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NOTE
From: Presidency
To: Delegations
Subject: Presidency Conclusions on front-of-pack nutrition labelling, nutrient profiles and origin labelling

The "Agriculture and Fisheries" Council considered the draft Council Conclusions on front-of-pack nutrition labelling, nutrient profiles and origin labelling at its meeting on 15 December 2020.

In the light of the discussion, the Presidency concluded that it was not possible to reach a consensus on the draft conclusions.

The Presidency was, however, in a position to conclude that 23 delegations (BE/BG/DK/EE/IE/ES/FR/HR/CY/LV/LT/LU/HU/MT/NL/AT/PL/PT/RO/SI/SK/FI/SE) supported the text in its entirety as annexed to this document while three delegations (CZ/EL/IT) did not support it.
Presidency Conclusions on front-of-pack nutrition labelling, nutrient profiles and origin labelling

THE PRESIDENCY OF THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION SUPPORTED BY 23 DELEGATIONS

RECALLING existing provisions in EU legislation on front-of-pack nutrition labelling, nutrient profiles and origin labelling, in particular those in Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 on the provision of food information to consumers and Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 on nutrition and health claims made on foods;

RECALLING the report from the Commission regarding the use of additional forms of expression and presentation of the nutrition declaration and the Commission evaluation of the Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 on nutrition and health claims made on foods with regard to nutrient profiles;

---

RECALLING the Council Conclusions of 19 October 2020 on the Farm to Fork Strategy (hereinafter 'the F2F Strategy') where it:

a) **WELCOMES** the fact that the Commission is seeking a harmonised science-based front-of-pack nutrition labelling scheme, taking into account existing national experience,

b) **SUPPORTS** the Commission in its commitment to facilitating a healthier and sustainable diet. **STRESSES** the importance of promoting the reformulation of foods in line with guidelines on a healthy and sustainable diet (e.g. for salt, sugar and saturated fat).

**CONSIDERS WITH INTEREST** the resumption of discussions with a view to setting-up nutrient profiles to restrict the use of nutrition or health claims regarding foods high in fat, sugar or salt, in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 on nutrition and health claims,

c) **WELCOMES** the Commission's initiative in seeking improved labelling of origin or provenance for certain products and **EMPHASISES** the need for an impact assessment, including, for instance, the benefits for consumers and producers and the impact on the single market, of a harmonised approach to mandatory declarations of origin or provenance;

RECALLING exchanges at the "Agriculture and Fisheries" Council meetings of 16 and 17 December 2019, 27 January 2020, 20 July 2020 and 21 September 2020 and the informal discussions on front-of-pack nutrition labelling, nutrient profiles and origin labelling at the food directors' conference organised by the Presidency on 12 and 13 October 2020;

RECALLING the ongoing discussions at international level on these issues within the Codex Alimentarius Commission and its subsidiary bodies;
A. FRONT-OF-PACK NUTRITION LABELLING

I. Objectives of Front-of-Pack Nutrition Labelling (FOPNL)

(1) WELCOMES the Commission's announcement to present a proposal for a harmonised FOPNL to enable consumers to make healthier choices, given the increasing overweight and obesity, and nutrition-related non-communicable diseases such as diabetes mellitus type 2, cardiovascular diseases and cancer.

(2) POINTS OUT that various international organisations (e.g. WHO\textsuperscript{12}, OECD\textsuperscript{13}) also regard the use of FOPNL as an important measure to prevent these diseases by improving the population's dietary habits.

(3) CONSIDERS FOPNL as a tool to facilitate food choices for healthier and more balanced diets.

(4) TAKES THE VIEW that FOPNL can also encourage food business operators to reformulate their products, where feasible, towards improved nutritional value.

(5) POINTS OUT the need for overall consistency between FOPNL and common dietary guidelines taking into account national specificities, bearing in mind that FOPNL and dietary guidelines are separate instruments which pursue related public health objectives, and that they should therefore be considered as being complementary.

\textsuperscript{12} World Health Organization, Health Evidence Network synthesis report 61, 2018.
II. Harmonisation of Front-of-Pack Nutrition Labelling (FOPNL)

(6) **CONSIDERS** the introduction of a harmonised FOPNL scheme at EU level useful as it would help consumers to make informed and healthy food choices and food business operators to rationalise their costs, avoid any restriction to the free movement of goods and be advantageous in terms of monitoring and controls by the competent authorities.

(7) **ACKNOWLEDGES** at the same time that voluntary FOPNL schemes, which have been endorsed by the competent authorities and notified to the Commission, are already well established in some Member States, and **TAKES THE VIEW** that the possibility to let these Member States continue to recommend their use in addition to a harmonised EU FOPNL scheme should be considered in the Commission's impact assessment.

