER 1a</u> <u>Measures to facilitate collective licensing</u>	<u>CHAPTER 1a</u> <u>Measures to facilitate collective licensing</u>
192.	Art. 9a, title			<u>Article 9a</u> <u>Collective licensing with an extended effect</u>	<u>Article 9a</u> <u>Collective licensing with an extended effect</u> <i>[Article 9a provisionally agreed; subject to Council approval of wording as set out in rows 193 - 202, and related recitals (28a)-(28h) as set out in rows 56 - 63 (= wording of WK 15203/18)]</i>
193.	Art. 9a, para 1			<u>1. Member States may provide, as far as the use within their national territory is concerned and subject to safeguards provided for in this Article, that when a collective management organisation, in</u>	<u>1. Member States may provide, as far as the use within their national territory is concerned and subject to safeguards provided for in this Article, that when a collective management organisation, to which is subject to the national rules implementing</u>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<p><u>accordance with its mandates from rightholders, enters into a licensing agreement for the exploitation of works or other subject-matter such an agreement may be extended to apply to the rights of rightholders who have not authorised the organisation to represent them by way of assignment, licence or any other contractual arrangement; or, with respect to such an agreement, the organisation has a legal mandate or is presumed to represent rightholders who have not authorised the organisation accordingly.</u></p>	<p>Article 2 of Directive 2014/26/EU, applies and which, in accordance with its mandates from rightholders, enters into a licensing agreement for the exploitation of works or other subject-matter such an agreement may be extended to apply to the rights of rightholders who have not authorised the that collective management organisation to represent them by way of assignment, licence or any other contractual arrangement; or, with respect to such an agreement, the organisation has a legal mandate or is presumed to represent rightholders who have not authorised the organisation accordingly.</p> <p><i>[see comment in rows 192 and 164]</i></p>
194.	Art. 9a, para 2			<p><u>2. Member States shall ensure that the licensing mechanism referred to in</u></p>	<p>2. Member States shall ensure that the licensing mechanism referred to in</p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<u>paragraph 1 is only applied within well-defined areas of use where obtaining authorisations from rightholders on an individual basis is typically onerous and impractical to a degree that makes the required licensing transaction unlikely due to the nature of the use or of the types of works or other subject-matter concerned and that such mechanism safeguards the legitimate interests of rightholders.</u>	paragraph 1 is only applied within well-defined areas of use where obtaining authorisations from rightholders on an individual basis is typically onerous and impractical to a degree that makes the required licensing transaction unlikely due to the nature of the use or of the types of works or other subject-matter concerned and that such licensing mechanism safeguards the legitimate interests of rightholders . <i>[see comment in rows 192 and 164]</i>
195.	Art. 9a, para 3			<u>3. The safeguards referred to in paragraph 1 must ensure that:</u>	3. The safeguards referred to in paragraph 1 must ensure shall provide that: <i>[see comment in rows 192 and 164]</i>
196.	Art. 9a, para 3,			<u>(a) the organisation is, on the basis of mandates</u>	(a) the collective right management organisation is,

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	point (a)			<u>from rightholders, sufficiently representative of rightholders in the relevant type of works or other subject-matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence for the relevant Member State;</u>	on the basis of mandates from rightholders, sufficiently representative of rightholders in the relevant type of works or other subject-matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence for the relevant Member State; <i>[see comment in rows 192 and 164]</i>
197.	Art. 9a, para 3, point (b)			<u>(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence;</u>	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders, <u>including</u> in relation to the terms of the licence; <i>[see comment in rows 192 and 164]</i>
198.	Art. 9a, para 3, point (c)			<u>(c) rightholders who have not authorised the organisation operating the licence may at any time easily and effectively exclude their works or other subject-matter from the licensing mechanism established in accordance</u>	(c) rightholders who have not authorised the organisation operating the licence may at any time easily and effectively exclude their works or other subject-matter from the licensing mechanism established in accordance with this Article;

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<u>with this Article;</u>	<i>[see comment in rows 192 and 164]</i>
199.	Art. 9a, para 3, point (d)			<u>(d) appropriate publicity measures are taken to raise the awareness of rightholders regarding the possibility for organisations to license works or other subject-matter and the licensing taking place in accordance with this Article, and the possibilities of rightholders referred to in point (c) starting from a reasonable period before the works or other subject-matter are used under the licence. Publicity measures should be effective without the need to inform each rightholder individually.</u>	(d) appropriate publicity measures are taken to raise the awareness of <u>inform</u> rightholders regarding the possibility for <u>the collective management</u> organisations to license works or other subject-matter and the licensing taking place in accordance with this Article, and the possibilities of rightholders referred to in point (c) starting from a reasonable period before the works or other subject-matter are used under the licence. Publicity measures should be effective without the need to inform each rightholder individually. <i>[see comment in rows 192 and 164]</i>
200.	Art. 9a, para 4			<u>4. The rules provided for in this Article are</u>	4. The rules provided for in this Article are without

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<u>without prejudice to the application of collective licensing mechanisms with an extended effect in conformity with other provisions of Union law, including those which allow exceptions or limitations, and shall not apply to mandatory collective management of rights.</u>	prejudice does not affect to the application of collective licensing mechanisms with an extended effect in conformity with other existing provisions of Union law, including those provisions which allow exceptions or limitations. <u>This article</u> shall not apply to mandatory collective management of rights. <u>Article 7 of Directive 2014/26/EU shall apply to the licensing mechanism provided for in this Article.</u> <i>[see comment in row 192 and 164]</i>
201.	Art. 9a, para 5			<u>5. Where the law of a Member State provides for a licensing mechanism in accordance with this Article, the Member State concerned shall inform the Commission about the scope of that law,</u>	5. Where the law of a Member State provides for a licensing mechanism in accordance with this Article, the Member State concerned shall inform the Commission about the scope of that law, purposes and types of licences

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<u>purposes and types of licences that may be introduced under that law as well as contact details for organisations issuing licences in accordance with the mechanism in paragraph 1. The Commission shall publish this information.</u>	that may be introduced under that law as well as contact details for organisations issuing licences in accordance with the mechanism in paragraph 1, <u>and the way in which information on the licensing and the possibilities of rightholders referred to in point (c) of paragraph 3 can be obtained.</u> The Commission shall publish this information. <i>[see comment in row 192 and 164]</i>
202.	Art. 9a, para 6			<u>6. Based on the information received pursuant to paragraph 5 and on the discussions in the contact committee referred to in Article 12(3) of Directive 2001/29/EC, the Commission shall, by 31 December 2020, submit to the European Parliament and to the Council a report on the</u>	6. Based on the information received pursuant to paragraph 5 and on the discussions in the contact committee referred to in Article 12(3) of Directive 2001/29/EC, the Commission shall, by 10 April 2021 , submit to the European Parliament and to the Council a report on the use of such mechanisms referred to in

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<p><u>use of such mechanisms referred to in paragraph 1 in the EU and their impact on licensing and rightholders. The Commission's report shall be accompanied, if appropriate, by a legislative proposal, including</u> as regards publicity measures, and, where applicable, assist in the establishment of the requirements referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 7(2). <u>the cross-border effect of such national schemes.</u></p>	<p>paragraph 1 in the EU, and their <u>impact on licensing and rightholders, including right holders who are not members and/or who are nationals of, or resident in, another Member State, their effectiveness to facilitate the dissemination of cultural content, and the impact on the internal market, including the cross-border provision of services and competition.</u> The Commission's report shall be accompanied, if appropriate, by a legislative proposal, including as regards the cross-border effect of such national schemes.</p> <p><i>[see comment in row 192 and 164]</i></p>
203.		CHAPTER 2 Access to and availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms	CHAPTER 2 Access to and availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms	CHAPTER 2 Access to and availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms	CHAPTER 2 Access to and availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
204.	Art. 10, title	<i>Article 10 Negotiation mechanism</i>	<i>Article 10 Negotiation mechanism</i>	<i>Article 10 Negotiation mechanism</i>	<i>Article 10 Negotiation mechanism</i>
205.	Art. 10, sub-para 1	Member States shall ensure that where parties wishing to conclude an agreement for the purpose of making available audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms face difficulties relating to the licensing of rights, they may rely on the assistance of an impartial body with relevant experience. That body shall provide assistance with negotiation and help reach agreements.	Member States shall ensure that where parties wishing to conclude an agreement for the purpose of making available audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms face difficulties relating to the licensing of audiovisual rights, they may rely on the assistance of an impartial body with relevant experience. That body The impartial body created or designated by the Member State for the purpose of this Article shall provide assistance to the parties with negotiation and help them to reach agreement.	Member States shall ensure that where parties wishing facing difficulties related to the licensing of rights when seeking to conclude an agreement for the purpose of making available audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms face difficulties relating to the licensing of rights, they services, may rely on the assistance of an impartial body with relevant experience. That or of mediators. The body or mediators shall provide assistance to the parties with negotiation their negotiations and help them reach agreements, including, where appropriate, by submitting proposals to the parties.	GREEN Member States shall ensure that parties facing difficulties related to the licensing of rights when seeking to conclude an agreement for the purpose of making available audiovisual works on video-on-demand services, may rely on the assistance of an impartial body or of mediators. The impartial body created or designated by the Member State for the purpose of this Article or mediators shall provide assistance to the parties with their negotiations and help them reach agreements, including, where appropriate, by submitting proposals to the parties.

