

Council of the European Union

> Brussels, 14 December 2021 (OR. en)

> > 14464/21 PV CONS 43 COMPET 870 IND 368 MI 897 RECH 543 ESPACE 119

#### **DRAFT MINUTES**

COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION (Competitiveness (Internal Market, Industry, Research and Space)) 25 and 26 November 2021

## **CONTENTS**

		0
1.	Adoption of the agenda	4
	Approval of "A" items	
Ζ.	Non-legislative list	4

## INTERNAL MARKET AND INDUSTRY

## Legislative deliberations

3.	Regulation on contestable and fair markets in the digital sector (Digital Markets Act)
4.	Regulation on a single market for digital services (Digital Services Act) and amending Directive 2000/31/EC

## Non-legislative activities

5.	Implementation of the Recovery	Plan for Europe	. 5
----	--------------------------------	-----------------	-----

## Any other business

6.	a)	Communication on a competition policy - fit for new challenges	5
	b)	Current legislative proposals	
	c)	Enhancing the resilience of the EU health sector and the pharmaceutical sector	
	d)	An action plan for the services sector	6
	e)	2021 Annual Report of the SME Envoy Network to the Competitiveness Council	6
	f)	The work of the Industrial Forum	6
	g)	Workshop on the reform of REACH Authorisation and Restriction (Brdo, 9 November 2021)	6
	h)	EU Next Generation Innovators Summit Slovenia & Investment Conference (Ljubljana, 26-27 October 2021)	
	i)	Work programme of the incoming Presidency	

Page

## Non-legislative activities

## <u>RESEARCH</u>

7.	Conclusions on the future governance of the European Research Area (ERA)7
8.	Council Recommendation on a Pact for Research and Innovation in Europe7
9.	Research and innovation in achieving cross-sectoral objectives7

## <u>SPACE</u>

10.	Conclusions on Space for everyone	. 7
11.	Space Traffic Management	. 7
12.	Long-term sustainability, sustainable development and financing of "New Space"	.7

## Any other business

13.	Rese	earch	
	a)	Institutionalised European Partnerships	
	b)	Key events and activities held under the Slovenian Presidency	
	c)	ESFRI Roadmap 2021, a strategic agenda for Research Infrastructures	
	d)	Work programme of the incoming Presidency	
	<u>Spac</u> e)	Building an EU space-based global secure communication system	
	f)	Work programme of the incoming Presidency	8
ANN	JEX -	Statements for the Council minutes	9

\*\*\*

## MEETING ON THURSDAY 25 NOVEMBER 2021

## 1. Adoption of the agenda

The Council adopted the agenda set out in 13985/1/21 REV 1.

## 2. Approval of "A" items

## Non-legislative list

<u>The Council</u> adopted the "A" items listed 13987/21 including COR and REV documents presented for adoption.

For the following items the documents should read as follows:

## EU positions for international negotiations

10.	Council Decision on the EU position to be taken under the EU
	UK TCA as regards the establishment of a Working Group on
	Fisheries
	Adoption
	approved by Coreper, Part 2, on 24.11.2021

```
C 13718/21 + ADD 1
+ COR 1 (bg)
13716/21
UK
```

13987/21

## INTERNAL MARKET AND INDUSTRY

## Legislative deliberations

(Public deliberation in accordance with Article 16(8) of the Treaty on European Union)

**3.** Regulation on contestable and fair markets in the digital sector (Digital Markets Act) General approach

The Council adopted a general approach as set out in 13801/21. A joint statement by the

Danish, Italian, Portuguese and Spanish delegations, a statement by the Luxembourg delegation, a statement by the German delegation and a statement by the Austrian delegation are annexed to these Council minutes.