(8) **CALLS UPON** the Commission to take into account in its legislative proposal for a harmonised FOPNL scheme that the scheme should:

a) be science and evidence based, not leading to unjustified distinctions between foodstuffs and not misleading the consumer as to their nutritional value and impact on health,

b) be developed in consultation with the relevant stakeholder groups (competent authorities, food business operators, consumer and health protection organisations, scientific community, etc.),

c) be easily visible and understandable and unambiguous for all consumer groups, and not presuppose any in-depth nutritional knowledge from the consumer, so as to effectively address social inequalities in health,

d) be transparent for the public by offering the possibility to find all necessary information regarding the data and the methodology used for the FOPNL,
e) be technically feasible, in particular for small and medium-sized enterprises, considering also administrative burdens,

f) be easily verifiable by competent authorities,

g) be overall consistent with common dietary guidelines taking into account national specificities, and complementary to these guidelines;

and REQUESTS the Commission to base the proposal on an evidence and science-based *ex-ante* impact assessment, and to explore, where relevant, the necessity to apply specific conditions to and exemptions for certain food categories or foodstuffs such as those covered by Protected Designations of Origin, Protected Geographical Indications or Traditional Specialities Guaranteed, as well as single ingredient products.

(9) UNDERLINES the importance of consumer information and education campaigns accompanying the introduction and use of the harmonised FOPNL scheme in the Member States in order to ensure correct consumer understanding and CALLS UPON the Commission to ensure their appropriate funding.

(10) STRESSES the need for appropriate measures to support food business operators to implement the harmonised FOPNL scheme and ASKS that special attention be paid to small and medium-sized enterprises in this regard.

(11) CONSIDERS that, once introduced, in order to determine and assess its effects *inter alia* on consumer behaviour, the harmonised FOPNL scheme should be subject to monitoring according to a harmonised methodology and to evaluation.
B. SETTING-UP OF NUTRIENT PROFILES

(12) **CONSIDERS** the use of nutrient profiles, as foreseen in Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 on nutrition and health claims made on foods, as an appropriate instrument to avoid that nutrition and health claims mask the overall nutritional status of a food product and thus mislead consumers when they are trying to make healthy choices in the context of a balanced diet.

(13) **AGREES** with the Commission that the specific objective pursued by the setting of nutrient profiles is still pertinent and necessary to meet the objective of the Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 on nutrition and health claims, which is a high level of consumer protection.\(^{14}\)

(14) **WELCOMES** the Commission's intention to resume the complex discussions to set-up nutrient profiles as part of the F2F Strategy.

(15) **UNDERLINES** the importance to apply nutrient profiles to the widest possible range of foods while exemptions should be evidence-based.

(16) **UNDERLINES** the importance of basing the proposal on nutrient profiles on science and evidence and a thorough impact assessment, and **CALLS UPON** the Commission to examine the impact of different nutrient profiling models and the need for exemptions.

(17) **INVITES** the Commission to examine in its impact assessment whether the development of one nutrient profiling model is sufficient to ensure coherency and to facilitate consumer understanding, its applicability for food business operators and its enforcement by competent authorities, or whether several nutrient profiling models are needed.

\(^{14}\) Commission Staff Working Document on the evaluation of the Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 on nutrition and health claims made on foods with regard to nutrient profiles and health claims made on plants and their preparations and of the general regulatory framework for their use in foods. 20.5.2020, SWD(2020) 95 final.
C. ORIGIN LABELLING

(18) **RECALLS** that mandatory origin labelling provisions already exist at EU level for several products such as fishery and aquaculture products, fresh, chilled and frozen meat (beef, swine, sheep, goat and poultry), eggs, honey, olive oil, fresh fruits and vegetables and wine.\(^{15}\)

(19) **STRESSES** the importance of the labelling of the origin or provenance of food for consumers and many producers alike.

(20) **EMPHASISES** that the labelling of the origin or provenance of food should:

a) not result in trade barriers within the internal market;

b) be in compliance with international obligations;

c) be clear and easily understandable to avoid misleading consumers;

d) be easily verifiable and traceable.

(21) POINTS OUT that, in the case of an extension of the mandatory indication of origin or provenance to other products, harmonised rules at EU level are preferable to national measures.

(22) UNDERLINES the need of assessing the costs and benefits, including their sustainability aspects, of an extension of the mandatory indication of origin or provenance to other products and therefore WELCOMES the Commission's decision to carry out an impact assessment.

(23) INVITES the Commission to take into account in this impact assessment both, Member States' evaluations on their national measures for certain food products and ingredients as well as in particular the following aspects:

a) the impact on the single market, among others the impact on supplier relationships and raw material procurement,

b) consumer benefits, price aspects, and the associated consumer behaviour and willingness to pay,

c) environmental and social impacts.

(24) POINTS OUT that, in the case of an extension of the mandatory indication of origin or provenance to certain products, milk, milk used as an ingredient in dairy products, meat and meat used as an ingredient are seen as first priorities.

(25) REFERS to Member States' calls at the Agriculture and Fisheries Council to revise the Council Directive 2001/110/EC relating to honey with the aim to specify the countries of origin of the honey used in honey blends, and CALLS UPON the Commission to start work on a legislative proposal to amend the Directive accordingly.