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					<i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
206.	Art. 10, sub-para 2	No later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)] Member States shall notify to the Commission the body referred to in paragraph 1.	No later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)] Member States shall notify to inform the Commission of the body referred to in paragraph 1. they create or designate pursuant to the first paragraph.	No later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)] Member States shall notify to the Commission the body or mediators referred to in paragraph 1 no later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)]. In cases where Member States have chosen to rely on mediation, the notification to the Commission shall at least include, when available, the source where relevant information on the entrusted mediators can be found.	GREEN Member States shall notify to the Commission the body or mediators referred to in paragraph 1 no later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)]. In cases where Member States have chosen to rely on mediation, the notification to the Commission shall at least include, when available, the source where relevant information on the entrusted mediators can be found. <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
207.	Art. 10, sub-para 3		<i>To encourage the availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms, Member States shall foster dialogue between representative</i>		GREEN <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue on 26/11/2018 to insert part of the EP mandate of this row into a recital (not</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>organisations of authors, producers, video-on-demand platforms and other relevant stakeholders.</i>		<i>in Article) along the following lines: Member States should encourage dialogue between representative organisations, without it being an obligation for Member States]</i>
208.			CHAPTER 2a Access to Union publications		Deleted <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogues 26/11/2018 / 03/12/2018]</i>
209.	Art. 10a, title		Article 10 a Union Legal Deposit		Deleted <i>[see comment in row 208]</i>
210.	Art. 10a, para 1		1. Any electronic publication dealing with Union-related matters such as Union law, Union history and integration, Union policy and Union democracy, institutional and parliamentary affairs, and politics, that is made available to the public in the Union shall be subject to a Union Legal Deposit.		Deleted <i>[see comment in row 208]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
211.	Art. 10a, para 2		<i>2. The European Parliament Library shall be entitled to delivery, free of charge, of one copy of every publication referred to in paragraph 1.</i>		Deleted <i>[see comment in row 208]</i>
212.	Art. 10a, para 3		<i>3. The obligation set out in paragraph 1 shall apply to publishers, printers and importers of publications for the works they publish, print or import in the Union.</i>		Deleted <i>[see comment in row 208]</i>
213.	Art. 10a, para 4		<i>4. From the day of the delivery to the European Parliament Library, the publications referred to in paragraph 1 shall become part of the European Parliament Library permanent collection. They shall be made available to users at the European Parliament Library's premises exclusively for the purpose of research or</i>		Deleted <i>[see comment in row 208]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>study by accredited researchers and under the control of the European Parliament Library.</i>		
214.	Art. 10a, para 5		<i>5. The Commission shall adopt acts to specify the modalities relating to the delivery to the European Parliament Library of publications referred to in paragraph 1.</i>		Deleted <i>[see comment in row 208]</i>
215.		TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL-FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR COPYRIGHT	TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL- FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR COPYRIGHT	TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL- FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR COPYRIGHT	TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL-FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR COPYRIGHT
216.		CHAPTER 1 Rights in publications	CHAPTER 1 Rights in publications	CHAPTER 1 Rights in publications	CHAPTER 1 Rights in publications
217.	Art. 11, title	<i>Article 11 Protection of press publications concerning digital uses</i>	<i>Article 11 Protection of press publications concerning digital uses</i>	<i>Article 11 Protection of press publications concerning <u>digital</u> <u>online</u> uses</i>	<i>Article 11 Protection of press publications concerning <u>online</u> uses</i>
218.	Art. 11,	1. Member States shall	1. Member States shall	1. Member States shall	GREEN

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	para 1	provide publishers of press publications with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3(2) of Directive 2001/29/EC for the digital use of their press publications.	provide publishers of press publications with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3(2) of Directive 2001/29/EC <i>so that they may obtain fair and proportionate remuneration</i> for the digital use of their press publications <i>by information society service providers</i> .	provide publishers of press publications <u>established in a Member State</u> with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3(2) of Directive 2001/29/EC for the digital <u>online</u> use of their press publications <u>by information society service providers</u> .	1. Member States shall provide publishers of press publications established in a Member State with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3(2) of Directive 2001/29/EC for the online use of their press publications by information society service providers. These rights shall not apply to private or non-commercial uses of press publications carried out by individual users. The protection granted under the first subparagraph shall not apply to acts of hyperlinking. <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i>
219.	Art. 11, para 1, sub-para 2			<u>The rights referred to in the first subparagraph shall not apply in respect of uses of insubstantial parts of a press publication. Member</u>	<i>[To be further discussed at trilogue level]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<u>States shall be free to determine the insubstantial nature of parts of press publications taking into account whether these parts are the expression of the intellectual creation of their authors, or whether these parts are individual words or very short excerpts, or both criteria.</u>	
220.	Art. 11, para 1a		<i>1a. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall not prevent legitimate private and non-commercial use of press publications by individual users.</i>		GREEN 1a. These rights shall not apply to private and non-commercial uses of press publications carried out by individual user. <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i>
221.	Art. 11, para 2	2. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall leave intact and shall in no way affect any rights provided for in Union law to authors and other	2. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall leave intact and shall in no way affect any rights provided for in Union law to authors	2. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall leave intact and shall in no way affect any rights provided for in Union law to authors	GREEN 2. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall leave intact and shall in no way affect any

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		rightholders, in respect of the works and other subject-matter incorporated in a press publication. Such rights may not be invoked against those authors and other rightholders and, in particular, may not deprive them of their right to exploit their works and other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated.	and other rightholders, in respect of the works and other subject-matter incorporated in a press publication. Such rights may not be invoked against those authors and other rightholders and, in particular, may not deprive them of their right to exploit their works and other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated.	and other rightholders, in respect of the works and other subject-matter incorporated in a press publication. Such <u>The rights referred to in paragraph 1</u> may not be invoked against those authors and other rightholders and, in particular, may not deprive them of their right to exploit their works and other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated.	rights provided for in Union law to authors and other rightholders, in respect of the works and other subject-matter incorporated in a press publication. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 may not be invoked against those authors and other rightholders and, in particular, may not deprive them of their right to exploit their works and other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated. <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i>
222.	Art. 11, para 2, sub-para 2			<u>When a work or other subject-matter is incorporated in a press publication on the basis of a non-exclusive licence, the rights referred to in paragraph 1 may not be invoked to prohibit the use by other authorised users. The rights referred to in</u>	GREEN When a work or other subject-matter is incorporated in a press publication on the basis of a non-exclusive licence, the rights referred to in paragraph 1 may not be invoked to prohibit the use by other authorised users. The rights

