

Brussels, 24 October 2025
(OR. en)

Interinstitutional File:
2023/0271 (COD)

12732/4/25
REV 4

LIMITE

TRANS 378
CODEC 1244

WORKING DOCUMENT

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Delegations
Subject:	Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the use of railway infrastructure capacity in the single European railway area, amending Directive 2012/34/EU and repealing Regulation (EU) No 913/2013 - Consolidated 4-column document

In view of the Land transport working party on 31 October, delegations can find in annex a REVISED version of the consolidated 4-column document, reflecting the situation after the 32nd ITM. The 4th column (draft agreement) is compared with the 3rd column (Council mandate).

Compared to ST 12732/2/25 REV 3, which reflected the status after the 30th ITM, additional lines are provisionally agreed in ITM 31 and 32 on 20 and 22 October. Most lines are 'green', with some lines remaining 'yellow', as in the 'yellow' lines some aspects remain to be decided or confirmed while there is overall agreement on the concept. The lines are marked 'provisionally agreed', even if some of the lines are still not completely decided. On the outstanding issues, there is a comment provided to help delegations better understand the situation.

There is a new compromise text for Article 10a with an additional line 138i clarifying that a Member State concerned can ask for a recommendation from the Commission.

There is new compromise text related to what the Commission presented before in document WK 12472/25 and related to military mobility and crisis situations. There is overall agreement with the Parliament to include these lines, except for line 354i (Article 28).

There is new compromise text related to empowerments in Article 70a and 70b. The deadlines have been filled in and there is additional text (line 703c and 703o) to explain that ENIM can have a second chance based on the recommendations from the APP report to correct significant shortcomings.

Definition (17d) in line 84d has been amended by deleting the word ‘defined’: ...“sections and nodes of a ~~defined~~ network”...

The text on the frameworks (Article 6, 44 and 50) has been consolidated and aligned to ensure consistency and a uniform formulation of the rules. Specific elements were missing in one or two of the frameworks compared to the other articles. The approach is that in the first paragraph it is mentioned that ‘infrastructure managers shall take the *utmost* account of’, to avoid having to repeat ‘utmost’ in the rest of the Article. It is clarified that when updating the frameworks, ENIM shall consult the stakeholders another time, following the same procedure as for the initial draft of the framework. Aspects related to the network statement and the regulatory body have been complemented where these were missing.

A revised compromise proposal in Article 40 (penalties), Annex I section 3 and section 8 is still being finalised. A new version for Article 40 will be distributed in document ST 14111/25.

Additional lines provisionally agreed in ITM 31 and 32, or compromise proposals by the Presidency:

- Recitals: line 12a-c, 24, 25b, 25c, 33a and 41a;
- Article 1: line 45, 45a, 46, 47a and 47b;
- Article 3: line 63 and 64;
- Article 4: line 84b-84d;
- Article 6: line 95 and 98;
- Article 10: line 136, 138c and 138d;
- Article 10a: line 138i;
- Article 11: line 155a;
- Article 19: line 267;
- Article 21: line 285a;
- Article 23: line 306;
- Article 25: line 319 and 321i;
- Article 28: line 354a;
- Article 31: line 384;
- Article 33: line 414a;
- Article 37: line 442 and 442n;
- Article 41: line 480 and 482a;
- Article 44: line 505, 506, 507, 508, 508a and 508b;
- Article 46: line 520a and 523;
- Article 47: line 525 and 535;
- Article 50: line 549, 549b, 555, 556 and 557;
- Article 53: line 589;
- Article 56: line 611a;
- Article 61: line 638 and 639;
- Article 63: line 653, 653a and 654;
- Article 65: line 667 and 672a;
- Article 67: line 680 and 683c;
- Article 68: line 687a and 687b;

- Article 70a: line 703c;
 - Article 70b: line 703o;
 - Article 71: line 706, 706a, 706b, 706c and 706d;
 - Article 73: line 712, 715, 715a, 716, 717, 718, 718a and 718b;
 - Article 74: line 725a and 725b;
 - Article 74b: line 730d;
 - Article 75: 732, 733 and 733a;
 - Article 77: line 742 and 743;
 - Annex I, section 4: line 796 (table 4);
 - Annex I, section 7: line 808 (table 7);
 - Annex I, section 8: line 811 (table 8).
-

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on the use of railway infrastructure capacity in the single European railway area, amending Directive 2012/34/EU and repealing Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 (Text with EEA relevance)

2023/0271(COD)

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
Formula								
G	1	2023/0271 (COD)		2023/0271 (COD)		2023/0271 (COD)		2023/0271 (COD)
Proposal Title								
G	2	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on the use of railway infrastructure capacity in the single European railway area, amending Directive 2012/34/EU and repealing Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 (Text with EEA relevance)		Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on the use of railway infrastructure capacity in the single European railway area, amending Directive 2012/34/EU and repealing Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 (Text with EEA relevance)		Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on the use of railway infrastructure capacity in the single European railway area, amending Directive 2012/34/EU and repealing Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 (Text with EEA relevance)		Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on the use of railway infrastructure capacity in the single European railway area, amending Directive 2012/34/EU and repealing Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 (Text with EEA relevance)
Formula								
G	3	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,		THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,		THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,		THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,
Citation 1								

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	4	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 91 thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 91 thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 91 thereof,	G
	Citation 2				
G	5	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	G
	Citation 3				
G	6	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	G
	Citation 4				
G	7	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ , 1. OJ C , , p. .	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ , 1. OJ C , , p. .	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ , 1. OJ C-, C/2024/891, 6.2.2024, p.-. 1. OJ C-, C/2024/891, 6.2.2024, p.-.	G
	Citation 5				
G	8	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ¹ , 1. OJ C , , p. .	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ¹ , 1. OJ C , , p. .	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ¹ , 1. OJ C-, C/2024/1982, 18.3.2024, p.-. 1. OJ C-, C/2024/1982, 18.3.2024, p.-.	G
	Citation 6				
G	9	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	G
	Formula				
G	10	Whereas:	Whereas:	Whereas:	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Recital 1				
11	(1) The Commission Communication ‘The European Green Deal’¹ sets a climate neutrality objective to be achieved by the Union by 2050 as well as a clear objective to reduce net greenhouse gas emissions by at least 55% by 2030, compared to 1990 levels. It calls for a 90% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from transport, while working towards the zero-pollution ambition² to reduce the health impacts of air pollutant emissions by more than 55% and the share of people chronically disturbed by transport noise by 30% by 2030. Transport represents around 25% of the Union’s total greenhouse gas emissions, and these have increased over recent years. The European Green Deal prioritises the shift onto rail and inland waterways of a substantial part of the 75% of inland freight carried today by road. Rail being a largely electrified and energy efficient mode of transport, greater use of rail services should contribute to reducing transport’s	(1) The Commission Communication ‘The European Green Deal’¹ sets a climate neutrality objective to be achieved by the Union by 2050 as well as a clear objective to reduce net greenhouse gas emissions by at least 55% by 2030, compared to 1990 levels. It calls for a 90% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from transport, while working towards the zero-pollution ambition² to reduce the health impacts of air pollutant emissions by more than 55% and the share of people chronically disturbed by transport noise by 30% by 2030. Transport represents around 25% of the Union’s total greenhouse gas emissions, and these have increased over recent years. The European Green Deal prioritises the shift onto rail and inland waterways of a substantial part of the 75% of inland freight carried today by road. Rail being a largely electrified and energy efficient mode of transport, greater use of rail services should contribute to reducing transport’s	(1) The Commission Communication ‘The European Green Deal’¹ sets a climate neutrality objective to be achieved by the Union by 2050 as well as a clear objective to reduce net greenhouse gas emissions by at least 55% by 2030, compared to 1990 levels. #Both objectives are enshrined in Regulation (EU) 2021/1119 of the European Parliament and of the Council. The European Green Deal calls for a 90% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from transport, while working towards the zero-pollution ambition² to reduce the health impacts of air pollutant emissions by more than 55% and the share of people chronically disturbed by transport noise by 30% by 2030. Transport represents around 25% of the Union’s total greenhouse gas emissions, and these have increased over recent years. The European Green Deal prioritises the shift onto rail and inland waterways of a substantial part of the 75% of inland freight carried today by road. Rail being a largely electrified and energy	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	emissions and energy consumption. 1. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions ‘The European Green Deal’, COM(2019)640 final of 11 December 2019. 2. Commission Communication ‘Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: “Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil”’, COM(2021) 400 final of 12 May 2021.	emissions and energy consumption. 1. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions ‘The European Green Deal’, COM(2019)640 final of 11 December 2019. 2. Commission Communication ‘Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: “Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil”’, COM(2021) 400 final of 12 May 2021.	~~efficient~~energy-efficient mode of transport, greater use of rail services should contribute to reducing transport’s emissions and energy consumption. 1. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions ‘The European Green Deal’, COM(2019)640 final of 11 December 2019. 2. Commission Communication ‘Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: “Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil”’, COM(2021) 400 final of 12 May 2021.	
Recital 2				
12	(2) The Communication on Sustainable and Smart Mobility Strategy¹ sets out milestones to show the Union transport system’s path towards achieving the objectives of a sustainable, smart and resilient mobility. It envisages that rail freight traffic should increase by 50% by 2030 and double by 2050; traffic on high-speed rail should double by 2030 and triple by 2050 and scheduled collective travel under 500 km should be carbon-neutral by 2030 within the Union. To achieve these	(2) The Communication on Sustainable and Smart Mobility Strategy¹ sets out milestones to show the Union transport system’s path towards achieving the objectives of a sustainable, smart and resilient mobility. It envisages that rail freight traffic should increase by 50% by 2030 and double by 2050; traffic on high-speed rail should double by 2030 and triple by 2050 and scheduled collective travel under 500 km should be carbon-neutral by 2030 within the Union. To achieve these	(2) The Communication on Sustainable and Smart Mobility Strategy¹ sets out milestones to show the Union transport system’s path towards achieving the objectives of a sustainable, smart and resilient mobility. It envisages that rail freight traffic should increase by 50% by 2030 and double by 2050; traffic on high-speed rail should double by 2030 and triple by 2050 and scheduled collective travel under 500 km should be carbon-neutral by 2030 within the Union. To achieve these	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	goals, rail transport must become more attractive in terms of affordability, reliability, and services better adapted to the needs of travellers and freight shippers. 1. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic And Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions ‘Sustainable and Smart Mobility Strategy – putting European transport on track for the future’, COM(2020) 789 final of 9 December 2020.	goals, rail transport must become more attractive in terms of affordability, reliability <u>and accessibility</u>, and services <u>must be</u> better adapted to the needs of travellers and freight shippers. 1. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic And Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions ‘Sustainable and Smart Mobility Strategy – putting European transport on track for the future’, COM(2020) 789 final of 9 December 2020.	goals, rail transport ~~must~~should become more attractive in terms of affordability, reliability, and services better adapted to the needs of travellers and freight shippers. 1. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic And Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions ‘Sustainable and Smart Mobility Strategy – putting European transport on track for the future’, COM(2020) 789 final of 9 December 2020.	
Recital 2a				
12a		<u>(2a) The underlying aim of this Regulation is to increase the utilisation of the rail infrastructure and thereby increase the modal share of rail. However, this Regulation alone cannot address Union's decarbonisation and modal shift targets by. Both Member States and the Commission should continue to work on numerous other elements that can help to further increase both rail infrastructure capacity and transport capacity, such as the bundling of train paths, speed harmonisation, efficient</u>		<u>(2a) The underlying aim of this Regulation is to increase the utilisation of the rail infrastructure by making a more efficient use of the railway infrastructure through better planning and allocation processes, and improved cross-border coordination, and thereby contribute to an increase of rail services in line with the Union's decarbonisation targets. However, further measures, beyond the scope of this Regulation, will be needed at all levels, to further enhance railway infrastructure capacity in order to</u>

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
				<u>passenger changeover, the use of longer trains with increased axle load, the roll-out of harmonised ERTMS across Europe, as well as automated trains operation.</u>				<u>meet the increased demand for rail capacity, both by passenger and freight services and increase the overall competitiveness of rail.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Recital 2b								
G	12b			<u>(2b) Tackling the efficiency of rail in order to increase the multi-modal competitive aspect between different transport modes is important to facilitate a substantial modal shift and to reach the targets set in the Sustainable and Smart Mobility Strategy. Therefore, further measures to increase the competitiveness by rail, such as lower track access charges, should also be considered. With an increased demand for rail capacity by both passenger and freight services, investments will also be needed to realise those capacity enhancing measures.</u>				deleted
Recital 2c								
G	12c			<u>(2c) Shift-to-rail objectives on EU level require national targets based on concrete national plans</u>				deleted

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>developed by Member States following bottom-up approach.</u>		
Recital 3				
13	(3) Directive 2012/34/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ lays down the rules applicable to the management and operation of railway infrastructure and the principles and procedures applicable to the allocation of railway infrastructure capacity for domestic and international rail services. 1. Directive 2012/34/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 November 2012 establishing a single European railway area the rules applicable to the management of railway infrastructure (OJ L 343 14.12.2012, p. 32).	(3) Directive 2012/34/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ lays down the rules applicable to the management and operation of railway infrastructure and the principles and procedures applicable to the allocation of railway infrastructure capacity for domestic and international rail services. 1. Directive 2012/34/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 November 2012 establishing a single European railway area the rules applicable to the management of railway infrastructure (OJ L 343 14.12.2012, p. 32).	(3) Directive 2012/34/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ lays down the rules applicable to the management and operation of railway infrastructure and the principles and procedures applicable to the allocation of railway infrastructure capacity for domestic and international rail services. 1. Directive 2012/34/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 November 2012 establishing a single European railway area the rules applicable to the management of railway infrastructure (OJ L 343 14.12.2012, p. 32).	(3) Directive 2012/34/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ lays down the rules applicable to the management and operation of railway infrastructure and the principles and procedures applicable to the allocation of railway infrastructure capacity for domestic and international rail services. 1. Directive 2012/34/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 November 2012 establishing a single European railway area (OJ L 343 14.12.2012, p. 32).
Recital 4				
14	(4) Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ provides for the establishment of rail freight corridors and one-stop shops to facilitate requests for infrastructure capacities for international rail freight services.	(4) Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ provides for the establishment of rail freight corridors and one-stop shops to facilitate requests for infrastructure capacities for international rail freight services.	(4) Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ provides for the establishment of rail freight corridors and one-stop shops to facilitate requests for infrastructure capacities for international rail freight services.	(4) Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ provides for the establishment of rail freight corridors and one-stop shops to facilitate requests for infrastructure capacities for international rail freight services.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	1. Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 concerning a European rail network for competitive freight (OJ L 276, 20.10.2010, p. 22).	1. Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 concerning a European rail network for competitive freight (OJ L 276, 20.10.2010, p. 22).	1. Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 concerning a European rail network for competitive freight (OJ L 276, 20.10.2010, p. 22).	1. Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 concerning a European rail network for competitive freight (OJ L 276, 20.10.2010, p. 22).
Recital 5				
15	(5) Rail infrastructure capacity and traffic management are crucial to the well-functioning of the rail sector. The operation of rail transport services needs to be carefully planned and coordinated to allow trains with very different characteristics, such as speed and braking distance, to share safely the same tracks. Optimal management of capacity creates more opportunities for, and increases reliability of, rail services. Regulation should provide infrastructure managers with sufficient flexibility for effective management of capacity while making sure that all railway undertakings are treated without discrimination in their access to the network.	(5) Rail infrastructure capacity ~~management and rail~~ and traffic management are crucial to the well-functioning of the rail sector. The operation of rail transport services needs to be carefully planned and coordinated to allow trains with very different characteristics, such as speed and braking distance, to share safely the same tracks. Optimal management of capacity creates more opportunities for, and increases reliability of, rail services, <u>which is a particularly important requirement for the increasing market of night trains as well as the ambitions to shift goods to rail freight</u> . Regulation should provide infrastructure managers with sufficient flexibility for effective management of capacity while making sure that all railway undertakings are treated without	(5) Rail infrastructure capacity ~~management and rail~~ and traffic management are crucial to the ~~well-functioning~~ good functioning of the rail sector. The operation of rail transport services, in particular cross-border rail services , needs to be carefully planned and coordinated to allow trains with very different characteristics, such as speed and braking distance, to share safely the same tracks. Optimal and harmonised management of capacity creates more opportunities for, and increases reliability and continuity of, rail services. This Regulation should provide infrastructure managers with sufficient flexibility for effective management of capacity while making sure that all ~~railway undertakings~~ applicants are treated ~~without discrimination~~ in a transparent and non-	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		discrimination in their access to the network.	discriminatory manner, ensuring fair competition , in their access to the network.	
Recital 6				
16	(6) Directive 2012/34/EU recognises the right of Member States not to apply the rules on rail infrastructure capacity allocation to certain parts of the rail network or to certain rail services, where such an exclusion from the scope of Union law would not affect the functioning of the single European railway area. These exclusions should continue to apply and Member States should retain the right to request such exclusions in the future also in relation to this Regulation.	(6) Directive 2012/34/EU recognises the right of Member States not to apply the rules on rail infrastructure capacity allocation to certain parts of the rail network or to certain rail services, where such an exclusion from the scope of Union law would not affect the functioning of the single European railway area. These exclusions, <u>while being strictly limited</u> , should continue to apply and Member States should retain the right to request such exclusions in the future also in relation to this Regulation.	(6) Directive 2012/34/EU recognises the right of Member States not to apply the rules on rail infrastructure capacity allocation to certain parts of the rail network or to certain rail services, where such an exclusion from the scope of Union law would not affect the functioning of the single European railway area. These exclusions should continue to apply and Member States should retain the right to request such exclusions in the future also in relation to this Regulation.	
Recital 6a				
16a			(6a) The operation of the Channel Fixed Link is regulated in particular by the Treaty concerning the construction and operation by private concessionaires of a channel fixed link, between France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland,	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			signed at Canterbury on 12 February 1986 ('Canterbury Treaty'), and the Concession Agreement between the Secretary of State for Transport, Le Ministre de l'Urbanisme du Logement et des Transports, The Channel Tunnel Group Limited and France-Manche S.A. Under that Treaty and that Concession ('Concession Agreement'), the concessionaires are to manage the infrastructure and to operate a shuttle service for road vehicles. Directive 2012/34/EU, with the exception of certain Articles, does not apply to undertakings the business of which is limited to providing solely shuttle services for road vehicles through the Channel tunnel or to transport operations in the form of shuttle services for road vehicles through that tunnel. Similarly, it is not appropriate to apply this Regulation to the management of infrastructure capacity for the operation of such services. Unless otherwise provided, this Regulation should apply to the management of infrastructure	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			capacity for the operation of other services, such as passenger and freight trains. Moreover, under the Canterbury Treaty and the Concession Agreement, the concessionaires have the obligation to comply with the provisions of that agreement, with the laws and regulations in force in France and in the United-Kingdom and with EU rules applicable to the construction and operation of the Channel Fixed Link. The French and the British Governments have the obligation to endeavour to coordinate between them and take such steps, including approaches to international organisations, as are necessary in particular for the operation of the Channel Fixed Link in accordance with the Concession Agreement. Therefore, the application of certain provisions of this Regulation to the infrastructure of the Channel Fixed Link should be excluded where such application presents	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			a risk of conflict with the application of the law in force in the United Kingdom. This is the case of the provision defining threshold values for highly utilised or congested infrastructure.	
Recital 6b				
16b			(6b) When implementing this Regulation with respect to a portion of the Union rail network where capacity is continuously available and no relevant change is expected to arise in the short or mid-term or with respect to a portion of the Union rail network that is geographically isolated by the sea from the rest of the Union rail network, for which there is no concrete need to coordinate with other Member States or infrastructure managers managing infrastructure located in other Member States, Member States and infrastructure managers should be able to follow a pragmatic and proportionate approach in order to avoid disproportionate costs and administrative burden,	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			while abiding with the obligations laid down in this Regulation.	
Recital 6c				
16c			(6c) This Regulation should not prevent Member States from adopting measures necessary to safeguard essential security or defence interests and to ensure that military have sufficient access to railway infrastructure.	
Recital 6d				
16d			(6d) Transport infrastructure is the backbone of the economy and society as a whole. Some rail infrastructure is critical to ensure the good functioning of vital societal functions and has a strategic significance for national security. In certain cases, granting capacity rights to an applicant could compromise public security or public order in the Union, including at Member State level, for example where a railway undertaking intends to transport on the railway infrastructure of a Member States dangerous goods or weapons having illegally	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			entered the Union. In order to guarantee smooth, safe and secure rail transport traffic and to ensure the protection of their rail transport infrastructure, Member States should be allowed to require infrastructure managers to refuse to grant, or to withdraw, capacity rights to an applicant where the access to their infrastructure presents a risk for public order or public security, including to national security and defence. Any such decision should be duly justified and strictly necessary and proportionate to the objective pursued, taking also into account the impacts of that decision on competition and on the continuity of the supply chains, in particular for the supply of critical inputs, and where the requested capacity right constitutes a multi-network capacity right. When assessing the risk for public security or public order, the Member State concerned may take into account, among others, the fact that the applicant is	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			subject to restrictive measures adopted by the Union and the reasons for adopting such measures, that it is owned or effectively controlled by, or acts on behalf or at the direction of, a person or an entity subject to restrictive measures adopted by the Union, or that the applicant or that person or entity is engaged in illegal activities or in activities facilitating the development of a third country's military capabilities presenting a threat to the Member State's national security. Such decision should be regularly reviewed by the Member State, in particular by assessing regularly whether it continues to be justified and proportionate. The Member State should inform the Commission, the regulatory body and, for multi-network capacity rights, the other Member States concerned of the decision adopted and the reasons thereof and should coordinate with those other Member States in case those latter raise concerns with the decision adopted.	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Recital 7				
17	(7) The rules and procedures on the management of rail infrastructure capacity should reflect better the needs of all rail market segments. They should in particular take into account the necessity of long-term stability of available capacity for passenger services and of short-term flexibility for freight traffic to respond to market demand. Therefore, the process of managing capacity should no longer have a predominantly annual focus, but be arranged in three subsequent phases of strategic capacity planning; rail service scheduling and capacity allocation; and adaptation and rescheduling of capacity. The introduction of better defined and structured phases that provide for the possibility of long-term planning and short-term adaptation in capacity management, would particularly benefit services that are less easy to plan in advance or are more complex to arrange, such as freight trains and cross-border passenger trains.	(7) The rules and procedures on the management of rail infrastructure capacity should ~~reflect better~~ <u>consider and satisfy</u> the needs of all rail market segments <u>in a non-discriminatory way</u>. They should in particular take into account the necessity of long-term stability of available capacity for passenger services and of short-term flexibility for freight traffic to respond to market demand. Therefore, the process of managing capacity should no longer have a predominantly annual focus, but be arranged in three subsequent phases of strategic capacity planning; rail service scheduling and capacity allocation; and adaptation and rescheduling of capacity. The introduction of better defined and structured phases that provide for the possibility of long-term planning and short-term adaptation in capacity management, would particularly benefit services that are less easy to plan in advance or are more complex to arrange, such as freight trains and cross-border	(7) The rules and procedures on the management of rail infrastructure capacity should reflect better the needs of all rail market segments. They should in particular take into account the necessity of long-term stability of available capacity for passenger services, including for services operated under public service obligations, and of short-term flexibility for freight traffic to respond to market demand. Therefore, the process of managing capacity should no longer have a predominantly annual focus, but be arranged in three subsequent phases of strategic capacity planning; rail service scheduling and capacity allocation; and adaptation and rescheduling of capacity. The introduction of better defined and structured phases that provide for the possibility of long-term planning and short-term adaptation in capacity management, would particularly benefit services that are less easy to plan in advance or are more complex to arrange, such	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		passenger trains, <u>including night trains</u> .	as freight trains and cross-border passenger trains.	
Recital 8				
18	(8) An increasing portion of the Union rail network is either congested or close to congested and cannot accommodate the needs for rail infrastructure capacity of all applicants and support further growth in the volume of rail transport. Infrastructure development and digitalisation, in compliance with the technical specification for interoperability developed under Directive (EU) 2016/797 of the European Parliament and of the Council, in particular the European Rail Traffic Management System ('ERTMS'), is expected to result in an increase of available capacity in the medium to long term. Nevertheless, infrastructure managers will be required to assign priorities for the use of congested sections. Without prejudice to general principles on priority set out by Member States in the framework for the allocation of infrastructure capacity,	(8) An increasing portion of the Union rail network is either congested or close to congested and cannot accommodate the needs for rail infrastructure capacity of all applicants and support further growth in the volume of rail transport. Infrastructure development and digitalisation, in compliance with the technical specification for interoperability developed under Directive (EU) 2016/797 of the European Parliament and of the Council², in particular the European Rail Traffic Management System ('ERTMS'), is expected to result in an increase of available capacity in the medium to long term. Nevertheless, infrastructure managers will be required to assign priorities for the use of congested sections. Without prejudice to general principles on priority set out by Member States in the framework for the allocation of infrastructure capacity,	(8) An increasing portion of the Union rail network is either congested or close to congested and cannot accommodate the needs for rail infrastructure capacity of all applicants and support further growth in the volume of rail transport. Infrastructure development and digitalisation, in compliance with the technical specification for interoperability developed under Directive (EU) 2016/797 of the European Parliament and of the Council, in particular the European Rail Traffic Management System ('ERTMS'), is expected to result in an increase of available capacity in the medium to long term. Nevertheless, infrastructure managers ~~will be required~~ should assign priorities for the use of highly utilised or congested sections. ~~Without prejudice to general principles on priority set out by Member States in the framework for the allocation~~	Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes replace 'sections' with infrastructure - consistency check

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	infrastructure managers should take decisions on priorities using transparent and harmonised methodologies which clarify how social, economic and environmental factors have been taken into account and affect their decision.	infrastructure managers should take decisions on priorities using transparent and harmonised methodologies which clarify how social, economic and environmental factors have been taken into account and affect their decision. <u><i>These socio-economic and environmental criteria should be based on accepted methods and best available knowledge. Therefore, the Commission should be empowered to adopt a delegated act setting out the procedures, criteria and methodologies. When preparing that delegated act, the Commission should cooperate with European Network of Infrastructure Managers (ENIM) and European Union Agency for Railways (ERA) established by Regulation (EU) 2016/796.</i></u> <u><i>2. Regulation (EU) 2016/796 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2016 on the European Union Agency for Railways and repealing Regulation (EC) No 881/2004 (OJ L 138, 26.5.2016, p. 1).</i></u>	~~of~~ should have the right to provide the infrastructure manager with strategic guidance, to ensure that the planning and use of rail capacity, in particular on highly utilised or congested sections, is consistent with their general objectives and policy orientations, while respecting the operational responsibilities of the infrastructure manager. Infrastructure managers should take decisions on priorities ~~using~~ assess the utilisation of rail infrastructure capacity on the basis of objective, transparent and harmonised methodologies which clarify how social, economic and environmental factors have been taken into ~~appropriate~~ procedures and methods, taking utmost account and affect their decision of the common method to be developed by ENIM. The Commission should be empowered to define, by means of an implementing act, the general key principles of the method to be developed by ENIM. When adopting this act, the Commission should refrain	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			from defining the detailed elements to be included in that method.	
Recital 8a				
18a			(8a) In order to ensure optimum use of rail infrastructure capacity, socioeconomic, operational and environmental criteria should be taken into account during the planning and allocation process, in particular when assessing alternative partitioning options where an element of infrastructure has been declared as being highly utilised or congested and for conflict resolution purposes. In particular, the European Network of Infrastructure Managers (ENIM), established under Directive 2012/34/EU, should base itself on such criteria for the purposes of defining procedures for the assessment of the alternative partitioning options and for conflict resolution. Those procedures should, to the extent possible, be simple and defined in a general manner, allowing	Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			their application in most circumstances.	
Recital 8b				
18b		<u>(8a) Existing rail infrastructure capacity is not sufficient for reaching Union's 2030 and 2050 shift-to-rail objectives. In fact, between 1990 and 2021 the railway network in the Union shrank by over 12,000 km. Achieving the Union's modal shift objectives primarily hinges on increasing rail efficiency and bolstering rail capacity, the latter necessitating investments for the maintenance, renewal and new construction of rail infrastructure. This includes leveraging national budgets, the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) established by Regulation (EU) 2021/1153 of the European Parliament and of the Council³³, and private investments to address crucial missing links and alleviate bottlenecks, as well as obtaining appropriate levels of funding for maintenance. In particular, Member States should prevent the degrading of rail infrastructure and should</u>		

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<i><u>minimise the impact of possible capacity restrictions by ensuring adequate, stable and timely long-term funding via multiannual performance agreements that should be concluded between Member State and the infrastructure manager for the period of at least five years.</u></i> <u>3. Regulation (EU) 2021/1153 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2021 establishing the Connecting Europe Facility and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1316/2013 and (EU) No 283/2014 (OJ L 249, 14.7.2021, p. 38).</u>		
Recital 9				
19	(9) The strategic planning of capacity should improve the utilisation of rail infrastructure by anticipating demand for rail services and taking into account planned infrastructure development, renewal and maintenance. It should ensure that rail infrastructure capacity is allocated in a way that maximises the value of rail services for society. Infrastructure managers should ensure that strategic planning provides progressively increasing level of details about	(9) The strategic planning of capacity should improve the utilisation of rail infrastructure by anticipating demand for rail services and taking into account planned infrastructure development, renewal and maintenance. It should ensure that rail infrastructure capacity is allocated in a way that maximises the value of rail services for society <u>taking into account socio-economic and environmental impacts</u>. Infrastructure managers should ensure that strategic	(9) The strategic planning of capacity by infrastructure managers should improve the utilisation of rail infrastructure by anticipating demand for rail services, including by analysing expected transport market developments, and taking into account planned infrastructure development, renewal and maintenance. It should ensure that rail infrastructure capacity is allocated in a way that maximises the value of rail services for society. Infrastructure managers	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	available capacity and that it is the basis for the capacity allocation.	planning provides progressively increasing level of details about available capacity and that it is the basis for the capacity allocation. <u>Applicants, European Railway Platform (ERP), customers of rail transport services and their associations, Union and national public authorities should be consulted during the strategic planning, and they should have an opportunity to provide input on study “the Analysis of expected transport market developments as referred to in Article 15” and to offer independent comments on the findings.</u>	should ensure that strategic planning provides progressively increasing level of details about available capacity and that it is the basis for the capacity allocation.	
Recital 10				
20	(10) To ensure that capacity is available for allocation for different rail market segments and in particular for freight and cross-border rail services, infrastructure managers should be allowed to pre-plan the use of capacity on congested or highly utilised sections of the rail network and to extend such planning to other sections of the network if deemed necessary. This pre-planning of	(10) To ensure that capacity is available for allocation for different rail market segments and in particular for freight and cross-border rail services, infrastructure managers should be allowed to pre-plan the use of capacity on congested or highly utilised sections of the rail network and to extend such planning to other sections of the network if deemed necessary. This pre-planning of	(10) To ensure that capacity is available for allocation for different rail market segments and in particular for freight and cross-border rail services, infrastructure managers should be allowed to pre-plan the use of capacity on congested or highly utilised sections of the rail network and to extend such planning to other sections of the network if deemed necessary. This pre-planning of	(10) To ensure that capacity is available for allocation for different rail market segments and in particular for freight and cross-border rail services, infrastructure managers should be allowed to pre-plan the use of capacity on congested or highly utilised ~~sections~~ <u>infrastructure</u> of the rail network and to extend such planning to other sections of the network if deemed necessary. This

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	capacity should take into account the different methods for capacity allocation and the characteristics of different rail market segments. It should allow for better utilisation of rail infrastructure by grouping trains with similar performance characteristics in the capacity allocation phase.	capacity should take into account the different methods for capacity allocation and the characteristics of different rail market segments. It should allow for better utilisation of rail infrastructure by grouping trains with similar performance characteristics in the capacity allocation phase.	capacity should take into account the different methods for capacity allocation and the characteristics of different rail market segments. It should allow for better utilisation of rail infrastructure by grouping trains with similar performance characteristics in the capacity allocation phase.	pre-planning of capacity should take into account the different methods for capacity allocation and the characteristics of different rail market segments. It should allow for better utilisation of rail infrastructure by grouping trains with similar performance characteristics in the capacity allocation phase. Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes 'sections' replaced with 'infrastructure' - consistency check
Recital 11				
21	(11) When allocating capacity, infrastructure managers should adhere to the strategic plans for the supply of capacity and at the same time ensure that capacity is allocated in accordance with market demand in a fair and non-discriminatory way. For that purpose, some capacity requests may be refused and the plan for the supply of capacity must be regularly updated to reflect the actual demand.	(11) When allocating capacity, infrastructure managers should adhere to the strategic plans for the supply of capacity and at the same time ensure that capacity is allocated in accordance with market demand in a fair and non-discriminatory way. For that purpose, some capacity requests may be <u>offered alternative capacity or, if no other possibility exists,</u> refused and the plan for the supply of capacity must be regularly updated to reflect the actual demand.	(11) When allocating capacity, infrastructure managers should adhere to ~~the~~ their strategic ~~plans~~ planning for the supply of capacity ~~and~~ while at the same time ~~ensure~~ ensuring that capacity is allocated in accordance with market demand in a fair and non-discriminatory way. For that purpose, some capacity requests may be refused, including when applying the conflict resolution mechanisms, and the plan for the supply of capacity ~~must be~~ regularly should be continuously	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			updated to reflect the actual demand and available capacity. Conflict resolution mechanisms should take into account the different methods for capacity allocation and the characteristics of different rail market segments.	
Recital 11a				
G 21a		<i><u>(11a) In several national rail markets, the infrastructure manager is part of a vertically integrated holding company with one or more passenger and freight transport operators. While allocating capacity to these rail undertakings, it is of the utmost importance for the proper functioning of the market that capacity is allocated in a fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory way. In particular, sensitive information shared with the infrastructure manager as part of the capacity allocation process should be considered as confidential.</u></i>		<i><u>(11a) Member States should be allowed to set parameter values for the application of the operational, socio-economic and environmental criteria in the methodology for capacity partitioning and the methodology for formal conflict resolution in accordance with their strategic guidance. As this is a new methodology for deciding on partitioning or conflict resolution and it will be important to set the values of the parameters at the correct level, the application of the operational, socio-economic and environmental criteria should be tested and calibrated by ENIM before being applied.</u></i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Recital 12				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
22	(12) Different rail market segments have different abilities to anticipate their rail infrastructure capacity needs. Some freight service providers, in particular, may not be able to identify their capacity needs in time to be included in the working timetable, that is in the annual plan for train and rolling-stock movement, and may not fit in its annual schedule. Therefore, infrastructure managers should be able to offer capacity of sufficient quality and quantity also for rail services that have unstable demand, are organised on relatively short notice, include more than a single train run, and may run repeatedly for a period of time that may not coincide with the duration of the working timetable period.	(12) Different rail market segments have different abilities to anticipate their rail infrastructure capacity needs. Some freight service providers, in particular, may not be able to identify their capacity needs in time to be included in the working timetable, ~~that~~ <i>which</i> is in the annual plan for train and rolling-stock movement, and may not fit in its annual schedule. Therefore, infrastructure managers should be able to offer capacity of sufficient quality and quantity also for rail services that have unstable demand, are organised on relatively short notice, include more than a single train run, and may run repeatedly for a period of time that may not coincide with the duration of the working timetable period. <i><u>This type of capacity offer could also be offered in a package of minimum pre-calculated unreserved train paths that can then be allocated at short notice.</u></i>	(12) Different rail market segments have different abilities to anticipate their rail infrastructure capacity needs. Some freight service providers, in particular, may not be able to identify their capacity needs in time to be included in the working timetable, that is in the annual plan for train and rolling-stock movement, and may not fit in its annual schedule. Therefore, infrastructure managers should be able to offer capacity of sufficient quality and quantity also for rail services that have unstable demand, are organised on relatively short notice, include more than a single train run, and may run repeatedly for a period of time that may not coincide with the duration of the working timetable period.	
Recital 12a				
22a		<i><u>(12a) Different practises for timetable planning are used in Member States and these</u></i>		

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u><i>concepts should be taken into account in the strategic capacity planning. These practises require increased coordination between infrastructure managers across borders, in particular when the infrastructure manager pre-plan capacity by using integrated clock-face timetables.</i></u>		
Recital 13				
23	(13) Applicants for rail infrastructure capacity should be able to plan and request rail infrastructure capacity on an annual basis through the working timetable. Applicants should also be able to request rail infrastructure capacity with greater advance for stable, multi-annual rail services through framework agreements. Finally, applicants should be able to request capacity close to the time of operation for individual trains through ad hoc capacity requests or for repeated train services through rolling planning requests.	(13) Applicants for rail infrastructure capacity should be able to plan and request rail infrastructure capacity on an annual basis through the working timetable. Applicants should also be able to request rail infrastructure capacity with greater advance for stable, multi-annual rail services through framework agreements. Finally, applicants should be able to request capacity close to the time of operation for individual trains through ad hoc capacity requests or for repeated train services through rolling planning requests.	(13) Applicants for rail infrastructure capacity should be able to plan and request rail infrastructure capacity on an annual basis through the working timetable. Applicants should also be able to request rail infrastructure capacity with greater advance for stable, multi-annual rail services through framework agreements. Finally, applicants should be able to request capacity close to the time of operation for individual trains through ad hoc capacity requests or for repeated train services through rolling planning requests. Since rolling planning is particularly convenient for rail freight services, given their characteristics, allocation of	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			capacity through the rolling planning process should concern at least rail freight services.	
Recital 14				
24	(14) A significant share of rail freight transport is long-distance and requires cross-border coordination of infrastructure managers. The policy goal of increasing rail traffic also relies on growing cross-border passenger services. To facilitate and promote an increasing cross-border traffic in the single European railway area, it is necessary to ensure greater consistency and harmonisation of the rules and procedures on the management of rail infrastructure capacity. Accordingly, the role of the European Network of Infrastructure Manager should be strengthened with a view to entrusting it with the development of guidelines for the harmonised implementation of this Regulation on procedures and methodologies for the management of rail infrastructure capacity and with the active coordination of cross-border capacity and traffic. In	(14) A significant share of rail freight transport is long-distance and requires cross-border coordination of infrastructure managers. The policy goal of increasing rail traffic also relies on growing cross-border passenger services. To facilitate and promote an increasing cross-border traffic in the single European railway area, it is necessary to ensure greater consistency and harmonisation of the rules and procedures on the management of rail infrastructure capacity. Accordingly, the role of the European Network of Infrastructure Manager should be strengthened with a view to entrusting it with the development of guidelines for the harmonised implementation of this Regulation on procedures and methodologies for the management of rail infrastructure capacity and with the active coordination of cross-border capacity and traffic. In	(14) A significant share of rail freight transport is long-distance and requires cross-border coordination of infrastructure managers. The policy goal of increasing rail traffic also relies on growing cross-border passenger services. To facilitate and promote an increasing cross-border traffic in the single European railway area, it is necessary to ensure greater consistency ~~and harmonisation~~ of the rules and procedures on the management of rail infrastructure capacity and a harmonised approach . Accordingly, the role of ~~the European Network of Infrastructure Manager~~ ENIM should be strengthened ~~with a view to~~ by entrusting it with the development of guidelines ~~for the harmonised~~ with a view of enhancing a consistent implementation of this Regulation on procedures and methodologies for the management of rail	(14) A significant share of rail freight transport is long-distance and requires cross-border coordination of infrastructure managers. The policy goal of increasing rail traffic also relies on growing cross-border passenger services. To facilitate and promote an increasing cross-border traffic in the single European railway area, it is necessary to ensure greater consistency <u>and harmonisation</u> of the rules and procedures on the management of rail infrastructure capacity, <u>while relying on the sector's experience and expertise for their definition and a harmonised approach</u> . Accordingly, the role of ENIM should be strengthened by entrusting it with the development of guidelines ~~with a view of enhancing a consistent~~ <u>to enable the harmonised</u> implementation of this Regulation ~~on~~ 's procedures and methodologies for the management of rail infrastructure

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	particular, the European Network of Infrastructure Managers should develop European frameworks for capacity management, for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, and for performance review.	particular, the European Network of Infrastructure Managers should develop European frameworks for capacity management, for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, and for performance review. <u>Railway undertakings, applicants and other operational stakeholders should also be consulted in the development of these European frameworks.</u>	infrastructure capacity and with the active coordination of cross-border capacity and traffic. In particular, ~~the European Network of Infrastructure Managers~~ ENIM should develop European frameworks for capacity management, for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, and for performance review.	capacity and ~~with~~ the active coordination of cross-border capacity and traffic. In particular, ENIM should develop European frameworks for capacity management, for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, and for performance review. <u>Railway undertakings, applicants and other operational stakeholders should be consulted in the development of these European frameworks.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) both EP and Presidency are doing a final check
Recital 15				
25	(15) The European frameworks developed by the European Network of Infrastructure Manager should provide guidelines that infrastructure manager should make the utmost efforts to follow, while retaining responsibility for their operational decisions. Infrastructure managers should motivate any deviation	(15) The European frameworks developed by the European Network of Infrastructure Manager should provide guidelines that infrastructure manager should make the utmost efforts to follow, while retaining responsibility for their operational decisions. Infrastructure managers should ~~motivate~~ justify any	(15) The European frameworks developed by ~~the European Network of Infrastructure Manager~~ ENIM should provide guidelines, including common principles and procedures , that infrastructure manager should make the utmost efforts to follow. While ~~retaining~~ those frameworks and elements contained therein	(15) The European frameworks developed by ENIM should provide guidelines, including common principles and procedures, that <u>enable the</u> infrastructure ~~manager should make the utmost efforts to follow~~ <u>managers' uniform implementation of this regulation across the Single European Rail</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	from the frameworks developed by European Network of Infrastructure Manager. This approach is considered to strike the balance between the needs of coordination and application of harmonised approaches in the single European railway area, and the need for adapting procedures and methodologies to the specific circumstances of given geographical areas. After five years of application of this Regulation, the Commission should assess whether the state of convergence of procedures and methodologies and the effectiveness of the coordination process between infrastructure managers, as well as the general progress towards the establishment of the single European railway area, warrant the introduction of secondary legislation to replace elements the European frameworks developed by the European Network of Infrastructure Manager.	deviation from the frameworks developed by European Network of Infrastructure Manager, <u>which should only be allowed in exceptional cases and be subject to approval by the national rail regulatory body</u>. This approach is considered to strike the balance between the needs of coordination and application of harmonised approaches in the single European railway area, and the need for adapting procedures and methodologies to the specific circumstances of given geographical areas. After five years of application of this Regulation, the Commission should assess whether the state of convergence of procedures and methodologies and the effectiveness of the coordination process between infrastructure managers, as well as the general progress towards the establishment of the single European railway area, warrant the introduction of secondary legislation to replace elements the European frameworks developed by the European Network of Infrastructure ~~Manager~~ <u>Managers</u>.	should be non-binding and infrastructure managers should retain responsibility for their operational decisions-, infrastructure managers should ~~motivate~~ explain any deviation from ~~the~~ those frameworks developed by European Network of Infrastructure Manager, as provided in this Regulation. This approach is considered ~~allows~~ to strike the balance between the needs of coordination and application of harmonised approaches in the single European railway area, and the need for adapting procedures and methodologies to the specific circumstances of given geographical areas. After ~~five~~ ten years of application of this Regulation, the Commission should, when evaluating the implementation of this Regulation, assess whether the state of convergence of procedures and methodologies and the effectiveness of the coordination process between infrastructure managers, as well as the general progress towards the establishment of the single European railway	<u>Area</u>. While those frameworks and elements contained therein should be non-binding and infrastructure managers should retain responsibility for their operational decisions, infrastructure managers <u>are nevertheless expected to follow the frameworks unless specific circumstances justify departing from them. In such cases, infrastructure managers should act in accordance with the principle ‘comply or explain’ and should motivate the reason for</u> any deviation from those frameworks, ~~as provided in this Regulation~~. This approach allows to strike the balance between the needs of coordination and application of harmonised approaches in the single European railway area, and the need for adapting procedures and methodologies to the specific circumstances of given geographical areas. ~~After ten years of~~ <u>Recognising the possibility that ENIM might not find an agreement on common principles and procedures that are fit for purpose, as well as the risk that, in the event of agreement,</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			area, warrant the introduction of secondary legislation modification of this Regulation to provide for binding rules to replace elements of the European frameworks developed by the European Network of Infrastructure Manager ENIM.	<u>extensive deviations from their application</u> <u>undermine the uniform implementation</u> of this regulation, the Commission should, ~~when evaluating the~~ <u>adopt [implementing/delegated] acts setting out binding rules for the European frameworks or their elements in cases where ENIM fails to develop the European frameworks, or these are inadequate to meet their intended objectives, or they are not sufficient to ensure the conditions for the uniform</u> implementation of this regulation, ~~assess whether the state of convergence of procedures and methodologies and the effectiveness of the coordination process between infrastructure managers, as well as the general progress towards the establishment of the single European railway area, warrant the modification of this Regulation to provide for binding rules to replace elements of the European frameworks developed. In~~ <u>preparing those [implementing/delegated] acts, the Commission should take into account any work carried out</u> by

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
								ENIM <u>and, where available, the report from the Advisory Performance Panel.</u>
Recital 15a								
25a					(15a) ENIM should designate a Network Coordinator to carry out support and operational tasks. Given the experience and contribution of RailNetEurope (RNE) to improve the management of rail infrastructure capacity, this non-profit association should be considered by ENIM for the designation of the Network Coordinator.			(15a) ENIM should designate a Network Coordinator to carry out support and operational tasks. ~~Given the experience and contribution of RailNetEurope (RNE) to improve the management of rail infrastructure capacity, this non-profit association should be considered by ENIM for the designation of the Network Coordinator.~~ Consider to move to row 31 - not agreed as ENIM and Network Coordinator are not agreed yet.
Recital 15b								
25b				<u>(15a) To ensure a seamless European rail network and to enhance cross-border and multi-network rail traffic for both freight and passenger transport, new tasks should be assigned to the ERA. ERA should cooperate closely with ENIM and the Network Coordinator in the delivery of its new tasks.</u>				deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 32

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
Recital 15c								
25c		<u>(15b) ERA's resources are insufficient given the extent of the proposed increase in the Agency's tasks and the scale of the Union's ambitions within this Regulation. Thus, the amount of the financial resources dedicated to the performance of ERA tasks under this Regulation should be drawn from the unallocated margins under Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) ceilings or mobilised through the non-thematic MFF special instruments. Since the Commission proposal for MFF revision did not reinforce ERA budget, the increase in appropriations for ERA cannot be offset by a compensatory reduction of programmed spending under CEF Transport or lead to a reduction of the funding for any other Union programmes.</u>					deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 32	
Recital 16								
26	(16) The rules on management of cross-border rail traffic under normal conditions and in the case of disturbances should promote	(16) The rules on management of cross-border rail traffic under normal conditions and in the case of disturbances should promote	(16) The rules on management of cross-border rail traffic under normal conditions and in the case of disturbances should promote	(16) The rules on management of cross-border rail traffic under normal conditions and in the case of disturbances should promote	(16) The rules on management of cross-border rail traffic under normal conditions and in the case of disturbances should promote	(16) The rules on management of cross-border rail traffic under normal conditions and in the case of disturbances should promote	(16) The rules on management of cross-border rail traffic under normal conditions and in the case of disturbances should promote	(16) The rules on management of cross-border rail traffic under normal conditions and in the case of disturbances should promote

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	smooth, resilient and seamless operation of rail transport services. They should provide for a system of structured coordination between infrastructure managers and other stakeholders.	smooth, resilient and seamless operation of rail transport services. They should provide for a system of structured coordination between infrastructure managers and other stakeholders.	smooth, resilient and seamless operation of rail transport services. They should provide for a system of structured coordination between infrastructure managers and other stakeholders.	smooth, resilient and seamless operation of rail transport services. They should provide for a system of structured coordination between infrastructure managers and other stakeholders.
Recital 17				
27	(17) The operation of railway infrastructure not only requires close cooperation between infrastructure managers, but also a strong interaction with railway undertakings and other stakeholders directly involved in rail and multimodal transport and logistic operations. Therefore, it is necessary to provide for structured coordination between infrastructure managers and other stakeholders.	(17) The operation of railway infrastructure not only requires close cooperation between infrastructure managers, but also a strong interaction with railway undertakings and other stakeholders directly involved in rail and multimodal transport and logistic operations. Therefore, it is necessary to provide for structured coordination between infrastructure managers and other stakeholders. <u>To strengthen the role of Railway Undertakings and applicants, ERP is established as a consultative body to ENIM. Operators of service facilities and terminals, multimodal capacity stakeholder, such as sea and inland waterway ports and owners of other rail-related service facilities, might also be part of ERP. ENIM should consult ERP before preparing</u>	(17) The operation of railway infrastructure not only requires close cooperation between infrastructure managers, but also a strong interaction with railway undertakings and other stakeholders directly involved in rail and multimodal transport and logistic operations. Therefore, it is necessary to provide for structured coordination between infrastructure managers and other stakeholders.	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u><i>adoption of the European frameworks for Capacity Management, Traffic Management and Performance Management. Furthermore, ERP could provide ENIM with a closer view on market developments and it could also issue own initiative opinions on any proposals or decisions by ENIM and ERA.</i></u>		
Recital 18				
28	(18) Reliability of rail services is one of the aspects most valued by railway customers. Reliability of schedules is also a critical aspect for the smooth functioning of the rail system, where there are strong interactions between services and network externalities. For that reason, deviations from the schedule should be kept to the minimum. Additionally, a system of adequate incentives should be introduced to promote the fulfilment of commitments by infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other relevant stakeholders. Those incentives should be both of economic and non-economic nature.	(18) Reliability of rail services is one of the aspects most valued by railway customers, <u><i>and not least a critical requirement of the re-emerging night train market, where rail customers and applicants need to know well in advance how their services can run.</i></u> Reliability of schedules is also a critical aspect for the smooth functioning of the rail system, where there are strong interactions between services and network externalities. For that reason, deviations from the schedule should be kept to the minimum. Additionally, a system of adequate incentives should be introduced to promote the fulfilment of commitments by	(18) Reliability of rail services is one of the aspects most valued by railway customers. Reliability of schedules is also a critical aspect for the smooth functioning of the rail system, where there are strong interactions between services and network externalities. For that reason, deviations from the schedule should be kept to the minimum. Additionally, a system of adequate incentives should be introduced to promote the fulfilment of commitments by infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other relevant stakeholders. Those incentives should be both of economic and non-economic nature.	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		infrastructure managers, railway undertakings, <u>operators of service facilities</u> and other relevant stakeholders. Those incentives should be both of economic and non-economic nature.		
Recital 18a				
28a			(18a) This Regulation should set up a system of penalty to be paid where a party, either the infrastructure manager or the applicant, does not fulfil its commitments with respect to an allocated capacity right. The levels of the penalty to be paid by the party initiating the change to capacity rights to the other party should be such that they provide effective incentives for the infrastructure manager and for applicants to respect the planned use of capacity and minimise disturbances. Those levels should be proportionate and non-discriminatory and should take into account several factors, including the impact of the change, the timing of the notification of the change provided by the party initiating the change to the other party,	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			the quality of the alternative capacity provided where the change is caused by the infrastructure manager, or whether the capacity can be re-allocated and used by another applicant where the change is caused by the applicant. Without prejudice to the Convention concerning International Carriage by Rail of 9 May 1980, as amended by the Vilnius Protocol of 3 June 1999 ('COTIF'), in particular to Article 8(1), point (c), of Appendix E to that Convention, where applicable, and to the right to appeal decisions regarding the penalty paid, the payment of that penalty by the party initiating the change pursuant to this Regulation should exhaust for the other party other financial claims related to the same change, with a view to avoid double compensation, the multiplication of legal proceedings and lengthy, cumbersome and heavy procedure which an action for damages seeking for the full compensation of the damage	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			suffered by that other party would entail.	
Recital 19				
29	(19) Continuous monitoring of the quality of rail infrastructure and transport services is a precondition for improving the performance of these services. It is therefore necessary to establish a transparent and objective system of indicators that provides feedback on aspects of performance that are relevant for the different operational stakeholders and for the end customers of rail transport services. The main function of that a system should focus on monitoring the fulfilment of commitments made by the operational stakeholders and progress in performance over time while taking into account different circumstances and characteristics within the rail sector. For the establishment of such a system and the analysis of its output, the Commission should be able to rely on independent experts in the form of a Performance Review Body. This body should be able to	(19) Continuous monitoring of the quality of rail infrastructure and transport services is a precondition for improving the performance of these services. ~~It is~~ Therefore, <u>infrastructure managers should monitor and benchmark the performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services. Infrastructure managers, when setting out their own performance targets in the plan, should consult the national regulators, national ministries and the Commission in order to ensure that those targets are consistent with the Union performance targets. It is also</u> necessary to establish a transparent and objective system of indicators that provides feedback on aspects of performance that are relevant for the different operational stakeholders and for the end customers of rail transport services. The main function of that a system should focus on monitoring the fulfilment of	(19) Continuous monitoring of the quality of rail infrastructure services and of railand transport services is a precondition for improving the performance of these services. It is therefore necessary to establish a transparent and objective system of indicators that provides feedback on aspects of performance that are relevant for the different operational stakeholders and for the end customers of rail transport services. The main function of that a system should focus on monitoring the fulfilment of commitments made by the operational stakeholders and progress in performance over time while taking into account different circumstances and characteristics within the rail sector. ~~For the establishment of such a system and the analysis of its output, the Commission should be able to rely on independent experts in the form of a Performance Review Body. This body should be able to~~	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	provide independent advice to the Commission in all areas that influence the performance of rail services and infrastructure management.	commitments made by the operational stakeholders and progress in performance over time while taking into account different circumstances and characteristics within the rail sector. For the establishment of such a system and the analysis of its output, the Commission <u>and ERA</u> should be able to rely on independent experts <u>with a profound knowledge of the railway sector</u> in the form of a Performance Review Body. This body should be able to provide independent <u>expert</u> advice to the Commission <u>and ERA</u> in all areas that influence the performance of rail services and infrastructure management.	~~provide independent advice to the Commission in all areas that influence the performance of rail services and infrastructure management.~~	
Recital 20				
30	(20) To improve the performance of rail infrastructure services in the single European railway area, the infrastructure managers, in close cooperation with the Commission, the Performance Review Body and relevant stakeholders, should set up and implement a common framework for the review of performance. This framework	(20) To improve the performance of rail infrastructure services in the single European railway area, the infrastructure managers, in close cooperation with the Commission, <u>ERA</u> , the Performance Review Body and relevant stakeholders, should ~~set up and~~ implement a common framework for the review of performance. This framework	(20) To improve the performance of rail infrastructure services in the single European railway area, ~~the infrastructure managers, in close cooperation with the Commission, the~~ ENIM should develop a European framework for performance review ~~Body and relevant stakeholders, should set up and implement a common framework~~	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	should ensure that all EU infrastructure managers use common principles and methodologies for measuring performance through agreed indicators. The framework should allow for identifying performance deficiencies on the EU railway network. It should ensure that infrastructure managers set performance objectives in a way that takes into account the specificities of the network they manage, but at the same time ensures coherency in identifying the most relevant performance deficiencies. The framework should allow infrastructure managers to cooperate at EU level in identifying measures to address performance deficiencies and to keep track of their impact. Infrastructure managers, working together in the European Network for Infrastructure Managers and taking into account the opinion of the Performance Review Body and the Commission, should review this framework regularly to ensure that it is fit for purpose.	should ensure that all EU infrastructure managers use common principles and methodologies for measuring performance through agreed indicators. The framework should allow for identifying performance deficiencies on the EU railway network. It should ensure that infrastructure managers set performance objectives in a way that takes into account the specificities of the network they manage, but at the same time ensures coherency in identifying the most relevant performance deficiencies. The framework should allow infrastructure managers to cooperate at EU level, <u>including within ERA</u> in identifying measures to address performance deficiencies and to keep track of their impact. Infrastructure managers, working together <u>with ERA</u> in the European Network for Infrastructure Managers and taking into account the opinion of the Performance Review Body and the Commission, should review this framework regularly to ensure that it is fit for purpose.	~~for the review of performance.~~ This framework should ~~ensure~~ aim at ensuring that all EU infrastructure managers use common principles and methodologies for measuring performance through agreed indicators. The framework should allow for identifying performance deficiencies on the EU railway network. It should ~~ensure~~ aim at ensuring that infrastructure managers set performance objectives in a way that takes into account the specificities of the network they manage, but at the same time ensures coherency in identifying the most relevant performance deficiencies. The framework should allow infrastructure managers to cooperate at EU level in identifying measures to address performance deficiencies and to keep track of their impact. ~~Infrastructure managers, working together in the European Network for Infrastructure Managers and taking into account the opinion of the Performance Review Body and the Commission,~~ ENIM should	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			review this framework regularly to ensure that it is fit for purpose.	
Recital 20a				
30a			(20a) ENIM should establish a European performance review report covering at least the lines included in the European Transport Corridors established in [new TEN-T Regulation]. The European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies (ENRRB) should contribute to that review, providing its assessment of and recommendations on the performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services.	
Recital 21				
31	(21) To ensure an effective EU framework for the coordination of rail infrastructure managers, the European Network of Infrastructure Managers, established by Directive 2012/34/EU, should become more operational. It should include decision-making mechanisms, which allow EU rail infrastructure managers to effectively coordinate	(21) To ensure an effective EU framework for the coordination of rail infrastructure managers, the European Network of Infrastructure Managers, established by Directive 2012/34/EU, should become more operational. It should include decision-making mechanisms, which allow EU rail infrastructure managers to effectively coordinate	(21) To ensure an effective EU framework for the coordination of rail infrastructure managers at EU level, ENIM, the European Network of Infrastructure Managers, established by Directive 2012/34/EU, should become more operational. It should include decision-making mechanisms, which allow EU rail infrastructure managers to effectively coordinate on the	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	on the strategic planning of rail infrastructure capacity.	on the strategic planning of rail infrastructure capacity.	strategic planning of rail infrastructure capacity.	
Recital 21a				
31a			(21a) All Member States infrastructure managers, which are responsible for lines that form part of the core and extended core TEN-T network, should be members of ENIM. Other infrastructure managers of Member States should also be able to participate in the deliberations of ENIM without voting rights. Moreover, it is in the interest of the Union to allow for the participation in ENIM, without voting rights, of infrastructure managers responsible for lines on the core or extended core TEN-T network of States members of the European Free Trade Association (EFTA) and of South East European Parties to the Treaty establishing the Transport Community signed by the Union in accordance with Council Decision (EU) 2017/1937, provided that those States or parties apply this Regulation pursuant to an	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			international agreement concluded with the Union. Those States and parties are closely associated to the Union in the transport sector. Moreover, due to their geographical location, most of them have important rail connections with the Member States or have transit traffic between two or several Member States. Therefore, their contribution to ENIM work is important.	
Recital 21b				
31b			(21b) Measures and policy orientations of Member states, having an impact on cross-border rail services, should be subject to coordination between Member States, to the extent possible in the context of existing fora.	
Recital 22				
32	(22) The rail regulatory bodies should cooperate at Union level to ensure coherent application of the regulatory framework and consistent treatment of applicants across the single European railway area. They should do so through	(22) The rail regulatory bodies should cooperate at Union level to ensure coherent application of the regulatory framework and consistent treatment of applicants across the single European railway area. They should do so through	(22) The rail regulatory bodies should cooperate at Union level to ensure coherent application of the regulatory framework and consistent treatment of applicants across the single European railway area. They should do so through	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies, with a view to develop common practices for making the decisions for which they are empowered under this Regulation. For that purpose, the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies should perform coordination tasks and adopt non-binding recommendations and opinions, which should not affect the competences of the rail regulatory bodies or those of the infrastructure managers.	the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies <u>(ENRRB)</u> , with a view to develop common practices for making the decisions for which they are empowered under this Regulation. For that purpose, ~~the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies~~ <u>ENRRB</u> should perform coordination tasks and adopt non-binding recommendations and opinions, which should not affect the competences of the rail regulatory bodies or those of the infrastructure managers. <u>ENRRB should verify the compatibility of the European frameworks, with the regulatory objectives of the Regulation. Regulatory supervision should be designed in such a way so as to prevent national decisions to undermine the harmonised procedures described in the European frameworks, unless otherwise provided for in this Regulation.</u>	~~the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies~~ <u>ENRRB</u> , with a view to develop common practices for making the decisions for which they are empowered under this Regulation. For that purpose, the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies <u>ENRRB</u> should perform coordination tasks and may adopt non-binding recommendations, opinions or reports in relation to cross-border rail services and performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services. The recommendations and opinions, ~~which~~ adopted by the ENRRB should not affect the competences of the rail regulatory bodies or those of the infrastructure managers.	
Recital 23				
33	(23) Efficient management of rail capacity and traffic requires exchange of data and information between infrastructure managers,	(23) Efficient management of rail capacity and traffic requires exchange of data and information between infrastructure managers,	(23) Efficient management of rail capacity and traffic requires exchange of data and information between infrastructure managers,	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	applicants and other operational stakeholders. This exchange can be considerably more effective and efficient with the support of interoperable digital tools and, where possible, automation. Specifications for interoperability should therefore be implemented as a matter of priority and further developed to keep up with technological developments and the new processes put forward in this Regulation.	applicants and other operational stakeholders. This exchange can be considerably more effective and efficient with the support of interoperable digital tools and, where possible, automation. Specifications for interoperability should therefore be implemented as a matter of priority and further developed to keep up with technological developments and the new processes put forward in this Regulation. <u><i>In order to ensure a swift development and implementation, the Commission should be empowered to adopt a delegated act for the implementation and governance of an integrated digital European rail traffic management. As ERA is the European system authority for telematics applications, it should be closely involved in the development and implementation of the digital tools referred to in Article 62 of this Regulation to ensure that they are compliant with the TSI for telematics applications as defined in Directive (EU) 2016/797 and in accordance with Article 23 of Regulation (EU) 2016/796.</i></u>	applicants and other operational stakeholders. This exchange can be considerably more effective and efficient with the support of interoperable digital tools and, where possible, automation. Specifications for interoperability should therefore be implemented as a matter of priority and further developed to keep up with technological developments and the new processes put forward in this Regulation.	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Recital 23a				
33a		<u>(23a) Workers are crucial for the efficient functioning of the railway sector. For services to become more efficient and in order to increase their quality, digitalisation is essential. The digitalisation and automatisisation of rail capacity and traffic management need to be accompanied by digital skill development for the sector's employees. Additional training and investment in the extension of digital competencies with respect to new digital requirements is to enable workers to adapt and positively contribute to the well-functioning of the sector through enhanced communication and capacity to deal with unexpected events.</u>		deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Recital 24				
34	(24) Infrastructure managers should ensure alignment, in particular regarding digitalisation, with the work of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking established in Title IV of Council Regulation (EU) 2021/2085, with respect to the Master Plan referred to in	(24) Infrastructure managers should ensure alignment, in particular regarding digitalisation, with the work of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking established in Title IV of Council Regulation (EU) 2021/2085, with respect to the Master Plan referred to in	(24) Infrastructure managers should ensure alignment, in particular regarding digitalisation, with the work of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking established in Title IV of Council Regulation (EU) 2021/2085, with respect to the Master Plan referred to in	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	Article 86(5) and the System Pillar referred to in Article 85(2)(c), and through the deployment group referred to in Article 97 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2085.	Article 86(5) and the System Pillar referred to in Article 85(2)(c), and through the deployment group referred to in Article 97 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2085.	~~Article 86(5) and through the System Pillar referred to in Article 85(2)(c), and through Steering Group and the Deployment Group referred to respectively in Articles 96 and in Article 97 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2085.~~	
Recital 25				
35	(25) It is necessary to provide the mechanism to set criteria, principles and procedures related to capacity management, to cooperation between rail stakeholders and to coordination at EU level, for infrastructure managers and in the area of regulatory supervision. This mechanism requires that infrastructure managers and rail regulatory bodies, in cooperation with relevant stakeholders develop and implement European frameworks and guidelines. Following an evaluation of the development and implementation of the European frameworks and where the voluntary application of sector guidelines fails to achieve the necessary level of regulatory coherence, the Commission should have the means to address such	(25) It is necessary to provide the mechanism to set criteria, principles and procedures related to capacity management, to cooperation between rail stakeholders and to coordination at EU level, for infrastructure managers and in the area of regulatory supervision. This mechanism requires that infrastructure managers and rail regulatory bodies, in cooperation with relevant stakeholders develop and implement European frameworks and guidelines. Following an evaluation of the development and implementation of the European frameworks and where the voluntary application of sector guidelines fails to achieve the necessary level of regulatory coherence, the Commission should have the means to address such	<i>deleted</i>	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	regulatory inadequacies through implementing or delegated acts, where appropriate.	regulatory inadequacies through implementing or delegated acts, where appropriate.		
<i>Recital 26</i>				
36	(26) In order to ensure a smooth process of capacity and traffic management for international rail services, minimise cancellations of allocated capacity and interruptions in rail operations due to disruptions on the rail network and in order to take into account developments in the practices of infrastructure managers and the use of new methods of capacity allocation and information and communication technologies, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission. These powers should concern the deliverables resulting from the strategic planning of infrastructure capacity; the schedule for the strategic capacity planning and the capacity allocation process; the deadlines for changes to allocated capacity and the construction of alternative solutions for applicants; the	(26) In order to ensure a smooth process of capacity and traffic management for international rail services, minimise cancellations of allocated capacity and interruptions in rail operations due to disruptions on the rail network and in order to take into account developments in the practices of infrastructure managers and the use of new methods of capacity allocation and information and communication technologies, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission. These powers should concern the deliverables resulting from the strategic planning of infrastructure capacity; the schedule for the strategic capacity planning and the capacity allocation process; the deadlines for changes to allocated capacity and the construction of alternative solutions for applicants; the	(26) In order to ensure a smooth process of capacity and traffic management for international rail services, minimise cancellations of allocated capacity and interruptions in rail operations due to disruptions on the rail network and in order to take into account developments in the practices of infrastructure managers and the use of new methods of capacity allocation and information and communication technologies, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission. These powers should concern certain elements of the deliverables resulting from the strategic planning of infrastructure capacity; the schedule for the strategic capacity planning and the capacity allocation process; the ~~deadlines~~ durations for changes to allocated capacity and the construction of alternative	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	schedule for coordination, consultation and publication of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works; the definition of highly utilised and congested infrastructure and the procedures and methods to calculate the degree of capacity utilisation; the criteria to identify and declare a network disruptions; the information to be provided to operational stakeholders; and the areas infrastructure managers should coordinate on and the specific arrangements for such coordination. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making¹. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council should receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their	schedule for coordination, consultation and publication of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works; the definition of highly utilised and congested infrastructure and the procedures and methods to calculate the degree of capacity utilisation; the criteria to identify and declare a network disruptions; the information to be provided to operational stakeholders; and the areas infrastructure managers should coordinate on and the specific arrangements for such coordination. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making¹. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council should receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their	solutions for applicants; ~~the~~certain elements related to schedule for coordination, consultation and publication of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works; the ~~definition~~thresholds for capacity utilisation of highly utilised and congested infrastructure; and ~~the procedures and methods to calculate the degree of capacity utilisation; the criteria to identify and declare a network disruptions;~~ the information to be provided to operational stakeholders; ~~and the areas infrastructure managers should coordinate on and the specific arrangements for such coordination.~~ It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making¹. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts. 1. OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.	experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts. 1. OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.	Council should receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts. 1. OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.	
Recital 27				
37	(27) To ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission to introduce technical and operational requirements to facilitate the smooth functioning of the single European railway area concerning uniform criteria for the requirements set by infrastructure managers with regard to applicants; common procedures, criteria and methodologies for the management of scarce capacity, for contingency planning, and for the allocation of capacity beyond the period covered by the working timetable; common approach to compensations paid for changes to allocated capacity; common criteria and procedures for	(27) To ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission to introduce technical and operational requirements to facilitate the smooth functioning of the single European railway area concerning uniform criteria for the requirements set by infrastructure managers with regard to applicants; common procedures, criteria and methodologies for the management of scarce capacity, for contingency planning, and for the allocation of capacity beyond the period covered by the working timetable; common approach to compensations paid for changes to allocated capacity; common criteria and procedures for	(27) To ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission to introduce technical and operational requirements to facilitate the smooth functioning of the single European railway area concerning uniform criteria for the requirements set by infrastructure managers with regard to applicants; ~~common procedures, criteria and methodologies for the management of scarce capacity, for contingency planning, and for the allocation of~~ detailed rules regarding the procedure for concluding framework agreements, the form and the content of such agreements; the key principles of the method to	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	rescheduling; the definition of the technical elements of a system for monitoring the performance of rail and rail-related services, including methodologies and data requirements and their review. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹. ¹. Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).	rescheduling; the definition of the technical elements of a system for monitoring the performance of rail and rail-related services, including methodologies and data requirements and their review. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹. ¹. Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).	calculate the utilisation of rail infrastructure capacity beyond the period covered by the working timetable; common approach to compensations paid for; the categorisation of changes to allocated capacity rights and the conditions giving rise to the payment of penalties; common criteria and procedures for rescheduling; ~~the definition of the technical for the purpose of managing network disruptions; and certain elements of a system for monitoring the the European framework for performance of rail and rail-related services, including methodologies and data requirements and their review.~~ Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹. Where the committee delivers no opinion in the framework of the examination procedure, the Commission should not adopt the draft implementing act. ¹. Regulation (EU) No ~~182/2011~~ 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).	
Recital 27a				
37a				<u>(27a) The Commission has stated its intention that in view of its planned revision of Regulation (EU) 2016/796, it will assess the feasibility and possible benefits of extending ERA competence to rail infrastructure capacity. The assessment could include considerations of using existing ERA competences on sector-wide consultation processes, in particular when establishing secondary legislation as well as a supporting role in facilitating independent performance monitoring and an assessment of the budgetary implications.</u> <u>Commission proposal:</u> <u>The Commission has stated its intention to assess the synergies that exist between the tasks and competences of the European Union Agency for Railways (ERA) established in the framework of its current mandate</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>under Regulation (EU) 2016/796 of the European Parliament and of the Council and the requirements for implementation of this Regulation with a view to seek ERA's support in activities related to the preparatory work for secondary legislation and to performance monitoring. The Commission notes in this respect that data collected and monitored by ERA within its current mandate, as well as ERA's tools of analysis, can also be used to monitor the capacity use, to assess the railway sector performance, and to support the preparation of secondary legislation, thereby avoiding duplications of data collection, storage, and tools for analysis, in a spirit of simplification and better regulation.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue Subject to final drafting.
Recital 28				
38	(28) The rules on capacity allocation set out in Directive 2012/34/EU are replaced by those set out in this Regulation.	(28) The rules on capacity allocation set out in Directive 2012/34/EU are replaced by those set out in this Regulation.	(28) The rules on capacity allocation set out in Directive 2012/34/EU are replaced by those set out in this Regulation.	(28) The rules on capacity allocation set out in Directive 2012/34/EU are replaced by those set out in this Regulation.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	Therefore, the rules on cooperation between infrastructure managers, the rules on cooperation between rail regulatory bodies, the requirements for the elements of the network statement describing the nature of the rail infrastructure that is available to railway undertakings, the conditions of access to it and the principles and criteria for capacity allocation, should be defined in this Regulation. The rules on cooperation and coordination, as well as the elements of the network statement, which are not related to capacity management, should remain in Directive 2012/34/EU.	Therefore, the rules on cooperation between infrastructure managers, the rules on cooperation between rail regulatory bodies, the requirements for the elements of the network statement describing the nature of the rail infrastructure that is available to railway undertakings, the conditions of access to it and the principles and criteria for capacity allocation, should be defined in this Regulation. The rules on cooperation and coordination, as well as the elements of the network statement, which are not related to capacity management, should remain in Directive 2012/34/EU.	Therefore, the rules on cooperation between infrastructure managers, the rules on cooperation between rail regulatory bodies, the requirements for the elements of the network statement describing the nature of the rail infrastructure that is available to railway undertakings, the conditions of access to it and the principles and criteria for capacity allocation, should be defined in this Regulation. The rules on cooperation and coordination, as well as the elements of the network statement, which are not related to capacity management, should remain in Directive 2012/34/EU.	Therefore, the rules on cooperation between infrastructure managers, the rules on cooperation between rail regulatory bodies, the requirements for the elements of the network statement describing the nature of the rail infrastructure that is available to railway undertakings, the conditions of access to it and the principles and criteria for capacity allocation, should be defined in this Regulation. The rules on cooperation and coordination, as well as the elements of the network statement, which are not related to capacity management, should remain in Directive 2012/34/EU.
Recital 29				
39	(29) The ex-post evaluation of Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 ¹ concluded that the Regulation's impact was too limited to contribute to a modal shift from road to rail. Moreover, the cooperation between Member States and infrastructure managers in the management of the rail infrastructure was still ineffective from a cross-border perspective.	(29) The ex-post evaluation of Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 ¹ concluded that the Regulation's impact was too limited to contribute to a modal shift from road to rail. Moreover, the cooperation between Member States and infrastructure managers in the management of the rail infrastructure was still ineffective from a cross-border perspective.	(29) The ex-post evaluation of Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 ¹ concluded that the Regulation's impact was too limited to contribute to a modal shift from road to rail. Moreover, the cooperation between Member States and infrastructure managers in the management of the rail infrastructure was still ineffective from a cross-border perspective.	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	The evaluation has also shown that managing separately the capacity on the rail freight corridors and on the rest of the network is not efficient. A single regulatory framework should apply to the operation of rail network capacity, consolidating the related provisions in Directive 2012/34/EU and of Regulation (EU) No 913/2010. Therefore, Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 and the provisions in Directive 2012/34/EU on capacity allocation should be repealed and replaced by this Regulation. 1. SWD(2021) 134 final of 2 June 2021	The evaluation has also shown that managing separately the capacity on the rail freight corridors and on the rest of the network is not efficient. A single regulatory framework should apply to the operation of rail network capacity, consolidating the related provisions in Directive 2012/34/EU and of Regulation (EU) No 913/2010. Therefore, Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 and the provisions in Directive 2012/34/EU on capacity allocation should be repealed and replaced by this Regulation. <u>Relevant Articles of Regulation 1315/2013 on Union Guidelines for the development of the trans-European transport network should be amended accordingly.</u> 1. SWD(2021) 134 final of 2 June 2021	The evaluation has also shown that managing separately the capacity on the rail freight corridors and on the rest of the network is not efficient. A single regulatory framework should apply to the operation of rail network capacity, consolidating the related provisions in Directive 2012/34/EU and of Regulation (EU) No 913/2010. Therefore, Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 should be repealed and the provisions in Directive 2012/34/EU on capacity allocation should be ~~repealed~~deleted and replaced by this Regulation, while ensuring a sufficient transition period. 1. SWD(2021) 134 final of 2 June 2021	
Recital 29a				
39a		<u>(29a) Similarly, alignment should be ensured with the following implementing regulations, for the allocation rules in service facilities (implementing regulation 2017/2177) and for procedures and criteria concerning</u>		