4.	(Digi	tal Se	on a single market for digital services rvices Act) and amending Directive 2000/31/EC proach	OC	13203/21 <u>+ COR 1</u> + ADD 1 REV <u>3</u>
	The C	Counc	il adopted a general approach as set out in 13203/21. St	atemen	ts by the <u>Danish</u> ,
	Germ	ian, Po	olish, Hungarian, Finish and Luxemburgish delegations	as well	l as a joint statement
	by the	e <u>Spar</u>	nish and Italian delegations are annexed to these Counc	il minu	tes.
<u>Non</u>	-legisla	ative a	<u>activities</u>		
5.	-	ement y deba	tation of the Recovery Plan for Europe ate	2	13667/21
	The C	Counc	il held a policy debate based on questions as set out in a	13667/2	21.
<u>Any</u>	other	<u>busin</u>	ess		
6.	a)	chal	nmunication on a competition policy - fit for new llenges rmation from the Commission		14092/21
	b)	(Put	<b>Frent legislative proposals</b> blic deliberation in accordance with Article 16(8) of Treaty on European Union)	OC	
		i)	Fit for 55 legislative package Information from the Presidency on the state of play		13977/21
		ii)	Directive as regards corporate sustainability reporting <i>Progress report</i>		13840/21
		iii)	Directive on Consumer Credits Progress report		13574/21
		iv)	Regulation on General products safety <i>Progress report</i>		13576/21

v)	Regulation on Machinery products
	Progress report

<u>The Council</u> took note of the state of play of the various proposals and the progress achieved by the Slovenian Presidency.

c)	Enhancing the resilience of the EU health sector and the pharmaceutical sector <i>Information from the Greek delegation</i>	14070/21
d)	An action plan for the services sector Information from the Swedish delegation	13283/21
e)	2021 Annual Report of the SME Envoy Network to the Competitiveness Council <i>Presentation by the Commission</i>	13859/21
f)	The work of the Industrial Forum Information from the Commission	13861/21
g)	Workshop on the reform of REACH Authorisation and Restriction (Brdo, 9 November 2021) <i>Information from the Presidency</i>	13615/21
h)	EU Next Generation Innovators Summit Slovenia & Investment Conference (Ljubljana, 26-27 October 2021) Information from the Presidency	13864/21
i)	Work programme of the incoming Presidency Information from the French delegation	

#### **MEETING ON FRIDAY 26 NOVEMBER 2021**

Non-legislative activities

	RESEARCH	
7.	Conclusions on the future governance of the EuropeanImage: Conclusion provement of the EuropeanResearch Area (ERA)Approval	14126/21 + ADD 1
	The Council approved the conclusions as set out in 14308/21. Statements	by the <u>Polish</u>
	delegation and the Hungarian delegation are annexed to these Council min	nutes.
8.	Council Recommendation on a Pact for Research and Innovation in EuropeImage: Comparison of the commission of	14136/21 + ADD 1 13701/21
	The Council adopted the Council Recommendation as set out in 13701/21	, with <u>Hungary</u>
	abstaining. Statements by the Polish delegation and the Hungarian delegat	tion are annexed to
	these Council minutes.	
9.	Research and innovation in achieving cross-sectoralImage: Comparison objectivesobjectivesPolicy debate	13540/21
	The Council held a policy debate based on questions as set out in 13540/2	1.
	<u>SPACE</u>	
10.	Conclusions on Space for everyone Approval	13843/21 + COR 1
11.	Space Traffic Management Presentation of the Presidency Report	13407/21 + COR 1
12.	Long-term sustainability, sustainable development and financing of "New Space" Policy debate	13326/21
	The Council held a policy debate based on questions as set out in 13326/2	1.

#### Any other business

#### 13. <u>Research</u>

a) Institutionalised European Partnerships Information from the Presidency 2 C 6446/21 + ADD 1 6439/21

The Council took note of the information provided by the Presidency.

b) Key events and activities held under the Slovenian 2 14120/21 Presidency Report by the Presidency

The Council took note of the report by the Presidency.

c) ESFRI Roadmap 2021, a strategic agenda for Research 21 14036/21 Infrastructures Information from the Commission

The Council listened to the information provided by the Commission.

d) Work programme of the incoming Presidency *Information from the French delegation* 

#### Space

- e) Building an EU space-based global secure communication system Information from the Presidency and the Commission
- f) Work programme of the incoming Presidency *Information from the French delegation*

• First reading

121	Public debate proposed by the Presidency (Article 8(2) of the Council's Rules of
	Procedure)

- **C** Item based on a Commission proposal
- (\*) Item on which a vote may be requested

## Statements to the legislative "B" items set out in doc. 13985/1/21 REV 1

## Ad "B" item 3: Regulation on contestable and fair markets in the digital sector (Digital Markets Act) General approach

#### JOINT STATEMENT BY DENMARK, ITALY, PORTUGAL AND SPAIN

"We support the Presidency's compromise text in order to reach the General Approach at the COMPET Council on 25 November 2021.