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<u>paragraph 1 may not be invoked to prohibit the use of works or other subject-matter whose protection has expired.</u>	referred to in paragraph 1 may not be invoked to prohibit the use of works or other subject matter whose protection has expired. <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i>
223.	Art. 11, para 2a		<i>2a. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall not extend to mere hyperlinks which are accompanied by individual words.</i>		GREEN <i>[integrated into paragraph 1, row 218, as provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i>
224.	Art. 11, para 3	3. Articles 5 to 8 of Directive 2001/29/EC and Directive 2012/28/EU shall apply <i>mutatis mutandis</i> in respect of the rights referred to in paragraph 1.	3. Articles 5 to 8 of Directive 2001/29/EC and Directive 2012/28/EU shall apply <i>mutatis mutandis</i> in respect of the rights referred to in paragraph 1.	3. Articles 5 to 8 of Directive 2001/29/EC and Directive 2012/28/EU shall apply <i>mutatis mutandis</i> in respect of the rights referred to in paragraph 1.	GREEN 3. Articles 5 to 8 of Directive 2001/29/EC and Directives 2012/28/EU and (EU) 2017/1564 shall apply <i>mutatis mutandis</i> in respect of the rights referred to in paragraph 1. <i>[identical text]</i>
225.	Art. 11,	4. The rights referred to in	4. The rights referred to	4. The rights referred to	4. The rights referred to in

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	para 4	paragraph 1 shall expire 20 years after the publication of the press publication. This term shall be calculated from the first day of January of the year following the date of publication.	in paragraph 1 shall expire 20 5 years after the publication of the press publication. This term shall be calculated from the first day of January of the year following the date of publication. <i>The right referred to in paragraph 1 shall not apply with retroactive effect.</i>	in paragraph 1 shall expire 20 years 1 year after the publication of the press publication. This term shall be calculated from the first day of January of the year following the date o publication.	paragraph 1 shall expire 20 years 1 year 5 years after the publication of the press publication. This term shall be calculated from the first day of January of the year following the date o publication. <i>[term of protection to be discussed at trilogue]</i> Paragraph 1 shall not apply to press publications first published before [entry into force of the Directive]. <i>[last sentence provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i>
226.	Art. 11, para 4a		<i>4a. Member States shall ensure that authors receive an appropriate share of the additional revenues press publishers receive for the use of a press publication by information society</i>		<i>[Remuneration of journalists (authors of the works contained in a press publication) to be discussed further at trilogue, possibly in context of the remuneration chapter]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>service providers</i>		
227.	Art. 11, para 5			5. Paragraph 1 shall not apply to press publications first published before [entry into force of the Directive].	GREEN <i>[integrated into paragraph 4; see last sentence in row 225 as provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i>
228.	Art, 12, title	<i>Article 12 Claims to fair compensation</i>	<i>Article 12 Claims to fair compensation</i>	<i>Article 12 Claims to fair compensation</i>	<i>Article 12 Claims to fair compensation</i>
229.	Art. 12, sub-para 1 (EP)/ Art. 12, introductory part (Council)]	Member States may provide that where an author has transferred or licensed a right to a publisher, such a transfer or a licence constitutes a sufficient legal basis for the publisher to claim a share of the compensation for the uses of the work made under an exception or limitation to the transferred or licensed right.	Member States <i>with compensation-sharing systems between authors and publishers for exceptions and limitations</i> may provide that where an author has transferred or licensed a right to a publisher, such a transfer or a licence constitutes a sufficient legal basis for the publisher to claim a share of the compensation for the uses of the work made under an exception or limitation to the transferred or licensed right, provided that an	Member States may provide that where an author has transferred or licensed a right to a publisher, such a transfer or a licence constitutes a sufficient legal basis for the publisher to claim be entitled to a share of: <i>[remaining part of this paragraph of the COM proposal was moved to new point (a) (see row 230)]</i>	GREEN Member States may provide that where an author has transferred or licensed a right to a publisher, such a transfer or a licence constitutes a sufficient legal basis for the publisher to be entitled to a share of the compensation for the uses of the work made under an exception or limitation to the transferred or licensed right. <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>equivalent compensation-sharing system was in operation in that Member State before 12 November 2015.</i>		<i>[to be read in conjunction with recital (36), as provisionally agreed at TM 04/12/2018, tbc at trilogue - see row 74]</i>
230.	Art. 12, point (a)			(a) the compensation for the uses of the work made under an exception or limitation to the transferred or licensed right; and <i>[See Parliament's subparagraph 1 of Article 12 (row 229)]</i>	GREEN <i>[integrated into row 229]</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i>
231.	Art. 12, point (b)			<u>(b) the remuneration for public lending provided for in Article 6(1) of Directive 2006/115/EC.</u>	GREEN <i>Deleted</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i>
232.	Art. 12, sub-para 2		<i>The first paragraph shall be without prejudice to the arrangements in Member States concerning public lending rights, the management of rights not</i>		GREEN The first paragraph shall be without prejudice to existing and future arrangements in Member States concerning

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>based on exceptions or limitations to copyright, such as extended collective licensing schemes, or concerning remuneration rights on the basis of national law.</i>		public lending rights. <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i> <i>[to be read in conjunction with recital (36), as provisionally agreed at TM 04/12/2018 to be confirmed at trilogue - see row 74]</i>
233.			CHAPTER 1a <i>Protection of sport event organizers</i>		GREEN <i>Deleted</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at trilogues 26/11/2018 / 03/12/2018]</i>
234.	Art. 12a, title		Article 12a <i>Protection of sport event organizers</i>		GREEN <i>Deleted</i> <i>[see comments in row 233]</i>
235.	Art. 12a		<i>Member States shall provide sport event organizers with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3 (2) of</i>		GREEN <i>Deleted</i> <i>[see comments in row 233]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>Directive 2001/29/EC and Article 7 of Directive 2006/115/EC.</i>		
236.		CHAPTER 2 Certain uses of protected content by online services	CHAPTER 2 Certain uses of protected content by online services	CHAPTER 2 Certain uses of protected content by online services	CHAPTER 2 Certain uses of protected content by online services
237.	Art. 13, title	<i>Article 13 Use of protected content by information society service providers storing and giving access to large amounts of works and other subject-matter uploaded by their users</i>	<i>Article 13 Use of protected content by information society online content sharing service providers storing and giving access to large amounts of works and other subject-matter uploaded by their users</i>	<i>Article 13 Use of protected content by information society online content sharing service providers storing</i>	<i>Article 13 Use of protected content by online content sharing service providers [storing and giving access to large amounts of works and other subject-matter uploaded by their users] [Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue]</i>
238.	Art. 13, para 1	1. Information society service providers that store and provide to the public access to large amounts of works or other subject-matter uploaded by their users shall, in cooperation with	1. <i>Without prejudice to Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC, online content sharing information society service providers that store and</i>	1. <u>Member States shall provide that an online content sharing service provider performs an act of communication to the public or an act of making</u>	1. Member States shall provide that an online content sharing service provider performs an act of communication to the public or an act of making available