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>framework agreements (implementing regulation 2016/545).</u>		
Recital 30				
40	(30) The preparation of the working timetable requires preparatory activities to be carried out in the years preceding the entry into force of the said working timetable. Therefore, the transition from the regulatory framework established by Directive 2013/34/EU and Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 to the one established by this Regulation implies that preparations for working timetables under the new framework should begin in parallel to the application of rules under the current framework. Accordingly, a dual regime must apply in a transition phase whereby necessary preparatory steps for a given timetable should comply with the legal framework applicable to that specific working timetable. Framework agreements concluded under the current framework should have the possibility to continue applying for	(30) The preparation of the working timetable requires preparatory activities to be carried out in the years preceding the entry into force of the said working timetable. Therefore, the transition from the regulatory framework established by Directive ~~2013/34/EU~~ <u>2012/34/EU</u> and Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 to the one established by this Regulation implies that preparations for working timetables under the new framework should begin in parallel to the application of rules under the current framework. Accordingly, a dual regime must apply in a transition phase whereby necessary preparatory steps for a given timetable should comply with the legal framework applicable to that specific working timetable. Framework agreements concluded under the current framework should have the possibility to continue ~~applying~~	(30) The preparation of the working timetable requires preparatory activities to be carried out in the years preceding the entry into force of the said working timetable. Therefore, the transition from the regulatory framework established by Directive ~~2013/34/EU~~ <u>2012/34/EU</u> and Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 to the one established by this Regulation implies that preparations for working timetables under the new framework should begin in parallel to the application of rules under the current framework. Accordingly, a dual regime ~~must~~should apply in a transition phase whereby necessary preparatory steps for a given timetable should comply with the legal framework applicable to that specific working timetable. Framework agreements concluded under the current framework should have the possibility to	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	a transition period under the new regime.	~~for a transition period under the new regime~~ <u>until the end of their contract.</u>	continue applying for a transition period under the new regime.	
Recital 31				
41	(31) Under the new framework, the preparatory steps for a working timetable should begin with the publication of a capacity strategy five years before the entry into force of a given working timetable. In the interest of an early application of the new regulatory framework and taking into account the preparatory work already undertaken by the sector, the schedule of activities leading to the establishment of the first two working timetables could be shortened to 38 months by abbreviating the phase of the capacity strategy. Accordingly, the first working timetable falling under the new regulatory framework should be the working timetable starting on [9 December 2029]. All stakeholders should start the necessary preparations without delay with a view to comply with the new framework.	(31) Under the new framework, the preparatory steps for a working timetable should begin with the publication of a capacity strategy five years before the entry into force of a given working timetable. In the interest of an early application of the new regulatory framework and taking into account the preparatory work already undertaken by the sector, the schedule of activities leading to the establishment of the first two working timetables could be shortened to 38 months by abbreviating the phase of the capacity strategy. Accordingly, the first working timetable falling under the new regulatory framework should be the working timetable starting on [9 December 2029]. All stakeholders should start the necessary preparations without delay with a view to comply with the new framework. <u>An earlier application date could be considered by Member States</u>	(31) Under the new framework, the preparatory steps for a working timetable should begin with the ~~publication of a~~ start of the capacity strategy phase five years before the entry into force of a given working timetable. This timeframe is coherent with that of the contractual agreements concluded between the Member State and the infrastructure manager, which include the structure of the payments agreed to provide funding to the infrastructure manager and which cover a period of not less than five years, as provided by Directive 2012/34/EU. In the interest of an early application of the new regulatory framework and taking into account the preparatory work already undertaken by the sector, the schedule of activities leading to the establishment of the first two working timetables could be shortened to 38 months by abbreviating the phase of the	(31) Under the new framework, the preparatory steps for a working timetable should begin with the start of the capacity strategy phase five years before the entry into force of a given working timetable. This timeframe is coherent with that of the contractual agreements concluded between the Member State and the infrastructure manager, which include the structure of the payments agreed to provide funding to the infrastructure manager and which cover a period of not less than five years, as provided by Directive 2012/34/EU. In the interest of an early application of the new regulatory framework and taking into account the preparatory work already undertaken by the sector, the schedule of activities leading to the establishment of the first two working timetables could be shortened to 38 months by abbreviating the phase of the

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>that are able to prove that they have carried out all the necessary steps before the adoption date of the Regulation.</u>	capacity strategy. Accordingly, the first working timetable falling under the new regulatory framework should be the working timetable starting on [913 December ~~2029~~ 2031]. All stakeholders should start the necessary preparations without delay with a view to ~~comply~~ complying with the new framework.	capacity strategy. Accordingly, the first working timetable falling under the new regulatory framework should be the working timetable starting on [13 December 2031]. All stakeholders should start the necessary preparations without delay with a view to complying with the new framework. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Recital 31a				
G 41a				<u>(31a) Directive (EU) 2022/2557 of the European Parliament and of the Council (CER Directive) lays down measures to achieve a high level of resilience of critical entities that provide essential services within the Union. Infrastructure managers in scope of this Regulation are in principle also in scope of the CER Directive. The CER Directive requires critical entities to take resilience-enhancing measures. This Regulation requires as well that infrastructure managers take resilience-enhancing measures in the event of network disruption and crisis situations affecting rail</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>traffic. Resilience measures under this Regulation should apply without prejudice to, and in complementarity with, the CER Directive. Infrastructure Managers must ensure that they also fulfil their obligations under the CER Directive. Where infrastructure managers have already taken measures and drawn up documents pursuant to this Regulation, that are relevant for resilience-enhancing measures under the CER Directive, they should be able, to use those measures and documents to meet the requirements as regards resilience measures under the CER Directive.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Recital 32				
41b			(32) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely managing rail infrastructure capacity and traffic more efficiently, thereby improving the quality of services and accommodating more traffic on the railway network, cannot be	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			sufficiently achieved by the Member States but can rather, by reason of the transnational scale of the action, be better achieved at Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.	
	Formula			
G 42	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION: G
	CHAPTER I			
43	CHAPTER I GENERAL PROVISIONS	CHAPTER I GENERAL PROVISIONS	CHAPTER I GENERAL PROVISIONS	CHAPTER I GENERAL PROVISIONS
	Article 1			
44	Article 1 Subject-matter and scope	Article 1 Subject-matter and scope	Article 1 Subject-matter and scope	Article 1 Subject-matter and scope
	Article 1(1)			
G 45	1. This Regulation lays down the principles, rules, and procedures applicable to management of rail infrastructure	1. This Regulation lays down the principles, rules, and procedures applicable to management of rail infrastructure	1. This Regulation lays down the principles, rules, and procedures applicable to management of rail infrastructure	1. This Regulation lays down the principles, rules, and procedures applicable to management of rail infrastructure G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	capacity and coordination with service facilities, traffic management, crisis management and performance management for domestic and international rail services. It also lays down rules on a European Network for Coordination between infrastructure managers and with other relevant stakeholders and on the oversight of capacity and traffic management.	capacity and coordination with service facilities, traffic management, crisis management and performance management for domestic and international rail services. It also lays down rules on a European Network for Coordination between infrastructure managers and with other relevant stakeholders and on the oversight of capacity and traffic management.	capacity and coordination with operators of service facilities, traffic management, crisis management and performance management for domestic and international rail services. It also lays down rules on a European Network for Coordination between infrastructure managers and with other relevant stakeholders and on the oversight of capacity and traffic management.	capacity and coordination with operators of service facilities, traffic management, crisis management and performance management for domestic and international rail services, <u>as well as the implementation of digital tools to support the capacity and traffic management processes in this Regulation</u> . It also lays down rules on a European Network for Coordination between infrastructure managers and with other relevant stakeholders and on the oversight of capacity and traffic management. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 1(1a)				
G 45a		<u>1a. This Regulation also lays down rules and procedures for the implementation of an integrated digital European rail traffic management system.</u>		deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 1(2)				
G 46	2. This Regulation applies to the use of railway infrastructure for domestic and international rail services referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive 2012/34/EU, including service facilities as	2. This Regulation applies to <u>the Union rail system defined in Article 2(1) of Directive (EU) 2016/797</u> , the use of railway infrastructure for domestic and international rail services referred	2. This Regulation applies to the use of railway infrastructure for domestic and international rail services referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive 2012/34/EU, including service facilities as	2. This Regulation applies to the use of railway infrastructure for domestic and international rail services referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive 2012/34/EU, including service facilities as

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	defined in Article 3(11) of that Directive.	to in Article 1(2) of Directive 2012/34/EU, including service facilities as defined in Article 3(11) of that Directive.	defined in Article 3(11) of that Directive.	defined in Article 3(11) of that Directive. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 1(3)				
47	3. Chapters II to V of this Regulation shall not apply to rail infrastructure or railway services that are excluded from the application of Chapter IV of Directive 2012/34/EU in accordance with Article 2(3), (3a), (4), (8), (8a) and (10) of that Directive during the period of validity of the relevant exclusions.	3. Chapters II to V of this Regulation shall not apply to rail infrastructure or railway services that are excluded from the application of Chapter IV of Directive 2012/34/EU in accordance with Article 2(3), (3a), (4), (8), (8a), <u>(9)</u> and (10) of that Directive during the period of validity of the relevant exclusions.	3. Articles 2 and 3 of Chapter I and Chapters II to V of this Regulation shall not apply to rail infrastructure or railway services that ~~are a~~ Member State has excluded from the application of Chapter IV of Directive 2012/34/EU in accordance with Article 2(3), (3a), (4), (8), ~~(8a)~~ and ~~(408a)~~ of that Directive during the period of validity of the relevant exclusions.	(22/10) Presidency is checking this and will get back in writing
Article 1(3), second subparagraph				
47a			This Regulation shall not apply to railway services that a Member State has excluded from the application of Chapter IV of Directive 2012/34/EU in accordance with Article 2(10) of that Directive.	<u>3a.</u> This Regulation shall not apply to railway services that a Member State has excluded from the application of Chapter IV of Directive 2012/34/EU in accordance with Article 2(10) of that Directive. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 1(3), third subparagraph				
47b			This Regulation shall not apply with respect to undertakings or	<u>3b.</u> This Regulation shall not apply with respect to undertakings

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			to transport operations referred to in Article 2(9) of Directive 2012/34/EU.	or to transport operations referred to in Article 2(9) of Directive 2012/34/EU. (22/10) EP is double checking
Article 1(4)				
G	48 4. This Regulation shall not apply to Cyprus and Malta for as long as no railway system is established within their territory.	4. This Regulation shall not apply to Cyprus and Malta for as long as no railway system is established within their territory.	4. This Regulation shall not apply to Cyprus and Malta for as long as no railway system is established within their territory.	4. This Regulation shall not apply to Cyprus and Malta for as long as no railway system is established within their territory.
Article 1(5)				
R	48a		5. This Regulation shall not prevent Member States from adopting measures necessary to safeguard essential security or defence interests and measures to ensure that military have sufficient access to railway infrastructure, in particular for military operations and training, including the types of measures described in points (a) to (e) of Article 47(1). Article 47(1), second and third subparagraphs, and (2) to (7), shall apply mutatis mutandis to the adoption of such measures.	5. This Regulation shall not prevent Member States from adopting measures necessary to safeguard essential security or defence interests and measures to ensure that military have sufficient access to railway infrastructure, in particular for military operations and training, including the types of measures described in points (a) to (e) of Article 47(1). ~~Article 47(1), second and third subparagraphs, and (2) to (7), shall apply mutatis mutandis to the adoption of such measures.~~ TRILOGUE
Article 1(6)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
R	48b		6. This Regulation is without prejudice to the responsibilities of the Member States regarding the financing of infrastructure development and maintenance. It does not lay down any financial obligation in this regard.	
	Article 2			
G	49	Article 2 General responsibilities and principles	Article 2 General responsibilities and principles	Article 2 General responsibilities and principles Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 2(-1)			
G	49a			<u>-1. This Regulation shall be without prejudice to the management independence of infrastructure managers set out in Article 4 of Directive 2012/34/EU.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 2(-1a), first subparagraph			
G	50	1. Without prejudice to Article 7c of Directive 2012/34/EU, infrastructure managers shall be responsible for the management of rail	1. Without prejudice to Article 7c of Directive 2012/34/EU, infrastructure managers shall be responsible for the management of rail	1. Without prejudice to Article 7c of Directive 2012/34/EU, infrastructure managers shall be responsible for the management of rail

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	infrastructure capacity and rail traffic management.	infrastructure capacity and rail traffic management.	infrastructure capacity and rail traffic management.	infrastructure capacity and rail traffic management.
Article 2(-1a), second subparagraph				
51	References to an infrastructure manager in all provisions related to rail infrastructure capacity allocation in this Regulation shall be construed as references to the allocation body referred in Article 7a(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU.	References to an infrastructure manager in all provisions related to rail infrastructure capacity allocation in this Regulation shall be construed as references to the allocation body referred in Article 7a(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU.	References to an infrastructure manager in all provisions related to rail infrastructure capacity allocation in this Regulation shall be construed as references to the allocation body referred in Article 7a(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU.	References to an infrastructure manager in all provisions related to rail infrastructure capacity allocation in this Regulation shall be construed as references to the allocation body referred in Article 7a(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU.
Article 2(2)				
52	2. With the view to facilitating the provision of efficient and effective rail infrastructure capacity and traffic management within the Union, infrastructure managers shall cooperate within the European Network of Infrastructure Managers ('ENIM') referred to in Article 7f of Directive 2012/34/EU and in accordance with the provisions of this Regulation on cooperation.	2. With the view to facilitating the provision of efficient and effective rail infrastructure capacity and traffic management within the Union, infrastructure managers shall cooperate within the European Network of Infrastructure Managers ('ENIM') referred to in Article 7f of Directive 2012/34/EU and in accordance with the provisions of this Regulation on cooperation.	2. With the view to facilitating the provision of efficient and effective rail infrastructure capacity and traffic management within the Union, infrastructure managers referred to in Article 55(2) shall cooperate within the European Network of Infrastructure Managers ('ENIM') referred to in Article 7f of Directive 2012/34/EU and in accordance with the provisions of this Regulation on cooperation.	2. With the view to facilitating the provision of efficient and effective rail infrastructure capacity and traffic management within the Union, infrastructure managers referred to in Article 55(2) shall cooperate within the European Network of Infrastructure Managers ('ENIM') referred to in Article 7f of Directive 2012/34/EU and in accordance with the provisions of this Regulation on cooperation. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 2(3)				
53	3. In fulfilling their responsibilities in accordance with	3. In fulfilling their responsibilities in accordance with	3. In fulfilling their tasks and responsibilities in accordance with ~~paragraph 1 and 2~~ this	3. In fulfilling their tasks and responsibilities in accordance with

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	paragraph 1 and 2, infrastructure managers shall:	paragraph 1 and 2, infrastructure managers shall:	Regulation , infrastructure managers shall:	this Regulation, infrastructure managers shall: Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 2(3), point (a)				
54	(a) make optimum effective use of the available infrastructure capacity as required in Article 26 of Directive 2012/34/EU;	(a) make optimum effective use of the available infrastructure capacity as required in Article 26 of Directive 2012/34/EU <u>with the aim of increasing the share of rail transport, both for passenger and freight services in accordance with Union's climate targets</u> ;	(a) make optimum effective use of the available infrastructure capacity as required in Article 26 of Directive 2012/34/EU;	(a) make optimum effective use of the available infrastructure ~~capacity~~ <u>through effective planning and coordination with the aim of increasing the share of rail transport, both for passenger and freight services in accordance with Union's climate targets</u> ; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 2(3), point (b)				
55	(b) maximize the value to society of rail transport services enabled by rail infrastructure in social, economic and environmental terms;	(b) maximize the value to society of rail transport services enabled by rail infrastructure in social, economic and environmental terms;	(b) maximize the value to society of rail transport services enabled by rail infrastructure in social, economic and environmental terms;	(b) maximize the value to society of rail transport services enabled by rail infrastructure in social, economic and environmental terms;
Article 2(3), point (c)				
56	(c) ensure non-discriminatory management of and transparent access to infrastructure capacity, including during works, with a view to supporting fair competition;	(c) ensure non-discriminatory management of and transparent access to infrastructure capacity, including during works, with a view to supporting fair competition;	(c) ensure non-discriminatory management of and transparent, equitable access to infrastructure capacity, including during infrastructure works, with a view to ~~supporting~~ enabling fair competition and with the aim to	(c) ensure non-discriminatory management of and transparent, equitable access to infrastructure capacity, including during infrastructure works, with a view to enable fair competition and with

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			guarantee the optimal competitiveness of rail services;	the aim to guarantee the optimal competitiveness of rail services; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 2(3), point (d)				
57	(d) enable seamless rail traffic across more than one network;	(d) enable seamless <u>and punctual</u> rail traffic across more than one network <u>and across borders by striving to eliminate bottlenecks and operational obstacles</u> ;	(d) enable seamless rail traffic across more than one network, in particular by enabling freight and passenger trains to run under good conditions and easily pass from one network to another ;	(d) enable seamless rail traffic across more than one network, ~~in particular by enabling freight and passenger trains to run under good conditions and easily pass from one network to another~~ <u>and across borders by striving to eliminate bottlenecks and operational obstacles</u> ; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 2(3), point (e)				
58	(e) ensure transparency about the state and availability of rail infrastructure capacity;	(e) ensure transparency about the state and availability of rail infrastructure capacity;	(e) ensure transparency about the state and availability of rail infrastructure capacity;	(e) ensure transparency about the state and availability of rail infrastructure capacity;
Article 2(3), point (f)				
59	(f) review and improve the performance of rail infrastructure and transport services in close cooperation with rail sector operators;	(f) review and improve the performance of rail infrastructure and transport services in close cooperation with rail sector operators, <u>including service facilities that are directly involved in a railway service</u> ;	(f) review and improve the performance of rail infrastructure ~~and transport services~~ in close cooperation with ~~rail sector operators~~ operational stakeholders ;	(f) review and improve the performance of rail infrastructure in close cooperation with operational stakeholders; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 2(3), point (g)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 60	(g) contribute to the implementation and development of the single European railway area.	(g) contribute to the implementation and development of the single European railway area, <u>in particular through common European technical and operational rules and standards, technical equipment requirements and staff certification.</u>	(g) contribute to the implementation and development of the single European railway area.	(g) contribute to the implementation and development of the single European railway area, <u>in particular through common European technical and operational rules and standards.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 3				
G 61	Article 3 Impartiality of the infrastructure manager in respect of traffic and capacity management, including maintenance planning	Article 3 Impartiality of the infrastructure manager in respect of traffic and capacity management, including maintenance planning	Article 3 Impartiality of the infrastructure manager in respect of traffic and capacity management, including maintenance planning	Article 3 Impartiality of the infrastructure manager in respect of traffic and capacity management, including maintenance planning Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 3(1)				
G 62	1. Infrastructure managers shall carry out the functions of traffic and capacity management, including maintenance planning in a transparent and non-discriminatory manner and the persons in charge of taking decisions in respect of those functions shall not be affected by any conflict of interest.	1. Infrastructure managers shall carry out the functions of traffic and capacity management, including maintenance planning in a transparent and non-discriminatory manner and the persons in charge of taking decisions in respect of those functions shall not be affected by any conflict of interest.	1. Infrastructure managers shall carry out the functions of traffic and capacity management, including maintenance planning in a transparent and non-discriminatory manner and the persons in charge of taking decisions in respect of those functions shall not be affected by any conflict of interest.	1. Infrastructure managers shall carry out the functions of traffic and capacity management, including maintenance planning in a transparent and non-discriminatory manner and the persons in charge of taking decisions in respect of those functions shall not be affected by any conflict of interest.
Article 3(1a)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
62a			1a. Infrastructure managers shall respect the commercial confidentiality of information provided to them, in accordance with national and EU law, including, where appropriate, by anonymising the information shared with other parties.	1a. Infrastructure managers shall respect the commercial confidentiality of information provided to them, in accordance with <u>Union law and</u> national ~~and EU~~ law, including, where appropriate, by anonymising the information shared with other parties. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 3(2)				
63	2. As regards traffic management, infrastructure managers shall ensure that railway undertakings, in cases of disruption concerning them, have full and timely access to relevant information. Where the infrastructure manager grants further access to the traffic management process, it shall do so for the railway undertakings concerned in a transparent and non-discriminatory way.	2. As regards traffic management, infrastructure managers shall ensure that railway undertakings, in cases of disruption concerning them, have full and timely access to relevant information. Where the infrastructure manager grants further access to the traffic management process, it shall do so for the railway undertakings concerned in a transparent and non-discriminatory way.	2. As regards traffic management, infrastructure managers shall ensure that railway undertakings and operators of service facilities , in cases of disruption concerning them, have full and timely access to relevant information. Where the infrastructure manager grants further access to the traffic management process, it shall do so for the railway undertakings and operators of service facilities concerned in a transparent and non-discriminatory way.	2. As regards traffic management, infrastructure managers shall ensure that railway undertakings and operators of service facilities, in cases of disruption concerning them, have full and timely access to relevant information. Where the infrastructure manager grants further access to the traffic management process, it shall do so for the railway undertakings and operators of service facilities concerned in a transparent and non-discriminatory way. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 3(3)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 64	3. As regards capacity management and the long-term planning of major maintenance, renewal and upgrade of the railway infrastructure, the infrastructure manager shall consult applicants, as defined in Article 3, point 19 of Directive 2012/34/EU, in accordance with this Regulation and, to the best possible extent, take into account the concerns expressed.	3. As regards capacity management and the long-term planning of major maintenance, renewal and upgrade of the railway infrastructure, the infrastructure manager shall consult applicants, as defined in Article 3, point 19 of Directive 2012/34/EU, in accordance with this Regulation and, to the best possible extent, take into account the concerns expressed.	3. As regards capacity management and the long-term planning of major maintenance, renewal and upgrade of the railway infrastructure, the infrastructure manager shall consult applicants, as defined in Article 3, point 19 of Directive 2012/34/EU, in accordance with this Regulation and, ~~to the best possible extent, take into~~ take the utmost account of the concerns expressed.	3. As regards capacity management and the long-term planning of major maintenance, renewal and upgrade of the railway infrastructure, the infrastructure manager shall consult applicants, as defined in Article 3, point 19 of Directive 2012/34/EU, in accordance with this Regulation and take the utmost account of the concerns expressed. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 3a				
G 64a				<u>Article 3a</u> <u>Strategic guidance and national requirements</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 3a, first paragraph				
G 64b				<u>1. Member States may provide the infrastructure manager with strategic guidance based on the indicative rail infrastructure development strategies referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU. That guidance shall respect the operational responsibilities of the infrastructure manager, enabling</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>them to optimise use of the network, and coordinate effectively the attribution of capacity for cross-border traffic. That guidance shall be consistent with the provisions laid down in this Regulation. That guidance shall be timely provided, as for infrastructure managers to be able to follow the deadlines set out in Annex I, and may cover or contain in particular:</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 3a, first paragraph, point (1)			
64c				<u>(a) general objectives of national rail policy relevant for strategic capacity planning within the scope of this Regulation;</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 3a, first paragraph, point (2)			
64d				<u>(b) an outlook on the development of rail infrastructure, taking into account relevant plans and strategies at national or regional level and the work plans of the European Transport Corridors;</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
Article 3a, first paragraph, point (3)								
G	64e							<u>(c) general requirements and guidelines as regards the use of rail infrastructure capacity, which the infrastructure manager shall take into account in strategic capacity planning, in particular in relation to highly utilised and congested infrastructure referred to in Article 21;</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 3a, first paragraph, point (4)								
G	64f							<u>(d) an outlook on the planned development of rail services operated under public service obligations, taking into account, where necessary, the views of the regional or local authorities involved.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 3a, second paragraph								
G	64g							<u>2. Member States may provide that one or more elements of guidance referred to in this paragraph are binding by requiring infrastructure managers:</u>

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
								Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 3a, second paragraph, point (1)								
G	64h							<u>(a) to implement specific timetable designs for both freight and passenger services such as integrated clock-face timetables;</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 3a, second paragraph, point (2)								
G	64i							<u>(b) to reserve minimum volumes of capacity to traffic types referred to in Article 12 to reflect their transport policy priorities;</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 3a, second paragraph, point (3)								
G	64j							<u>(c) to pre-plan infrastructure capacity on certain sections and nodes, in accordance with Article 18(4);</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 3a, second paragraph, point (CI)								
G	64k							<u>(d) to reflect national transport policy priorities by means of national parameters in the methodology for capacity</u>

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
								<u>partitioning in accordance with Article 25 and by means of national parameters in the methodology for formal conflict resolution in accordance with Article 37;</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
		Article 3a, second paragraph, first subparagraph						
G	64l							<u>Such requirements shall not commit available capacity for any element of infrastructure to an extent that deprives the infrastructure manager of a sufficient operational margin to fulfil all its tasks and responsibilities set out in Article 2(3).</u> Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue (25/09) 'for any element of infrastructure' has been added
		Article 3a, second paragraph, second subparagraph						
G	64m							<u>The provision of rail transport services under specific timetable designs, such as integrated clock-face timetables, the consultation on strategic capacity planning</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>and the allocation of capacity rights required for such services shall remain open to all applicants and railway undertakings under transparent, equitable and non-discriminatory terms.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 3a, third paragraph			
G 64n				<u>3. Where Member States have adopted strategic guidance and requirements pursuant to paragraphs 1 and 2, they shall provide them in a single document. Such document shall be made publicly available on their website. ENIM shall make the links available on its website.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 3a, forth paragraph			
G 64o				<u>4. Member States shall coordinate in accordance with Article 53a to ensure consistency between the respective strategic guidance and requirements they provide in accordance with this Article with a view to supporting the development of international</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>passenger and freight rail services.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 3a, fifth paragraph				
64p				<u>5. Without prejudice to State aid rules, Member States may, where appropriate, grant the infrastructure manager compensation corresponding to losses of revenue related exclusively to the compliance with the strategic guidance and requirements regarding the use of rail infrastructure capacity.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 4				
65	Article 4 Definitions	Article 4 Definitions	Article 4 Definitions	Article 4 Definitions
Article 4, first paragraph				
66	For the purposes of this Regulation, the definitions in Article 3 of Directive 2012/34/EU shall apply.	For the purposes of this Regulation, the definitions in Article 3 of Directive 2012/34/EU shall apply.	For the purposes of this Regulation, the definitions in Article 3 of Directive 2012/34/EU shall apply.	For the purposes of this Regulation, the definitions in Article 3 of Directive 2012/34/EU shall apply.
Article 4, second paragraph				
67	The following definitions shall also apply:	The following definitions shall also apply:	The following definitions shall also apply:	The following definitions shall also apply:
Article 4, second paragraph, point (1)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
68	(1) 'force majeure' means any unforeseeable or unusual event or situation beyond the control of the infrastructure manager or the railway undertaking, which cannot be avoided or overcome with reasonable foresight and diligence, be solved by measures which are from a technical, financial or economic point of view reasonably possible for them, which has actually happened and is objectively verifiable, and which makes it impossible for the infrastructure manager to fulfil, temporarily or permanently, its obligations in accordance with this Regulation or Directive 2012/34/EU or for the railway undertaking to meet its contractual obligations towards an infrastructure manager or managers;	(1) 'force majeure' means any unforeseeable, <u>unavoidable or exceptional</u> ~~or unusual~~ event or situation beyond the control of the infrastructure manager ~~or~~ the railway undertaking <u>or the applicant</u> , which cannot be ~~avoided or~~ overcome with reasonable foresight and diligence, <u>cannot</u> be solved by measures which are from a technical, financial or economic point of view reasonably possible ~~for them~~ , ~~which has~~ <u>including re-routing across borders; this event must have</u> actually happened and <u>is needs to be</u> objectively verifiable, and which makes it impossible for the infrastructure manager to fulfil, temporarily or permanently, its obligations in accordance with this Regulation or Directive 2012/34/EU or for the railway undertaking to meet its contractual obligations towards an infrastructure manager or managers;	(1) 'force majeure' means any unforeseeable ~~or unusual~~ event or situation beyond the control of the infrastructure manager or the railway undertaking, which cannot be avoided or overcome with reasonable foresight and diligence, be solved by measures which are from a technical, financial or economic point of view reasonably possible for them, which has actually happened and is objectively verifiable, and which makes it impossible for the infrastructure manager to fulfil, temporarily or permanently, its obligations in accordance with this Regulation or Directive 2012/34/EU or for the railway undertaking to ~~meets~~ <u>meet</u> its contractual obligations towards an infrastructure manager or managers;	(1) 'force majeure' means any unforeseeable, <u>unavoidable or exceptional</u> event or situation beyond the control of the ~~infrastructure manager or the railway undertaking~~ , <u>operational stakeholder</u> which cannot be ~~avoided or~~ overcome with reasonable foresight and diligence, <u>cannot</u> be solved by measures which are from a technical, financial or economic point of view reasonably possible for them, which has actually happened and <u>is needs to be</u> objectively verifiable, and which makes it impossible for the ~~infrastructure manager~~ <u>operational stakeholder</u> to fulfil, temporarily or permanently, its obligations in accordance with this Regulation ~~or~~ Directive 2012/34/EU or ~~for the railway undertaking to meet its contractual obligations towards an infrastructure manager or managers~~ ; Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 4, second paragraph, point (2)				
69	(2) 'interoperability' means interoperability as defined in	(2) 'interoperability' means interoperability as defined in	(2) 'interoperability' means interoperability as defined in	(2) 'interoperability' means interoperability as defined in

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	Article 2(2) of Directive (EU) 2016/797 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹; 1. Directive (EU) 2016/797 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2016 on the interoperability of the rail system within the European Union (OJ L 138, 26.5.2016, p. 44).	Article 2(2) of Directive (EU) 2016/797 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹; 1. Directive (EU) 2016/797 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2016 on the interoperability of the rail system within the European Union (OJ L 138, 26.5.2016, p. 44).	Article 2(2) of Directive (EU) 2016/797 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹; 1. Directive (EU) 2016/797 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2016 on the interoperability of the rail system within the European Union (OJ L 138, 26.5.2016, p. 44).	Article 2(2) of Directive (EU) 2016/797 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹; 1. Directive (EU) 2016/797 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2016 on the interoperability of the rail system within the European Union (OJ L 138, 26.5.2016, p. 44).
Article 4, second paragraph, point (2a)				
69a		<u>(2a) ‘applicant’ means railway undertaking or an international grouping of railway undertakings or other persons or legal entities, such as competent authorities as defined in Article 2(b) of Regulation (EC) No 1370/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ and shippers, freight forwarders and combined transport operators, with a public-service or commercial interest in procuring infrastructure capacity;</u> <u>1. Regulation (EC) no 1370/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2007 on public passenger transport services by rail and by road and repealing Council Regulations (EEC) Nos 1191/69 and 1107/70 (OJ L 315 3.12.2007, p. 1).</u>		<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 30</i>
Article 4, second paragraph, point (3)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
70	(3) ‘operational stakeholder’ means an applicant, railway undertaking, infrastructure manager, rail service facility operator, provider of rail-related services and any other entity directly involved in operating a rail transport service;	(3) ‘operational stakeholder’ means an applicant, ~~railway undertaking~~ <u>association of applicants</u> , infrastructure manager, ~~rail operator of~~ <u>operator of</u> service facility operator, provider of rail-related services and any other entity directly involved in operating a rail transport service;	(3) ‘operational stakeholder’ means an applicant ~~stakeholders~~ <u>applicants</u> , railway undertaking ~~undertakings~~ , infrastructure manager, ~~rail managers, competent authorities referred to in Regulation (EC) 1370/2007, operators of service facility operator, provider of rail-related services~~ <u>facilities</u> and any other entity directly involved in operating a rail transport service;	(3) ‘operational stakeholders’ means applicants, railway undertakings, infrastructure managers, competent authorities referred to in Regulation (EC) 1370/2007, operators of service facilities and any other entity directly involved in operating a rail transport service; Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 4, second paragraph, point (4)				
71	(4) ‘European Coordinator’ means the Coordinator referred to in Article 51 of Regulation [... new TEN-T Regulation];	(4) ‘European Coordinator’ means the Coordinator referred to in Article 51 of Regulation [... new TEN-T Regulation];	(4) ‘European Coordinator’ means the Coordinator ~~referred to~~ <u>indesignated under</u> Article 51 of Regulation [... new TEN-T Regulation];	(4) ‘European Coordinator’ means the Coordinator designated under Article 52 of Regulation ~~f...~~ <u>new TEN-T Regulation</u> <u>(EU) 2024/1679</u> ; Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 4, second paragraph, point (4a)				
71a			(4a) ‘European Transport Corridors’ means the corridors referred to in Article 11 of [new TEN-T Regulation];	(4a) ‘European Transport Corridors’ means the corridors referred to in Article <u>7, 11 and Annex III</u> ~~11~~ of ~~new TEN-T~~ <u>Regulation</u> <u>(EU) 2024/1679</u> ; Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 4, second paragraph, point (4b)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
71b			(4b) ‘urban nodes’ means the urban nodes as defined in Article 3, point (6), of [new TEN-T Regulation];	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 30</i>
Article 4, second paragraph, point (4c)				
71c			(4c) ‘core network’ means the core network as identified in Annex I of [new TEN-T Regulation]. For the purpose of Article 55(3a), reference to the ‘core network’ means the core network as identified in Annex IV of [new TEN-T Regulation];	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 30</i>
Article 4, second paragraph, point (4d)				
71d			(4d) ‘extended core network’ means the extended core network as identified in Annex I of [new TEN-T Regulation]. For the purpose of Article 55(3a), reference to the ‘extended core network’ means the extended core network as identified in Annex IV of [new TEN-T Regulation];	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 30</i>
Article 4, second paragraph, point (5)				
72	(5) ‘framework agreement’ means a legally binding general agreement under public or private law, setting out the rights and obligations of an applicant and the	(5) ‘framework agreement’ means a legally binding general agreement under public or private law, setting out the rights and obligations of an applicant and the	(5) ‘framework agreement’ means a legally binding general agreement under public or private law, setting out the rights and obligations of an applicant and the	(5) ‘framework agreement’ means a legally binding general agreement under public or private law, setting out the rights and obligations of an applicant and the

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	infrastructure manager in relation to the infrastructure capacity to be allocated and the charges to be levied over a period longer than one working timetable period;	infrastructure manager in relation to the infrastructure capacity to be allocated and the charges to be levied over a period longer than one working timetable period;	infrastructure manager in relation to the infrastructure capacity to be allocated and the charges to be levied over a period longer than one working timetable period;	infrastructure manager in relation to the infrastructure capacity to be allocated and the charges to be levied over a period longer than one working timetable period;
Article 4, second paragraph, point (6)				
G 73	(6) ‘simultaneous capacity allocation’ means a process whereby infrastructure managers allocate rail infrastructure capacity in response to a set of requests for capacity received by a given cut-off date and through coordination of these requests to ensure the best possible utilisation of the infrastructure and the closest possible match with the requests;	(6) ‘simultaneous capacity allocation’ means a process whereby infrastructure managers allocate rail infrastructure capacity in response to a set of requests for capacity received by a given cut-off date and through coordination of these requests to ensure the best possible utilisation of the infrastructure and the closest possible match with the requests;	(6) ‘simultaneous capacity allocation’ means a process whereby infrastructure managers allocate rail infrastructure capacity in response to a set of requests for capacity received by a given cut-off date and through coordination of these requests to ensure the best possible utilisation of the infrastructure and the closest possible match with the requests;	(6) ‘simultaneous capacity allocation’ means a process whereby infrastructure managers allocate rail infrastructure capacity in response to a set of requests for capacity received by a given cut-off date and through coordination of these requests to ensure the best possible utilisation of the infrastructure and the closest possible match with the requests;
Article 4, second paragraph, point (7)				
G 74	(7) ‘first come, first served’ means a principle for the allocation of rail infrastructure capacity where the priority for the allocation process is given according to the chronological order of the capacity requests;	(7) ‘first come, first served’ means a principle for the allocation of rail infrastructure capacity where the priority for the allocation process is given according to the chronological order of the capacity requests;	(7) ‘first come, first served’ means a principle for the allocation of rail infrastructure capacity where the priority for the allocation process is given according to the chronological order of the capacity requests;	(7) ‘first come, first served’ means a principle for the allocation of rail infrastructure capacity where the priority for the allocation process is given according to the chronological order of the capacity requests;
Article 4, second paragraph, point (8)				
G 75	(8) ‘train path’ means the infrastructure capacity needed to run a train between two places over a given period, which is	(8) ‘train path’ means the infrastructure capacity needed to run a train between two places over a given period, which is	(8) ‘train path’ means the infrastructure capacity needed to run a train between two places over a given period, which is	(8) ‘train path’ means the infrastructure capacity needed to run a train between two places over a given period, which is

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	described as an exact route with timing for that train, including the origin and destination, an entry time and day and an exit time and day, including any stopping point and related departure times;	described as an exact route with timing for that train, including the origin and destination, an entry time and day and an exit time and day, including any stopping point and related departure times;	described as an exact route with timing for that train, including the origin and destination, an entry time and day and an exit time and day, including any stopping point and related departure times;	described as an exact route with timing for that train, including the origin and destination, an entry time and day and an exit time and day, including any stopping point and related departure times;
Article 4, second paragraph, point (9)				
76	(9) ‘capacity specification’ means a capacity right, which specifies the commercial and operational characteristics of the infrastructure capacity relevant for the applicant concerned and which provides the infrastructure manager with enough information to prepare specific train paths that respect those characteristics;	(9) ‘capacity specification’ means a capacity right, which specifies the commercial and operational characteristics of the infrastructure capacity relevant for the applicant concerned and which provides the infrastructure manager with enough information to prepare specific train paths that respect those characteristics;	(9) ‘capacity specification’ means a capacity right, which specifies the commercial and operational characteristics of the infrastructure capacity ~~relevant for the applicant concerned and which provides~~ to be provided by the infrastructure manager to the relevant applicant , with enough information to prepare specific train paths that respect those characteristics;	(9) ‘capacity specification’ means a capacity right, which specifies the commercial and operational characteristics of the infrastructure capacity ~~to be provided by~~ relevant for the applicant concerned and which provides the infrastructure manager ~~to the relevant applicant~~ , with enough information to prepare specific train paths that respect those characteristics; Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 4, second paragraph, point (10)				
77	(10) ‘multi-network rail service’ means a freight or passenger rail transport service, domestic or international, which is operated on two or more networks managed by different infrastructure managers. The train may be joined and/or split and the different sections may have	(10) ‘multi-network rail service’ means a freight or passenger rail transport service, domestic or international, which is operated on two or more networks managed by different infrastructure managers. The train may be joined and/or split and the different sections may have	(10) ‘multi-network rail service’ means a freight or passenger rail transport service, domestic or international, which is operated on two or more networks managed by different infrastructure managers. The train may be joined and/or split and the different sections may have	(10) ‘multi-network rail service’ means a freight or passenger rail transport service, domestic or international, which is operated on two or more networks managed by different infrastructure managers. The train may be joined and/or split and the

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	different origins and destinations, provided that all wagons or carriages cross over into at least one network operated by a different infrastructure manager;	different origins and destinations, provided that all wagons or carriages cross over into at least one network operated by a different infrastructure manager;	different origins and destinations, provided that all wagons or carriages cross over into at least one network operated by a different infrastructure manager;	different sections may have different origins and destinations; Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 4, second paragraph, point (11)				
78	(11) ‘multi-network capacity right’ means the entirety of capacity rights enabling the provision of a multi-network rail service;	(11) ‘multi-network capacity right’ means the entirety of capacity rights enabling the provision of a multi-network rail service;	(11) ‘multi-network capacity right’ means the entirety of capacity rights enabling the provision of a multi-network rail service;	(11) ‘multi-network capacity right’ means the entirety of capacity rights enabling the provision of a multi-network rail service;
Article 4, second paragraph, point (12)				
79	(12) ‘partitioning of infrastructure capacity’ means assigning shares of the total available capacity of an element of infrastructure to different types of rail transport services and to capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure work.	(12) ‘partitioning of infrastructure capacity’ means assigning shares of the total available capacity of an element of infrastructure to different types of rail transport services and to capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure work.	(12) ‘partitioning of infrastructure capacity’ means assigning shares of the total available capacity of an element of infrastructure to different types of rail transport services and to capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure work.	(12) ‘partitioning of infrastructure capacity’ means assigning shares of the total available capacity of an element of infrastructure to different types of rail transport services and to capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure work. Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes
Article 4, second paragraph, point (13)				
80	(13) ‘working timetable’ means the continuously updated data defining all planned train and rolling-stock movements which will take place on the relevant infrastructure, as expressed by	(13) ‘working timetable’ means the continuously updated data defining all planned train and rolling-stock movements which will take place on the relevant infrastructure, as expressed by	(13) ‘working timetable’ means the continuously updated data defining all planned train and rolling-stock movements which will take place on the relevant infrastructure, as expressed by	(13) ‘working timetable’ means the continuously updated data defining all planned train and rolling-stock movements which will take place on the relevant infrastructure, as expressed by

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	allocated capacity rights, during a working timetable period;	allocated capacity rights, during a working timetable period;	allocated capacity rights, during a working timetable period;	allocated capacity rights, during a working timetable period;
	Article 4, second paragraph, point (14)			
G 81	(14) ‘working timetable period’ means the period of time during which a given working timetable is valid;	(14) ‘working timetable period’ means the period of time during which a given working timetable is valid;	(14) ‘working timetable period’ means the period of time during which a given working timetable is valid;	(14) ‘working timetable period’ means the period of time during which a given working timetable is valid;
	Article 4, second paragraph, point (14a)			
G 81a			(14a) ‘rolling planning process’ means a method of allocating capacity to at least rail freight services outside of the deadlines of the annual allocation process;	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 30</i>
	Article 4, second paragraph, point (15)			
G 82	(15) ‘infrastructure work’ means interventions on the railway infrastructure for the purpose of development, maintenance, renewal and upgrade of the railway infrastructure as defined in Article 3, points (2a), (2c), (2d), and (2e) of Directive 2012/34/EU;	(15) ‘infrastructure work’ means interventions on the railway infrastructure for the purpose of development, maintenance, renewal and upgrade of the railway infrastructure as defined in Article 3, points (2a), (2c), (2d), and (2e) of Directive 2012/34/EU;	(15) ‘infrastructure work’ means ~~interventions~~ intervention on the railway infrastructure for the purpose of development, maintenance, renewal and upgrade of the railway infrastructure as defined in Article 3, points (2a), (2c), (2d); and (2e), respectively , of Directive 2012/34/EU;	(15) ‘infrastructure work’ means an intervention on the railway infrastructure for the purpose of development, maintenance, renewal and upgrade of the railway infrastructure as defined in Article 3, points (2a), (2c), (2d) and (2e), respectively, of Directive 2012/34/EU; <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 30</i>
	Article 4, second paragraph, point (16)			
G 83	(16) ‘regulatory body’ means the regulatory body referred to in	(16) ‘regulatory body’ means the regulatory body referred to in	(16) ‘regulatory body’ means the regulatory body referred to in	(16) ‘regulatory body’ means the regulatory body referred to in

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	Article 55 of Directive 2012/34/EU;	Article 55 of Directive 2012/34/EU;	Article 55 of Directive 2012/34/EU;	Article 55 of Directive 2012/34/EU;
	Article 4, second paragraph, point (17)			
G 84	(17) 'incident' shall mean any occurrence, or series of occurrences having the same origin, which causes disruption of rail traffic.	(17) 'incident' shall mean any occurrence, or series of occurrences having the same origin, which causes disruption of rail traffic.	(17) 'incident' shall ~~mean~~ means any occurrence, or series of occurrences having the same origin, which causes disruption of rail traffic;	(17) 'incident' means any occurrence, or series of occurrences having the same origin, which causes disruption of rail traffic; Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
	Article 4, second paragraph, point (17a)			
G 84a			(17a) 'node' means a location connecting two or more railway lines none of which is excluded from the scope of Articles 2 and 3 of Chapter I and Chapters II to V of this Regulation pursuant to Article 1(3) of this Regulation;	(17a) ~~'node'~~ <u>'sections and nodes'</u> means a ~~location~~ <u>representation of the railway network in the form of a graph composed of nodes connected by sections</u> which is ~~excluded from the scope of Articles 2 and 3 of Chapter I and Chapters II to V of this Regulation pursuant to Article 1(3) of this Regulation;~~ <u>structured in a way that allows to take into account relevant characteristics of the supply of and demand for railway infrastructure capacity, in particular for the purpose of strategic capacity planning.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 30

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
Article 4, second paragraph, point (17b)								
84b					(17b) ‘specific timetable design’ means a scheme organising during a time span, usually aimed to be replicated at regular intervals, the combination of paths on the sections and nodes of a defined network, or part of a network, including for multi-network traffic and taking into account, where relevant, the connections and transfer times between services.	(17b) ‘specific timetable design’ means a ~~scheme organising during a time span, usually aimed to be replicated at regular intervals, the combination of~~ <u>particular way of arranging the train</u> paths ~~on the sections and nodes of a defined network, or part of a network~~ <u>in a timetable</u> , including for multi-network traffic ~~and taking into account, where relevant, the connections and transfer times between services,~~ <u>with a view to pursue particular policy objectives.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32		
Article 4, second paragraph, point (17c)								
84c					<u>(17a) ‘systematic train paths’ means train paths that are based on Articles 11 and 20 of this Regulation, pre-planned in a regular schedule for the period of a working time table.</u>		<u>(17c) ‘exceptional transport’ means exceptional transport as defined in Appendix J of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/773¹.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) this definition is related to the military mobility and crisis situations package	
Article 4, second paragraph, point (17d)								

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
G	84d							<u>(17d) ‘clockface timetable’ means a specific timetable design aimed to replicate rail services at regular and consistent intervals and the combination of paths on the sections and nodes of a network, or part of a network, taking into account, where relevant, the connections and transfer times between services.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 4, second paragraph, point (17e)								
G	84e							<u>(17e) “Element of infrastructure” means a portion of tracks of the network that is identified by the infrastructure manager for the purpose of application of specific provisions in this regulation.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 4, second paragraph, point (17f)								
G	84f							<u>(17f) ‘capacity restriction’ means a lessening of the capacity, or a reduction in the performance of infrastructure below its design values, for reasons within or beyond the control of the infrastructure manager, such as infrastructure works, degradation</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>of assets, or extreme weather events.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
CHAPTER II				
85	CHAPTER II MANAGEMENT OF INFRASTRUCTURE CAPACITY	CHAPTER II MANAGEMENT OF INFRASTRUCTURE CAPACITY	CHAPTER II MANAGEMENT OF INFRASTRUCTURE CAPACITY	CHAPTER II MANAGEMENT OF INFRASTRUCTURE CAPACITY
SECTION 1				
86	SECTION 1 General principles for the management of infrastructure capacity	SECTION 1 General principles for the management of infrastructure capacity	SECTION 1 General principles for the management of infrastructure capacity	SECTION 1 General principles for the management of infrastructure capacity
Article 5				
87	Article 5 Capacity management	Article 5 Capacity management	Article 5 Capacity management	Article 5 Capacity management Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 5(1)				
88	1. Infrastructure managers shall manage rail infrastructure capacity through a planning and allocation process comprising three phases:	1. Infrastructure managers shall manage rail infrastructure capacity through a planning and allocation process comprising three phases:	1. Infrastructure managers shall manage rail infrastructure capacity through a planning and allocation process comprising three phases:	1. Infrastructure managers shall manage rail infrastructure capacity through a planning and allocation process comprising three phases: Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 5(1), point (a)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	89	(a) strategic capacity planning as referred to in section 2;	(a) strategic capacity planning as referred to in section 2;	(a) strategic capacity planning as referred to in section 2; Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 5(1), point (b)					
G	90	(b) scheduling and allocation of infrastructure capacity as referred to in section 3;	(b) scheduling and allocation of infrastructure capacity as referred to in section 3;	(b) scheduling and allocation of infrastructure capacity as referred to in section 3; Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 5(1), point (c)					
G	91	(c) adaptation and rescheduling of allocated capacity as referred to in section 4.	(c) adaptation and rescheduling of allocated capacity as referred to in section 4.	(c) adaptation and rescheduling of allocated capacity as referred to in section 4. Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 5(2)					
G	92	2. In addition to the content laid down in Annex IV to Directive 2012/34/EU, Infrastructure managers shall include in the network statement referred to in Article 27 of that Directive a section on the infrastructure made available to railway undertakings; a section on capacity management; a section on operations, including on traffic management, disruption management and crisis	2. In addition to the content laid down in Annex IV to Directive 2012/34/EU, Infrastructure managers shall include in the network statement referred to in Article 27 of that Directive a section on the infrastructure made available to railway undertakings; a section on capacity management; a section on operations, including on traffic management, disruption management and crisis	2. In addition to the content laid down in Annex IV to Directive 2012/34/EU, infrastructure managers shall include in the network statement referred to in Article 27 of that Directive a section on the infrastructure made available to railway undertakings; a section on capacity management; a section on operations, including on traffic management, disruption management and crisis	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	management; and a section on performance management, in accordance with Annex IV.	management; and a section on performance management, in accordance with Annex IV.	management; and a section on performance management, in accordance with Annex IV.	management; and a section on performance management, in accordance with Annex IV. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 5(3)				
G 93	3. Decision making concerning capacity management as referred to in paragraph 1, including both the definition and the assessment of availability and the allocation of capacity rights, shall be an essential function of the infrastructure manager within the meaning of Article 3, point (2f) of Directive 2012/34/EU. The provisions on essential functions laid down in that Directive shall apply to it.	3. Decision making concerning capacity management as referred to in paragraph 1, including both the definition and the assessment of availability and the allocation of capacity rights, shall be an essential function of the infrastructure manager within the meaning of Article 3, point (2f) of Directive 2012/34/EU. The provisions on essential functions laid down in that Directive shall apply to it.	3. Decision making concerning capacity management as referred to in paragraph 1, including both the definition and the assessment of availability and the allocation of capacity rights, shall be an essential function of the infrastructure manager within the meaning of Article 3, point (2f) of Directive 2012/34/EU. The provisions on essential functions laid down in that Directive shall apply to it.	3. Decision making concerning capacity management as referred to in paragraph 1, including both the definition and the assessment of availability and the allocation of capacity rights, shall be an essential function of the infrastructure manager within the meaning of Article 3, point (2f) of Directive 2012/34/EU. The provisions on essential functions laid down in that Directive shall apply to it. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 6				
G 94	Article 6 European framework for capacity management	Article 6 European framework for capacity management	Article 6 European framework for capacity management	Article 6 European framework for capacity management Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 6(1)				
G 95	1. Infrastructure managers shall strive to follow common	1. Infrastructure managers shall strive to follow common	1. ~~Infrastructure managers shall strive to follow common~~	1. By ~~f24~~ <u>18</u> months of the entry into force of this Regulation ⁷

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	principles and procedures for the management of rail infrastructure capacity. For this purpose, ENIM shall develop and adopt a ‘European framework for capacity management’ in accordance with the provisions of Chapter II by [12 months of the entry into force of this Regulation].	principles and procedures for the management of rail infrastructure capacity. For this purpose, ENIM shall develop <u>in consultation with ERP</u> and adopt a ‘European framework for capacity management’ in accordance with ~~the provisions of~~ Chapter II by ...[12 months ~~off~~ from the entry into force of this Regulation].	~~principles and procedures for the management of rail infrastructure capacity. For this purpose,~~ By [24 months of the entry into force of this Regulation] ENIM shall develop and adopt a ‘European framework for capacity management’ in accordance with the provisions of Chapter II ~~by [12 months of the entry into force of this Regulation]~~ after consulting the operational stakeholders, laying down common principles and procedures for the management of rail infrastructure capacity and for the coordination between infrastructure managers and other operational stakeholders. Infrastructure managers shall strive to follow those common principles and procedures for the management of rail infrastructure capacity.	ENIM shall develop ~~and adopt~~ a ‘European framework for capacity management’ in accordance with the provisions of Chapter II ~~after consulting the operational stakeholders~~ <u>and Article 3a</u> , laying down common principles and procedures for the management of rail infrastructure capacity and for the coordination between infrastructure managers and other operational stakeholders. Infrastructure managers shall ~~strive to follow~~ <u>take the utmost account of</u> those common principles and procedures for the management of rail infrastructure capacity. Agreed at 3rd trilogue Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) redrafted and aligned with Article 44 and 50 – EP is still checking
	Article 6(1a)			
G 95a				<u>1a. ENIM shall publish a draft of the European framework for capacity management for consulting ERP and operational stakeholders. ERP and operational stakeholders may</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>submit their replies to the consultation within three months after the publication of this draft.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 6(1b)				
95b			1a. ENIM shall submit a draft for the European framework for capacity management to the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies (the 'ENRRB') within 18 months after the entry into force of this Regulation. The ENRRB shall submit a recommendation to ENIM on the European framework for capacity management, within four months after receiving the draft prepared by ENIM. ENIM shall take the utmost account of this recommendation when adopting the European framework for capacity management.	~~1a~~1b. ENIM shall submit ~~the~~ <u>final</u> draft for the European framework for capacity management to the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies (the 'ENRRB') within ~~18~~<u>12</u> months after the entry into force of this Regulation. The ENRRB shall submit a recommendation to ENIM on the European framework for capacity management, within ~~four~~<u>three</u> months after receiving the draft prepared by ENIM. ENIM shall take ~~the utmost~~ account of this recommendation when adopting the European framework for capacity management. Agreed at 3rd trilogue Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) redrafted and aligned with Article 44 and 50 ['the utmost' has been deleted] - EP is still checking

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 6(2)				
96	2. The European framework for capacity management shall define common principles and procedures for the management of rail infrastructure capacity and for the coordination between infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other applicants, rail service facility operators and other operational stakeholders.	2. The European framework for capacity management shall define common principles and procedures for the management of rail infrastructure capacity and for the coordination between infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other applicants, rail service facility operators and other operational stakeholders.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 6(3)				
97	3. The European framework for capacity management shall include at least the elements listed in Annex III and shall be updated when necessary to take into account the experience of infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other applicants and based on the activities of ENIM.	3. The European framework for capacity management shall include at least the elements listed in Annex III and shall be updated when necessary to take into account the experience of infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other applicants and based on the activities of ENIM.	32. The European framework for capacity management shall include at least the elements listed in Annex III and shall be updated when necessary to take into account the experience of infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other applicants and based on the activities of ENIM. When updating the European framework for capacity management, ENIM shall submit a draft to the ENRRB for recommendation.	2. The European framework for capacity management shall include at least the elements listed in Annex III and shall be updated when necessary to take into account the experience of infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other applicants ~~and based on~~ <u>as well as</u> the activities of ENIM. When ~~updating~~ the European framework for capacity management <u>is updated</u> , ENIM shall <u>consult ERP and operational stakeholders, and</u> submit ~~the final~~ draft to the ENRRB for recommendation. <u>, in accordance with paragraph 1a and 1b.</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Agreed at 3rd trilogue Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) redrafted and aligned with Article 44 and 50, to make it clearer that when updating the framework, there will be a new consultation round - EP is still checking
Article 6(4)				
98	4. Infrastructure managers shall take the utmost account of the European framework for capacity management when preparing the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU, in particular the contents specified in Annex IV of this Regulation. They shall explain in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common principles and procedures established in the European framework for capacity management.	4. Infrastructure managers shall take the utmost account of the European framework for capacity management when preparing the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU, in particular the contents specified in Annex IV of this Regulation. They shall ~~explain~~<u>justify</u> in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common principles and procedures established in the European framework for capacity management. <u>Deviations shall only be allowed in exceptional cases and they shall be subject to approval by the national rail regulatory body.</u>	4. When preparing the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU and Article 5(2) of this Regulation, infrastructure managers shall take the utmost account of the European framework for capacity management ~~when preparing the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU, in particular the contents specified in Annex IV of this Regulation.~~ They shall explain, in the network statement, the reason for any deviation from the common principles and procedures established in the European framework for capacity management.	4. When preparing the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU and Article 5(2) of this Regulation, infrastructure managers shall take ~~the utmost~~ account of the European framework for capacity management. They shall explain, in the network statement, the reason for any deviation from the common principles and procedures established in the European framework for capacity management. Agreed at 3rd trilogue Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) redrafted and aligned with Article 44 and

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				50 ['the utmost' is deleted] - EP is still checking
Article 6(4a)				
G 98a				<u>4a. When exercising its powers in relation to the network statement in accordance with Article 56(1) and 56(2) of Directive 2012/34/EU, the regulatory body shall take into account the recommendation on the European framework for capacity management adopted by ENRRB, in accordance with paragraph 1a and without prejudice to the principle of independence of regulatory bodies.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 6(4b)				
G 98b		<u>4a. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to set out the common principles and procedures for the management of rail infrastructure capacity and to amend paragraph 2 of this Article Those delegated acts shall be adopted by ... [24 months from</u>		<u>4b. The Commission is empowered, in accordance with Article 70b, to adopt [implementing/delegated] acts setting out the European Framework for capacity management, as defined in paragraph 2 of this Article.</u> <u>Those [implementing] acts shall be adopted in accordance with the</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>the entry into force of this Regulation</u>].		<u>[examination procedure referred to in Article 72, paragraph 3]</u> . Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 7				
99	Article 7 Applicants	Article 7 Applicants	Article 7 Applicants	Article 7 Applicants Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 7(1)				
100	1. Applicants shall make requests for infrastructure capacity. In order to use such infrastructure capacity, applicants shall appoint a railway undertaking to conclude an agreement with the infrastructure manager in accordance with Article 28 of Directive 2012/34/EU. This is without prejudice to the right of applicants to conclude framework agreements with infrastructure managers under Article 31 of this Regulation.	1. Applicants shall make requests for infrastructure capacity. In order to use such infrastructure capacity, applicants, <u>who are not a railway undertaking</u> shall appoint a railway undertaking to conclude an agreement with the infrastructure manager in accordance with Article 28 of Directive 2012/34/EU. This is without prejudice to the right of applicants to conclude framework agreements with infrastructure managers under Article 31 of this Regulation.	1. Applicants shall make requests for infrastructure capacity. In order to use such infrastructure capacity, applicants which are not railway undertakings shall appoint a railway undertaking. The railway undertaking shall to conclude an agreement with the infrastructure manager in accordance with Article 28 of Directive 2012/34/EU. This is without prejudice to the right of applicants to conclude framework agreements with infrastructure managers under Article 31 of this Regulation.	1. Applicants shall make requests for infrastructure capacity. In order to use such infrastructure capacity, applicants which are not railway undertakings shall appoint a railway undertaking. The railway undertaking shall conclude an agreement with the infrastructure manager in accordance with Article 28 of Directive 2012/34/EU. This is without prejudice to the right of applicants to conclude framework agreements with infrastructure managers under Article 31 of this Regulation. Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 7(2)				
101	2. The infrastructure manager may set requirements with regard to applicants to ensure that its legitimate expectations about future revenues and utilisation of the infrastructure are safeguarded. Such requirements shall be appropriate, transparent and non-discriminatory. They shall be specified in the network statement as referred to in point (1)(b) of Annex IV. They may only include the provision of a financial guarantee that shall not exceed an appropriate level which shall be proportional to the contemplated level of activity of the applicant, and assurance of the capability to prepare compliant bids for infrastructure capacity.	2. The infrastructure manager ~~may~~ <u>shall</u> set requirements with regard to applicants to ensure that its legitimate expectations about future revenues and utilisation of the infrastructure are safeguarded. Such requirements shall be appropriate, transparent and non-discriminatory. They shall be specified in the network statement as referred to in point ~~(1)(b)~~ <u>(2)(c)</u> of Annex IV. They may only include the provision of a financial guarantee that shall not exceed an appropriate level which shall be proportional to the contemplated level of activity of the applicant, and assurance of the capability to prepare compliant bids for infrastructure capacity.	2. The infrastructure manager may set requirements with regard to applicants to ensure that its legitimate expectations about future revenues and utilisation of the infrastructure are safeguarded. Such requirements shall be appropriate, transparent and non-discriminatory. They shall be specified in the network statement ~~as referred to in point (1)(b) of~~ in line with Annex IV, point (2)(c) . They may only include the provision of a financial guarantee that shall not exceed an appropriate level which shall be proportional to the contemplated level of activity of the applicant, and assurance of the capability to prepare compliant bids for infrastructure capacity.	2. The infrastructure manager may set requirements with regard to applicants to ensure that its legitimate expectations about future revenues and utilisation of the infrastructure are safeguarded. Such requirements shall be appropriate, transparent and non-discriminatory. They shall be specified in the network statement in line with Annex IV, point (2)(c). They may only include the provision of a financial guarantee that shall not exceed an appropriate level which shall be proportional to the contemplated level of activity of the applicant, and assurance of the capability to prepare compliant bids for infrastructure capacity. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 7(3)				
102	3. The Commission may adopt implementing acts setting out the details of the criteria to be followed for the application of paragraph 2. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination	3. The Commission ~~may~~ <u>shall, by [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]</u> , adopt implementing acts ~~setting to set~~ out the details of the criteria to be followed for the application of <u>paragraph 2 and to</u>	3. The Commission may adopt implementing acts setting out the details of the criteria to be followed for defining the requirements referred to in the application of paragraph 2. Those implementing acts shall be	3. The Commission may adopt implementing acts setting out the details of the criteria to be followed for ~~defining the requirements referred to in~~ <u>the application of</u> paragraph 2. Those implementing acts shall be

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	procedure referred to in Article 72(3).	<u>amend</u> paragraph 2. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).	adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).	adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3). Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 8				
103	Article 8 Management of scarce infrastructure capacity	Article 8 Management of scarce infrastructure capacity	Article 8 Management of ~~scarce~~ infrastructure capacity	Article 8 Management of infrastructure capacity Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(1)				
104	1. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that scarce infrastructure capacity is managed in accordance with the principles set out in Article 26 of Directive 2012/34/EU and in Article 2 of this Regulation in all phases of the capacity management process referred to in Article 5.	1. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that scarce infrastructure capacity is managed in accordance with the principles set out in Article 26 of Directive 2012/34/EU and in Article 2 of this Regulation in all phases of the capacity management process referred to in Article 5.	1. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that ~~scarce~~ infrastructure capacity is managed in accordance with the principles set out in Article 26 of Directive 2012/34/EU and in Article 2 of this Regulation in all phases of the capacity management process referred to in Article 5.	1. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that infrastructure capacity is managed in accordance with the principles set out in Article 26 of Directive 2012/34/EU and in Article 2 of this Regulation in all phases of the capacity management process referred to in Article 5. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(2), first subparagraph				
105	2. When taking decisions on scarce capacity, infrastructure managers shall take into account strategic guidance on the	2. When taking decisions on scarce capacity, infrastructure managers shall take into account strategic guidance on the	2. When taking decisions on ~~scarce~~ infrastructure capacity, infrastructure managers shall take into account the strategic guidance	2. When taking decisions on infrastructure capacity, infrastructure managers shall take into account the strategic guidance

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	utilisation of infrastructure capacity provided by Member States in accordance with Article 11(3).	utilisation of infrastructure capacity provided by Member States in accordance with Article 11(3).	on the utilisation of infrastructure capacity provided by Member States in accordance with Article 11(3), where Member States have provided such guidance, without prejudice to subparagraph 4 thereof.	<u>and national requirements</u> on the utilisation of infrastructure capacity provided by Member States in accordance with Article ~~11(3)~~ , <u>3a</u> where Member States have provided such guidance <u>and requirements. Planning and allocation of capacity shall follow equitable, transparent, and non-discriminatory procedures,</u> ~~without prejudice to subparagraph 4 thereof.~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(2), second subparagraph				
G 106	Without prejudice to State aid rules, Member States may, where appropriate, grant the infrastructure manager compensation corresponding to losses of revenue related exclusively to the need to comply with the strategic guidance regarding the use of rail infrastructure capacity.	Without prejudice to State aid rules, Member States ~~may~~ <u>shall</u> , where appropriate, grant the infrastructure manager compensation corresponding to losses of revenue related exclusively to the need to comply with the strategic guidance regarding the use of rail infrastructure capacity.	Without prejudice to State aid rules, Member States may, where appropriate, grant the infrastructure manager compensation corresponding to losses of revenue related exclusively to the ~~need to comply~~ compliance with the strategic guidance regarding the use of rail infrastructure capacity.	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(2a)				
G 106a			2a. Infrastructure managers shall plan and allocate capacity to the largest extent possible seeking solutions agreeable to the applicants concerned, while	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			ensuring the overall optimal use of railway infrastructure on the network concerned.	
Article 8(3)				
107	3. Infrastructure managers shall plan and allocate scarce capacity to the largest extent possible through the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36 involving the applicants concerned and resulting in consensual solutions to conflicting capacity needs and requests.	3. Infrastructure managers shall plan and allocate scarce capacity to the largest extent possible through the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36 involving the applicants concerned and resulting in consensual solutions to conflicting capacity needs and requests.	3. Infrastructure managers shall ~~plan and allocate~~ address conflicts on capacity to the largest extent possible through the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36 involving the applicants concerned and resulting in consensual solutions to conflicting capacity needs and requests.	3. Infrastructure managers shall ~~address conflicts on~~ plan and allocate capacity to the largest extent possible ~~through the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36~~ involving the applicants concerned ~~and resulting in consensual~~ seeking solutions ~~to conflicting~~ compatible with applicants' capacity needs and requests for capacity rights, while ensuring the overall optimal use of railway infrastructure on the network concerned. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(4), first subparagraph				
108	4. If the mechanism referred to in paragraph 3 does not result in a satisfactory resolution of conflicting capacity needs and requests, infrastructure managers shall manage scarce capacity or resolve conflicts through objective, transparent and non-discriminatory procedures.	4. If the mechanism referred to in paragraph 3 does not result in a satisfactory resolution of conflicting capacity needs and requests, infrastructure managers shall manage scarce capacity or resolve conflicts through objective, transparent and non-discriminatory procedures.	4. If the mechanism referred to in paragraph 3 does not result in a satisfactory resolution of conflicting capacity needs and requests, infrastructure managers shall ~~manage scarce capacity or resolve~~ address conflicts on capacity through ~~objective, transparent and non-discriminatory~~	~~deleted~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			procedures the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37.	
Article 8(4), second subparagraph				
109	Those procedures shall assess alternative options for the use of infrastructure capacity, based on the following socioeconomic and environmental criteria, subject to the availability of data:	Those procedures shall assess alternative options for the use of infrastructure capacity, based on the following socioeconomic and environmental criteria, subject to the availability of <u>standardised data made available by the applicants shall make available to the infrastructure managers. The criteria shall include but shall not be limited to data</u> .	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(4), second subparagraph, point (a)				
110	(a) operating cost for operators of rail transport services and the resulting impact on prices for customers of rail transport services;	(a) operating cost for operators of rail transport services and the resulting impact on prices for customers of rail transport services;	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(4), second subparagraph, point (b)				
111	(b) time-related cost for customers of rail transport services;	(b) time-related cost for customers of rail transport services;	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(4), second subparagraph, point (c)				
112	(c) connectivity and accessibility for people and	(c) connectivity and accessibility, <u>both as a network</u>	deleted	deleted

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	regions served by the rail transport services;	<u>effect on the overall network and network segments</u> , for people and regions served by the rail transport services;		Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(4), second subparagraph, point (d)				
113	(d) emissions of greenhouse gases, local air pollutants, noise and other external cost of rail transport services and by their likely alternatives;	(d) emissions of greenhouse gases, local air pollutants, noise and other external cost of rail transport services and by their likely alternatives;	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(4), second subparagraph, point (e)				
114	(e) safety and public health implications of rail transport services and their likely alternatives.	(e) safety and public health implications of rail transport services and their likely alternatives.	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(4), second subparagraph, point (ea)				
114a		<u>(ea) modal shift effects towards rail.</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(5)				
115	5. ENIM shall prepare and adopt the procedures referred to in paragraph 4 and include them in the EU framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. The procedures shall involve the following steps:	5. ENIM shall, <u>in consultation with ERP</u> prepare and adopt the procedures referred to in paragraph 4 and include them in the ~~EU~~ European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. The procedures shall involve the following steps:	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 8(5), point (a)				
116	(a) design alternative scenarios to partition the capacity available for different types of rail transport services, involving, where possible, the provision of alternative capacity on other routes or alternative timing with comparable characteristics;	(a) design alternative scenarios to partition the capacity available for <u>every</u> different ~~types~~ <u>type</u> of rail transport services <u>that apply for the same capacity</u> , involving, where possible, the provision of alternative capacity on other routes or alternative timing with comparable characteristics <u>both for domestic and cross-border services</u> ;	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(5), point (aa)				
116a		<u>(aa) design alternative scenarios to address capacity conflicts between two requests that both are not consistent with the strategic capacity planning</u> ;		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(5), point (b)				
117	(b) evaluate and rank the scenarios on the basis of objective and transparent methodologies taking into account the socio-economic and environmental criteria set out in paragraph 4;	(b) evaluate and rank the scenarios on the basis of objective and transparent methodologies taking into account the socio-economic and environmental criteria set out in paragraph 4;	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(5), point (c)				
118	(c) select the highest ranked scenario on the basis of the evaluation referred to in point (b)	(c) select the highest ranked scenario on the basis of the evaluation referred to in point (b)	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	and amend the definition of the capacity model and the capacity supply plan accordingly.	and amend the definition of the capacity model and the capacity supply plan accordingly.		
Article 8(6)				
119	6. ENIM shall develop the methodologies referred to in paragraph 5, point b. The parameters of those methodologies shall make it possible to take into account local or national circumstances based on accepted approaches and empirical evidence. ENIM shall include those methodologies in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	6. ENIM shall, <u>in consultation with ERP</u> , develop the methodologies referred to in paragraph 5, point ~~b(b)~~ , <u>of this Article</u> . The parameters of those methodologies shall make it possible to take into account local or national circumstances based on accepted approaches and empirical evidence. ENIM shall include those methodologies in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 8(7)				
120	7. Taking into account experiences with the preparation and implementation of the framework referred to in paragraph 6, the Commission may adopt implementing acts setting out the specific procedures, criteria and methodologies to be applied for the management of scarce capacity. Those implementing acts shall be	7. Taking into account experiences with the preparation and implementation of the framework referred to in paragraph 6, the Commission ~~may~~ <u>shall be empowered to</u> adopt <u>delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to set</u> ~~implementing acts~~ <u>setting</u> out the specific procedures, <u>standardised data reflecting different market segments for the</u>	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue (20/10) The methodologies in (6) is an element of the FW on capacity mgt and therefor covered under Art 70a/b

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).	criteria and <u>standardised</u> methodologies to be applied for the management of scarce capacity <u>and to amend paragraph 6 of this Article</u> . Those ~~implementing~~ <u>delegated</u> acts shall be adopted ~~in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3) by ...~~ <u>[24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]. They shall be included in the European framework for capacity management</u> .		
Article 9				
121	Article 9 Information about infrastructure capacity	Article 9 Information about infrastructure capacity	Article 9 Information about infrastructure capacity	Article 9 Information about infrastructure capacity
Article 9(1), first subparagraph				
122	1. Infrastructure managers shall provide interested parties, in particular applicants, potential applicants and regulatory bodies, with accurate and up-to-date information on the availability of infrastructure capacity throughout the entire capacity management process, including in the strategic planning phase referred to in section 2, during the scheduling	1. Infrastructure managers shall provide interested parties, in particular applicants, potential applicants and regulatory bodies, with accurate and up-to-date information on the availability of infrastructure capacity throughout the entire capacity management process, including in the strategic planning phase referred to in section 2, during the scheduling	1. Infrastructure managers shall provide ~~interested parties, in particular applicants, potential applicants and regulatory bodies~~ and, where appropriate, other operational stakeholders , with accurate and up-to-date information on the availability of infrastructure capacity throughout the entire capacity management process, including in the strategic	1. Infrastructure managers shall provide applicants and regulatory bodies and, where appropriate, other operational stakeholders, with accurate and up-to-date information on the availability of infrastructure capacity throughout the entire capacity management process, including in the strategic planning phase referred to in section 2,

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	and allocation processes set out in section 3 and whenever there are changes to allocated capacity as referred to in section 4.	and allocation processes set out in section 3 and whenever there are changes to allocated capacity as referred to in section 4, <u>depending on the availability of the published capacity strategy, capacity model and capacity supply plan.</u>	planning phase referred to in section 2, during the scheduling and allocation processes set out in section 3 and whenever there are changes to allocated capacity as referred to in section 4.	during the scheduling and allocation processes set out in section 3 and whenever there are changes to allocated capacity as referred to in section 4. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 9(1), second subparagraph				
G 123	Infrastructure managers shall publish any update to the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 and to the working timetable referred to in Article 30 without delay.	Infrastructure managers shall publish any update to the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 and to the working timetable referred to in Article 30 without delay.	Infrastructure managers shall publish any update to the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 and to the working timetable referred to in Article 30 without delay.	Infrastructure managers shall publish any update to the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 and to the working timetable referred to in Article 30 without delay.
Article 9(2)				
G 124	2. Infrastructure managers shall publish the information referred to in paragraph 1 in accordance with Article 62(5) and contribute to the development of relevant specifications in accordance with Article 62(3).	2. Infrastructure managers shall publish the information referred to in paragraph 1 in accordance with Article 62(5) and contribute to the development of relevant specifications in accordance with Article 62(3).	2. Infrastructure managers shall publish the information referred to in paragraph 1 in accordance with Article 62(5) and ~~contribute to the development of relevant specifications in accordance with Article 62(3).~~	2. Infrastructure managers shall publish the information referred to in paragraph 1 in accordance with Article 62(5). Provisionally agreed on 13/10
Article 9(3)				
G 125	3. At the request of applicants, infrastructure managers shall provide the information referred to in paragraph 1 on the basis of concrete specifications of commercial and operational needs	3. At the request of applicants, infrastructure managers shall provide the information referred to in paragraph 1 <u>of this Article</u> on the basis of concrete specifications of commercial and	3. At the request of applicants, infrastructure managers shall provide the information referred to in paragraph 1 on the basis of concrete ~~specifications of~~ commercial and operational needs	3. At the request of applicants, infrastructure managers shall provide the information referred to in paragraph 1 on the basis of concrete <u>specifications of</u> commercial and operational needs