However, we strongly underline the need for improvements in order that the DMA is not watered down in the course of negotiations during the further procedure.

For instance, we believe that the scope of Article 6(1)(k) on FRAND conditions of access for business users has been unambitiously limited to software application store.

Recent cases have highlighted the persistence of a strong imbalance in the contractual relations between gatekeepers and business users also in relation to access to search engines and social network services, leading to unbalanced, unfair and potentially unjust conditions. These practices have shown a negative direct impact on business partners, undermining both the long-term contestability and the possibility of users' choice, as well as the full accessibility and quality of content.

We support therefore the enlargement of the scope of Article 6 (1)(k) to search engines and social network services.

We are confident that such request will be taken in due and serious account in the course of future negotiations."

#### STATEMENT BY LUXEMBOURG

"The DSA and DMA proposals aim to create a fully operational internal market for both professional users and consumers by establishing a harmonised legal framework to make the online environment safer and to ensure fair competition across the Union.

Luxembourg strongly supports the objective of introducing a clear and coherent framework to address the current legal fragmentation which is the result of diverging national legislation in the areas covered by the DSA and the DMA. Luxembourg can therefore support the compromise package negotiated by the Council and proposed by the Slovenian Presidency, which contains all the elements necessary to reach a quality agreement with the European Parliament. As the negotiations proceed, <u>Luxembourg will pay close attention to ensuring that the benefits of</u> <u>maximum harmonisation, accompanied, as appropriate, by mutual recognition, are maintained or</u> <u>even strengthened in the texts</u> and that no new derogations, 'gold-plating' options, or any other flexibility for national legislators are introduced. We will also insist on maintaining the level of ambition of the European Commission's proposals as far as the conditions for a safe online environment and fair and competitive access to the market are concerned.

## The Digital Services Act

To develop a high level of online security we must take a European approach. Luxembourg is committed to the principles established by the e-Commerce Directive, in particular the principle of the country of origin. This principle is essential to the good functioning of the internal market which guarantees that businesses do not have to adapt to fit 27 different national legislations. This would be an impossible task for small businesses and a major deterrent with regard to cross-border sales. The general approach proposed by the Slovenian Presidency respects this approach and must be maintained.

Along the same lines, in terms of *enforcement*, Luxembourg insists on coherence and uniformity in the application of the rules in order to ensure legal certainty for all stakeholders. <u>Harmonisation is essential</u>, not only for the substantive rules themselves but also as regards the interpretation and <u>application thereof</u>. Digital services are cross-border by nature. It would therefore be counterproductive if 27 authorities were responsible for applying the DSA rules simultaneously in any given case. Luxembourg is pleased that in general the country in which the intermediary is established remains responsible for the *enforcement* of the harmonised rules of the DSA, in particular thanks to closer cooperation with the other Member States and the Commission – apart from when it comes to the very big players.

Indeed, due to their pan-European nature, <u>Luxembourg welcomes the exclusive powers given to the European Commission with regard to cross-border systemic issues in connection with very large online platforms (VLOPs)</u>, as proposed in the Slovenian Presidency's compromise text. This solution is in keeping with the idea of uniform application in the same way as the *enforcement* mechanism based on the country of establishment is. This system also reflects the approach taken in the DMA where the Commission has exclusive executive powers against the big digital gatekeepers.