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		<p>rightholders, take measures to ensure the functioning of agreements concluded with rightholders for the use of their works or other subject-matter or to prevent the availability on their services of works or other subject-matter identified by rightholders through the cooperation with the service providers. Those measures, such as the use of effective content recognition technologies, shall be appropriate and proportionate. The service providers shall provide rightholders with adequate information on the functioning and the deployment of the measures, as well as, when relevant, adequate reporting on the recognition and use of the works and other subject-matter.</p>	<p>provide <i>perform an act of communication</i> to the public access to large amounts of works or other subject-matter uploaded by their users. <i>They</i> shall in cooperation with rightholders, take measures to ensure the functioning of agreements concluded with rightholders for the use of their works or other subject-matter or to prevent the availability on their services of works or other subject-matter identified by rightholders through the cooperation with the service providers. Those measures, such as the use of effective content recognition technologies, shall be <i>therefore conclude fair and</i> appropriate and proportionate. The service providers shall provide rightholders with adequate information on the functioning and the</p>	<p><u>available to the public when it gives the public access to copyright protected works or other protected subject matter uploaded by its users.</u></p> <p><u>An online content sharing service provider shall obtain an authorisation from the rightholders referred to in Article 3(1) and giving access to large amounts of (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC in order to communicate or make available to the public works or other subject matter. Where no such authorisation has been obtained, the service provider shall prevent the availability on its service of those works and other subject-matter uploaded by matter, including through the application of measures referred to in paragraph 4. This</u></p>	<p>to the public when it gives the public access to copyright protected works or other protected subject matter uploaded by its users.</p> <p>An online content sharing service provider shall therefore obtain an authorisation from the rightholders referred to in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC, [for instance by concluding a licencing agreement,] in order to communicate or make available to the public works or other subject matter.</p> <p>[situation where no authorisation has been obtained, to be further discussed at trilogue]</p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<p>deployment of the measures, as well as, when relevant, adequate reporting on the recognition and use of the works and other subject-matter. <i>licensing agreements with right holders.</i></p>	<p><u>subparagraph shall apply without prejudice to exceptions and limitations provided for in Union law.</u></p> <p><u>Member States shall provide that when an authorisation has been obtained, including via a licensing agreement, by an online content sharing service provider, this authorisation shall also cover acts of uploading by the users of the service falling within Article 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC when they are not acting on a commercial basis.</u></p> <p><i>[Last two sentences of COM proposal were moved to Council's paragraphs 5 and 6 respectively]</i></p>	<p>Member States shall provide that when an authorisation has been obtained, including via a licensing agreement, by an online content sharing service provider, this authorisation shall also cover acts carried out by users of the services falling within Article 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC when they are not acting on a commercial basis, <u>or are not generating significant revenues.</u></p> <p><i>[last sentence provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i></p>
239.	Art. 13, para 2 (EP)		<p>2. Member States shall ensure that the <i>Licensing agreements which are concluded by online</i></p>		<p><i>[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue]</i></p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<p><i>content sharing</i> service providers <i>with right holders for the acts of communication</i> referred to in paragraph 1 put in place complaints and redress mechanisms that are available to users in case of disputes over the application of the measures referred to in paragraph 1, <i>shall cover the liability for works uploaded by the users of such online content sharing services in line with the terms and conditions set out in the licensing agreement, provided that such users do not act for commercial purposes.</i></p> <p><i>[See Council's paragraph 1, subparagraph 3 (row 238)]</i></p>		
240.	Art. 13, para 2a (EP)		<p><i>2a. Member States shall provide that where right holders do not wish to conclude licensing agreements, online content</i></p>		<i>[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>sharing service providers and right holders shall cooperate in good faith in order to ensure that unauthorised protected works or other subject matter are not available on their services. Cooperation between online content service providers and right holders shall not lead to preventing the availability of non-infringing works or other protected subject matter, including those covered by an exception or limitation to copyright.</i>		
241.	Art. 13, para 2 / para 2b (EP)	2. Member States shall ensure that the service providers referred to in paragraph 1 put in place complaints and redress mechanisms that are available to users in case of disputes over the application of the measures referred to in paragraph 1.	<i>2b. Members States shall ensure that online content sharing service providers referred to in paragraph 1 put in place effective and expeditious complaints and redress mechanisms that are available to users in case the cooperation referred to in paragraph 2a leads to unjustified</i>	<i>[Paragraph 2 of the COM proposal was moved to new paragraph 7 of Council's text]</i>	<i>[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<p><i>removals of their content. Any complaint filed under such mechanisms shall be processed without undue delay and be subject to human review. Right holders shall reasonably justify their decisions to avoid arbitrary dismissal of complaints. Moreover, in accordance with Directive 95/46/EC, Directive 2002/58/EC and the General Data Protection Regulation, the cooperation shall not lead to any identification of individual users nor the processing of their personal data. Member States shall also ensure that users have access to an independent body for the resolution of disputes as well as to a court or another relevant judicial authority to assert the use of an exception or limitation to copyright</i></p>		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>rules.</i> <i>[See Council's Article 13(7) (row 252)]</i>		
242.	Art. 13, para 3 (EP)	3. Member States shall facilitate, where appropriate, the cooperation between the information society service providers and rightholders through stakeholder dialogues to define best practices, such as appropriate and proportionate content recognition technologies, taking into account, among others, the nature of the services, the availability of the technologies and their effectiveness in light of technological developments.	3. <i>As of [date of entry into force of this directive], the Commission and the</i> Member States shall facilitate where appropriate, the cooperation <i>organise dialogues</i> between the information society service providers and rightholders through stakeholder dialogues <i>stakeholders to harmonise and</i> to define best practices, such as appropriate and proportionate content recognition technologies, taking into account, among others, the nature of the services, the availability of the technologies and their effectiveness in light of technological developments. <i>and issue guidance to ensure the functioning of</i>	<i>[See new paragraph 8 of Council's text]</i>	<i>[stakeholder dialogues were moved to row 253]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>licensing agreements and on cooperation between online content sharing service providers and right holders for the use of their works or other subject matter within the meaning of this Directive. When defining best practices, special account shall be taken of fundamental rights, the use of exceptions and limitations as well as ensuring that the burden on SMEs remains appropriate and that automated blocking of content is avoided.</i>		
243.	Art. 13, para 3 (Council)			<u>3. When an online content sharing service provider performs an act of communication to the public or an act of making available to the public, it shall not be eligible for the exemption of liability provided for in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC</u>	<i>[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<u>for unauthorised acts of communication to the public and making available to the public, without prejudice to the possible application of Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC to those services for purposes other than copyright relevant acts.</u>	
244.	Art. 13, para 4, introductory part			<u>4. In the absence of the authorisation referred to in the second subparagraph of paragraph 1, Member States shall provide that an online content sharing service provider shall not be liable for acts of communication to the public or making available to the public within the meaning of this Article when:</u>	<i>[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
245.	Art. 13, para 4, point (a)			<u>(a) it demonstrates that it has made best efforts to prevent the availability of specific works or other subject matter by implementing effective and proportionate measures, in accordance with paragraph 5, to prevent the availability on its services of the specific works or other subject matter identified by rightholders and for which the rightholders have provided the service with relevant and necessary information for the application of these measures; and</u>	<i>[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue]</i>
246.	Art. 13, para 4, point (b)			<u>(b) upon notification by rightholders of works or other subject matter, it has acted expeditiously to remove or disable access to these works or other subject matter and it</u>	<i>[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<u>demonstrates that it has made its best efforts to prevent their users' future availability through the measures referred to in point (a).</u>	
247.	Art. 13, para 5, introductory part			<u>5. The measures referred to in point (a) of paragraph 4 shall be effective and proportionate, taking into account, among other factors:</u>	<i>[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue]</i>
248.	Art. 13, para 5, point (a)			<u>(a) the nature and size of the services, in particular whether they are provided by a microenterprise or a small-sized enterprise within the meaning of Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC, and their audience;</u>	<i>[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue]</i>
249.	Art. 13, para 5,			<u>(b) the amount and the type of works or other</u>	<i>[Article 13 to be further</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	point (b)			<u>subject matter uploaded by the users of the services;</u>	<i>discussed at trilogue]</i>
250.	Art. 13, para 5, point (c)			<u>(c) the availability and costs of the measures as well as their effectiveness in light of technological developments in line with the industry best practice referred to in paragraph 8.</u>	<i>[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue]</i>
251.	Art. 13, para 6			<u>6. Member States shall ensure that online content sharing service providers and rightholders cooperate with each other in a diligent manner to ensure the effective functioning of the measures referred to in point (a) of paragraph 4 over time. Online content sharing service providers shall provide rightholders, at their request, with adequate information on the deployment and</u>	Member States shall provide that online content sharing service providers shall provide rightholders, at their request, with adequate information on

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<u>functioning of these measures to allow the assessment of their effectiveness, in particular information on the type of measures used and, where licensing agreements are concluded between service providers and rightholders, information on the use of content covered by the agreements.</u>	the functioning of their practices with regard to <i>[reference to what will be decided on a possible mitigation mechanism]</i> and, where licensing agreements are concluded between service providers and rightholders, information on the use of content covered by the agreements. <i>[transparency/reporting obligations provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018; other parts to be further discussed at trilogue]</i>
252.	Art. 13, para 7			<u>7. ___ Member States shall ensure that the measures referred to in paragraph 4 are implemented by the online content sharing service provider without prejudice to the possibility for their users to benefit from exceptions or limitations to copyright. For that purpose, the</u>	<i>[Article 13 to be further discussed at trilogue]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<p>service providers referred to in paragraph 1 shall put in place a complaints and redress mechanisms that are <u>is</u> available to users of the service in case of disputes over the application of the measures referred to in paragraph 1 to their <u>content. Complaints submitted under this mechanism shall be processed by the online content sharing service provider in cooperation with relevant rightholders within a reasonable period of time. Rightholders shall duly justify the reasons for their requests to remove or block access to their specific works or other subject matter. Member States shall endeavour to put in place independent bodies to assess complaints related to the application of the</u></p>	

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<u>measures.</u> <i>[Paragraph 2 of the COM proposal, amended]</i>	
253.	Art. 13, para 8			<u>8. The Commission and the Member States shall encourage stakeholder dialogues to define best practices for the measures referred to in point (a) of paragraph 4. Member States shall also endeavour to establish mechanisms to facilitate the assessment of the effectiveness and proportionality of these measures and provide the Commission regularly with information on those mechanisms. The Commission shall, in consultation with online content sharing service providers, rightholders and other relevant stakeholders and taking into account the results of</u>	[8.] As of [date of entry into force of this directive] the <u>Commission in cooperation with the Member States shall organise stakeholder dialogues to discuss best practices for the cooperation between the online content sharing service providers and rightholders.</u> The Commission shall, in consultation with online content sharing service providers, rightholders, users associations and other relevant stakeholders and taking into account the results of the stakeholder dialogues, issue guidance on the application of Article 13 in particular regarding cooperation <i>[ref to exact para]</i> . When discussing the best practices, the need to balance the fundamental rights shall be taken into account.