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	(‘feasibility assessments’). For cross-border services, infrastructure managers shall receive and answer to such requests in a single place and single operation or via a single interface.	operational needs (‘feasibility assessments’). For cross-border services, infrastructure managers shall receive and answer to such requests in a single place and single operation or via a single interface <u>established in accordance with Article 27 (4)</u> .	(‘feasibility assessments’). For cross-border services, infrastructure managers shall receive and answer to such requests in a single place and single operation or via a single interface <u>of the applicants</u> .	~~of the applicants~~ . <u>(‘feasibility assessments’). For cross-border services, infrastructure managers shall receive and answer to such requests in a single place and single operation or via a single interface.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 10				
126	Article 10 Capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure work and degraded infrastructure	Article 10 Capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure work and degraded infrastructure	Article 10 Capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure work and degraded infrastructure	Article 10 Capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure work and degraded infrastructure
Article 10(1)				
127	1. Without prejudice to Articles 7, 7c and 7e of Directive 2012/34/EU, infrastructure managers shall plan infrastructure work in accordance with the business plan and with the investment and financial programmes referred to in Article 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU. Where amendments to those programmes after the adoption of the business plan affect or are likely to affect the planning of infrastructure works, the infrastructure manager shall provide an overview of such	1. Without prejudice to Articles 7, 7c and 7e of Directive 2012/34/EU, infrastructure managers shall plan infrastructure work in accordance with the business plan and with the investment and financial programmes referred to in Article 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU. Where amendments to those programmes after the adoption of the business plan affect or are likely to affect the planning of infrastructure works, the infrastructure manager shall provide an overview of such	1. Without prejudice to Articles 7, 7a , 7c and 7e of Directive 2012/34/EU, infrastructure managers shall plan infrastructure work in accordance with the business plan and with the investment and financial programmes referred to in Article 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU. Where amendments to those programmes after the adoption of the business plan affect or are likely to affect the planning of infrastructure works, the infrastructure manager shall provide an overview of such	First part OK (adding reference to 7a). EP amendment subject to trilogue outcome

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	amendments and their likely impact in the network statement.	amendments and their likely impact in the network statement. <u><i>The Member State shall provide the infrastructure manager with stable and timely multiannual funding for the maintenance, renewal and new construction of rail infrastructure for a rolling period of at least five years via the multiannual performance agreement in order to support infrastructure managers to prevent the degrading of rail infrastructure and to minimise the impact on possible capacity restrictions. The annual budget available to infrastructure managers shall be made transparent to the infrastructure manager and be reliably allocated.</i></u>	amendments and their likely impact in the network statement.	
Article 10(2), first subparagraph				
128	2. When planning infrastructure works, the infrastructure manager shall act in accordance with Article 2(3) and Article 3.	2. When planning infrastructure works, the infrastructure manager shall act in accordance with Article 2(3) and Article 3.	2. When planning infrastructure works, the infrastructure manager shall act in accordance with Article 2(3) and Article 3.	2. When planning infrastructure works, the infrastructure manager shall act in accordance with Article 2(3) and Article 3.
Article 10(2), second subparagraph				
129	In particular, the infrastructure manager shall take into account in	In particular, the infrastructure manager shall take into account in	In particular, the infrastructure manager shall take into account in	In particular, the infrastructure manager shall take into account in

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	a balanced way the impact of infrastructure works on its own asset management and financial situation as well as the operational and financial impact on all applicants concerned.	a balanced way the impact of infrastructure works on its own asset management and financial situation as well as the operational and financial impact on all applicants concerned.	a balanced way the impact of infrastructure works on its own asset management and financial situation as well as the estimated operational and financial impact on all applicants concerned.	a balanced way the impact of infrastructure works on its own asset management and financial situation as well as the estimated operational and financial impact on all applicants concerned. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 10(2a)			
R	129a	<u>2a. The funding provided by the Member State to the infrastructure manager shall aim at establishing such balance. The information needed for the infrastructure manager to comply with this provision shall be provided by applicants in a timely manner.</u>		Subject to trilogue
	Article 10(3)			
G	130	3. The infrastructure managers shall consult applicants on the infrastructure works in the context of the coordination mechanisms referred to in Article 7e of Directive 2012/34/EC and, as regards cross-border rail services, in accordance with Article 54 of this Regulation.	3. The infrastructure managers shall consult applicants on the infrastructure works in the context of the coordination mechanisms referred to in Article 7e of Directive ~~2012/34/EC~~ 2012/34/EU and, as regards cross-border rail services, in accordance with Article 54 of this Regulation.	3. The infrastructure managers shall consult applicants on the infrastructure works in the context of the coordination mechanisms referred to in Article 7e of Directive ~~2012/34/EC~~ 2012/34/EU and, as regards cross-border rail services, in accordance with Article 54 of this Regulation. Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 10(4), first subparagraph				
131	4. Infrastructure manager shall plan, inform and consult applicants on capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works sufficiently in advance, taking into account the estimated impact on railway undertakings. For that purpose, infrastructure managers shall respect the schedule set out in section 3 of Annex I.	4. Infrastructure manager shall plan, inform and consult applicants on capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works sufficiently in advance, taking into account the estimated impact on railway undertakings. For that purpose, infrastructure managers shall respect the schedule set out in section 3 of Annex I.	4. Infrastructure manager shall plan, inform and consult applicants on capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works sufficiently in advance, taking into account the estimated impact on railway undertakings. For that purpose, infrastructure managers shall respect the ~~schedule~~ requirements set out in section 3 of Annex I.	4. Infrastructure manager shall plan, inform and consult applicants on capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works sufficiently in advance, taking into account the estimated impact on railway undertakings. For that purpose, infrastructure managers shall respect the <u>schedule and</u> requirements set out in section 3 of Annex I. Provisionally agreed in ITM 20
Article 10(4), second subparagraph				
132	For capacity restrictions with a major impact on the capacity available to applicants, applicants may request the infrastructure manager to provide alternative planning for the capacity restriction with a view to identify and select an option that takes into account the impacts on applicants and infrastructure managers in a balanced way. The infrastructure manager shall provide those applicants with an indicative planning of alternative capacity available during the capacity restriction.	For capacity restrictions with a major impact on the capacity available to applicants, applicants may request the infrastructure manager to provide alternative planning for the capacity restriction with a view to identify and select an option that takes into account the impacts on applicants and infrastructure managers in a balanced way. The infrastructure manager shall provide those applicants with an indicative planning of alternative capacity available during the capacity restriction.	4a. For capacity restrictions with ~~a major impact on the capacity available to applicants~~ within the meaning of point 0 of section 3 of Annex I , applicants may request the infrastructure manager to provide alternative planning for the capacity restriction with a view to identify and select an option that takes into account the impacts on applicants and infrastructure managers in a balanced way. The infrastructure manager shall provide those applicants with an indicative planning of alternative	4a. For capacity restrictions with major impact within the meaning of point 0 of section 3 of Annex I, applicants may request the infrastructure manager to provide alternative planning for the capacity restriction with a view to identify and select an option that takes into account the impacts on applicants and infrastructure managers in a balanced way. The infrastructure manager shall provide those applicants with an indicative planning of alternative capacity available during the capacity restriction.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			capacity available during the capacity restriction.	Provisionally agreed in ITM 20 Provisionally agreed at ITM 20 - subject to the final version of the table in part o. of section 3 (Annex I)
Article 10(4), third subparagraph				
133	The indicative planning shall cover both the line affected and alternative lines and shall be reflected in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	The indicative planning shall cover both the line affected and alternative lines and shall be reflected in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	The indicative planning shall cover both the line affected and alternative lines, where such alternative lines exist , and shall be reflected in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	The indicative planning shall cover both the ~~line~~ route affected and alternative ~~lines~~ routes, where such alternative ~~lines~~ routes exist <u>including on other networks</u> , and shall be reflected in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18. Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 10(5), first subparagraph				
134	5. Infrastructure managers shall take into account and mitigate the impact of infrastructure works on cross-border traffic. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate in accordance with Article 53 all infrastructure works on the lines referred to in Article 53(3) and any other infrastructure work with significant cross-border impact.	5. Infrastructure managers shall take into account and mitigate the impact of infrastructure works on cross-border traffic. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate in accordance with Article 53 all infrastructure works on the lines referred to in Article 53(3) and any other infrastructure work with significant cross-border impact.	5. Infrastructure managers shall take into account and mitigate the impact of infrastructure works on cross-border traffic. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate in accordance with Article 53 at least regarding all infrastructure works on the railway lines ~~referred to in Article 53(3)~~ which are part of the European	5. Infrastructure managers shall take into account and mitigate the impact of infrastructure works on cross-border traffic. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate in accordance with Article 53 at least regarding all infrastructure works on the railway lines which are part of the European Transport Corridors and any other

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			Transport Corridors and any other infrastructure work with significant cross-border impact.	infrastructure work with significant cross-border impact. Provisionally agreed in ITM 20 Provisionally agreed in ITM 20, subject to final agreement on 'sections and nodes'
Article 10(5), second subparagraph				
135	Coordination shall address in particular the optimisation of the schedule of infrastructure works and the provision of alternative capacity on the line affected and on alternative routes, taking into account the operational and commercial needs of applicants.	Coordination shall address in particular the optimisation of the schedule of infrastructure works and the provision of alternative capacity on the line affected and on alternative routes, taking into account the operational, <u>infrastructure</u> and commercial needs of <u>various</u> applicants.	Coordination shall address in particular the optimisation of the schedule of infrastructure works and the provision of alternative capacity on the line affected and on alternative routes, where such alternative routes exist , taking into account the operational and commercial needs of applicants.	Coordination shall address in particular the optimisation of the schedule of infrastructure works and the provision of alternative capacity on the line affected and on alternative routes, ~~where such alternative routes exist~~ <u>as referred to in the third subparagraph of paragraph 4</u> , taking into account the operational and commercial needs of applicants. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 10(5), second subparagraph a				
135a		<u>The rail freight governance shall submit a recommendation on the coordination of works to its respective infrastructure managers three months prior to the period as defined in paragraph 4.</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 10(6)				
136	6. Reductions in the capacity or performance of infrastructure below its design values resulting from the degradation of assets, such as reductions in permissible speed or axle load, shall also be considered as capacity restrictions. The information obligations set out in Article 9 shall apply to such restrictions.	6. Reductions in the capacity or performance of infrastructure below its design values resulting from the degradation of assets, such as reductions in permissible speed or axle load, shall also be considered as capacity restrictions. The information obligations set out in Article 9 shall apply to such restrictions.	6. Reductions in the capacity or performance of infrastructure below its design values resulting from the degradation of assets, such as reductions in permissible speed or axle load, shall also be considered as capacity restrictions. Such capacity restrictions shall not give rise to penalties pursuant to Article 40. The information obligations set out in Article 9 shall apply to such capacity restrictions.	6. Reductions in the capacity or performance of infrastructure below its design values resulting from the degradation of assets, such as reductions in permissible speed or axle load, shall also be considered as capacity restrictions. Such capacity restrictions shall not give rise to penalties pursuant to Article 40, <u>unless this causes a change to the characteristics of an allocated capacity right unknown to the applicant at the time of allocation and this change is categorised as significant, in accordance with Article 39(4).</u> The information obligations set out in Article 9 shall apply to such capacity restrictions. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 10(7)				
137	7. Infrastructure managers shall publish information and consult applicants on planned temporary capacity restrictions, their impact on capacity available for commercial purposes and on alternative capacity as early as possible.	7. Infrastructure managers shall publish information and consult applicants on planned temporary capacity restrictions, their impact on capacity available for commercial purposes and on alternative capacity as early as possible.	7. Infrastructure managers shall publish information and consult applicants on planned temporary capacity restrictions, their impact on capacity available for commercial purposes and on alternative capacity as early as possible.	7. Infrastructure managers shall publish information and consult applicants on planned temporary capacity restrictions, their impact on capacity available for commercial purposes and on alternative capacity as early as possible.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 10(8)				
138	8. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend section 3 of Annex I with a view to reduce the impact of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works on rail traffic while taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned.	8. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend section 3 of Annex I with a view to reduce the impact of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works on rail traffic while taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned.	8. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend ~~section 3 of Annex I with a view to reduce the impact of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works on rail traffic while taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned.:~~	8. The Commission ~~shall~~ ~~be~~ ~~is~~ empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend: Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 20
Article 10(8), first subparagraph, point (aa)				
138a			(a) the classification of the capacity restrictions and the impact on traffic set out in point 0 of section 3 of Annex I,	(a) the classification of the capacity restrictions and the impact on traffic set out in point 0 of section 3 of Annex I, Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 20
Article 10(8), first subparagraph, point (a)				
138b			(b) the deadlines for the publication set out in point 1 of that section,	(b) the deadlines for the publication set out in point 1 of that section, Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 20
Article 10(8), first subparagraph, point (b)				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
Y	138c				(c) the deadlines for the coordination of capacity restrictions set out in point 4 of that section;		(c) the deadlines for the coordination <u>and consultation</u> of capacity restrictions set out in point 4 of that section;	Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) needs to be look at in view of the outcome on Annex I, section 3
Article 10(8), first subparagraph a								
Y	138d				where those elements have proven ineffective or have led to major difficulties of implementation, with a view to reduce further the impact of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works on rail traffic and the administrative burden for the infrastructure managers while taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned and taking into account the experience and opinions of ENIM.		where those elements have proven ineffective or have led to major difficulties of implementation, <u>or where the sector has developed new and widely accepted best practices</u> , with a view to reduce further the impact of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works on rail traffic and the administrative burden for the infrastructure managers while taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned and taking into account the experience and opinions of ENIM.	

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
								Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) needs to be look at in view of the outcome on Annex I, section 3
Article 10a								
		138e				Article 10a Refusal or withdrawal of capacity rights on public order or public security grounds		
Article 10a(1)								
		138f				1. A Member State may require the infrastructure manager to refuse to grant, or to withdraw, capacity rights to an applicant if the competent authorities of that Member State establish that granting such rights to that applicant entails a serious and grave risk for public order or public security, including to national security and defence of that Member State. Any such decision shall be duly justified and strictly necessary and proportionate to the objective pursued.		1. A Member State may require the infrastructure manager to refuse to grant, or to withdraw, capacity rights to an applicant if the competent authorities of that Member State establish that granting such rights to that applicant entails a serious and grave risk for public order or public security, including to national security and defence of that Member State. Any such decision shall be duly justified and strictly necessary and proportionate to the objective pursued. (13/10) EP would like a role for the Commission to check

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				the justifications and proportionality
	Article 10a(2)			
R 138g			2. Without prejudice to the confidentiality of classified information, Member States shall, within 10 days from the adoption of a decision on the basis of the first paragraph of this Article, inform the Commission, the regulatory body and, in case of multi-network capacity rights, other Member States concerned of the adoption of such a decision and of the reasons thereof. Member States shall regularly review any such decision.	2. Without prejudice to the confidentiality of classified information, Member States shall, within 10 days from the adoption of a decision on the basis of the first paragraph of this Article, inform the Commission, the regulatory body and, in case of multi-network capacity rights, other Member States concerned of the adoption of such a decision and of the reasons thereof. Member States shall regularly review any such decision.
	Article 10a(3)			
R 138h			3. In case a Member State raises concerns about a decision adopted by another Member State on the basis of the first paragraph of this Article, the Member States concerned shall coordinate between themselves in accordance with Article 53a.	3. In case a Member State raises concerns about a decision adopted by another Member State on the basis of the first paragraph of this Article, the Member States concerned shall coordinate between themselves in accordance with Article 53a.
	Article 10a(4)			
R 138i				<u>4. In case of multi-network capacity rights a Member State</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u><i>concerned may request a recommendation form the Commission on the decision adopted by another Member State on the basis of the first paragraph of this Article.</i></u>
SECTION 2				
139	SECTION 2 STRATEGIC CAPACITY PLANNING	SECTION 2 STRATEGIC CAPACITY PLANNING	SECTION 2 STRATEGIC CAPACITY PLANNING	SECTION 2 STRATEGIC CAPACITY PLANNING
Article 11				
140	Article 11 Strategic capacity planning	Article 11 Strategic capacity planning	Article 11 Strategic capacity planning	Article 11 Strategic capacity planning
Article 11(1)				
141	1. Strategic capacity planning shall include all activities set out in Articles 12 to 25.	1. Strategic capacity planning shall include all activities set out in Articles 12 to 25.	1. Strategic capacity planning shall include all activities set out in Articles 12 to 25.	1. Strategic capacity planning shall include all activities set out in Articles 12 to 25.
Article 11(2), first subparagraph				
142	2. Strategic capacity planning shall result in the following sequence of planning documents which provide progressively increasing level of details about available capacity:	2. Strategic capacity planning shall result in the following sequence of planning documents which provide progressively increasing level of details about available capacity:	2. Strategic capacity planning shall result in the following sequence of planning documents which provide progressively increasing level of details about available capacity:	2. Strategic capacity planning shall result in the following sequence of planning documents which provide progressively increasing level of details about available capacity:
Article 11(2), first subparagraph, point (a)				
143	(a) the capacity strategy referred to in Article 16;	(a) the capacity strategy referred to in Article 16;	(a) the capacity strategy referred to in Article 16;	(a) the capacity strategy referred to in Article 16;
Article 11(2), first subparagraph, point (b)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	144	(b) the capacity model referred to in Article 17;	(b) the capacity model referred to in Article 17;	(b) the capacity model referred to in Article 17;	G
Article 11(2), first subparagraph, point (c)					
G	145	(c) the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	(c) the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	(c) the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	G
Article 11(2), second subparagraph					
G	146	The contents of and the schedule for preparing those deliverables are set out in sections 1 and 2 of Annex I.	The contents of and the schedule for preparing those deliverables are set out in sections 1 and 2 of Annex I. <u>All content shall be made available by the infrastructure manager in the official language of the Member State and in English.</u>	The contents of and the schedule for preparing those deliverables are set out in sections 1 and 2 of Annex I. <u>Documents under paragraph 2, points a, b and c shall be made available by the infrastructure manager in at least two official languages of the Union.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 11(2), third subparagraph					
G	147	Infrastructure managers shall adopt the planning documents as a basis for the next phase in the planning process.	Infrastructure managers shall adopt the planning documents as a basis for the next phase in the planning process.	Infrastructure managers shall adopt the planning documents as a basis for the next phase in the planning process.	G
Article 11(2), fourth subparagraph					
G	148	The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend sections 1 and 2 of Annex I, to ensure an efficient strategic	The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend sections 1 and 2 of Annex I, to ensure an efficient strategic	The Commission ~~shall be~~ empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 ~~to amend sections 1 and 2 of Annex I, to ensure an efficient strategic~~ <u>to amend:</u>	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	planning process and reflect the operational concerns of infrastructure managers and applicants, taking into account the experience of ENIM, infrastructure managers, applicants and other operational stakeholders, regulatory bodies and the ENRB in implementing this section.	planning process and reflect the operational concerns of infrastructure managers and applicants, taking into account <u>a recommendation from ERA and</u> the experience of ENIM, infrastructure managers, applicants and other operational stakeholders, regulatory bodies and the ~~ENRB~~ <u>ENRRB</u> in implementing this section.	~~planning process and reflect the operational concerns of infrastructure managers and applicants, taking into account the experience of ENIM, infrastructure managers, applicants and other operational stakeholders, regulatory bodies and the ENRB in implementing this section.~~	Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 11(2), fourth subparagraph, point (i)				
G 148a			(i) to delete parts of the detailed contents of the capacity strategy, of the capacity model and of the capacity supply plan set out in section 1 of Annex I and	(i) ~~to delete~~ parts of the detailed contents of the capacity strategy, of the capacity model and of the capacity supply plan set out in section 1 of Annex I and Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 11(2), fourth subparagraph, point (ii)				
G 148b			(ii) to amend the deadlines of section 2 of Annex I,	(ii) ~~to amend~~ the deadlines of section 2 of Annex I, Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 11(2), fourth subparagraph a				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 148c			where those elements have proven ineffective or have led to major difficulties of implementation, in order to ensure an efficient strategic planning process and reflect the operational concerns of infrastructure managers and applicants, taking into account the experience and opinions of ENIM, applicants, regulatory bodies and the ENRRB in implementing these sections. The Commission shall not delete from section 1 of Annex I elements corresponding to elements referred to in Articles 16 to 18.	where those elements have proven ineffective or have led to major difficulties of implementation, <u>or where the sector has developed new and widely accepted best practices</u> , in order to ensure an efficient strategic planning process and reflect the operational concerns of infrastructure managers and applicants, taking into account the experience and opinions of ENIM, applicants, regulatory bodies and the ENRRB in implementing these sections. ~~The Commission shall not delete from section 1 of Annex I elements corresponding to elements referred to in Articles 16 to 18.~~ Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 11(3), first subparagraph				
G 149	3. Subject to the principle of management independence laid down in Article 4 of Directive 2012/34/EU, Member States may provide the infrastructure manager with strategic guidance based on the indicative rail infrastructure development strategies referred to	3. Subject to the principle of management independence laid down in Article 4 of Directive 2012/34/EU, Member States may provide the infrastructure manager with strategic guidance based on the indicative rail infrastructure development strategies referred to	3. Subject to the principle of management independence laid down in Article 4 of Directive 2012/34/EU, Member States may provide the infrastructure manager with strategic guidance based on the indicative rail infrastructure development strategies referred to	~~deleted~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU. That guidance may cover/contain in particular:	in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU. <u>The guidance shall be provided by Member States in a timely manner to allow infrastructure managers and operational stakeholders to follow the deadlines set out in Annex I of this Regulation.</u> That guidance may cover/contain in particular:	in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU. That guidance shall respect the operational responsibilities of the infrastructure manager, enabling them to optimise use of the network, and coordinate effectively the attribution of capacity for cross-border traffic. That guidance shall be consistent with the provisions laid down in this Regulation. That guidance shall be timely provided, as for infrastructure managers to be able to follow the deadlines set out in Annex I, and may cover or contain may cover/contain in particular:	
Article 11(3), first subparagraph, point (a)				
150	(a) general objectives of national rail policy relevant for strategic capacity planning within the scope of this Regulation;	(a) general objectives of national rail policy relevant for strategic capacity planning within the scope of this Regulation;	(a) general objectives of national rail policy relevant for strategic capacity planning within the scope of this Regulation, such as national practices for train timetables;	<i>deleted</i> <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 11(3), first subparagraph, point (b)				
151	(b) an outlook on the development of rail infrastructure, taking into account relevant plans and strategies at national or	(b) an outlook on the development of rail infrastructure, taking into account relevant <u>plans, including long-term strategic</u>	(b) an outlook on the development of rail infrastructure, taking into account relevant plans and strategies at national or	<i>deleted</i> <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	regional level and the work plans of the European Transport Corridors referred to in Article 53 of the [new TEN-T Regulation];	<u>infrastructure</u> plans and strategies at national or regional level and the work plans of the European Transport Corridors referred to in Article ~~53~~ <u>54 of Regulation (EU) 2024/...</u> of the <u>European Parliament and of the Council</u> ¹ [new TEN-T Regulation]; <u>1. Regulation (EU) 2024/... of the European Parliament and of the Council of ...on Union guidelines for the development of the trans-European transport network, amending Regulations (EU) 2021/1153 and (EU) No 913/2010 and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1315/2013 (OJ...).</u>	regional level and the work plans of the European Transport Corridors referred to in Article ~~53~~ <u>54</u> of the [new TEN-T Regulation];	
<i>Article 11(3), first subparagraph, point (c)</i>				
G 152	(c) general requirements and guidelines as regards the use of rail infrastructure capacity, which the infrastructure manager shall take into account in strategic capacity planning, in particular in relation to highly utilised and congested infrastructure referred to in Article 21;	(c) general requirements and guidelines as regards the use of rail infrastructure capacity, which the infrastructure manager shall take into account in strategic capacity planning, in particular in relation to highly utilised and congested infrastructure referred to in Article 21;	(c) ~~general requirements and guidelines as regards the use of rail infrastructure capacity, which the infrastructure manager shall take into account in strategic capacity planning, in particular in relation to highly utilised and congested infrastructure referred to in Article 21;~~ including where appropriate policy orientations, related to:	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
<i>Article 11(3), first subparagraph, point (c), first subparagraph, point (i)</i>				
G 152a			(i) the volumes of the different types of traffic referred	<i>deleted</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			to in Article 12 that the infrastructure managers shall aim to accommodate through the strategic planning process;	Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 11(3), first subparagraph, point (c), first subparagraph, point (ii)				
152b			(ii) the implementation of specific timetable designs for both freight and passenger services such as integrated clock-faced timetable;	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 11(3), first subparagraph, point (c), first subparagraph, point (iii)				
152c			(iii) the extent of capacity that can be reserved through framework agreements or rolling planning;	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 11(3), first subparagraph, point (c), first subparagraph, point (iv)				
152d			(iv) the implementation, by the infrastructure managers, of the rules of conflict resolution laid down in Article 37;	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 11(3), first subparagraph, point (c), first subparagraph a				
152e			which the infrastructure manager shall take utmost account in strategic capacity planning, in particular in relation to highly utilised and congested infrastructure referred to in Article 21 and in	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			the pre-planning process referred to in Articles 18 and 20;	
Article 11(3), first subparagraph, point (d)				
153	(d) an outlook on the planned development of rail services operated under public service obligations, taking into account, where necessary, the views of the regional or local authorities involved.	(d) an outlook on the planned development of rail services operated under public service obligations, taking into account, where necessary, the views of the regional or local authorities involved.	(d) an outlook on the planned development of rail services operated under public service obligations, taking into account, where necessary, the views of the regional or local authorities involved.	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 11(3), second subparagraph				
154	Member States shall coordinate to ensure consistency between the respective strategic guidance they provide in accordance with this paragraph with a view to supporting the development of international passenger and freight rail services.	Member States shall coordinate <u>the timely provision of guidance to and</u> ensure consistency between the respective strategic guidance they provide in accordance with this paragraph with a view to supporting the development of international passenger and freight rail services. <u>With a view to Article 8 of Directive 2012/34/EU, Member States shall ensure advance allocation of financial resources for the infrastructure managers for regular maintenance of</u>	Member States shall coordinate in accordance with Article 53a to ensure consistency between the respective strategic guidance they provide in accordance with this paragraph with a view to supporting the development of international passenger and freight rail services.	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
				<u>infrastructure and financial resources for infrastructure development described in the capacity strategy as referred in Article 16 of this Regulation. The Member States shall coordinate their long-term strategic infrastructure and timetable plans, their infrastructure development based on these plans and coordinate the timelines of their implementation.</u>				
		Article 11(3), third subparagraph						
G	154a					Infrastructure managers shall justify any deviation from the guidance provided by Member States pursuant to points c) and d) of this paragraph.		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
		Article 11(3), second subparagraph b						
G	154b					Member States may provide that elements of guidance referred to in point c) of this paragraph are binding.		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
		Article 11(3), second subparagraph c						
G	154c					Member States shall publish the strategic guidance adopted pursuant to this paragraph, where Member States have provided such guidance. The		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			strategic guidance shall be published in a timely manner to allow the preparation of the strategic capacity planning.	
Article 11(4)				
155	4. Strategic capacity planning shall not involve the granting of capacity rights to individual applicants in accordance with Article 26.	4. Strategic capacity planning shall not involve the granting of capacity rights to individual applicants in accordance with Article 26.	4. Strategic capacity planning shall not involve the granting of capacity rights to individual applicants in accordance with Article 26.	4. Strategic capacity planning shall not involve the granting of capacity rights to individual applicants in accordance with Article 26.
Article 11(4a)				
155a		<u>4a. Taking into account experiences with the preparation and implementation of the strategic guidance referred to in paragraph 3, the Commission may adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to set out the specific legal status, template, procedures, criteria and methodologies to be applied for adopting national strategic guidance to ensure consistency between those strategic guidances issued by Member State, which are necessary to support the development of international rail freight and passenger services.</u>		deleted Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 12				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
156	Article 12 General requirements for strategic capacity management	Article 12 General requirements for strategic capacity management	Article 12 General requirements for strategic capacity management planning	Article 12 General requirements for strategic capacity planning	
Article 12(1)					
157	1. Infrastructure managers shall take into account in a balanced, fair and non-discriminatory manner all types of rail transport services for which they are liable to receive requests for capacity, irrespective of their volume, size of downstream market, stability between consecutive timetables, regularity or frequency within a given working timetable period.	1. Infrastructure managers shall take into account in a balanced, fair and non-discriminatory manner all types of rail transport services for which they are liable to receive requests for capacity, irrespective of their volume, size of downstream market, stability between consecutive timetables, regularity or frequency within a given working timetable period.	1. Infrastructure managers shall take into account in a ~~balanced, fair~~ an equitable, transparent and non-discriminatory manner all types of rail transport services for which they are liable to receive requests for capacity, irrespective of their volume, size of downstream market, stability between consecutive timetables, regularity or frequency within a given working timetable period.	1. Infrastructure managers shall take into account in an equitable, transparent and non-discriminatory manner all types of rail transport services for which they are liable to receive requests for capacity, irrespective of their volume, size of downstream market, stability between consecutive timetables, regularity or frequency within a given working timetable period. Agreed at 3rd trilogue	
Article 12(2), first subparagraph					
158	2. In strategic capacity planning, in particular in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18, infrastructure managers shall differentiate at least between the following types of rail transport services:	2. In strategic capacity planning, in particular in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18, infrastructure managers shall differentiate at least between the following types of rail transport services:	2. In strategic capacity planning, in particular in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18, infrastructure managers shall differentiate at least between the following types of rail transport services:	2. In strategic capacity planning, in particular in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18, infrastructure managers shall differentiate at least between the following types of rail transport services:	
Article 12(2), first subparagraph, point (a)					
159	(a) freight transport services;	(a) freight transport services;	(a) freight transport services;	(a) freight transport services;	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
Article 12(2), first subparagraph, point (b)					
G 160	(b) inter-urban passenger services (long distance);	(b) inter-urban passenger services (long distance);	(b) inter-urban passenger services (long distance);	(b) inter-urban passenger services (long distance);	G
Article 12(2), first subparagraph, point (c)					
G 161	(c) urban and regional passenger services.	(c) urban and regional passenger services.	(c) urban and regional passenger services.	(c) urban and regional passenger services.	G
Article 12(2), second subparagraph					
G 162	If necessary, in the light of experience gained in the implementation of this Regulation, ENIM may define a more detailed list which further differentiates between types of rail transport services. With a view to supporting the cross-border consistency of the strategic planning process, a harmonised list shall be included in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	If necessary, in the light of experience gained in the implementation of this Regulation, ENIM <u>in cooperation with ERP</u> may define a more detailed list which further differentiates between types of rail transport services. With a view to supporting the cross-border consistency of the strategic planning process, a harmonised list shall be included in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	If necessary, in the light of experience gained in the implementation of this Regulation, ~~ENIM may define a more detailed list which further differentiates between types of rail transport services.~~ and with a view to supporting the cross-border consistency of the strategic planning process, ENIM may define a more detaileda harmonised list which further differentiates between types of rail transport services. That list shall be included in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. Infrastructure managers shall take utmost account of that list.	If necessary, in the light of experience gained in the implementation of this Regulation and with a view to supporting the cross-border consistency of the strategic planning process, ENIM <u>in consultation with operational stakeholders and ERP</u> may define a more detailed harmonised list which further differentiates between types of rail transport services. That list shall be included in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. ~~Infrastructure managers shall take utmost account of that list.~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 12(3)					
G 163	3. In strategic capacity planning, in particular in the	3. In strategic capacity planning, in particular in the	3. In strategic capacity planning, in particular in the	3. In strategic capacity planning, in particular in the	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18, infrastructure managers shall indicate the pre-planned capacity suitable for the provision of multi-network transport services.	capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18, infrastructure managers shall indicate the pre-planned capacity suitable for the provision of multi-network transport services.	capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18, infrastructure managers shall indicate the pre-planned capacity suitable for the provision of multi-network transport services, including cross-border rail services. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate in accordance with Article 53 when indicating the pre-planned capacity suitable for the provision of multi-network transport services.	capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18, infrastructure managers shall indicate the pre-planned capacity suitable for the provision of multi-network transport services; ~~including cross-border rail services. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate in accordance with Article 53 when indicating the pre-planned capacity suitable for the provision of multi-network transport services.~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 12(4)				
164	4. Strategic capacity planning shall also take into account:	4. Strategic capacity planning shall also take into account:	4. Strategic capacity planning shall also take into account:	4. Strategic capacity planning shall also take into account:
Article 12(4), point (a)				
165	(a) the observed structure and developments of demand for infrastructure capacity, as identified through capacity allocation in previous working timetable periods and through the market monitoring referred to in Article 15 of Directive 2012/34/EU;	(a) the observed structure and developments of demand for infrastructure capacity, as identified through capacity allocation in previous working timetable periods and through the market monitoring referred to in Article 15 of Directive 2012/34/EU;	(a) the observed structure and developments of demand for infrastructure capacity, as identified through capacity allocation in previous working timetable periods and through the market monitoring referred to in Article 15 of Directive 2012/34/EU;	(a) the observed structure and developments of demand for infrastructure capacity, as identified through capacity allocation in previous working timetable periods and through the market monitoring referred to in Article 15 of Directive 2012/34/EU;

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 12(4), point (b)				
166	(b) the expected development of transport needs and of the resulting demand for rail transport services and infrastructure capacity, as identified through the analysis referred to in Article 15 of this Regulation;	(b) the expected development of transport needs and of the resulting demand for rail transport services and infrastructure capacity, as identified through the analysis referred to in Article 15 of this Regulation;	(b) the expected development of transport needs and of the resulting demand for rail transport services and infrastructure capacity, as identified through the analysis referred to in Article 15 of this Regulation;	(b) the expected development of transport needs and of the resulting demand for rail transport services and infrastructure capacity, as identified through the analysis referred to in Article 15 of this Regulation;
Article 12(4), point (c)				
167	(c) the input from the consultation of current and potential applicants in accordance with Article 13;	(c) <u>the capacity needs announced by operational stakeholders and</u> the input from the consultation of current and potential applicants in accordance with Article 13;	(c) capacity needs announcements by applicants and the input from the consultation of ~~current and potential applicants~~ operational stakeholders in accordance with Article 13;	(c) capacity needs announcements by applicants and the input from the consultation of operational stakeholders in accordance with Article 13; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 12(4), point (d)				
168	(d) the expected or planned development of infrastructure capacity, in particular as identified in the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU and the business plan of the infrastructure manager referred to in Article 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU;	(d) the expected or planned development of infrastructure capacity, in particular as identified in the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU and the business plan of the infrastructure manager referred to in Article 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU;	(d) the expected or planned development of infrastructure capacity, in particular as identified in the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU and the business plan of the infrastructure manager referred to in Article 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU;	(d) the expected or planned development of infrastructure capacity, in particular as identified in the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU and the business plan of the infrastructure manager referred to in Article 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU;
Article 12(4), point (e)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	169	(e) the planned infrastructure works which are expected to affect the network;	(e) the planned infrastructure works which are expected to affect the network;	(e) the planned infrastructure works which are expected to affect the network;	G
Article 12(4), point (f)					
G	170	(f) The characteristics of different rail transport markets, as regards in particular:	(f) the characteristics of different rail transport markets, as regards in particular:	(f) The characteristics of different rail transport markets, as regards in particular:	G
Article 12(4), point (f)(i)					
G	171	(i) speed;	(i) speed;	(i) speed;	G
Article 12(4), point (f)(ii)					
G	172	(ii) frequency;	(ii) frequency;	(ii) frequency;	G
Article 12(4), point (f)(iii)					
G	173	(iii) tolerance for deviations from the allocated train path;	(iii) tolerance for deviations from the allocated train path;	(iii) tolerance for deviations from the allocated train path;	G
Article 12(4), point (f)(iv)					
G	174	(iv) possibility to re-route, reschedule or replace services by other modes in the event of network disruptions;	(iv) possibility to re-route, reschedule or replace services by other modes in the event of network disruptions;	(iv) possibility to re-route, reschedule or replace services by other modes in the event of network disruptions;	G
Article 12(4), point (f)(v)					
G	175	(v) volatility of demand for rail transport and the consequent need to plan rail services on a different time horizon.	(v) ~~volatility~~ volatility of demand for rail transport and the consequent need to plan rail services on a different time horizon.	(v) volatility of demand for rail transport and the consequent need to plan rail services on a different time horizon.	G
Article 12(4), point (fa)					

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
175a		<i>(fa) as far as possible, the socio-economic and environmental impact of the use of infrastructure capacity.</i>		<i>deleted</i> <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 12(5)				
176	5. Strategic capacity planning shall reflect the capacity allocated through framework agreements and rolling planning.	5. Strategic capacity planning shall reflect the capacity allocated through framework agreements and rolling planning.	5. Strategic capacity planning shall reflect the capacity allocated through framework agreements and rolling planning.	5. Strategic capacity planning shall reflect the capacity allocated through framework agreements and rolling planning.
Article 12(6), first subparagraph				
177	6. Strategic capacity planning shall cover the lines part of the TEN-T core and extended core network referred to in Article 6 of and Annex I to [new TEN-T Regulation]. Infrastructure managers may include other lines and nodes of the network they manage.	6. Strategic capacity planning shall cover the ~~lines part of the TEN-T core and extended core network referred to in Article 6 of and Annex I to [new TEN-T Regulation]~~ <u>Single European Railway Area</u> . ~~Infrastructure managers may include other lines and nodes of the network they manage.~~	6. Strategic capacity planning shall cover the railway lines part of the TEN-T core network and extended core network referred to in Article 6 of and Annex I to [new TEN-T Regulation]. Infrastructure managers, including those which do not manage lines part of the TEN-T core network and extended core network, may decide to may include in the strategic capacity planning other lines and nodes of the network they manage. In accordance with their respective strategic guidance referred to in Article 11(3), Member States may also provide that infrastructure managers shall perform	6. Strategic capacity planning shall cover the ~~railway~~ <u>lines sections and nodes</u> part of the TEN-T core ~~network~~ and extended core network, <u>as identified in Annex IV of Regulation (EU) 2024/1679</u> . Infrastructure managers, ~~including those which do not manage lines part of the TEN-T core network and extended core network~~ , may decide to include in the strategic capacity planning other <u>lines sections</u> and nodes of the network they manage. In accordance with their respective strategic guidance referred to in Article ~~11(3)~~ <u>3a</u> , Member States may also provide that infrastructure managers shall perform strategic capacity

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			strategic capacity planning on other lines and nodes of the network managed by those infrastructure managers.	planning on other ~~lines~~ sections and nodes of the network managed by those infrastructure managers. Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 12(6), second subparagraph				
178	Strategic capacity planning shall be carried out at a level which is sufficiently detailed to allow capacity planning for specific sections of the network. For this purpose, the network shall be represented by nodes and sections that allow to take into account relevant characteristics of capacity demand and supply.	Strategic capacity planning shall be carried out at a level which is sufficiently detailed to allow capacity planning for specific sections of the network. For this purpose, the network shall be represented by nodes and sections that allow to take into account relevant characteristics of capacity demand and supply.	Strategic capacity planning shall be carried out at a level which is sufficiently detailed to allow capacity planning for specific sections of the network. For this purpose, the network shall be represented by nodes and sections that allow to take into account relevant characteristics of capacity demand and supply.	Strategic capacity planning shall be carried out at a level which is sufficiently detailed to allow capacity planning for ~~specific sections of~~ the network. For this purpose, the network shall be represented by ~~nodes and~~ sections and nodes that allow to take into account relevant characteristics of capacity demand and supply. Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes
Article 12(6), third subparagraph				
179	These nodes and sections shall be indicated in the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	These nodes and sections shall be indicated in the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	Member States shall ensure that these ~~nodes and~~ sections shall be indicated in the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	Member States shall ~~ensure that~~ instruct infrastructure managers to indicate these sections ~~are indicated~~ and nodes in the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797. Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 12(6a), first subparagraph				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
G	179a							<u>6a. For the purpose of strategic capacity planning, infrastructure managers shall draw up a strategic route map in terms of sections and nodes which defines the following:</u> Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue Line 179a (old 176a), moved after paragraph 6
Article 12(6a), first subparagraph, point (a)								
G	179b							<u>(a) the geographical scope of the capacity strategy referred to in Article 16, of the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and of the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18;</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue Line 179b (old 176b), moved after paragraph 6
Article 12(6a), first subparagraph, point (b)								
G	179c							<u>(b) the alternative lines considered in the event of capacity restrictions referred to in Article 10 and in the context of contingency planning referred to in Article 19.</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Agreed at 3rd trilogue Line 179c (old 176c), moved after paragraph 6
Article 12(6a), second subparagraph				
G 179d				<u>Member States shall ensure that the strategic route map is made publicly available and included in the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue Line 179d (old 176d), moved after paragraph 6
Article 12(7)				
G 180	7. Strategic capacity planning shall cover a period that is at least five years ahead in time. Infrastructure managers may extend the period to more than five years, in particular to support infrastructure development within the framework of the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU.	7. Strategic capacity planning shall cover a period that is at least five years ahead in time. Infrastructure managers may extend the period to more than five years, in particular to support infrastructure development within the framework of the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU.	7. Strategic capacity planning shall cover a period that ~~is at least~~starts five years ~~ahead in time~~before the change of working timetable to which it relates. Infrastructure managers may extend the period to more than five years, in particular to support infrastructure development within the framework of the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU.	7. Strategic capacity planning shall cover a period that starts five years before the change of working timetable to which it relates. Infrastructure managers may extend the period to more than five years, in particular to support infrastructure development within the framework of the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU. Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 12(8)				
181	8. Infrastructure managers shall regularly review and update the results of the strategic capacity planning taking account, in particular, of the following:	8. Infrastructure managers shall regularly review and update the results of the strategic capacity planning taking account, in particular, of the following:	8. Infrastructure managers shall ~~regularly~~ review and update where necessary the results of the strategic capacity planning taking account, in particular, of the following:	8. Infrastructure managers shall review and update ~~where necessary~~ the results of the strategic capacity planning taking account, in particular, of the following: Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 12(8), point (a)				
182	(a) the development of the railway infrastructure;	(a) the development of the railway infrastructure;	(a) the development of the railway infrastructure;	(a) the development of the railway infrastructure;
Article 12(8), point (b)				
183	(b) changes in market demand for infrastructure capacity;	(b) changes in market demand for infrastructure capacity <u>taking into account needs announced by applicants for new or modified services</u> ;	(b) changes in market demand for infrastructure capacity, including through the capacity needs announcements ;	(b) changes in market demand for infrastructure capacity, including through the capacity needs announcements; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 12(8), point (c)				
184	(c) the results of the allocation processes set out in sections 3 and 4 in previous working timetable periods, including the degree of utilisation of infrastructure capacity by applicants;	(c) the results of the allocation processes set out in sections 3 and 4 in previous working timetable periods, including the degree of utilisation of infrastructure capacity by applicants;	(c) the results of the allocation processes set out in sections 3 and 4 of this Chapter in previous working timetable periods, including the degree of utilisation of infrastructure capacity by applicants;	(c) the results of the allocation processes set out in sections 3 and 4 of this Chapter in previous working timetable periods, including the degree of utilisation of infrastructure capacity by applicants; Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
Article 12(8), point (d)					
G 185	(d) insights from traffic management performed in accordance with Chapter III;	(d) insights from traffic management performed in accordance with Chapter III;	(d) insights from traffic management performed in accordance with Chapter III;	(d) insights from traffic management performed in accordance with Chapter III;	G
Article 12(8), point (e)					
G 186	(e) the result of disruption and crisis management performed in accordance with Chapter III;	(e) the result of disruption and crisis management performed in accordance with Chapter III;	(e) the result of disruption and crisis management performed in accordance with Chapter III;	(e) the result of disruption and crisis management performed in accordance with Chapter III;	G
Article 12(8), point (f)					
G 187	(f) the results of the performance review in accordance with Chapter IV.	(f) the results of the performance review in accordance with Chapter IV.	(f) the results of the performance review in accordance with Chapter IV.	(f) the results of the performance review in accordance with Chapter IV.	G
Article 12(9)					
G 188	9. ENIM shall develop guidelines setting out common principles, procedures and methodologies for strategic capacity planning. It shall include those guidelines in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6 of this Regulation. Those guidelines should contain at least the elements listed in Annex III to this Regulation. The infrastructure managers shall take the utmost account of those guidelines in the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive	9. <u>By... [12 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]</u> , ENIM shall develop guidelines setting out common principles, procedures and methodologies for strategic capacity planning. It shall include those guidelines in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6 of this Regulation. Those guidelines should contain at least the elements listed in Annex III to this Regulation. The infrastructure managers shall take the utmost account of those guidelines in the	9. ENIM shall develop and include in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6 of this Regulation guidelines setting out common principles, procedures and methodologies for strategic capacity planning. ~~It shall include those guidelines in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6 of this Regulation. Those guidelines should contain at least the elements listed in Annex III to this Regulation. The infrastructure~~	9. ENIM shall develop and include in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6 of this Regulation guidelines setting out common principles, procedures and methodologies for strategic capacity planning. Agreed at 3rd trilogue Aspect of deadline to be considered or to be inserted elsewhere	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	2012/34/EU. They shall explain in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common principles, procedures and methodologies established in the guidelines.	network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU. They shall explain in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common principles, procedures and methodologies established in the guidelines.	~~managers shall take the utmost account of those guidelines in the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU. They shall explain in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common principles, procedures and methodologies established in the guidelines.~~	
	Article 12(9), second subparagraph			
G 188a			The infrastructure managers shall take the utmost account of those guidelines in the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU. They shall explain in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common principles, procedures and methodologies established in the guidelines.	<u>9a.</u> The infrastructure managers shall take ~~the utmost~~ account of those guidelines in the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU. They shall explain in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common principles, procedures and methodologies established in the guidelines. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 12(9b)			
G 188b		<u>9a.</u> <u>The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to set out common principles, procedures and methodologies for strategic capacity planning and to</u>		~~deleted~~ Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 30

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>amend paragraph 9 of this Article. Those delegated acts shall be adopted by ... [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]. They shall be included in the European framework for capacity management.</u>		(14/10) The common principles in (9) are an element of the FW on capacity mgt and therefor covered under Art 70a/b
Article 13				
189	Article 13 Consultation of stakeholders on strategic capacity planning	Article 13 Consultation of stakeholders on strategic capacity planning	Article 13 Consultation of stakeholders on strategic capacity planning	Article 13 Consultation of stakeholders on strategic capacity planning Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 13(1)				
190	1. Infrastructure managers shall consult all operational stakeholders on strategic capacity planning in accordance with Article 7e of Directive 2012/34/EU.	1. Infrastructure managers shall consult all operational stakeholders on strategic capacity planning in accordance with Article 7e of Directive 2012/34/EU.	1. Infrastructure managers shall, in the framework of Article 7e of Directive 2012/34/EU , consult all operational stakeholders, including those operating multi-network rail services , on strategic capacity planning, including the planning documents referred to in articles 16 to 18 , in accordance with ~~Article 7e of Directive 2012/34/EU~~ the schedule laid down in section 2 of Annex I.	1. Infrastructure managers shall, in ~~the framework of~~ of accordance with Article 7e of Directive 2012/34/EU, consult all operational stakeholders, including those operating multi-network rail services, on strategic capacity planning, including the planning documents referred to in articles 16 to 18, in accordance with the schedule laid down in section 2 of Annex I. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 13(2)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
191	2. Infrastructure managers shall consult all operational stakeholders operating multi-network rail services on strategic capacity planning in accordance with Articles 53 and 54.	2. Infrastructure managers shall consult all operational stakeholders operating multi-network rail services on strategic capacity planning <u>throughout the strategic capacity management phase, and at least in accordance with the milestones set out in Annex I</u> in accordance with Articles 53 and 54.	2. For the purpose of that consultation, the infrastructure managers shall ~~consult all operational stakeholders operating multi-network rail services on strategic~~ take the utmost account of the principles contained in the European framework for capacity planning in accordance with Articles 53 and 54 management referred to in Article 6.	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 13(2), second subparagraph				
191a			For the purpose of consulting operational stakeholders operating multi-network rail services, infrastructure managers shall coordinate between themselves in accordance with Article 53 and, for European and cross-border matters, Article 54.	<u>2a.</u> For the purpose of consulting operational stakeholders operating multi-network rail services, infrastructure managers shall coordinate between themselves in accordance with Article 53 and, for European and cross-border matters, Article 54. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 13(2b)				
191b		<u>2a. Infrastructure managers shall give applicants the possibility to announce their capacity needs in a structured format throughout the capacity management process, for which</u>		<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>ENIM shall specify appropriate timelines. Infrastructure managers shall make the utmost effort to integrate the needs announced by applicants in the strategic capacity planning documents referred to in Article 11(2). If alternatives are not available for all announced capacity needs, infrastructure managers shall make the utmost efforts to resolve the potential conflicts by means of the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36.</u>		
	Article 13(2c)			
G 191c		<u>2b. The regulatory body shall monitor the activities of the infrastructure manager in strategic capacity planning in accordance with Article 63.</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 14			
G 192	Article 14 Coordination on strategic capacity planning between infrastructure managers	Article 14 Coordination on strategic capacity planning between infrastructure managers	Article 14 Coordination on strategic capacity planning between infrastructure managers	Article 14 Coordination on strategic capacity planning between infrastructure managers
	Article 14(1), first subparagraph			
G 193	1. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate their activities	1. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate their activities	1. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate where relevant	1. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate where relevant

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	related to strategic capacity planning in accordance with Article 53.	related to strategic capacity planning in accordance with Article 53.	their activities related to strategic capacity planning in accordance with Article 53.	their activities related to strategic capacity planning in accordance with Article 53. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 14(1), second subparagraph			
G 194	Coordination shall ensure in particular:	Coordination shall ensure in particular:	Coordination shall ensure in particular:	Coordination shall ensure in particular:
	Article 14(1), second subparagraph, point (a)			
G 195	(a) the consistency of strategic planning across the networks concerned, in particular in relation to the capacity strategy, the capacity model and the capacity supply plan, the planning of infrastructure works and contingency planning;	(a) the consistency of strategic planning across the networks concerned, in particular in relation to the capacity strategy, the capacity model and the capacity supply plan, the planning of infrastructure works and contingency planning;	(a) the consistency of strategic planning across the networks concerned, in particular in relation to the capacity strategy, the capacity model and the capacity supply plan, the planning of infrastructure works and contingency planning;	(a) the consistency of strategic planning across the networks concerned, in particular in relation to the capacity strategy, the capacity model and the capacity supply plan, the planning of infrastructure works and contingency planning;
	Article 14(1), second subparagraph, point (b)			
G 196	(b) appropriate consideration of the capacity needs for multi-network rail services in the capacity strategy, capacity model and capacity supply plan;	(b) appropriate consideration of the capacity needs for multi-network rail services in the capacity strategy, capacity model and capacity supply plan;	(b) appropriate consideration of the capacity needs for multi-network rail services, including cross-border rail services , in the capacity strategy, capacity model and capacity supply plan;	(b) appropriate consideration of the capacity needs for multi-network rail services, ~~including cross-border rail services~~ , in the capacity strategy, capacity model and capacity supply plan; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 14(1), second subparagraph, point (c)			
G 197	(c) a review of the performance of strategic capacity	(c) a review of the performance of strategic capacity	(c) a review of the performance of strategic capacity	(c) a review of the performance of strategic capacity

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	planning and its outcomes in accordance with Chapter IV;	planning and its outcomes in accordance with Chapter IV;	planning and its outcomes in accordance with Chapter IV;	planning and its outcomes in accordance with Chapter IV;
	Article 14(1), second subparagraph, point (d)			
198	(d) the involvement of all operational stakeholders, regulatory bodies and the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies ('the ENRRB'), the European Coordinators and, where necessary, Member State authorities and other stakeholders.	(d) the involvement of all operational stakeholders <u>and ERP</u> , regulatory bodies and the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies ('the ENRRB'), the European Coordinators and, where necessary, Member State authorities and other stakeholders.	(d) the involvement of all operational stakeholders, regulatory bodies and the ~~European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies~~ ('the ENRRB'), the European Coordinators and, ~~where necessary~~ , Member State authorities and, where necessary , other stakeholders.	(d) the involvement of all operational stakeholders <u>and, where relevant, ERP</u> , regulatory bodies and the ENRRB, the European Coordinators and Member State authorities and, where necessary, other stakeholders. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 14(2)			
199	2. ENIM shall take into account any relevant complaint by applicants or potential applicants on the planning documents referred to in Article 11(2) and shall request additional information from the entities involved in the coordination in accordance with Article 53 and from operational stakeholders consulted in accordance with Article 54, prior to adopting an opinion or recommendation to improve the consistency of those planning documents. ENIM shall share its opinion on the draft	2. ENIM shall take into account any relevant complaint by applicants or potential applicants on the planning documents referred to in Article 11(2) and shall request additional information from the entities involved in the coordination in accordance with Article 53 and from operational stakeholders consulted in accordance with Article 54, prior to adopting an opinion or recommendation to improve the consistency of those planning documents. ENIM shall share its opinion on the draft	<i>deleted</i>	<u>2. Applicants shall have the right to address their concerns on the planning documents referred to in Article 11(2) to ENIM. ENIM may adopt an opinion or recommendation on these concerns and shall inform ENRRB.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	planning documents with the ENRRB or inform the latter of a failure to adopt an opinion. The ENRRB shall act in accordance with Article 65(3).	planning documents with the ENRRB or inform the latter of a failure to adopt an opinion. The ENRRB shall act in accordance with Article 65(3).		
Article 14(3)				
G 200	3. Infrastructure managers shall take into account any recommendation adopted by ENIM in accordance with paragraph 2. Where infrastructure managers do not follow the recommendation, they shall explain the reasons in the planning documents. The ENRRB shall monitor the coordination process and its implementation. It shall inform the Commission of its views on the need to address any shortcomings of the coordination process.	3. Infrastructure managers shall take into account any recommendation adopted by ENIM in accordance with paragraph 2. Where infrastructure managers do not follow the recommendation, they shall explain the reasons in the planning documents. The ENRRB shall monitor the coordination process and its implementation. It shall inform the Commission of its views on the need to address any shortcomings of the coordination process.	3. Infrastructure managers shall take into account any recommendation adopted by ENIM in accordance with ~~paragraph 2~~ Article 54 . Where infrastructure managers do not follow the recommendation, they shall explain the reasons thereof in the planning documents. ~~The ENRRB shall monitor the coordination process and its implementation. It shall inform the Commission of its views on the need to address any shortcomings of the coordination process.~~	3. Infrastructure managers shall take into account any recommendation adopted by ENIM in accordance with ~~Article 54~~ paragraph 2 . Where infrastructure managers do not follow the recommendation, they shall explain the reasons thereof in the planning documents. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 14(4)				
G 200a			4. The ENRRB shall monitor the coordination process and its implementation. It shall inform the Commission of its views on the need to address any shortcomings of the coordination process.	4. The ENRRB shall monitor the coordination process and its implementation. It shall inform the Commission of its views on the need to address any shortcomings of the coordination process. Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
Article 14(3b)								
G	200b			<u>3a. The rail freight governance shall submit a recommendation on coordinated capacity strategy to the respective infrastructure managers three months prior to the period as defined in Article 10(4).</u>				deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 15								
G	201	Article 15 Analysis of expected transport market developments		Article 15 Analysis of expected transport market developments		Article 15 Analysis of expected transport market developments		Article 15 Analysis of expected transport market developments
Article 15(1)								
G	202	1. Infrastructure managers and ENIM shall regularly monitor and analyse transport markets in order to inform their overall business strategy, capacity and contingency management and investment decisions. Infrastructure managers shall communicate the results of this analysis to other stakeholders for similar purposes, including the European Coordinators.		1. Infrastructure managers and ENIM, <u>in consultation with ENRRB and ERP</u> , shall regularly monitor and analyse transport markets <u>and relevant multimodal aspects for rail</u> in order to inform their overall business strategy, capacity and contingency management and investment decisions. Infrastructure managers shall communicate the results of this analysis to other stakeholders for similar purposes, including the European Coordinators.		1. The Network Coordinator shall regularly carry out a transport market study regarding network and market developments for cross-border rail services. The Network Coordinator shall communicate the results of this study to Member States, infrastructure managers and ENIM other relevant stakeholders, including the European Coordinators. The Network Coordinator shall regularly monitor and analyse review and update the transport markets market study as		1. ~~The Network Coordinator shall regularly carry out a transport market study regarding network and market developments for cross-border rail services. The Network Coordinator shall communicate the results of this study to Member States, infrastructure managers and other relevant stakeholders, including the European Coordinators. The Network Coordinator shall review and update the transport market study as appropriate, and in any case at least every five years. Infrastructure managers shall take this market study into~~

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			appropriate, and in any case at least every five years. Infrastructure managers shall take this market study into account in order to inform their overall business strategy, capacity and contingency management and investment decisions. ~~Infrastructure managers shall communicate the results of this analysis to other stakeholders for similar purposes, including the European Coordinators.~~	~~account~~<u>regularly monitor and analyse transport markets</u> in order to inform their overall business strategy, capacity and contingency management and investment decisions. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 15(2)				
203	2. For the purposes of this Regulation, the transport market analysis referred to in paragraph 1 shall in particular provide input to strategic capacity planning as referred to in Article 11, to the partitioning of infrastructure capacity as referred to in Article 25 and to the allocation of capacity on the basis of the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37.	2. For the purposes of this Regulation, the transport market analysis referred to in paragraph 1 shall in particular provide input to strategic capacity planning as referred to in Article 11, to the partitioning of infrastructure capacity as referred to in Article 25 and to the allocation of capacity on the basis of the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37.	2. For the purposes of this Regulation, the transport market ~~analysis~~study referred to in paragraph 1 shall in particular provide input to strategic capacity planning as referred to in Article 11, to the partitioning of infrastructure capacity as referred to in Article 25 and to the allocation of capacity on the basis of the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37.	2. <u>The Network Coordinator shall regularly carry out a transport market study regarding network and market developments for cross-border rail services . The Network Coordinator shall review and update</u>~~For the purposes of this Regulation, the transport market study referred to in paragraph 1 shall in particular provide input to strategic capacity planning as referred to in Article 11, to the partitioning of infrastructure capacity as referred to in Article 25 and to the allocation of capacity on the basis~~<u>as</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>appropriate, and in any case at least every five years. The results of the ~~formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37~~ market study shall be made publicly available.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 15(3)				
204	3. ENIM shall carry out a European transport market study covering passenger and freight transport in multimodal context at the latest by [31 December 2028]. The study shall address in particular:	3. ENIM shall carry out a European transport market study covering passenger and freight transport in multimodal context at the latest by [31 December 2028]. The study shall address in particular:	deleted	<u>3. For the purposes of this Regulation, the transport market analysis referred to in paragraph 1 and the market study referred to in paragraph 2 shall in particular provide input to strategic capacity planning as referred to in Article 3a, to the partitioning of infrastructure capacity as referred to in Article 25 and to the allocation of capacity on the basis of the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37.</u> <u>Infrastructure managers shall take the analysis from paragraph 1 and the market study referred to in paragraph 2 into account in order to inform their overall business strategy, capacity and</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>contingency management and investment decisions.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 15(3), point (a)				
205	(a) projections of the demand for passenger and freight transport for all modes in the Union;	(a) projections of the demand for passenger and freight transport for all modes in the Union;	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 15(3), point (b)				
206	(b) estimates of the potential to increase the volume rail transport, both passenger and freight, and the conditions necessary to achieve such growth;	(b) estimates of the potential to increase the volume rail transport, both passenger and freight, and the conditions necessary to achieve such growth;	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 15(3), point (c)				
207	(c) if possible, a geographical breakdown of the projected transport flows as an input to strategic capacity planning.	(c) if possible, a geographical breakdown of the projected transport flows as an input to strategic capacity planning.	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 15(3), point (ca)				
207a		<u>(ca) if possible, the impact of projected climate change effects both on infrastructure and services provided.</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 15(4)				
208	4. ENIM shall consult applicants, customers of rail	4. ENIM shall consult applicants, <u>ERP</u> , customers of rail	deleted	deleted

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	transport services and their associations, national and EU public authorities on the terms of reference for the study, in particular as regards the purpose of the study and the process for stakeholder involvement.	transport services and their associations, national and EU public authorities on the terms of reference for the study, in particular as regards the purpose of the study and the process for stakeholder involvement.		Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 15(5)				
209	5. ENIM shall review and update the study as appropriate, and in any case at least every five years.	5. ENIM shall review and update the study as appropriate, and in any case at least every five years.	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 15(5a)				
209a		<u>5a. All data for the study and the study itself shall be published and freely accessible. The data shall be machine readable.</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 16				
210	Article 16 Capacity strategy	Article 16 Capacity strategy	Article 16 Capacity strategy	Article 16 Capacity strategy Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 16(1), first subparagraph				
211	1. The infrastructure manager shall adopt a capacity strategy setting out its expectations on future developments of demand and supply of rail infrastructure	1. The infrastructure manager shall adopt a capacity strategy setting out its expectations on future developments of demand and supply of rail infrastructure	1. The infrastructure manager shall adopt a capacity strategy setting out its expectations on future developments of demand and supply of rail infrastructure	1. The infrastructure manager shall adopt a capacity strategy setting out its expectations on future developments of demand and supply of rail infrastructure

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	capacity and its vision on how to accommodate those developments.	capacity and its vision on how to accommodate those developments.	capacity and its vision on how to accommodate those developments.	capacity and its vision on how to accommodate those developments.
	Article 16(1), second subparagraph			
G 212	The capacity strategy shall serve as a tool for communication, consultation and coordination between operational stakeholders.	The capacity strategy shall serve as a tool for communication, consultation and coordination between operational stakeholders.	The capacity strategy shall serve as a ~~tool~~ basis for communication, consultation and coordination between operational stakeholders.	The capacity strategy shall serve as a basis for communication, consultation and coordination between operational stakeholders. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 16(2)			
G 213	2. The capacity strategy shall contain information about the future development of rail infrastructure, an outlook on the development of the demand for different rail transport services and any other relevant information about the availability and utilisation of railway infrastructure.	2. The capacity strategy shall contain information about the future development of rail infrastructure, an outlook on the development of the demand for different rail transport services and any other relevant information about the availability and utilisation of railway infrastructure.	2. The capacity strategy shall contain ~~information about the future development of rail infrastructure, an outlook on the development of the demand for different rail transport services and any other relevant~~ the information about the availability and utilisation of railway ~~infrastructure~~ listed in section 1 of Annex I.	2. The capacity strategy shall contain the information listed in section 1 of Annex I. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 16(3), first subparagraph			
G 214	3. The capacity strategy shall comprise a strategic route map which defines the following:	3. The capacity strategy shall comprise a strategic route map which defines the following:	~~deleted~~ Moved to row 176a [214 - 176a]	~~deleted~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 16(3), first subparagraph, point (a)			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
215	(a) the geographical scope of the capacity strategy referred to in Article 16, of the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and of the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18;	(a) the geographical scope of the capacity strategy referred to in Article 16, of the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and of the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18;	deleted Moved to row 176b [215 - 176b]	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 16(3), first subparagraph, point (b)				
216	(b) the alternative lines considered in the event of capacity restrictions referred to in Article 10 and in the context of contingency planning referred to in Article 19.	(b) the alternative lines considered in the event of capacity restrictions referred to in Article 10 and in the context of contingency planning referred to in Article 19.	deleted Moved to row 176c [216 - 176c]	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 16(3), second subparagraph				
217	The strategic route map shall be included in the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	The strategic route map shall be included in the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	deleted Moved to row 176d [217 - 176d]	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 16(4)				
218	4. The infrastructure manager shall prepare, publish and regularly update the capacity strategy in accordance with the schedule and the contents set out in section 2 of Annex I.	4. The infrastructure manager shall prepare, publish and, <u>when necessary</u> , ~~regularly~~ update the capacity strategy in accordance with the schedule and the contents set out in section 2 of Annex I.	4. The infrastructure manager shall prepare, and publish ~~and regularly~~ update the capacity strategy in accordance with the schedule ~~and the contents~~ set out in section 2 of Annex I. In case of unforeseen and significant events, the infrastructure manager shall	4. The infrastructure manager shall prepare ~~and~~ , publish <u>and, when necessary, update</u> the capacity strategy in accordance with the schedule <u>and the contents</u> set out in section 2 of Annex I. ~~In case of unforeseen and significant events, the infrastructure manager shall update the capacity strategy accordingly.~~

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			update the capacity strategy accordingly.	Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 16(5)				
219	5. Infrastructure managers shall consult applicants on the capacity strategy in accordance with Article 13 and coordinate capacities strategies with other infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 14.	5. Infrastructure managers shall consult applicants <u>and impacted service facilities</u> on the capacity strategy in accordance with Article 13 and coordinate capacities strategies with other <u>concerned</u> infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 14.	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 16(5a)				
219a		<u>5a. The infrastructure manager shall submit the capacity strategy to the regulatory body. Within three months after publication, the regulatory body shall, after analysis, have the power to require the infrastructure manager to amend it.</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 17				
220	Article 17 Capacity model	Article 17 Capacity model	Article 17 Capacity model	Article 17 Capacity model
Article 17(1), first subparagraph				
221	1. The infrastructure manager shall establish a capacity model that refines the capacity strategy on the basis of the	1. The infrastructure manager shall establish a capacity model that refines the capacity strategy on the basis of the	1. The infrastructure manager shall establish a capacity model that refines the capacity strategy on the basis of the	1. The infrastructure manager shall establish a capacity model that refines the capacity strategy on the basis of the

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	outcome of the consultation and coordination activities referred to in Articles 13 and 14.	outcome of the consultation and coordination activities referred to in Articles 13 and 14.	outcome of the capacity needs announcement referred to in Article 12(4)(c) and the consultation and coordination activities referred to in Articles 13 and 14.	outcome of the ~~capacity needs announcement~~ <u>consultation of operational stakeholders</u> referred to in Article ~~12(4)(c)~~ <u>13</u> and the consultation and coordination activities referred to in ~~Articles 13 and 14~~ <u>Article 14, including in the form of capacity needs announcement referred to in Article 12(4)(c).</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 17(1), second subparagraph				
222	The capacity model shall support a balanced consideration of the capacity needs of different segments of rail transport services and of infrastructure managers' needs to maintain, renew and develop (upgrade of existing and construction of new) the rail infrastructure. It shall serve as an instrument for communication, consultation and coordination of strategic capacity planning between the operational stakeholders.	The capacity model shall support a balanced consideration of the capacity needs of different segments of rail transport services and of infrastructure managers' needs to maintain, renew and develop (upgrade of existing and construction of new) the rail infrastructure. It shall serve as an instrument for communication, consultation and coordination of strategic capacity planning between the operational stakeholders.	The capacity model shall support a balanced consideration of the capacity needs of different segments of rail transport services and of infrastructure managers' needs to maintain, renew and develop (upgrade of existing and construction of new) the rail infrastructure. It shall serve as an ~~instrument~~ a basis for communication, consultation and coordination of strategic capacity planning between the operational stakeholders.	The capacity model shall support a balanced consideration of the capacity needs of different segments of rail transport services and of infrastructure managers' needs to maintain, renew and develop (upgrade of existing and construction of new) ~~the~~ rail infrastructure. It shall serve as ~~a~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 17(2)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 223	2. The capacity model shall at least provide information about the total volume of capacity available by network section, the shares of capacity reserved for different segments of rail transport services and for capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works. Infrastructure managers shall prepare and publish the capacity model for each working timetable period and regularly update the capacity model in accordance with the contents and schedule set out in sections 1 and 2 of Annex I.	2. The capacity model shall at least provide information about the total volume of capacity available by network section, the shares of capacity reserved for different segments of rail transport services and for capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works. <u>It shall also contain information on the capacity of the respective service facilities referred to in Article 29 (1).</u> Infrastructure managers shall prepare and publish the capacity model for each working timetable period and ~~regularly~~ update the capacity model <u>when needed</u> in accordance with the contents and schedule set out in sections 1 and 2 of Annex I.	2. The capacity model shall ~~at least provide~~ contain the information about the total volume of capacity available by ~~network~~ listed in section, the shares of capacity reserved for different segments of rail transport services and for capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works 1 of Annex I. Infrastructure managers shall prepare and publish the capacity model for each working timetable period and ~~regularly~~ update the capacity model in accordance with the contents and schedule set out in sections 1 and section 2 of Annex I. The obligation to update the capacity model shall not apply if the capacity supply plan for the same working timetable period has been published.	2. The capacity model shall contain the information listed in section 1 of Annex I. Infrastructure managers shall prepare and publish the capacity model for each working timetable period and update the capacity model <u>when needed</u> in accordance with the schedule set out in section 2 of Annex I. The obligation to update the capacity model shall not apply if the capacity supply plan for the same working timetable period has been published. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 17(2a)				
G 223a				<u>2a. The infrastructure manager shall have the right, within reasonable limits, to propose a capacity model that differs from the input received in the consultation of applicants. The infrastructure manager shall</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>define the applicable limits in its network statement. ENIM shall provide guidelines on the definition of such limits and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 17(2b)				
G 223b				<u>2b. Where the infrastructure manager cannot prepare a capacity model within the limits referred to in paragraph 2a, it shall partition capacity on that element of infrastructure in accordance with Article 25.</u> <u>Where relevant, infrastructure managers shall coordinate in accordance with Article 14 to ensure that the outcomes of capacity partitioning are consistent and ensure seamless multi-network services.</u> Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 17(3)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
224	3. Infrastructure managers shall document and, where relevant, justify any divergence between the capacity model and the capacity strategy concerning the same working timetable period. Where needed, the capacity strategy shall be revised in the light of developments since the adoption or the latest update of the capacity strategy.	3. Infrastructure managers shall document and, where relevant, justify any divergence between the capacity model and the capacity strategy concerning the same working timetable period. ~~Where needed,~~ The capacity strategy ~~shall be revised in the light of developments since the adoption or the latest update of~~ <u>does not have to be updated in case</u> the capacity ~~strategy model~~ <u>was already published for the same timetable period.</u>	3. Infrastructure managers shall ~~document and~~ , where relevant, document and justify any substantial divergence between the capacity model and the capacity strategy concerning the same working timetable period. ~~Where needed,~~ the capacity strategy shall be revised ~~in the light of developments since the adoption or the latest update of the capacity strategy.~~	3. Infrastructure managers shall <u>document and</u> , where relevant, ~~document and~~ justify any substantial divergence between the capacity model and the capacity strategy concerning the same working timetable period. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 17(4)				
225	4. Infrastructure managers shall consult applicants on the capacity model in accordance with Article 13 and coordinate capacities strategies with other infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 14.	4. Infrastructure managers shall consult applicants <u>and the regulatory body</u> on the capacity model in accordance with Article 13 and coordinate capacities strategies with other infrastructure managers <u>concerned</u> in accordance with Article 14.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 17(4a)				
225a		<u>4a. The infrastructure manager shall submit the capacity model to the regulatory body for scrutiny two months before the publication. The regulatory body may take a</u>		<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 23