Lastly, <u>Luxembourg strongly supports the horizontal nature of the DSA</u>, which applies to all types of intermediary as well as all types of illicit content, unless there are more specific rules at EU level. We caution against the temptation to overload the DSA by trying to resolve all the problems linked to digital services since there are already many sectorial initiatives that are either in place or being developed<sup>1</sup>. The DSA does not exist in a legal vacuum and many European laws, some of which have been adopted recently, also apply to online intermediaries. Luxembourg will continue to argue in favour of resisting the temptation to import issues from other policy areas into the DSA in order to keep the practical rules and to maximise the chances of its being adopted swiftly by the colegislators.

## The Digital Markets Act

Luxembourg fully supports the objectives of the DMA which aim to create a level playing field by banning unfair behaviour by the dominant digital platforms. Small and medium platforms should be able to compete with the big gatekeepers in order to offer their services on a cross-border basis and to benefit fully from the internal market. European consumers will be the winners, with a selection of offers with better conditions.

The general approach proposed by the Slovenian Presidency keeps these objectives and respects the objective of harmonisation, in particular by clarifying and limiting Member States' discretion to legislate at national level. Only a common European approach can tackle the powers of gatekeepers across the single market. Luxembourg will pay close attention to ensuring that these objectives are not diluted, in particular the horizontal provisions which clarify that the DMA has primacy over national law (see Article 1(5))."

## STATEMENT BY GERMANY

"Germany supports the Presidency's proposal with the aim of reaching a common position in the Competitiveness Council on 25 November 2021. However, certain steps will be necessary during the upcoming negotiations with the European Parliament in order to secure the objective of an effective set of rules and their effective implementation. We would like to highlight the following points once again:

1. The appropriate involvement of national competition authorities is an important prerequisite for the effective implementation of the Digital Markets Act (DMA). The Presidency's text contains marked improvements in that regard, which should not be diluted during subsequent negotiations. This also includes allowing national competition authorities to continue to apply national competition law subject to the conditions set out in Article 1(6) of the DMA. Weakening this competence, for instance by a Commission veto, as called for by the IMCO, would be unacceptable to the German Federal Government.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Regulation on terrorist content online, the Directive on copyright, the Audiovisual Media Services Directive, and the proposal for a Regulation on general product safety – to cite but a few.

- 2. The group of individuals and entities concerned by the DMA should be sufficiently specific, and limited to the largest gatekeepers. This should be reflected in the Annex on the methodology for identifying active users for core platform services. We are not convinced by the extremely far-reaching definition of active users of intermediation platforms, according to which any mere visitor to a platform is already assessed as an active user. This approach does not adequately take into account the different business models of intermediation platforms. Fine-tuning on this point will be necessary in the forthcoming trilogues, especially in the light of transaction-based platforms.
- 3. For merger control, we need a solution to the issue of how to deal with 'killer acquisitions', with which companies strategically buy up potential competitors. Moreover, the merger control system for killer acquisitions should also be made more stringent (evidence requirements, standard of review). The European Parliament has taken up this issue in its proposed amendments, but what is needed is a differentiated solution.
- 4. We are in favour of the high level of ambition with regard to the obligations set out in Articles 5 and 6. Nevertheless, we do see a need for further improvements in certain aspects. Like other Member States, we support an extension of the scope of Article 6(1)(k) to search engines and social networks; however, an extended Article 6(1)(k) must be designed in a proportionate manner. We also think it advisable to extend the ban on more favourable treatment in ranking services laid down in Article 6(1)(d). In addition, it should be made clear that Article 5(e) applies to in-app purchase systems as well as payment services.

We trust that these aspects will be seriously and carefully considered and incorporated in the forthcoming discussions with a view to the upcoming negotiations with the European Parliament."

## STATEMENT BY AUSTRIA

"Austria believes in a strong Digital Markets Act, with its ex ante regulatory approach as set out in Articles 5 and 6, which are the core part of the Regulation and describe its scope. Therefore, when consenting to the general approach, Austria assumes that Member States are free to regulate at national level (in accordance with Union law) when other – legitimate – objectives are pursued, such as protection of consumers, prevention of unfair competition, or media pluralism. National regulations, for example concerning narrow MFN clauses for booking platforms, can continue to be applied – including to gatekeepers – as they pursue a different objective. We also assume that the negotiations will bring further improvements, for example regarding fair conditions of access."