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<p><u>the stakeholder dialogues and the national mechanisms, issue guidance on the application of the measures referred to in point (a) of paragraph 4.</u></p> <p><i>[Paragraph 3 of the COM proposal, reworded]</i></p>	<p>For the purpose of this stakeholders dialogue, users associations shall have access to adequate information from online content sharing service providers on the functioning of their practices with regard to paragraph <i>[ref. para on cooperation]</i>.</p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018; with exact wording provisionally agreed at TM tbc by trilogue]</i></p>
254.	Art. 13a, title		<i>Article 13a</i>		
255.	Art. 13a, sub-para 1		<i>Member States shall provide that disputes between successors in title and information society services regarding the application of Article 13(1) may be subject to an alternative dispute resolution system.</i>		
256.	Art. 13a,		<i>Member States shall</i>		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	sub-para 2		<i>establish or designate an impartial body with the necessary expertise, with the aim of helping the parties to settle their disputes under this system.</i>		
257.	Art. 13a, sub-para 3		<i>The Member States shall inform the Commission of the establishment of this body no later than (date mentioned in Article 21(1)).</i>		
258.	Art. 13b, title		Article 13b <i>Use of protected content by information society services providing automated image referencing</i>		
259.	Art. 13b		<i>Member States shall ensure that information society service providers that automatically reproduce or refer to significant amounts of copyright-protected visual works and make them available to the public for the purpose of indexing</i>		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>and referencing conclude fair and balanced licensing agreements with any requesting rightholders in order to ensure their fair remuneration. Such remuneration may be managed by the collective management organisation of the rightholders concerned.</i>		
260.				<u>TITLE IV</u> <u>MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL-FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR COPYRIGHT</u>	
261.		CHAPTER 3 Fair remuneration in contracts of authors and performers	CHAPTER 3 Fair remuneration in contracts of authors and performers	CHAPTER 3 Fair remuneration in <u>exploitation</u> contracts of authors and performers	<i>[to be discussed at political level]</i>
262.	Art. -14, title		<i>Article -14</i> <i>Principle of fair and proportionate remuneration</i>		<i>[Presidency compromise suggestion proposed in point II. 2 (b) of the Coreper note]:</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					<i>Article minus 14</i> <i>Principle of fair remuneration</i>
263.	Art. -14, para 1		1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers receive fair and proportionate remuneration for the exploitation of their works and other subject matter, including for their online exploitation. This may be achieved in each sector through a combination of agreements, including collective bargaining agreements, and statutory remuneration mechanisms.		1. Member States shall ensure that when authors and performers license or transfer their exclusive rights for the exploitation of their works or other subject matter they are entitled to receive appropriate remuneration. <i>[Presidency compromise suggestion proposed in point II. 2 (b) of the Coreper note]</i>
264.	Art. -14, para 2		2. Paragraph 1 shall not apply where an author or performer grants a non-exclusive usage right for the benefit of all users free of charge.		2. In the implementation of this principle into national law, Member States shall take into account the principle of contractual freedom and a fair balance of rights and interests. <i>[Presidency compromise</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					<i>suggestion proposed in point II. 2 (b) of the Coreper note]</i>
265.	Art. -14, para 3		3. Member States shall take account of the specificities of each sector in encouraging the proportionate remuneration for rights granted by authors and performers.		<i>[It is suggested to clarify, in this Article or in the recitals, that the principle of fair remuneration does not prevent authors or performers from granting a licence/transferring their rights for free, nor from concluding licensing agreements for a lump sum remuneration.]</i> <i>[Presidency compromise suggestion proposed in point II. 2 (b) of the Coreper note]</i>
266.	Art. -14, para 4		4. Contracts shall specify the remuneration applicable to each mode of exploitation.		
267.	Art. 14, title	<i>Article 14 Transparency obligation</i>	<i>Article 14 Transparency obligation</i>	<i>Article 14 Transparency obligation</i>	<i>Article 14 Transparency obligation</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
268.	Art. 14, para 1	1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers receive on a regular basis and taking into account the specificities of each sector, timely, adequate and sufficient information on the exploitation of their works and performances from those to whom they have licensed or transferred their rights, notably as regards modes of exploitation, revenues generated and remuneration due.	1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers receive on a regular basis, <i>not less than once a year</i> , and taking into account the specificities of each sector <i>and the relative importance of each individual contribution</i> , timely adequate and sufficient , <i>accurate, relevant and comprehensive</i> information on the exploitation of their works and performances from those to whom they have licensed or transferred their rights, notably as regards modes of exploitation, <i>direct and indirect</i> revenues generated, and remuneration due.	1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers receive on a regular basis, <u>at least once a year</u> , and taking into account the specificities of each sector, timely, adequate and sufficient information on the exploitation of their works and performances from those to whom they have licensed or transferred their rights <u>or their successors in title</u> , notably as regards modes of exploitation, revenues generated and remuneration due.	1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers receive on a regular basis, <i>at least once a year</i> , and taking into account the specificities of each sector [<i>and the relative importance of each individual contribution</i>], timely, adequate and sufficient <i>up to date, relevant and comprehensive</i> information on the exploitation of their works and performances from those to whom they have licensed or transferred their rights <i>or their successors in title</i> , notably as regards modes of exploitation, <i>direct and indirect all(*)</i> revenues generated and remuneration due. [(*) subject to explicit reference to 'merchandising' as example of a possible form of covered revenues in the recitals (see recital (40a), row 92, and recital (42), row 95]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					[yellow part depending on solution in Article 14(3)]
269.	Art. 14, para 1a		<i>1a. Member States shall ensure that where the licensee or transferee of rights of authors and performers subsequently licenses those rights to another party, such party shall share all information referred to in paragraph 1 with the licensee or transferee.</i>	<u>1a. Member States shall ensure that where the rights referred to in paragraph 1 have subsequently been licensed to another party, authors and performers may, at their request, receive from those third parties additional information if their first contractual counterpart does not hold all the information that would be necessary for the purposes of the information provision set out in paragraph 1. Member States may provide that such request to those third parties is made directly by the author or performer or indirectly through the contractual counterpart of the author or the</u>	1a. Member States shall ensure that where the rights referred to in paragraph 1 have subsequently been licensed, authors and performers <i>or their representatives shall</i> , at their request, receive <i>from sub-licensees</i> additional information if their first contractual counterpart does not hold all the information that would be necessary for the purposes of paragraph 1. <i>Where this information is requested, the first contractual counterpart of authors and performers shall provide information on the identity of those sub-licensees.</i> Member States may provide that <i>any</i> such request to those <i>sub-licensees</i> is made directly