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>decision no later than one month before publication, requiring the infrastructure manager to amend the capacity model before publication. With a view to ensure the cross-border consistency of capacity models, the decision of the regulatory body shall take into account, where relevant, any opinion or recommendation by ENIM, ERA or by other regulatory bodies.</u>		
Article 18				
G 226	Article 18 Capacity supply plan	Article 18 Capacity supply plan	Article 18 Capacity supply plan	Article 18 Capacity supply plan
Article 18(1), first subparagraph				
G 227	1. The infrastructure manager shall establish a capacity supply plan with a view to providing comprehensive information about:	1. The infrastructure manager shall establish a capacity supply plan with a view to providing comprehensive information about:	1. The infrastructure manager shall establish a capacity supply plan with a view to providing comprehensive information about:	1. The infrastructure manager shall establish a capacity supply plan <u>that refines the capacity model on the basis of the consultation of applicants referred to in Article 13, including in the form of capacity needs announcement referred to in Article 12(4)(c), and of the coordination between infrastructure managers referred to in Article 14.</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>In accordance with the requirements laid down in sections 1 and 2 of Annex I, the capacity supply plan shall provide</u> ~~with a view to providing~~ comprehensive information about: Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 18(1), first subparagraph, point (a)			
G 228	(a) infrastructure capacity available for allocation to applicants;	(a) infrastructure capacity available for allocation to applicants <u>in the working timetable and safeguarded for later requests according to Article 33, including service facilities</u> ;	(a) infrastructure capacity available for allocation to applicants;	(a) infrastructure capacity available for allocation to applicants; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 18(1), first subparagraph, point (b)			
G 229	(b) infrastructure capacity not available for allocation.	(b) infrastructure capacity not available for allocation.	(b) infrastructure capacity not available for allocation.	(b) infrastructure capacity not available for allocation.
	Article 18(1), second subparagraph			
G 230	The capacity supply plan shall provide the basis for capacity allocation.	The capacity supply plan shall provide the basis for capacity allocation.	The capacity supply plan shall provide the basis for capacity allocation.	The capacity supply plan shall provide the basis for capacity allocation.
	Article 18(1), second subparagraph a			
G 230a			The capacity supply plan shall contain the information listed in section 1 of Annex I.	The capacity supply plan shall contain the information listed in section 1 of Annex I. Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
	Article 18(1a)			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
230b			1a. Infrastructure managers shall prepare the capacity supply plan in accordance with the results of the strategic capacity planning process referred to in Articles 11 to 17 and following the schedule set out in section 2 of Annex I.	1a. Infrastructure managers shall prepare the capacity supply plan in accordance with the results of the strategic capacity planning process referred to in Articles 11 to 17 and following the schedule set out in section 2 of Annex I. Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
Article 18(2)				
231	2. Infrastructure managers shall publish the capacity supply plan for each working timetable period at the latest by the deadline set out in section 2 of Annex I and shall continuously update it until the end of the working timetable period, to which that plan refers. The capacity supply plan shall be provided for each individual day of the working timetable period concerned.	2. Infrastructure managers shall publish the capacity supply plan for each working timetable period at the latest by the deadline set out in section 2 of Annex I and shall continuously update it until the end of the working timetable period, to which that plan refers. The capacity supply plan shall be provided for each individual day of the working timetable period concerned.	2. Infrastructure managers shall publish the capacity supply plan for each working timetable period at the latest by the deadline set out in section 2 of Annex I and shall continuously update it until the end of the working timetable period, to which that plan refers. The capacity supply plan shall be provided for each individual day of the working timetable period concerned.	2. Infrastructure managers shall publish the capacity supply plan for each working timetable period at the latest by the deadline set out in section 2 of Annex I and shall continuously update it until the end of the working timetable period, to which that plan refers. The capacity supply plan shall be provided for each individual day of the working timetable period concerned.
Article 18(3), first subparagraph				
232	3. Infrastructure managers shall prepare the capacity supply plan on the basis of the results of the strategic capacity planning process referred to in Articles 11 to 17 and in sections 1 and 2 of Annex I.	3. Infrastructure managers shall prepare the capacity supply plan on the basis of the results of the strategic capacity planning process referred to in Articles 11 to 17 and in sections 1 and 2 of Annex I.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 18(3), second subparagraph				
233	Infrastructure managers shall document and, where relevant, justify any divergence between the capacity supply plan and the capacity model concerning the same working timetable period.	Infrastructure managers shall document and, where relevant, justify any divergence between the capacity supply plan and the capacity model concerning the same working timetable period.	3. Infrastructure managers shall ~~document and~~ , where relevant, document and justify any substantial divergence between the capacity supply plan and the capacity model concerning the same working timetable period.	Infrastructure managers shall <u>document and</u> , where relevant, ~~document and~~ justify any substantial divergence between the capacity supply plan and the capacity model concerning the same working timetable period. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 18(4)				
234	4. In the capacity supply plan, infrastructure managers may indicate infrastructure capacity as pre-planned. Pre-planned capacity means capacity for which the infrastructure manager defines characteristics and volumes of the capacity available for requests by applicants, sets out rules for the allocation of such capacity and defines the process through which such capacity can be requested, in accordance with Article 20. The specified characteristics, rules and allocation processes shall be taken into account when allocating pre-planned capacity.	4. In the capacity supply plan, infrastructure managers may indicate infrastructure capacity <u>and capacity in service facilities as referred to in article 29 (1)</u> as pre-planned. Pre-planned capacity means capacity for which the infrastructure manager defines characteristics and volumes of the capacity available for requests by applicants, sets out rules for the allocation of such capacity and defines the process through which such capacity can be requested, in accordance with Article 20. The specified characteristics, rules and allocation processes shall be taken into account when allocating pre-planned capacity.	4. In the capacity supply plan, infrastructure managers may indicate infrastructure capacity as pre-planned. Pre-planned capacity means capacity for which the infrastructure manager defines characteristics and volumes of the capacity available for requests by applicants, sets out rules for the allocation of such capacity and defines the process through which such capacity can be requested, in accordance with Article 20. The specified characteristics, rules and allocation processes shall be taken into account when allocating pre-planned capacity. In the framework of their strategic guidance under Article 11(3)(c), Member States may oblige	4. In the capacity supply plan, infrastructure managers may indicate infrastructure capacity as pre-planned. Pre-planned capacity means capacity for which the infrastructure manager defines characteristics and volumes of the capacity available for requests by applicants, sets out rules for the allocation of such capacity and defines the process through which such capacity can be requested, in accordance with Article 20. The specified characteristics, rules and allocation processes shall be taken into account when allocating pre-planned capacity. ~~In the framework of their strategic guidance under Article 11(3)(c), Member States may oblige~~

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			infrastructure managers to pre-plan infrastructure capacity on certain lines and nodes.	~~infrastructure managers to pre-plan infrastructure capacity on certain lines and nodes.~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 18(5)				
G 235	5. Pre-planned capacity shall be presented in the capacity supply plan in the form of capacity objects as referred to in Article 20, which specify the volume and characteristics of capacity and which are linked to allocation rules and processes through which such capacity is made available.	5. Pre-planned capacity shall be presented in the capacity supply plan in the form of capacity objects as referred to in Article 20, which specify the volume and characteristics of capacity and which are linked to allocation rules and processes through which such capacity is made available.	5. Pre-planned capacity shall be presented in the capacity supply plan in the form of capacity planning objects as referred to in Article 20, which specify the volume and characteristics of capacity and which are linked to allocation rules and processes through which such capacity is made available.	5. Pre-planned capacity shall be presented in the capacity supply plan in the form of capacity planning objects as referred to in Article 20, which specify the volume and characteristics of capacity and which are linked to allocation rules and processes through which such capacity is made available. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 18(5a), first subparagraph				
G 235a			5a. When pre-planning capacity, infrastructure managers shall take into account the criteria set out in Article 25(2a) points (a) to (f).	~~deleted~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 18(5a), second subparagraph				
G 235b			For the purposes of capacity pre-planning, infrastructure managers shall take into account the results of the consultation of applicants in accordance with	~~deleted~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			Article 13 and of the coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 14.	
Article 18(6), first subparagraph				
236	6. Subject to paragraph 7, the capacity supply plan shall comprise the following elements:	6. Subject to paragraph 7, the capacity supply plan shall comprise the following elements:	deleted	deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 21 Line 236 to 248 have been moved into the Annex. Commission checked and confirmed everything is covered.
Article 18(6), first subparagraph, point (a)				
237	(a) capacity available for allocation to applicants for the working timetable period to which the capacity supply plan refers:	(a) capacity available for allocation to applicants for the working timetable period to which the capacity supply plan refers:	deleted	deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
Article 18(6), first subparagraph, point (a)(i)				
238	(i) capacity, which has not been pre-planned by the infrastructure manager;	(i) capacity, which has not been pre-planned by the infrastructure manager;	deleted	deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
Article 18(6), first subparagraph, point (a)(ii)				
239	(ii) capacity, which has been pre-planned by the infrastructure manager.	(ii) capacity, which has been pre-planned by the infrastructure manager.	deleted	deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 21

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
<i>Article 18(6), first subparagraph, point (b)</i>				
240	(b) capacity which is not available for allocation to applicants;	(b) capacity which is not available for allocation to applicants;	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 21</i>
<i>Article 18(6), first subparagraph, point (b)(i)</i>				
241	(i) capacity reserved for infrastructure works with significant commercial and operational impact on applicants and railway undertakings as referred to in section 3 of Annex I;	(i) capacity reserved for infrastructure works with significant commercial and operational impact on applicants and railway undertakings as referred to in section 3 of Annex I;	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 21</i>
<i>Article 18(6), first subparagraph, point (b)(ii)</i>				
242	(ii) capacity reserved for regular time windows allowing to schedule infrastructure works with limited impacts at a later stage;	(ii) capacity reserved for regular time windows allowing to schedule infrastructure works with limited impacts at a later stage;	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 21</i>
<i>Article 18(6), first subparagraph, point (b)(iii)</i>				
243	(iii) capacity already allocated through framework agreements in accordance with Article 31 or through the multi-annual rolling planning process in accordance with Article 33;	(iii) capacity already allocated through framework agreements in accordance with Article 31 or through the multi-annual rolling planning process in accordance with Article 33;	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 21</i>
<i>Article 18(6), first subparagraph, point (b)(iv)</i>				
244	(iv) capacity reserved for purposes other than those set out in points (i), (ii) and (iii), which	(iv) capacity reserved for purposes other than those set out in points (i), (ii) and (iii), which	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 21</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	shall be clearly indicated by the infrastructure manager.	shall be clearly indicated by the infrastructure manager.		
<i>Article 18(6), second subparagraph</i>				
245	The capacity supply plan shall indicate the restrictions applicable for the use of specialised infrastructure referred to in Article 24.	The capacity supply plan shall indicate the restrictions applicable for the use of specialised infrastructure referred to in Article 24.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 21</i>
<i>Article 18(7), first subparagraph</i>				
246	7. Infrastructure managers shall include in the capacity supply plan the elements listed in paragraph 6, point (b) of for the rail infrastructure that they manage.	7. Infrastructure managers shall include in the capacity supply plan the elements listed in paragraph 6, point (b) of for the rail infrastructure that they manage.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 21</i>
<i>Article 18(7), second subparagraph</i>				
247	Infrastructure managers shall include in the capacity supply plan all elements listed in paragraph 6 for all lines and nodes included in the TEN-T core and extended core network as defined in [new TEN-T Regulation].	Infrastructure managers shall include in the capacity supply plan all elements listed in paragraph 6 ~~for all lines and nodes included in the TEN-T core and extended core network as defined in [new TEN-T Regulation]~~ <u>and ensure coherence with the capacity strategy.</u>	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 21</i>
<i>Article 18(7), third subparagraph</i>				
248	Infrastructure managers may include in the capacity supply plan the elements referred to in	Infrastructure managers may include in the capacity supply plan the elements referred to in	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 21</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	paragraph 6 for other lines and nodes of the network they manage.	paragraph 6 for other lines and nodes of the network they manage.		
Article 18(8)				
249	8. When pre-planning capacity in accordance with paragraph 6, point (a)(ii), infrastructure managers shall follow the principles set out in Article 8(4).	8. When pre-planning capacity in accordance with paragraph 6, point (a)(ii), infrastructure managers shall follow the principles set out in Article 8(4).	deleted	<u>8. The infrastructure manager shall have the right, within reasonable limits, to propose a capacity supply plan that differs from the input received in the consultation of applicants. The infrastructure manager shall define the applicable limits in its network statement. ENIM shall provide guidelines on the definition of such limits and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 18(8a)				
250	Infrastructure managers shall pre-plan capacity based on the strategic guidance by Member States in accordance with Article 11(3), the results of the consultation of applicants in accordance with Article 13 and the coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 14.	Infrastructure managers shall pre-plan capacity based on the strategic guidance by Member States in accordance with Article 11(3), the results of the consultation of applicants in accordance with Article 13 and the coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 14.	deleted	<u>8a. Where the infrastructure manager cannot prepare a capacity supply plan within the limits referred to in paragraph 8, it shall partition capacity on that element of infrastructure in accordance with Article 25. Where relevant, infrastructure managers shall coordinate in accordance with Article 14 to</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>ensure that the outcomes of capacity partitioning are consistent and ensure seamless multi-network services.</u> Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes
Article 18(9)				
251	9. The regulatory body shall analyse the capacity supply plan and may take a decision requiring the infrastructure manager to amend the capacity supply plan. The decision of the regulatory body shall take into account any opinion of or recommendation by ENIM, where such has been provided.	9. <u>The infrastructure manager shall submit the draft capacity supply plan to the regulatory body for scrutiny two months before the publication.</u> The regulatory body shall analyse ~~the capacity supply~~ <u>this</u> plan and may take a decision <u>no later than one month before publication,</u> requiring the infrastructure manager to amend the capacity supply plan. ~~The decision of the regulatory body shall take into account any opinion of or recommendation by ENIM, where such has been provided.~~	9. The regulatory body shall analyse the capacity supply plan and may take a decision within 30 days after the publication of the final capacity supply plan requiring the infrastructure manager to amend the capacity supply plan. The decision where the analysis has concluded that that plan does not comply with the provisions of this Regulation or of the regulatory body shall take into account any opinion of or recommendation by ENIM, where such has been provided. Directive 2012/34 or with the principles of transparency and non-discrimination.	9. <u>The infrastructure manager shall submit the draft capacity supply plan to the regulatory body for scrutiny, whereupon</u> the regulatory body shall analyse ~~the capacity supply~~ <u>this</u> plan and may take a decision ~~within 30 days after the publication of the final capacity supply plan~~ requiring the infrastructure manager to amend the capacity supply plan where the analysis has concluded that that plan does not comply with the provisions of this Regulation ~~or of the, of~~ Directive 2012/34, or with the principles of transparency and non-discrimination. <u>The deadlines for this process are referred to in section 2 of Annex I.</u> <u>Where relevant, regulatory bodies shall coordinate with other regulatory bodies.</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 18(9a)				
G 251a		<u>9a. With a view to ensuring the cross-border consistency of capacity supply plans, the decision of the regulatory body shall take into account, where relevant, any opinion or recommendation by ENRRB, ERA or by other regulatory bodies.</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 18(10)				
G 252	10. ENIM shall adopt guidelines and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, setting out the following:	10. <u>By ... /12 months from the entry into force of this Regulation], and in consultation with ERP,</u> ENIM shall adopt guidelines and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, setting out the following:	10. ENIM shall adopt guidelines and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, setting out the following:	10. ENIM shall ~~adopt~~ develop guidelines and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, setting out the following: Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 18(10), point (a)				
G 253	(a) the means for publication of the capacity supply plan, including digital services, tools, functions and interfaces;	(a) the means for publication of the capacity supply plan, including digital services, tools, functions and interfaces;	(a) the means for publication of the capacity supply plan, including digital services, tools, functions and interfaces;	(a) the means for publication of the capacity supply plan, including digital services, tools, functions and interfaces;
Article 18(10), point (b)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	254	(b) the process for the consultation of applicants on the capacity supply plan.	(b) the process for the consultation of applicants on the capacity supply plan.	(b) the process for the consultation of applicants on the capacity supply plan.	G
Article 18(10a)					
G	254a	<u>10a. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to set out common principles, procedures and methodologies for the guidelines referred to in paragraph 10 of this Article and to amend paragraph 10 of this Article. Those delegated acts shall be adopted by ... [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]. They shall be included in the European framework for capacity management.</u>		deleted Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 30 (14/10) The guidelines in (10) are an element of the FW on capacity mgt and therefor covered under Art 70a/b	G
Article 19					
	255	Article 19 Contingency planning	Article 19 Contingency planning	Article 19 Contingency planning	
Article 19(1), first subparagraph					
G	256	1. Infrastructure managers shall put in place and implement a continuous process of contingency planning to prepare for disruptions of network operations and for other crisis situations affecting rail traffic.	1. Infrastructure managers shall put in place and implement a continuous process of contingency planning to prepare for disruptions of network operations and for other crisis situations affecting rail traffic.	1. Infrastructure managers shall put in place and implement a continuous process of contingency planning to prepare for disruptions of network operations and for other crisis situations affecting rail traffic.	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 19(1), second subparagraph				
257	Contingency planning shall provide the basis for traffic management, disruption management and crisis management in accordance with Article 42, with a view to enabling a fast reaction in such situations and to minimize their impact on rail traffic.	Contingency planning shall provide the basis for traffic management, disruption management and crisis management in accordance with Article 42, with a view to enabling a fast reaction in such situations and to minimize their impact on rail traffic.	Contingency planning shall provide the basis for traffic management, disruption management and crisis management in accordance with Article 42, with a view to enabling a fast reaction in such situations and to minimize their impact on rail traffic.	Contingency planning shall provide the basis for traffic management, disruption management and crisis management in accordance with Article 42, with a view to enabling a fast reaction in such situations and to minimize their impact on rail traffic.
Article 19(1), third subparagraph				
258	Infrastructure managers shall document the results of contingency planning in a contingency plan.	Infrastructure managers shall document the results of contingency planning in a contingency plan.	Infrastructure managers shall document the results of contingency planning in a contingency plan.	Infrastructure managers shall document the results of contingency planning in a contingency plan.
Article 19(2)				
259	2. Contingency planning shall involve in particular:	2. Contingency planning shall involve in particular:	2. Contingency planning shall involve in particular:	2. Contingency planning shall involve in particular:
Article 19(2), point (a)				
260	(a) the designation of alternative routes allowing to re-route traffic in the event of non-availability of the lines included in the core and extended core TEN-T network as set out in Article 6 of and Annex I to [new TEN-T Regulation];	(a) the designation of alternative routes allowing to re-route traffic in the event of non-availability of ~~the lines included in the core and extended core TEN-T network as set out in Article 6 of and Annex I to [new TEN-T Regulation]~~ <u>a line</u> ;	(a) the designation of alternative ~~routes~~ railway lines , where such alternative railway lines exist , allowing to re-route traffic in the event of non-availability of the railway lines included in the core and extended core TEN-T network as set out in ~~Article 6 of and Annex I to [new TEN-T Regulation]~~ , and of the	(a) the designation of alternative ~~railway lines~~ <u>routes</u> , where such ~~alternative railway lines~~ <u>routes</u> exist <u>including on other networks</u> , allowing to re-route traffic in the event of non-availability of ~~the railway lines~~ <u>elements of infrastructure</u> included in the core and extended core TEN-T network, and of ~~the~~

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			other railway lines and nodes covered by the strategic capacity planning documents;	~~other railway lines~~ any sections and nodes covered by the strategic capacity planning documents; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 19(2), point (b)				
261	(b) an indicative planning of the infrastructure capacity available on the alternative routes designated in accordance with point (a) providing transparency about infrastructure capacity available on such lines, which can be utilised in the case of incidents and, in particular, network disruptions in accordance with Article 46;	(b) an indicative planning of the infrastructure capacity available on the alternative routes designated in accordance with point (a) providing transparency about infrastructure capacity available on such lines, which can be utilised in the case of incidents and, in particular, network disruptions in accordance with Article 46;	(b) an indicative planning of the infrastructure capacity available on the alternative ~~routes~~ railway lines designated in accordance with point (a), where such alternative railway lines exist , providing transparency about infrastructure capacity available on such lines and technical characteristics , which can be utilised in the case of incidents and, in particular, network disruptions in accordance with Article 46;	(b) an indicative planning of the infrastructure capacity available on the alternative ~~railway lines~~ routes designated in accordance with point (a), ~~where such alternative railway lines exist~~ , providing transparency about infrastructure capacity available on such ~~lines and technical characteristics~~ routes, which can be utilised in the case of incidents and, in particular, network disruptions in accordance with Article 46; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 19(2), point (c)				
262	(c) the definition of rules and procedures for traffic and crisis management, including on the sharing of information between infrastructure managers, other operational stakeholders and other stakeholders such as public authorities in charge of rail or	(c) the definition of rules and procedures for traffic and crisis management, including on the sharing of information between infrastructure managers, other operational stakeholders and other stakeholders such as public authorities in charge of rail or	(c) the definition of rules and procedures for traffic and crisis management, including on the sharing of information between infrastructure managers, other operational stakeholders and other stakeholders, such as public authorities in charge of rail or	(c) the definition of rules and procedures for traffic and crisis management, including on the sharing of information between infrastructure managers, other operational stakeholders and other stakeholders, such as public authorities in charge of rail or

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	security and emergency response, as well as criteria for the activation of these procedures;	security and emergency response, as well as criteria for the activation of these procedures;	security, and emergency response, as well as criteria for the activation of these procedures;	security, and emergency response, as well as criteria for the activation of these procedures;
	Article 19(2), point (d)			
263	(d) the identification and listing of bodies to be informed in the event of serious incidents or serious disruptions to train movements;	(d) the identification and listing of bodies to be informed in the event of serious incidents or serious disruptions to train movements;	(d) the identification and listing of bodies to be informed in the event of serious incidents or serious disruptions to train movements;	(d) the identification and listing of bodies to be informed in the event of serious incidents or serious disruptions to train movements;
	Article 19(2), point (e)			
264	(e) any other preparations necessary to perform disruption management and crisis management in accordance with Article 42 and with the European framework for the cross-border coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	(e) any other preparations necessary to perform disruption management and crisis management in accordance with Article 42 and with the European framework for the cross-border coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	(e) any other preparations necessary to perform disruption management and crisis management in accordance with Article 42 and with the European framework for the cross-border coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	(e) any other preparations necessary to perform disruption management and crisis management in accordance with Article 42 and with the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 19(3)			
265	3. Infrastructure managers shall ensure the consistency of contingency planning with strategic capacity planning, in particular the capacity strategy, the capacity model, the capacity supply plan and with the planning	3. Infrastructure managers shall ensure the consistency of contingency planning with strategic capacity planning, in particular the capacity strategy, the capacity model, the capacity supply plan and with the planning	3. Infrastructure managers shall ensure the consistency of contingency planning with strategic capacity planning, in particular the capacity strategy, the capacity model, the capacity supply plan and with the planning	3. Infrastructure managers shall ensure the consistency of contingency planning with strategic capacity planning, in particular the capacity strategy, the capacity model, the capacity supply plan and with the planning

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	for infrastructure works as referred to in Article 10.	for infrastructure works as referred to in Article 10.	for infrastructure works as referred to in Article 10.	for infrastructure works as referred to in Article 10.
Article 19(4)				
266	4. The results of contingency planning, in particular the designation of alternative lines in accordance with paragraph 2, point (a) and the indicative capacity planning on alternative lines in accordance with paragraph 2, point (b) shall be included in the capacity model and in the capacity supply plan.	4. The results of contingency planning, in particular the designation of alternative lines in accordance with paragraph 2, point (a) and the indicative capacity planning on alternative lines in accordance with paragraph 2, point (b) shall be included in the capacity model and in the capacity supply plan.	4. The results of contingency planning, in particular the designation of alternative lines, where such alternative railway lines exist , in accordance with paragraph 2, point (a) and the indicative capacity planning on alternative lines, where such alternative railway lines exist , in accordance with paragraph 2, point (b) shall be included in the capacity model and in the capacity supply plan.	4. The results of contingency planning, in particular the designation of alternative lines, ~~where such alternative railway lines exist~~ , in accordance with paragraph 2, point (a) and the indicative capacity planning on alternative lines, ~~where such alternative railway lines exist~~ , in accordance with paragraph 2, point (b) shall be included in the capacity model and in the capacity supply plan. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 19(5)				
267	5. The Commission shall adopt implementing acts setting out the details of the procedure and criteria to be followed for the application of paragraph 2, in particular for situations which have a potential impact on cross-border traffic. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).	5. The Commission shall <u>be empowered to</u> adopt ~~implementing acts setting~~ <u>delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to set</u> out the details of the procedure and criteria to be followed for the application of paragraph 2 <u>of this Article</u> , in particular for situations which have a potential impact on cross-border traffic. ~~Those implementing~~ <u>These delegated</u> acts shall be adopted ~~in accordance~~	deleted	<u>5. The Commission shall adopt implementing acts setting out the details of the procedure and criteria to be followed for the application of paragraph 2 but only for situations which have a potential impact on cross-border traffic. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		~~with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3) by ...~~ <u>[24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation].</u>		Empowerments (22/10) EP is double checking
Article 20				
268	Article 20 Criteria and procedures for the allocation of pre-planned capacity and for the definition of capacity planning objects	Article 20 Criteria and procedures for the allocation of pre-planned capacity and for the definition of capacity planning objects	Article 20 Criteria and procedures for the allocation of pre-planned capacity and for the definition of capacity planning objects	Article 20 Criteria and procedures for the allocation of pre-planned capacity and for the definition of capacity planning objects
Article 20(1)				
269	1. Infrastructure managers shall allocate pre-planned capacity included in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 via transparent and non-discriminatory criteria and procedures.	1. Infrastructure managers shall allocate pre-planned capacity included in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 via transparent and non-discriminatory criteria and procedures.	1. Infrastructure managers shall allocate pre-planned capacity included in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 via equitable , transparent and non-discriminatory criteria and procedures.	1. Infrastructure managers shall allocate pre-planned capacity included in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 via ~~equitable~~ , transparent and non-discriminatory criteria and procedures. <u>Infrastructure managers shall include these criteria and procedures in the network statement taking into account the common framework referred to in paragraph 3.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 20(1), second subparagraph				
269a			Infrastructure managers may group capacity planning objects,	<u>1a.</u> Infrastructure managers may group capacity planning

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			such as pre-planned train paths when pre-planning capacity to provide a specific timetable design, in accordance with the strategic guidance referred to in Article 11(3) when provided and taking into account market needs expressed by applicants.	objects, such as pre-planned train paths when pre-planning capacity to provide a specific timetable design, in accordance with the strategic guidance referred to in Article ~~11(3)~~ 3a when provided and taking into account market needs expressed by applicants. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 20(2)				
270	2. Capacity planning objects shall define the characteristics and properties of different types of pre-planned capacity, including capacity available for requests by applicants, capacity reserved for infrastructure works and capacity already allocated. Those characteristics and properties shall include all aspects relevant for specific types of pre-planned capacity, such as route, timing, guaranteed or required minimum speed, the technical compatibility between rolling stock with infrastructure, parameters and number of slots included.	2. Capacity planning objects shall define the characteristics and properties of different types of pre-planned capacity, including capacity available for requests by applicants, capacity reserved for infrastructure works and capacity already allocated. Those characteristics and properties shall include all aspects relevant for specific types of pre-planned capacity, such as route, timing, guaranteed or required minimum speed, the technical compatibility between rolling stock with infrastructure, parameters and number of ~~slots~~ train runs included.	2. Capacity planning objects shall define the characteristics and properties of different types of pre-planned capacity, including capacity available for requests by applicants, capacity reserved for infrastructure works and capacity already allocated. Those characteristics and properties shall include all aspects relevant for specific types of pre-planned capacity, such as route, timing, guaranteed or required minimum speed, ~~the maximum length,~~ technical compatibility between rolling stock with infrastructure, parameters and number of slots included for both freight and passenger services.	2. Capacity planning objects shall define the characteristics and properties of different types of pre-planned capacity, including capacity available for requests by applicants, capacity reserved for infrastructure works and capacity already allocated. Those characteristics and properties shall include all aspects relevant for specific types of pre-planned capacity, such as route, timing, guaranteed or required minimum speed, maximum length, ~~the~~ technical parameters and number of ~~slots~~ paths included for both freight and passenger services. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 20(3)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
271	3. ENIM shall develop a common framework for the criteria and procedures referred to in paragraph 1 and include it in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. That common framework shall balance the benefits of reserving capacity for specific types of rail services or allocation processes with the need to ensuring flexibility to accommodate market needs in capacity allocation. For that purpose, the common framework shall provide for the possibility of taking into account requests for capacity rights not consistent with pre-planned capacity in the context of the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36.	3. <u>By .. [12 months from the entry into force of this Regulation] and in consultation with ERP,</u> ENIM shall develop a common framework for the criteria and procedures referred to in paragraph 1 <u>of this Article</u> and include it in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. That common framework shall balance the benefits of reserving capacity for specific types of rail services or allocation processes with the need to ensuring flexibility to accommodate market needs in capacity allocation. For that purpose, the common framework shall provide for the possibility of taking into account requests for capacity rights not consistent with pre-planned capacity in the context of the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36.	3. ENIM shall develop a common framework for the criteria and procedures referred to in paragraph 1 and include it in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. That common framework shall balance the benefits of reserving capacity for specific types of rail services or allocation processes with the need to ensuring flexibility to accommodate market needs in capacity allocation. For that purpose, the common framework shall provide for the possibility of taking into account requests for capacity rights not consistent with pre-planned capacity in the context of the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36. Infrastructure managers shall take utmost account of that common framework.	3. ENIM shall develop a common framework for the criteria and procedures referred to in paragraph 1 and include it in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. That common framework shall balance the benefits of reserving capacity for specific types of rail services or allocation processes with the need to ensuring flexibility to accommodate market needs in capacity allocation. For that purpose, the common framework shall provide for the possibility of ~~taking into account~~<u>accepting</u> requests for capacity rights not consistent with ~~pre-planned~~<u>the</u> capacity ~~in the context of the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36. Infrastructure managers shall take utmost account of that common~~<u>supply plan and the need to adjust the capacity supply plan accordingly.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 20(4)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
272	4. ENIM shall develop and adopt formal specifications for capacity planning objects in human-readable and in machine-readable format. ENIM shall include these specifications in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. Based on its experience in applying this Article, ENIM shall provide information to the Commission on possible amendments to the technical specifications on interoperability as provided for by Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the implementing acts adopted under that Directive, in accordance with Article 62(3) of this Regulation.	4. ENIM shall develop and adopt formal specifications for capacity planning objects in human-readable and in machine-readable format. ENIM shall include these specifications in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6 <u>of this Regulation</u> . Based on its experience in applying this Article, ENIM, <u>following consultation with ERP</u> shall provide information to the Commission on possible amendments to the technical specifications on interoperability as provided for by Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the implementing acts adopted under that Directive, in accordance with Article 62(3) of this Regulation.	4. ENIM shall develop and adopt ~~formal~~ specifications for capacity planning objects referred to in paragraph 2 in human-readable and in machine-readable format. ENIM shall include these specifications in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. ~~Based on its experience in applying this Article,~~ ENIM Infrastructure managers shall provide information to the Commission on possible amendments to the technical take utmost account of those specifications ~~on interoperability as provided for by Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the implementing acts adopted under that Directive,~~ in accordance with Article 62(3) of this Regulation.	4. ENIM shall develop ~~and adopt~~ specifications for capacity planning objects ~~referred to in paragraph 2~~ in human-readable and in machine-readable format. ENIM shall include these specifications in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. ~~Infrastructure managers~~ <u>Based on its experience in applying this Article, ENIM</u> shall ~~take utmost account of those~~ <u>provide information to the Commission on possible amendments to the technical specifications on interoperability as provided for by Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the implementing acts adopted under that Directive, in accordance with Article 62(3) of this Regulation.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 20(4a)				
272a		<u>4a. The Commission shall be empowered to, adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to set out the details of the procedure and criteria to be followed for the application of paragraph 1 of this Article, in</u>		deleted Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 30

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>particular for situations which have a potential impact on cross-border traffic, and to amend paragraph 1 of this Article. Those delegated acts shall be adopted by ... [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation].</u>		(14/10) As the common framework in (3) and the specification in (4) are elements of the FW, it will be covered under Art 70a/b
Article 21				
273	Article 21 Highly utilised and congested infrastructure	Article 21 Highly utilised and congested infrastructure	Article 21 Highly utilised and congested infrastructure	Article 21 Highly utilised and congested infrastructure
Article 21, 1.				
274	1. Infrastructure managers shall declare without delay an element of infrastructure either to be highly utilised or to be congested if at least one of the following conditions is met:	1. Infrastructure managers shall declare without delay an element of infrastructure either to be highly utilised or to be congested if at least one of the following conditions is met:	1. Infrastructure managers shall declare without delay ~~an element~~ sections or nodes of infrastructure either ~~to be as being~~ highly utilised or ~~to be~~ congested if at least one of the following conditions is met:	1. Infrastructure managers shall declare without delay ~~sections or nodes~~ an element of infrastructure either as being highly utilised or congested if at least one of the following conditions is met: Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 21, 1., point (a)				
275	(a) the capacity utilisation has exceeded the threshold values for highly utilised or for congested infrastructure during the reference periods set out in point 1 of Annex II in the previous or the current working timetable period;	(a) the capacity utilisation has exceeded the threshold values for highly utilised or for congested infrastructure during the reference periods set out in point 1 of Annex II in the previous or the current working timetable period;	(a) ~~the capacity utilisation of~~ rail infrastructure capacity has exceeded the threshold values for highly utilised or for congested infrastructure during the reference periods set out in ~~point 1 of Annex~~	(a) the utilisation of rail infrastructure capacity has exceeded the threshold values for highly utilised or for congested infrastructure during the reference periods set out in Annex II in the

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			II in the previous or the current working timetable period;	previous or the current working timetable period; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 21, 1., point (b)				
276	(b) the results of strategic capacity planning carried out in accordance with this section indicate that the capacity needs expressed during the strategic capacity planning phases exceed the capacity available for allocation during a given working timetable period;	(b) the results of strategic capacity planning carried out in accordance with this section indicate that the capacity needs expressed during the strategic capacity planning phases exceed the capacity available for allocation during a given working timetable period;	(b) the results of strategic capacity planning carried out in accordance with this section indicate that the capacity needs expressed during the strategic capacity planning phases exceed the capacity available for allocation during a given working timetable period; including due to infrastructure works with the duration of more than 12 months and resulting in capacity restrictions scheduled in accordance with Article 10.	(b) ~~the results of~~ <u>infrastructure managers are required to partition capacity in</u> strategic capacity planning ~~carried out in accordance with this section indicate that the capacity needs expressed during the strategic capacity planning phases exceed the capacity available for allocation during a given working timetable period, including due to infrastructure works with the duration of more than 12 months and resulting in capacity restrictions scheduled~~ in accordance with Article ~~10.~~ <u>17(2)b or Article 18(8)a;</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 21, 1., point (c)				
277	(c) infrastructure works resulting in capacity restrictions scheduled in accordance with Article 10 result in capacity becoming scarce.	(c) infrastructure works resulting in capacity restrictions scheduled in accordance with Article 10 result in capacity becoming scarce.	deleted	<u>(c) major infrastructure works resulting in capacity restrictions scheduled in accordance with Article 10 result in capacity becoming highly utilised or congested.</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 21(1), second subparagraph				
G	277a		Infrastructure managers shall assess the utilisation of rail infrastructure capacity on the basis of objective, transparent and appropriate procedures and methods.	Infrastructure managers shall assess the utilisation of rail infrastructure capacity on the basis of objective, transparent and appropriate procedures and methods. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 21(1), third subparagraph				
R	277b		Point (a) of the first subparagraph of this paragraph shall not apply to infrastructure of the Channel Fixed Link, as defined in the Treaty concerning the construction and operation by private concessionaires of a channel fixed link, between France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, signed at Canterbury on 12 February 1986, and in the Concession Agreement between the Secretary of State for Transport, Le Ministre de l'Urbanisme du Logement et des Transports, The Channel Tunnel Group Limited and France-Manche S.A.	TRILOGUE material

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement	
Article 21(1a)									
G	277c					1a. ENIM shall develop a common method on the utilisation of rail infrastructure capacity. This method shall be included in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. Infrastructure managers shall take utmost account of this method.		1a. ENIM shall develop a common method on the utilisation of rail infrastructure capacity. This method shall be included in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. ~~Infrastructure managers shall take utmost account of this method.~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 21(2)									
G	278	2. The declaration referred to in paragraph 1 shall specify the working timetable period and the element of infrastructure and the specific time periods to which it relates.		2. The declaration referred to in paragraph 1 shall specify the working timetable period and the element of infrastructure and the specific time periods to which it relates.		2. The declaration referred to in paragraph 1 shall specify the working timetable period and the element of infrastructure and the specific time periods to which it relates.		2. The declaration referred to in paragraph 1 shall specify the working timetable period and the element of infrastructure and the specific time periods to which it relates. Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes	G
Article 21(3)									
G	279	3. Conflicting individual capacity requests submitted for allocation during the working timetable shall not be a ground for declaring an element of infrastructure to be highly utilised or congested unless the conditions set in paragraph 1 are met.		3. Conflicting individual capacity requests submitted for allocation during the working timetable <u>period</u> shall not be a ground for declaring an element of infrastructure to be highly utilised or congested unless the conditions set in paragraph 1 are met.		3. Conflicting individual capacity requests submitted for allocation during the working timetable period shall not be a ground for declaring an element of infrastructure to be highly utilised or congested unless the conditions set in paragraph 1 are met.		3. Conflicting individual capacity requests submitted for allocation during the working timetable period shall not be a ground for declaring an element of infrastructure to be highly utilised or congested unless the conditions set in paragraph 1 are met.	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes
Article 21(4)				
280	4. Where infrastructure has been declared to be highly utilised or congested, the infrastructure manager shall carry out a capacity analysis in accordance with Article 22, unless a capacity-enhancement plan, as provided for in Article 23, is already being implemented.	4. Where infrastructure has been declared to be highly utilised or congested, the infrastructure manager shall carry out a capacity analysis in accordance with Article 22, unless a capacity-enhancement plan, as provided for in Article 23, is already being implemented.	4. Where infrastructure has been declared to be highly utilised or congested, the infrastructure manager shall carry out a capacity analysis in accordance with Article 22, unless a capacity-enhancement plan, as provided for in Article 23, has been carried out in the five years preceding the declaration of infrastructure as highly utilised or congested or is already being implemented.	4. Where infrastructure has been declared as highly utilised or congested, the infrastructure manager shall carry out a capacity analysis in accordance with Article 22, unless a capacity-enhancement plan, as provided for in Article 23 <u>and addressing all relevant factors</u> , has been carried out in the five years preceding the declaration of infrastructure as highly utilised or congested or is already being implemented. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 21(5)				
281	5. For elements of infrastructure declared highly utilised or congested, infrastructure managers shall reserve capacity in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18, taking into account the outcome of the capacity analysis referred to in Article 22.	5. For elements of infrastructure declared highly utilised or congested, infrastructure managers shall reserve capacity in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18, taking into account the outcome of the capacity analysis referred to in Article 22.	5. For elements of infrastructure declared highly utilised or congested, infrastructure managers shall reserve capacity in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18, taking into account the outcome of the capacity analysis referred to in Article 22.	5. For elements of infrastructure declared highly utilised or congested, infrastructure managers shall reserve capacity in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18, taking into account the outcome of the capacity analysis referred to in Article 22. Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes
Article 21(5), second subparagraph				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
281a			For sections or nodes of infrastructure declared congested, the infrastructure manager may lay down specific threshold quotas for the application of Article 27(6).	<i>deleted</i> <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 21(6)				
282	6. Where charges in accordance with Article 31(4) of Directive 2012/34/EU have not been levied or have not achieved a satisfactory result and the infrastructure has been declared to be congested, the infrastructure manager shall apply a procedure to partition or to allocate scarce infrastructure capacity on the basis of transparent and objective criteria. That procedure shall be implemented in accordance with Article 8 of this Regulation and shall be set out, together with the applicable criteria, in the network statement.	6. Where charges in accordance with Article 31(4) of Directive 2012/34/EU have not been levied or have not achieved a satisfactory result and the infrastructure has been declared to be congested, the infrastructure manager shall apply a procedure to partition or to allocate scarce infrastructure capacity on the basis of transparent and objective criteria. That procedure shall be implemented in accordance with Article 8 of this Regulation and shall be set out, together with the applicable criteria, in the network statement.	6. Where charges in accordance with Article 31(4) of Directive 2012/34/EU have not been levied or have not achieved a satisfactory result and the infrastructure has been declared to be congested, the infrastructure manager shall apply a procedure to partition or to allocate ~~scarce~~ infrastructure capacity on the basis of transparent and objective criteria. That procedure shall be implemented in accordance with Article ~~8~~ 25 of this Regulation and shall be set out, together with the applicable criteria, in the network statement.	<i>deleted</i> <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 21(7)				
283	7. Infrastructure managers shall indicate infrastructure declared highly utilised or congested in the register of	7. Infrastructure managers shall indicate infrastructure declared highly utilised or congested in the register of	7. ~~Infrastructure managers~~ Member States shall indicate ~~infrastructure~~ the nodes or sections declared as highly utilised or congested are	7. Member States shall ~~ensure that the nodes or sections~~ instruct infrastructure managers to indicate elements of infrastructure declared as highly

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	indicated in the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	utilised or congested ~~are indicated~~ in the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797. Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 21(8)				
284	8. The procedures to be followed and the criteria to be used where infrastructure is congested shall be set out in the network statement and shall respect any measures and criteria adopted in accordance with paragraph 7.	8. The procedures to be followed and the criteria to be used where infrastructure is congested shall be set out in the network statement and shall respect any measures and criteria adopted in accordance with paragraph ~~7~~ 6 .	<i>deleted</i>	<u>8. The procedures to be followed and the criteria to be used where infrastructure is congested shall be set out in the network statement and shall respect any measures and criteria adopted in accordance with paragraph 6.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 21(9)				
285	9. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend Annex II, to ensure an efficient management of capacity on highly utilised and congested infrastructure and reflect the operational concerns of infrastructure managers and applicants, taking into account the experience of ENIM, infrastructure managers, applicants	9. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend Annex II, to ensure an efficient management of capacity on highly utilised and congested infrastructure and reflect the operational concerns of infrastructure managers and applicants, taking into account the experience of ENIM, infrastructure managers, applicants	9. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend the thresholds for capacity utilisation and the reference periods set out in Annex II where those elements have proven ineffective or have led to major difficulties of implementation, in order to ensure an efficient management of capacity on highly utilised and	9. The Commission ~~shall~~ be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend the thresholds for capacity utilisation and the reference periods set out in Annex II where those elements have proven ineffective or have led to major difficulties of implementation, <u>or where the sector has developed new and widely accepted best practices</u> , in

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	and other operational stakeholders, regulatory bodies and the ENRB in implementing this Article.	and other operational stakeholders, regulatory bodies and the ~~ENRB~~ ENRRB in implementing this Article.	congested infrastructure and reflect the operational concerns of infrastructure managers and applicants, taking into account the experience of ENIM, infrastructure managers, applicants and other operational stakeholders, regulatory bodies and the ~~ENRB~~ ENRRB in implementing this Article.	order to ensure an efficient management of capacity on highly utilised and congested infrastructure and reflect the operational concerns of infrastructure managers and applicants, taking into account the experience of ENIM, infrastructure managers, applicants and other operational stakeholders, regulatory bodies and the ENRRB in implementing this Article. Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
Article 21(10)				
G 285a			10. The Commission may adopt implementing acts, setting out the key principles of the method to be developed by ENIM under paragraph 1a on the utilisation of rail infrastructure capacity, in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).	<i>deleted</i> Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (14/10) The method in (1a) are an element of the FW on capacity mgt and therefor covered under Art 70a/b
Article 22				
G 286	Article 22 Capacity analysis in case of highly utilised or congested infrastructure	Article 22 Capacity analysis in case of highly utilised or congested infrastructure	Article 22 Capacity analysis in case of highly utilised or congested infrastructure	Article 22 Capacity analysis in case of highly utilised or congested infrastructure

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 22(1), first subparagraph				
287	1. The infrastructure manager shall carry out a capacity analysis within six months of the declaration of infrastructure as highly utilised or congested.	1. The infrastructure manager shall carry out a capacity analysis within six months of the declaration of infrastructure as highly utilised or congested.	1. The infrastructure manager shall carry out a capacity analysis within six months of the declaration of infrastructure as highly utilised or congested, unless a capacity-enhancement plan has been carried out in the five years preceding the declaration of infrastructure as highly utilised or congested or is already being implemented.	1. The infrastructure manager shall carry out a capacity analysis within six months of the declaration of infrastructure as highly utilised or congested, unless a capacity-enhancement plan, <u>addressing all relevant factors</u> , has been carried out in the five years preceding the declaration of infrastructure as highly utilised or congested or is already being implemented. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 22(1), second subparagraph				
288	The capacity analysis shall determine the constraints on infrastructure capacity which prevent the inclusion of capacity needs expressed by applicants in the capacity model, or in the capacity supply plan, or which prevent requests for infrastructure capacity from being adequately met.	The capacity analysis shall determine the constraints on infrastructure capacity which prevent the inclusion of capacity needs expressed by applicants in the capacity model, or in the capacity supply plan, or which prevent requests for infrastructure capacity from being adequately met.	The capacity analysis shall determine the constraints on infrastructure capacity which prevent the inclusion of capacity needs expressed by applicants in the capacity model, or in the capacity supply plan, or which prevent requests for infrastructure capacity from being adequately met.	The capacity analysis shall determine the constraints on infrastructure capacity which prevent the inclusion of capacity needs expressed by applicants in the capacity model, or in the capacity supply plan, or which prevent requests for infrastructure capacity from being adequately met.
Article 22(1), third subparagraph				
289	The capacity analysis shall also include a first indicative set of possible measures to be taken in	The capacity analysis shall also include a first indicative set of possible measures to be taken in	The capacity analysis shall also include a first indicative set of possible measures to be taken in	The capacity analysis shall also include a first indicative set of possible measures to be taken in

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	the short, medium and long term to ease the congestion and increase the availability of capacity.	the short, medium and long term to ease the congestion and increase the availability of capacity. <u>The capacity analysis shall differentiate between measures for highly utilised infrastructure and for congested infrastructure.</u>	the short, medium and long term to ease the congestion and increase the availability of capacity.	the short, medium and long term to ease the congestion and increase the availability of capacity. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 22(2)				
290	2. The capacity analysis shall consider the characteristics of infrastructure in terms of capacity, capabilities and interoperability, operating procedures, the nature of the different services operating and the effect of all those factors on infrastructure capacity. Measures to be considered shall include in particular rerouting services, retiming services, speed alterations, harmonisation of operating procedures and infrastructure improvements.	2. The capacity analysis shall consider the characteristics of infrastructure in terms of capacity, capabilities and interoperability, operating procedures, the nature of the different services operating and the effect of all those factors on infrastructure capacity. Measures to be considered shall include in particular rerouting services, <u>including via different networks if applicable</u> , retiming services, speed alterations, harmonisation of operating procedures and infrastructure improvements.	2. The capacity analysis shall consider the characteristics of infrastructure in terms of capacity, capabilities and interoperability, operating procedures, the nature of the different services operating and the effect of all those factors on infrastructure capacity. Measures to be considered shall include in particular rerouting services, retiming services, speed alterations, harmonisation of operating procedures and infrastructure improvements.	2. The capacity analysis shall consider the characteristics of infrastructure in terms of capacity, capabilities and interoperability, operating procedures, the nature of the different services operating and the effect of all those factors on infrastructure capacity. Measures to be considered shall include in particular rerouting services, <u>including via different networks if applicable</u> , retiming services, speed alterations, harmonisation of operating procedures and infrastructure improvements. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 22(3), first subparagraph				
291	3. Infrastructure managers shall consult applicants on the draft capacity analysis in accordance with Article 7e of	3. Infrastructure managers shall consult applicants on the draft capacity analysis in accordance with Article 7e of	3. Infrastructure managers shall consult applicants on the draft capacity analysis in accordance with Article 7e of	3. Infrastructure managers shall consult applicants on the draft capacity analysis in accordance with Article 7e of

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	Directive 2012/34/EU and, if the section of the infrastructure concerned is part of a European Transport Corridor, in accordance with Article 54.	Directive 2012/34/EU and, if the section of the infrastructure concerned is part of a European Transport Corridor, in accordance with Article 54.	Directive 2012/34/EU and, if the section of the infrastructure concerned is part of a European Transport Corridor, in accordance with Article 54 of this Regulation.	Directive 2012/34/EU and, if the ~~section of the~~ <u>element of</u> infrastructure concerned is part of a European Transport Corridor, in accordance with Article 54 of this Regulation. Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 22(3), second subparagraph				
292	The infrastructure manager shall make public the result of the capacity analysis. ENIM shall ensure that these publications are easily available.	The infrastructure manager shall make public the result of the capacity analysis. ENIM shall ensure that these publications are easily available.	The infrastructure manager shall make public the result of the capacity analysis. ~~ENIM shall ensure that these publications are easily available.~~	The infrastructure manager shall make ~~public~~ the result of the capacity analysis <u>publicly available on its website. ENIM shall make the links available on its website.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 22(4), first subparagraph				
293	4. Infrastructure managers shall take into account the results of any capacity analysis carried out pursuant to this Article in strategic capacity planning, in particular in the capacity strategy, in the capacity model and in the capacity supply plan.	4. Infrastructure managers shall take into account the results of any capacity analysis carried out, <u>including in other networks concerned</u> , pursuant to this Article in strategic capacity planning, in particular in the capacity strategy, in the capacity model and in the capacity supply plan.	4. Infrastructure managers shall take into account, where relevant , the results of any capacity analysis carried out pursuant to this Article in strategic capacity planning, in particular in the capacity strategy, in the capacity model and in the capacity supply plan.	4. Infrastructure managers shall take into account, where relevant, the results of any capacity analysis carried out, <u>including in other networks concerned</u> , pursuant to this Article in strategic capacity planning, in particular in the capacity strategy, in the capacity model and in the capacity supply plan. Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 22(4), second subparagraph				
294	The results of the capacity analysis shall be submitted to Member States' authorities in charge of preparing the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU and to the European Coordinator in charge of the European Transport Corridor concerned.	The results of the capacity analysis shall be submitted to Member States' authorities in charge of preparing the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU and to the European Coordinator in charge of the European Transport Corridor concerned.	The results of the capacity analysis shall be submitted to Member States' authorities in charge of preparing the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU and to the European Coordinator in charge of the European Transport Corridor concerned.	The results of the capacity analysis shall be submitted to Member States' authorities in charge of preparing the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU and to the European Coordinator in charge of the European Transport Corridor concerned.
Article 23				
295	Article 23 Capacity-enhancement plan	Article 23 Capacity-enhancement plan	Article 23 Capacity-enhancement plan	Article 23 Capacity-enhancement plan
Article 23(1), first subparagraph				
296	1. Within six months of the completion of a capacity analysis in accordance with Article 22, the infrastructure manager shall establish a capacity-enhancement plan.	1. <u>For infrastructure declared to be congested in accordance with Article 21 and</u> within six months of the completion of a capacity analysis in accordance with Article 22, the infrastructure manager shall establish a capacity-enhancement plan.	1. Within six months of the completion of a capacity analysis in accordance with Article 22, the infrastructure manager shall establish a capacity-enhancement plan for sections or nodes declared congested.	1. Within six months of the completion of a capacity analysis in accordance with Article 22, the infrastructure manager shall establish a capacity-enhancement plan for ~~sections or nodes~~ <u>elements of infrastructure</u> declared congested, <u>in accordance with Article 21.</u> Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 23(1), second subparagraph				
297	The capacity-enhancement plan shall identify:	The capacity-enhancement plan shall identify:	The capacity-enhancement plan shall identify:	The capacity-enhancement plan shall identify:

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
Article 23(1), second subparagraph, point (a)					
G	298	(a) the reasons for the congestion;	(a) the reasons for the congestion;	(a) the reasons for the congestion;	G
Article 23(1), second subparagraph, point (b)					
G	299	(b) the likely future development of traffic;	(b) the likely future development of traffic;	(b) the likely future development of traffic;	G
Article 23(1), second subparagraph, point (c)					
G	300	(c) the constraints on infrastructure development;	(c) the constraints on infrastructure development;	(c) the constraints on infrastructure development;	G
Article 23(1), second subparagraph, point (d)					
G	301	(d) the options and costs for capacity enhancement, including likely changes to access charges.	(d) the options and costs for capacity enhancement, including <u>measures described in Article 22 (2) and</u> likely changes to access charges.	(d) the options and costs for capacity enhancement, including ~~organisational~~ measures <u>described in Article 22 (2)</u> and likely changes to access charges. Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 23(1), third subparagraph					
G	302	On the basis of a cost benefit analysis of the possible measures identified, the capacity-enhancement plan shall also determine the action to be taken to enhance infrastructure capacity, including a timetable for implementing the measures.	On the basis of a cost benefit analysis of the possible measures identified, the capacity-enhancement plan shall also determine the action to be taken to enhance infrastructure capacity, including a timetable for implementing the measures.	On the basis of a cost benefit analysis of the possible measures identified, the capacity-enhancement plan shall also determine the action to be taken to enhance infrastructure capacity, including a timetable for implementing the measures.	G
Article 23(2), first subparagraph					

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
303	2. The capacity-enhancement plan shall be established after consultation with users of the relevant congested infrastructure in accordance with Article 13.	2. The capacity-enhancement plan shall be established after consultation with users of the relevant congested infrastructure in accordance with Article 13.	2. The capacity-enhancement plan shall be established after consultation with users of the relevant congested infrastructure in accordance with Article 13.	2. The capacity-enhancement plan shall be established after consultation with users of the relevant congested infrastructure in accordance with Article 13.
Article 23(2), second subparagraph				
304	It may be subject to prior approval by the Member State.	It may be subject to prior approval by the Member State.	~~It may~~ A Member State may decide that that plan shall be subject to its prior approval by the Member State.	A Member State may decide that that plan shall be subject to its prior approval. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 23(3), first subparagraph				
305	3. The Member State concerned shall take into account capacity-enhancement plans when renewing the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU.	3. The Member State concerned shall take into account capacity-enhancement plans when renewing the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU.	3. The Member State concerned shall take into account capacity-enhancement plans when renewing the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU.	3. The Member State concerned shall take into account capacity-enhancement plans when renewing the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU.
Article 23(3), second subparagraph				
306	The European Coordinator of the European Transport Corridor concerned shall take into account the capacity-enhancement plan in its work plan referred to in Article 53 of [new TEN-T Regulation].	The European Coordinator of the European Transport Corridor concerned shall take into account the capacity-enhancement plan in its work plan referred to in Article 53 of [new TEN-T Regulation].	<i>deleted</i>	<u><i>The European Coordinator of the European Transport Corridor concerned shall take into account the capacity-enhancement plan in its work plan referred to in Article 53 of Regulation (EU) 2024/1679.</i></u> Provisionally agree in ITM 31
Article 23(3), second subparagraph a				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
R		306a		<u>On the basis of a capacity analysis, the Member State shall construct or upgrade the infrastructure needed.</u>				
Article 23(4)								
G		307		4. Without prejudice to Article 40 of this Regulation, the infrastructure manager shall cease to levy any charges for the relevant infrastructure under Article 31(4) of Directive 2012/34/EU in one of the following cases:		4. Without prejudice to Article 40 of this Regulation, the infrastructure manager shall cease to levy any charges for the relevant infrastructure under Article 31(4) of Directive 2012/34/EU in one of the following cases:		4. Without prejudice to Article 40 of this Regulation, the infrastructure manager shall cease to levy any charges for the relevant infrastructure under Article 31(4) of Directive 2012/34/EU in one of the following cases:
Article 23(4), point (a)								
G		308		(a) the infrastructure manager does not produce a capacity-enhancement plan;		(a) the infrastructure manager does not produce a capacity-enhancement plan;		(a) the infrastructure manager does not produce a capacity-enhancement plan;
Article 23(4), point (b)								
G		309		(b) the infrastructure manager does not make progress with the actions identified in the capacity enhancement plan.		(b) the infrastructure manager does not make progress with the actions identified in the capacity enhancement plan.		(b) the infrastructure manager does not make progress with the actions identified in the capacity enhancement plan.
Article 23(5)								
G		310		5. Notwithstanding paragraph 4, the infrastructure manager may, subject to the approval of the regulatory body, continue to levy the charges in one of the following cases:		5. Notwithstanding paragraph 4, the infrastructure manager may, subject to the approval of the regulatory body, continue to levy the charges in one of the following cases:		5. Notwithstanding paragraph 4, the infrastructure manager may, subject to the approval of the regulatory body, continue to levy the charges in one of the following cases:

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
Article 23(5), point (a)					
G	311	(a) if the capacity-enhancement plan cannot be realised for reasons beyond its control;	(a) if the capacity-enhancement plan cannot be realised for reasons beyond its control;	(a) if the capacity-enhancement plan cannot be realised for reasons beyond its control;	G
Article 23(5), point (b)					
G	312	(b) if the options available are not economically or financially viable.	(b) if the options available are not economically or financially viable.	(b) if the options available are not economically or financially viable.	G
Article 24					
G	313	Article 24 Specialised infrastructure	Article 24 Specialised infrastructure	Article 24 Specialised infrastructure	G
Article 24(1)					
G	314	1. Without prejudice to paragraph 2, infrastructure capacity shall be considered to be available for the use of all types of traffic compatible with the route intended for operation in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the specifications laid down in implementing acts adopted under it.	1. Without prejudice to paragraph 2, infrastructure capacity shall be considered to be available for the use of all types of traffic compatible with the route intended for operation in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the specifications laid down in implementing acts adopted under it.	1. Without prejudice to paragraph 2, infrastructure capacity shall be considered to be available for the use of all types of traffic compatible with the route intended for operation in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the specifications laid down in implementing acts adopted ~~under it~~ on the basis thereof. Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 24(2), first subparagraph					
G	315	2. Where there are suitable alternative routes and where in accordance with the procedure set	2. Where there are suitable alternative routes and where in accordance with the procedure set	2. Where there are suitable alternative routes and where in accordance with the procedure set	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	out in Article 25 it can be demonstrated that it is justified from a social, economic and environmental perspective to do so, the infrastructure manager may, after consultation with interested parties, designate particular infrastructure for use by specified types of traffic. In that case the infrastructure manager shall indicate the designation in the planning documents referred to in Article 11(2) and shall reserve capacity for the specified types of traffic in the capacity supply plan.	out in Article 25 it can be demonstrated that it is justified from a social, economic and environmental perspective to do so, the infrastructure manager may, after consultation with interested parties, designate particular infrastructure for use by specified types of traffic. In that case the infrastructure manager shall indicate the designation in the planning documents referred to in Article 11(2) and shall reserve capacity for the specified types of traffic in the capacity supply plan.	out in Article 25 it can be demonstrated that it is justified from a social, economic and environmental perspective to do so, the infrastructure manager may, after consultation with interested parties, designate particular infrastructure for use by specified types of traffic. In that case the infrastructure manager shall indicate the designation in the planning documents referred to in Article 11(2) and in the network statement and shall reserve capacity for the specified types of traffic in the capacity supply plan.	out in Article 25 it can be demonstrated that it is justified from a social, economic and environmental perspective to do so, the infrastructure manager may, after consultation with interested parties, designate ~~particular~~ elements of infrastructure for use by specified types of traffic. In that case the infrastructure manager shall indicate the designation in the planning documents referred to in Article 11(2) and in the network statement and shall reserve capacity for the specified types of traffic in the capacity supply plan. Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 24(2), second subparagraph				
316	Such designation shall not prevent the use of such infrastructure by other types of traffic when capacity is available.	Such designation shall not prevent the use of such infrastructure by other types of traffic when capacity is available.	Such designation shall not prevent the use of such infrastructure by other types of traffic when capacity is available.	Such designation shall not prevent the use of such infrastructure by other types of traffic when capacity is available.
Article 24(3)				
317	3. Infrastructure designated pursuant to paragraph 2 shall be indicated in the network statement, in the register of infrastructure referred in Article 49 of the	3. Infrastructure designated pursuant to paragraph 2 shall be indicated in the network statement, in the register of infrastructure referred in Article 49 of the	3. Member States shall ensure that the infrastructure designated pursuant to paragraph 2 ~~shall be~~ indicated in the ~~network statement~~ , in the register of	3. Member States shall ensure that the infrastructure designated pursuant to paragraph 2 is indicated in the register of

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	Directive (EU) 2016/797, in the capacity strategy, in the capacity model and in the capacity supply plan.	Directive (EU) 2016/797, in the capacity strategy, in the capacity model and in the capacity supply plan.	infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of the Directive (EU) 2016/797; ~~in the capacity strategy, in the capacity model and in the capacity supply plan.~~	infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 25				
318	Article 25 Partitioning of infrastructure capacity on the basis of socio-economic and environmental criteria	Article 25 Partitioning of infrastructure capacity on the basis of socio-economic and environmental criteria	Article 25 Capacity partitioning of infrastructure capacity on the basis of socio-economic and environmental criteria declared as being highly utilised or congested	Article 25 Capacity partitioning of infrastructure declared as being highly utilised or congested Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 25(1)				
319	1. Where an element of infrastructure has been declared to be highly utilised or congested in a future working timetable period, the infrastructure manager shall partition capacity on that element of infrastructure in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 which relate to the working timetable period concerned.	1. Where an element of infrastructure has been declared to be highly utilised or congested in a future working timetable period, the infrastructure manager shall partition capacity on that element of infrastructure in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 which relate to the working timetable period concerned.	1. Where an element of infrastructure has been declared to ~~be~~ being highly utilised or congested in a future working timetable period, the infrastructure manager ~~shall~~ may partition capacity on that element of infrastructure in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 which relate to the working timetable period concerned.	1. Where ~~an element of infrastructure has been declared as being highly utilised or congested in a future working timetable period;~~ <u>reference is made to this Article</u> the infrastructure manager ~~may~~ <u>shall</u> partition capacity on ~~that element~~ <u>the applicable elements</u> of infrastructure ~~in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and~~ <u>applying an equitable, transparent, and non-discriminatory methodology</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>The infrastructure manager shall set out the details of this methodology, including all applicable parameter values, in the network statement taking account of the European framework for capacity supply plan referred to in management. Parameter values defined by the infrastructure manager shall be subject to coordination in accordance with point (d) of Article 18 which relate to the working timetable period concerned 7e of Directive 2012/34/EU.</u> <u>The infrastructure manager shall take into account the need for capacity for multi-network rail services.</u> Provisionally agree in ITM 31 Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes
	Article 25(2), first subparagraph			
G 320	2. When partitioning infrastructure capacity pursuant to paragraph 1, the infrastructure manager shall act in accordance with Article 8.	2. When partitioning infrastructure capacity <u>declared to be congested</u> pursuant to paragraph 1, the infrastructure manager shall act in accordance with Article 8.	2. When partitioning infrastructure capacity pursuant to paragraph 1, the infrastructure manager shall act in accordance with the strategic guidance referred to in Article 8 11(3),	2. ~~When partitioning infrastructure capacity pursuant to paragraph 1, the infrastructure manager shall act in accordance with the strategic guidance~~ <u>The methodology</u> referred to in ~~Article~~

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			where Member states have provided such guidance and have provided that it shall be binding, and take into account the need for capacity for multi-network rail services.	~~11(3), where Member states have provided such guidance and have provided that it~~ <u>paragraph 1</u> shall be binding, and take into account the need for capacity for multi-network rail services. <u>involves the following steps:</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 25(2), first subparagraph a			
G	320a	<u>By ... [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation], where partitioning infrastructure capacity was declared to be highly utilised pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, the infrastructure manager shall act in accordance with Article 8.</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 25(2), first subparagraph b			
G	320b			<u>(a) design alternative scenarios to partition the capacity available for different types of rail transport services involving, where possible, the provision of alternative capacity on other routes or alternative timing;</u> Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Provisionally agreed in ITM 29
	Article 25(2), first subparagraph c			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 320c				<u>(b) evaluate and rank the scenarios referred to in point (a) taking into account the operational, socio-economic, and environmental criteria set out in paragraph 3.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 25(2), first subparagraph d				
G 320d				<u>(c) select the highest ranked scenario on the basis of the evaluation referred to in point (b) and amend the capacity model and the capacity supply plan accordingly.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 25(2), second subparagraph				
G 321	The infrastructure manager shall take into account the need for capacity for multi-network rail services.	The infrastructure manager shall take into account the need for capacity for multi-network rail services, <u>especially international rail freight services.</u>	deleted	<u>The methodology shall allow to take into account the need for multi-network rail services in their entirety.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 25(2a), first subparagraph				
G 321a			2a. With the view of partitioning infrastructure capacity pursuant to paragraph 1, infrastructure managers shall assess alternative partitioning	2a. ~~With the view of partitioning infrastructure capacity pursuant to~~ <u>The evaluation referred to in paragraph 1, infrastructure</u>

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
						options based on, but not limited to, the following socioeconomic, operational and environmental criteria, subject to the availability of data:		~~managers~~ <u>2(b)</u> shall ~~assess~~ ~~alternative partitioning options~~ <u>be</u> based on, but not limited to, the following ~~socioeconomic~~ , operational, <u>socio-economic</u> and environmental criteria, subject to the availability of data: ~~and~~ <u>taking into account technical and operational considerations and the utilisation of the infrastructure:</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
		Article 25(2a), first subparagraph, point (a)						
G		321b				(a) operating cost for operators and technical parameters of rail transport services, and the resulting impact on prices for customers of rail transport services;		(a) operating cost for operators ~~and technical parameters~~ of rail transport services, and the resulting impact on prices for customers of rail transport services; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
		Article 25(2a), first subparagraph, point (b)						
G		321c				(b) time-related cost for customers of rail transport services;		(b) time-related cost for customers of rail transport services; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
		Article 25(2a), first subparagraph, point (c)						

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
G	321d				(c)	connectivity and accessibility for people and regions served by the rail transport services, including the quality of connections and transfer times for rail passenger and freight services;		(c) connectivity and accessibility for people and regions served by the rail transport services, including the quality of connections ~~and~~ <u>notably in terms of</u> transfer times ~~for~~ <u>and the frequency of</u> rail passenger and freight services; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 25(2a), first subparagraph, point (d)							
G	321e				(d)	environmental impact, such as emissions of greenhouse gases and noise;		(d) ~~environmental impact~~ <u>impacts on external costs of transport</u> , such as <u>accidents</u> , emissions of <u>air pollutants</u> , greenhouse gases and noise; ~~produced by rail transport services and by their likely alternatives.~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 25(2a), first subparagraph, point (e)							
G	321f				(e)	volume of people and freight transported;		(e) volume of people and freight transported; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 25(2a), first subparagraph, point (f)							
G	321g				(f)	utilisation of the infrastructure network.		<i>deleted</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 25(2a), second subparagraph			
G 321h			In proceeding to the assessment of alternative partitioning options, infrastructure managers shall take into account the procedures developed by ENIM referred to in paragraph 2b.	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 25(2b)			
G 321i			2b. ENIM shall prepare and adopt an equitable, transparent and non-discriminatory procedure for the assessment of the alternative partitioning options, and include it in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. The procedures shall involve the following steps:	2b. ENIM shall ~~prepare and adopt~~ <u>set out</u> an equitable, transparent and non-discriminatory ~~procedure~~ <u>methodology and establish the list of parameters relating to rail transport services and socio-economic criteria necessary</u> for the assessment <u>referred to in paragraph 2a.</u> <u>Where relevant, it shall set out standard parameter values, or ranges of standard values. ENIM shall ensure that parameter values are sufficiently tested and calibrated in an operational context.</u> <u>ENIM shall</u> ~~of the alternative partitioning options, and~~ include ~~the methodology and the list of parameters and the standard~~

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>values for the parameters</u> in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. ~~The procedures~~ <u>The infrastructure manager</u> shall, <u>where relevant, adjust the standard parameter values to take into account local or national circumstances based on accepted approaches and empirical evidence and, where applicable, involve the</u> following ~~steps:~~ <u>Member State requirements in accordance with point (d) of Article 3a(2).</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue Provisionally agree in ITM 31
	Article 25(2b), point (a)			
G	321j		(a) define ranges of standard values for rail services as an initial basis for assessment;	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 25(2b), point (b)			
G	321k		(b) design alternative scenarios to partition the capacity available for different types of rail transport services;	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 25(2b), point (c)			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
321l			(c) evaluate and rank the scenarios on the basis of objective, transparent and non-discriminatory methodologies taking into account the socio-economic, operational and environmental criteria set out in paragraph 2a, and making it possible to take into account local or national circumstances based on accepted approaches and empirical evidence;	<i>deleted</i> <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 25(2b), point (d)				
321m			(d) select the highest ranked scenario on the basis of the evaluation referred to in point (c) and amend the capacity supply plan accordingly.	<i>deleted</i> <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
SECTION 3				
322	SECTION 3 Scheduling and capacity allocation	SECTION 3 Scheduling and capacity allocation	SECTION 3 Scheduling and capacity allocation	SECTION 3 Scheduling and capacity allocation
Article 26				
323	Article 26 Capacity rights	Article 26 Capacity rights	Article 26 Capacity rights	Article 26 Capacity rights <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 26(1), first subparagraph				
324	1. Applicants may apply under public or private law to the	1. Applicants may apply under public or private law to the	1. Applicants may apply, under public or private law, to the	1. Applicants may apply, under public or private law, to the

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
		infrastructure manager to request an agreement granting rights to use railway infrastructure against a charge as provided for in section 2 of Chapter IV of Directive 2012/34/EU.		infrastructure manager to request an agreement granting rights to use railway infrastructure against a charge as provided for in section 2 of Chapter IV of Directive 2012/34/EU.		infrastructure manager to request an agreement granting rights to ~~use~~ for capacity on railway infrastructure against a charge as provided for in section 2 of Chapter IV of Directive 2012/34/EU.		infrastructure manager to request an agreement granting rights ~~for capacity on~~ to use railway infrastructure against a charge as provided for in section 2 of Chapter IV of Directive 2012/34/EU. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
		Article 26(1), second subparagraph						
G	325	Infrastructure managers shall allocate the right to use infrastructure capacity to applicants in the form of one of the following:		Infrastructure managers shall allocate the right to use infrastructure capacity to applicants in the form of one of the following:		Infrastructure managers shall allocate ~~the right to use~~ rights for capacity on railway infrastructure ~~capacity~~ to applicants in the form of one of the following:		Infrastructure managers shall allocate ~~rights for capacity on railway~~ the right to use infrastructure capacity to applicants in the form of one of the following: Agreed at 3rd trilogue
		Article 26(1), second subparagraph, point (a)						
G	326	(a) capacity specifications;		(a) capacity specifications;		(a) capacity specifications;		(a) capacity specifications;
		Article 26(1), second subparagraph, point (b)						
G	327	(b) train paths.		(b) train paths.		(b) train paths.		(b) train paths.
		Article 26(1), third subparagraph						
G	328	ENIM shall define the characteristics of capacity specifications and include those in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.		ENIM shall define the characteristics of capacity specifications and include those in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.		ENIM shall define the characteristics of capacity specifications and include those in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. Infrastructure		ENIM shall define the characteristics of capacity specifications and include those in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. ~~Infrastructure~~

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			managers shall take utmost account of those characteristics.	~~managers shall take utmost account of those characteristics.~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 26(2)				
329	2. Infrastructure managers shall convert the capacity rights allocated in the form of a capacity specification into capacity rights in the form of a train path prior to the actual train run in accordance with the deadlines set out in sections 4, 5 and 6 of Annex I.	2. Infrastructure managers shall convert the capacity rights allocated in the form of a capacity specification into capacity rights in the form of a train path prior to the actual train run in accordance with the deadlines set out in sections 4, 5 and 6 of Annex I.	2. Infrastructure managers shall convert the capacity rights allocated in the form of a capacity specification into capacity rights in the form of a train path prior to the actual train run in accordance with the deadlines set out in sections 4, 5 and 6 of Annex I.	2. Infrastructure managers shall convert the capacity rights allocated in the form of a capacity specification into capacity rights in the form of a train path prior to the actual train run in accordance with the deadlines set out in sections 4, 5 and 6 of Annex I.
Article 26(3)				
330	3. Capacity rights in the form of a train path may be granted to applicants for a maximum duration of one working timetable period. Capacity rights in the form of a capacity specification may be allocated for a duration exceeding one working timetable period in accordance with Articles 31 and 33.	3. Capacity rights in the form of a train path may be granted to applicants for a maximum duration of one working timetable period. Capacity rights in the form of a capacity specification may be allocated for a duration exceeding one working timetable period in accordance with Articles 31 and 33.	3. Capacity rights in the form of a train path may be granted to applicants for a maximum duration of one working timetable period. Capacity rights in the form of a capacity specification may be allocated for a duration exceeding one working timetable period in accordance with Articles 31 and 33.	3. Capacity rights in the form of a train path may be granted to applicants for a maximum duration of one working timetable period. Capacity rights in the form of a capacity specification may be allocated for a duration exceeding one working timetable period in accordance with Articles 31 and 33.
Article 26(4)				
331	4. Unless otherwise specified in this Regulation, the respective rights and obligations of infrastructure managers and applicants in respect of any	4. Unless otherwise specified in this Regulation, the respective rights and obligations of infrastructure managers and applicants in respect of any	4. Unless otherwise specified in this Regulation, the respective rights and obligations of infrastructure managers and applicants in respect of any	4. Unless otherwise specified in this Regulation, the respective rights and obligations of infrastructure managers and applicants in respect of any

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	allocation of capacity shall be laid down in contracts or in Member States' legislation.	allocation of capacity shall be laid down in contracts or in Member States' legislation.	allocation of capacity shall be laid down in contracts or in Member States' legislation. national law.	allocation of capacity shall be laid down in contracts or in national law. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 26(6), first subparagraph				
g 331a	6. Once allocated to an applicant, a capacity right shall not be transferred by the recipient to another undertaking or service. Moved reference text		6. Once allocated to an applicant, a capacity right shall not be transferred by the recipient to another undertaking or service. Moved from row 333 [333 - 331a]	6. Once allocated to an applicant, a capacity right shall not be transferred by the recipient to another undertaking or service. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 26(6), second subparagraph				
g 331b	Any form of transfer shall lead to exclusion from the further allocation of capacity. Moved reference text		Any form of transfer shall lead to exclusion from the further allocation of capacity during the current working timetable period and the following one. Moved from row 334 [334 - 331b]	Any form of transfer shall lead to exclusion from the further allocation of capacity during the current working timetable period and the following one. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 26(6), third subparagraph				
g 331c	The use of capacity by a railway undertaking when carrying out the business of an applicant which is not a railway undertaking shall not be considered as a transfer. Moved reference text		The use of capacity by a railway undertaking when carrying out the business of an applicant which is not a railway undertaking shall not be considered as a transfer. An applicant which is not a railway undertaking shall inform the infrastructure manager, at least 10 days before	The use of capacity by a railway undertaking when carrying out the business of an applicant which is not a railway undertaking shall not be considered as a transfer. An applicant which is not a railway undertaking shall inform the infrastructure manager, at least 10 days before the use of the

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			the use of the capacity, which railway undertaking will use the capacity. That information shall include evidence of the railway undertaking's acceptance. Moved from row 335 [335 - 331c]	capacity, which railway undertaking will use the capacity. That information shall include evidence of the railway undertaking's acceptance. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 26(5)				
332	5. Where an applicant intends to request infrastructure capacity with a view to operating a passenger service, in a Member State where the right of access to railway infrastructure is limited in accordance with Article 11 of Directive 2012/34/EU, it shall inform the infrastructure managers and the regulatory bodies concerned no less than 18 months before the entry into force of the working timetable to which the request for capacity relates. In order to enable the regulatory bodies concerned to assess the potential economic impact on existing public service contracts, regulatory bodies shall ensure that any competent authority that has awarded a rail passenger service on that route defined in a public service contract, any other	5. Where an applicant intends to request infrastructure capacity with a view to operating a passenger service, in a Member State where the right of access to railway infrastructure is limited in accordance with Article 11 of Directive 2012/34/EU, it shall inform the infrastructure managers and the regulatory bodies concerned no less than 18 months before the entry into force of the working timetable to which the request for capacity relates. In order to enable the regulatory bodies concerned to assess the potential economic impact on existing public service contracts, regulatory bodies shall ensure that any competent authority that has awarded a rail passenger service on that route defined in a public service contract, any other	57. Where an applicant intends to request infrastructure capacity with a view to operating a passenger service, in a Member State where the right of access to railway infrastructure is limited in accordance with Article 11 of Directive 2012/34/EU, it shall inform the infrastructure managers and the regulatory bodies concerned no less than 18 months before the entry into force of the working timetable to which the request for capacity relates. In order to enable the regulatory bodies concerned to assess the potential economic impact on existing public service contracts, regulatory bodies shall ensure that any competent authority that has awarded a rail passenger service on that route defined in a public service contract, any other	7. Where an applicant intends to request infrastructure capacity with a view to operating a passenger service, in a Member State where the right of access to railway infrastructure is limited in accordance with Article 11 of Directive 2012/34/EU, it shall inform the infrastructure managers and the regulatory bodies concerned no less than 18 months before the entry into force of the working timetable to which the request for capacity relates. In order to enable the regulatory bodies concerned to assess the potential economic impact on existing public service contracts, regulatory bodies shall ensure that any competent authority that has awarded a rail passenger service on that route defined in a public service contract, any other