#### Ad "B" item 4: Regulation on a single market for digital services (Digital Services Act) and amending Directive 2000/31/EC General approach

#### STATEMENT BY DENMARK

"Denmark supports the Presidency's compromise text in order to reach the General Approach at the COMPET Council on 25 November 2021.

In general, Denmark supports the overall aim of updating the horizontal rules that define the responsibilities and obligations of providers of digital services, and online platforms in particular.

However, Denmark strongly regrets that the regulation does not set obligations on an importer's responsibilities. It is a problem that there is no one in the Union to be held responsible in cases where online marketplaces make it possible for traders to sell their products and services from third countries directly to European consumers. There are several cases where European consumers end up with dangerous and illegal products and suffer from the system, that we have today. Thus, the liability exemption maintains a loophole of import of goods not complying with EU legislation and is detrimental for not only consumer protection, but also for competitiveness of European businesses. In this regard, Denmark had strived for a more ambitious regulation by ensuring that there is always a part in the EU to be held accountable for products entering the European Market - whether the products are sold offline or online.

We hope that our request on importers responsibilities will be taken in due and serious account in the course of the next phase of the negotiations.

Although, it does not change Denmark's position on this matter, Denmark welcomes the steps taken in Section 3A obliging online market places to live up to further requirements in order to ensure consumer protection and safe products being sold to European consumers."

#### STATEMENT BY GERMANY

"Germany supports the text submitted by the Presidency with a view to agreeing on a general approach at the meeting of the Competitiveness Council on 25 November 2021. In particular, we welcome the strengthening of the European Commission's competences with regard to the designation, supervision and governance of very large online platforms and very large online search engines. This will ensure effective enforcement of the provisions of the Digital Services Act (DSA). At the same time, however, we would underline the need for further improvements in view of the upcoming negotiations with the European Parliament to ensure that the DSA becomes even more effective.

For Germany, it is crucial that the current high domestic standard of protection in Germany based on international requirements (UN Convention on the Rights of the Child and General comment No. 25 of the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child on children's rights in relation to the digital environment) be maintained in respect of the protection of minors in the media. This must in any case be ensured by the arrangements provided for under the DSA, for instance through relevant derogation possibilities for higher standards. Germany welcomes the fact that the DSA creates a uniform legal framework for combating illegal content online. However, we would like the provisions on deletion obligations and the corresponding deadlines to be made more ambitious and legally binding for very large online platforms. In addition, the obligations of providers of hosting services to notify law enforcement and judicial authorities pursuant to Article 15a should be specified in more detail. Member States should have the option of designating which individual criminal offences are to be notified within their respective territory. With regard to very large online platforms, Member States should have the option of extending the notification obligations under their national legislation to criminal offences that endanger the democratic polity or have a persistent negative impact on the exercise of freedom of expression, insofar as such extensions are in line with the fundamental values of the European Union. In addition, we would be strongly in favour of enlarging the scope of Article 15 in order to introduce a storage obligation for deleted illegal content.

The upcoming negotiations with the European Parliament should be used to strengthen national authorities' enforcement capabilities and consumer protection, including through the introduction of proactive due diligence obligations for providers of online marketplaces. Only in this way can supervisory authorities effectively curb the colossal illegal trade in goods and animals. We would also suggest prohibiting the anonymous advertisement of animals on online marketplaces, including by private operators. The upcoming negotiations with the European Parliament offer the European Union an opportunity to anchor the objectives of the European Green Deal in the DSA. Very large online platforms should thus take account of environmental concerns in their risk assessments, and online marketplaces should provide more information on sustainable consumption.