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<u>performer.</u>	or indirectly through the contractual counterpart of the author or the performer. <i>[provisionally agreed at TM to be confirmed at trilogue]</i>
270.	Art. 14, para 1a, sub-para 2		<i>The main licensee or transferee shall pass all the information referred to in the first subparagraph on to the author or performer. That information shall be unchanged, except in the case of commercially sensitive information as defined by Union or national law, which, without prejudice to Articles 15 and 16a, may be subject to a non-disclosure agreement, for the purpose of preserving fair competition. Where the main licensee or transferee does not provide the information as referred to</i>		Deleted <i>[integrated into row 219, provisionally agreed at TM, to be confirmed at Trilogue]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<p><i>in this subparagraph in a timely manner, the author or performer shall be entitled to request that information directly from the sub-licensee.</i></p> <p><i>[See Council's Article 14(1a) (row 269)]</i></p>		
271.	Art. 14, para 2	2. The obligation in paragraph 1 shall be proportionate and effective and shall ensure an appropriate level of transparency in every sector. However, in those cases where the administrative burden resulting from the obligation would be disproportionate in view of the revenues generated by the exploitation of the work or performance, Member States may adjust the obligation in paragraph 1, provided that the obligation remains effective and ensures an appropriate level of transparency.	2. The obligation in paragraph 1 shall be proportionate and effective and shall ensure an appropriate a high level of transparency in every sector. However, in those cases where the administrative burden resulting from the obligation would be disproportionate in view of the revenues generated by the exploitation of the work or performance, Member States may adjust the obligation in paragraph 1, provided that the obligation remains effective and ensures an appropriate a	2. The obligation in paragraph 1 shall be proportionate and effective and shall ensure an appropriate level of transparency in every sector. However, in these Member States may provide that in duly justified cases where the administrative burden resulting from the obligation in paragraph 1 would be become disproportionate in view of the revenues generated by the exploitation of the work or performance, Member States may adjust the	GREEN 2. The obligation in paragraph 1 shall be proportionate and effective and shall to ensure an appropriate a high level of transparency in every sector. Member States may provide that in duly justified cases where the administrative burden resulting from the obligation in paragraph 1 would be become disproportionate in view of the revenues generated by the exploitation of the work or performance, the obligation is limited to the types and level

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>high</i> level of transparency.	obligation in paragraph 1, provided that is limited to the obligation remains effective types and ensures an appropriate level of transparency. information that can reasonably be expected in such cases.	of <u>information that can</u> <u>reasonably be expected in</u> <u>such cases.</u> <i>[provisionally agreed at</i> <i>TTrilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
272.	Art. 14, para 3	3. Member States may decide that the obligation in paragraph 1 does not apply when the contribution of the author or performer is not significant having regard to the overall work or performance.	<i>Deleted</i>	3. Member States may decide that the obligation in paragraph 1 does not apply when the contribution of the author or performer is not significant having regard to the overall work or performance.	3. [<i>Council</i> : Member States may decide that the obligation in paragraph 1 does not apply when the contribution of the author or performer is not significant having regard to the overall work or performance.] <i>[EP compromise proposal:</i> <i>Member States may decide</i> <i>that in the case of authors</i> <i>and performers whose</i> <i>contribution is not</i> <i>significant having regard to</i> <i>the overall work or</i> <i>performance the obligation</i> <i>in paragraph 1 apply only on</i> <i>request of the author or</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					<i>performer.]</i> <i>[to be discussed at trilogue]</i>
273.	Art. 14, para 3a			3a. <u>Members States may provide that for agreements subject to or based on collective bargaining agreements the transparency rules of the relevant collective bargaining agreement are applicable provided that they meet the minimum criteria laid down in the national provisions adopted in conformity with the requirements of paragraphs 1 to 3.</u>	GREEN <i>3a. Members States may provide that for agreements subject to or based on collective bargaining agreements the transparency rules of the relevant collective bargaining agreement are applicable provided that they are comparable to the obligations / meet the minimum criteria laid down in the national provisions adopted in conformity with the requirements of paragraphs 1 to 3.</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at rilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
274.	Art. 14, para 4	4. Paragraph 1 shall not be applicable to entities subject to the transparency obligations established by Directive	4. Paragraph 1 shall not be applicable to entities subject to the transparency obligations established by	4. Paragraph 1 shall not be applicable to agreements concluded by entities subject to the transparency	GREEN <i>4. When Article 18 of Directive 2014/26/EU is</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		2014/26/EU.	Directive 2014/26/EU <i>or to collective bargaining agreements, where those obligations or agreements provide for transparency requirements comparable to those referred to in paragraph 2.</i>	obligations established by <u>defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of Directive 2014/26/EU; or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU.</u>	<i>applicable, the obligation laid down in paragraph 1 shall not apply in respect of agreements concluded by entities defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of Directive 2014/26/EU or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU.</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 03/12/2018/, together with related wording of recital (41), row 94]</i>
275.	Art. 15, title	<i>Article 15 Contract adjustment mechanism</i>	<i>Article 15 Contract adjustment mechanism</i>	<i>Article 15 Contract adjustment mechanism</i>	<i>Article 15 Contract adjustment mechanism</i>
276.	Art. 15, [para 1 (Council)]	Member States shall ensure that authors and performers are entitled to request additional, appropriate remuneration from the party with whom they entered into a contract for the exploitation of the rights when the remuneration originally agreed is disproportionately low compared to the subsequent	Member States shall ensure, <i>in the absence of collective bargaining agreements providing for a comparable mechanism</i> , that authors and performers <i>or any representative organisation acting on their behalf</i> are entitled to request <i>claim</i> additional, appropriate <i>and</i>	<u>1.</u> Member States shall ensure that authors and performers are entitled to request additional, appropriate remuneration from the party with whom they entered into a contract for the exploitation of the rights <u>or their successors in title</u> , when the remuneration	GREEN 1. Member States shall ensure, <i>in the absence of an applicable collective bargaining agreement providing for a comparable mechanism</i> , that authors and performers <u>or their representatives</u> are entitled to

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		relevant revenues and benefits derived from the exploitation of the works or performances.	<p><i>fair</i> remuneration from the party with whom they entered into a contract for the exploitation of the rights when the remuneration originally agreed is disproportionately low compared to the subsequent relevant <i>direct or indirect</i> revenues and benefits derived from the exploitation of the works or performances.</p> <p><i>[See Council's Article 15(1a) (row277)]</i></p>	originally agreed is turns out to be disproportionately low compared to the subsequent relevant revenues and benefits derived from the actual exploitation of the works or performances.	<p><i>claim</i> additional, appropriate <i>and fair</i> remuneration from the party with whom they entered into a contract for the exploitation of the rights or their successors in title, when the remuneration originally agreed turns out to be disproportionately low compared to <i>all</i> the subsequent relevant revenues and derived from the exploitation of the works or performances.</p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 03/12/2018; as to revenues, see comment on Article 14(1), row 268]</i></p>
277.	Art. 15, para 1a			<p><u>1a. Members States may provide that for agreements subject to or based on collective bargaining agreements the rules of the relevant collective bargaining agreement for the adjustment of</u></p>	<p><i>[Article 15(1a) Council's text deleted/incorporated into paragraph 1]</i></p> <p><i>[provisionally agreed at trilogue 03/12/2018]</i></p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<u>remuneration are applicable instead of the national provisions implementing the contract adjustment mechanism.</u>	
278.	Art. 15, para 2			<u>2. Paragraph 1 shall not be applicable to agreements concluded by entities defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of Directive 2014/26/EU or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU.</u>	2. Paragraph 1 shall not be applicable to agreements concluded by entities defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of Directive 2014/26/EU or by other entities <i>which are already</i> subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU. [provisionally agreed at TM to be confirmed at trilogue]
279.	Art. 16, title	<i>Article 16 Dispute resolution mechanism</i>	<i>Article 16 Dispute resolution mechanism</i>	<i>Article 16 Dispute resolution mechanism</i> <u>procedure</u>	GREEN <i>Article 16 Dispute resolution procedure</i> [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
280.	Art. 16,	Member States shall provide	Member States shall provide	<u>1.</u> Member States shall	GREEN