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	interested competent authority with the right to limit access under Article 11 of Directive 2012/34/EU and any railway undertaking performing the public service contract on the route of that passenger service is informed without undue delay and at the latest within 10 days.	interested competent authority with the right to limit access under Article 11 of Directive 2012/34/EU and any railway undertaking performing the public service contract on the route of that passenger service is informed without undue delay and at the latest within 10 days.	interested competent authority with the right to limit access under Article 11 of Directive 2012/34/EU and any railway undertaking performing the public service contract on the route of that passenger service is informed without undue delay and at the latest within 10 days.	interested competent authority with the right to limit access under Article 11 of Directive 2012/34/EU and any railway undertaking performing the public service contract on the route of that passenger service is informed without undue delay and at the latest within 10 days.
	Article 26(6), first subparagraph			
G	333 6. Once allocated to an applicant, a capacity right shall not be transferred by the recipient to another undertaking or service.	6. Once allocated to an applicant, a capacity right shall not be transferred by the recipient to another undertaking or service.	Moved to row 331a [333 - 331a]	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 26(6), second subparagraph			
G	334 Any form of transfer shall lead to exclusion from the further allocation of capacity.	Any form of transfer shall lead to exclusion from the further allocation of capacity.	Moved to row 331b [334 - 331b]	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 26(6), third subparagraph			
G	335 The use of capacity by a railway undertaking when carrying out the business of an applicant which is not a railway undertaking shall not be considered as a transfer.	The use of capacity by a railway undertaking when carrying out the business of an applicant which is not a railway undertaking shall not be considered as a transfer.	Moved to row 331c [335 - 331c]	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 27			
G	336 Article 27 Methods of capacity allocation	Article 27 Methods of capacity allocation	Article 27 Methods of capacity allocation	Article 27 Methods of capacity allocation
	Article 27(1), first subparagraph			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G	337 1. Infrastructure managers shall grant capacity rights to applicants by means of the allocation processes referred to in Articles 31 to 34.	1. Infrastructure managers shall grant capacity rights to applicants by means of the allocation processes referred to in Articles 31 to 34.	1. Infrastructure managers shall grant capacity rights to applicants by means of the allocation processes referred to in Articles 31 to 34.	1. Infrastructure managers shall grant capacity rights to applicants by means of the allocation processes referred to in Articles 31 to 34.
Article 27(1), second subparagraph				
G	338 Any change to allocated capacity shall also be considered capacity allocation.	Any change to allocated capacity shall also be considered capacity allocation.	Any change to allocated capacity shall also be considered capacity allocation.	Any change to allocated capacity shall also be considered capacity allocation.
Article 27(2), first subparagraph				
G	339 2. Applicants shall have the right to request multi-network capacity rights, and to receive answers to such requests, in a single place and in a single operation. Infrastructure managers shall cooperate in the allocation of capacity for multi-network rail services, including in particular international rail freight services and international rail passenger services.	2. Applicants shall ~~have the right to~~ request multi-network capacity rights, and ~~to shall~~ receive answers to such requests, in a single place and in a single operation <u>as provided for in paragraph 4 of this Article</u> . Infrastructure managers shall cooperate in the allocation of capacity for multi-network rail services, including in particular international rail freight services and international rail passenger services.	<i>deleted</i> <i>Moved to rows 348a and 348b</i>	<i>deleted</i>
Article 27(2), second subparagraph				
G	340 Infrastructure managers shall allocate and manage multi-network capacity rights in accordance with Article 28.	Infrastructure managers shall allocate and manage multi-network capacity rights in accordance with Article 28.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 27(3)				
341	3. Infrastructure managers shall respect the commercial confidentiality of information provided to them.	3. Infrastructure managers shall respect the commercial confidentiality of information provided to them, <u>especially in the case of vertically integrated railway companies. Information such as the specific line subject to the request, their number, or their frequency shall be considered as confidential.</u>	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 27(4), first subparagraph				
342	4. Infrastructure managers shall allocate infrastructure capacity via digital tools and digital services in accordance with Article 62.	4. Infrastructure managers shall allocate infrastructure capacity via digital tools and digital services in accordance with Article 62.	4. Infrastructure managers shall allocate infrastructure capacity via digital tools and digital services in accordance with Article 62, for the entire round trip when requested.	4. Infrastructure managers shall allocate infrastructure capacity via digital tools and digital services in accordance with Article 62, ~~for the entire round trip when requested.~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 27(4), second subparagraph				
343	For multi-network capacity rights, ENIM shall establish a single interface or a common system in accordance with Article 62, in order to manage capacity allocation in a single place and operation.	For multi-network capacity rights, ENIM shall, <u>upon approval of compliance with TSIs by ERA and by ... [12 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation],</u> establish <u>a one-stop-shop in</u> a single interface or a common system <u>developed</u> in accordance with Article 62, ~~in~~	deleted	deleted provisionally agreed in ITM 28 (3/10) EP: it has been deleted, as it is covered in Art. 62

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		~~order.~~ <u>Infrastructure managers shall use that single interface</u> to manage capacity allocation in a single place and operation. <u>Connected or grouped rail services shall have one infrastructure manager as the single point of contact. Such connected or grouped rail services shall be declared in the capacity request.</u>		
Article 27(5)				
344	5. When requesting or making changes to allocated capacity, applicants and infrastructure managers shall comply with Article 39.	5. When requesting or making changes to allocated capacity, applicants and infrastructure managers shall comply with Article 39.	5. When requesting or making changes to allocated capacity, applicants and infrastructure managers shall comply with Article 39.	<i>deleted</i> <u>Provisionally agreed in ITM 26</u>
Article 27(6), first subparagraph				
345	6. The infrastructure manager shall cancel a capacity right which, over a period of at least one month, has been used less than a threshold quota, to be laid down in the network statement, unless this was due to non-economic reasons beyond the applicant's control. ENIM shall define ranges for the threshold quota and include them in the European framework for capacity	6. The infrastructure manager shall cancel a capacity right which, over a period of at least one month, has been used less than a threshold quota, to be laid down in the network statement, unless this was due to non-economic reasons beyond the applicant's control. ENIM shall <u>consult with ERP to</u> define ranges for the threshold quota and include them in the European framework	6. The infrastructure manager shall cancel a capacity right which, over a period of at least one month, has been used for less than a threshold quota, to be ~~laid down~~ defined in the network statement, unless this was due to ~~non-economic reasons beyond the applicant's control~~ force majeure . ENIM shall define ranges for the threshold quota and include them in the European framework for	6. The infrastructure manager shall cancel a capacity right which, over a period of at least one month, has been used for less than a threshold quota, ~~to be defined in the network statement,~~ unless this was due to ~~force majeure. ENIM shall define ranges for the threshold quota and include them in~~ <u>non-economic reasons beyond the applicants control.</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	management referred to in Article 6.	for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	capacity management referred to in Article 6. Infrastructure managers shall take utmost account of the ranges for the threshold quota defined by ENIM.	<i><u>In this case</u> the ~~European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6~~ infrastructure ~~managers~~<u>manager</u> shall ~~take utmost account of the ranges for the threshold quota defined by ENIM~~<u>inform the regulatory body</u>.</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
	Article 27(6), first subparagraph a			
G	345a			<i><u>ENIM shall define ranges for the threshold quota and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.</u></i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
	Article 27(6), first subparagraph b			
G	345b			<i><u>Infrastructure managers shall define the threshold quota in the network statement taking into account the ranges for the threshold quota defined by ENIM.</u></i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
	Article 27(6), second subparagraph			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
346	The regulatory body shall monitor the transparent and non-discriminatory application of this paragraph and investigate any complaints received.	The regulatory body shall monitor the transparent and non-discriminatory application of this paragraph and investigate any complaints received.	The regulatory body shall monitor the equitable , transparent and non-discriminatory application of this paragraph and investigate any complaints received.	The regulatory body shall monitor the equitable, transparent and non-discriminatory application of this paragraph and investigate any complaints received. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 27(6a)				
346a			6a. In accordance with the criteria and procedures referred to in Article 20(1) where applicable, an infrastructure manager may refuse to allocate capacity if requests are inconsistent with its strategic capacity planning. In that case, the infrastructure manager shall make its utmost effort to provide the applicant with an alternative capacity. A refusal shall be communicated to the applicant without delay. The applicant shall have the right to lodge a complaint with the regulatory body pursuant to Article 63(2).	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 27(6b)				
346b			6b. Infrastructure managers shall inform at least every year the regulatory body of all capacity requests received that did not fit the parameters of the	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			available capacity as defined in the capacity supply plan, regardless of whether they were accepted or refused. On the basis of this information the regulatory body may issue an opinion, which may recommend to the infrastructure manager to amend the capacity model.	
Article 27(7)				
347	7. Where the infrastructure manager cancels, in accordance with paragraph 6, multi-network capacity rights, the regulatory body responsible for that infrastructure manager shall inform the relevant regulatory bodies and the ENRRB.	7. Where the infrastructure manager cancels, in accordance with paragraph 6, multi-network capacity rights, <u>it shall inform</u> the regulatory body responsible for that infrastructure manager. <u>That regulatory body</u> shall inform the relevant regulatory bodies and the ENRRB.	deleted	<u>7. Where the infrastructure manager cancels, in accordance with paragraph 6, multi-network capacity rights, the regulatory body responsible for that infrastructure manager shall inform the relevant regulatory bodies and the ENRRB.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 27(7a)				
347a		<u>7a. The infrastructure manager shall be allowed to use, where relevant, specific timetabling approaches. If the infrastructure manager decides to pre-plan capacity by using systematic train paths with cross-border relevance, the infrastructure manager shall coordinate with neighbouring</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
				<u>infrastructure managers and other concerned infrastructure managers concerned to find mutually agreed solutions for cross-border traffic. Regardless of the national choice Member States follow for their timetabling, infrastructure managers shall ensure a balanced, fair and non-discriminatory allocation of train paths. As regards the compensation for changes to capacity rights referred to in Article 40 that also involve systematic train paths, its value shall be set at least 1, 25 times the value defined for non-systematic train paths according to the process laid out in Article 40.</u>				
		Article 27(7b)						
G	347b			<u>7b. Infrastructure managers shall inform the regulatory body of all capacity requests received that did not fit the parameters of the available capacity as defined in the capacity supply plan, regardless of whether they were accepted or refused. On the basis of this information, the regulatory body shall issue an</u>			deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<i><u>opinion at least every two years, in which it may recommend to the infrastructure manager to amend the capacity model.</u></i>		
Article 28				
348	Article 28 Coordination of the allocation of multi-network capacity rights	Article 28 Coordination of the allocation of multi-network capacity rights	Article 28 ~~Coordination of the Allocation of~~ multi-network capacity rights	Article 28 Allocation <i><u>and coordination</u></i> of multi-network capacity rights provisionally agreed in ITM 28
Article 28(0), first subparagraph				
348a			0. Applicants shall have the right to request multi-network capacity rights by means of the allocation processes referred to in Articles 31 to 34, including the entire round trips, and to receive answers to such requests, in a single place and in a single operation, in accordance with Article 62(5). Moved from row 339	0. Applicants shall have the right to request multi-network capacity rights by means of the allocation processes referred to in Articles 31 to 34, including the entire round trips, and to receive answers to such requests, ~~in a single place and in a single operation,~~ <i><u>via a one-stop-shop, established</u></i> in accordance with Article 62(5). Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
Article 28(0), second subparagraph				
348b			Infrastructure managers shall cooperate in the allocation of capacity for multi-network rail services, including in particular international rail	Infrastructure managers shall cooperate in the allocation of capacity for multi-network rail services, ~~including~~ <i><u>in accordance with Article 53,</u></i> in particular

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			freight services and international rail passenger services. Moved from row 339	~~international~~ <u>for cross-border</u> rail freight services and ~~international~~ <u>cross-border</u> rail passenger services. provisionally agreed in ITM 28
Article 28(1)				
349	1. Where the infrastructure manager receives a request for multi-network capacity rights pursuant to Article 27(2), they shall coordinate with the other infrastructure managers concerned in accordance with Article 53.	1. Where the infrastructure manager receives a request for multi-network capacity rights pursuant to Article 27(2), they shall coordinate with the other infrastructure managers concerned in accordance with Article 53.	1. Where the infrastructure manager receives a request for multi-network capacity rights pursuant to Article 27(2), they, it shall coordinate with the other infrastructure managers concerned in accordance with Article 53.	<i>deleted</i> provisionally agreed in ITM 28
Article 28(2), first subparagraph				
350	2. In particular, coordination shall ensure:	2. In particular, coordination shall ensure:	2. In particular, the coordination referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure:	2. In particular, the coordination referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure: Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
Article 28(2), first subparagraph, point (a)				
351	(a) the appointment of a single point of contact in charge of communication with the applicant in relation to the request for multi-network capacity right, which shall be notified to the applicant without delay after receipt of the request;	(a) the appointment of a ~~single point of contact~~ <u>one-stop shop, as referred to in Article 27(4)</u> , in charge of communication with the applicant in relation to ~~the~~ <u>each</u> request for multi-network capacity right, which shall be notified to the applicant without delay after receipt of the request.	(a) the appointment of a single point of contact in charge of communication with the applicant in relation to the request for multi-network capacity right, which shall be notified to the applicant without delay after receipt of the request;	(a) the appointment of a single point of contact in charge of communication with the applicant in relation to the request for multi-network capacity right, which shall be notified to the applicant without delay after receipt of the request;

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u><i>This shall also apply in the case of cross-border train pairs which are international train services to and from a destination in another Member State;</i></u>		Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
Article 28(2), first subparagraph, point (b)				
352	(b) the compliance of the multi-network capacity right with minimum quality criteria in terms of consistency between networks and as regards aspects such as routing, timing, availability on different running days and status of the allocation;	(b) the compliance of the multi-network capacity right with minimum quality criteria in terms of consistency between networks and as regards aspects such as routing, timing, availability on different running days and status of the allocation;	(b) the compliance of the multi-network capacity right with minimum quality criteria in terms of consistency between networks and as regards aspects such as routing, timing, availability on different running days and status of the allocation;	(b) the compliance of the multi-network capacity right with minimum quality criteria in terms of consistency between networks and as regards aspects such as routing, timing, availability on different running days and status of the allocation;
Article 28(2), first subparagraph, point (c)				
353	(c) the consistent performance of the process of allocating multi-network capacity rights, including in particular of the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36 and of the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37;	(c) the consistent performance of the process of allocating multi-network capacity rights, including in particular of the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36 and of the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37;	(c) the consistent performance of the process of allocating multi-network capacity rights, including in particular of the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36 and of the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37;	(c) the consistent performance of the process of allocating multi-network capacity rights, including in particular of the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36 and of the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37;
Article 28(2), first subparagraph, point (d)				
354	(d) the coordination of any changes to allocated multi-network capacity rights in accordance with section 4 with a view to ensuring the integrity of	(d) the coordination of any changes to allocated multi-network capacity rights in accordance with section 4 with a view to ensuring the integrity of	(d) the coordination of any changes to allocated multi-network capacity rights in accordance with section 4 with with a view to ensuring the	(d) the coordination of any changes to allocated multi-network capacity rights in accordance with section 4 with a view to ensuring the integrity of

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	multi-network capacity rights at all times.	multi-network capacity rights at all times.	integrity of multi-network capacity rights at all times.	multi-network capacity rights at all times.
Article 28(2), first subparagraph, point (da)				
R 354a				<u>(da) without prejudice to Article 1(5), rapid and efficient route compatibility checks for exceptional transport crossing more than one network to be allocated as quickly as possible, for the purpose of ensuring multi-network capacity rights, which have been requested for organising military movements.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) EP does not want the reference to Article 1(5)
Article 28(2), second subparagraph				
G 355	If infrastructure managers fail to appoint a single point of contact, the infrastructure manager on whose network the first place of departure is located shall be responsible to act as the single point of contact for enquiries related to the specific capacity request.	If infrastructure managers fail to appoint a single point of contact, the infrastructure manager on whose network the first place of departure is located shall be responsible to act as the single point of contact for enquiries related to the specific capacity request.	If infrastructure managers fail to appoint a single point of contact, the applicant shall select the infrastructure manager ~~on whose network the first place of departure is located~~ which shall be responsible to act as the single point of contact for enquiries related to the specific capacity request.	If infrastructure managers fail to appoint a single point of contact, the applicant shall select the infrastructure manager which shall be responsible to act as the single point of contact for enquiries related to the specific capacity request.
				Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
Article 28(2a), first subparagraph				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 355a			2a. An infrastructure manager shall not cancel a multi-network capacity right under Article 27, paragraph 6 without prior coordination with the other concerned infrastructure managers.	2a. An infrastructure manager shall not cancel a multi-network capacity right under Article 27, paragraph 6 without prior coordination, <u>in accordance with Article 53</u> , with the other concerned infrastructure managers. Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
Article 28(2a), second subparagraph				
G 355b			If the infrastructure manager cancels, in accordance with Article 27, paragraph 6, multi-network capacity rights, it shall inform the regulatory body. If the cancelled multi-network capacity right involves cross-border traffic, the regulatory body shall inform the relevant regulatory bodies and the ENRRB.	If the infrastructure manager cancels, in accordance with Article 27, paragraph 6, multi-network capacity rights, it shall inform the regulatory body. ~~If the cancelled multi-network capacity right involves cross-border traffic,~~ The regulatory body shall inform the relevant regulatory bodies and the ENRRB. Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
Article 28(3)				
G 356	3. Infrastructure managers shall not provide capacity rights of worse quality in response to requests for multi-network capacity rights compared to requests for capacity concerning a single network.	3. Infrastructure managers shall not provide capacity rights of worse quality in response to requests for multi-network capacity rights compared to requests for capacity concerning a single network.	deleted	<u>3. Multi-network capacity right applications shall be treated equal and in a non-discriminatory way compared to single-network capacity right applications.</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
Article 28(4)				
357	4. As regards the compensation for changes to capacity rights referred to in Article 40, a multi-network capacity right shall be considered as a single capacity right. In particular, a cancellation due to force majeure on one network shall be considered as a cancellation due to force majeure for the capacity right along the entire route covered by it.	4. As regards the compensation for changes to capacity rights referred to in Article 40, a multi-network capacity right shall be considered as a single capacity right. In particular, a cancellation due to force majeure on one network shall be considered as a cancellation due to force majeure for the capacity right along the entire route covered by it.	deleted	deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
Article 28(5)				
358	5. ENIM shall define the detailed procedures and methods to implement this Article and the minimum quality requirements referred to in paragraph 2(b) and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	5. <u>By ... [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation] and in consultation with ERP,</u> ENIM shall define the detailed procedures and methods to implement this Article and the minimum quality requirements referred to in paragraph 2(b) and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	5. ENIM shall define the detailed procedures and methods to ~~implement~~ promote uniform application of this Article and the minimum quality requirements referred to in paragraph 2(b) and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	5. ENIM shall define the detailed procedures and methods to promote uniform application of this Article and the minimum quality requirements referred to in paragraph 2(b) and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. Provisionally agreed in ITM 26 (26/9) PCY still looking into the last sentence of its compromise ("take account of"), which was not agreed.

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
Article 28(5a)								
G	358a					Infrastructure managers shall take utmost account of those procedures and methods.		deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
Article 28(5b)								
G	358b			<u>5a. The Commission shall, by ... [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation], adopt implementing acts to set out the detailed procedures and methods to implement this Article and the minimum quality requirements referred to in paragraph 2(b) and to amend paragraph 5 of this Article. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3) They shall be included in the European framework for capacity management.</u>				deleted Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 30 (14/10) The detailed procedures in (5) are an element of the FW on capacity mgt and therefor covered under Art 70a/b
Article 29								
G	359	Article 29 Cooperation in the allocation of rail infrastructure capacity and service facilities		Article 29 Cooperation in the allocation of rail infrastructure capacity and service facilities		Article 29 Cooperation in the allocation of rail infrastructure capacity and service facilities		Article 29 Cooperation in the allocation of rail infrastructure capacity and service facilities
Article 29(1)								
G	360	1. Operators of service facilities that provide indicative		1. Operators of service facilities that provide indicative		1. Infrastructure managers and operators of service facilities		1. Infrastructure managers and operators of service facilities

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	information on available service facility capacity in accordance with Article 6(3) of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2177¹ shall cooperate with infrastructure managers for the purpose of the latter offering train paths that include rail facility capacity. Other operators of service facilities may enter into an agreement with infrastructure managers for the joint provision of capacity. ¹. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2177 of 22 November 2017 on access to service facilities and rail-related services (OJ L 307, 23.11.2017, p. 1).	information on available service facility capacity in accordance with Article 6(3) of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2177¹ shall cooperate with infrastructure managers for the purpose of the latter offering train paths that include ~~rail~~<u>service</u> facility capacity. Other operators of service facilities may enter into an agreement with infrastructure managers for the joint provision of capacity. ¹. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2177 of 22 November 2017 on access to service facilities and rail-related services (OJ L 307, 23.11.2017, p. 1).	that ~~provide indicative information on available service facilities~~ are offering services which are necessary for operating a rail transport service facility capacity in accordance ~~with~~ and whose service facilities are part of the trans-European transport network as specified in the Annex II to [new TEN-T Regulation], including those located in the urban nodes defined in Article 6(3) of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2177¹ thereof, shall cooperate with the view of ensuring, where necessary, that the allocation of capacity on infrastructure managers for the purpose of the latter offering train paths that include rail facility capacity. Other operators of and in service facilities may enter into an agreement with infrastructure managers for the joint provision of capacity is consistent. ¹. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2177 of 22 November 2017 on access to service facilities and rail-related services (OJ L 307, 23.11.2017, p. 1).	that are offering services which are necessary for operating a rail transport service and whose service facilities are part of the trans-European transport network as specified in the Annex II to ~~the new TEN-T Regulation~~ <u>(EU) 2024/1679</u>, including those located in the urban nodes defined in Article ~~3(6)~~ <u>3(6)</u> of the Regulation thereof, shall cooperate with the view of ensuring ~~where necessary~~, that the allocation of capacity on infrastructure and in service facilities is consistent. provisionally agreed in ITM 28
Article 29(2)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	361	2. Infrastructure managers shall provide a list in the network statement of the service facilities referred to in paragraph 1.	2. Infrastructure managers shall provide a list in the network statement of the service facilities referred to in paragraph 1.	2. Infrastructure managers shall provide a list in the network statement of the service facilities referred to in paragraph 1.	G
Article 29(3)					
G	362	3. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that applicants can request in one place and operation capacity rights on railway infrastructure and in the service facilities referred to in paragraph 1.	3. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that applicants can request, in one place and operation, capacity rights on railway infrastructure and in the service facilities referred to in paragraph 1.	3. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that applicants can request, in one place and operation, capacity rights on railway infrastructure and in the service facilities referred to in paragraph 1, <u>using the one-stop shop established under Article 62(5).</u> <u>Infrastructure managers may enter into an agreement with operators of other service facilities for the joint provision of capacity.</u> provisionally agreed in ITM 28 Provisionally agreed in ITM 30	G
Article 29(4)					
G	363	4. For the purpose of paragraph 3, infrastructure managers and service facility ~~facility~~ <u>facilities</u> operators shall coordinate the capacity and shall provide capacity rights including capacity in the ~~rail~~ <u>service</u> facility	4. For the purpose of paragraph 3, infrastructure managers and ~~service facility~~ <u>operators of service facilities</u> shall coordinate the capacity and shall provide capacity rights including capacity in the ~~rail~~ <u>service</u> facility	4. For the purpose of paragraph 3, infrastructure managers and operators of service facilities shall coordinate the capacity and shall provide capacity rights including capacity in the service facility that meets the	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	requirements of the applicant or shall make an effort to provide a viable alternative.	that meets the requirements of the applicant or shall make an effort to provide a viable alternative.	that meets the requirements of the applicant or shall make an effort to provide a viable alternative.	requirements of the applicant or shall make an effort to provide a viable alternative. Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
Article 29(5)				
364	5. The operators of service facilities referred to in paragraph 1 shall make available information, upon request or in real time where necessary, about the available capacity to the infrastructure manager in a digital format in accordance with Article 62.	5. The operators of <u>impacted</u> service facilities referred to in paragraph 1 shall make available information, ~~upon request or~~ in real time ~~where necessary~~ , about the available capacity to the infrastructure manager in a digital format in accordance with Article 62.	5. The operators of service facilities referred to in paragraph 1 shall make available information; ~~upon request or in real time where necessary~~ , about the available capacity to the infrastructure manager in a digital format in accordance with Article ~~62~~ 62(5) and in accordance with the schedules of Annex I.	5. The operators of service facilities referred to in paragraph 1 shall make available information about the available capacity to the infrastructure manager in a digital format in accordance with Article 62(5) ~~and in accordance with the schedules of Annex I.~~ <u>Real-time information shall be provided whenever supported by the digital tools referred to in Article 62(5).</u> provisionally agreed in ITM 28
Article 29(5a)				
364a		<u>5a. When requesting capacity rights to access a service facility, applicants shall document the agreement of the service facility owner to accommodate their vehicles.</u>		<i>deleted</i> provisionally agreed in ITM 28
Article 29(6)				
365	6. For the purpose of this Article and in accordance with Article 62, ENIM shall provide	6. For the purpose of this Article and in accordance with Article 62, ENIM shall, <u>upon</u>	6. ~~For the purpose of This Article and in accordance with Article 62, ENIM shall provide~~	6. <u>This Article shall not</u> apply to operators of service facilities benefiting from an

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	guidelines on the functional and technical requirements for the exchange of information between the operators of rail facilities and infrastructure managers for the purposes of this Article. Without prejudice to Article 2 of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2177, operators of service facilities may request to be exempted from the application of this Article. Such requests shall be submitted to the regulatory body and be duly substantiated. Regulatory bodies may decide to extend an exemption in duly justified cases.	<u>approval by ERA and by ... /24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]</u> , provide guidelines on the functional and technical requirements for the exchange of information between the operators of ~~rail~~ <u>service</u> facilities and infrastructure managers ~~for the purposes of this Article~~ . Without prejudice to Article 2 of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2177, operators of service facilities may request to be exempted from the application of this Article. Such requests shall be submitted to the regulatory body and be duly substantiated. Regulatory bodies may decide to extend an exemption in duly justified cases.	~~guidelines on the functional and technical requirements for the exchange of information between the operators of rail facilities and infrastructure managers for the purposes of this Article. Without prejudice to Article 2 of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2177,~~ not apply to operators of service facilities ~~may request to be exempted from the application of this Article. Such requests shall be submitted to the regulatory body and be duly substantiated. Regulatory bodies may decide to extend~~ benefiting from an exemption ~~in duly justified cases~~ under Article 2 of Implementing Regulation 2017/2177.	exemption under Article 2 of Implementing Regulation 2017/2177. provisionally agreed in ITM 28
Article 29(7)				
366	7. The ENRRB shall monitor the application of paragraph 7 and shall provide recommendations on the criteria to be used in assessing the requests for exemptions.	7. The ENRRB shall monitor the application of paragraph ~~7~~ <u>6</u> and shall provide recommendations on the criteria to be used in assessing the requests for exemptions.	deleted	deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10
Article 29(7a)				
366a		<u>7a. Infrastructure managers shall inform the regulatory body</u>		deleted

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
				<u>of all capacity requests received that did not fit the parameters of the available capacity as defined in the capacity supply plan, and were therefore refused. On the basis of this information, the regulatory body shall issue an opinion at least every two years, in which it may recommend to the infrastructure manager to amend the capacity model.</u>				Already included in Art. 32 Provisionally agreed in ITM 26
Article 29(7b)								
	366b			<u>7b. The Commission shall adopt, by ... [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation], implementing acts to set out details on the functional and technical requirements for the exchange of information between the operators of rail facilities and infrastructure managers for the purposes of this Article and to amend paragraph 6 of this Article. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).</u>				deleted Empowerments Provisionally agreed on 13/10
Article 30								
	367	Article 30		Article 30		Article 30		Article 30

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	Working timetable	Working timetable	Working timetable	Working timetable
Article 30(1), first subparagraph				
368	1. Infrastructure managers shall establish a new working timetable before the start of each working timetable period. The duration of the working timetable period shall be one year.	1. Infrastructure managers shall establish a new working timetable before the start of each working timetable period. The duration of the working timetable period shall be one year.	1. Infrastructure managers shall ~~establish~~ publish a new working timetable before the start of each working timetable period. ~~The duration of the working timetable period shall be one year.~~	1. Infrastructure managers shall publish a new working timetable before the start of each working timetable period. provisionally agreed on 6/10
Article 30(1), second subparagraph				
369	Infrastructure managers shall initiate the preparation of the working timetable when allocating capacity through the annual allocation process referred to in Article 32, taking into account capacity rights allocated through framework agreements in accordance with Article 31 and through the rolling planning process referred to in Article 33.	Infrastructure managers shall initiate the preparation of the working timetable when allocating capacity through the annual allocation process referred to in Article 32, taking into account capacity rights allocated through framework agreements in accordance with Article 31 and through the rolling planning process referred to in Article 33.	Infrastructure managers shall initiate the preparation of the working timetable when allocating capacity through the annual allocation process referred to in Article 32, taking into account capacity rights allocated through framework agreements in accordance with Article 31 and through the rolling planning process referred to in Article 33.	Infrastructure managers shall initiate the preparation of the working timetable when allocating capacity through the annual allocation process referred to in Article 32, taking into account capacity rights allocated through framework agreements in accordance with Article 31 and through the rolling planning process referred to in Article 33.
Article 30(2)				
370	2. Infrastructure managers shall continuously update the working timetable until the end of the working timetable period, taking into account capacity allocated through the rolling planning process referred to in Article 33, capacity allocated through the ad hoc process	2. Infrastructure managers shall ~~continuously~~ at regular intervals update the working timetable until the end of the working timetable period, taking into account capacity allocated through the rolling planning process referred to in Article 33, capacity allocated through the ad	2. Infrastructure managers shall ~~continuously update the working timetable~~ ensure, until the end of the working timetable period, that the working timetable is up to date , taking into account capacity allocated through the rolling planning process referred to in Article 33,	2. Infrastructure managers shall ensure, until the end of the working timetable period, that the working timetable is up to date, taking into account capacity allocated through the rolling planning process referred to in Article 33, capacity allocated through the ad hoc process

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	referred to in Article 34, changes to capacity rights in accordance with Article 39 and rescheduling in the context of disruption management and crisis management in accordance with Article 41.	hoc process referred to in Article 34, changes to capacity rights in accordance with Article 39 and rescheduling in the context of disruption management and crisis management in accordance with Article 41.	capacity allocated through the ad hoc process referred to in Article 34, changes to capacity rights in accordance with Article 39 and rescheduling in the context of disruption management and crisis management in accordance with Article 41.	referred to in Article 34, changes to capacity rights in accordance with Article 39 and rescheduling in the context of disruption management and crisis management in accordance with Article 41. provisionally agreed on 6/10
Article 31				
371	Article 31 Capacity allocation through framework agreements	Article 31 Capacity allocation through framework agreements	Article 31 Capacity allocation through framework agreements	Article 31 Capacity allocation through framework agreements
Article 31(1), first subparagraph				
372	1. An applicant shall have the right to request infrastructure capacity over a period of time exceeding one working timetable period. Without prejudice to Articles 101, 102 and 106 TFEU, the infrastructure manager shall allocate such capacity through framework agreements concluded with that applicant, subject to paragraph 3 and paragraph 4.	1. An applicant shall have the right to request infrastructure capacity over a period of time exceeding one working timetable period. Without prejudice to Articles 101, 102 and 106 TFEU, the infrastructure manager shall allocate such capacity through framework agreements concluded with that applicant, subject to paragraph 3 and paragraph 4.	1. An applicant shall have the right to request infrastructure capacity over a period of time exceeding one working timetable period. Without prejudice to Articles 101, 102 and 106 TFEU, the infrastructure manager shall allocate such capacity through framework agreements concluded with that applicant, subject to paragraph 3 and paragraph 4.	1. An applicant shall have the right to request infrastructure capacity over a period of time exceeding one working timetable period. Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
Article 31(1), second subparagraph				
373	Framework agreements shall specify the capacity rights granted in the form of a capacity specification as referred to in	Framework agreements shall specify the capacity rights granted in the form of a capacity specification as referred to in	Framework agreements shall specify the capacity rights granted in the form of a capacity specification as referred to in	Framework agreements shall specify the capacity rights granted in the form of a capacity specification as referred to in

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	paragraph 1, point (a) of Article 26. They may not include capacity rights in the form of a train path.	paragraph 1, point (a) of Article 26. They may not include capacity rights in the form of a train path.	paragraph 1, point (a) of Article 26. They may not include capacity rights in the form of a train path.	paragraph 1, point (a) of Article 26. They may not include capacity rights in the form of a train path. Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
Article 31(1), third subparagraph				
374	Member States may require prior approval of framework agreements by the regulatory body.	Member States may require prior approval of framework agreements by the regulatory body.	Member States may require prior approval of framework agreements by the regulatory body. In the case of framework agreements involving cross-border traffic, the relevant regulatory bodies shall coordinate.	Member States may require prior approval of framework agreements by the regulatory body. In the case of framework agreements involving cross-border traffic, the relevant regulatory bodies shall coordinate. Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
Article 31(1), third subparagraph a				
374a		<u>Framework agreements shall be notified to the regulatory body and shall be approved by it. In the case of multi-network framework agreements, the approval shall take into account the opinion of the ENRRB.</u>		deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 21 Covered under line 374
Article 31(1a)				
374b			1a. Infrastructure managers shall indicate the capacity that they plan to reserve for allocation through framework agreements in the planning documents of the strategic	1a. Infrastructure managers shall indicate the capacity that they plan to reserve for allocation through framework agreements in the planning documents of the strategic capacity planning.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			capacity planning. Without prejudice to Articles 101, 102 and 106 TFEU, the capacity reserved for framework agreements in those planning documents shall be allocated by the infrastructure manager to applicants through such agreements, subject to paragraph 3 and paragraph 4. This shall not prevent infrastructure managers from allocating the requested capacity through framework agreements in cases where such capacity is not reserved in the planning documents, subject to paragraph 4.	Without prejudice to Articles 101, 102 and 106 TFEU, the capacity reserved for framework agreements in those planning documents shall be allocated by the infrastructure manager to applicants through such agreements, subject to paragraph 3 and paragraph 4. This shall not prevent infrastructure managers from allocating the requested capacity through framework agreements in cases where such capacity is not reserved in the planning documents, subject to paragraph 4. Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
	Article 31(1b), first subparagraph			
G 374c			1b. Framework agreements may be concluded between several infrastructure managers and an applicant in case they cover more than one network.	1b. Framework agreements may be concluded between several infrastructure managers and an applicant in case they cover more than one network. Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
	Article 31(1b), second subparagraph			
G 374d	Framework agreements shall specify the capacity rights granted in the form of a capacity specification as referred to in		1c. Framework agreements shall specify the capacity rights granted in the form of a capacity specification as referred to in	<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 21

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	paragraph 1, point (a) of Article 26. They may not include capacity rights in the form of a train path. Moved reference text		paragraph 1, point (a) of Article 26. They may not include capacity rights in the form of a train path. Moved from row 373 [373 - 374d]	
Article 31(1b), third subparagraph				
374e	Member States may require prior approval of framework agreements by the regulatory body. Moved reference text		1d. Member States may require prior approval of framework agreements by the regulatory body. In the case of framework agreements involving cross-border traffic, the relevant regulatory bodies shall coordinate. Moved from row 374 [374 - 374e]	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 21</i>
Article 31(2)				
375	2. An applicant who is a party to a framework agreement shall request the conversion of the capacity specifications included in the framework agreement into a corresponding train path in accordance with that agreement.	2. An applicant who is a party to a framework agreement shall request the conversion of the capacity specifications included in the framework agreement into a corresponding train path in accordance with that agreement.	2. An applicant who is a party to a framework agreement shall request the conversion of the capacity specifications included in the framework agreement into a corresponding train path in accordance with that agreement. This conversion shall be carried out in accordance with Article 26(2).	2. An applicant who is a party to a framework agreement shall request the conversion of the capacity specifications included in the framework agreement into a corresponding train path in accordance with that agreement. This conversion shall be carried out in accordance with Article 26(2). <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 21</i>
Article 31(3)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
376	3. Infrastructure managers shall conclude framework agreements only where the capacity right requested is consistent with the planning documents of the strategic capacity planning referred to in Article 11(2). Infrastructure managers shall indicate the capacity that they plan to reserve for allocation through framework agreements in these planning documents.	3. Infrastructure managers shall conclude framework agreements only where the capacity right requested is consistent with the planning documents of the strategic capacity planning referred to in Article 11(2). Infrastructure managers, <u>after consultation with the neighbouring networks</u> , shall indicate the capacity that they plan to reserve for allocation through framework agreements in these planning documents.	3. Infrastructure managers shall ~~conclude~~ ensure that framework agreements ~~only where the capacity right requested is~~ consistent with the planning documents of the strategic capacity planning referred to in Article 11(2). ~~Infrastructure managers,~~ where available, and with the strategic guidance referred to in Article 11(3), where Member States have provided such guidance and have provided that it shall indicate the capacity that they plan to reserve for allocation through framework agreements in these planning documents be binding.	3. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that framework agreements are consistent with the <u>following</u> : - <u>The</u> planning documents ~~of the~~ <u>related to</u> strategic capacity planning <u>as</u> referred to in Article 11(2), ~~where available, and with~~ ; - <u>The strategic guidance referred to in Article 11(3), and its possible binding elements</u> where Member States have provided such guidance ~~and have provided that it shall be binding~~ <u>in accordance with Article 3a.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Article 31(3), second subparagraph				
376a			Neighbouring infrastructure managers shall coordinate their strategic capacity plannings on the share of capacity available for cross-border framework agreements.	<u>2a.</u> Neighbouring infrastructure managers shall coordinate their strategic capacity ~~plannings on the share of capacity~~ <u>planning on</u> available <u>capacity</u> for cross-border framework agreements. Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
Article 31(4)				
377	4. Framework agreements shall not be such as to preclude the	4. Framework agreements shall not be such as to preclude the	4. Framework agreements shall not be such as to preclude the	4. Framework agreements shall not be such as to preclude the

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	use of the relevant infrastructure by other applicants or services. For this purpose, infrastructure managers shall set the maximum shares of total capacity that can be allocated through framework agreements and include these in the network statement.	use of the relevant infrastructure by other applicants or services. For this purpose, infrastructure managers shall, <u>after consultation with the regulatory body</u> , set the maximum shares of total capacity that can be allocated through framework agreements and include these in the network statement. <u>Infrastructure managers of neighbouring countries who have concluded cross-border framework agreements shall align these maximum shares of total capacity and make them as consistent as possible.</u>	use of the relevant infrastructure by other applicants or services. For this purpose, infrastructure managers shall set the maximum shares of total capacity that can be allocated through framework agreements and include these in the network statement.	use of the relevant infrastructure by other applicants or services. For this purpose, infrastructure managers shall set the maximum shares of total capacity that can be allocated through framework agreements and include these in the network statement. Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
Article 31(5)				
378	5. Framework agreements shall allow for the amendment of their terms to enable better use to be made of the railway infrastructure, without prejudice to Article 39 and Article 40.	5. Framework agreements shall allow for the amendment of their terms to enable better use to be made of the railway infrastructure, without prejudice to Article 39 and Article 40.	5. Framework agreements shall allow for the amendment of their terms to enable better use to be made of the railway infrastructure, ~~without prejudice to Article 39 and~~ . Those amendments shall not be considered as changes to capacity rights subject to a penalty pursuant to Article 40. Other changes to capacity rights allocated through framework agreements shall be subject to a	5. Framework agreements shall allow for the amendment of their terms to enable better use to be made of the railway infrastructure. ~~Those amendments shall not be considered as changes to capacity rights subject to a penalty pursuant to, without prejudice to Article 39 and~~ Article 40. ~~Other changes to capacity rights allocated through framework agreements shall be~~

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			penalty in accordance with Article 40.	~~subject to a penalty in accordance with Article 40.~~ Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 31(6)				
379	6. Changes to capacity rights allocated through framework agreements shall be subject to compensation in accordance with Article 40, except for the situation referred to in paragraph 5.	6. Changes to capacity rights allocated through framework agreements shall be subject to compensation in accordance with Article 40, except for the situation referred to in paragraph 5.	deleted	<u>6. Changes to capacity rights allocated through framework agreements shall be subject to penalties in accordance with Article 40, except for the situation referred to in paragraph 5.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 31(7)				
380	7. Framework agreements shall, in principle, cover the period indicated in section 5 of Annex I. The infrastructure manager may agree to a shorter or longer period in specific cases. Any period longer than the period indicated in point 5 of Annex I shall be justified by the requirement of dedicated investments by new entrants or by the substantial novelty of the service.	7. Framework agreements shall, in principle, cover the period indicated in section 5 of Annex I. The infrastructure manager may agree to a shorter or longer period in specific cases. Any period longer than the period indicated in ~~point~~ <u>section</u> 5 of Annex I shall be justified by the requirement of dedicated investments by new entrants or by the substantial novelty of the service.	7. Framework agreements shall, in principle, cover the period indicated in section 5 of Annex I. The infrastructure manager may agree to a shorter or longer period in specific cases. Any period longer than the period indicated in ~~point~~ <u>section</u> 5 of Annex I shall be justified by the requirement of dedicated investments by new entrants or by the substantial novelty of the service, including significant improvement of service quality.	7. Framework agreements shall, in principle, cover the period indicated in section 5 of Annex I. The infrastructure manager may agree to a shorter or longer period in specific cases. Any period longer than the period indicated in section 5 of Annex I shall be justified by the requirement of dedicated investments by new entrants or by the substantial novelty of the service, ~~including significant~~ <u>providing significant</u> improvement of service quality. Provisionally agreed in ITM 21

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 31(8)				
381	8. For services using specialised infrastructure referred to in Article 24, which requires substantial and long-term investment, duly justified by the applicant, framework agreements may be concluded for a period of 15 years. Any period longer than 15 years may be agreed only in exceptional cases, in particular where there is large-scale and long-term investment and where such investment is covered by contractual commitments including a multiannual amortisation plan.	8. For services using specialised infrastructure referred to in Article 24, which requires substantial and long-term investment, duly justified by the applicant, framework agreements may be concluded for a period of 15 years. Any period longer than 15 years may be agreed only in exceptional cases, in particular where there is large-scale and long-term investment and where such investment is covered by contractual commitments including a multiannual amortisation plan.	8. For services using specialised infrastructure referred to in Article 24, which requires substantial and long-term investment, duly justified by the applicant, framework agreements may be concluded for a period of 15 years. Any period longer than 15 years may be agreed only in exceptional cases, in particular where there is large-scale and long-term investment and where such investment is covered by contractual commitments including a multiannual amortisation plan.	8. For services using specialised infrastructure referred to in Article 24, which requires substantial and long-term investment, duly justified by the applicant, framework agreements may be concluded for a period of 15 years. Any period longer than 15 years may be agreed only in exceptional cases, in particular where there is large-scale and long-term investment and where such investment is covered by contractual commitments including a multiannual amortisation plan.
Article 31(9)				
382	9. Infrastructure managers shall include capacity allocated through framework agreements in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	9. Infrastructure managers shall include capacity allocated through framework agreements in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	9. Infrastructure managers shall include capacity allocated through framework agreements in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	9. Infrastructure managers shall include capacity allocated through framework agreements in the capacity model referred to in Article 17 and in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.
Article 31(10)				
383	10. While respecting commercial confidentiality, the general nature of each framework	10. While respecting commercial confidentiality, the general nature of each framework	10. While respecting commercial confidentiality, the general nature of each framework	10. While respecting commercial confidentiality, the general nature of each framework

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	agreement shall be made available to any interested party.	agreement shall be made available to any interested party.	agreement shall be made available to any interested party.	agreement shall be made available to any interested party.
Article 31(11)				
384	11. Based on the experience of regulatory bodies, competent authorities and railway undertakings and based on the activities of the ENRRB, the Commission may adopt an implementing act setting out the details of the procedure and criteria to be followed for the uniform application of this Article and of Article 33. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).	11. Based on the experience of regulatory bodies, competent authorities and railway undertakings and based on the activities of the ENRRB, the Commission ~~may~~<u>shall, by... [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]</u>, adopt an implementing act ~~setting to set~~ out the details of the procedure and criteria to be followed for the uniform application of this Article and of <u>Article 33 and to amend this Article and in</u> Article 33. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).	11. Based on the experience of regulatory bodies, competent authorities ~~and~~, railway undertakings, infrastructure managers and ~~based on the activities of the ENRRB, the Commission may adopt an implementing act~~<u>acts</u> setting out ~~the details of~~<u>detailed rules regarding the procedure for concluding framework agreements, the form and the content of such agreements to ensure</u> ~~and criteria to be followed for the uniform application of this Article and of Article 33. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).~~	11. Based on the experience of regulatory bodies, competent authorities, railway undertakings, infrastructure managers and of the ENRRB, the Commission may adopt implementing acts setting out detailed rules regarding the procedure <u>and criteria</u> for concluding framework agreements, the form and the content of such agreements to ensure the uniform application of this Article. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3). Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (23/10) Presidency can offer this implementing act. The implementing act for Article 33 is split and introduced in Article 33 (line 414a)
Article 32				
385	Article 32	Article 32	Article 32	Article 32

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	Capacity allocation through the annual allocation process	Capacity allocation through the annual allocation process	Capacity allocation through the annual allocation process	Capacity allocation through the annual allocation process Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(1)				
386	1. The infrastructure manager shall establish the first operational version of the working timetable for a given working timetable period on the basis of the following:	1. The infrastructure manager shall establish the first operational version of the working timetable for a given working timetable period on the basis of the following:	1. The infrastructure manager shall establish the first ~~operational version of the working timetable, referred to in Article 30~~ , for a given working timetable period on the basis of the following:	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(1), point (a)				
387	(a) requests for capacity rights received in the annual allocation process;	(a) requests for capacity rights received in the annual allocation process;	(a) requests for capacity rights received in the annual allocation process;	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(1), point (b)				
388	(b) capacity rights relating to the working timetable period concerned and granted through framework agreements in accordance with Article 31;	(b) capacity rights relating to the working timetable period concerned and granted through framework agreements in accordance with Article 31;	(b) capacity rights relating to the working timetable period concerned and granted through framework agreements in accordance with Article 31;	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(1), point (c)				
389	(c) capacity rights relating to the working timetable period concerned and granted through the rolling planning process in accordance with Article 33.	(c) capacity rights relating to the working timetable period concerned and granted through the rolling planning process in accordance with Article 33.	(c) capacity rights relating to the working timetable period concerned and granted through the rolling planning process in accordance with Article 33.	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 32(2)				
390	2. The infrastructure manager shall allocate capacity through simultaneous capacity allocation, with a view to meeting, to the extent possible, all requests for infrastructure capacity referred to in paragraph 1, subject to paragraphs 5 and 6. The infrastructure manager shall take into account, to the extent possible, all constraints on applicants, including the economic effect on their business.	2. The infrastructure manager shall allocate capacity through simultaneous capacity allocation, with a view to meeting, to the extent possible, all requests for infrastructure capacity referred to in paragraph 1, subject to paragraphs 5 and 6. The infrastructure manager shall take into account, to the extent possible, all constraints on applicants, including the economic effect on their business.	2. The infrastructure manager shall allocate capacity through simultaneous capacity allocation, with a view to meeting, to the extent possible, all requests for infrastructure capacity referred to in paragraph 1, subject to ~~paragraphs 5 and 6~~ paragraph 6 and to Article 27(6a) . The infrastructure manager shall take into account, to the extent possible, all constraints on applicants, including the economic effect on their business.	2. The infrastructure manager shall allocate capacity through simultaneous capacity allocation, with a view to meeting, to the extent possible, all requests for infrastructure capacity referred to in ~~paragraph 1~~ Article 30(1) , subject to ~~paragraph 6 and to paragraphs 3, 4 and 5 of this Article~~ ~~27(6a)~~ . The infrastructure manager shall take into account, to the extent possible, all constraints on applicants, including the economic effect on their business. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(3), first subparagraph				
391	3. In the event of a conflict between two or more capacity requests, the infrastructure managers shall first attempt to resolve the conflict through the consensual consultation mechanism referred to in Article 36.	3. In the event of a conflict between two or more capacity requests, ~~or in the event of capacity requests not consistent with the capacity supply plan~~ , the infrastructure managers shall first attempt to resolve the conflict through the consensual ~~consultation~~ conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36.	<i>deleted</i>	<u>3. In accordance with Article 18 and Article 20, the infrastructure manager may accept or refuse requests for capacity rights not consistent with the capacity supply plan.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(3), second subparagraph				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
392	4. If the consensual dispute resolution mechanism referred to in paragraph 3 has not resolved the capacity conflict, the infrastructure managers shall apply the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37.	4. If the consensual dispute resolution mechanism referred to in paragraph 3 has not resolved the capacity conflict, the infrastructure managers shall apply the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37.	deleted	~~4.~~ <u>Where a request is not consistent with the capacity supply plan, the infrastructure manager shall have the right, within reasonable limits, to propose infrastructure capacity that differs from that which was requested, and to modify the capacity supply plan, or both. In such cases, the infrastructure manager shall apply the criteria and procedures referred to in Article 20(1).</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(3), third subparagraph				
393	5. In accordance with Article 18 and Article 20, the infrastructure manager shall give priority to requests that are consistent with the pre-planned capacity defined in the capacity supply plan. As a result, the infrastructure manager may either accept or refuse requests that are not consistent with the capacity supply plan.	5. In accordance with Article 18 and Article 20, the infrastructure manager shall give priority to requests that are consistent with the pre-planned capacity defined in the capacity supply plan. ~~As a result, the infrastructure manager may either accept or refuse requests that are not consistent with the,~~ <u>provided operational stakeholders had been duly consulted and their announcements of capacity supply plan had been taken into account to the utmost extent.</u>	deleted	<u>When accepting requests not consistent with the capacity supply plan, the infrastructure manager shall aim to maintain the overall balance between the elements of the capacity supply plan listed in Article 18(6) and, in the event of conflict with other requests, give priority to requests that are consistent with the capacity supply plan, in particular the pre-planned capacity.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 32(3), fourth subparagraph				
394	When accepting requests not consistent with the capacity supply plan, the infrastructure manager shall aim to maintain the overall balance between the elements of the capacity supply plan listed in Article 18(6).	When accepting requests not consistent with the capacity supply plan, the infrastructure manager shall aim to maintain the overall balance between the elements of the capacity supply plan listed in Article 18(6) <u>and the request itself. These applications are to be taken into account if there is enough free capacity available without restricting the needs for subsequent applications.</u>	deleted	<u>When refusing requests not consistent with the capacity supply plan, the infrastructure manager shall, without delay, inform the applicant concerned of its intention to refuse a request. The latter shall have the right to lodge a complaint with the regulatory body.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(3), fifth subparagraph				
394a				<u>Infrastructure managers shall inform at least every year the regulatory body of all capacity requests received that did not fit the parameters of the available capacity as defined in the capacity supply plan, regardless of whether they were accepted or refused. On the basis of this information the regulatory body may issue an opinion, which may recommend to the infrastructure manager to amend the capacity model.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(4)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 394b				<u>4. In the event of a conflict between two or more capacity requests, the infrastructure managers shall first attempt to resolve the conflict through the consensual consultation mechanism referred to in Article 36.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(5)				
G 395	When refusing requests not consistent with the capacity supply plan, the infrastructure manager shall, without delay, inform the applicant concerned of its intention to refuse a request. The latter shall have the right to lodge a complaint with the regulatory body.	When ~~refusing~~ <u>processing</u> requests not consistent with the capacity supply plan, <u>the infrastructure manager should offer an alternative and, where time-wise possible, preceded by consultation with the applicants concerned. If no alternative offer is possible when refusing a request</u> , the infrastructure manager shall, without delay, inform the applicant concerned of its intention to refuse a request. The latter shall have the right to lodge a complaint with the regulatory body.	deleted	<u>5. If the consensual dispute resolution mechanism referred to in paragraph 3 has not resolved the capacity conflict, the infrastructure managers shall apply the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(2d), first subparagraph				
G 396	6. Capacity rights granted through the annual allocation process may comprise:	6. Capacity rights granted through the annual allocation process may comprise:	6. Capacity rights granted through the annual allocation process may comprise:	6. Capacity rights granted through the annual allocation process may comprise:

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(2d), first subparagraph, point (a)				
G 397	(a) train paths;	(a) train paths;	(a) train paths;	(a) train paths; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(2d), first subparagraph, point (b)				
G 398	(b) capacity specifications.	(b) capacity specifications.	(b) capacity specifications.	(b) capacity specifications. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(2d), second subparagraph				
G 399	Infrastructure managers shall convert capacity rights granted in the form of capacity specifications to train paths in accordance with section 4 of Annex I.	Infrastructure managers shall convert capacity rights granted in the form of capacity specifications to train paths in accordance with section 4 of Annex I.	Infrastructure managers shall convert capacity rights granted in the form of capacity specifications to train paths in accordance with section 4 of Annex I.	Infrastructure managers shall convert capacity rights granted in the form of capacity specifications to train paths in accordance with section 4 of Annex I. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(7)				
G 400	7. The infrastructure manager shall comply with the schedule for the allocation process set out in section 4 of Annex I.	7. The infrastructure manager shall comply with the schedule for the allocation process set out in section 4 of Annex I.	7. The infrastructure manager shall comply with the schedule for the allocation process set out in section 4 of Annex I.	7. The infrastructure manager shall comply with the schedule for the allocation process set out in section 4 of Annex I. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(8)				
G 401	8. The infrastructure manager shall consider requests	8. The infrastructure manager shall consider requests	deleted	<u>8. The infrastructure manager shall consider requests</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	submitted after the initial deadline and prior to the final deadline set out in point 4 of Annex I. In such cases, the infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity rights in accordance with section 4, point 2 of Annex I.	submitted after the initial deadline and prior to the final deadline set out in ~~point~~ <u>section</u> 4 of Annex I. In such cases, the infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity rights in accordance with section 4, point 2 of Annex I.		<u>submitted after the initial deadline and prior to the final deadline set out in section 4 of Annex I and allocate the capacity on the basis of the first come, first served principle.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(9)				
402	9. For requests for the working timetable received after the initial deadline, which cannot be accommodated either with residual capacity reserved for the working timetable, or with the available unplanned capacity, the infrastructure manager shall try to offer alternatives via a different itinerary.	9. For requests for the working timetable received after the initial deadline, which cannot be accommodated either with residual capacity reserved for the working timetable, or with the available unplanned capacity, the infrastructure manager shall try to offer alternatives via a different itinerary.	deleted	<u>9. For requests for the working timetable received after the initial deadline, which cannot be accommodated either with residual capacity reserved for the working timetable, or with the available unplanned capacity, the infrastructure manager shall try to offer alternatives via a different itinerary.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(10)				
403	10. The infrastructure manager shall consult interested parties on the draft working timetable. Interested parties shall include all those who have requested infrastructure capacity and other parties who wish to have the opportunity to comment on how the working timetable may	10. The infrastructure manager shall consult interested parties on the draft working timetable. Interested parties shall include all those who have requested infrastructure capacity and other parties who wish to have the opportunity to comment on how the working timetable may	10. The infrastructure manager shall consult interested parties on the draft working timetable. Interested parties shall include all those who have requested infrastructure capacity and other parties who wish to have the opportunity to comment on how the working timetable may	10. The infrastructure manager shall consult interested parties on the draft working timetable. Interested parties shall include all those who have requested infrastructure capacity and other parties who wish to have the opportunity to comment on how the working timetable may

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	affect their ability to procure rail services during the working timetable period.	affect their ability to procure rail services during the working timetable period.	affect their ability to procure rail services during the working timetable period.	affect their ability to procure rail services during the working timetable period. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 32(11)				
G 404	11. The infrastructure manager shall take appropriate measures to deal with any concerns that are expressed.	11. The infrastructure manager shall take appropriate measures to deal with any concerns that are expressed.	11. The infrastructure manager shall take appropriate measures to deal with any concerns that are expressed.	11. The infrastructure manager shall take appropriate measures to deal with any concerns that are expressed. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 33				
G 405	Article 33 Capacity allocation through the rolling planning process	Article 33 Capacity allocation through the rolling planning process	Article 33 Capacity allocation through the rolling planning process	Article 33 Capacity allocation through the rolling planning process
Article 33(1)				
G 406	1. Infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity through the rolling planning process in accordance with the deadlines set out in point 6 of Annex I. Infrastructure managers shall reserve capacity for this purpose in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	1. Infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity through the rolling planning process in accordance with the deadlines set out in ~~point~~ <u>section</u> 6 of Annex I. Infrastructure managers shall reserve capacity for this purpose in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	1. Infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity through the rolling planning process at least to rail freight services in accordance with the deadlines set out in ~~point~~ <u>section</u> 6 of Annex I. Infrastructure managers shall reserve capacity for this purpose in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	1. Infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity through the rolling planning process at least to rail freight services in accordance with the deadlines set out in section 6 of Annex I. Infrastructure managers shall reserve capacity for this purpose in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18. Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Article 33(1), second subparagraph				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 406a			Without prejudice to Articles 101, 102 and 106 TFEU, the capacity reserved for rolling planning in the planning documents shall be allocated by the infrastructure manager to applicants through the rolling planning process. This shall not prevent infrastructure managers from allocating the requested capacity through rolling planning in cases where such capacity is not reserved in the planning documents. The rolling planning process shall not be such as to preclude the use of the relevant infrastructure by other applicants or services.	Without prejudice to Articles 101, 102 and 106 TFEU, the capacity reserved for rolling planning in the planning documents shall be allocated <u>as a priority</u> by the infrastructure manager to applicants through the rolling planning process. This shall not prevent infrastructure managers from allocating the requested capacity through rolling planning in cases where such capacity is not reserved in the planning documents. The rolling planning process shall not be such as to preclude the use of the relevant infrastructure by other applicants or services. Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Article 33(2), first subparagraph				
G 407	2. Capacity rights granted through the rolling planning process may comprise:	2. Capacity rights granted through the rolling planning process may comprise:	2. Capacity rights granted through the rolling planning process may comprise:	2. Capacity rights granted through the rolling planning process may comprise:
Article 33(2), first subparagraph, point (a)				
G 408	(a) train paths or capacity specifications for all running days during the working timetable period comprising the first running day included in the request;	(a) train paths or capacity specifications for all running days during the working timetable period comprising the first running day included in the request;	(a) train paths or capacity specifications for all running days during the working timetable period comprising the first running day included in the request;	(a) train paths or capacity specifications for all running days during the working timetable period comprising the first running day included in the request;

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 33(2), first subparagraph, point (b)				
G 409	(b) capacity specifications for all running days beyond the working timetable period comprising the first day included in the request for a maximum period specified in point 6 of Annex I.	(b) capacity specifications for all running days beyond the working timetable period comprising the first day included in the request for a maximum period specified in ~~point~~ <u>section</u> 6 of Annex I.	(b) capacity specifications for all running days beyond the working timetable period comprising the first day included in the request for a maximum period specified in ~~point~~ <u>section</u> 6 of Annex I.	(b) capacity specifications for all running days beyond the working timetable period comprising the first day included in the request for a maximum period specified in section 6 of Annex I.
Article 33(2), second subparagraph				
G 410	Infrastructure managers shall convert capacity rights granted in the form of capacity specifications to train paths in accordance with section 6 of Annex I.	Infrastructure managers shall convert capacity rights granted in the form of capacity specifications to train paths in accordance with section 6 of Annex I.	Infrastructure managers shall convert capacity rights granted in the form of capacity specifications to train paths in accordance with section 6 of Annex I.	Infrastructure managers shall convert capacity rights granted in the form of capacity specifications to train paths in accordance with section 6 of Annex I.
Article 33(2a)				
G 410a			2a. Infrastructure managers and applicants may jointly decide to amend the capacity specifications granted through the rolling planning process to enable a better use of the railway infrastructure. Those amendments shall not be considered as changes to capacity rights subject to a penalty pursuant to Article 40. Other changes to capacity rights allocated through rolling planning process shall be subject	2a. Infrastructure managers and applicants may jointly decide to amend the capacity specifications granted through the rolling planning process to enable a better use of the railway infrastructure. Those ~~amendements~~ <u>amendments</u> shall not be considered as changes to capacity rights subject to a penalty pursuant to Article 40. Other changes to capacity rights allocated through rolling planning process shall be subject to a

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			to a penalty in accordance with Article 40.	penalty in accordance with Article 40. Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Article 33(3)				
411	3. Without prejudice to Article 18, infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity reserved for the rolling planning allocation process on the basis of the allocation principle set out in section 5, point 2 of Annex I.	3. Without prejudice to Article 18, infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity reserved for the rolling planning allocation process on the basis of the allocation principle set out in section 56, point 2 of Annex I.	3. Without prejudice to Article 18, infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity reserved for the rolling planning allocation process on the basis of the allocation principle set out in section 5, point 2 of Annex I.	3. Without prejudice to Article 18, infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity reserved for the rolling planning process on the basis of the first come, first served principle. Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Article 33(4)				
412	4. In accordance with the rules and procedures set out in Article 20, infrastructure managers may refuse to allocate capacity for rolling planning requests if requests are inconsistent with the capacity supply plan adopted in accordance with Article 18. A refusal shall be communicated to the applicant without delay. The applicant shall have the right to lodge a complaint with the regulatory body.	4. In accordance with the rules and procedures set out in Article 20, infrastructure managers ~~may refuse to allocate~~ shall try their utmost to offer alternative capacity for rolling planning requests if requests are inconsistent with the capacity supply plan adopted in accordance with Article 18. ~~A refusal shall be communicated to the applicant without delay.~~ If it is not possible to offer an alternative, the infrastructure manager may refuse to allocate capacity to such inconsistent request. The applicant shall have the right to	deleted	<u>4. In accordance with the rules and procedures set out in Article 20, infrastructure managers shall try their utmost to offer alternative capacity for rolling planning requests if requests are inconsistent with the capacity supply plan adopted in accordance with Article 18. If it is not possible to offer an alternative, the infrastructure manager may refuse to allocate capacity to such inconsistent request. The applicant shall have the right to lodge a complaint with the regulatory body.</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		lodge a complaint with the regulatory body.		Provisionally agreed in ITM 22 Reinstated, as this makes sense for the rolling planning as well.
Article 33(5)				
413	5. Infrastructure managers shall inform the regulatory body of all capacity requests received that did not fit the parameters of the available capacity as defined in the capacity supply plan, regardless of whether they were accepted or refused. On the basis of this information the regulatory body shall issue an opinion at least every two years, which may recommend to the infrastructure manager to amend the capacity model.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Article 33(6)				
414	6. The infrastructure manager, following a notification to the regulatory body, or following a recommendation by the regulatory body, shall refuse rolling planning requests, if they are of repetitive nature and meet the characteristics of capacity that is normally allocated through framework agreements in	6. The infrastructure manager, following a notification to the regulatory body, or following a recommendation by the regulatory body, shall refuse rolling planning requests, if they are of repetitive nature and meet the characteristics of capacity that is normally allocated through framework agreements in	6. The infrastructure manager, following a notification to the regulatory body, ~~or following a recommendation by the regulatory body,~~ shall refuse rolling planning requests, if they ~~are of repetitive nature and~~ meet the characteristics of capacity that is normally allocated through framework agreements in	6. The infrastructure manager, following a notification to the regulatory body, shall refuse rolling planning requests, if they meet the characteristics of capacity that is normally allocated through framework agreements in accordance with Article 31. The regulatory body shall inform the ENRRB of such refusals and shall

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	accordance with Article 31. The regulatory body shall inform the ENRRB of such decisions and recommendations and the former shall ensure a coherent application of this paragraph.	accordance with Article 31. The regulatory body shall inform the ENRRB of such decisions and recommendations and the former shall ensure a coherent application of this paragraph.	accordance with Article 31. The regulatory body shall inform the ENRRB of such decisions and recommendations and the former shall ensure a coherent application of this paragraph.	ensure a coherent application of this paragraph. Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
	Article 33(6a)			
G 414a				<u>6a. Based on the experience of regulatory bodies, competent authorities, railway undertakings, infrastructure managers and of the ENRRB, the Commission may adopt implementing acts setting out detailed rules regarding the rolling planning process to ensure the uniform application of this Article. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (23/10) Presidency can offer this implementing act. See also line 384.
	Article 34			
G 415	Article 34	Article 34	Article 34	Article 34

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	Capacity allocation through the ad hoc process	Capacity allocation through the ad hoc process	Capacity allocation through the ad hoc process	Capacity allocation through the ad hoc process
Article 34(1), first subparagraph				
416	1. The infrastructure manager shall respond promptly, and in any event within the deadlines set out in section 7 of Annex I, to ad hoc requests for infrastructure capacity submitted at any time during the validity of the working timetable. Information on available spare capacity shall be made available in accordance with Article 9 to all applicants who may wish to use this capacity.	1. The infrastructure manager shall respond promptly, and in any event within the deadlines set out in section 7 of Annex I, to ad hoc requests for infrastructure capacity submitted at any time during the validity of the working timetable. Information on available spare capacity shall be made available in accordance with Article 9 to all applicants who may wish to use this capacity.	1. The infrastructure manager shall respond promptly, and in any event within the deadlines set out in section 7 of Annex I, to ad hoc requests for infrastructure capacity submitted at any time during the validity of the working timetable. Information on available spare capacity shall be made available in accordance with Article 9 to all applicants who may wish to use this capacity.	1. The infrastructure manager shall respond ~~promptly~~ <u>without undue delay</u> , and in any event within the deadlines set out in section 7 of Annex I, to ad hoc requests for infrastructure capacity submitted at any time during the validity of the working timetable. Information on available spare capacity shall be made available in accordance with Article 9 to all applicants who may wish to use this capacity.
Article 34(1), second subparagraph				
417	Capacity rights granted through the ad hoc process shall take the form of train paths.	Capacity rights granted through the ad hoc process shall take the form of train paths.	Capacity rights granted through the ad hoc process shall take the form of train paths.	Capacity rights granted through the ad hoc process shall take the form of train paths.
Article 34(2)				
418	2. The allocation of infrastructure capacity in response to ad hoc requests shall be based on the first come, first served principle.	2. The allocation of infrastructure capacity in response to ad hoc requests shall be based on the first come, first served principle.	2. The allocation of infrastructure capacity in response to ad hoc requests shall be based on the first come, first served principle.	2. The allocation of infrastructure capacity in response to ad hoc requests shall be based on the first come, first served principle.
Article 35				
419	Article 35	Article 35	Article 35	Article 35

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	Scheduling of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works	Scheduling of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works	Scheduling of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works	Scheduling of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works
Article 35(1)				
Y	420	1. Infrastructure managers shall schedule capacity restriction resulting from infrastructure works not included in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 as soon as possible.	1. Infrastructure managers shall schedule capacity restriction resulting from infrastructure works not included in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 as soon as possible.	10a. ~~When scheduling infrastructure works in accordance with this Article,~~ infrastructure managers shall ~~adhere to the schedule capacity restriction resulting from infrastructure works not included in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 as soon as possible and requirements set out in section 3 of Annex I.~~ GA merges lines 420 and 425 - linked with 422 0a. ~~When scheduling infrastructure works in accordance with this Article,~~ Infrastructure managers shall adhere to the schedule and requirements set out in section 3 <u>[and section 8]</u> of Annex I <u>- when scheduling infrastructure works in accordance with this Article.</u> (22/10) Addition of section 8 is subject to the outcome on section 3 The idea is to introduce windows in section 8.
Article 35(2)				
G	421	2. When scheduling infrastructure works, infrastructure managers shall limit the impact on pre-planned capacity included in the capacity model or in the capacity supply plan to the extent possible.	2. When scheduling infrastructure works, infrastructure managers shall limit the impact on pre-planned capacity included in the capacity model or in the capacity supply plan to the extent possible.	20b. When scheduling infrastructure works, infrastructure managers shall limit the impact on pre-planned capacity included in the capacity model or in the capacity supply plan to the extent possible. ~~0b2.~~ When scheduling infrastructure works, infrastructure managers shall limit the impact on pre-planned capacity included in the capacity model or in the capacity supply plan to the extent possible.
Article 35(3)				
G	422	3. If the scheduling of a capacity restriction resulting from infrastructure works requires a	3. If the scheduling of a capacity restriction resulting from infrastructure works requires a	31. ~~If the scheduling of a~~ Infrastructure managers shall schedule capacity restriction deleted

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	change to an allocated capacity right within the meaning of Article 39, the applicant or applicants concerned shall be entitled to the compensation referred to in Article 40.	change to an allocated capacity right within the meaning of Article 39, the applicant or applicants concerned shall be entitled to the compensation referred to in Article 40.	resulting from infrastructure works requires a change to an allocated capacity right within the meaning of Article 39, the applicant or applicants concerned shall be entitled to the compensation supply plan referred to in Article 40 as soon as possible.	<i>provisionally agreed on 6/10</i>
<i>Article 35(4), first subparagraph</i>				
G 423	4. If the scheduling of a capacity restriction resulting from infrastructure works requires a change to an allocated capacity right within the meaning of Article 39, the infrastructure manager shall do its utmost to provide the applicants concerned with alternative capacity.	4. If the scheduling of a capacity restriction resulting from infrastructure works requires a change to an allocated capacity right within the meaning of Article 39, the infrastructure manager shall do its utmost to provide the applicants concerned with alternative capacity.	4. If the scheduling of a capacity restriction resulting from infrastructure works requires a change to an allocated capacity right within the meaning of Article 39, the infrastructure manager shall do its utmost to provide the applicants concerned with alternative capacity which allows the railway undertaking to operate the services concerned.	4. If the scheduling of a capacity restriction resulting from infrastructure works requires a change to an allocated capacity right within the meaning of Article 39, the infrastructure manager shall do its utmost to provide the applicants concerned with alternative capacity which allows the railway undertaking to operate the services concerned. <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 20</i>
<i>Article 35(4), second subparagraph</i>				
G 424	For that purpose, the infrastructure manager shall inform all applicants concerned about the intended change to the capacity rights concerned. It shall offer to the applicants concerned	For that purpose, the infrastructure manager shall inform all applicants concerned about the intended change to the capacity rights concerned. It shall offer to the applicants concerned	For that purpose, the infrastructure manager shall inform all applicants concerned about the intended change to the capacity rights concerned. It shall offer to the applicants concerned	For that purpose, the infrastructure manager shall inform all applicants concerned about the intended change to the capacity rights concerned. It shall offer to the applicants concerned