As very large online platforms have, moreover, increasingly become forums for public debate and indispensable distribution channels for media service providers, greater consideration should be given to the freedom and pluralism of the media as enshrined in the Charter. In order to achieve this, procedural rules should be established that prohibit large online platforms from removing or otherwise interfering with content or services made available by a media service provider on the basis of alleged violations of the platform's terms and conditions without prior consultation with the media service provider. In addition, it is necessary to emphasize in Article 12 or in another suitable provision that the wording and application of a platform's terms and conditions should give appropriate consideration to the freedom and pluralism of the media. The platforms should agree on a code of conduct which gives substance to those requirements. We are also concerned about the functionality of the DSA's governance structure, which is essential for its success. Further efforts should be made to exploit synergies with existing institutions (such as the European Regulators Group for Audiovisual Media Services (ERGA)) and opportunities for their involvement. In that context, it is also important to us that existing and proven cooperation mechanisms, such as those referred to in the Memorandum of Understanding concluded by the members of ERGA, be maintained. Moreover, in this entire system, it is important to ensure that Member States' competences in this field are preserved.

Finally, we consider that non-profit-making educational and research repositories should not fall within the definition of 'online platforms' because such repositories do not pose the risks that the DSA is intended to address.

In view of the upcoming negotiations with the European Parliament, we trust that these aspects will be given due and serious consideration and included in the discussions."

#### STATEMENT BY POLAND

"Poland supports the Presidency's compromise text on the Regulation on a single market for digital services (the Digital Services Act) and amending Directive 2000/31/EC (DSA) in order to reach a general approach at the COMPET Council meeting on 25 November 2021.

However, we strongly emphasize the need for improvements so that the draft DSA Regulation fully empowers EU consumers and to ensure effective enforcement of DSA provisions.

There should be a clear indication that the DSA properly balances the need for swift removal of illegal content from the internet with protecting freedom of expression and information. The DSA should include clear provisions on jurisdiction over these platforms so that any enforcement measures we use are effective and sufficient.

A DSA enforcement mechanism based on the country of origin principle, which is a fundamental principle of the internal market with undeniable benefits in terms of growth opportunities for smaller providers of intermediary services in the EU, should take into account the appropriate involvement of the Member State in which the recipients of the service are located. The Digital Services Coordinator of destination can provide invaluable knowledge of the national law and local context of the Member State concerned.

Furthermore, very large online platforms and very large search engines should be adequately represented within the EU and establish appropriate two-way communication channels, in particular with the competent authorities from all Member States. The DSA should lay down an obligation for service providers to acknowledge receipt of correspondence via the contact point.

Lastly, the text of the DSA should contain provisions clarifying that the DSA is without prejudice to the right of recipients or the individuals or entities concerned to appeal against the decision before a court or administrative authority of the country in which they are established or have their domicile or permanent residence, in accordance with the applicable law in that state.

We are confident that the abovementioned constructive and rational improvements will be helpful in reaching a satisfactory compromise in the upcoming interinstitutional negotiations."

#### JOINT STATEMENT BY ITALY AND SPAIN

"Italy and Spain support the Presidency's compromise text, in order to reach the General Approach at the COMPET Council on 25 November 2021.

However, we strongly underline the need for improvements, in order for the DSA not to be watered down in the course of negotiations during the further procedure.

For instance, we believe that traceability obligations, as provided for online marketplaces at Article 24a, shall be enlarged, in order to ensure that what is illegal offline should also be illegal online.

Indeed, these obligations are necessary to intercept fraudulent businesses and stop illegal content and products to be circulated through different digital services and a plurality of intermediary service providers, thus contributing to create a safe, transparent and trustworthy digital environment.

Therefore, we support the enlargement of the scope of Article 24a to hosting providers, web hosting, Content Delivery Networks, DNS registries and registrars, payment and advertising services.

We are confident that such request will be taken in due and serious account in the course of future negotiations."

## STATEMENT BY HUNGARY

"Hungary attaches great importance to the protection of freedom of expression and freedom of speech of EU citizens. Therefore, we are committed to develop the Digital Services Act Regulation in the most effective way possible.

The platforms must provide users with effective redress opportunities when they decide to remove their content or profile. In this regard, it is of utmost importance that the DSA is without prejudice to the right of the users to initiate proceedings against the decision of an online platform before a court of the country of their own establishment, in accordance with the national law of that country. It is crucial that the provisions which allow national authorities to request online platforms to restore legal content which has been removed, remain in the Regulation.