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	[para 1 (Council)]	that disputes concerning the transparency obligation under Article 14 and the contract adjustment mechanism under Article 15 may be submitted to a voluntary, alternative dispute resolution procedure.	that disputes concerning the transparency obligation under Article 14 and the contract adjustment mechanism under Article 15 may be submitted to a voluntary, alternative dispute resolution procedure. <i>Member States shall ensure that representative organisations of authors and performers may initiate such procedures at the request of one or more authors and performers.</i> [See Council's Article 16(2) (row 281)]	provide that disputes concerning the transparency obligation under Article 14 and the contract adjustment mechanism under Article 15 may be submitted to a voluntary, alternative dispute resolution procedure.	Member States shall provide that disputes concerning the transparency obligation under Article 14 and the contract adjustment mechanism under Article 15 may be submitted to a voluntary, alternative dispute resolution procedure. <i>Member States shall ensure that representative organisations of authors and performers may initiate such procedures at the specific request of one or more authors and performers.</i> [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
281.	Art. 16, para 2		[See Parliament's Article 16 last phrase (row 280)]	<u>2. Member States shall ensure that representative organisations of authors and performers, including collective management organisations, may initiate such disputes on behalf of one or more authors and performers at their</u>	[Article 16(2) Council's text deleted/incorporated into paragraph 1] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				<u>request.</u>	
282.	Art. 16a (Council), title			<u>Article 16a</u> <u>Contractual provisions</u>	GREEN <u>Article 16a</u> <u>Contractual Common</u> <u>provisions</u> <i>[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
283.	Art. 16a (Council)			<u>Member States shall ensure that any contractual provision which prevents the compliance with the provisions in Articles 14 and 15 of this Directive shall be unenforceable in relation to authors and performers.</u>	GREEN <u>Member States shall ensure that any contractual provision which prevents the compliance with the provisions in Articles 14 and 15 of this Chapter(*) Directive shall be unenforceable in relation to authors and performers.</u> <i>[(*) 'Chapter' refers to Articles 14,15 and 16, ie the provisions of the initial Commission proposal]</i> <i>[provisionally agreed at</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					<i>Trilogue 26/11/2018]</i>
284.	Art. 16a (EP), title		<i>Article 16 a</i> <i>Right of revocation</i>		<i>[Presidency compromise suggestion proposed in point II. 2 (a) of the Coreper note]</i> <i>Article 16a</i> <i>Mechanism for the revocation of rights</i>
285.	Art. 16a (EP), para 1		1. <i>Member States shall ensure that where an author or a performer has licensed or transferred her or his rights concerning a work or other protected subject-matter on an exclusive basis, the author or performer has a right of revocation where there is an absence of exploitation of the work or other protected subject matter or where there is a continuous lack of regular reporting in accordance with Article 14. Member States may provide</i>		1. Member States shall ensure that where an author or a performer has licensed or transferred her or his rights concerning a work or other protected subject-matter on an exclusive basis, the author or performer may revoke [in whole or in part] the licence or the transfer of rights where there is a lack of exploitation of the work or other protected subject-matter [EP: or where there is a continuous lack of regular reporting in accordance with Article 14] .

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>for specific provisions taking into account the specificities of different sectors and works and anticipated exploitation period, notably provide for time limits for the right of revocation.</i>		<i>[Presidency compromise suggestion proposed in point II. 2 (a) of the Coreper note]</i>
286.	Art. 16a (EP), para 2		<i>2. The right of revocation provided for in paragraph 1 may be exercised only after a reasonable time from the conclusion of the licence or transfer agreement, and only upon written notification setting an appropriate deadline by which the exploitation of the licensed or transferred rights is to take place. After the expiration of that deadline, the author or performer may choose to terminate the exclusivity of the contract instead of revoking the rights. Where a work or other subject-</i>		<p>2. Specific provisions for the mechanism for revocation shall be provided for in national law taking into account</p> <p>(a) the specificities of the different sectors and the different types of works and performances; and</p> <p>(b) where a work or other subject-matter contains the contribution of more than one author or performer, the relative importance of the individual contributions and the legitimate interests of all authors and performers affected by the exercise of the</p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<p><i>matter contains the contribution of a plurality of authors or performers, the exercise of the individual right of revocation of such authors or performers shall be regulated by national law, laying down the rules on the right of revocation for collective works, taking into account the relative importance of the individual contributions.</i></p>		<p>revocation mechanism by an individual author or performer.</p> <p>Member States may exclude works or other subject matter from the application of the mechanism if such works or subject matter usually contain contributions of a plurality of authors or performers.</p> <p>Member States may provide that the revocation mechanism shall be exercised only within a specific time frame, where this is duly justified by the specificities of the concerned sector, type of work or protected subject matter.</p> <p>Member States may provide that that authors or performers may terminate the exclusivity of the contract instead of revoking the rights.</p>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					<p>3. Member States may provide that the revocation provided for in paragraph 1 may be exercised only after a reasonable time after the conclusion of the licence or transfer agreement. The author or performer shall notify the person to whom the rights have been licensed or transferred and set an appropriate deadline by which the exploitation of the licensed or transferred rights is to take place. After the expiration of that deadline, the author or performer may choose to terminate the exclusivity of the contract instead of revoking the license or the transfer.</p> <p><i>[Presidency compromise suggestion proposed in point II. 2 (a) of the Coreper note]</i></p>
287.	Art. 16a		3. Paragraphs 1 and 2		EP : 4. Paragraph 1 shall not

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	(EP), para 3		<i>shall not apply if the non-exercise of the rights is predominantly due to circumstances which the author or the performer can be reasonably expected to remedy.</i>		apply if the non-exercise of the rights is predominantly due to circumstances which the author or the performer can be reasonably expected to remedy.}] [Presidency compromise suggestion proposed in point II. 2 (a) of the Coreper note]
288.	Art. 16a (EP), para 4		4. Contractual or other arrangements derogating from the right of revocation shall be lawful only if concluded by means of an agreement which is based on a collective bargaining agreement.		[EP : 5. Member States may provide that any contractual provision derogating from the revocation mechanism shall be enforceable only if it is based on a collective bargaining agreement.}] [Presidency compromise suggestion proposed in point II. 2 (a) of the Coreper note]
289.		TITLE V FINAL PROVISIONS	TITLE V FINAL PROVISIONS	TITLE V FINAL PROVISIONS	TITLE V FINAL PROVISIONS
290.	Art. 17,	<i>Article 17</i>	<i>Article 17</i>	<i>Article 17</i>	<i>Article 17</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	title	<i>Amendments to other directives</i>	<i>Amendments to other directives</i>	<i>Amendments to other directives</i>	<i>Amendments to other directives</i>
291.	Art. 17, para 1	1. Directive 96/9/EC is amended as follows:	1. Directive 96/9/EC is amended as follows:	1. Directive 96/9/EC is amended as follows:	1. Directive 96/9/EC is amended as follows:
292.	Art. 17, para 1, point (a)	(a) In Article 6(2), point (b) is replaced by the following:	(a) In Article 6(2), point (b) is replaced by the following:	(a) In Article 6(2), point (b) is replaced by the following:	(a) In Article 6(2), point (b) is replaced by the following:
293.	Art. 17, para 1, point (a)	"(b) where there is use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	"(b) where there is use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	"(b) where there is use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];"	GREEN "(b) where there is use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];" <i>[provisionally agreed at technical meeting of 13.11.2018]</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
294.	Art. 17, para 1, point (b)	(b) In Article 9, point (b) is replaced by the following:	(b) In Article 9, point (b) is replaced by the following:	(b) In Article 9, point (b) is replaced by the following:	(b) In Article 9, point (b) is replaced by the following:
295.	Art. 17, para 1, point (b)	"(b) in the case of extraction for the purposes of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	"(b) in the case of extraction for the purposes of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	"(b) in the case of extraction for the purposes of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];"	GREEN "(b) in the case of extraction for the purposes of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];" <i>[provisionally agreed at technical meeting of 13.11.2018]</i>
296.	Art. 17, para 2	2. Directive 2001/29/EC is amended as follows:	2. Directive 2001/29/EC is amended as follows:	2. Directive 2001/29/EC is amended as follows:	2. Directive 2001/29/EC is amended as follows:
297.	Art. 17, para 2,	(a) In Article 5(2), point (c) is replaced by the following:	(a) In Article 5(2), point (c) is replaced by the	(a) In Article 5(2), point (c) is replaced by the	(a) In Article 5(2), point (c) is replaced by the following:

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	point (a)		following:	following:	
298.	Art. 17, para 2, point (a)	"(c) in respect of specific acts of reproduction made by publicly accessible libraries, educational establishments or museums, or by archives, which are not for direct or indirect economic or commercial advantage, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	"(c) in respect of specific acts of reproduction made by publicly accessible libraries, educational establishments or museums, or by archives, which are not for direct or indirect economic or commercial advantage, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	"(c) in respect of specific acts of reproduction made by publicly accessible libraries, educational establishments or museums, or by archives, which are not for direct or indirect economic or commercial advantage, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation exception provided for in Article 5 of Directive [this Directive];"	GREEN "(c) in respect of specific acts of reproduction made by publicly accessible libraries, educational establishments or museums, or by archives, which are not for direct or indirect economic or commercial advantage, without prejudice to the exception and the limitations provided for in Article 5 of Directive [this Directive];" <i>[provisionally agreed at technical meeting of 13.11.2018]</i>
299.	Art. 17, para 2, point (b)	(b) In Article 5(3), point (a) is replaced by the following:	(b) In Article 5(3), point (a) is replaced by the following:	(b) In Article 5(3), point (a) is replaced by the following:	(b) In Article 5(3), point (a) is replaced by the following:
300.	Art. 17, para 2,	"(a) use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or	"(a) use for the sole purpose of illustration for	"(a) use for the sole purpose of illustration for	GREEN