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	alternative capacity rights or launch a coordination with the applicants concerned with a view to agreeing on alternative capacity rights.	alternative capacity rights or launch a coordination with the applicants concerned with a view to agreeing on alternative capacity rights.	alternative capacity rights or launch a coordination with the applicants concerned with a view to agreeing on alternative capacity rights.	alternative capacity rights or launch a coordination with the applicants concerned with a view to agreeing on alternative capacity rights.
Article 35(5)				
425	5. When scheduling infrastructure works in accordance with this Article, infrastructure managers shall adhere to the schedule set out in section 3 of Annex I.	5. When scheduling infrastructure works in accordance with this Article, infrastructure managers shall adhere to the schedule set out in section 3 of Annex I.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 27</i>
Article 35(6)				
426	6. Infrastructure managers shall include all capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works in the capacity model and in the capacity supply plan, irrespective of the moment when they are scheduled.	6. Infrastructure managers shall include all capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works in the capacity model and in the capacity supply plan, irrespective of the moment when they are scheduled.	6. Infrastructure managers shall include ~~all~~ capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works, as soon as they are scheduled in the capacity model ~~and~~ in the capacity supply plan, ~~irrespective~~ regardless of the moment when they are scheduled.	6. Infrastructure managers shall include capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works, as soon as they are scheduled in the capacity model or in the capacity supply plan, regardless of the moment when they are scheduled. <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 20</i>
Article 36				
427	Article 36 Consensual conflict resolution mechanism and coordination of requests	Article 36 Consensual conflict resolution mechanism and coordination of requests	Article 36 Consensual conflict resolution mechanism and coordination of requests	Article 36 Consensual conflict resolution mechanism and coordination of requests <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 36(1)				
428	1. Where in the context of simultaneous capacity allocation the infrastructure manager encounters conflicts between different requests for infrastructure capacity, it shall attempt to ensure the best possible matching of all requirements, through coordination of the requests.	1. Where in the context of simultaneous capacity allocation the infrastructure manager encounters conflicts between different requests for infrastructure capacity, it shall attempt to ensure the best possible matching of all requirements, through coordination of the requests.	1. Where in the context of simultaneous capacity allocation the infrastructure manager encounters conflicts between different requests for infrastructure capacity, it shall attempt to ensure the best possible matching of all requirements, through coordination of the requests.	1. Where in the context of simultaneous capacity allocation the infrastructure manager encounters conflicts between different requests for infrastructure capacity, it shall attempt to ensure the best possible matching of all requirements, through coordination of the requests. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 36(2)				
429	2. Where a situation requiring coordination arises, the infrastructure manager shall have the right, within reasonable limits, to propose infrastructure capacity that differs from that which was requested. The infrastructure manager shall define the applicable limits in its network statement. ENIM shall provide guidelines on the definition of such limits and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	2. Where a situation requiring coordination arises, the infrastructure manager shall have the right, within reasonable limits, to propose infrastructure capacity that differs from that which was requested. The infrastructure manager shall define the applicable limits in its network statement. ENIM shall provide guidelines on the definition of such limits and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	2. Where a situation requiring coordination arises, the infrastructure manager shall attempt to accommodate all requests through coordination and shall have the right, within reasonable limits, to propose infrastructure capacity that differs from that which was requested. The infrastructure manager shall define the applicable limits in its network statement. ENIM shall provide guidelines on the definition of such limits and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	2. Where a situation requiring coordination arises, the infrastructure manager shall attempt to accommodate all requests through coordination and shall have the right, within reasonable limits, to propose infrastructure capacity that differs from that which was requested. The infrastructure manager shall define the applicable limits in its network statement. ENIM shall provide guidelines on the definition of such limits and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 36(3), first subparagraph				
430	3. The infrastructure manager shall attempt, through consultation with the appropriate applicants, to resolve any conflicts. Such consultation shall be based on the disclosure of the following information within a reasonable time, free of charge and in electronic form:	3. The infrastructure manager shall attempt, through consultation with the appropriate applicants, to resolve any conflicts. Such consultation shall be based on the disclosure of the following information within a reasonable time, free of charge and in electronic form:	3. The infrastructure manager shall attempt, through consultation with the appropriate applicants, to resolve any conflicts. Such consultation shall be based on the disclosure of the following information within a reasonable time, free of charge and in electronic form:	3. The infrastructure manager shall attempt, through consultation with the appropriate applicants, to resolve any conflicts. Such consultation shall be based on the disclosure of the following information within a reasonable time, free of charge and in electronic form: Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 36(3), first subparagraph, point (a)				
431	(a) train paths requested by all other applicants on the same routes;	(a) train paths requested by all other applicants on the same routes;	(a) train paths requested by all other applicants on the same routes;	(a) ~~train paths~~ capacity rights requested by all other applicants on the same routes; Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 36(3), first subparagraph, point (b)				
432	(b) train paths allocated on a preliminary basis to all other applicants on the same routes;	(b) train paths allocated on a preliminary basis to all other applicants on the same routes;	(b) train paths allocated on a preliminary basis to all other applicants on the same routes;	(b) ~~train paths~~ capacity rights allocated on a preliminary basis to all other applicants on the same routes; Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 36(3), first subparagraph, point (c)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
433	(c) alternative train paths proposed on the relevant routes in accordance with paragraph 2;	(c) alternative train paths proposed on the relevant routes in accordance with paragraph 2;	(c) alternative train paths proposed on the relevant routes in accordance with ~~paragraph 2~~ paragraph 2 ;	(c) alternative ~~train paths~~ capacity rights proposed on the relevant routes in accordance with ~~paragraph 2~~ paragraph 2 ; Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 36(3), first subparagraph, point (d)				
434	(d) full details of the criteria being used in the capacity-allocation process.	(d) full details of the criteria being used in the capacity-allocation process.	(d) full details of the criteria being used in the capacity-allocation process.	(d) full details of the criteria being used in the capacity-allocation process. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 36(3), second subparagraph				
435	Without prejudice to Article 27(3) and subject to the agreement of all applicants, the infrastructure manager may establish contact between all applicants potentially involved in the resolution of a conflict to facilitate the coordination of requests.	Without prejudice to Article 27(3) and subject to the agreement of all applicants, the infrastructure manager may establish contact between all applicants potentially involved in the resolution of a conflict to facilitate the coordination of requests.	Without prejudice to Article ~~27(3)~~ 3(1a) and subject to the agreement of all applicants, the infrastructure manager may establish contact between all applicants potentially involved in the resolution of a conflict to facilitate the coordination of requests.	Without prejudice to Article 3(1a) and subject to the agreement of all applicants, the infrastructure manager may establish contact between all applicants potentially involved in the resolution of a conflict to facilitate the coordination of requests. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 36(4)				
436	4. The principles governing the coordination of capacity requests for domestic rail services shall be set out in the network statement.	4. The principles governing the coordination of capacity requests for domestic rail services shall be set out in the network statement.	4. The principles governing the coordination of conflicting capacity requests for domestic rail services shall be set out in the network statement.	4. The principles governing the coordination of ~~conflicting~~ capacity requests for ~~domestic~~ rail services shall be set out in the network statement.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 36(5)				
G 437	5. Where requests for infrastructure capacity cannot be satisfied without coordination, the infrastructure manager shall attempt to accommodate all requests through coordination.	5. Where requests for infrastructure capacity cannot be satisfied without coordination, the infrastructure manager shall attempt to accommodate all requests through coordination.	deleted	<u>5. Where requests for infrastructure capacity cannot be satisfied without coordination, the infrastructure manager shall attempt to accommodate all requests through coordination.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 36(6)				
G 438	6. Without prejudice to the existing appeal procedures and to Article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU, in the event of disputes relating to the allocation of infrastructure capacity, Member States shall ensure that a dispute resolution system is made available in order to resolve such disputes promptly. That system shall be set out in the network statement for capacity requests for domestic rail services. If this system is applied, a decision shall be reached within a time limit of 10 working days.	6. Without prejudice to the existing appeal procedures and to Article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU, in the event of disputes relating to the allocation of infrastructure capacity, Member States shall ensure that a dispute resolution system is made available in order to resolve such disputes promptly. That system shall be set out in the network statement for capacity requests for domestic rail services. If this system is applied, a decision shall be reached within a time limit of 10 working days.	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 36(7)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
439	7. In case of multi-network capacity requests, the consensual conflict resolution mechanism set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be performed in accordance with Article 53 and shall involve the Network Coordinator.	7. In case of multi-network capacity requests, the consensual conflict resolution mechanism set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be performed in accordance with Article 53 and shall involve the Network Coordinator.	7. In case of a conflict involving at least one multi-network capacity ~~requests~~ request , the consensual conflict resolution mechanism set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be performed in accordance with Article 53 and shall involve the Network Coordinator.	7. In case of ~~a conflict involving at least one~~ multi-network capacity ~~request~~ requests , the consensual conflict resolution mechanism set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be performed in accordance with Article 53 and shall involve the Network Coordinator. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 36(8)				
440	8. ENIM shall prepare guidelines for the consensual conflict resolution mechanism for multi-network capacity requests and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	8. <u>By ... [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation] and after following consultation with ERP</u> , ENIM shall prepare guidelines for the consensual conflict resolution mechanism for multi-network capacity requests and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	8. ENIM shall prepare guidelines for the consensual conflict resolution mechanism for conflicts including at least one multi-network capacity ~~requests~~ request and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. Infrastructure managers shall take utmost account of those guidelines.	8. ENIM shall prepare guidelines for the consensual conflict resolution mechanism for ~~conflicts including at least one~~ multi-network capacity ~~request~~ requests and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. ~~Infrastructure managers shall take utmost account of those guidelines.~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 36(8a)				
440a		<u>8a. The Commission shall, by [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation], adopt an implementing act to set out the details of the procedure and</u>		~~deleted~~ Empowerments

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>criteria to be followed for the consensual conflict resolution mechanism for multi-network capacity requests and to amend paragraph 8 of this Article. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3). They shall be included in the European framework for capacity management.</u>		Provisionally agreed in ITM 30 (14/10) The guideline in (8) are an element of the FW on capacity mgt and therefor covered under Art 70a/b
Article 37				
441	Article 37 Formal conflict resolution mechanism on the basis of socio-economic and environmental criteria	Article 37 Formal conflict resolution mechanism on the basis of socio-economic and environmental criteria	Article 37 Formal conflict resolution mechanism on the basis of socio-economic and environmental criteria	Article 37 Formal conflict resolution mechanism Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1)				
442	1. Where the consensual conflict resolution mechanisms referred to in Article 36 has not resolved the capacity conflict, infrastructure managers shall allocate infrastructure capacity in accordance with Article 8.	1. Where the consensual conflict resolution mechanisms referred to in Article 36 has not resolved the capacity conflict, infrastructure managers shall allocate infrastructure capacity in accordance with Article 8.	1. Where the consensual conflict resolution mechanisms referred to in Article 36 has not resolved the capacity conflict, infrastructure managers shall allocate the infrastructure manager shall give priority to requests that are consistent with the pre-planned capacity defined in the capacity supply plan referred to in accordance with	1. Where the consensual conflict resolution mechanisms referred to in Article 36 has not resolved the capacity conflict, <u>infrastructure managers shall allocate infrastructure capacity applying an equitable, transparent, and non-discriminatory methodology.</u>

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
						Article 818. As a result, the infrastructure manager may either accept or refuse requests that are not consistent with the capacity supply plan.		The infrastructure manager shall ~~give priority to requests that are consistent with the pre-planned capacity defined~~ <u>set out the details of this methodology, including all applicable parameter values</u>, in the <u>network statement taking account of the European framework for</u> capacity ~~supply plan referred to in Article 18. As a result,~~ <u>management. Parameter values defined by</u> the infrastructure manager ~~may either accept or refuse requests that are not consistent with the capacity supply plan~~ <u>shall be subject to coordination in accordance with point (c) of Article 7e of Directive 2012/34/EU</u>. Provisionally agree in ITM 31
Article 37(1), second subparagraph								
G	442a					When accepting requests not consistent with the capacity supply plan, the infrastructure manager shall aim to maintain the overall balance between the elements of the capacity supply plan listed in section 1 of Annex I.		<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1), third subparagraph								

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
G	442b					When refusing requests not consistent with the capacity supply plan, the infrastructure manager shall, without delay, inform the applicant concerned of its intention to refuse a request. In that case, the infrastructure manager shall make its utmost effort to provide the applicant with an alternative capacity. The applicant shall have the right to lodge a complaint with the regulatory body in accordance with Article 56(9) of Directive 2012/34/EU.		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 37(1a), first subparagraph							
G	442c					1a. Infrastructure managers shall resolve conflicts, not resolved in accordance with paragraph 1, through an equitable, transparent and non-discriminatory procedure, in accordance with the strategic guidance referred to in Article 11(3), where Member States have provided such guidance and have provided that it shall be binding.		1a. ~~Infrastructure managers shall resolve conflicts, not resolved in accordance with paragraph 1, through an equitable, transparent and non-discriminatory procedure, in accordance with the strategic guidance~~ <u>The methodology</u> referred to in ~~Article 11(3), where Member States have provided such guidance and have provided that~~ <u>#paragraph 1</u> shall ~~be binding~~ <u>involve the following steps:</u>

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
								Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1a), point (a)								
G	442d							<u>(a) design alternative scenarios to partition the capacity available for different types of rail transport services involving, where possible, the provision of alternative capacity on other routes or alternative timing;</u> Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1a), point (b)								
G	442e							<u>(b) evaluate and rank the scenarios referred to in point (a) taking into account the operational, socio-economic, and environmental criteria set out in paragraph 3,</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1a), point (c)								
G	442f							<u>(c) select the highest ranked scenario on the basis of the evaluation referred to in point (b) and amend of capacity model and the capacity supply plan accordingly.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
Article 37(1a), second subparagraph								
442g						If the application of that guidance does not allow to resolve the conflict, infrastructure managers shall resolve conflicts through an equitable, transparent and non-discriminatory procedure taking into account the procedure established by ENIM under paragraph 1b.		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1b)								
442h						1b. ENIM shall prepare and adopt the procedures referred to in paragraph 1a and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. The procedures shall involve the following steps:		~~1b 1d.~~ ENIM shall prepare and adopt the procedures <u>The evaluation</u> referred to in paragraph 1a ~~and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. The procedures, subparagraph (b),~~ shall ~~involve~~ <u>be based on, but not limited to,</u> the following ~~steps~~ <u>operational, socio-economic and environmental criteria, subject to the availability of data and taking into account technical and operational considerations and the utilisation of the infrastructure:</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1b), point (0)								

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 442i			(0) define ranges of standard values for rail services as an initial basis for assessment;	~~(0)(a) define ranges of standard values for rail~~ <u>operating cost for operators of rail transport</u> services ~~as an initial basis for assessment,~~ <u>and the resulting impact on prices for customers of rail transport services;</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1b), point (a)				
G 442j			(a) design alternative scenarios to allocate the capacity available for different types of rail transport services involving, where possible, the provision of alternative capacity on other routes or alternative timing with comparable characteristics;	~~(a)(b) design alternative scenarios to allocate the capacity available for different types~~ <u>time-related cost for customers</u> of rail transport services ~~involving, where possible, the provision of alternative capacity on other routes or alternative timing with comparable characteristics;~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1b), point (b)				
G 442k			(b) evaluate and rank the scenarios referred to in point (a) on the basis of objective, transparent and non-discriminatory methodologies taking into account the socio-economic, operational and environmental criteria set out in paragraph 1c, and making it	~~(b)(c) evaluate and rank the scenarios referred to in point (a) on the basis of objective, transparent and non-discriminatory methodologies taking into account the socio-economic, operational and environmental criteria set out in paragraph 1c, and making it~~

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			possible to take into account local or national circumstances based on accepted approaches and empirical evidence;	~~possible to take into account local or national circumstances based on accepted approaches and empirical evidence;~~ <u>connectivity and accessibility for people and regions served by the rail transport services, including the quality of connections notably in terms of transfer times and the frequency of rail passenger and freight services;</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1b), point (c)				
G 442l			(c) select the highest ranked scenario on the basis of the evaluation referred to in point (b) and amend the capacity supply plan accordingly.	~~(e)(d) select the highest ranked scenario on the basis of the evaluation referred to in point (b) and amend the capacity supply plan accordingly~~ <u>impacts on external costs of transport, such as accidents, emissions of air pollutants, greenhouse gases and noise produced by rail transport services and by their likely alternatives.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1d), point (e)				
G 442m				~~(e)~~ <u>volume of people and freight transported;</u>

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
								Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1c)								
442n					1c. The procedures established by ENIM under paragraph 1b shall assess alternative options for the use of infrastructure capacity, based on, but not limited to, the following socioeconomic, operational and environmental criteria, subject to the availability of data referred to in paragraph 1b, point (0):		~~1e. The procedures established by ENIM under paragraph 1b~~ <u>ENIM</u> shall ~~assess alternative options for the use of infrastructure capacity, based on, but not limited to, the following socioeconomic, operational and environmental~~ <u>set out an equitable, transparent and non-discriminatory methodology and establish the list of parameters relating to rail transport services and socio-economic</u> criteria; ~~subject to the availability of data necessary for the assessment~~ referred to in paragraph 1b. <u>Where relevant, it shall set out standard parameter values, or ranges of standard values. ENIM shall ensure that these parameter values are sufficiently tested and calibrated in an operational context.</u> <u>ENIM shall include the methodology, the list of parameters and the standard values for the parameters in the European framework for capacity</u>	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>management referred to in Article 6.</u> <u>The infrastructure manager shall, where relevant, adjust the standard parameter values to take into account local or national circumstances based on accepted approaches and empirical evidence and, where applicable, following Member State requirements in accordance with point ~~(d)~~:(d) of Article 3a(2).</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue Provisionally agree in ITM 31
	Article 37(1c), point (a)			
G	442o		(a) operating cost for operators and technical parameters of rail transport services, and the resulting impact on prices for customers of rail transport services;	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 37(1c), point (b)			
G	442p		(b) time-related cost for customers of rail transport services;	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 37(1c), point (c)			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 442q			(c) connectivity and accessibility for people and regions served by the rail transport services, including the quality of connections and transfer times for rail passenger and freight services;	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1c), point (d)				
G 442r			(d) environmental impact, such as emissions of greenhouse gases and noise;	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1c), point (e)				
G 442s			(e) volume of people and freight transported;	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(1c), point (f)				
G 442t			(f) technical parameters for an efficient use of the infrastructure, such as homogeneity of traffic, train length or circulation frequencies.	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(2)				
G 443	2. In the context of the formal conflict resolution process, requests for multi-network capacity rights shall be taken into	2. In the context of the formal conflict resolution ~~process~~ mechanism , requests for multi-network capacity rights shall	2. In the context of the formal conflict resolution ~~process~~ mechanism , requests for multi-network capacity rights shall	2. In the context of the formal conflict resolution mechanism, requests for multi-network capacity rights shall be

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	consideration in their entirety. If, in accordance with Article 8(6), different national parameters apply, those parameters shall be used for the respective sections.	be taken into consideration in their entirety. If, in accordance with Article 8(6), different national parameters apply, those parameters shall be used for the respective sections.	be taken into consideration in their entirety. If, in accordance with ~~Article 8(6)~~ paragraph 1b point (b) , different national parameters apply, those parameters shall be used for the respective sections.	taken into consideration in their entirety. If, in accordance with paragraph ~~1b point (b)~~ 1c , different national parameters apply, those parameters shall be used for the respective sections. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(3)				
444	3. In case of conflicting capacity requests involving rail services with similar characteristics and socio-economic profile, the infrastructure manager shall assign capacity on the basis of an auction or in a way providing access to the largest number of applicants. The latter method shall be applied subject to approval by the regulatory body.	3. In case of conflicting capacity requests involving rail services with similar characteristics and socio-economic profile, the infrastructure manager shall assign capacity on the basis of an auction or in a way providing access to the largest number of applicants. The latter method shall be applied subject to approval by the regulatory body.	3. In case of conflicting capacity requests involving rail services with similar characteristics and socio-economic profile, the infrastructure manager shall assign capacity ~~on the basis of an auction or in a way~~ providing access to the largest number of applicants. Infrastructure managers may, for that purpose, assign capacity on the basis of an auction. The latter method to assign capacity applied pursuant to this paragraph shall be applied subject to prior approval by the regulatory body.	3. In case of conflicting capacity requests involving rail services with similar characteristics and socio-economic profile, the infrastructure manager shall assign capacity <u>on the basis of an auction or</u> in a way providing access to the largest number of applicants. ~~Infrastructure managers may, for that purpose, assign capacity on the basis of an auction. The latter method to assign capacity applied pursuant to this paragraph shall be applied subject to prior approval by the regulatory body.~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 37(3a)				
444a		<u>3a. In this respect, the ENRRB shall compile guidelines</u>		deleted

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>to facilitate the harmonised application of Article 3.</u>		Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 38				
445	Article 38 Schedule for capacity allocation processes	Article 38 Schedule for capacity allocation processes	Article 38 Schedule for capacity allocation processes	Article 38 Schedule for capacity allocation processes
Article 38(1)				
446	1. The infrastructure manager and applicants shall comply with the schedule for capacity allocation processes set out in sections 4, 5, 6, and 7 of Annex I.	1. The infrastructure manager and applicants shall comply with the schedule for capacity allocation processes set out in sections 4, 5, 6, and 7 of Annex I.	1. For the purposes of implementing Articles 31 to 34, the infrastructure manager and applicants shall comply with the schedule for capacity allocation processes set out respectively in sections 4, 5, 6, and 7 of Annex I.	1. For the purposes of implementing Articles 31 to 34, the infrastructure manager and applicants shall comply with the schedule for capacity allocation processes set out respectively in sections 4, 5, 6, and 7 of Annex I. Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
Article 38(2)				
447	2. Infrastructure managers may decide time periods and deadlines for the schedule for capacity allocation which are different from those referred to in this Regulation and in point 2(b) of Annex VI of Directive 2012/34/EU if the establishment of international train paths in cooperation with infrastructure managers of third countries on a network whose track gauge is different from the main rail	2. Infrastructure managers may decide time periods and deadlines for the schedule for capacity allocation which are different from those referred to in this Regulation and in point 2(b) of Annex VI of Directive 2012/34/EU if the establishment of international train paths in cooperation with infrastructure managers of third countries on a network whose track gauge is different from the main rail	2. Infrastructure managers may decide time periods and deadlines for the schedule for capacity allocation which are different from those referred to in this Regulation and in point 2(b) of Annex VI of Directive 2012/34/EU if ~~the establishment of international train paths in cooperation with infrastructure managers of third countries on a network whose track gauge is different from the main rail~~	2. Infrastructure managers may decide time periods and deadlines for the schedule for capacity allocation <u>of capacity rights for international trains services</u> which are different from those referred to in this Regulation and in point 2(b) of Annex VI of Directive 2012/34/EU if: Provisionally agreed in ITM 21

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	network within the Union has a significant impact on the schedule for capacity allocation in general.	network within the Union has a significant impact on the schedule for capacity allocation in general.	~~network within the Union has a significant impact on the schedule for capacity allocation in general.:~~	
Article 38(2), second subparagraph				
G 447a			- the establishment of international train paths in cooperation with infrastructure managers of third countries on a network whose track gauge is different from the main rail network within the Union has a significant impact on the schedule for capacity allocation in general; or	<u>2a.</u> - the establishment of international train paths in cooperation with infrastructure managers of third countries on a network whose track gauge is different from the main rail network within the Union has a significant impact on the schedule for capacity allocation in general; or Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
Article 38(2), third subparagraph				
G 447b			- the establishment of international train paths in cooperation with infrastructure managers of other third countries has a significant impact on the schedule for capacity allocation in general, provided that this has no impact on the capacity allocation processes of other infrastructure managers of the Union that are not involved in those international train paths.	- the establishment of international train paths in cooperation with infrastructure managers of other third countries has a significant impact on the schedule for capacity allocation ~~in general~~, provided that this has no impact on the capacity allocation processes of other infrastructure managers of the Union ~~that are not involved in those international train paths.~~ Provisionally agreed in ITM 21

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
Article 38(3)								
448		3. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend sections 4, 5, 6, and 7 of Annex I with a view to ensure an efficient allocation process and address planning, operational, technical and commercial interests of the stakeholders concerned relating to scheduling and capacity allocation.		3. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend sections 4, 5, 6, and 7 of Annex I with a view to ensure an efficient allocation process and address planning, operational, technical and commercial interests of the stakeholders concerned relating to scheduling and capacity allocation.		3. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend the deadlines and durations set out in sections 4, 5, 6, and 7 of Annex I, and to adjust, within the limits of the framework laid down in Articles 31 to 34, the milestones and time periods set out therein, where those elements have proven ineffective or have led to major difficulties of implementation, taking into account the experience of infrastructure managers and of applicants, with the objectives to rationalise the capacity allocation processes, ~~with a view~~ to ensure an efficient allocation process and to address planning, operational, technical and commercial interests of the stakeholders concerned relating to scheduling and capacity allocation.		3. The Commission ~~shall~~ be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend the deadlines and durations set out in sections 4, 5, 6, and 7 of Annex I, and to adjust, within the limits of the framework laid down in Articles 31 to 34, the milestones and time periods set out therein, where those elements have proven ineffective or have led to major difficulties of implementation, taking into account the experience of infrastructure managers and of applicants, with the objectives to rationalise the capacity allocation processes, to ensure an efficient allocation process and to address planning, operational, technical and commercial interests of the stakeholders concerned relating to scheduling and capacity allocation. Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
SECTION 4								
449	SECTION 4	Adaptation and rescheduling	SECTION 4	Adaptation and rescheduling	SECTION 4	Adaptation and rescheduling	SECTION 4	Adaptation and rescheduling
Article 39								

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
450	Article 39 Changes to capacity rights after allocation	Article 39 Changes to capacity rights after allocation	Article 39 Changes to capacity rights after allocation	Article 39 Changes to capacity rights after allocation	
Article 39(1), first subparagraph					
451	1. Infrastructure managers may change capacity rights allocated to an applicant on their own initiative only in accordance with this Regulation. Applicants may request changes to allocated capacity at all times. A cancellation shall be considered a specific type of change.	1. Infrastructure managers may change capacity rights allocated to an applicant on their own initiative only in accordance with this Regulation. Applicants may request changes to allocated capacity at all times. A cancellation shall be considered a specific type of change.	1. Infrastructure managers may change capacity rights allocated to an applicant on their own initiative only in accordance with this Regulation. Applicants may request changes to allocated capacity at all times. ~~A cancellation shall be considered a specific type of change.~~	1. Infrastructure managers may change capacity rights allocated to an applicant on their own initiative only in accordance with this Regulation. Applicants may request changes to allocated capacity at all times. Provisionally agreed in ITM 22	
Article 39(1), second subparagraph					
452	In the event of changes to allocated capacity rights, Article 40 shall apply. Infrastructure managers shall, without delay, update the working timetable referred to in Article 30.	In the event of changes to allocated capacity rights, Article 40 shall apply. Infrastructure managers shall, without delay, update the working timetable referred to in Article 30.	In the event of changes to allocated capacity rights, Article 40 shall apply. Infrastructure managers shall, without delay, update the working timetable referred to in Article 30.	In the event of changes to allocated capacity rights, Article 40 shall apply. Infrastructure managers shall, without delay, update the working timetable referred to in Article 30.	
Article 39(2)					
453	2. Infrastructure managers and applicants shall limit changes to capacity rights after allocation to the largest extent possible, in accordance with the objectives set out in Article 2(3).	2. Infrastructure managers and applicants shall limit changes to capacity rights after allocation to the largest extent possible, in accordance with the objectives set out in Article 2(3).	2. Infrastructure managers ~~and applicants~~ shall limit changes to capacity rights after allocation to the largest extent possible, in accordance with the ~~objectives~~ principles set out in Article 2(3). Applicants shall also limit their request for changes to	2. Infrastructure managers shall limit changes to capacity rights after allocation to the largest extent possible, in accordance with the principles set out in Article 2(3). Applicants shall also limit their request for changes to such rights after allocation to the largest extent possible.	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			such rights after allocation to the largest extent possible.	Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Article 39(3), first subparagraph				
454	3. Changes to capacity rights shall include cases where the infrastructure manager cannot allow the train to run in accordance with the allocated capacity right and has sufficient time to offer the applicant an alternative capacity right after having informed the applicant of the need for the change.	3. Changes to capacity rights shall include cases where the infrastructure manager cannot allow the train to run in accordance with the allocated capacity right and has sufficient time to offer the applicant an alternative capacity right after having informed the applicant of the need for the change.	3. Changes to capacity rights shall include cases where the infrastructure manager cannot allow the train to run in accordance with the allocated capacity right and has sufficient time to offer the applicant an alternative capacity right after having informed the applicant of the need for the change.	3. Changes to capacity rights shall include cases where the infrastructure manager cannot allow the train to run in accordance with the allocated capacity right and has sufficient time to offer the applicant an alternative capacity right after having informed the applicant of the need for the change.
Article 39(3), first subparagraph a				
454a			3aa. A cancellation of capacity rights shall also be considered as a specific type of change.	3aa. A cancellation of capacity rights shall also be considered as a specific type of change. Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Article 39(3), second subparagraph				
455	Infrastructure managers may indicate different deadlines for the allocation of capacity rights on a single network and the allocation of multi-network capacity rights. Infrastructure managers shall provide information on the time necessary to construct a train path in the network statement. This time shall not be longer than the	Infrastructure managers may indicate different deadlines for the allocation of capacity rights on a single network and the allocation of multi-network capacity rights. Infrastructure managers shall provide information on the time necessary to construct a train path in the network statement. This time shall not be longer than the	3a. In case of changes to capacity rights, infrastructure managers may indicate different apply shorter deadlines for the allocation of capacity rights on a single network and the allocation of multi-network capacity rights compared to the deadlines indicated in section 8 of Annex I. Infrastructure	3a. In case of changes to capacity rights, infrastructure managers may apply shorter deadlines for the allocation of such rights compared to the deadlines indicated in section 8 of Annex I. Infrastructure managers shall provide information on the time necessary to construct a train path in the network statement.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	deadlines indicated in section 8 of Annex I.	deadlines indicated in section 8 of Annex I.	managers shall provide information on the time necessary to construct a train path in the network statement. ~~This time shall not be longer than the deadlines indicated in section 8 of Annex I.~~	Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Article 39(4)				
456	4. The rules and procedures to be applied in the event of a change to a capacity right shall take into account the impact of the change to the capacity right on the applicant in operational and commercial terms. For that purpose, changes shall be categorised based on their impact in accordance with paragraph 8 of this Article and shall give rise to different levels of compensation referred to in Article 40.	4. The rules and procedures to be applied in the event of a change to a capacity right shall take into account the impact of the change to the capacity right on the applicant in operational and commercial terms. For that purpose, changes shall be categorised based on their impact in accordance with paragraph 8 of this Article and shall give rise to different levels of compensation referred to in Article 40.	4. The rules and procedures to be applied by the infrastructure manager in the event of a change to a capacity right shall take into account the impact of the change to the capacity right on the applicant in operational and commercial terms. For that purpose, ~~changes~~ infrastructure managers shall be categorised changes based on their impact ~~in accordance with~~ and identify category of changes having a major impact, taking into account the procedures adopted by ENIM on the basis of paragraph 8 of this Article and shall give rise to different levels of compensation referred to in Article 40.	4. The rules and procedures to be applied by the infrastructure manager in the event of a change to a capacity right shall take into account the impact of the change to the capacity right on the applicant in operational and commercial terms. For that purpose, infrastructure managers shall categorise changes based on their impact and identify category of changes having a ~~major~~ significant impact, taking into account the procedures adopted by ENIM on the basis of paragraph 8. Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 39(4a)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
456a		<u>4a. In the cases where capacity is reserved but not used, a compensation payment shall apply in accordance to Article 40.</u>		<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 39(5), first subparagraph				
457	5. In the event of a change to a multi-network capacity right, the infrastructure managers concerned shall make all reasonable efforts to ensure the consistency between the capacity rights over the entire train run.	5. In the event of a change to a multi-network capacity right, the infrastructure managers concerned shall make all reasonable efforts to ensure the consistency between the capacity rights over the entire train run.	5. In the event of a change to a multi-network capacity right, the infrastructure managers concerned shall make all reasonable efforts to ensure the consistency between the capacity rights over the entire train run.	5. In the event of a change to a multi-network capacity right, the infrastructure managers concerned shall make all reasonable efforts to ensure the consistency between the capacity rights over the entire train run.
Article 39(5), first subparagraph a				
457a				<u>A cancellation due to force majeure on one network shall be considered as a cancellation due to force majeure for the capacity right along the entire route covered by it.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 25 (26/9) "In particular" deleted at the beginning of paragraph
Article 39(5), second subparagraph				
458	The infrastructure manager making a change to a multi-network capacity right shall be responsible for the process to	The infrastructure manager making a change to a multi-network capacity right shall be responsible for the process to	The infrastructure manager making a change to a multi-network capacity right shall be responsible for the process to	The infrastructure manager making a change to a multi-network capacity right shall coordinate <u>and be responsible for</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	coordinate the allocation of an alternative multi-network capacity right with the other infrastructure managers concerned and shall inform the applicant and all parties concerned about the outcome of the coordination. That outcome can be either the allocation of an alternative multi-network capacity right or the information that no alternative capacity right is available.	coordinate the allocation of an alternative multi-network capacity right with the other infrastructure managers concerned and shall inform the applicant and all parties concerned about the outcome of the coordination. That outcome can be either the allocation of an alternative multi-network capacity right or the information that no alternative capacity right is available.	coordinate the allocation of an alternative multi-network capacity right with the other infrastructure managers concerned and shall inform the applicant and all parties concerned about the outcome of the coordination. That outcome can be either the allocation of an alternative multi-network capacity right or the information that no alternative capacity right is available.	~~the process of allocating the~~ allocation of an alternative multi-network capacity right with the other infrastructure managers concerned and shall inform the applicant and all parties concerned about the outcome of the coordination. That outcome can be either the allocation of an alternative multi-network capacity right or the information that no alternative capacity right is available. Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Article 39(6)				
G 459	6. For the purpose of this Regulation, failure to use an allocated capacity right by railway undertakings shall be considered equivalent to a cancellation on the day of the train run concerned.	6. For the purpose of this Regulation, failure to use an allocated capacity right by railway undertakings shall be considered equivalent to a cancellation on the day of the train run concerned.	deleted	<u>6. For the purpose of this Regulation, failure to use an allocated capacity right by railway undertakings shall be considered equivalent to a cancellation on the day of the train run concerned.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 39(7), first subparagraph				
G 460	7. Where an infrastructure manager changes an allocated capacity right, it shall inform the applicant and the railway	7. Where an infrastructure manager changes an allocated capacity right, it shall inform the applicant and the railway	7. Where an infrastructure manager changes an allocated capacity right, it shall inform the applicant and the railway	7. Where an infrastructure manager changes an allocated capacity right, it shall inform the applicant and the railway

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	undertaking concerned without delay.	undertaking concerned without delay.	undertaking concerned without delay.	undertaking concerned without delay.
Article 39(7), second subparagraph				
G 461	The infrastructure manager shall offer alternative capacity rights to the applicant within the deadlines set out in section 8 of Annex I. Where this is not possible, the infrastructure manager shall provide the applicant with relevant information enabling the applicant to place a new request for infrastructure capacity. Where relevant, that information shall make reference to the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 and the contingency planning referred to in Article 19.	The infrastructure manager shall offer alternative capacity rights to the applicant within the deadlines set out in section 8 of Annex I. Where ~~this is not possible~~ <u>no alternative offer is feasible in accordance with Article 32(5) and Article 33 (4)</u> , the infrastructure manager <u>(s)</u> shall provide the applicant with relevant information enabling the applicant to place a new request for infrastructure capacity. Where relevant, that information shall make reference to the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 and the contingency planning referred to in Article 19.	The infrastructure manager shall offer alternative capacity rights to the applicant within the deadlines set out in section 8 of Annex I. Where this is not possible, the infrastructure manager shall provide the applicant with relevant information enabling the applicant to place a new request for infrastructure capacity. Where relevant, that information shall make reference to the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 and the contingency planning referred to in Article 19.	The infrastructure manager shall offer alternative capacity rights to the applicant within the deadlines set out in section 8 of Annex I. Where this is not possible, the infrastructure manager shall provide the applicant with relevant information enabling the applicant to place a new request for infrastructure capacity. Where relevant, that information shall make reference to the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18 and the contingency planning referred to in Article 19. Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Article 39(8), first subparagraph				
G 462	8. ENIM shall prepare and adopt harmonised procedures to manage changes to capacity rights after allocation and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	8. <u>By ... [12 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]</u> , ENIM shall prepare and adopt harmonised procedures to manage changes to capacity rights after allocation and include them in the European framework	8. ENIM shall prepare and adopt ~~harmonised~~ uniform procedures to manage changes to capacity rights after allocation and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	8. ENIM shall prepare and adopt uniform procedures to manage changes to capacity rights after allocation and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. Provisionally agreed in ITM 22

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		for capacity management referred to in Article 6.		
Article 39(8), second subparagraph				
463	These harmonised procedures shall differentiate between changes, which have a major impact on applicants and railway undertakings in commercial and operational terms and changes with minor impacts. The criteria to be used to categorise a change as major shall take into account, among others, the ability of the railway undertaking to perform the service in accordance with its contractual obligations, delays at departure or changes to the route that result in increase of distance, time of travel, track access charges or other related costs, as well as thresholds for these changes. More stringent criteria shall apply to changes with major impact.	These harmonised procedures shall differentiate between changes, which have a major impact on applicants and railway undertakings in commercial and operational terms and changes with minor impacts. The criteria to be used to categorise a change as major shall take into account, among others, the ability of the railway undertaking to perform the service in accordance with its contractual obligations, delays at departure or changes to the route that result in increase of distance, time of travel, track access charges or other related costs, as well as thresholds for these changes. More stringent criteria shall apply to changes with major impact.	~~These harmonised~~ Those procedures shall establish criteria to differentiate between changes, ~~which have a major~~ depending on their impact on applicants and railway undertakings in commercial and operational terms ~~and changes with minor impacts.~~ The, including criteria to be used to categorise a change as major shall take into account, among others, the ability of the railway undertaking to perform the service in accordance with its contractual obligations, delays at departure or changes to the route that result in increase of distance, time of travel, track access charges or other related costs, as well as thresholds for these changes. More stringent criteria shall apply to changes with having a major impact.	Those procedures shall establish criteria to differentiate between changes depending on their impact on applicants and railway undertakings in commercial and operational terms, including criteria to categorise changes as having a ~~major~~ significant impact. <u>To categorise a change as having a significant impact ENIM shall take into account, among others, the ability of the railway undertaking to perform the service in accordance with its contractual obligations, delays at departure or changes to the route that result in increase of distance, time of travel, track access charges or other related costs, as well as thresholds for these changes.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 39(8a)				
463a		<u>8a The Commission shall be empowered to adopt, delegated acts in accordance with Article 71</u>		deleted

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>to set out rules and procedures to manage changes to capacity rights after allocation and to amend paragraph 8 of this Article. Those delegated acts shall be adopted by ... [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]. They shall be included in the European framework for capacity management.</u>		Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Article 39(9)				
464	9. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend section 8 of Annex I with a view to ensure efficient adaptation and rescheduling processes taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned.	9. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend section 8 of Annex I with a view to ensure efficient adaptation and rescheduling processes taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned.	9. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend the durations set out in section 8 of Annex I ~~with a view~~ to ensure efficient adaptation and rescheduling processes taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned. In doing so, the Commission shall take into account the experience of infrastructure managers and of applicants.	9. The Commission ~~shall~~ be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend ~~the durations set out in~~ section 8 of Annex I with a view to ensure efficient adaptation and rescheduling processes taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned. In doing so, the Commission shall take into account the experience of infrastructure managers and of applicants. Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 22

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 40				
465	Article 40 Compensation for changes to capacity rights	Article 40 Compensation for changes to capacity rights	Article 40 ~~Compensation~~ Penalty for changes to capacity rights	
Article 40, 1.				
466	1. Where the infrastructure manager or an applicant do not fulfil their commitments with respect to an allocated capacity right and where this results in a change that is categorised as major in accordance with Article 39, the party initiating the change shall pay a compensation to the other party.	1. Where the infrastructure manager or an applicant do not fulfil their commitments with respect to an allocated capacity right and where this results in a change that is categorised as major in accordance with Article 39, the party initiating the change shall pay a compensation to the other party.	1. Where the infrastructure manager or an applicant ~~does~~ not fulfil ~~their~~ its commitments with respect to an allocated capacity right and where this results in a change that is categorised as major in accordance with Article 39, ~~the party initiating~~ ~~the change~~ 39(4), it shall pay a ~~compensation~~ penalty to the other party.	1. Where the infrastructure manager or an applicant does not fulfil its commitments with respect to an allocated capacity right and where this results in a change that is categorised as ~~major~~ significant in accordance with Article 39(4), it shall pay a penalty to the other party. Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 40(1), second subparagraph				
466a			For the purpose of this Article, failure to use an allocated capacity right by railway undertakings shall be considered equivalent to a cancellation after the time of departure of the train concerned.	<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 40(2)				
467	2. The compensation referred to in paragraph 1 shall not be due in cases of force majeure.	2. The compensation referred to in paragraph 1 shall not be due in cases of force majeure.	2. The ~~compensation~~ penalty referred to in paragraph 1 shall not be due in cases of force majeure. In the case of multi-network	2. The penalty referred to in paragraph 1 shall not be due in cases of force majeure. ~~In the case~~ ~~of multi-network capacity rights, a~~

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
						capacity rights, a cancellation due to force majeure on one network shall be considered as a cancellation due to force majeure for the capacity rights along the entire route covered by it.		~~cancellation due to force majeure on one network shall be considered as a cancellation due to force majeure for the capacity rights along the entire route covered by it.~~ Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
		Article 40(2a)						
Y		467a				2a. Where an infrastructure manager, in accordance with Articles 10 and 35, plans and schedules a capacity restriction falling within the scope of section 3 of Annex I and complies with the schedule and requirements set out therein, the penalty referred to in paragraph 1 shall not be due.		
		Article 40(2b)						
Y		467b				2b. Where an infrastructure manager withdraws capacity rights in accordance with measures taken by Member States in accordance with Article 10a, the penalty referred to in paragraph 1 shall not be due.		
		Article 40(3), first subparagraph						
Y		467c				3. Infrastructure managers shall include in their network		<i>deleted</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			statement conditions giving rise to a penalty taking into account the guidelines adopted by ENIM pursuant to the second subparagraph of this paragraph.	Provisionally agreed in ITM 26 (26/9) COM compromise provisionally agreed. To be kept yellow until overall picture on penalties available
Article 40(2d)				
467d				
Article 40(2e)				
467e				
Article 40(3)				
468	3. Following a consultation of the ENRRB, ENIM shall define harmonised conditions giving rise to compensation. Those conditions shall take into account the rules set out in Article 39(4) and (8). ENIM shall include these conditions in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. The ENRRB shall publish an opinion on the conditions defined by ENIM.	3. Following a consultation of the ENRRB <i>and ERP</i> , ENIM shall, <u>by [12 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]</u> , define harmonised conditions giving rise to compensation. Those conditions shall take into account the rules set out in Article 39(4) and (8). ENIM shall include these conditions in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. The ENRRB shall publish an opinion on the conditions defined by ENIM.	3- Following a consultation of the applicants and the ENRRB, ENIM ~~shall define harmonised~~ may adopt guidelines for the definition of uniform conditions giving rise to ~~compensation. Those conditions shall take a~~ penalty taking into account the rules and procedures set out ~~in~~ under Article 39(4) and (8). ENIM shall include these ~~conditions~~ guidelines in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. The ENRRB shall publish an opinion on ~~the conditions~~ those guidelines defined by ENIM.	<u>3.</u> ~~[Following a consultation of the applicants and the~~ ENRRB, ~~ENIM may adopt guidelines for the definition of uniform conditions giving rise to a penalty taking into account the rules and procedures set out under Article 39(4) and (8).]~~ ENIM shall ~~include these guidelines~~ set out criteria for the application and determination of penalties in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6. <u>Those criteria shall take into account the rules set out in Article 39(4) and (8).</u> The ENRRB shall publish an opinion on ~~those~~

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				~~guidelines defined~~ <u>the criteria set out</u> by ENIM. Provisionally agreed in ITM 26 (26/9) COM's proposal taken on board in principle, but to be greenlighted only once the overall picture on penalties available.
Article 40(4), first subparagraph				
469	4. Following the approval of the regulatory body, the infrastructure managers shall set out in the network statement the levels of compensation to be paid by the applicants.	4. Following the approval of the regulatory body, the infrastructure managers shall set out in the network statement the levels of compensation to be paid by the applicants.	4. Following the approval of the regulatory body, the infrastructure managers shall set out in the network statement the levels of ~~compensation~~ penalty to be paid by the applicants.	4. <u>Taking into account the criteria referred to in paragraph 3</u> and following the approval of the regulatory body, the infrastructure managers shall set out in the network statement the levels of penalty to be paid by the applicants. Provisionally agreed in ITM 26 (26/9) Provisionally agreed but kept yellow until overall picture on penalties available
Article 40(4), second subparagraph				
470	Following a proposal by the infrastructure manager and after consulting applicants and potential applicants, the regulatory body shall set the levels of compensation to be paid by the	Following a proposal by the infrastructure manager and after consulting applicants and potential applicants, the regulatory body shall set the levels of compensation to be paid by the	Following a proposal by the infrastructure manager and after consulting applicants and potential ~~applicants~~ , the regulatory body shall set the levels of ~~compensation~~ penalty to be paid	Following a proposal by the infrastructure manager <u>that takes into account the criteria referred to in paragraph 3</u> , and after consulting applicants, the regulatory body shall set the levels

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	infrastructure manager. The infrastructure manager shall publish that information in the network statement.	infrastructure manager. The infrastructure manager shall publish that information in the network statement.	by the infrastructure manager. The infrastructure manager shall publish that information in the network statement.	of penalty to be paid by the infrastructure manager. The infrastructure manager shall publish that information in the network statement. Provisionally agreed in ITM 26 (26/9) Provisionally agreed but kept yellow until overall picture on penalties available
Article 40(4), third subparagraph				
471	The levels of compensation shall be such that they provide effective incentives for the infrastructure manager and for applicants to respect the planned use of capacity and minimise disturbances. These levels shall be proportionate and non-discriminatory.	The levels of compensation shall be such that they provide effective incentives for the infrastructure manager and for applicants to respect the planned use of capacity and minimise disturbances. These levels shall be proportionate and non-discriminatory.	The levels of ~~compensation~~ penalty shall be such that they provide effective incentives for the infrastructure manager and for applicants to respect the planned use of capacity and minimise disturbances. These levels shall be proportionate and non-discriminatory.	
Article 40(4), fourth subparagraph				
472	Infrastructure managers and regulatory bodies may set different levels of compensation depending on the impact of the change and whether the capacity can be re-allocated and used by another applicant. Those levels shall, in particular, take into account the rules in Article 39(4) and (8), the	Infrastructure managers and regulatory bodies may set different levels of compensation depending on the impact of the change and whether the capacity can be re-allocated and used by another applicant. Those levels shall, in particular, take into account the rules in Article 39(4) and (8), the	~~Infrastructure managers and regulatory bodies may set different levels of compensation depending on the impact of the change and whether the capacity can be re-allocated and used by another applicant.~~ Those levels shall, in particular, take into account the rules ~~in~~ and procedures set out	(26/9) EP could agree in principle, but PCY to look into possibility of including reference to different levels of penalties here.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	time left after the change was requested or took place until the time of the train run and the rate of utilisation of the rail infrastructure included in the capacity right.	time left after the change was requested or took place until the time of the train run and the rate of utilisation of the rail infrastructure included in the capacity right.	~~under Article 39(4) and (8), the time left after the change was requested or took place until the time of the train run and the rate of utilisation of the rail infrastructure included in the capacity right .~~	
	Article 40(4), fifth subparagraph			
Y	472a		The level of the penalties shall be modulated up to twice the level of track access charges on the basis of at least the following criteria:	(26/9) EP not able to agree to the cap
	Article 40(4), fifth subparagraph, point (a)			
	472b		(a) impact of the change to capacity rights,	
	Article 40(4), fifth subparagraph, point (b)			
	472c		(b) the timing of the notification of the change provided by the party initiating the change to the other party,	
	Article 40(4), fifth subparagraph, point (c)			
	472d		(c) where the change is caused by the infrastructure manager, the quality of the alternative capacity provided in terms of routing, timing, technical characteristics and other relevant aspects, when alternative capacity exists, or	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 40(4), fifth subparagraph, point (d)				
472e			(d) where the change is caused by the applicant, whether the capacity can be re-allocated and used by another applicant.	
Article 40(4), fourth subparagraph b				
472f			For the purposes of applying the fifth subparagraph of this paragraph, a Member State may define modulation scales. Those scales shall comply with the conditions laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Member States shall endeavour to coordinate between themselves in accordance with Article 53a.	
Article 40(5)				
473	5. In the case of multi-network capacity rights, the obligation to pay the compensation to the applicant shall apply to the infrastructure manager or managers which is or are responsible for the change to the capacity right, taking into account the capacity right in its entirety and, where more than one infrastructure manager is responsible, the ratio of the length	5. In the case of multi-network capacity rights, the obligation to pay the compensation to the applicant shall apply to the infrastructure manager or managers which is or are responsible for the change to the capacity right, taking into account the capacity right in its entirety and, where more than one infrastructure manager is responsible, the ratio of the length	5. ~~In the case of a multi-network capacity rights,~~ right shall be considered as a single capacity right. The obligation to pay the ~~compensation~~ penalty to the applicant shall apply to the infrastructure manager or managers which is or are responsible for the change to the capacity right, taking into account the capacity right in its entirety and, where more than one	5. A multi-network capacity right shall be considered as a single capacity right. The obligation to pay the penalty to the applicant shall apply to the infrastructure manager or managers which is or are responsible for the change to the capacity right, taking into account the capacity right in its entirety and, where more than one infrastructure manager is

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	of their networks in the capacity right. The compensation for the entirety of the capacity right shall not exceed the compensation due for the capacity right allocated by the infrastructure manager multiplied by three.	of their networks in the capacity right. The compensation for the entirety of the capacity right shall not exceed the compensation due for the capacity right allocated by the infrastructure manager multiplied by three.	infrastructure manager is responsible, the ratio of the length of their networks in the capacity right. The ~~compensation~~ penalty for the entirety of the capacity right shall not exceed the ~~compensation~~ penalty due for the capacity right allocated by the infrastructure manager multiplied by ~~three~~ two .	responsible, the ratio of the length of their networks in the capacity right. The penalty for the entirety of the capacity right shall not exceed the penalty due for the capacity right allocated by the infrastructure manager multiplied by ~~two~~ two-point-five . (26/9) To be further discussed - EP cannot accept the cap
Article 40(5a)				
473a				
Article 40(5a)				
473b				<u>5a. In the case of an applicant cancelling a multi-network capacity right, the applicant shall pay a penalty to all infrastructure managers concerned. The penalty to be paid to each infrastructure manager shall be calculated based on that infrastructure manager's share of the capacity right. It shall not exceed the due for the total capacity right multiplied by two-point-five.</u> (26/9) To be further discussed - EP cannot accept the cap

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 40(6)				
474	6. Regulatory bodies shall decide on disputes related to the reason for the change to a capacity right or delayed compensation and shall take a decision without delay and within one month of collecting all the necessary information to assess the cause of the change. Regulatory bodies shall inform and may consult the ENRRB on such decisions. The ENRRB shall ensure that such decisions are coherent and based on commonly recognized principles.	6. Regulatory bodies shall decide on disputes related to the reason for the change to a capacity right or ~~delayed~~ <u>delays in the payment of the</u> compensation and shall take a decision without delay and within one month of collecting all the necessary information to assess the cause of the change. Regulatory bodies shall inform and may consult the ENRRB on such decisions. The ENRRB shall ensure that such decisions are coherent and based on commonly recognized principles.	6. The regulatory bodies concerned shall decide on disputes related to the reason for the change to a capacity right or ~~delayed compensation~~ related to delays in the payment of a penalty and shall take a decision without delay and within ~~one month~~ six weeks of collecting all the necessary information to assess the cause of the change. Regulatory bodies shall inform and may consult the ENRRB on such decisions. When consulted, the ENRRB shall advise Regulatory bodies with the aim to ensure that such decisions are coherent and based on commonly recognized principles.	6. The regulatory bodies concerned shall decide on disputes related to the reason for the change to a capacity right or related to delays in the payment of a penalty and shall take a decision without delay and <u>within six weeks</u> of collecting all the necessary information to assess the cause of the change. Regulatory bodies shall inform and may consult the ENRRB on such decisions. When consulted, the ENRRB shall advise Regulatory bodies with the aim to ensure that such decisions are coherent and based on commonly recognized principles. Provisionally agreed in ITM 26 (26/9) agreement in principle, yellow until overall picture on penalties available. PCY to test if one month would be acceptable to MS
Article 40(6), second subparagraph				
474a			In the case of multi-network capacity rights an applicant shall have the right to appeal to the regulatory body responsible	In the case of multi-network capacity rights an applicant shall have the right to appeal to the regulatory body

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			for the infrastructure manager acting as the single point of contact according to Article 28. The regulatory body responsible shall inform the other relevant regulatory bodies and the ENRRB.	responsible for the infrastructure manager acting as the single point of contact according to Article 28. The regulatory body responsible shall inform the other relevant regulatory bodies and the ENRRB. Provisionally agreed in ITM 26 (26/9) yellow as for all penalties
Article 40(7)				
475	7. The Commission may adopt implementing acts setting out the conditions giving rise to the payment of compensations, the categorisation of changes to capacity rights and the methodologies to set the levels of compensation. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).	7. The Commission ~~may~~ <u>shall</u> <u>be empowered to</u> adopt ~~implementing acts~~ <u>setting delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to set</u> out the conditions giving rise to the payment of compensations, the categorisation of changes to capacity rights and the methodologies to set the levels of compensation <u>and to amend paragraph 2 of this Article</u> . Those ~~implementing delegated~~ acts shall be adopted ~~in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3) by ...~~ <u>[24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]. They shall be included in the European</u>	7. If ENIM fails to adopt the procedures referred to in Article 39(8) or the conditions referred to in Article 40(3) [within 24 months from the date of application referred to in the introductory part of Article 77(2)] or if those procedures and conditions do not allow for a uniform implementation of Article 39 or of Article 40 either for reasons linked to the content of those procedures and conditions or for reasons due to a lack of implementation by infrastructure managers, the Commission may adopt implementing acts setting out the conditions giving rise to the payment of compensations, the	<u>Empowerments</u> (14/10) The criteria for the application and determination of penalties in (3) are an element of the FW on capacity mgt and therefor covered under Art 70a/b. (22/10) EP is double checking this one

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
				<u>framework for capacity management.</u>		categorisation of changes to capacity rights and the methodologies to set the levels of compensation conditions giving rise to the payment of penalties. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).		
		Article 40(7)						
R	475a					7. Without prejudice to the Convention concerning International Carriage by Rail of 9 May 1980, as amended by the Vilnius Protocol of 3 June 1999 ('COTIF'), in particular to Appendix E to that Convention, where applicable, and to the right to appeal under national and EU law any decision taken pursuant to this Article, the payment of a penalty by the party initiating the change to capacity rights in accordance with this Article exhausts for the other party other financial claims related to the same change.		R
		Article 40a						
G	475b					Article 40a		G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			Implementing acts relating to the changes to capacity rights and penalty	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 30</i>
Article 40a				
475c	7. The Commission may adopt implementing acts setting out the conditions giving rise to the payment of compensations, the categorisation of changes to capacity rights and the methodologies to set the levels of compensation. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3). Moved reference text		7. If ENIM fails to adopt the procedures referred to in Article 39(8) or the conditions referred to in Article 40(3) [within 24 months from the date of application referred to in the introductory part of Article 77(2)] or if those procedures and conditions do not allow for a uniform implementation of Article 39 or of Article 40 either for reasons linked to the content of those procedures and conditions or for reasons due to a lack of implementation by infrastructure managers, the Commission may adopt implementing acts setting out the ~~conditions giving rise to the payment of compensations, the categorisation of changes to capacity rights and the methodologies to set the levels of compensation~~ conditions giving rise to the payment of penalties. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 30</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3). Moved from row 475 [475 - 475c]	
Article 41				
476	Article 41 Rescheduling in the context of disruption management and crisis management	Article 41 Rescheduling in the context of disruption management and crisis management	Article 41 Rescheduling in the context of disruption management and crisis management	Article 41 Rescheduling in the context of disruption management and crisis management
Article 41(1)				
G 477	1. In the event of a network disruption as referred to in Article 46 or of a crisis situation as referred to in Article 47, the infrastructure manager or managers concerned shall make all possible efforts to reschedule traffic affected by the disruption. For that purpose, infrastructure managers shall allocate rail infrastructure capacity in accordance with the European framework for the cross-border coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44, on the basis of the contingency plans prepared in accordance with Article 19 and in close coordination with operational	1. In the event of a network disruption as referred to in Article 46 or of a crisis situation as referred to in Article 47, the infrastructure manager or managers concerned shall make all possible efforts to reschedule traffic affected by the disruption. For that purpose, infrastructure managers shall allocate rail infrastructure capacity in accordance with the European framework for the cross-border coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44, on the basis of the contingency plans prepared in accordance with Article 19 and in close coordination with operational	1. In the event of a network disruption as referred to in Article 46 or of a crisis situation as referred to in Article 47, the infrastructure manager or managers concerned shall make all possible efforts to reschedule traffic affected by the disruption. For that purpose, infrastructure managers shall allocate rail infrastructure capacity in accordance with the European framework for the cross-border coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management taking into account the guidelines referred to in Article 44 paragraph 2, on the basis of the contingency plans prepared in accordance with	1. In the event of a network disruption as referred to in Article 46 or of a crisis situation as referred to in Article 47, the infrastructure manager or managers concerned shall make all possible efforts to reschedule traffic affected by the disruption. For that purpose, infrastructure managers shall allocate rail infrastructure capacity taking into account the guidelines referred to in paragraph 2, on the basis of the contingency plans prepared in accordance with Article 19 and in close coordination with operational stakeholders and, where relevant, other stakeholders concerned. Provisionally agreed in ITM 27

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	stakeholders and, where relevant, other stakeholders concerned.	stakeholders and, where relevant, other stakeholders concerned.	Article 19 and in close coordination with operational stakeholders and, where relevant, other stakeholders concerned.	(30/09) Subject to the discussion on 'taking into account'
Article 41(2), first subparagraph				
Y	478	2. ENIM shall develop and adopt guidelines for managing and allocating infrastructure capacity in the event of a network disruption in a transparent and non-discriminatory way. In particular, ENIM shall provide guidelines on the application of the simultaneous capacity allocation process and the first come, first served principle.	2. <u>By... [12 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]</u> , ENIM shall develop and adopt guidelines for managing and allocating infrastructure capacity in the event of a network disruption in a transparent and non-discriminatory way. In particular, ENIM shall provide guidelines on the application of the simultaneous capacity allocation process and the first come, first served principle.	2. <u>[By x months from the entry into force]</u> ENIM shall develop and adopt guidelines for managing and allocating infrastructure capacity in the event of a network disruption in a transparent and non-discriminatory way. In particular, ENIM shall provide guidelines on the application of the disruption management and the first come, first served principle. Provisionally agreed in ITM 27 (30/09) Subject to the discussion on deadlines
Article 41(2), second subparagraph				
G	479	Where the simultaneous allocation process applies, ENIM shall provide guidelines on the procedures to <u>be</u> applied, involving as appropriate the application of the consensual conflict resolution process referred to in Article 36 and of the formal conflict	Where the simultaneous allocation process ~~process~~ When disruption management applies, ENIM shall provide guidelines on the procedures to be applied, involving as appropriate the application of the consensual conflict resolution	When disruption management applies, ENIM shall provide guidelines on the procedures to be applied, involving as appropriate the application of the consensual conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 36 and of the formal conflict resolution

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	resolution process referred to in Article 37. ENIM shall include those guidelines in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	Article 36 and of the formal conflict resolution ~~process~~ <u>mechanism</u> referred to in Article 37.– ENIM shall include those guidelines in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	~~process~~ <u>mechanism</u> referred to in Article 36 and of the formal conflict resolution ~~process~~ <u>mechanism</u> referred to in Article 37.– ENIM shall include those guidelines in the European framework for ~~capacity~~ <u>the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management</u> referred to in Article 644.	mechanism referred to in Article 37. ENIM shall include those guidelines in the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44. Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Article 41(3)				
480	3. When rescheduling, infrastructure managers shall not unilaterally change or cancel existing capacity rights for the purpose of managing disruptions. However, based on the experience of regulatory bodies, infrastructure managers and railway undertakings and on the activities of ENIM and the ENRRB, the Commission may adopt an implementing act setting out the criteria and procedures for rescheduling, including unilateral changes to allocated capacity rights by infrastructure managers for the purpose of managing network disruptions. That	3. When rescheduling, infrastructure managers shall not unilaterally change or cancel existing capacity rights for the purpose of managing disruptions. However, based on the experience of regulatory bodies, infrastructure managers and railway undertakings and on the activities of ENIM and the ENRRB, the Commission ~~may~~ <u>shall be empowered to adopt an implementing act setting a delegated act in accordance with Article 71 to set</u> out the criteria and procedures for rescheduling, including unilateral changes to allocated capacity rights by	3. When rescheduling, infrastructure managers shall do its utmost not to ~~not~~ unilaterally change or cancel existing capacity rights for the purpose of managing disruptions. ~~However, based on the experience of regulatory bodies, infrastructure managers and railway undertakings and on the activities of ENIM and the ENRRB, the Commission may adopt an implementing act setting out the criteria and procedures for rescheduling, including unilateral changes to allocated capacity rights by infrastructure managers for the purpose of managing network disruptions. That~~	<i>deleted</i> <u>Empowerments</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) The guidelines in (2) are an element of the FW on capacity mgt and therefor covered under Art 70a/b

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	implementing act shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).	infrastructure managers for the purpose of managing network disruptions <u>and to amend paragraph 2 of this Article. Those delegated acts</u> ~~That implementing act~~ shall be adopted ~~in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3)~~ <u>by ... [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]. They shall be included in the European framework for capacity management.</u>	~~implementing act shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).~~	
Article 41(4)				
481	4. Infrastructure managers and applicants may conclude, on a voluntary basis, agreements providing for a replacement of certain capacity rights in the event of a network disruption. Such agreements shall be mentioned in the contingency plan referred to in Article 19.	4. Infrastructure managers and applicants may conclude, on a voluntary basis, agreements providing for a replacement of certain capacity rights in the event of a network disruption. Such agreements shall be mentioned in the contingency plan referred to in Article 19.	4. Infrastructure managers and applicants may ~~conclude, on a voluntary basis, agreements~~ define measures providing for a replacement of certain capacity rights in the event of a network disruption. Such ~~agreements~~ measures shall be mentioned in the contingency plan referred to in Article 19.	4. Infrastructure managers and applicants may ~~define measures~~ conclude, on a voluntary basis, agreements providing for a replacement of certain capacity rights in the event of a network disruption. Such ~~measures~~ agreements shall be mentioned in the contingency plan referred to in Article 19. Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Article 41(5)				
482	5. In the case of a network disruption affecting traffic on more than one network, the infrastructure managers concerned	5. In the case of a network disruption affecting traffic on more than one network, the infrastructure managers concerned	5. In the case of a network disruption affecting traffic on more than one network, the infrastructure managers concerned	5. In the case of a network disruption affecting traffic on more than one network, the infrastructure managers concerned

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	shall coordinate the allocation of alternative capacity in accordance with Article 53 and with the European framework for the cross-border coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	shall coordinate the allocation of alternative capacity in accordance with Article 53 and with the European framework for the cross-border coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	shall coordinate the allocation of alternative capacity in accordance with Article 53 and with taking into account the European framework for the cross-border coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	shall coordinate the allocation of alternative capacity in accordance with Article 53 and taking into account the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44. Provisionally agreed in ITM 27 (30/09) subject to the outcome on 'taking into account'
Article 41(6)				
482a			6. If ENIM fails to adopt the guidelines for managing and allocating infrastructure capacity in the event of a network disruption <i>[within 24 months from the date of application referred to in the introductory part of Article 77(2)]</i> or if those guidelines do not allow for a uniform implementation of this Article either for reasons linked to the content of those guidelines or for reasons due to a lack of implementation by infrastructure managers, the	<i>deleted</i> Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) The guidelines in (2) are an element of the FW on capacity mgt and therefor covered under Art 70a/b

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			Commission may adopt an implementing act setting out the criteria and procedures for rescheduling, including unilateral changes to allocated capacity rights by infrastructure managers for the purpose of managing network disruptions. That implementing act shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).	
CHAPTER III				
483	CHAPTER III TRAFFIC, DISRUPTION AND CRISIS MANAGEMENT	CHAPTER III TRAFFIC, DISRUPTION AND CRISIS MANAGEMENT	CHAPTER III TRAFFIC, DISRUPTION AND CRISIS MANAGEMENT	CHAPTER III TRAFFIC, DISRUPTION AND CRISIS MANAGEMENT
Article 42				
484	Article 42 Traffic management, disruption management and crisis management	Article 42 Traffic management, disruption management and crisis management	Article 42 Traffic management, disruption management and crisis management	Article 42 Traffic management, disruption management and crisis management
Article 42(1), first subparagraph				
485	1. Infrastructure managers shall perform traffic management in accordance with this Regulation and Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the specifications laid down in implementing acts adopted under that Directive.	1. Infrastructure managers shall perform traffic management in accordance with this Regulation and Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the specifications laid down in implementing acts adopted under that Directive.	1. Infrastructure managers shall perform traffic management in accordance with this Regulation and Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the specifications laid down in implementing acts adopted under that Directive.	1. Infrastructure managers shall perform traffic management in accordance with this Regulation and Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the specifications laid down in implementing acts adopted under that Directive.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
Article 42(1), second subparagraph					
G	486	For that purpose, infrastructure managers shall perform:	For that purpose, infrastructure managers shall perform:	For that purpose, infrastructure managers shall perform:	G
Article 42(1), second subparagraph, point (a)					
G	487	(a) traffic management during normal operating conditions involving the management of incidents resulting in limited deviations from the working timetable;	(a) traffic management during normal operating conditions involving the management of incidents resulting in limited deviations from the working timetable;	(a) traffic management during normal operating conditions involving the management of incidents resulting in limited deviations from the working timetable;	G
Article 42(1), second subparagraph, point (b)					
G	488	(b) disruption management to address significant disturbances to network operations requiring concerted action in accordance with Article 46;	(b) disruption management to address significant disturbances to network operations requiring concerted action in accordance with Article 46;	(b) disruption management to address significant disturbances to network operations requiring concerted action in accordance with Article 46;	G
Article 42(1), second subparagraph, point (c)					
G	489	(c) traffic management during crisis situations as set out in Article 47.	(c) traffic management during crisis situations as set out in Article 47.	(c) traffic management during crisis situations as set out in Article 47.	G
Article 42(2)					
G	490	2. In the event of large-scale crisis situations, both within and beyond the transport sector, Member States may implement measures derogating from the rules applicable in normal situations in accordance with Article 47. In such cases and	2. In the event of large-scale crisis situations, both within and beyond the transport sector, Member States may implement measures derogating from the rules applicable in normal situations in accordance with Article 47. In such cases and	deleted <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 25</i>	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	where relevant, infrastructure managers shall adopt and apply special rules and procedures, subject to the conditions specified in Article 47.	where relevant, infrastructure managers shall adopt and apply special rules and procedures, subject to the conditions specified in Article 47.		
Article 42(2a)				
490a		<u>2a. Infrastructure managers shall publish and make freely accessible the special rules and procedures referred to in paragraph 2. They shall notify them to the Commission.</u>		deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Article 42(3), first subparagraph				
491	3. When managing traffic, infrastructure managers shall adhere to the principles set out in Article 2.	3. When managing traffic, infrastructure managers shall adhere to the principles set out in Article 2.	3. When managing traffic, infrastructure managers shall adhere to the principles set out in Article 2.	3. When managing traffic, infrastructure managers shall adhere to the principles set out in Article 2.
Article 42(3), second subparagraph				
492	In particular, infrastructure managers shall minimise disturbances and their impact on rail traffic and shall:	In particular, infrastructure managers shall minimise disturbances and their impact on rail traffic and shall:	In particular, infrastructure managers shall minimise disturbances and their impact on rail traffic and shall:	In particular, infrastructure managers shall minimise disturbances and their impact on rail traffic and shall:
Article 42(3), second subparagraph, point (a)				
493	(a) ensure a fast and coordinated reaction to disturbances, in particular in the event of network disruptions and crisis situations;	(a) ensure a fast and coordinated reaction to disturbances, in particular in the event of network disruptions and crisis situations;	(a) ensure a fast and coordinated reaction to disturbances, in particular in the event of network disruptions and crisis situations;	(a) ensure a fast and coordinated reaction to disturbances, in particular in the event of network disruptions and crisis situations;
Article 42(3), second subparagraph, point (b)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	494	(b) stabilise and optimise rail traffic during the entire duration of network disruptions and crises;	(b) stabilise and optimise rail traffic during the entire duration of network disruptions and crises;	(b) stabilise and optimise rail traffic during the entire duration of network disruptions and crises;	G
Article 42(3), second subparagraph, point (c)					
G	495	(c) provide relevant, accurate and up-to-date information to operational stakeholders and to other concerned parties, in particular authorities in charge of managing crisis situations outside the rail sector. This information shall be provided by the appropriate means, including those referred to in Article 62.	(c) provide relevant, accurate and up-to-date information to operational stakeholders and to other concerned parties, in particular authorities in charge of managing crisis situations outside the rail sector. This information shall be provided by the appropriate means, including those referred to in Article 62.	(c) provide relevant, accurate and up-to-date information to operational stakeholders and to other concerned parties, in particular authorities in charge of managing crisis situations outside the rail sector. This information shall be provided by the appropriate means, including those referred to in Article 62.	G
Article 43					
G	496	Article 43 Rules and procedures for traffic management and disruption management	Article 43 Rules and procedures for traffic management and disruption management	Article 43 Rules and procedures for traffic management and disruption management	G
Article 43(1)					
G	497	1. Infrastructure managers shall put in place rules and procedures to manage deviations of train movements from the working timetable. Those rules and procedures shall be published in the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU and shall cover traffic management in the situations set	1. <u>By ... /18 months from the entry into force of this Regulation],</u> infrastructure managers shall put in place rules and procedures to manage deviations of train movements from the working timetable. Those rules and procedures shall be published in the network statement referred to in Article 27 of	1. Infrastructure managers shall put in place rules and procedures to manage deviations of train movements from the working timetable. Those rules and procedures shall be published in the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU and shall cover traffic management in the situations set	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	out in Article 42(1), points (a), (b) and (c) of this Regulation.	Directive 2012/34/EU and shall cover traffic management in the situations set out in Article 42(1), points (a), (b) and (c) of this Regulation.	out in Article 42(1), points (a), (b) and (c) of this Regulation.	out in Article 42(1), points (a), (b) and (c) of this Regulation. Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Article 43(2)				
498	2. The rules and procedures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at minimising the overall impact of deviations from the timetable on rail traffic, taking into account the needs of all types of transport. The principles may involve priority rules for the management between the different types of traffic and the specific procedures, criteria and targets to be applied in an optimisation-based approach that relies on the optimisation of a target function, such as the minimization of the delay minutes or of the time to return to normal operations, rather than explicit priority rules.	2. The rules and procedures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at minimising the overall impact of deviations from the timetable on rail traffic, taking into account the needs of all types of transport. The ~~principles~~ <u>rules and procedures</u> may involve priority rules for the management between the different types of traffic and the specific procedures, criteria and targets to be applied in an optimisation-based approach that relies on the optimisation of a target function, such as the minimization of the delay minutes or of the time to return to normal operations, rather than explicit priority rules.	2. The rules and procedures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at minimising the overall impact of deviations from the timetable on all types of rail traffic, taking into account the needs of all types of transport. The ~~principles~~ rules and procedures may involve priority rules for the management between the different types of traffic and the specific procedures, criteria and targets to be applied in an optimisation-based approach that relies on the optimisation of a target function, such as the minimization of the delay minutes or of the time to return to normal operations, rather than explicit priority rules.	2. The rules and procedures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at minimising the overall impact of deviations from the timetable on all types of rail traffic, taking into account the needs of all types of transport. The rules and procedures may involve priority rules for the management between the different types of traffic and the specific procedures, criteria and targets to be applied in an optimisation-based approach that relies on the optimisation of a target function, such as the minimization of the delay minutes or of the time to return to normal operations, rather than explicit priority rules. Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Article 43(3)				
499	3. In the event of a disruption to train movements caused by technical failure or	3. In the event of a disruption to train movements caused by technical failure or	3. In the event of a disruption to train movements caused by technical failure or	3. In the event of a disruption to train movements caused by technical failure or

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	accident, the infrastructure manager shall take all necessary steps to restore the situation to normal. To that end, it shall implement a contingency plan in accordance with Article 19. In the event of a disturbance which has a potential impact on cross-border traffic, the infrastructure managers concerned shall cooperate with each other to restore the cross-border traffic to normal in accordance with the European framework for the coordination of traffic, disruption and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	accident, the infrastructure manager shall, <u>without delay</u> , take all necessary steps to restore the situation to normal. To that end, it shall implement a contingency plan in accordance with Article 19. In the event of a disturbance which has a potential impact on cross-border traffic, the infrastructure managers concerned shall cooperate with each other to restore the cross-border traffic to normal in accordance with the European framework for the coordination of traffic, disruption and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	accident, the infrastructure manager shall take all necessary steps to restore the situation to normal. To that end, it shall implement ~~at the~~ contingency plan developed in accordance with Article 19. In the event of a disturbance which has a potential impact on cross-border traffic, the infrastructure managers concerned shall cooperate with each other to restore the cross-border traffic to normal in accordance with the European framework for the coordination of traffic, disruption and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	accident, the infrastructure manager shall take all necessary steps to restore the situation to normal. To that end, it shall implement the contingency plan developed in accordance with Article 19. In the event of a disturbance which has a potential impact on cross-border traffic, the infrastructure managers concerned shall cooperate with each other to restore the cross-border traffic to normal in accordance with the European framework for the coordination of traffic, disruption and crisis management referred to in Article 44. Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Article 43(4)				
500	4. When setting out the rules and procedures referred to in paragraph 1, infrastructure managers shall take the utmost account of the European framework for the coordination of traffic, disruption and crisis management referred to in Article 44. They shall explain in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common	4. When setting out the rules and procedures referred to in paragraph 1, infrastructure managers shall take the utmost account of the European framework for the coordination of traffic, disruption and crisis management referred to in Article 44. They shall explain in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common	4. When setting out the rules and procedures referred to in paragraph 1, infrastructure managers shall take the utmost account of the European framework for the coordination of traffic, disruption and crisis management referred to in Article 44. ~~They shall explain in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common~~	4. When setting out the rules and procedures referred to in paragraph 1, infrastructure managers shall take ~~the utmost~~ account of the European framework for the coordination of traffic, disruption and crisis management referred to in Article 44. <u>They shall explain in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	rules and procedures established in the European framework for the coordination of traffic, disruption and crisis management.	rules and procedures established in the European framework for the coordination of traffic, disruption and crisis management.	rules and procedures established in the European framework for the coordination of traffic, disruption and crisis management.	<u>rules and procedures established in the European framework for the coordination of traffic, disruption and crisis management.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Article 43(4a)				
G 500a		<u>4a. Deviations shall only be allowed in duly justified cases and shall be approved by the national regulatory body.</u>		deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Article 43(5), first subparagraph				
G 501	5. In cases of force majeure, and, where absolutely necessary, on account of an incident making the infrastructure temporarily unusable, allocated capacity rights may be withdrawn without warning for as long as is necessary to repair the system.	5. In cases of force majeure, and, where absolutely necessary, on account of an incident making the infrastructure temporarily unusable, allocated capacity rights may be withdrawn without warning for as long as is necessary to repair the system <u>whilst at the same time making the utmost efforts to provide possible alternatives.</u>	5. In cases of force majeure, and, where absolutely necessary, on account of an incident making the infrastructure temporarily unusable, allocated capacity rights may be withdrawn without warning for as long as is necessary to repair the system.	5. In cases of force majeure, and, where absolutely necessary, on account of an incident making the infrastructure temporarily unusable, allocated capacity rights may be withdrawn without warning for as long as is necessary to repair the system <u>whilst at the same time making the utmost efforts to provide possible alternatives.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Article 43(5), second subparagraph				
G 502	The infrastructure manager may, if it deems this necessary, require railway undertakings to make	The infrastructure manager may, if it deems this necessary, require railway undertakings to make	The infrastructure manager may, if it deems this necessary, require railway undertakings to make	The infrastructure manager may, if it deems this necessary, require railway undertakings to make

