



Brussels, 5 December 2018  
(OR. en)

---

---

**Interinstitutional File:  
2018/0331(COD)**

---

---

14978/18  
COR 1

CT 194  
ENFOPOL 595  
JAI 1232  
COTER 170  
CYBER 303  
TELECOM 440  
FREMP 216  
AUDIO 112  
DROIPEN 189  
CODEC 2160

**NOTE**

---

From:	Presidency
To:	Council
No. prev. doc.:	14570/18
Subject:	Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on preventing the dissemination of terrorist content online - general approach

---

On page 2, pt. 4, second sentence:

- For: "Following this thorough examination of the draft Directive at expert level, ..."  
read: "Following this thorough examination of the draft Regulation at expert level, ..."

On page 5:

- For: "7. The Czech Republic, Denmark and Finland maintain a parliamentary scrutiny reservation on the proposal."  
read: "7. The Czech Republic and Denmark ~~and Finland~~ maintain a parliamentary scrutiny reservation on the proposal."

- A sentence should be added to pt. 9, as follows: "The European Parliament has appointed Ms Helga Stevens (ECR, BE), Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs (LIBE), as rapporteur. On 3 December 2018, Daniel Dalton (ECR, UK), was appointed rapporteur replacing Helga Stevens."

On page 21, recital 27, 6th line:

- For: " ... Where a competent authority is informed by a competent authority in another Member State of an existing removal order, a duplicate order should not be issued. ***When deciding upon issuing a removal order, the competent authority should give due consideration to any notification of an interference with an investigative interests ("de-confliction"). Where a competent authority is informed by a competent authority in another Member State of an existing removal order, a duplicate order should not be issued.*** ... "

~~read: " ... Where a competent authority is informed by a competent authority in another Member State of an existing removal order, a duplicate order should not be issued. ***When deciding upon issuing a removal order, the competent authority should give due consideration to any notification of an interference with an investigative interests ("de-confliction"). Where a competent authority is informed by a competent authority in another Member State of an existing removal order, a duplicate order should not be issued.*** ... "~~