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	point (b)	scientific research, as long as the source, including the author's name, is indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	teaching or scientific research, as long as the source, including the author's name, is indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	teaching or scientific research, as long as the source, including the author's name, is indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];"	"(a) use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source, including the author's name, is indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];" <i>[provisionally agreed at technical meeting of 13.11.2018]</i>
301.	Art. 17, para 2, point (c)	(c) In Article 12(4), the following points are added:	(c) In Article 12(4), the following points are added:	(c) In Article 12(4), the following points are added:	(c) In Article 12(4), the following points are added:
302.	Art. 17, para 2, point (c)	"(e) to examine the impact of the transposition of Directive [this Directive] on the functioning of the internal	"(e) to examine the impact of the transposition of Directive [this Directive] on the functioning of the	"(e) to examine the impact of the transposition of Directive [this Directive] on the functioning of the	"(e) to examine the impact of the transposition of Directive [this Directive] on the functioning of the internal

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		market and to highlight any transposition difficulties;	internal market and to highlight any transposition difficulties;	internal market and to highlight any transposition difficulties;	market and to highlight any transposition difficulties;
303.	Art. 17, para 2, point (c)	(f) to facilitate the exchange of information on the relevant developments in legislation and case law as well as on the practical application of the measures taken by Member States to implement Directive [this Directive];	(f) to facilitate the exchange of information on the relevant developments in legislation and case law as well as on the practical application of the measures taken by Member States to implement Directive [this Directive];	(f) to facilitate the exchange of information on the relevant developments in legislation and case law as well as on the practical application of the measures taken by Member States to implement Directive [this Directive];	(f) to facilitate the exchange of information on the relevant developments in legislation and case law as well as on the practical application of the measures taken by Member States to implement Directive [this Directive];
304.	Art. 17, para 2, point (c)	(g) to discuss any other questions arising from the application of Directive [this Directive]."	(g) to discuss any other questions arising from the application of Directive [this Directive]."	(g) to discuss any other questions arising from the application of Directive [this Directive]."	(g) to discuss any other questions arising from the application of Directive [this Directive]."
305.	Art. 17a, title		<i>Article 17 a</i>		GREEN <i>Article 17 a</i>
306.	Art. 17a		<i>Member States may adopt or maintain in force broader provisions, compatible with the</i>		GREEN <i>Member States may adopt or maintain in force broader</i>

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			<i>exceptions and limitations existing in Union law, for uses covered by the exceptions or the limitation provided for in this Directive.</i>		<i>provisions, compatible with the exceptions and limitations set out in Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC, for uses or fields covered by the exceptions or limitations provided for in this Directive and on condition that their application does not adversely affect nor circumvent the mandatory rules set out in this Directive.</i> <i>[provisional agreement at trilogue 25/10/2018 /26/11/2018; a recital should clarify that Article 17a does not allow MS to not implement the mandatory exceptions under this Directive]</i>
307.	Art. 18	<i>Article 18 Application in time</i>	<i>Article 18 Application in time</i>	<i>Article 18 Application in time</i>	<i>Article 18 Application in time</i>
308.	Art. 18, para 1	1. This Directive shall apply in respect of all works and other subject-matter which are protected by the Member States' legislation in the field of	1. This Directive shall apply in respect of all works and other subject-matter which are protected by the Member States' legislation	1. This Directive shall apply in respect of all works and other subject-matter which are protected by the Member States' legislation	1. This Directive shall apply in respect of all works and other subject-matter which are protected by the Member States' legislation in

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		copyright on or after [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	in the field of copyright on or after [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	in the field of copyright on or after [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	the field of copyright on or after [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].
309.	Art. 18, para 2	2. The provisions of Article 11 shall also apply to press publications published before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	<i>Deleted</i>	2. <i>[Deleted]</i>	<i>(Deleted)</i>
310.	Art. 18, para 3	3. This Directive shall apply without prejudice to any acts concluded and rights acquired before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	3. This Directive shall apply without prejudice to any acts concluded and rights acquired before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	3. This Directive shall apply without prejudice to any acts concluded and rights acquired before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	3. This Directive shall apply without prejudice to any acts concluded and rights acquired before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].
311.	Art. 19, title	<i>Article 19 Transitional provision</i>	<i>Article 19 Transitional provision</i>	<i>Article 19 Transitional provision</i>	<i>Article 19 Transitional provision</i>
312.	Art. 19	Agreements for the licence or transfer of rights of authors and performers shall be subject to the transparency obligation in Article 14 as from [one year after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Agreements for the licence or transfer of rights of authors and performers shall be subject to the transparency obligation in Article 14 as from [one year after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Agreements for the licence or transfer of rights of authors and performers shall be subject to the transparency obligation in Article 14 as from [one year after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Agreements for the licence or transfer of rights of authors and performers shall be subject to the transparency obligation in Article 14 as from [one year after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
313.	Art. 20, title	<i>Article 20 Protection of personal data</i>	<i>Article 20 Protection of personal data</i>	<i>Article 20 Protection of personal data</i>	<i>Article 20 Protection of personal data</i>
314.	Art. 20	The processing of personal data carried out within the framework of this Directive shall be carried out in compliance with Directives 95/46/EC and 2002/58/EC.	The processing of personal data carried out within the framework of this Directive shall be carried out in compliance with Directives 95/46/EC and 2002/58/EC.	The processing of personal data carried out within the framework of this Directive shall be carried out in compliance with Directives 95/46/EC and 2002/58/EC.	The processing of personal data carried out within the framework of this Directive shall be carried out in compliance with Directives 95/46/EC and 2002/58/EC.
315.	Art. 21, title	<i>Article 21 Transposition</i>	<i>Article 21 Transposition</i>	<i>Article 21 Transposition</i>	<i>Article 21 Transposition</i>
316.	Art. 21, para 1	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [12 months after entry into force] at the latest. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions.	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [12 months after entry into force] at the latest. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions.	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [12 24 months after entry into force] at the latest. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions.	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [12 24] months after entry into force] at the latest. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions.
317.	Art. 21, para 1, sub- para 2	When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by	When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied	When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied	When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.	by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.	by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.	by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.
318.	Art. 21, para 2	2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.	2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.	2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.	2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.
319.	Art. 22, title	<i>Article 22 Review</i>	<i>Article 22 Review</i>	<i>Article 22 Review</i>	<i>Article 22 Review</i>
320.	Art. 22, para 1	1. No sooner than [five years after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)], the Commission shall carry out a review of this Directive and present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.	1. No sooner than [five years after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)], the Commission shall carry out a review of this Directive and present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.	1. No sooner than [five years after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)], the Commission shall carry out a review of this Directive and present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.	1. No sooner than [five years after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)], the Commission shall carry out a review of this Directive and present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
321.	Art. 22, para 2	2. Member States shall provide the Commission with the necessary information for the preparation of the report referred to in paragraph 1.	2. Member States shall provide the Commission with the necessary information for the preparation of the report referred to in paragraph 1.	2. Member States shall provide the Commission with the necessary information for the preparation of the report referred to in paragraph 1.	2. Member States shall provide the Commission with the necessary information for the preparation of the report referred to in paragraph 1.
322.	Art. 23, title	<i>Article 23 Entry into force</i>	<i>Article 23 Entry into force</i>	<i>Article 23 Entry into force</i>	<i>Article 23 Entry into force</i>
323.	Art. 23	This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European Union</i> .	This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European Union</i> .	This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European Union</i> .	This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European Union</i> .
324.	Art. 24, title	<i>Article 24 Addressees</i>	<i>Article 24 Addressees</i>	<i>Article 24 Addressees</i>	<i>Article 24 Addressees</i>
325.	Art. 24	This Directive is addressed to the Member States.	This Directive is addressed to the Member States.	This Directive is addressed to the Member States.	This Directive is addressed to the Member States.