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	available to it the resources which it considers are the most appropriate to restore the situation to normal as soon as possible.	available to it the resources which it considers are the most appropriate to restore the situation to normal as soon as possible.	available to it the resources which it considers are the most appropriate to restore the situation to normal as soon as possible.	available to it the resources which it considers are the most appropriate to restore the situation to normal as soon as possible.
Article 43(5), second subparagraph a				
G 502a		<u>5a. In the case of a capacity withdrawal, infrastructure managers shall inform railway undertakings timely on their time management, on progress of repairs and on possible alternatives to their allocated capacity.</u>		<u>5a. In case of a capacity cancellation, infrastructure managers shall inform railway undertakings on their time management, on progress of repairs and on possible alternatives to their allocated capacity.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 43(5), second subparagraph b				
G 502b		<u>6a. The Commission shall adopt, by [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation], implementing acts to set out rules and procedures to manage deviations of train movements from the working timetable and amend paragraph 1 of this Article. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3).</u>		deleted Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 43(6)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 503	6. Member States may require railway undertakings to be involved in assuring the enforcement and monitoring of their own compliance with the safety standards and rules.	6. Member States may require railway undertakings to be involved in assuring the enforcement and monitoring of their own compliance with the safety standards and rules.	deleted	<u>6. Member States may require railway undertakings to be involved in assuring the enforcement and monitoring of their own compliance with the safety standards and rules.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 23 Copied from Directive 2012/34/EU
Article 44				
G 504	Article 44 European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management	Article 44 European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management	Article 44 European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic -management, disruption management and crisis management	Article 44 European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic-management, disruption management and crisis management
Article 44(1), first subparagraph				
Y 505	1. ENIM shall develop and adopt a European framework for the coordination of cross border traffic, traffic disruption and crisis management in accordance with Article 42 at the latest by [12 months after the entry into force of this Regulation].	1. ENIM shall develop and adopt a European framework for the coordination of cross border traffic, traffic disruption and crisis management in accordance with Article 42 at the latest by [12 months after the entry into force of this Regulation].	1. By [24 months of the entry into force of this Regulation], ENIM shall develop and adopt a ‘European framework for the coordination of cross border traffic, ~~traffic~~ management , disruption management and crisis management’ in accordance with the principles referred to in Article 42 at the latest by [12 months after the entry into force of	1. By [24 months of the entry into force of this Regulation], ENIM shall develop and adopt a ‘European framework for the coordination of cross border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management’ in accordance with the principles referred to in Article 42, laying down guidelines for the coordination between infrastructure managers, railway

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			this Regulation], laying down guidelines for the coordination between infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other operational stakeholders. Infrastructure managers shall strive to follow those guidelines for the coordination of cross border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management. They shall explain, in the network statement, the reasons for any deviation from those guidelines.	undertakings and other operational stakeholders, <u>and taking into account the work of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking established under Article 3(1), point (d), of Regulation (EU 2021/2085)</u>. Infrastructure managers shall ~~strive to follow~~ <u>take the utmost account of</u> those guidelines for the coordination of cross border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management. ~~They shall explain, in the network statement, the reasons for any deviation from those guidelines.~~ DEADLINE is still open Provisionally agreed in ITM 23 Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) redrafted and aligned with Article 6 and 50 - EP is still checking
	Article 44(1), second subparagraph			
G 506	ENIM shall develop the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management in cooperation with operational stakeholders and	ENIM shall develop the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management in cooperation with operational stakeholders and	1a. ENIM shall develop the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management in cooperation with	1a. ENIM shall ~~develop~~ <u>publish a draft of</u> the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	interested parties through the consultation process referred to in Article 54, taking into account the work of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking established in Title IV of Regulation (EU 2012/2085.	interested parties through the consultation process referred to in Article 54, taking into account the work of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking established in Title IV of Regulation (EU) <u>2021/2085</u> ~~2012/2085~~ .	operational stakeholders and interested parties through the consultation process referred to in Article 54, taking into account the work of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking established in Title IV under Article 3(1), point (d), of Regulation (EU 2012/2085) <u>2021/2085</u> .	management ~~in cooperation with~~ <u>for consulting ERP and operational stakeholders. ERP and</u> operational stakeholders ~~and interested parties through~~ <u>may submit their replies to</u> the consultation ~~process referred to in Article 54, taking into account the work of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking established under Article 3(1), point (d), of Regulation (EU 2012/2085)~~ <u>within three months after the publication of this draft.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 Provisionally agreed in ITM 23 (22/10) redrafted and aligned with Article 6 and 50 - EP is still checking
Article 44(2)				
507	2. The European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management shall provide guidelines for the coordination between infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other operational stakeholders.	2. The European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management shall provide guidelines for the coordination between infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other operational stakeholders. <u>including ENRRB</u> .	21b. ENIM shall submit a draft for the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management to the ENRRB within 18 months after the entry into force of this Regulation. The ENRRB shall provide guidelines submit a	1b. ENIM shall submit <u>the final</u> ~~a~~ draft for the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management to the ENRRB within <u>18</u> months after the entry into force of this Regulation. The ENRRB shall submit a recommendation to ENIM on the

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			recommendation to ENIM on the European framework for the coordination between infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other operational stakeholders of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, within 4 months after receiving the draft prepared by ENIM. ENIM shall take the utmost account of this recommendation when adopting the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management.	European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, within ~~4~~<u>three</u> months after receiving the draft prepared by ENIM. ENIM shall take ~~the utmost~~ account of this ~~recommendation~~<u>recommendation</u> when adopting the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management. DEADLINE Provisionally agreed in ITM 23 Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) redrafted and aligned with Article 6 and 50 - EP is still checking
Article 44(3)				
G 508	3. In particular, the European framework for the cross-border coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management shall comprise the elements listed in Annex V.	3. In particular, the European framework for the cross-border coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management shall comprise the elements listed in Annex V.	3. ~~In particular,~~ The European framework for the ~~cross-border~~ coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management shall comprise at least the elements listed in Annex V and shall be updated when	3. The European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management shall comprise <u>of</u> at least the elements listed in Annex V and shall be updated when necessary to take into account the

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			necessary to take into account the experience of infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other applicants and based on the activities of ENIM. When updating the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, ENIM shall submit a draft to the ENRRB for recommendation.	experience of infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other applicants ~~and based on~~ <u>as well as</u> the activities of ENIM. When ~~updating~~ the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management <u>is updated, ENIM shall consult ERP and operational stakeholders, and</u> ~~ENIM~~ shall submit a draft to the ENRRB for recommendation, <u>in accordance with paragraph 1a and 1b.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 23 Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) redrafted and aligned with Article 6 and 50, to make it clearer that when updating the framework, there will be a new consultation round - EP is still checking
	Article 44(3a)			
G 508a				<u>3a. When preparing the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU and Article 5(2) of this Regulation, infrastructure managers shall take account of</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management. They shall explain, in the network statement, the reason for any deviation from the guidelines for the coordination established in this framework.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) Alignment with Article 6
Article 44(3b)				
G 508b				<u>3b. When exercising its powers in relation to the network statement in accordance with Article 56(1) and 56(2) of Directive 2012/34/EU, the regulatory body shall take into account the recommendation on the European framework for the coordination of cross border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management adopted by ENRRB, in accordance with paragraph 1a and without prejudice to the principle of independence of regulatory bodies.</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) Alignment with Article 6
Article 44(3c)				
G 508c		<u>3a. Taking into account the framework adopted by ENIM in accordance with paragraph 1, the Commission shall be empowered, to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to set out the details for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management and to amend paragraph 2 of this Article. Those delegated acts shall be adopted by ... [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]..</u>		<u>3c. The Commission is empowered, in accordance with Article 70b, to adopt [implementing/delegated] acts setting out the European Framework for the coordination of cross border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, as defined in paragraph 3 of this Article.</u> <u>Those [implementing] acts shall be adopted in accordance with the [examination procedure referred to in Article 72, paragraph 3].</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29
Article 45				
G 509	Article 45 Coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management	Article 45 Coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management	Article 45 Coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management	Article 45 Coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management If we agree in line 510 and the framework is about

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				cross-border, the title of this Article should also be about cross-border, isn't it?
	Article 45, first paragraph			
G 510	Infrastructure managers shall coordinate traffic management in accordance with Article 53 and on the basis of the European framework for the cross-border coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	Infrastructure managers shall coordinate traffic management in accordance with Article 53 and on the basis of the European framework for the cross-border coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	Infrastructure managers shall coordinate traffic management in accordance with Article 53 ~~and on the basis.~~ When doing so, they shall take the utmost account of the European framework for the ~~cross-border~~ coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	Infrastructure managers shall coordinate traffic management in accordance with Article 53. ~~When doing so, they shall take the utmost account~~ <u>and on the basis</u> of the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44. Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
	Article 45, second paragraph			
G 511	Coordination shall ensure in particular:	Coordination shall ensure in particular:	Coordination shall ensure in particular:	Coordination shall ensure in particular:
	Article 45, second paragraph, point (a)			
G 512	(a) that international rail services operate with minimum disruptions both under regular operations and in disturbed situations;	(a) that international rail services operate with minimum disruptions both under regular operations and in disturbed situations;	(a) that international rail services operate with minimum disruptions both under regular operations and in disturbed situations;	(a) that international rail services operate with minimum disruptions both under regular operations and in disturbed situations;
	Article 45, second paragraph, point (b)			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
513	(b) that the specific challenges related to cross-border sections resulting, inter alia, from limited interoperability in terms of infrastructure, technical equipment and operations, language and training requirements related to staff, administrative or border formalities are properly taken into account;	(b) that the specific challenges related to cross-border sections resulting, inter alia, from limited interoperability in terms of infrastructure, technical equipment and operations, language and training requirements related to staff, administrative or border formalities are properly taken into account;	(b) that the specific challenges related to cross-border sections resulting, inter alia, from limited interoperability in terms of infrastructure, technical equipment and operations, language and training requirements related to staff, administrative or border formalities are properly taken into account;	(b) that the specific challenges related to cross-border sections resulting, inter alia, from limited interoperability in terms of infrastructure, technical equipment and operations, language and training requirements related to staff, administrative or border formalities are properly taken into account;
Article 45, second paragraph, point (c)				
514	(c) an efficient exchange of up-to-date and relevant information between infrastructure managers, applicants, railway undertakings and other operational stakeholders, as well as any EU-level relevant crisis management governance structures as appropriate, including in accordance with Article 62.	(c) an efficient exchange of up-to-date and relevant information between infrastructure managers, applicants, railway undertakings and other operational stakeholders, as well as any EU-level relevant crisis management governance structures as appropriate, including in accordance with Article 62.	(c) an efficient exchange of up-to-date and relevant information between infrastructure managers, applicants, ~~railway undertakings~~ and other operational stakeholders, as well as any EU-level relevant crisis management governance structures as appropriate, including in accordance with Article 62.	(c) an efficient exchange of up-to-date and relevant information between infrastructure managers, applicants, <u>railway undertakings</u> and other operational stakeholders, as well as any EU-level relevant crisis management governance structures as appropriate, including in accordance with Article 62.
Article 46				
515	Article 46 Network disruptions	Article 46 Network disruptions	Article 46 Network disruptions	Article 46 Network disruptions
Article 46(1), first subparagraph				
516	1. Where an incident results or is likely to result in restrictions to network operations, which require concerted action by operational stakeholders to ensure	1. Where an incident results or is likely to result in restrictions to network operations, which require concerted action by operational stakeholders to ensure	1. Where an incident results or is likely to result in restrictions to network operations, which require concerted action by operational stakeholders to ensure	1. Where an incident results or is likely to result in restrictions to network operations, which require concerted action by operational stakeholders to ensure

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	the best possible management of traffic during the restrictions, the affected infrastructure managers shall assess the likely duration and impact of the incident on the basis of all available information and previous experience.	the best possible management of traffic during the restrictions, the affected infrastructure managers shall assess the likely duration and impact of the incident on the basis of all available information and previous experience.	the best possible management of traffic during the restrictions, the affected infrastructure managers shall assess the likely duration and impact of the incident on the basis of all available information and previous experience, taking utmost account of the common method referred to in paragraph 5a.	the best possible management of traffic during the restrictions, the affected infrastructure managers shall assess the likely duration and impact of the incident on the basis of all available information and previous experience, taking ~~utmost~~ ~~account of the common~~ method referred to in paragraph 5a. Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 46(1), second subparagraph				
517	If the estimated duration and impact meet the criteria for the declaration of network disruptions as set out in Annex VI, the infrastructure managers concerned shall declare a network disruption and implement the measures laid down in Article 43.	If the estimated duration and impact meet the criteria for the declaration of network disruptions as set out in Annex VI, the infrastructure managers concerned shall declare a network disruption and implement the measures laid down in Article 43.	If the estimated duration and impact meet the criteria for the declaration of network disruptions as set out in Annex VI, the infrastructure managers concerned shall declare a network disruption and implement the measures laid down in Article 43.	If the estimated <u>likely</u> duration and impact meet the criteria for the declaration of network disruptions as set out in Annex VI, the infrastructure managers concerned shall declare a network disruption and implement the measures laid down in Article 43. Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
Article 46(2)				
518	2. Where the incident has, or is likely to have, impacts on more than one network, the infrastructure manager where the incident took place shall declare a multi-network disruption and coordinate actions in accordance with Articles 44, 45 and 53.	2. Where the incident has, or is likely to have, impacts on more than one network, the <u>manager of the</u> infrastructure ~~manager~~ ~~wherein which~~ the incident took place shall declare a multi-network disruption and coordinate actions	2. Where the ~~incident~~ network disruption has, or is likely to have, impacts on more than one network, the manager of the infrastructure ~~manager where the incident~~ which the network disruption took place shall declare a multi-	2. Where the network disruption has, or is likely to have, impacts on more than one network, the manager of the infrastructure on which the network disruption took place shall declare a multi-network disruption and coordinate actions

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
				in accordance with Articles 44, 45 and 53.		network disruption and coordinate actions in accordance with Articles 44, 45 and 53, while taking the utmost account of the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44.		in accordance with ~~Articles 45 and 53~~ Article 45 , while taking ~~the utmost~~ account of the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44. Provisionally agreed in ITM 25 Commission double checking the deletion of Article 53
Article 46(2a), first subparagraph								
G	518a					2a. Where the network disruption has impacts on a line part of a European Transport Corridor, and is resulting in a partial or a total interruption of services for more than 15 days, the infrastructure manager shall provide an incident report to the Network Coordinator as soon as possible after the declaration of the disruption made pursuant to paragraph 1 or 2, and provide an update every 30 days during the entire duration of the disruption.		2a. Where the network disruption has cross-border impacts ~~on a line part of a European Transport Corridor~~ , and is resulting in a partial or a total interruption of services for more than 15 days, the infrastructure manager shall provide an incident report to the ~~Network~~ Network Coordinator as soon as possible after the declaration of the disruption made pursuant to paragraph 1 or 2, and provide an update every 30 days during the entire duration of the disruption. Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 46(2a), second subparagraph								

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 518b				<u><i>The incident report shall include information on all operational measures undertaken to ensure alternative routes and the restoration of regular services;</i></u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 46(2a), third subparagraph				
G 518c			The Network Coordinator shall submit this report to ENIM and the relevant European Coordinators and, upon request, to the applicants concerned.	The Network Coordinator shall submit ~~this~~<u>the incident</u> report to ENIM and the relevant European Coordinators and, upon request, to the applicants concerned. Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 46(3)				
G 519	3. ENIM shall define a harmonised method to estimate the likely duration and impact of network disruptions and include it in the European framework for the coordination of traffic and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	3. ENIM shall define a harmonised method to estimate the likely duration and impact of network disruptions and include it in the European framework for the coordination of traffic, <u>disruption management</u> and crisis management referred to in Article 44.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 46(4), first subparagraph				
G 520	4. The infrastructure manager shall inform, as soon as possible, interested parties about the unavailability of infrastructure	4. The infrastructure manager shall inform, as soon as possible, interested parties about the unavailability of infrastructure	4. The infrastructure manager shall inform, as soon as possible, interested parties about the unavailability of infrastructure	4. The infrastructure manager shall inform, as soon as possible, interested parties about

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	capacity, in particular due to an incident.	capacity, in particular due to an incident.	capacity, in particular due to an incident.	the unavailability of infrastructure capacity. Provisionally agreed in ITM 25
Article 46(4), first subparagraph a				
520a				<i>Member States may require the infrastructure manager to make such information available to the competent authority referred to in Article 9(1) of Directive 2022/2557/UE.</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 46(4), second subparagraph				
521	The regulatory body may require the infrastructure manager to make such information available to it, if it deems it necessary.	The regulatory body may require the infrastructure manager to make such information available to it, if it deems it necessary.	The regulatory body may require the infrastructure manager to make such information available to it, if it deems it necessary.	The regulatory body may require the infrastructure manager to make such information available to it, if it deems it necessary.
Article 46(4), second subparagraph a				
521a		<i>4a. In the case of a partial or total interruption of services caused by disruption of train operation due to a technical failure, incident or an accident on a cross-border line that lasts longer than 15 days, the impacted infrastructure managers shall, within 30 days of the occurrence, prepare an incident report. The</i>		<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 25

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>incident report shall include at least:</u> <u>a) information on all operational measures undertaken to ensure alternative routes;</u> <u>b) information on all actions undertaken to restore regular services on the interrupted line.</u> <u>The report shall be made publicly available and shall be regularly updated until the interruption is lifted.</u> <u>ERA may issue recommendations on improved measures to address the disruption and the traffic capacity restrictions caused by the accident.</u>		
Article 46(5)				
522	5. The Network Coordinator shall collect information on network disruptions, analyse the response, draw conclusions on the effectiveness of the management of such incidents and consult operational stakeholders in accordance with Article 54 and report to ENIM and the Performance Review Body.	5. The Network Coordinator shall collect information on network disruptions, analyse the response, draw conclusions on the effectiveness of the management of such incidents and consult operational stakeholders in accordance with Article 54 and report to ENIM and the Performance Review Body.	5. The Network Coordinator shall collect information on network disruptions, analyse the response, draw conclusions on the effectiveness of the management of such incidents and, consult operational stakeholders, taking into account the guidelines adopted by ENIM in accordance with Article 54(1), and report to ENIM and the Performance Review Body.	5. The Network Coordinator shall collect information on network disruptions, analyse the response, draw conclusions on the effectiveness of the management of such incidents, consult operational stakeholders, taking into account the guidelines adopted by ENIM in accordance with Article 54(1), and report to ENIM <u>and the Advisory Performance Panel</u>.

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
								Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
		Article 46(5), second subparagraph						
G	522a					ENIM shall take into account the conclusions of the Network Coordinator on network disruptions when updating the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44.		<u>5a.</u> ENIM shall take into account the conclusions of the Network Coordinator on network disruptions when updating the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44. Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
		Article 46(5a)						
G	522b					5a. ENIM shall define a common method to estimate the likely duration and impact of network disruptions on traffic, define the minimum content of the incident report and provide a template for the incident report. ENIM shall include that method, that minimum content and that template in the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in		~~5a.~~ <u>5b.</u> ENIM shall define a ~~common~~ method to estimate the likely duration and impact of network disruptions on traffic, define the minimum content of the incident report and provide a template for the incident report. ENIM shall include that method, ~~that~~ minimum content and ~~that~~ template in the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44. Infrastructure managers shall

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			Article 44. Infrastructure managers shall take utmost account of that common method, that minimum content and that template.	take ~~utmost~~ account of that ~~common~~ method, ~~that~~ minimum content and ~~that~~ template. Provisionally agreed in ITM 25 (23/9) Presidency will check what we could do with: IM shall take account of that method, minimum content and template. EP LS suggests to clarify in a recital that IM shall take into account the frameworks, the methods, etc...
Article 46(6)				
Y	523	6. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend Annex VI with a view to ensure an effective and efficient management of network disruptions, taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned.	6. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend Annex VI with a view to ensure an effective and efficient management of network disruptions, taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned.	<i>deleted</i> <u>6. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend Annex VI with a view to ensure an effective and efficient management of network disruptions, taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned.</u> Empowerments Provisionally agreed in ITM 32

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				(22/10) Presidency is checking if this DA can be reinstated.
Article 47				
524	Article 47 Crisis situations	Article 47 Crisis situations	Article 47 Crisis situations	Article 47 Crisis situations
Article 47(1), first subparagraph				
525	1. In cases of crises related to public safety, health epidemics, natural disasters, environmental, defence and security crises, that have or are expected to have a critical effect on the supply or demand of rail transport services, Member States shall be allowed to apply emergency measures that include, by way of derogation from the rules of this Regulation:	1. In cases of crises related to public safety, health epidemics, natural disasters, environmental, defence and security crises, that have or are expected to have a critical effect on the supply or demand of rail transport services, Member States shall be allowed to apply emergency measures that include, by way of derogation from the rules of this Regulation:	1. Without prejudice to Article 1(5), in cases of crises or imminent risk of a crisis occurring related to public safety, health epidemics, natural disasters, ~~environmental~~ environment , defence ~~and~~ or security ~~crises~~ , that have or are expected to have a critical effect on the supply or demand of rail transport services, Member States shall be allowed to apply emergency measures that include, by way of derogation from the rules of this Regulation:	1. Without prejudice to <u>Article 1(5)</u> , in cases of crises or imminent risk of a crisis occurring related to public safety, health epidemics, natural disasters, ~~and~~ and environment, ~~defence or security~~ that have or are expected to have a critical effect on the supply or demand of rail transport services, Member States shall be allowed to apply emergency measures that include, by way of derogation from the rules of this Regulation <u>and from the rules related to the allocation of rail infrastructure capacity laid down in section 3 of Chapter IV of Directive 2012/34/EU</u> : EP - subject to agreement on Article 1(5) (22/10) Presidency wants to delete 'defence and

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				security' as this is covered under 1(5)
	Article 47(1), first subparagraph, point (a)			
G 526	(a) the cancellation of capacity rights without compensation;	(a) the cancellation of capacity rights without compensation;	(a) the cancellation of capacity rights without ~~compensation~~ penalty in accordance with Article 40;	(a) the cancellation of capacity rights without penalty in accordance with Article 40; Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
	Article 47(1), first subparagraph, point (b)			
G 527	(b) alternative principles, rules and procedures for capacity management, in particular for the allocation of scarce infrastructure capacity;	(b) alternative principles, rules and procedures for capacity management, in particular for the allocation of scarce infrastructure capacity;	(b) alternative principles, rules and procedures for capacity management, ~~in particular for the allocation of scarce infrastructure capacity;~~	(b) alternative principles, rules and procedures for capacity management; Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
	Article 47(1), first subparagraph, point (c)			
G 528	(c) alternative procedures for traffic management;	(c) alternative procedures for traffic management;	(c) alternative procedures for traffic management;	(c) alternative procedures for traffic management;
	Article 47(1), first subparagraph, point (d)			
G 529	(d) the use of alternative routes;	(d) the use of alternative routes;	(d) the use of alternative routes;	(d) the use of alternative routes; Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes
	Article 47(1), first subparagraph, point (e)			
G 530	(e) the amendment of capacity supply plans.	(e) the amendment of capacity supply plans.	(e) the amendment of capacity supply plans.	(e) the amendment of capacity supply plans.
	Article 47(1), second subparagraph			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
531	The Member State concerned shall ensure that the emergency measures follow to the extent possible the principles on capacity and traffic management set out in this Regulation and that they make use of existing plans prepared in accordance with Article 19. They shall coordinate such emergency measures with other Member States.	The Member State concerned shall ensure that the emergency measures follow to the extent possible the principles on capacity and traffic management set out in this Regulation and that they make use of existing plans prepared in accordance with Article 19. ~~They~~ It shall coordinate such emergency measures with other Member States.	The Member State concerned shall ensure that the emergency measures follow to the extent possible the principles on capacity and traffic management set out in this Regulation and that they make use of existing contingency plans prepared in accordance with Article 19. ~~They~~ It shall coordinate such emergency measures with other Member States in accordance with Article 53a.	The Member State concerned shall ensure that the emergency measures follow to the extent possible the principles on capacity and traffic management set out in this Regulation and that they make use of existing contingency plans prepared in accordance with Article 19. It shall coordinate such emergency measures with other Member States in accordance with Article 53a. Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
Article 47(1), second subparagraph a				
531a			The content and the duration of emergency measures shall be limited to what is strictly necessary to address the crisis situation in question.	The content and the duration of emergency measures shall be limited to what is strictly necessary <u>and proportionate</u> to address the crisis situation in question. Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
Article 47(2)				
532	2. The Member State concerned shall inform the Commission and ENIM without delay of its decision to apply emergency measures and shall provide a justification and a description of those measures and	2. The Member State concerned shall inform the Commission and ENIM without delay of its decision to apply emergency measures and shall provide a justification and a description of those measures and	2. The Member State concerned shall inform the Commission and ENIM without delay of its decision to apply emergency measures, and of the repeal of such measures , and shall provide a justification and a	2. The Member State concerned shall inform the Commission and ENIM without delay of its decision to apply emergency measures, and of the repeal of such measures, and shall provide a justification and a

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	the expected duration of their application. If applicable, the Member States shall also notify the Commission and the central liaison offices as foreseen under Article 8 of the [Regulation on a Single Market Emergency Instrument].	the expected duration of their application. If applicable, the Member States shall also notify the Commission and the central liaison offices as foreseen under Article 8 of the [Regulation on a Single Market Emergency Instrument].	description of those measures and the expected duration of their application. If applicable Where [Regulation establishing a framework of measures on the emergency and resilience of the internal market] applies, the Member States concerned shall also notify the Commission and the central liaison offices as foreseen under office designated by that Member State pursuant to Article 8 of the [5] of that Regulation on a Single Market Emergency Instrument] of the measures adopted pursuant to this Article.	description of those measures and the expected duration of their application. Where [Regulation establishing a framework of measures on the emergency and resilience of the internal market] applies, the Member State concerned shall also notify the central liaison office designated by that Member State pursuant to Article [5] of that Regulation of the measures adopted pursuant to this Article. Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
Article 47(3)				
533	3. The infrastructure manager shall appoint a focal point in the meaning of Article 60, which shall provide information to the Commission, ENIM, other infrastructure managers and other interested parties about the emergency measures and shall help coordinate such measures.	3. The infrastructure manager shall appoint a focal point in the meaning of Article 60, which shall provide information to the Commission, ENIM, other infrastructure managers and other interested parties about the emergency measures and shall help coordinate such measures.	3. ~~The infrastructure manager shall appoint a focal point in the meaning of~~ points appointed in accordance with Article 60, which shall provide information to the Commission, ENIM, other infrastructure managers and other interested parties about the emergency measures and shall help coordinate such measures.	3. Focal points appointed in accordance with Article 60 shall provide information to the Commission, ENIM, other infrastructure managers, <u>the competent authority referred to in Article 9(1) of Directive 2022/2557/UE,</u> - and other interested parties about the emergency measures and shall help coordinate such measures. Provisionally agreed in ITM 24

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 47(4)				
G 534	4. Where emergency measures have a significant impact on cross-border traffic, infrastructure managers shall coordinate between themselves in accordance with Articles 53 and 54 . When performing coordination through dedicated coordination structures in accordance with Article 53, paragraph 2, point (a), the Commission and the Member States concerned shall be involved.	4. Where emergency measures have a significant impact on cross-border traffic, infrastructure managers shall coordinate between themselves in accordance with Articles 53 and 54 . When performing coordination through dedicated coordination structures in accordance with Article 53, paragraph 2, point (a), the Commission and the Member States concerned shall be involved.	4. Where emergency measures have a significant impact on cross-border traffic, infrastructure managers shall coordinate between themselves in accordance with ~~Articles 53 and 54~~ Article 53, while taking into account the guidelines adopted by ENIM in accordance with Article 54(1). When performing coordination through dedicated coordination structures in accordance with Article 53, paragraph 2, point (a), the Commission and the Member States concerned shall be involved.	4. Where emergency measures have a significant impact on cross-border traffic, infrastructure managers shall coordinate between themselves in accordance with Article 53, while taking into account the guidelines adopted by ENIM in accordance with Article 54(1). When performing coordination through dedicated coordination structures in accordance with Article 53, paragraph 2, point (a), the Commission and the Member States concerned shall be involved. Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
Article 47(5)				
R 535	5. At the request of the Commission, regulatory bodies and the ENRRB shall provide their opinion on the emergency measures to the Commission within the deadline set by the latter. The Commission may adopt decisions requiring a Member State to repeal the emergency measures, if they are deemed not to be necessary.	5. At the request of the Commission, regulatory bodies and the ENRRB shall provide their opinion on the emergency measures to the Commission within the deadline set by the latter. The Commission may adopt decisions requiring a Member State to repeal the emergency measures, if they are deemed not to be necessary.	5. Where emergency measures have a significant impact on cross-border traffic and at the request of the Commission, regulatory bodies and the ENRRB shall provide their opinion on the emergency measures to the Commission within the deadline set by the latter. ~~The Commission may adopt decisions requiring a Member~~	5. Where emergency measures have a significant impact on cross-border traffic and at the request of the Commission, regulatory bodies <u>concerned</u> and the ENRRB shall provide their opinion on the emergency measures to the Commission within the deadline set by the latter. <u>The Commission may, on the basis of these opinions, issue</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			State to repeal the emergency measures, if they are deemed not to be necessary.	<u>recommendations to the Member State concerned on the possible solutions to mitigate the impact of the emergency measures on cross-border traffic, including the repeal of the emergency measures.</u>
Article 47(6)				
G 536	6. Member States shall provide updated information where necessary or upon the request of the Commission. Member States shall provide all the information required by the Commission about the emergency measures within the deadlines set by the latter.	6. Member States shall provide updated information where necessary or upon the request of the Commission. Member States shall provide all the information required by the Commission about the emergency measures within the deadlines set by the latter.	6. Member States shall provide updated information where necessary or upon the request of the Commission. Member States shall provide all the information required by the Commission about the emergency measures within the deadlines set by the latter.	6. Member States shall provide updated information where necessary or upon the request of the Commission. Member States shall provide all the information required by the Commission about the emergency measures within the deadlines set by the latter.
Article 47(7)				
G 537	7. Public authorities in charge of managing crisis situations, including the military, civil protection agencies, and others, may organise exercises simulating crisis situations falling within the scope of this Article. In such cases, the infrastructure manager shall allocate capacity as needed, including the cancellation of allocated capacity rights if necessary. The public authorities	7. Public authorities in charge of managing crisis situations, including the military, civil protection agencies, and others, may organise exercises simulating crisis situations falling within the scope of this Article. In such cases, the infrastructure manager shall allocate capacity as needed, including the cancellation of allocated capacity rights if necessary. The public authorities	7. Public authorities in charge of managing crisis situations, including the military, civil protection agencies, and others, may organise exercises simulating crisis situations falling within the scope of this Article. In such cases, the infrastructure manager shall allocate capacity as needed, including the cancellation of allocated capacity rights if necessary. The public authorities	7. Public authorities in charge of managing crisis situations, including the military, civil protection agencies, and others, may organise exercises simulating crisis situations falling within the scope of this Article. In such cases, the infrastructure manager shall allocate capacity as needed, including the cancellation of allocated capacity rights if necessary. The public authorities

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	concerned shall compensate the applicants concerned in accordance with Article 40.	concerned shall compensate the applicants concerned in accordance with Article 40.	concerned shall compensate the applicants concerned in accordance with Article 40. be subject to a penalty for changes to capacity rights. The rules set out in Article 40 shall apply mutatis mutandis to such penalty.	concerned shall be subject to a penalty for changes to capacity rights. ~~The rules set out,~~ <u>aligned with the principles</u> in Article 40 ~~shall apply mutatis mutandis to such penalty.~~ Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Article 48				
538	Article 48 Exchange of information on traffic management	Article 48 Exchange of information on traffic management	Article 48 Exchange of information on traffic management	Article 48 Exchange of information on traffic management
Article 48(1), first subparagraph				
539	1. All operational stakeholders directly involved in the operation of a rail transport service shall have the right of access to the information concerning this rail transport service set out in Annex VIII.	1. All operational stakeholders directly involved in the operation of a rail transport service shall have the right of access to the information concerning this rail transport service set out in Annex VIII.	1. All operational stakeholders directly involved in the operation of a rail transport service shall have the right of access to the information concerning this rail transport service set out in Annex VIII.	1. All operational stakeholders directly involved in the operation of a rail transport service shall have the right of access to the information concerning this rail transport service set out in Annex VIII.
Article 48(1), second subparagraph				
540	The parties concerned may only use this information for the purposes of this Regulation and for the purposes of Directive (EU) 2016/797 and implementing acts adopted under that Directive, unless specified otherwise in contractual agreements.	The parties concerned may only use this information for the purposes of this Regulation and for the purposes of Directive (EU) 2016/797 and implementing acts adopted under that Directive, unless specified otherwise in contractual agreements.	The parties concerned may only use this information for the purposes of this Regulation and for the purposes of Directive (EU) 2016/797 and implementing acts adopted under that Directive, unless specified otherwise in contractual agreements.	The parties concerned may only use this information for the purposes of this Regulation and for the purposes of Directive (EU) 2016/797 and implementing acts adopted under that Directive, unless specified otherwise in contractual agreements.
Article 48(2)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
g	541	2. The information shall be made accessible in accordance with Article 62.	2. The information shall be made accessible in accordance with Article 62.	2. The information shall be made accessible in accordance with Article 62.	g
Article 48(3)					
g	542	3. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend Annex VIII with a view to ensure that it reflects any changes to the technical specifications for interoperability laid down in the relevant implementing acts that are adopted in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned.	3. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend Annex VIII with a view to ensure that it reflects any changes to the technical specifications for interoperability laid down in the relevant implementing acts that are adopted in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned.	3. The Commission ~~shall~~ <i>beis</i> empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend Annex VIII with a view to ensure that it reflects any changes to the technical specifications for interoperability laid down in the relevant implementing acts that are adopted in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and taking into account planning, operational, technical and commercial considerations of the stakeholders concerned.	g
CHAPTER IV					
	543	CHAPTER IV PERFORMANCE REVIEW	CHAPTER IV PERFORMANCE REVIEW	CHAPTER IV PERFORMANCE REVIEW Agreed at 3rd trilogue	
Article 49					
g	544	Article 49 General principles for performance review	Article 49 General principles for performance review	Article 49 General principles for performance review Agreed at 3rd trilogue	g

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
Article 49(1)								
545		1. In accordance with Article 7f, point (d) of Directive 2012/34/EU, ENIM shall monitor and benchmark performance of rail infrastructure services taking into account the general objectives set out in Article 2 of this Regulation. Rail infrastructure managers shall also monitor the performance of rail transport services.		1. <u>The Commission shall adopt the Union performance targets for the performance areas set out in Annex VII. The targets shall be in accordance with modal shift goals and shall be updated regularly.</u> In accordance with Article 7f, point (d) of Directive 2012/34/EU, ENIM, <u>with the support of rail infrastructure managers</u> shall monitor and benchmark performance of rail infrastructure services <u>and rail transport services</u> taking into account the ~~general objectives set out in Article 2 of this Regulation.~~ <u>Rail Union and</u> infrastructure managers <u>performance targets.</u> <u>ENIM</u> shall ~~also~~ <u>monitor periodically inform</u> the Performance ~~of rail transport services~~ <u>Review Body and the Commission.</u>		1. In accordance with Article 7f, point (d) of Directive 2012/34/EU, ENIM shall monitor and benchmark performance of rail infrastructure services provided by infrastructure managers, taking into account the general ~~objectives~~principles set out in Article 2 of this Regulation. ~~Rail infrastructure managers~~ENIM shall also monitor the performance of rail transport servicescooperate with the European Coordinators and ENRRB for that purpose.		1. In accordance with Article 7f, point (d) of Directive 2012/34/EU, ENIM shall monitor and benchmark performance of rail infrastructure services provided by infrastructure managers, taking into account the general principles set out in Article 2 of this Regulation. <u>Where relevant</u>, ENIM shall cooperate with the European Coordinators, <u>the Advisory Performance Panel</u> and ENRRB for that purpose. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 49(2)								
546		2. For this purpose, infrastructure managers shall set out their own performance targets in the plan referred to in Article 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU,		2. For this purpose, infrastructure managers shall ~~set out~~<u>lay down</u> their own performance targets in the plan referred to in Article 8(3) of		2. ~~For this purpose,~~ Infrastructure managers shall set out their own performance targets defined in accordance with Article 50(2)(c) in the business		2. Infrastructure managers shall set out their own performance targets defined in accordance with Article 50(2)(c) in the business plan referred to in

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	taking into account any objectives set out in the contractual agreements referred to in Article 30 of that Directive. They shall put in place and perform procedures to monitor and report on progress towards the achievement of the targets, to identify the causes of performance deficiencies with operational stakeholders and to design and implement remedial measures to improve performance. These procedures shall take into account the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50 of this Regulation. Infrastructure managers shall explain in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common procedures established in the European framework for performance review.	Directive 2012/34/EU, taking into account any objectives set out in the contractual agreements referred to in Article 30 of that Directive. <u>The infrastructure managers shall consult with the relevant national and European bodies in order to assure that those targets are consistent with Union performance targets.</u> They shall put in place and perform procedures to monitor and report on progress towards the achievement of the targets, to identify the causes of performance deficiencies with operational stakeholders and to design and implement remedial measures to improve performance. These procedures shall take into account the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50 of this Regulation. Infrastructure managers shall explain in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common procedures established in the European framework for performance review. <u>Deviations shall only be allowed in duly justified cases</u>	plan referred to in Article 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU, taking into account any ~~objectives~~ targets set out in the contractual agreements referred to in Article 30(2) of that Directive. They shall put in place and perform procedures to monitor and report on progress towards the achievement of ~~the~~ These targets, to identify the causes of performance deficiencies with operational stakeholders and to design and implement remedial measures to improve performance. These procedures shall take into account the European framework for performance review referred to ~~in~~ include the target values set out in [Article 50 of this 19(1), points (a) and (b) of new TEN-T Regulation]. Infrastructure managers shall explain in the network statement the reason for any deviation from the common procedures established in the European framework for performance review.	Article 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU, taking into account any targets set out in the contractual agreements referred to in Article 30(2) of that Directive. These targets shall include the target values set out in ~~f~~Article 19(1), points (a) and (b) of ~~new~~ TEN-T Regulation <u>(EU) 2024/1679</u>. Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>and shall be approved by the regulatory body.</u>		
Article 49(2), second subparagraph				
546a			Infrastructure managers shall put in place and perform procedures to monitor and report on progress towards the achievement of the targets, to identify the causes of performance deficiencies with operational stakeholders and to design and implement remedial measures to improve performance. These procedures shall take into account the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50 of this Regulation.	2a. Infrastructure managers shall put in place and perform procedures to monitor and report on progress towards the achievement of the targets, to identify the causes of performance deficiencies with operational stakeholders and to design and implement remedial measures to improve performance. These procedures shall take into account the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50 of this Regulation. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 49(3)				
547	3. ENIM shall cooperate with the European Coordinators on performance reviews in accordance with the operational requirements for the European Transport Corridors set out in Article 18 of [new TEN-T Regulation].	3. ENIM shall cooperate with the European Coordinators on performance reviews in accordance with the operational requirements for the European Transport Corridors set out in Article 18 of [new TEN-T Regulation].	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 49(3)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
547a			3. Where related to their own performance targets, infrastructure managers shall also monitor the performance of rail transport services.	~~33a.~~ Where related to their own performance targets, infrastructure managers shall also monitor the performance of rail transport services. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 50				
548	Article 50 European framework for performance review	Article 50 European framework for performance review	Article 50 European framework for performance review	Article 50 European framework for performance review Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 50(1)				
549	1. ENIM shall set up and implement by [12 months after the entry into force of this Regulation] a European framework for the review of performance. This framework shall take into account in particular the principles defined in Article 2(3), Article 8(4), Article 42(3) and the operational requirements, the performance objectives and target values set out in [Article 18 of the new TEN-T Regulation].	1. <u>ERA, with the support of</u> ENIM shall set up and implement by [12 months ~~after~~ <u>from</u> the entry into force of this Regulation] a European framework for the review of performance. This framework shall take into account in particular the principles defined in Article 2(3), Article 8(4), Article 42(3) and the operational requirements, the performance objectives and target values set out in ~~fArticle 18 of~~ <u>19 of Regulation (EU) 2024/...</u> [the new TEN-T Regulation].	1. ~~ENIM shall set up and implement by [12~~ By [24 months afterof the entry into force of this Regulation], ENIM shall develop and adopt a ‘a-European framework for the review of performance review’, after consulting the operational stakeholders, laying down common principles and procedures. This framework shall take into account in particular the principles defined in Article 2(3); ~~Article 8(4), and Article 42(3) and the operational requirements, the performance objectives and target values~~ priorities set out in [Article	1. By [24 months of the entry into force of this Regulation], ENIM shall develop ~~and adopt~~ a ‘European framework for performance review’, ~~after consulting the operational stakeholders,~~ laying down common principles and procedures. ~~This framework shall take taking~~ <u>into account in particular</u> the principles defined in Article 2(3) and Article 42(3) and the operational priorities set out in ~~fArticle 19 of the new TEN-T Regulation]~~ <u>(EU) 2024/1679</u> , and the implementing acts adopted under Article 15(6) of Directive

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			1819 of the new TEN-T Regulation], and the implementing acts adopted under Article 15(6) of Directive 2012/34/EU. Infrastructure managers shall strive to follow those common principles and procedures. They shall explain, in the network statement, the reason for any deviation from the common principles and procedures established in the European framework for performance review.	2012/34/EU. Infrastructure managers shall ~~strive to follow~~ <u>take the utmost account of</u> those common principles and procedures. ~~They shall explain, in the network statement, the reason for any deviation from the common principles and procedures established in the European framework for performance review.~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) redrafted and aligned with Article 6 and - EP is still checking
Article 50(1a)				
549a				<u>1a. ENIM shall publish a draft of the European framework for performance review for consulting ERP and operational stakeholders. ERP and operational stakeholders may submit their replies to the consultation within three months after the publication of this draft.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 50(1b)				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
G	549b			<u>1a. The ENRRB shall adopt a recommendation on the European framework for the review of performance by [six months from the entry into force of this Regulation]. While setting up and implementing the European framework for the review of performance, ERA shall take into account the recommendation issued by the ENRRB.</u>		1a. ENIM shall submit a draft for the European framework for performance review to the ENRRB within 18 months after the entry into force of this Regulation. The ENRRB shall submit a recommendation to ENIM on the European framework for performance review, within 4 months after receiving the draft prepared by ENIM. ENIM shall take the utmost account of this recommendation when adopting the European framework for performance review.		~~1a1b.~~ ENIM shall submit a <u>final</u> draft for the European framework for performance review to the ENRRB within <u>[18]</u> ~~18~~ months after the entry into force of this Regulation. The ENRRB shall submit a recommendation to ENIM on the European framework for performance review, within ~~4~~ <u>three</u> months after receiving the draft prepared by ENIM. ENIM shall take ~~the utmost~~ account of this recommendation when adopting the European framework for performance review. Agreed at 3rd trilogue Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) redrafted and aligned with Article 6 and 44 ['the utmost' has been deleted] - EP is still checking
Article 50(2)								
G	550	2. The European framework shall cover the performance areas set out in Annex VII. In particular, it shall include:		2. The European framework shall cover the performance areas set out in Annex VII. In particular, it shall include:		2. The European framework shall cover at least the performance areas set out in Annex VII. In particular, it shall include:		2. The European framework <u>for performance review</u> shall cover at least the performance areas set out in Annex VII. In particular, it shall include: Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 50(2), point (a)								

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	551	(a) a list of priority performance issues to be addressed in the performance areas set out in Annex VII;	(a) a list of priority performance issues to be addressed in the performance areas set out in Annex VII;	(a) a list of priority performance issues to be addressed in the performance areas set out in Annex VII; Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 50(2), point (b)					
G	552	(b) performance indicators allowing to monitor progress on the performance issues, including methodology and data requirements to calculate such indicators;	(b) performance indicators allowing to monitor progress on the performance issues, including methodology and data requirements to calculate such indicators;	(b) performance indicators allowing to monitor progress on the performance issues, including methodology and data requirements to calculate such indicators; Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 50(2), point (c)					
G	553	(c) criteria and procedures to define performance objectives at the level of infrastructure managers;	(c) criteria and procedures to define performance ~~objectives~~ targets at the level of infrastructure managers;	(c) criteria and procedures to define performance targets at the level of infrastructure managers; Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 50(2), point (d)					
G	554	(d) procedures to monitor and review the elements in points (a) to (c) as well as the implementation of corrective measures and the achievement of the performance objectives referred to in paragraph 4.	(d) procedures to monitor and review the elements in points (a) to (c) as well as the implementation of corrective measures and the achievement of the performance ~~objectives~~ targets. ~~referred to in paragraph 4.~~	(d) procedures to monitor and review the elements in points (a) to (c) as well as the implementation of corrective measures and the achievement of the performance targets. Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 50(3)				
555	3. On the basis of paragraph 2, point (d), ENIM shall regularly review the European framework for performance review and the results of its implementation and shall propose appropriate changes to the framework.	3. On the basis of paragraph 2, point (d), ENIM shall regularly review the European framework for performance review and the results of its implementation and shall propose appropriate changes to the framework.	3. On the basis of paragraph 2, point (d), ENIM shall regularly review the European framework for performance review and the results of its implementation by infrastructure managers and, when and shall propose appropriate changes to, update the framework. When updating the European framework for performance review, ENIM shall submit a draft to the ENRRB for recommendation.	3. ~~On the basis of paragraph 2, point (d), ENIM~~<u>The European framework for performance review</u> shall ~~regularly review~~<u>be updated when necessary to take into account the experience of infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other applicants as well as the activities of ENIM.</u> When the European framework for performance review ~~and its implementation by infrastructure managers and, when appropriate, update the framework. When updating the European framework for performance review, ENIM~~<u>is updated, ENIM shall consult ERP and operational stakeholders, and</u> shall submit a draft to the ENRRB for recommendation, <u>in accordance with paragraph 1a and 1b.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) redrafted and aligned with Article 6 and 44, to make it clearer that when updating the framework, there will be a new

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				consultation round - EP is still checking
Article 50(4)				
556	4. Infrastructure managers shall take the utmost account of the European framework for performance review when fulfilling their functions. In particular, infrastructure managers shall include the objectives defined in accordance with paragraph 2 point (c) of this Article in the business plan referred to in Article 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU. These objectives shall include the target values set out in [Article 18(1), points (a) and (b) of new TEN-T Regulation].	4. Infrastructure managers shall take the utmost account of the European framework for performance review when fulfilling their functions. In particular, infrastructure managers shall include the objectives defined in accordance with paragraph 2 point (c) of this Article in the business plan referred to in Article 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU. These objectives shall include the target values set out in [Article 18(1), points (a) and (b) of new TEN-T Regulation].	deleted	<u>4. When preparing the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU and Article 5(2) of this Regulation, infrastructure managers shall take account of the European framework for performance management. They shall explain, in the network statement, the reason for any deviation from the common principles and procedures established in this framework.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) copied to align this Article with Article 6
Article 50(4a)				
556a				<u>4a. When exercising its powers in relation to the network statement in accordance with Article 56(1) and 56(2) of Directive 2012/34/EU, the regulatory body shall take into account the recommendation on the European framework for performance management</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>adopted by ENRRB, in accordance with paragraph 1a and without prejudice to the principle of independence of regulatory bodies.</u> (22/10) Alignment with Article 6
Article 50(5)				
557	5. The Commission may adopt implementing acts, setting out detailed rules on the elements in paragraph 2, points (b) to (d), in accordance with the advisory procedure referred to in Article 72(2). In doing so, the Commission shall take into account the work done by ENIM in accordance with paragraph 3 and any recommendations of the performance review body.	5. The Commission ~~may~~<u>shall, by [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation],</u> adopt implementing acts, <del>setting out to set out uniform conditions for the application of the elements referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article by ... [36 months from the entry into force of this Regulation, including detailed rules on the elements in paragraph 2, points (b) to (d), <u>and performance targets. Those implementing acts shall be adopted</u> in accordance with the advisory procedure referred to in Article 72(2). In doing so, the Commission shall ~~take into account the work done by ENIM in accordance with paragraph 3 and any recommendations of~~ <u>consult ENIM, ERP, ENRRB,</u> the Performance Review Body, <u>ERA</u></del>	5. The Commission may adopt implementing acts, setting out detailed rules on the elements in paragraph 2, points (b) to (d), in accordance with the advisory examination procedure referred to in Article 72(2). ~~In doing so~~ 72(3). When preparing draft implementing acts, the Commission shall take into account the work done by ENIM in accordance with paragraph 3 and any recommendations, as well as the self-standing section of the European performance review body report referred to in Article 51(2).	5. The Commission ~~may~~<u>is empowered, in accordance with Article 70b, to adopt implementing acts</u> ~~implementing/delegated~~ <u>acts</u> setting out ~~detailed rules on the elements~~ <u>the European Framework for performance review, as defined</u> in paragraph 2, ~~points (b) to (d), of this Article.~~ <u>Those [implementing] acts shall be adopted</u> in accordance with the ~~examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3). When preparing draft implementing acts~~ <u>72, the Commission shall take into account the work done by ENIM in accordance with paragraph 3, as well as the self-standing section of the European performance review report referred to in Article 51(2).</u> Empowerments

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>and the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking.</u>		Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 51				
G 558	Article 51 European performance review report	Article 51 European performance review report	Article 51 European performance review report	Article 51 European performance review report Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 51(1)				
G 559	1. Without prejudice to Article 15 of Directive 2012/34/EU and Article 3 of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/1100¹, ENIM shall prepare and publish by [24 months after the entry into force of this Regulation] a European performance review report on the basis of the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50 of this Regulation and publish it every year. 1. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/1100 of 7 July 2015 on the reporting obligations of the Member States in the framework of rail market monitoring (OJ L 181, 9.7.2015, p. 1).	1. Without prejudice to Article 15 of Directive 2012/34/EU and Article 3 of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/1100¹, ENIM shall prepare and publish by [24 months ~~after~~<u>from</u> the entry into force of this Regulation] a <u>draft</u> European performance review report on the basis of the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50 of this Regulation and ~~publish~~<u>update</u> it every year. <u>Based on this report, ERA shall support the Commission in monitoring and reporting progress on the Union's objectives for rail, including forecasted rail traffic growth foreseen for 2030 and 2050. The draft European performance review report shall</u>	1. Without prejudice to Article 15 of Directive 2012/34/EU and ~~Article 3 of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/1100¹~~<u>acts adopted on the basis thereof</u>, ENIM shall prepare and publish by [24<u>30</u> months after the entry into force of this Regulation] a European performance review report on the basis of the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50 of this Regulation. ENIM shall prepare and publish an update of the report every year. 1. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/1100 of 7 July 2015 on the reporting obligations of the Member States in the framework of rail market monitoring (OJ L 181, 9.7.2015, p. 1).	1. Without prejudice to Article 15 of Directive 2012/34/EU and to the implementing acts adopted on the basis thereof, ENIM shall prepare and publish by [30 months after the entry into force of this Regulation] a European performance review report on the basis of the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50 of this Regulation. ENIM shall prepare and publish an update of the report every year. Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>be sent to the Performance Review Body.</u> 1. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/1100 of 7 July 2015 on the reporting obligations of the Member States in the framework of rail market monitoring (OJ L 181, 9.7.2015, p. 1).		
Article 51(2)				
560	2. The Performance Review Body shall prepare a self-standing section of the report providing its assessment of the performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services, recommendations on performance issues to be addressed with priority and recommendations on measures to improve performance.	2. The Performance Review Body shall ~~prepare a self-standing section of the~~ <u>elaborate and adopt the European performance review</u> report, providing its assessment of the performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services, <u>disagreements raised and</u> recommendations on performance issues ~~to be addressed with priority and recommendations on,~~ <u>including measures to improve performance, shall be included within the next upcoming programme in accordance with Article 55 (8).</u>	2. ~~The Performance Review Body~~ ENRRB shall prepare a self-standing section of the report providing its assessment of the performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services, recommendations on performance issues to be addressed with priority and recommendations on measures to improve performance. ENIM shall include that section in the report referred to in paragraph 1.	2. ~~ENRRB~~ <u>The Advisory Performance Panel</u> shall prepare a self-standing section of the report providing its assessment of the performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services, recommendations on performance issues to be addressed with priority and recommendations on measures to improve performance. ENIM shall include that section in the report referred to in paragraph 1. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 51(3)				
561	3. The performance review report shall cover at least the lines included in the European Transport Corridors referred to in Regulation [new TEN-T	3. The <u>European</u> performance review report shall cover at least the lines included in the <u>Single</u> European ~~Transport~~ <u>Corridors referred to in</u>	3. The European performance review report shall cover at least the lines included in the European Transport Corridors referred to in Regulation [new	3. The European performance review report shall cover at least the lines included in the European Transport Corridors and shall include the information

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	Regulation] and shall include the information required by [Article 53(3)(g)] thereof. The information presented shall be sufficiently detailed in terms of geographical scope and should cover a sufficiently long period of time to allow for meaningful interpretations.	~~Regulation [new TEN-T Regulation]~~ <u>Railway Area</u> and shall include the information required by ~~Article 53(3)(g)] thereof~~ <u>54(3)(g) of Regulation (EU) 2024/...</u> <u>[new TEN-T Regulation]</u> . The information presented shall be sufficiently detailed in terms of geographical scope and should cover a sufficiently long period of time to allow for meaningful interpretations.	~~TEN-T Regulation]~~ and shall include the information ~~required by~~ <u>referred to in</u> [Article 53(3)(g)] ~~thereof of the~~ <u>54(3)(g) of the</u> <u>[new TEN-T Regulation]</u> . The information presented shall be sufficiently detailed in terms of geographical scope and ~~should~~ cover a sufficiently long period of time to allow for meaningful interpretations.	referred to in ~~Article 54(3)(g)] of the new TEN-T Regulation~~ <u>(EU) 2024/1679</u> . The information presented shall be sufficiently detailed in terms of geographical scope and cover a sufficiently long period of time to allow for meaningful interpretations. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 51(4)				
562	4. The performance review report shall include a dedicated section on the performance of coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53 and on the consultation mechanism referred to in Article 54 of this Regulation.	4. The <u>European</u> performance review report shall include a dedicated section on the performance of coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53 and on the consultation mechanism referred to in Article 54 of this Regulation.	4. The European performance review report shall include a dedicated section on the performance of coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53 and on the consultation mechanism referred to in Article 54 of this Regulation.	4. The European performance review report shall include a dedicated section on the performance of coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53 and on the consultation mechanism referred to in Article 54 of this Regulation. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 51(4a)				
562a		<u>4a. The European performance review report shall contain a separate section about the implementation of the processes introduced by ENIM. It</u>		<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>shall also contain an analysis of the progress in implementation in various Member States, including reports concerning national exemptions and recommendations for further harmonisation.</u>		
Article 52				
563	Article 52 Performance Review Body	Article 52 Performance Review Body	deleted	<u>Article 52</u> <u>Advisory Performance Panel</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 52(1)				
564	1. In accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 73(3), the Commission may set up or designate an impartial and competent body to act as a Performance Review Body.	1. ~~In accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 73(3) By...~~ <u>[six months from the entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission may set up or designate an impartial and competent body to act as a</u> Performance Review Body <u>shall be established as an impartial, competent and self-standing body. Its members shall be appointed on the basis of merit as well as to ensure a mix of skills and experience relevant to the rail capacity management.</u> <u>The members of the Performance Review Body shall be nominated by the Commission</u>	deleted	<u>1. In accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 72(3), the Commission may set up or designate an impartial and competent body to act as an Advisory Performance Panel. The Advisory Performance Panel shall be established as an independent and impartial, expert panel. Its members shall be appointed on the basis of merit as well as to ensure a mix of skills and experience relevant to rail capacity, traffic, disruption and crisis management.</u> <u>The Advisory Performance Panel shall consist of not more than 9 members. The Members shall be</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>through a call for experts procedure. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt a delegated act in accordance with Article 71 to set out details of the organisation, governance and financing of the Performance Review Body. That delegated act shall be adopted by... [12 months from the entry into force of this Regulation].</u> <u>When carrying out the tasks conferred upon it by this Regulation, the Performance Review Body shall be independent and shall not seek or follow instructions from any government of a Member State, from the Commission, from ERA or any other public or private entity.</u>		<u>nominated by the Commission. It shall meet at least 4 times per year. The work of the Advisory Performance Panel shall be financed by the Commission.</u> <u>The Members of the Advisory Performance Panel are appointed for a term of 4 years and their mandate can be renewed two times.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 52(2)				
565	2. The Performance Review Body shall provide advice to the Commission and the European Coordinators on matters related to the performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services, on request by the Commission or the European Coordinators.	2. The Performance Review Body shall provide advice to the Commission, <u>ERA, ENIM</u> and the European Coordinators on matters related to the performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services, on request by the Commission, <u>ERA</u> or the European Coordinators.	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 52(3)				
566	3. The Performance Review Body shall perform the following tasks:	3. The Performance Review Body shall perform the following tasks:	deleted	<u>3. The Advisory Performance Panel shall perform the following tasks:</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 52(3), point (a)				
567	(a) providing recommendations to ENIM as regards the establishment and review of the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50, including on performance areas, performance issues to be addressed under each of the performance areas, harmonisation of methodologies, processes, criteria and definitions for the collection and analysis of data related to performance and performance indicators;	(a) providing recommendations to <u>the Commission and</u> ENIM as regards the establishment and review of the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50, including on performance areas, performance issues to be addressed under each of the performance areas, harmonisation of methodologies, processes, criteria and definitions for the collection and analysis of data related to performance and performance indicators;	deleted	<u>(a) providing recommendations to ENIM as regards the establishment and review of the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50, including on performance areas, performance issues to be addressed under each of the performance areas, harmonisation of methodologies, processes, criteria and definitions for the collection and analysis of data related to performance and performance indicators;</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 52(3), point (b)				
568	(b) providing recommendations to ENIM, the ENRRB, infrastructure managers, applicants, regulatory bodies, Member State authorities, and,	(b) providing recommendations to ENIM, <u>ERA</u> , the ENRRB, infrastructure managers, applicants, regulatory bodies, Member State authorities,	deleted	<u>(b) providing recommendations to ENIM, the ENRRB, infrastructure managers, applicants, regulatory bodies, Member State authorities,</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	where relevant, other stakeholders for corrective measures, on capacity management, traffic management, disruption management and crisis management;	and, where relevant, other stakeholders for corrective measures, on capacity management, traffic management, disruption management and crisis management;		<u>and, where relevant, other stakeholders for corrective measures, on capacity management, traffic management, disruption management and crisis management;</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 52(3), point (c)			
G 569	(c) reviewing the results of the draft European performance report and, preparing the self-standing section referred to in Article 51(2);	(c) reviewing the results of the draft European performance <u>review</u> report and, preparing the ~~self-standing section~~ <u>report</u> referred to in Article 51(2);	deleted	<u>(c) reviewing the results of the draft European performance report and, preparing the self-standing section referred to in Article 51(2);</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 52(3), point (d)			
G 570	(d) providing opinions and recommendations relating to the performance of rail infrastructure services in relation to the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1), the business plan referred to in Article 8(3), the contractual agreements referred to in Article 30(2) and the performance scheme referred to in Article 35 of Directive 2012/34/EU.	(d) providing opinions and recommendations relating to the performance of rail infrastructure services in relation to the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1), the business plan referred to in Article 8(3), the contractual agreements referred to in Article 30(2) and the performance scheme referred to in Article 35 of Directive 2012/34/EU.	deleted	<u>(d) providing opinions and recommendations relating to the performance of rail infrastructure services in relation to the indicative rail infrastructure development strategy referred to in Article 8(1), the business plan referred to in Article 8(3), the contractual agreements referred to in Article 30(2) and the performance scheme referred to in Article 35 of Directive 2012/34/EU.</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 52(3), point (e)				
571	(e) providing advice to the European Coordinators on matters related to the performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services.	(e) providing advice to the European Coordinators on matters related to the performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services.	deleted	<u>(e) providing advice to the European Coordinators on matters related to the performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 52(4)				
572	4. The addressees of opinions and recommendations referred to in paragraph 3 shall provide responses to the Performance Review Body within the deadlines set by the latter.	4. The addressees of opinions and recommendations referred to in paragraph 3 shall provide responses to the Performance Review Body within the deadlines set by the latter.	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 52(5)				
573	5. ENIM, the Network Coordinator, infrastructure managers, regulatory bodies, the ENRRB and, where relevant, other stakeholders shall cooperate with the performance review body, in particular by providing information related to performance on their own initiative or upon the body's request and make the utmost effort to take into account	5. ENIM, the Network Coordinator, infrastructure managers, regulatory bodies, the ENRRB, <u>ERA</u> and, where relevant, other stakeholders shall cooperate with the performance review body, in particular by providing information related to performance ~~on their own initiative or upon the body's request~~ and make the utmost effort	deleted	<u>5. ENIM, the Network Coordinator, infrastructure managers, regulatory bodies, the ENRRB and, where relevant, other stakeholders shall cooperate with the Advisory Performance Panel, in particular by providing information related to performance on their own initiative or upon the body's request and make the utmost</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	its recommendations in their work on rail performance management.	to take into account its recommendations in their work on rail performance management.		<u>effort to take into account its recommendations in their work on rail performance management.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 52(6)				
574	6. The performance review body shall respect the confidentiality of business secrets when handling information provided by relevant stakeholders or the Commission.	6. The performance review body shall respect the confidentiality of business secrets when handling information provided by relevant stakeholders or the Commission.	deleted	<u>6. The Advisory Performance Panel shall respect the confidentiality of business secrets when handling information provided by relevant stakeholders or the Commission.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
CHAPTER V				
575	CHAPTER V EUROPEAN NETWORK FOR COORDINATION	CHAPTER V EUROPEAN NETWORK FOR COORDINATION	CHAPTER V EUROPEAN NETWORK FOR COORDINATION	CHAPTER V EUROPEAN NETWORK FOR COORDINATION
Article 53				
576	Article 53 Coordination between infrastructure managers	Article 53 Coordination between infrastructure managers	Article 53 Coordination between infrastructure managers	Article 53 Coordination between infrastructure managers Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(1)				
577	1. When reference is made to this Article, infrastructure managers shall coordinate between	1. When reference is made to this Article, infrastructure managers shall coordinate between	1. When reference is made to this Article, infrastructure managers shall coordinate between	1. When reference is made to this Article, infrastructure managers shall coordinate between

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	themselves and with other relevant stakeholders in relation to the responsibilities and tasks entrusted to them in this Regulation.	themselves and with other relevant stakeholders in relation to the responsibilities and tasks entrusted to them in this Regulation.	themselves and with other relevant stakeholders at least in relation to the responsibilities and tasks entrusted to them in this Regulation.	themselves and with other relevant stakeholders ~~at least~~ in relation to the responsibilities and tasks entrusted to them in this Regulation. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(2), first subparagraph				
578	2. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate at least on the issues set out in Annex IX and shall comply with the specific coordination requirements set out in the Articles referenced therein.	2. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate at least on the issues set out in Annex IX and shall comply with the specific coordination requirements set out in the Articles referenced therein.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(2), second subparagraph				
579	Infrastructure managers shall in particular:	Infrastructure managers shall in particular:	2. Infrastructure managers shall in particular:	Infrastructure managers shall in particular: Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(2), second subparagraph, point (a)				
580	(a) establish organisational structures, procedures and tools, as appropriate, including the digital tools referred to in Article 62;	(a) establish organisational structures, procedures and tools, as appropriate, including the digital tools referred to in Article 62;	(a) establish organisational structures, procedures and tools, as appropriate, including the digital tools referred to in Article 62;	(a) establish organisational structures, procedures and tools, as appropriate, including the digital tools referred to in Article 62; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(2), second subparagraph, point (b)				
581	(b) coordinate at the most appropriate geographical level,	(b) coordinate at the most appropriate geographical level,	(b) coordinate at the most appropriate geographical level,	(b) coordinate at the most appropriate geographical level,

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	involving the entities which are best placed to achieve effective and efficient outcomes, in line with the principle of subsidiarity;	involving the entities which are best placed to achieve effective and efficient outcomes, in line with the principle of subsidiarity;	including at the level of the European Transport Corridors , involving the entities which are best placed to achieve effective and efficient outcomes, in line with the principle of subsidiarity;	including at the level of the European Transport Corridors, involving the entities which are best placed to achieve effective and efficient outcomes, in line with the principle of subsidiarity; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(2), second subparagraph, point (c)				
G 582	(c) cooperate with other infrastructure managers through the designated focal points referred to in Article 60;	(c) cooperate with other infrastructure managers through the designated focal points referred to in Article 60;	(c) cooperate with other infrastructure managers through the designated focal points referred to in Article 60;	(c) cooperate with other infrastructure managers through the designated focal points referred to in Article 60; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(2), second subparagraph, point (d)				
G 583	(d) involve ENIM or the Network Coordinator, as appropriate, on matters having Union relevance. Coordination between infrastructure managers may be implemented at more than one level, in particular for matters where coordination is required both at Union level and within a more specific geographical scope;	(d) involve ENIM or the Network Coordinator, as appropriate, on matters having Union relevance. Coordination between infrastructure managers may be implemented at more than one level, in particular for matters where coordination is required both at Union level and within a more specific geographical scope;	(d) involve ENIM or the Network Coordinator, as appropriate, on matters having Union relevance. ~~Coordination between infrastructure managers may be implemented at more than one level, in particular for matters where coordination is required both at Union level and within a more specific geographical scope;~~	(d) involve ENIM or the Network Coordinator, as appropriate, on matters having Union relevance; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(2), second subparagraph, point (e)				
G 584	(e) appoint a leading entity, whenever coordination activities involve multiple actors, which	(e) appoint a leading entity, whenever coordination activities involve multiple actors, which	(e) appoint a leading entity, whenever coordination activities involve multiple actors, which	(e) appoint a leading entity, whenever coordination activities involve multiple actors, which

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	shall report to ENIM and shall be responsible for organising consultation activities in accordance with Article 54;	shall report to ENIM and shall be responsible for organising consultation activities in accordance with Article 54;	shall report to ENIM and shall be responsible for organising consultation activities in accordance with Article 54;	shall report to ENIM and shall be responsible for organising consultation activities <u>in accordance with Article 54</u> ; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(2), second subparagraph, point (f)				
G 585	(f) refer to ENIM cases where agreed outcomes cannot be reached at first instance;	(f) refer to ENIM cases where agreed outcomes cannot be reached at first instance;	(f) refer to ENIM cases where agreed outcomes cannot be reached at first instance;	(f) refer to ENIM cases where agreed outcomes cannot be reached; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(2), second subparagraph, point (g)				
G 586	(g) review the performance of coordination activities in accordance with Chapter IV.	(g) review the performance of coordination activities in accordance with Chapter IV.	(g) review the performance of coordination activities in accordance with Chapter IV.	(g) review the performance of coordination activities in accordance with Chapter IV. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(2), second subparagraph				
G 586a			Coordination between infrastructure managers may be implemented at more than one level, in particular for matters where coordination is required both at Union level and within a more specific geographical scope.	<u>2a.</u> Coordination between infrastructure managers may be implemented at more than one level, in particular for matters where coordination is required both at Union level and within a more specific geographical scope. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(2), third subparagraph				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
586b			Where ENIM or the Network Coordinator are involved in the coordination between the infrastructure managers, they shall ensure that cross-border aspects are well taken into account.	<u>2b.</u> Where ENIM or the Network Coordinator are involved in the coordination between the infrastructure managers, they shall ensure that cross-border aspects are well taken into account. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(3), first subparagraph				
587	3. Coordination shall cover all lines and nodes which are part of the European Transport Corridors set out in Article 7 of and Annex III to [new TEN-T Regulation].	3. Coordination shall ~~cover~~ <u>particularly be reinforced for those TEN-T</u> lines and nodes which are part of the European Transport Corridors set out in Article 7 of and Annex III to [new TEN-T Regulation].	deleted	<u>3. Coordination shall cover all sections and nodes which are part of the European Transport Corridors.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue Sec & Nod / Elem of Infra / Routes
Article 53(3), second subparagraph				
588	Infrastructure managers may extend coordination to additional lines subject to an agreement between all infrastructure managers concerned.	Infrastructure managers may extend coordination to additional lines subject to an agreement between all infrastructure managers concerned.	deleted	<u>Infrastructure managers may extend coordination to additional lines subject to an agreement between all infrastructure managers concerned.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(3), second subparagraph a				
588a		<u>The Member States and the public service authorities can extend the cover to other lines.</u>	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53(4)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
589	4. Coordination between infrastructure managers relating to the allocation of multi-network capacity rights in accordance with Article 29 shall cover the entire networks of the infrastructure managers which are members of ENIM.	4. Coordination between infrastructure managers relating to the allocation of multi-network capacity rights in accordance with Article 29 shall cover the entire networks of the infrastructure managers which are members of ENIM.	<i>deleted</i>	<u>4. Coordination between infrastructure managers relating to the allocation of multi-network capacity rights in accordance with Article 29 shall cover the entire networks of the infrastructure managers which are members of ENIM.</u> Provisionally agree in ITM 31
Article 53(5)				
590	5. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend Annex IX with a view to ensure an efficient coordination between infrastructure managers, taking into account planning, operational and commercial considerations of all stakeholders concerned, and in the light of experience gained in the implementation of this Regulation.	5. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 to amend Annex IX with a view to ensure an efficient coordination between infrastructure managers, taking into account planning, operational and commercial considerations of all stakeholders concerned, and in the light of experience gained in the implementation of this Regulation.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> Empowerments Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53a				
590a			Article 53a Coordination between Member States	Article 53a Coordination between Member States Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 53a(1)				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
G	590b				1.	When reference is made to this Article, or for the purpose of promoting cross-border traffic by addressing issues on capacity, Member States shall coordinate between themselves. They shall in particular define, where necessary, procedures and timings for their coordination.	1.	~~When reference is made to this Article, or~~ For the purpose of promoting ~~cross-border~~ <u>international passenger and rail freight</u> traffic ~~by addressing issues on capacity,~~ Member States shall coordinate <u>to ensure consistency</u> between ~~themselves~~ <u>their respective strategic guidance and national requirements, in accordance with Article 3a.</u> They shall in particular define, ~~where necessary,~~ <u>and publish</u> procedures and timings for their coordination. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 53a(2)							
G	590c				2.	For the purposes of paragraph 1, Member States shall in particular:	2.	For the purposes of paragraph 1, Member States shall in particular: Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 53a(2), point (a)							
G	590d				(a)	coordinate at the most appropriate geographical level and, to the extent possible, in the context of existing fora, to achieve effective and efficient	(a)	coordinate at the most appropriate geographical level and, to the extent possible, in the context of existing fora, to achieve effective and efficient outcomes,

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			outcomes, in line with the principle of subsidiarity, in particular at the level of European Transport Corridors;	in ~~line with the principle of subsidiarity, in~~ particular at the level of European Transport Corridors; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 53a(2), point (b)			
590e			(b) involve the European Coordinators, as appropriate, and in particular when making progress on the operational priorities set out in Article 19 of [new TEN-T Regulation];	(b) involve the European Coordinators, as appropriate, and in particular when making progress on the operational priorities set out in Article 19 of ~~new TEN-T Regulation~~ (EU) 2024/1679; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 53a(2), point (c)			
590f			(c) invite the European Commission for matters where coordination is required at Union level or where otherwise relevant.	(c) invite the European Commission for matters where coordination is required at Union level or where otherwise relevant. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 54			
591	Article 54 Consultation mechanism for European and cross-border matters	Article 54 Consultation mechanism for European and cross-border matters	Article 54 Consultation mechanism for European and cross-border matters	Article 54 Consultation mechanism for European and cross-border matters Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 54(0)			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
591a			0. Infrastructure managers shall ensure an appropriate and regular consultation of interested parties on European and cross-border matters. For this purpose, they shall take into account the guidelines developed by ENIM referred to in paragraph 1.	0. Infrastructure managers shall ensure an appropriate and regular consultation of interested parties on European and cross-border matters. For this purpose, they shall take into account the guidelines developed by ENIM referred to in paragraph 1. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 54(1)				
592	1. ENIM shall prepare, adopt and implement guidelines to ensure appropriate and regular consultation of interested parties and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, the European framework for the cross-border coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 and the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50. The process shall be developed and implemented with the support of the Network Coordinator and with the involvement of the designated	1. ENIM shall, <u>by ... [12 months from the entry into force of this Regulation]</u> prepare, adopt and implement guidelines to ensure appropriate and regular consultation of interested parties, <u>including representatives from ERA and the Member States, as well as the representative bodies referred to in Article 38(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/796</u> and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, the European framework for the cross-border coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 and the European framework	1. ENIM shall prepare, adopt and implement guidelines to ~~ensure~~ with the purpose of ensuring appropriate and regular consultation of interested parties regarding European and cross-border matters and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, the European framework for the ~~cross-border~~ coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 and the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50. ~~The process~~ Those guidelines shall be developed and implemented with the support of	1. ENIM shall prepare, adopt and implement guidelines with the purpose of ensuring appropriate and regular consultation of interested parties regarding European and cross-border matters and include them in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 and the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50. Those guidelines shall be developed, <u>after having consulted the ERP</u> , and implemented with the support of

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	focal points of infrastructure managers referred to in Article 60.	for performance review referred to in Article 50. The ~~process~~ <u>mechanism</u> shall be developed and implemented with the support of the Network Coordinator and with the involvement of the ~~designated~~ focal points ~~of~~ <u>designated by</u> infrastructure managers referred to in Article 60.	the Network Coordinator and with the involvement of the ~~designated~~ focal points ~~of~~ <u>designated by</u> infrastructure managers referred to ~~in~~ <u>under</u> Article 60.	the Network Coordinator and with the involvement of the focal points designated by infrastructure managers under Article 60. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 54(2)				
593	2. Where ENIM adopts an opinion or a recommendation likely to have an impact on railway undertakings, other applicants, other operational stakeholders and interested parties, it shall publish a draft for the consultation of concerned parties. The concerned parties shall be given an appropriate amount of time to provide feedback on the draft decision. Member State authorities shall be involved when required.	2. Where ENIM adopts an opinion or a recommendation likely to have an impact on railway undertakings, other applicants, other operational stakeholders and interested parties, <u>including representatives from ERA and the Member States, as well as the representative bodies referred to in Article 38 (4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/796</u> , it shall publish a draft for the consultation of concerned parties. The concerned parties shall be given an appropriate amount of time to provide feedback on the draft decision. Member State authorities shall be involved when required. <u>When ENIM takes a decision likely to have an impact</u>	2. Where ENIM adopts an opinion or a recommendation likely to have ~~an~~ substantial impact on ~~railway undertakings~~ , other applicants, other operational stakeholders and interested parties, it shall publish a draft for the consultation of concerned parties. The concerned parties shall be given ~~an appropriate amount of time~~ at least two weeks to provide feedback on the draft ~~decision~~ opinion or recommendation . Member State authorities shall be involved ~~when required~~ where necessary .	2. Where ENIM <u>prepares and</u> adopts an opinion or a recommendation, <u>not related to the European framework for capacity management, the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, the European framework for performance review, which is</u> likely to have ~~a~~ substantial impact on applicants, other operational stakeholders and interested parties, it shall publish a draft for the consultation of ~~concerned~~ <u>the</u> parties. ~~The concerned~~ , <u>including ERP. The parties concerned</u> shall be given ~~at least two~~ <u>six</u> weeks to provide