The principle of country of origin is a basic rule in the Single Market, which has its benefits in terms of ensuring predictable regulatory environment for smaller European providers of intermediary services. However, at the level of EU citizens, there are asymmetries between the providers of online platforms and their users in terms of rights. Furthermore, public authorities lack the necessary tools to fully protect users from abusive practices. This problem is aggravated by the fact, that there is an increasing number of services and platforms – without legal establishment in the EU – targeting EU citizens.

While we keep the principle of country of origin at EU level as a general rule, we must not forget to protect the legitimate interests of our consumers.

To empower EU consumers and provide the effective enforcement of DSA provisions, it is worth considering the possibility to more actively involve regulators of countries of destination in the supervision of very large online platforms and very large online search engines. In many cases, in order to properly understand and handle the cases of content moderation practices, deep understanding of specificities of national law and socio-cultural context is necessary.

Hungary therefore calls for a more ambitious approach to guarantee the highest level of protection for consumers' rights. Meeting these objectives requires solutions that are adapted to the rapidly changing platform economy ecosystem and this implies a thorough evaluation of our core principles."

#### STATEMENT BY FINLAND

"To reach the General Approach at the COMPET Council on 25 November 2021, Finland supports the Presidency's compromise text.

While Finland supports the Presidency's compromise, with regard to the proposed provisions on sanctions, it is important during the trilogies to ensure flexibility in the text so that the Member States can set maximum fines in their national legislation in relation to different obligations of the DSA.

Furthermore, Member States should have appropriate national leeway whether to introduce administrative fines for public authorities in specific cases where public authorities may be given a statutory obligation to provide, nationally and on a non-profit basis, a service that can be defined as an intermediary service."

#### STATEMENT BY LUXEMBOURG

"The DSA and DMA proposals aim to create a fully operational internal market for both professional users and consumers by establishing a harmonised legal framework to make the online environment safer and to ensure fair competition across the Union.

Luxembourg strongly supports the objective of introducing a clear and coherent framework to address the current legal fragmentation which is the result of diverging national legislation in the areas covered by the DSA and the DMA. Luxembourg can therefore support the compromise package negotiated by the Council and proposed by the Slovenian Presidency, which contains all the elements necessary to reach a quality agreement with the European Parliament.

As the negotiations proceed, <u>Luxembourg will pay close attention to ensuring that the benefits of</u> <u>maximum harmonisation, accompanied, as appropriate, by mutual recognition, are maintained or</u> <u>even strengthened in the texts</u> and that no new derogations, "gold-plating' options, or any other flexibility for national legislators or the national authorities are introduced. We will also insist on maintaining the level of ambition of the European Commission's proposals as far as the conditions for a safe online environment and fair and competitive access to the market are concerned.

#### The Digital Services Act

To develop a high level of online security we must take a European approach. Luxembourg is committed to the principles established by the e-Commerce Directive, in particular the principle of the country of origin. This principle is essential to the good functioning of the internal market which guarantees that businesses do not have to adapt to fit 27 different national legislations. This would be an impossible task for small businesses and a major deterrent with regard to cross-border sales. The general approach proposed by the Slovenian Presidency respects this approach and must be maintained.

Along the same lines, in terms of *enforcement*, Luxembourg insists on coherence and uniformity in the application of the rules in order to ensure legal certainty for all stakeholders. <u>Harmonisation is essential</u>, not only for the substantive rules themselves but also as regards the interpretation and <u>application thereof</u>. Digital services are cross-border by nature. It would therefore be counterproductive if 27 authorities were responsible for applying the DSA rules simultaneously in any given case. Luxembourg is pleased that in general the country in which the intermediary is established remains responsible for the *enforcement* of the harmonised rules of the DSA, in particular thanks to closer cooperation with the other Member States and the Commission – apart from when it comes to the very big players.

Indeed, due to their pan-European nature, <u>Luxembourg welcomes the exclusive powers given to the European Commission with regard to cross-border systemic issues in connection with very large online platforms (VLOPs)</u>, as proposed in the Slovenian Presidency's compromise text. This solution is in keeping with the idea of uniform application in the same way as the *enforcement* mechanism based on the country of establishment is. This system also reflects the approach taken in the DMA where the Commission has exclusive executive powers against the big digital gatekeepers.

Lastly, <u>Luxembourg strongly supports the horizontal nature of the DSA</u>, which applies to all types of intermediary as well as all types of illicit content, unless there are more specific rules at EU level. We caution against the temptation to overload the DSA by trying to resolve all the problems linked to digital services since there are already many sectorial initiatives that are either in place or being developed<sup>2</sup>. The DSA does not exist in a legal vacuum and many European laws, some of which have been adopted recently, also apply to online intermediaries. Luxembourg will continue to argue in favour of resisting the temptation to import issues from other policy areas into the DSA in order to keep the practical rules and to maximise the chances of its being adopted swiftly by the co-legislators.

## The Digital Markets Act

Luxembourg fully supports the objectives of the DMA which aim to create a level playing field by banning unfair behaviour by the dominant digital platforms. Small and medium platforms should be able to compete with the big gatekeepers in order to offer their services on a cross-border basis and to benefit fully from the internal market. European consumers will be the winners, with a selection of offers with better conditions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Regulation on terrorist content online, the Directive on copyright, the Audiovisual Media Services Directive, and the proposal for a Regulation on general product safety – to cite but a few.

The general approach proposed by the Slovenian Presidency keeps these objectives and respects the objective of harmonisation, in particular by clarifying and limiting Member States' discretion to legislate at national level. Only a common European approach can tackle the powers of gatekeepers across the single market. Luxembourg will pay close attention to ensuring that these objectives are not diluted, in particular the horizontal provisions which clarify that the DMA has primacy over national law (see Article 1(5))."

# Ad "B" item 7:Conclusions on the future governance of the European Research Area(ERA)<br/>Approval

#### STATEMENT BY POLAND

"Equality between women and men is enshrined in the treaties of the European Union as a fundamental right. Poland ensures equality between women and men within the framework of the Polish national legal system in accordance with international human rights treaties and within the framework of the fundamental values and principles of the European Union. For these reasons, in expressions containing the term 'gender' Poland will interpret it in terms of equality between women and men, in accordance with Article 8 TFEU."

## STATEMENT BY HUNGARY

"Hungary recognizes and promotes equality between men and women in accordance with the Fundamental Law of Hungary, and the primary law, principles and values of the European Union, as well as commitments and principles stemming from the international law. Furthermore, equality between women and men is enshrined in the Treaties of the European Union as a fundamental value. In line with these and its national legislation, Hungary interprets the concept of 'gender' as reference to 'sex' and the concept of 'gender equality' as reference to the 'equality between women and men'."

Ad "B" item 8:Council Recommendation on a Pact for Research and Innovation in<br/>Europe<br/>(Legal basis proposed by the Commission: Art. 182(5) and Art. 292<br/>(first and second sentence) TFEU)<br/>Adoption

#### STATEMENT BY POLAND

"Equality between women and men is enshrined in the treaties of the European Union as a fundamental right. Poland ensures equality between women and men within the framework of the Polish national legal system in accordance with international human rights treaties and within the framework of the fundamental values and principles of the European Union. For these reasons, in expressions containing the term 'gender' Poland will interpret it in terms of equality between women and men, in accordance with Article 8 TFEU."

## STATEMENT BY HUNGARY

"Hungary recognizes and promotes equality between men and women in accordance with the Fundamental Law of Hungary, and the primary law, principles and values of the European Union, as well as commitments and principles stemming from the international law. Furthermore, equality between women and men is enshrined in the Treaties of the European Union as a fundamental value. In line with these and its national legislation, Hungary interprets the concept of 'gender' as reference to 'sex' and the concept of 'gender equality' as reference to the 'equality between women and men'.

Furthermore, Hungary remains dedicated to its commitments in the field of human rights. Hungary ensures these rights within the framework of the Hungarian national legal system in accordance with internationally binding human rights instruments and within the framework of fundamental values and principles of the European Union. In this context, Hungary interprets the term of "diversity" in recommendation (1) of the text in line with the content and scope of Article 22 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union."