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>on the ERP, ENIM shall consult the respective advisory groups.</u>		feedback on the draft opinion or recommendation. Member State authorities shall be involved where necessary. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 54(3)				
G 594	3. ENIM shall take account of the feedback provided by concerned parties in accordance with paragraph 2 when adopting the final opinion or recommendation. Where ENIM fails to take into account significant elements of the feedback provided, it shall provide the reasons for doing so.	3. ENIM shall take account of the feedback provided by concerned parties in accordance with paragraph 2 when adopting the final opinion or recommendation. Where ENIM fails to take into account significant elements of the feedback provided, it shall provide the <u>justified</u> reasons for doing so.	3. ENIM shall take account of the feedback provided by concerned parties when preparing the guidelines referred to in accordance with paragraph 21 and when adopting the final opinion or recommendation referred to in paragraph 2 . Where ENIM fails to take into account significant elements of the feedback provided, it shall provide the reasons ~~for doing so~~ thereof.	3. ENIM shall take account of the feedback provided by concerned parties when preparing the guidelines referred to in paragraph 1 and when adopting the final opinion or recommendation referred to in paragraph 2. Where ENIM fails to take into account significant elements of the feedback provided, it shall provide the reasons thereof. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 54(3a)				
G 594a		<u>3a. In the case of diverging views between ENIM and the consultative bodies, the consultative bodies shall inform the ENRRB. The ENRRB shall take account of such divergent views in its European performance review report referred to in Article 51.</u>		<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 54(3b)				
594b		<u>3b. The Commission shall adopt, by ... [24 months from the entry into force of this Regulation], implementing acts to set out uniform conditions to ensure appropriate and regular consultation of interested parties and to amend paragraph 1 of this Article. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3). They shall be included in the European framework for capacity management.</u>		<i>deleted</i> Empowerments <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 30</i> <i>(14/10) The uniform conditions in (1) are an element of the FW on capacity mgt and therefor covered under Art 70a/b</i>
Article 55				
595	Article 55 Organisation of the European Network of Infrastructure Managers	Article 55 Organisation of the European Network of Infrastructure Managers	Article 55 Organisation of the European Network of Infrastructure Managers	Article 55 Organisation of the European Network of Infrastructure Managers
Article 55(1)				
596	1. For the purposes of this Regulation, the European Network of Infrastructure Managers referred to in Article 7f of Directive 2012/34/EU shall be organised in accordance with this article.	1. For the purposes of this Regulation, the European Network of Infrastructure Managers referred to in Article 7f of Directive 2012/34/EU shall be organised in accordance with this article.	1. For the purposes of this Regulation, the European Network of Infrastructure Managers (ENIM) referred to in Article 7f of Directive 2012/34/EU shall be organised in accordance with this Article.	1. For the purposes of this Regulation, the European Network of Infrastructure Managers (ENIM) referred to in Article 7f of Directive 2012/34/EU shall be organised in accordance with this Article.
Article 55(1a)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
596a				<u>1a. The members of ENIM shall provide ENIM with the necessary resources to perform its tasks.</u> agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 55(2)				
597	2. All rail infrastructure managers, which are responsible for lines that form part of the core and extended core TEN-T network, referred to in [Article 6 of and Annex I to new TEN-T Regulation], shall be members of ENIM. They shall appoint a representative and an alternate.	2. All rail infrastructure managers, ~~which are responsible for lines that form part of the core and extended core TEN-T network, referred to in [Article 6 of and Annex I to new TEN-T Regulation]~~ , <u>Single European Rail Area</u> shall be members of ENIM. They shall appoint a representative and an alternate.	2. All ~~rail~~ infrastructure managers, which are responsible for lines that form part of the core and extended core TEN-T network, ~~referred to in [Article 6 of and Annex I to new TEN-T Regulation]~~ , shall be members of ENIM. They shall appoint a representative and an alternate.	2. All infrastructure managers <u>I</u> , which are responsible for lines that form part of the core and extended core TEN-T network <u>I</u> , shall be members of ENIM. ~~They~~ <u>Other infrastructure managers that are included in strategic capacity management under Article 12 of this Regulation may be members of ENIM. Every member</u> shall appoint a representative and an alternate. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 55(3)				
598	3. Rail infrastructure managers, which do not meet the criterion defined in paragraph 2 may appoint a non-member representative to participate in the deliberations of ENIM.	3. Rail infrastructure managers, which do not meet the criterion defined in paragraph 2 may appoint a non-member representative to participate in the deliberations of ENIM.	3. ~~Rail~~ Infrastructure managers, which ~~do not meet the criterion defined in paragraph 2~~ are not responsible for the lines referred to in paragraph 2 and are thus not members of ENIM , may ~~appoint a non-member representative to participate in the~~	3. Infrastructure managers, which are not responsible for the lines referred to in paragraph 2 and are thus not members of ENIM, may participate in the deliberations of ENIM <u>as observers</u> without voting rights.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			deliberations of ENIM without voting rights . They may submit statements for consideration by ENIM.	They may submit statements for consideration by ENIM. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 55(3a), first subparagraph				
G 598a			3a. Infrastructure managers responsible for lines that form part of the core and extended core TEN-T network of States which are members of the European Free Trade Association (EFTA) and of South East European Parties to the Treaty establishing the Transport Community signed by the Union in accordance with Council Decision (EU) 2017/1937 shall have the right to become members, without voting rights, of ENIM provided that those States or parties apply this Regulation pursuant to an international agreement concluded with the Union and in accordance with the conditions set out under such agreement.	3a. Infrastructure managers responsible for lines that form part of the core and extended core TEN-T network of States which are members of the European Free Trade Association (EFTA) and of South East European Parties to the Treaty establishing the Transport Community signed by the Union in accordance with Council Decision (EU) 2017/1937 shall have the right to become members, ~~without voting rights,~~ of ENIM provided that those States or parties apply this Regulation pursuant to an international agreement concluded with the Union and in accordance with the ~~conditions~~ <u>modalities of participation</u> set out under such agreement. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 55(3a), second subparagraph				
G 598b			ENIM shall conclude working arrangements defining the	<i>deleted</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			details of the participation of the infrastructure managers concerned.	Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 55(3b)				
G 598c			3b. Without prejudice to paragraph 3a, ENIM may, on an ad hoc basis, invite infrastructure managers from third countries to participate in its meetings as observers, without voting rights.	3b. ~~Without prejudice to paragraph 3a,~~ ENIM may <u>invite</u> , on an ~~ad hoc~~ <u>ad-hoc</u> basis, <u>invite rail</u> infrastructure managers ~~from of~~ third countries ~~to participate in its meetings~~ <u>not covered under paragraph 3a which are managing railway lines of the TEN-T core and extended core</u> , as observers, ~~without voting rights~~ . Agreed at 3rd trilogue With the new text in this line, line 603b is deleted
Article 55(4)				
R 599	4. Following the consultation of and the approval by the Commission, ENIM shall adopt and publish its rules of procedure. It shall organise its activities in accordance with the rules of procedure.	4. Following the consultation of and the approval by the Commission, ENIM shall adopt and publish its rules of procedure. It shall organise its activities in accordance with the rules of procedure.	4. ~~Following the consultation of and the approval by~~ After consulting the Commission, ENIM shall adopt and publish its rules of procedure. It shall organise its activities in accordance with ~~the~~ those rules of procedure.	
Article 55(5)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
600	5. ENIM shall take its decisions by a simple majority, unless otherwise provided for in the rules of procedure. All members from one Member State shall together have one vote. In the absence of a member, the alternate shall be entitled to exercise the right to vote.	5. ENIM shall take its decisions by a simple majority, unless otherwise provided for in the rules of procedure. All members from one Member State shall together have one vote. In the absence of a member, the alternate shall be entitled to exercise the right to vote.	5. ENIM shall take its decisions by a ~~simple majority of~~ two-thirds of its members , unless otherwise provided for in the rules of procedure. All members from one Member State shall together have one vote. In the absence of a member representative , the alternate shall be entitled to exercise the right to vote.	5. ENIM shall take its decisions by a ~~majority of~~ two-thirds of its members, unless otherwise provided for majority. <u>Where specified</u> in the rules of ~~procedure~~ procedures, ENIM may take certain decisions by a simple majority. All members from one Member State shall together have one vote. In the absence of a member representative, the alternate shall be entitled to exercise the right to vote. agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 55(6)				
601	6. ENIM shall meet at regular intervals. It shall elect a Chair from among its members with a two-thirds majority of its members.	6. ENIM shall meet at regular intervals. It shall elect a Chair from among its members with a two-thirds majority of its members.	6. ENIM shall meet at regular intervals. It shall elect a Chair from among its members in accordance with the voting procedure referred to in paragraph 5 with a two-thirds majority of its members.	6. ENIM shall meet at regular intervals. It shall elect a Chair from among its members ~~in accordance with the voting procedure referred to in paragraph 5.~~ Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 55(7)				
602	7. The Commission shall be a non-voting member of ENIM. It shall support the work of ENIM and facilitate coordination.	7. The Commission <u>and ERA</u> shall be a non-voting member of ENIM. ~~#~~ <u>They</u> shall support the work of ENIM and facilitate coordination.	7. The Commission shall be a non-voting member of ENIM. It shall support the work of ENIM and facilitate coordination among ENIM members.	7. The Commission shall be a non-voting member of ENIM. It shall support the work of ENIM and facilitate coordination ~~among ENIM members.~~

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 55(8)				
603	8. ENIM shall define its work programme. The work programme shall cover at least a period of two years. ENIM shall consult applicants and other operational stakeholders through the consultation mechanism referred to in Article 54 on the draft work programme. In addition, it shall consult the European Commission, the European Union Agency for Railways and the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking and, as appropriate, other stakeholders	8. ENIM shall define its work programme. The work programme shall cover at least a period of two years. ENIM shall consult <u>ERP, the advisory groups established in Article 55 a</u> , applicants and other operational stakeholders through the consultation mechanism referred to in Article 54 on the draft work programme. In addition, it shall consult the European Commission, the European Union Agency for Railways and the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking and, as appropriate, other stakeholders	8. ENIM shall define its work programme. The work programme shall cover at least a period of two years and five years at most . ENIM shall consult applicants and other operational stakeholders, following the guidelines through the consultation mechanism referred to in Article 54, on the draft work programme. ~~In addition, it shall consult the European, as well as the Commission, the European Union Agency for Railways and, the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking and, as appropriate, other stakeholders.~~	8. ENIM shall define its work programme. The work programme shall cover at least a period of two years and five years at most. ENIM shall consult <u>ERP</u> , applicants and other operational stakeholders, following the guidelines referred to in Article 54, on the draft work programme, as well as the Commission, the European Union Agency for Railways, the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking and, as appropriate, other stakeholders. <u>The concerned parties shall be given [four] weeks to provide feedback on the draft work programme.</u> agreed at 2nd trilogue Check deadline
Article 55(8a)				
603a		<u>8a. In the development of the European frameworks for capacity management, traffic management, and performance management, ENIM shall consult with ERP.</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 55(8b)				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
G	603b			<u>8b. Rail infrastructure managers and allocation bodies of third countries which are responsible for lines of the trans-European transport network shall be allowed to join ENIM as observers.</u>				deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue Concept has been agreed and introduced in line 598c
Article 55a								
G	603c			<u>Article 55a</u> <u>European Railway Platform</u>				<u>Article 55a</u> <u>European Railway Platform</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue Move this Article after Article 56
Article 55a(1)								
G	603d			<u>1. The European Railway Platform (ERP) is hereby established as a consultative body to ENIM.</u>				<u>1. Within 6 months of the entry into force of this regulation, operational stakeholders, other than infrastructure managers, may submit a proposal to the Commission for establishing the European Railway Platform (ERP) to facilitate their exchanges and consultation with ENIM. The purpose of the ERP is to ensure effective consultation of the sector vis-a-vis ENIM.</u> <u>Such proposal shall include the rules of procedure of the</u>

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
								<u>platform, setting out working arrangements and criteria for the selection and renewal of its members.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 55a(2)								
603e				<u>2. ERP shall be composed of representatives of railway undertakings using European rail infrastructure. ERP may also include, as member, any applicant using European rail infrastructure individually or through associations, operators of service facilities and terminals, multimodal capacity stakeholders, such as sea and inland waterway ports and owners of other rail-related service facilities. Each member shall appoint one representative and one alternate. The Commission and ERA shall also be appointed as observers within ERP. The rail industry may be invited to attend consultative groups and discussions to enable better information and preparedness.</u>			<u>2. The ERP shall allow for a balanced representation of interest of all operational stakeholders other than infrastructure managers.</u> <u>The ERP shall be open for participation based on transparent, fair and non-discriminatory criteria.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue	
Article 55a(3)								

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
G	603f			<u>3. The Commission shall assist the ERP by establishing the modalities of functioning of ERP, including conditions for admission to the platform of representatives of the categories under paragraph 2.</u>				<u>3. Within 2 months of receiving a proposal under paragraph 1, the Commission, having heard the opinion of the ENRRB, shall assess whether the proposal complies with the requirements in paragraph 2 and require changes in the proposal as appropriate.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 55a(4)								
G	603g			<u>4. Following the consultation of and the approval by the Commission, ERP shall adopt and publish its rules of procedure. It shall organise its activities in accordance of the rule of procedure. The ERP rules of procedure shall set, inter alia, the frequency of meetings and the organisation of its activities. ERP may organise its activities in subgroups, grouping stakeholder types and regional clusters.</u>				<u>4. Following the assessment in paragraph 3 and the adoption of any required changes in the proposal, the Commission shall communicate its agreement to the establishment of the ERP.</u> <u>After the Commission agreement ERP shall make its rules of procedure publicly available on its website.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 55a(5)								
G	603h			<u>5. ENIM shall consult ERP before preparing and adopting European frameworks for capacity management, traffic management and performance</u>				<u>5. The establishment of ERP shall be without prejudice to the right of operational stakeholders, other than infrastructure managers, to</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>management. ERP may issue its own opinions, which shall be taken into account by ENIM. ERP may also issue own initiative opinions addressed to ERA and/or to the Commission.</u>		<u>respond to consultations by ENIM and infrastructure managers on an individual basis.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 55a(6)				
603i		<u>6. Consultations under this Article shall be without prejudice to the right of applicants to appeal decisions by ENIM.</u>		<u>6. ERP may also issue own-initiative opinions.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 55a(7)				
603j		<u>7. ERP shall address to ERA and to the Commission an annual report of its activities. This report shall be published.</u>		<u>7. ERP shall address to the Commission an annual report of its activities. This report shall be published.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 56				
604	Article 56 Responsibilities of ENIM	Article 56 Responsibilities of ENIM	Article 56 Responsibilities of ENIM	Article 56 Responsibilities of ENIM
Article 56(1)				
605	1. In addition to the tasks laid down in Article 7f of Directive 2012/34/EU, ENIM shall be responsible for all tasks assigned to it in this Regulation. It shall, in particular:	1. In addition to the tasks laid down in Article 7f of Directive 2012/34/EU, ENIM shall be responsible for all tasks assigned to it in this Regulation. It shall, in particular:	1. In addition to the tasks laid down in Article 7f of Directive 2012/34/EU, ENIM shall be responsible for all tasks assigned to it in this Regulation. Those tasks may not be delegated. It shall, in particular:	1. In addition to the tasks laid down in Article 7f of Directive 2012/34/EU, ENIM shall be responsible for all tasks assigned to it in this Regulation. ~~Those tasks may not be delegated.~~ It shall, in particular:

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 56(1), point (a)				
G 606	(a) adopt the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6;	(a) adopt the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6;	(a) adopt the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6;	(a) adopt the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6;
Article 56(1), point (b)				
G 607	(b) adopt the European framework for the coordination of traffic and crisis management referred to in Article 44;	(b) adopt the European framework for the coordination of traffic and crisis management referred to in Article 44;	(b) adopt the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44;	(b) adopt the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44; Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 56(1), point (c)				
G 608	(c) adopt the European framework for performance review, referred to in Article 50;	(c) adopt the European framework for performance review, referred to in Article 50;	(c) adopt the European framework for performance review, referred to in Article 50;	(c) adopt the European framework for performance review, referred to in Article 50;
Article 56(1), first subparagraph, point (ca)				
G 608a			(ca) adopt a common structure for the network statement and a common schedule for consultation, referred to in Article 61;	(ca) adopt a common structure for the network statement and a common schedule for consultation, referred to in Article 61; agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 56(1), point (d)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
609	(d) adopt opinions and recommendations to infrastructure managers in accordance with the provisions of this Regulation and on its own initiative;	(d) adopt opinions and recommendations to infrastructure managers in accordance with the provisions of this Regulation and on its own initiative;	(d) adopt opinions and recommendations to infrastructure managers in accordance with the provisions of this Regulation and on its own initiative;	(d) adopt opinions and recommendations to infrastructure managers in accordance with the provisions of this Regulation and on its own initiative;
Article 56(1), point (e)				
610	(e) adopt opinions and recommendations to infrastructure managers in the cases referred to in Article 53(2)(f);	(e) adopt opinions and recommendations to infrastructure managers in the cases referred to in Article 53(2)(f);	<i>deleted</i>	<u>(e) adopt opinions and recommendations to infrastructure managers in the cases referred to in Article 53(2)(f);</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 56(1), point (f)				
611	(f) organising the coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53.	(f) organising the coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53.	(f) ~~organising~~ organise, with the contribution of the Network Coordinator , the coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53.	(f) organise, with the contribution of the Network Coordinator, the coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53 <u>and Article 60</u> . Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 56(1), second subparagraph				
611a			ENIM shall have no policy-making or regulatory powers.	<u>1a.</u> ENIM shall have no policy-making or regulatory powers. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 56(1a)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
611b			1a. ENIM shall establish working groups to ensure the coordination between infrastructure managers and other operational stakeholders, including railway undertakings, operators of service facilities and operators of intermodal transport, and the consultation of those operational stakeholders. Members of the working groups may issue an opinion on any proposal by ENIM which has consequences on operational stakeholders. They may also issue own initiative opinions addressed to ENIM.	~~1a1b.~~ ENIM ~~shall~~ <u>may</u> establish working groups ~~to ensure the coordination between infrastructure managers and other operational stakeholders, including railway undertakings, operators of service facilities and operators of intermodal transport, and the consultation of those operational stakeholders.~~ ~~Members of the working groups may issue an opinion on any proposal by ENIM which has consequences on operational stakeholders. They may also issue own initiative opinions addressed to ENIM.~~ agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 56(2)				
612	2. When performing coordination through dedicated coordination groups, infrastructure managers shall appoint the entities participating in this group, including focal points appointed by infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 60, the Network Coordinator or both.	2. When performing coordination through dedicated coordination groups, infrastructure managers shall appoint the entities participating in this group, including focal points appointed by infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 60, the Network Coordinator or both.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 57				
613	Article 57	Article 57	Article 57	Article 57

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	Transparency	Transparency	Transparency	Transparency
Article 57(1)				
614	1. ENIM shall ensure that its membership, methods of operation and all relevant information about its work are made publicly available on its website. It shall include contact details of dedicated coordination groups, established by the infrastructure managers and references to coordination tools and procedures in accordance with Article 53.	1. ENIM shall ensure that its membership, methods of operation and all relevant information about its work are made publicly available on its website. It shall include contact details of dedicated coordination groups, established by the infrastructure managers and references to coordination tools and procedures in accordance with Article 53.	1. ENIM shall ensure that its membership, ~~methods of operation~~ functioning and all relevant information about its work are made publicly available on its website. It shall include contact details of dedicated coordination groups, established by the infrastructure managers, and references to coordination tools and procedures in accordance with Article 53.	1. ENIM shall ensure that its membership, ~~functioning~~ methods of operation and all relevant information about its work are made publicly available on its website. It shall include contact details of dedicated coordination groups, established by the infrastructure managers, and references to coordination tools and procedures in accordance with Article 53. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 57(2)				
615	2. ENIM shall invite the Commission, including the European Coordinators and, where relevant, representatives of the Member States, to its meetings with a view to discuss issues related to the development of rail infrastructure and to ensure cooperation with the European Coordinators, as outlined in [new TEN-T Regulation]. ENIM shall provide the information required under [Article 53, third	2. ENIM shall invite the Commission, including the European Coordinators, ERP and, where relevant, representatives of ERA and the Member States, as well as the representative bodies as referred to in Article 38(4) of Regulation (EU) 2016/796 to its meetings with a view to discuss issues related to the development of rail infrastructure and to ensure cooperation with the European Coordinators, as outlined in [new TEN-T Regulation]. ENIM shall	2. ENIM shall invite ~~the Commission, including the~~ European Coordinators and, where relevant, representatives of the Member States, to its meetings with a view to discuss issues related to the development of rail infrastructure and to ensure cooperation with the European Coordinators, as outlined in [new TEN-T Regulation]. ~~ENIM shall provide the information required under [Article 53, third~~	2. ENIM shall invite the European Coordinators, and, where relevant, representatives of the ERP and ERA representatives of the Member States and other bodies , to its meetings with a view to discuss issues related to the development of rail infrastructure and to ensure cooperation with the European Coordinators, as outlined in ~~new TEN-T~~ Regulation (EU) 2024/1679 . Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	subparagraph of the new TEN-T Regulation].	provide the information required under [Article 53, third subparagraph of the new TEN-T Regulation].	subparagraph of the new TEN-T Regulation].	
Article 57a				
G 615a		<u>Article 57a</u> <u>Responsibilities of ERA</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue Commission will do a statement of intent
Article 57a(1)				
G 615b		<u>1. In addition to the tasks laid down in Regulation (EU) 2016/796, ERA shall be responsible for all tasks assigned to it in this Regulation. It shall, in particular:</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 57a(1), point (a)				
G 615c		<u>(a) provide support, upon request from the Commission in preparing the specific implementing and delegated acts foreseen by this Regulation;</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 57a(1), point (b)				
G 615d		<u>(b) coordinate, as system authority, according to Article 23 of Regulation (EU) 2016/796 the</u>		deleted

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>tasks as set out in Article 62 of this Regulation;</u>		Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 57a(1), point (c)			
G 615e		<u>(c) fulfil the tasks as set out in Article 50 of this Regulation;</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 57a(1), point (d)			
G 615f		<u>(d) provide, on request, support to the regulatory bodies in identifying rules, procedures and tools within the scope of this Regulation;</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 57a(1), point (e)			
G 615g		<u>(e) identify obstacles for multi-network rail services;</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 57a(2)			
G 615h		<u>2. In its work, the Agency shall:</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 57a(2), point (a)			
G 615i		<u>(a) draw up its recommendations based on the work of ENIM and the network coordinator;</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 57a(2), point (b)				
615j		<u>(b) where appropriate, take account of technical progress and acknowledged research work;</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 57a(2), point (c)				
615k		<u>(c) take account of the estimated costs and benefits of its recommendations and underline the most viable solutions;</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 57a(2), point (d)				
615l		<u>(d) fulfil the criteria of openness, consensus and transparency as defined in Annex II to Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012.</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 57a(3)				
615m		<u>3. The amount of the financial resources dedicated to the performance of ERA tasks under this regulation shall be drawn from the unallocated margins under MFF ceilings or mobilised through the non-thematic MFF special instruments.</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 58				
616	Article 58 Network Coordinator	Article 58 Network Coordinator	Article 58 Network Coordinator	Article 58 Network Coordinator

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 58(1), first subparagraph				
617	1. The infrastructure managers shall provide ENIM with the necessary resources to perform its tasks. For this purpose, they shall appoint by [12 months after the entry into force of this Regulation] an impartial and competent entity to fulfil the functions referred to in Article 59. Such entity will be designated as a Network Coordinator.	1. The infrastructure managers shall provide ENIM with the necessary resources to perform its tasks. For this purpose, ~~they~~ <u>the Commission</u> shall, <u>after consultation with Member States and ENIM</u> , appoint by [~~12~~ <u>six</u> months ~~after~~ <u>from</u> the entry into force of this Regulation] an impartial and competent entity to fulfil the functions referred to in Article 59. Such entity will be designated as a Network Coordinator. <u>ENIM may decide to change the entity appointed as Network Coordinator with consent of the Commission.</u>	1. ~~The infrastructure managers shall provide ENIM with the necessary resources to perform its tasks. For this purpose, they~~ ENIM shall appoint by [12 months after the entry into force of this Regulation] an impartial and competent entity to fulfil the functions referred to in Article 59. Such entity ~~will~~ <u>shall</u> be designated as ~~at~~ the Network Coordinator.	
Article 58(1), second subparagraph				
618	Prior to the appointment of the Network Coordinator, infrastructure managers shall seek the consent of the Commission regarding the designated entity and the following aspects:	Prior to the appointment of the Network Coordinator, infrastructure managers shall seek the consent of the Commission regarding the designated entity and the following aspects:	The members of ENIM shall provide the Network Coordinator with the necessary resources to perform its tasks. Prior to the appointment of the Network Coordinator, ~~infrastructure managers~~ <u>the members of ENIM</u> shall ~~seek the consent of~~ <u>consult</u> the Commission regarding the designated entity and the following aspects:	
Article 58(1), second subparagraph, point (a)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	619	(a) the terms and conditions for the appointment of the Network Coordinator;	(a) the terms and conditions for the appointment of the Network Coordinator;	(a) the terms and conditions for the appointment of the Network Coordinator;	G
	Article 58(1), second subparagraph, point (b)				
R	620	(b) the conditions of withdrawal of the appointment;	(b) the conditions of withdrawal of the appointment and of appointment of a new Network Coordinator;		R
	Article 58(1), second subparagraph, point (c)				
G	621	(c) the procedure to regularly monitor its work and to assess whether it has performed its tasks effectively;	(c) the procedure to regularly monitor its work and to assess whether it has performed its tasks effectively;	(c) the procedure to regularly monitor its work and to assess whether it has performed its tasks effectively;	G
	Article 58(1), second subparagraph, point (d)				
G	622	(d) any additional operational duties and tasks of the Network Coordinator.	(d) any additional operational duties and tasks of the Network Coordinator.	(d) any additional operational duties and tasks of the Network Coordinator.	G
	Article 58(1), second subparagraph a				
R	622a		Aspects covered by points (a) to (c) of this paragraph shall be regulated by ENIM rules of procedure adopted pursuant to Article 55. The operational duties and tasks of the Network Coordinator referred to in point (d) of this paragraph shall be specified in the act by which ENIM appoints the Network Coordinator.		R

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement	
Article 58(2)									
R	623	2. The Network Coordinator shall execute its tasks in an impartial and cost-effective manner and shall act on behalf of ENIM. For this purpose, it shall submit to ENIM its annual work programme with respect to the tasks set out in this Regulation as well as an annual report on the implementation of the work programme.		2. The Network Coordinator shall execute its tasks in an impartial and cost-effective manner and shall act on behalf of ENIM <u>and the Commission</u> . For this purpose, it shall submit to ENIM <u>and the Commission</u> its annual work programme with respect to the tasks set out in this Regulation as well as an annual report on the implementation of the work programme.		2. The Network Coordinator shall execute its tasks in an impartial, transparent and cost-effective manner ~~and shall act on behalf of ENIM~~ . For this purpose, it shall submit to ENIM its annual work programme with respect to the tasks set out in this Regulation as well as an annual report on the implementation of the work programme. ENIM shall approve the annual work programme and the annual report prior to their adoption.		R	
Article 59									
G	624	Article 59 Tasks and responsibilities of the Network Coordinator		Article 59 Tasks and responsibilities of the Network Coordinator		Article 59 Tasks and responsibilities of the Network Coordinator		Article 59 Tasks and responsibilities of the Network Coordinator	G
Article 59, first paragraph									
G	625	The Network Coordinator shall carry out in support of ENIM the following tasks:		The Network Coordinator shall carry out in support of ENIM the following tasks:		The Network Coordinator shall carry out in support of ENIM the following tasks:		The Network Coordinator shall carry out in support of ENIM the following tasks:	G
Article 59, first paragraph, point (a)									
G	626	(a) act as secretariat and prepare ENIM’s meetings, documents, decisions and opinions;		(a) act as secretariat and prepare ENIM’s meetings, documents, decisions and opinions;		(a) act as secretariat and prepare ENIM’s meetings, documents, decisions and opinions, in particular by contributing to the preparation		(a) act as secretariat and prepare ENIM’s meetings, documents, decisions and opinions, ~~in particular by contributing to the preparation of~~	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			of the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 and the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50;	~~the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 and the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50;~~ agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 59, first paragraph, point (b)				
627	(b) contribute to the preparation of the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 and the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50;	(b) contribute to the preparation of the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 and the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50;	deleted	<u>(b) contribute to the preparation of the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 and the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50;</u> agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 59, first paragraph, point (c)				
628	(c) contribute to the operational coordination between	(c) contribute to the operational coordination between	(c) contribute to the operational coordination between	(c) contribute to the operational coordination between

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53;	infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53;	infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53;	infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53;
Article 59, first paragraph, point (d)				
629	(d) identify rules, procedures and tools within the scope of this Regulation and adopted at national or infrastructure manager level which create obstacles for multi-network rail services, as set out in this Regulation;	(d) identify rules, procedures and tools within the scope of this Regulation and adopted at national or infrastructure manager level which create obstacles for multi-network rail services, as set out in this Regulation;	(d) identify rules, procedures and tools within the scope of this Regulation and adopted at national or infrastructure manager level which create obstacles for multi-network rail services, as set out in this Regulation, and propose to ENIM methods to facilitate crossborder rail services;	(d) identify rules, procedures and tools within the scope of this Regulation and adopted at national or infrastructure manager level which create obstacles for multi-network rail services, as set out in this Regulation, and propose to ENIM methods to facilitate crossborder rail services; agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 59, first paragraph, point (da)				
629a			(da) contribute to the coordination between infrastructure managers concerning strategic capacity planning according to Article 14 by elaborating specific timetable designs facilitating competitive multi-network long-distance train paths;	(da) contribute to the coordination between infrastructure managers concerning strategic capacity planning according to Article 14 by elaborating specific timetable designs facilitating competitive multi-network long-distance train paths; agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 59, first paragraph, point (e)				
630	(e) act as a contact point on behalf of infrastructure managers for enquiries related to capacity	(e) act as a contact point on behalf of infrastructure managers for enquiries related to capacity	(e) act as a contact point on behalf of infrastructure managers for enquiries related to capacity	(e) act as a contact point on behalf of infrastructure managers for ~~the provision of~~

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	planning and allocation, in particular regarding potential requests for capacity, for information or contact points related to rail incidents and temporary capacity restrictions;	planning and allocation, in particular regarding potential requests for capacity, for information or contact points related to rail incidents and temporary capacity restrictions;	~~planning and allocation, in particular regarding potential requests for capacity, for information or contact points related to rail incidents and temporary capacity restrictions;~~ the provision of information, in particular regarding potential requests for capacity, for information or contact points related to rail incidents and temporary capacity restrictions;	~~information~~ <u>enquiries related to capacity planning and allocation, in particular regarding potential requests for capacity, for information or contact points</u> related to rail incidents and temporary capacity restrictions; agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 59, first paragraph, point (f)				
G 631	(f) act as a first point of contact for stakeholders outside the rail sector interested in using rail services, providing contacts to relevant actors at infrastructure managers and other operational stakeholders;	(f) act as a first point of contact for stakeholders outside the rail sector interested in using rail services, providing contacts to relevant actors at infrastructure managers and other operational stakeholders;	(f) act as a first point of contact ~~for stakeholders outside the rail sector interested in using rail services~~ , providing contacts to relevant actors at infrastructure managers and other operational stakeholders;	(f) act as a first point of contact <u>for stakeholders interested in using rail services</u> , providing contacts to relevant actors ~~as~~ <u>such as</u> infrastructure managers and other operational stakeholders-; agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 59, first paragraph, point (g)				
G 632	(g) act as a contact point on behalf of ENIM for applicants and other operational stakeholders on issues not explicitly covered by this Regulation, in particular the launch or change of cross-border rail transport services or organising support for ad hoc activities, in particular to address the crisis situations referred to in Article 47.	(g) act as a contact point on behalf of ENIM for applicants and other operational stakeholders on issues not explicitly covered by this Regulation, in particular the launch or change of cross-border rail transport services or organising support for ad hoc activities, in particular to address the crisis situations referred to in Article 47.	deleted	<u>(g) act as a contact point on behalf of ENIM for applicants and other operational stakeholders on issues not explicitly covered by this Regulation, in particular the launch or change of cross-border rail transport services or organising support for ad hoc activities, in particular to address</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>the crisis situations referred to in Article 47.</u> agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 59, first paragraph, point (ga)				
G 632a		<u>(ga) provide existing and new shared B2B-services to Infrastructure Managers and Railway Undertakings to improve rail services and boost trans-European rail traffic.</u>		<u>(ga) offer services, in particular in terms of digital and IT tools, when requested, to improve rail services and boost trans-European rail traffic.</u> agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 60				
G 633	Article 60 Focal points for coordination of infrastructure managers	Article 60 Focal points for coordination of infrastructure managers	Article 60 Focal points for coordination of infrastructure managers	Article 60 Focal points for coordination of infrastructure managers
Article 60(1)				
G 634	1. In order to ensure coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53, the infrastructure managers shall designate focal points. The focal point shall act as the central interface between the organisation of the infrastructure manager and the other entities involved in the coordination activities.	1. In order to ensure coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53, the infrastructure managers shall designate focal points. The focal point shall act as the central interface between the organisation of the infrastructure manager and the other entities involved in the coordination activities.	1. In order to ensure coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53, the infrastructure managers shall designate focal points. The focal point shall act as the central interface between the organisation of the infrastructure manager and the other entities involved in the coordination activities.	1. In order to ensure coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53, the infrastructure managers shall designate focal points. The focal point shall act as the central interface between the organisation of the infrastructure manager and the other entities involved in the coordination activities.
Article 60(1a)				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
G	634a					1a. For each European Transport Corridor, infrastructure managers managing infrastructure part of such European Transport Corridor may designate a single focal point to act as the central interface between them and the other entities involved in the coordination activities, in accordance with point (a) of paragraph 2 of Article 53.		<i>deleted</i> <i>agreed at 2nd trilogue</i>
	Article 60(1b)							
G	634b					1b. The designated single focal point referred to in paragraph 1a shall act as a contact point for applicants and other operational stakeholders for the launch or change of cross-border rail transport services or for organising support for ad hoc activities, in particular to address the crisis situations referred to in Article 47.		<i>deleted</i> <i>agreed at 2nd trilogue</i>
	Article 60(1c)							
G	634c					1c. The designated single focal point referred to in paragraph 1a shall monitor the allocation of the multi-network		<i>deleted</i> <i>agreed at 2nd trilogue</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			capacity requests on the railway lines part of the European Transport Corridors until the end of the annual working timetable.	
Article 60(2)				
635	2. Infrastructure managers shall inform without delay ENIM about any changes in focal points for inclusion in the publications referred to in Article 57(1) (Transparency).	2. Infrastructure managers shall inform without delay ENIM about any changes in focal points for inclusion in the publications referred to in Article 57(1) (Transparency).	2. Infrastructure managers shall inform without delay ENIM about any changes in focal points for inclusion in the publications referred to in Article 57(1) (~~Transparency~~).	2. Infrastructure managers shall inform without delay ENIM about any changes in focal points for inclusion in the publications referred to in Article 57(1) (Transparency).
Article 61				
636	Article 61 Common structure, contents and schedule for network statements	Article 61 Common structure, contents and schedule for network statements	Article 61 Common structure, contents and schedule for network statements	Article 61 Common structure, contents and schedule for network statements
Article 61(1)				
637	1. ENIM shall prepare and adopt a common structure for the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU, which shall take into consideration the information listed in Annex IV to that Directive, Annex IV to this Regulation, and a common schedule for the consultation of interested parties on the draft network statement. Infrastructure managers shall take the utmost	1. ENIM shall, by /12 months from the entry into force of this Regulation/ , prepare and adopt a common structure for the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU, which shall take into consideration the information listed in Annex IV to that Directive, Annex IV to this Regulation, and a common schedule for the consultation of interested parties on the draft	1. ENIM shall prepare and adopt a common structure for the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU, which shall take into consideration the information listed in Annex IV to that Directive, Annex IV to this Regulation, and a common schedule for the consultation of interested parties on the draft network statement. Infrastructure managers shall take the utmost	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	account of this structure and schedule when preparing the network statement.	network statement. Infrastructure managers shall take the utmost account of this structure and schedule when preparing the network statement.	account of this structure and schedule when preparing the network statement.	
Article 61(1a)				
G 637a				<u>1a. ENIM shall submit a draft of the common structure for the network statement referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article within [deadline, e.g. 12 months] after the entry into force of this Regulation. The ENRRB shall submit a recommendation to ENIM on this common structure within [deadline, e.g. 3 months] after receiving the draft prepared by ENIM. ENIM shall take account of this recommendation.</u> agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 61(2)				
G 638	2. When preparing the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU, the infrastructure manager shall the take utmost account of the European framework for capacity management, the European framework for the cross-border	2. When preparing the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU, the infrastructure manager shall the take utmost account of the European framework for capacity management, the European framework for the cross-border	2. When preparing the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU, the infrastructure manager shall ~~the take the~~ utmost account of the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6 of this Regulation , the	2. When preparing the network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU, the infrastructure manager shall take the utmost account of the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6 of this Regulation, the European

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management and the European framework for performance review, referred to respectively in Articles 6, 44 and 50 of this Regulation.	coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management and the European framework for performance review, referred to respectively in Articles 6, 44 and 50 of this Regulation.	European framework for the ~~cross-border~~ coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 of this Regulation and the European framework for performance review, referred to ~~respectively in Articles 6, 44 and in~~ Article 50 of this Regulation.	framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 of this Regulation and the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50 of this Regulation. Provisionally agree in ITM 31
Article 61(3)				
639	3. Where the infrastructure manager does not comply with paragraph 1 or 2, it shall provide a justification in the network statement and inform the competent regulatory body and ENIM.	3. Where the infrastructure manager does not comply with paragraph 1 or 2, it shall provide a justification in the network statement and inform the competent regulatory body and ENIM.	3. ~~Where the Infrastructure manager does not comply with paragraph 1 or 2, it~~ managers shall provide a justification explain in the network statement the reason for deviation from the structure and schedule referred to in paragraph 1 and the European frameworks referred to in paragraph 2 and inform the competent regulatory body and ENIM of those reasons.	3. Infrastructure managers shall explain in the network statement the reason for deviation from the structure and schedule referred to in paragraph 1 and the European frameworks referred to in paragraph 2 and inform the competent regulatory body and ENIM of those reasons. Provisionally agree in ITM 31
Article 62				
640	Article 62 Digitalisation of capacity and traffic management	Article 62 Digitalisation of capacity and traffic management	Article 62 Digitalisation of capacity and traffic management	Article 62 Digitalisation of capacity and traffic management
Article 62(1)				
641	1. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that capacity	1. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that capacity	1. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that capacity	1. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that capacity

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	management and traffic management processes within the scope of this Regulation are implemented by means of digital tools and digital services.	management and traffic management processes within the scope of this Regulation are implemented by means of digital tools and digital services.	management and traffic management processes within the scope of this Regulation are implemented by means of digital tools and digital services.	management and traffic management processes within the scope of this Regulation are implemented by means of digital tools and digital services.
Article 62(1), second subparagraph				
G 641a			Capacity management tools shall be compliant with the requirements concerning capacity planning and allocation process laid down in Chapter II of this Regulation and the requirements defined in the Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the delegated and implementing acts adopted on the basis thereof. Infrastructure managers may continue to use capacity management tools existing prior to the date of application referred to in Article 77(2)(d) provided that those tools allow infrastructure managers to comply with the abovementioned requirements.	Capacity management tools <u>used by infrastructure managers</u> shall be compliant with the requirements concerning capacity planning and allocation process laid down in Chapter II of this Regulation ~~and~~. <u>The digital tools shall comply with</u> the requirements defined in the Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the delegated and implementing acts adopted on the basis thereof <u>to ensure interoperability of systems and alignment of data with the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797</u>. Infrastructure managers may continue to use capacity management tools existing prior to the date of application referred to in Article 77(2)(d) provided that those tools allow infrastructure managers to comply with the abovementioned requirements.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Provisionally agreed in ITM 30
	Article 62(2)			
G 642	2. The digital tools deployed and the digital services provided shall:	2. The digital tools deployed and the digital services provided shall:	2. The digital tools deployed and the digital services provided shall:	2. The digital tools deployed and the digital services provided shall:
	Article 62(2), point (a)			
G 643	(a) improve the performance and the quality, including full interoperability, of the services infrastructure managers provide to applicants;	(a) improve the performance and the quality, including full interoperability, of the services infrastructure managers provide to applicants;	(a) ~~improve the~~ ensure effective performance and the quality, including full interoperability, of the services infrastructure managers provide to applicants;	(a) ensure effective performance and quality, including full interoperability, of the services infrastructure managers provide to applicants; provisionally agreed in ITM 28
	Article 62(2), point (b)			
G 644	(b) improve the transparency of rail capacity management and traffic management throughout all their phases;	(b) improve the transparency of rail capacity management and traffic management throughout all their phases, <u>including digital and real time solutions which shall be gradually elaborated with relevant stakeholders</u> ;	(b) ~~improve~~ ensure the transparency of rail capacity management and traffic management throughout all their phases including through real time solutions which shall be deployed gradually ;	(b) ensure the transparency of rail capacity management and traffic management throughout all their phases including through ~~real time~~ <u>real-time</u> solutions which shall be <u>elaborated with relevant stakeholders and</u> deployed ~~gradually~~ <u>according to the requirements defined in Directive (EU) 2016/797</u> ; provisionally agreed in ITM 28
	Article 62(2), point (c)			
G 645	(c) reduce the administrative burden for applicants by	(c) reduce the administrative burden for applicants by	(c) reduce the administrative burden for applicants by	(c) reduce the administrative burden for applicants by

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	requesting each piece of information only once and by providing information or data in a single place, including as regards cross-border services.	requesting each piece of information only once and by providing information or data in a single place, including as regards cross-border services.	requesting each piece of information only once and by providing information or data in a single place, including as regards cross-border services-;	requesting each piece of information only once and by providing information or data in a single place, including as regards cross-border services-;
	Article 62(2), point (d)			
645a			(d) ensure data alignment with the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	deleted
	Article 62(2), point (cb)			
645b		<u>Where applicable, the digital tools and the digital services shall comply with TAF/TAP TSI and RINF, referred to in Article 49 of Directive EU 2016/797, to ensure interoperability of relevant systems.</u>		deleted
	Article 62(2a)			
645c		<u>2a. The infrastructure managers shall ensure that the digital tools and digital services fulfil the requirements of the capacity planning and allocation process set out in Section 3 of this Regulation. In order to achieve the improvements indicated in paragraph 2, point (a), of this Article, the infrastructure</u>		deleted

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>managers shall also use a tool for the digitalisation of temporary capacity restrictions and a digital illustration of the trains running on the European rail network.</u>		
Article 62(3)				
646	3. Where the digital tools or of the digital services necessary to support capacity management or traffic management processes need to be covered by technical specifications for interoperability, or where existing specifications covering or partially covering those tools, as provided for by Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the implementing acts adopted under that Directive, need to be amended, ENIM and infrastructure managers shall contribute to the development and maintenance of such specifications in cooperation with the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking and through the process referred to in Article 5 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	3. Where the digital tools or ~~of~~ the digital services necessary to support capacity management or traffic management processes need to be covered by technical specifications for interoperability, or where existing specifications covering or partially covering those tools, as provided for by Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the implementing acts adopted under that Directive, need to be amended, ENIM and infrastructure managers shall contribute to the development and maintenance of such specifications in cooperation with <u>ERA and</u> the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking, and through the process referred to in Article 5 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	<i>deleted</i>	<u>3. Where the digital tools or the digital services necessary to support capacity management or traffic management processes need to be covered by technical specifications for interoperability, or where existing specifications covering or partially covering those tools, as provided for by Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the implementing acts adopted under that Directive, need to be amended, ENIM and infrastructure managers shall contribute to the development and maintenance of such specifications in cooperation with ERA and the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking, and through the process referred to in Article 5 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.</u> provisionally agreed in ITM 28
Article 62(4)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
647	4. Infrastructure managers shall contribute the work of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking on issues that fall within the scope of this Regulation. For this purpose, ENIM and the infrastructure managers shall seek for appropriate representation in the System Pillar Steering Group and the Deployment Group referred to respectively in Articles 96 and 97 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2085.	4. Infrastructure managers shall contribute the work of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking on issues that fall within the scope of this Regulation. For this purpose, ENIM and the infrastructure managers shall seek for appropriate representation in the System Pillar Steering Group and the Deployment Group referred to respectively in Articles 96 and 97 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2085.	4. Infrastructure managers shall contribute to the work of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking on issues that fall within the scope of this Regulation. For this purpose, ENIM and the infrastructure managers shall ~~seek for~~ ensure appropriate representation in the System Pillar Steering Group and the Deployment Group referred to respectively in Articles 96 and 97 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2085.	4. Infrastructure managers shall contribute to the work of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking on issues that fall within the scope of this Regulation. For this purpose, ENIM and the infrastructure managers shall ensure appropriate representation in the System Pillar Steering Group and the Deployment Group referred to respectively in Articles 96 and 97 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2085. provisionally agreed in ITM 28
Article 62(5), first subparagraph				
648	5. Infrastructure managers, railway undertakings, other applicants and, where relevant, the operators of rail service facilities shall exchange digital information related to capacity management and traffic management by means of digital tools and digital services which are based on a harmonised architecture and which involve standardised interfaces or common systems in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the specifications laid down in	5. Infrastructure managers, railway undertakings, other applicants and, where relevant, the operators of rail service facilities shall exchange digital information related to capacity management and traffic management by means of digital tools and digital services which are based on a harmonised architecture and which involve standardised interfaces or common systems in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the specifications laid down in	5. Infrastructure managers, ~~railway undertakings, other~~ applicants and, where relevant, the operators of ~~rail~~ service facilities shall exchange digital information related to capacity management and traffic management by means of digital tools and digital services which are based on a harmonised architecture and which involve standardised interfaces or common systems in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the specifications ~~laid down in~~	5. Infrastructure managers, ~~applicants~~ operational stakeholders and, where relevant, the operators of service facilities shall exchange digital information related to capacity management and traffic management by means of digital tools and digital services which are based on a harmonised architecture and which involve standardised interfaces or common systems in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the specifications adopted on the basis thereof.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	implemented acts adopted under that Directive.	implemented acts adopted under that Directive.	implemented acts adopted under that Directive on the basis thereof.	provisionally agreed in ITM 28
Article 62(5), second subparagraph				
G 649	In the case of multi-network rail services, infrastructure managers shall provide digital services and digital information through a single interface or common systems developed and deployed under coordination of ENIM in accordance with paragraph 3.	In the case of multi-network rail services, infrastructure managers shall provide digital services and digital information through a single interface or common systems developed and deployed under coordination of ENIM in accordance with paragraph 3.	In the case of multi-network rail services or cross-border services, infrastructure managers shall provide digital services and digital information through a single interface or common systems developed and deployed under coordination of ENIM, in particular in order to manage capacity allocation in accordance with paragraph 3.	In the case of multi-network rail services or cross-border services, infrastructure managers shall provide digital services and digital information through a single interface or common systems developed and deployed under coordination of ENIM, ~~in particular.~~ <u>ENIM shall establish a one-stop-shop in order to ~~manage~~ allow applicants to request multi-network capacity ~~allocation~~ rights in a single place and in a single operation.</u> provisionally agreed in ITM 28
Article 62(5), second subparagraph a				
G 649a		<u>5a. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that the digital tools and digital services referred to in paragraph 1 shall be fully operational in accordance with the timelines set out in this Regulation: The following digital European rail capacity and</u>		<u>5a. Infrastructure managers shall ensure that the digital tools and digital services referred to in paragraph 1 are operational by the deadlines set out in Article 77. The Network Coordinator shall provide common European digital tools and services for capacity and traffic management</u>

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
				<u>traffic management systems shall be implemented:</u>				<u>developed and deployed in accordance with paragraph 3. ERA shall, in accordance with Article 23 of Regulation (EU) 2016/796, monitor the deployment and compliance of the digital systems against the technical specifications for interoperability, coordinate the development and updating of these specifications and assist the Commission in this process.</u> Provisionally agreed on 13/10 (13/10) and the details in the following lines 649b-649o can be deleted.
		Article 62(5), second subparagraph a, point (a)						
G	649b			<u>(a) a European digital system for the capacity management processes set out in Chapter II of this Regulation, consisting of:</u>				deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10
		Article 62(5), second subparagraph a, point (b)						
G	649c			<u>(i) a digital display of capacity models referred to in Article 17 by 1 July 2025 (“European capacity management tool”) to be used from timetable period 2027;</u>				deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10
		Article 62(5), second subparagraph a, point (c)						

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
G	649d			<u>(ii) a tool to submit multi-network annual capacity requests and receive answers in a single place and operation by 1 January 2026 (= “path coordination system”) to be used from timetable period 2027;</u>				deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10
Article 62(5), second subparagraph a, point (d)								
G	649e			<u>(iii) a digital display of the capacity supply plan in real time referred to in Articles 9 and 18 by 1 December 2028 (“European Capacity management tool”) to be used from timetable period 2030;</u>				deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10
Article 62(5), second subparagraph a, point (e)								
G	649f			<u>(iv) a digital display and tool for coordination of temporary capacity restrictions referred to in Article 10 by 1 December 2027 (“temporary capacity restrictions tool”) to be used from timetable period 2029;</u>				deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10
Article 62(5), second subparagraph a, point (f)								
G	649g			<u>(v) a capacity broker for requesting multi-network capacity according to the processes described in Articles 32 to 34 by 1 January 2029 (“capacity broker”) to be used from timetable period 2030 ;</u>				deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
Article 62(5a)								
G	649h					5a. By [6 months after the date of application referred to in 77(2)(d)], ENIM shall provide guidelines on the functional and technical requirements for the exchange of information under paragraph 5 between infrastructure managers, applicants and, where relevant, the operators of service facilities, taking into account the work of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking.		deleted provisionally agreed in ITM 28
Article 62(5b), first subparagraph								
G	649i			<u>(b) a European digital system as a support of the European traffic management network concept, for the traffic management processes set out in Chapter III of this Regulation ("Train information system"), providing for</u>				deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10
Article 62(5b), first subparagraph, point (a)								
G	649j			<u>(i) a European-wide overview of multi-network train runs from origin to destination as of the date of application of the Regulation;</u>				deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10
Article 62(5b), first subparagraph, point (b)								

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 649k		<u>(ii) a regular exchange of information on estimated time of arrival by December 2027;</u>		deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10
Article 62(5b), first subparagraph, point (c)				
G 649l		<u>(iii) a reporting of incidents to or via this common system by December 2030;</u>		deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10
Article 62(5b), first subparagraph, point (d)				
G 649m		<u>(iv) a common platform for communication and cooperation of national traffic control centres by December 2030.</u>		deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10
Article 62(5b), second subparagraph				
G 649n		<u>ERA in cooperation with ENIM and the network coordinator shall, in accordance with Article 19 of Regulation (EU) 2016/796, provide by ... [12 months from the entry into force of this Regulation], a recommendation to the Commission, setting out tools on the implementation and governance of the digital European rail capacity and traffic management system. The recommendation shall take into account the tools already in operation or under development,</u>		deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
				<u>as well as investments committed, and the tools and timelines provided in this paragraph. Based on this recommendation, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt a delegated act in accordance with Article 71 to set out these tools on the implementation and governance of the digital European rail capacity and traffic management system and to identify the tasks of the Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking, ERA, infrastructure managers, ENIM, ENRRB and the Network Coordinator in this process.</u>				
		Article 62(5c)						
G	649o			<u>5b. ERA shall coordinate these tasks.</u> <u>The central tools of the European digital capacity and traffic management systems shall be operated by the Network Coordinator.</u> <u>ERA shall ensure compliance of digital systems developed by the sector with the technical standards for interoperability.</u>			deleted Provisionally agreed on 13/10	G
		Article 62(5d)						

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
R 649p		<u>5c. The Commission and Member States shall support the financing of the activities set out in this Article, including from the CEF, in order to ensure a timely, cross-border harmonised and interoperable implementation of digital tools and services.</u>		(3/10) Council clarifies this is out of scope of this Regulation. IM will need to pay for this.
CHAPTER VI				
650	CHAPTER VI REGULATORY OVERSIGHT OF CAPACITY AND TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT	CHAPTER VI REGULATORY OVERSIGHT OF CAPACITY AND TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT	CHAPTER VI REGULATORY OVERSIGHT OF CAPACITY AND TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT	CHAPTER VI REGULATORY OVERSIGHT OF CAPACITY AND TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT
SECTION 1				
651	SECTION 1 Regulatory bodies	SECTION 1 Regulatory bodies	SECTION 1 Regulatory bodies	SECTION 1 Regulatory bodies
Article 63				
652	Article 63 Responsibilities of regulatory bodies	Article 63 Responsibilities of regulatory bodies	Article 63 Responsibilities of regulatory bodies	Article 63 Responsibilities of regulatory bodies
Article 63(1)				
G 653	1. The functions and the powers laid down in Article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU shall also be exercised with respect to the matters covered by this Regulation. In particular, the regulatory body shall monitor the activities of infrastructure managers as set out in Chapters II	1. The functions and the powers laid down in Article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU shall also be exercised with respect to the matters covered by this Regulation. In particular, the regulatory body shall monitor the activities of infrastructure managers <u>and the European</u>	1. Member States shall ensure that the functions and the powers laid down in Article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU ~~shall also~~ beare also exercised with respect to the matters covered by this Regulation. In particular, the regulatory body shall monitor the activities of infrastructure	1. ~~Member States shall ensure that~~ The functions and the powers laid down in Article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU ~~are~~ also shall also be exercised with respect to the matters covered by this Regulation. In particular, the regulatory body shall monitor the activities of infrastructure

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	to V and shall verify compliance with this Regulation on its own initiative and with a view to preventing discrimination against applicants.	<u>network coordinator</u> , as set out in Chapters II to V <u>of this Regulation</u> and shall verify compliance with this Regulation on its own initiative and with a view to preventing discrimination against applicants.	managers as set out in Chapters II to V of this Regulation and shall verify compliance with this Regulation on its own initiative and with a view to preventing discrimination against applicants.	managers as set out in Chapters II to V of this Regulation and shall verify compliance with this Regulation on its own initiative and with a view to preventing discrimination against applicants. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 63(1a)				
653a		<u>1a. The regulatory body shall participate and supervise the strategic planning of capacity provided in Section II of this Regulation. The regulatory body shall also evaluate proportionality, non-discrimination and transparency. The regulatory body may issue and share opinions with infrastructure managers, ENIM and ERP. The infrastructure managers shall take into account the proposals of regulatory bodies in elaborating the strategic planning of capacity.</u>		deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 63(2)				
654	2. A railway undertaking, an applicant, a potential applicant, a national, regional or local authority responsible for transport shall have the right to appeal to the	2. A railway undertaking, ~~an~~ <u>other</u> applicant, a potential applicant, a national, regional or local authority responsible for transport shall have the right to	2. ~~A railway undertaking, an applicant, a potential~~ <u>An applicant</u> , a national, regional or local authority responsible for rail transport services shall have the	2. An ~~applicant~~ <u>operational stakeholder</u> , a national, regional or local authority responsible for rail transport services shall have the right to appeal to the regulatory

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	regulatory body in accordance with article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU if it believes it has been unfairly treated, discriminated against or in any other way aggrieved by the infrastructure manager when the latter carried out the activities set out in Chapters II, III, IV and V of this Regulation (Management of infrastructure; Traffic and crisis management; Performance review and management; European network for coordination).	appeal to the regulatory body in accordance with article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU if it believes it has been unfairly treated, discriminated against or in any other way aggrieved by the infrastructure manager when the latter carried out the activities set out in Chapters II, III, IV and V of this Regulation (~~Management of infrastructure; Traffic and crisis management; Performance review and management; European network for coordination~~).	right to appeal to the regulatory body under the procedure set out in accordance with Article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU if it believes it has been unfairly treated, discriminated against or in any other way aggrieved by the infrastructure manager when the latter carried out the activities set out in Chapters II, III, IV and V of this Regulation (~~Management of infrastructure; Traffic and crisis management; Performance review and management; European network for coordination~~).	body under the procedure set out in Article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU if it believes it has been unfairly treated, discriminated against or in any other way aggrieved by the infrastructure manager when the latter carried out the activities set out in Chapters II, III, IV and V of this Regulation. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Section 2				
654a	SECTION 2 Tasks and responsibilities of the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies Moved reference text		SECTION 2 Tasks and responsibilities of the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies Moved from row 665 [665 - 654a]	SECTION 2 European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies
Article 64				
655	Article 64 Cooperation of regulatory bodies within the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies (ENRRB)	Article 64 Cooperation of regulatory bodies within the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies (ENRRB)	Article 64 Cooperation of regulatory bodies within the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies (ENRRB)	Article 64 Cooperation of regulatory bodies within the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies (ENRRB)
Article 64(1), first subparagraph				
656	1. For the purpose of fulfilling their responsibilities	1. For the purpose of fulfilling their responsibilities	1. For the purpose of fulfilling their responsibilities	1. For the purpose of fulfilling their responsibilities

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	under this Regulation, the regulatory bodies shall cooperate in the framework of the ENRRB referred to in Article 57(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU, including through joint consultations and investigations, by adopting opinions or recommendations or through other relevant activities. Regulatory bodies shall provide all the required information to the ENRRB.	under this Regulation, the regulatory bodies shall cooperate in the framework of the ENRRB referred to in Article 57(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU, including through joint consultations and investigations, by adopting opinions or recommendations or through other relevant activities. Regulatory bodies shall provide all the required information to the ENRRB <u>and take into account the opinions and recommendations adopted by ENRRB.</u>	under this Regulation, the regulatory bodies shall cooperate in the framework of the ENRRB ~~referred to in~~ established under Article 57(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU, including through joint consultations and investigations, by adopting opinions or recommendations or through other relevant activities. Regulatory bodies shall provide all the required information to the ENRRB in accordance with paragraph 6.	under this Regulation, the regulatory bodies shall cooperate in the framework of the ENRRB established under Article 57(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU, including through joint consultations and investigations, by adopting opinions or recommendations or through other relevant activities. Regulatory bodies shall provide all the required information to the ENRRB in accordance with paragraph 6. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 64(1), second subparagraph			
G 657	When acting within the scope of this Regulation, the ENRRB shall have the tasks and responsibilities and organise its work as defined in section 2 of this Chapter.	When acting within the scope of this Regulation, the ENRRB shall have the tasks and responsibilities and organise its work as defined in section 2 of this Chapter.	When acting within the scope of this Regulation, the ENRRB shall have the tasks and responsibilities and organise its work as defined in this section ~~2 of this Chapter.~~	When acting within the scope of this Regulation, the ENRRB shall have the tasks and responsibilities and organise its work as defined in this section. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 64(2)			
G 658	2. In the case of a complaint or an own-initiative investigation on a matter falling within the scope of this Regulation and affecting the access to or the use of rail networks in more than one Member State, the regulatory body	2. In the case of a complaint or an own-initiative investigation on a matter falling within the scope of this Regulation and affecting the access to or the use of rail networks in more than one Member State, the regulatory body	2. In the case of a complaint or an own-initiative investigation on a matter falling within the scope of this Regulation and affecting the access to or the use of rail networks in more than one Member State, the regulatory body	2. In the case of a complaint or an own-initiative investigation on a matter falling within the scope of this Regulation and affecting the access to or the use of rail networks in more than one Member State, the regulatory body

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	concerned shall inform the ENRRB and the Commission of the complaint or the investigation.	concerned shall inform the ENRRB and the Commission of the complaint or the investigation.	concerned shall inform the ENRRB and the Commission of the complaint or the investigation.	concerned shall inform the ENRRB and the Commission of the complaint or the investigation.
Article 64(3)				
659	3. A regulatory body may transmit any relevant matter, complaint or investigation to the ENRRB for an exchange of views or for the adoption of an opinion or recommendation.	3. A regulatory body may transmit any relevant matter, complaint or investigation to the ENRRB for an exchange of views or for the adoption of an opinion or recommendation.	3. A regulatory body may transmit any relevant matter, complaint or investigation to the ENRRB for an exchange of views or for the adoption of an opinion or recommendation.	3. A regulatory body may transmit any relevant matter, complaint or investigation to the ENRRB for an exchange of views or for the adoption of an opinion or recommendation.
Article 64(4)				
660	4. When taking decisions on matters affecting more than one Member State, the regulatory bodies concerned shall cooperate in preparing their respective decisions under the coordination of the ENRRB in order to bring about a resolution of the matter. For that purpose, the regulatory bodies concerned shall carry out their functions in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article and Article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU. They shall take into account any relevant opinion and recommendation adopted by the ENRRB and shall provide these decisions to the ENRRB.	4. When taking decisions on matters affecting more than one Member State, the regulatory bodies concerned shall cooperate in preparing their respective decisions under the coordination of the ENRRB in order to bring about a resolution of the matter. For that purpose, the regulatory bodies concerned shall carry out their functions in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article and Article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU. They shall take into account any relevant opinion and recommendation adopted by the ENRRB and shall provide these decisions to the ENRRB.	4. When taking decisions on matters ~~affecting more than one Member State~~ impacting cross-border rail services , the regulatory bodies concerned shall cooperate in preparing their respective decisions under the coordination of the ENRRB in order to bring about a resolution of the matter. For that purpose, the regulatory bodies concerned shall carry out their functions in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article and Article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU. They shall take into account any relevant opinion and recommendation adopted by the ENRRB and shall	4. When taking decisions on matters ~~impacting cross-border rail services~~ <u>falling within the scope of this Regulation and affecting the access to or the use of rail networks in more than one Member State</u> , the regulatory bodies concerned shall cooperate ~~in preparing their respective decisions~~ under the coordination of the ENRRB in order to ~~bring about a resolution of the matter~~ <u>ensure the consistency of their respective decisions</u> . For that purpose, the regulatory bodies concerned shall carry out their functions in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article and Article 56 of Directive

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			provide these decisions to the ENRRB.	2012/34/EU. They shall take into account any relevant opinion and recommendation adopted by the ENRRB and shall provide these decisions to the ENRRB. agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 64(4a)				
G 660a			4a. Where the cooperation referred to in paragraph 4 results in diverging decisions of the regulatory bodies concerned, they shall provide to the ENRRB an explanation laying out the differences.	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 64(5)				
G 661	5. Where a regulatory body adopts a decision, which departs from any relevant opinion or recommendation of the ENRRB or where it refuses to adopt a decision, it shall provide to the ENRRB an explanation laying out the differences and its reasoning for not following the opinions or recommendations of the ENRRB.	5. Where a regulatory body adopts a decision, which departs from any relevant opinion or recommendation of the ENRRB or where it refuses to adopt a decision, it shall provide to the ENRRB an explanation laying out the differences and its reasoning for not following the opinions or recommendations of the ENRRB <u>and include the relevant explanation in its decision.</u>	5. Where a regulatory body adopts a decision, which departs from any relevant opinion or recommendation of the ENRRB or where it refuses to adopt a decision, it shall provide to the ENRRB an explanation laying out the differences and its reasoning for not following the opinions or recommendations of the ENRRB.	5. Where a regulatory body adopts a decision, which departs from any relevant opinion or recommendation of the ENRRB or where it refuses to adopt a decision, it shall provide to the ENRRB an explanation laying out the differences and its reasoning for not following the opinions or recommendations of the ENRRB <u>and include the relevant explanation in its decision.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 64(6)				
662	6. The regulatory bodies consulted by the ENRRB shall reply within the deadlines set by the ENRRB and, upon the latter's request, shall provide all the information that they have the right to request under their national law. This information may only be used for the purpose of the activities carried out by the regulatory bodies in accordance with this Regulation.	6. The regulatory bodies consulted by the ENRRB shall reply within the deadlines set by the ENRRB and, upon the latter's request, shall provide all the information that they have the right to request under their national law. This information may only be used for the purpose of the activities carried out by the regulatory bodies in accordance with this Regulation.	6. The regulatory bodies consulted by the ENRRB shall reply within the deadlines set by the ENRRB and, upon the latter's request, shall provide all the information that they have the right to request under their national law. This information may only be used for the purpose of the activities carried out by the regulatory bodies in accordance with this Regulation.	6. The regulatory bodies consulted by the ENRRB shall reply within the deadlines set by the ENRRB and, upon the latter's request, shall provide all the information that they have the right to request under their national law. This information may only be used for the purpose of the activities carried out by the regulatory bodies in accordance with this Regulation.
Article 64(7)				
663	7. Infrastructure managers shall provide, without delay, all the information necessary for the purpose of handling the complaint or investigation referred to in this Article and requested by the regulatory body of the Member State in which the infrastructure manager is located. Regulatory bodies shall have the right to request information from ENIM on investigations within their competence. Regulatory bodies shall be entitled to transfer such information to the ENRRB.	7. Infrastructure managers shall provide, without delay, all the information necessary for the purpose of handling the complaint or investigation referred to in this Article and requested by the regulatory body of the Member State in which the infrastructure manager is located. Regulatory bodies shall have the right to request information from ENIM on investigations within their competence. Regulatory bodies shall be entitled to transfer such information to the ENRRB.	7. Infrastructure managers shall provide, without delay, all the information necessary for the purpose of handling the complaint or investigation referred to in this Article and requested by the regulatory body of the Member State in which the infrastructure manager is located. Regulatory bodies shall have the right to request information from ENIM on investigations within their competence. Regulatory bodies shall be entitled to transfer such information to the ENRRB.	7. Infrastructure managers shall provide, without delay, all the information necessary for the purpose of handling the complaint or investigation referred to in this Article and requested by the regulatory body of the Member State in which the infrastructure manager is located. Regulatory bodies shall have the right to request information from ENIM on investigations within their competence. Regulatory bodies shall be entitled to transfer such information to the ENRRB.
Article 64(8)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	664	8. Rail regulatory bodies shall provide the necessary resources for the functioning of the ENRRB.	8. Rail regulatory bodies shall provide the necessary resources for the functioning of the ENRRB.	8. Rail regulatory bodies shall provide the necessary resources for the functioning of the ENRRB.	G
Article 64(8a)					
G	664a	<u>8a. ENRRB shall ensure that its structure, methods of operation and all relevant information about its work, including opinions and recommendations are made publicly available on its website. It shall include contact details of working groups.</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 64a					
G	664b	Article 66 Organisation and structure of the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies (ENRRB) Moved reference text	Article ~~66~~ 64a Organisation and structure of the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies (ENRRB) Moved from row 674 [674 - 664b]	Article 64a Organisation and structure of the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies (ENRRB) Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 64a, first paragraph					
G	664c	For the purpose of this regulation, the ENRRB shall be organised into: Moved reference text	For the purpose of this regulation, the ENRRB shall be organised into: Moved from row 675 [675 - 664c]	For the purpose of this regulation, the ENRRB shall be organised into: Agreed at 3rd trilogue	G
Article 64a, first paragraph, point (a)					

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
664d	(a) a Board of Regulatory Bodies; Moved reference text		(a) a Board of Regulatory Bodies (the ‘Board’); Moved from row 676 [676 - 664d]	(a) a Board of Regulatory Bodies (the ‘Board’); Agreed at 3rd trilogue	
Article 64a, first paragraph, point (b)					
664e	(b) a Secretariat. Moved reference text		(b) a Secretariat. Moved from row 677 [677 - 664e]	(b) a Secretariat. Agreed at 3rd trilogue	
Article 64a, second paragraph					
664f	Regulatory bodies shall ensure the operation of the Secretariat. Moved reference text		Regulatory bodies shall ensure the operation of the Secretariat. Moved from row 678 [678 - 664f]	Regulatory bodies shall ensure the operation of the Secretariat. Agreed at 3rd trilogue	
SECTION 2					
665	SECTION 2 Tasks and responsibilities of the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies	SECTION 2 Tasks and responsibilities of the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies	Moved to row 654a [665 - 654a]	<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue	
Article 65					
666	Article 65 Tasks and responsibilities	Article 65 Tasks and responsibilities	Article 65 Tasks and responsibilities of the ENRRB	Article 65 Tasks and responsibilities of the ENRRB	
Article 65(1)					
667	1. In addition to its tasks under Directive 2012/34/EU, the ENRRB shall also have the tasks and responsibilities laid down in this Regulation.	1. In addition to its tasks under Directive 2012/34/EU, the ENRRB shall also have the tasks and responsibilities laid down in this Regulation.	1. In addition to its tasks under Directive 2012/34/EU, the ENRRB shall also have the tasks and responsibilities laid down in this Regulation. The ENRRB	1. In addition to its tasks under Directive 2012/34/EU, the ENRRB shall also have the tasks and responsibilities laid down in this Regulation. The ENRRB shall	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			shall make public any opinion and recommendation it has adopted. The ENRRB shall have no policy-making or regulatory powers.	make public any opinion and recommendation it has adopted. The ENRRB shall have no policy-making or regulatory powers. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 65(2)				
668	2. The ENRRB shall coordinate all cooperation activities of rail regulatory bodies as outlined in Article 64 and promote alignment of decisions of regulatory bodies in relation to international rail services.	2. The ENRRB shall coordinate all cooperation activities of rail regulatory bodies as outlined in Article 64 and promote alignment of decisions of regulatory bodies in relation to international rail services.	2. The ENRRB shall, where relevant , coordinate all cooperation activities of rail regulatory bodies as outlined in Article 64 and promote alignment of decisions of regulatory bodies in relation to ~~international~~ cross-border rail services.	2. The ENRRB shall, where relevant, coordinate all cooperation activities of rail regulatory bodies as outlined in Article 64 and promote alignment of decisions of regulatory bodies in relation to cross-border rail services. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 65(2a)				
668a		<u>2a. ENRRB shall assess, before adopted, the European frameworks for capacity managements, traffic management and performance review as well as the network statement common structure and issue and share an opinion with national regulatory bodies that may take action in accordance with Article 56 of Directive 2012/34/EU. ENRRB may assess all ENIM decisions and issue and</u>		<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>share opinions with ENIM, regulatory bodies, ERP and infrastructure managers.</u>		
Article 65(3)				
669	3. Based on requests by applicants, infrastructure managers, and other interested parties, the ENRRB shall provide opinions or recommendations on pending or adopted decisions on complaints submitted to the rail regulatory bodies.	deleted	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 65(4), first subparagraph				
670	4. Interested parties may lodge a complaint with the ENRRB on matters within the scope of this Regulation or affecting the access to or the use of rail infrastructure in more than one Member State. Where the ENRRB receives such a complaint, it shall transfer it to the competent regulatory body or bodies without delay.	4. Interested parties may lodge a complaint with the ENRRB on matters within the scope of this Regulation or affecting the access to or the use of rail infrastructure in more than one Member State. Where the ENRRB receives such a complaint, it shall transfer it to the competent regulatory body or bodies without delay.	4. ~~Interested parties may lodge a complaint with~~ Applicants may inform the ENRRB on ~~matters~~ issues falling within the scope of this Regulation ~~or affecting~~ which may affect the access to or the use of rail infrastructure in more than one Member State. Where the ENRRB receives such a ~~complaint~~ information , it shall transfer it to the competent regulatory body or bodies without delay.	4. ~~Applicants~~ Operational stakeholders may inform the ENRRB on issues falling within the scope of this Regulation which may affect the access to or the use of rail infrastructure in more than one Member State. Where the ENRRB receives such information, it shall transfer it to the competent regulatory body or bodies without delay. agreed at 2nd trilogue
Article 65(4), second subparagraph				
671	In such cases, the ENRRB shall immediately inform the rail	In such cases, the ENRRB shall immediately inform the rail	The ENRRB may decide to adopt an opinion or	The ENRRB may decide to adopt an opinion or recommendation on

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	regulatory bodies concerned about its intention to adopt an opinion or recommendation on any such matter.	regulatory bodies concerned about its intention to adopt an opinion or recommendation on any such matter.	recommendation on such issues. In such cases, the ENRRB shall immediately inform the ~~rail~~ regulatory bodies concerned about its intention to adopt an opinion or recommendation on any such matter thereto.	such issues. In such cases, the ENRRB shall immediately inform the regulatory bodies concerned about its intention thereto. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 65(5)				
672	5. The ENRRB shall submit its opinion or recommendation to the rail regulatory bodies concerned within one month of receipt of all the relevant information regarding the complaint. The ENRRB may extend the period for particularly complex matters.	5. The ENRRB shall submit its opinion or recommendation to the rail regulatory bodies concerned within one month of receipt of all the relevant information regarding the complaint. The ENRRB may extend the period for particularly complex matters.	~~5.~~ The ENRRB shall submit its opinion or recommendation to the ~~rail~~ regulatory bodies concerned within ~~one month~~ four weeks of receipt of all the relevant information regarding the ~~complaint~~ issue in question . The ENRRB may extend the period for particularly complex matters.	The ENRRB shall submit its opinion or recommendation to the regulatory bodies concerned within four weeks of receipt of all the relevant information regarding the issue in question. The ENRRB may extend the period for particularly complex matters. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 65(5a)				
672a		<u>5a. The ENRRB shall provide recommendations to the ENIM as regards the establishment and review of the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50, including on performance areas, performance issues to be addressed under each of the performance areas, harmonisation of methodologies, processes, criteria and definitions</u>		<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>for the collection and analysis of data related to performance and performance indicators;</u>		
Article 65(5b)				
672b				<u>5b. The ENRRB may adopt opinions and recommendations on decisions taken by ENIM, or by the network coordinator, following requests from applicants or on its own initiative. When adopting an opinion or recommendation under this paragraph, it shall inform the regulatory bodies concerned.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 65(6)				
673	6. The ENRRB shall develop common principles and practices for taking the decisions for which regulatory bodies are empowered under this Regulation.	6. The ENRRB shall develop common principles and practices for taking the decisions for which regulatory bodies are empowered under this Regulation.	6. The ENRRB shall develop common principles and practices for ~~taking the adoption, by regulatory bodies, of the decisions for which regulatory~~ those bodies are empowered under this Regulation.	6. The ENRRB shall develop common principles and practices for the adoption, by regulatory bodies, of the decisions for which those bodies are empowered under this Regulation. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 65(7)				
673a			7. The ENRRB shall provide recommendations on the European framework for capacity management referred	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
						to in Article 6, the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 and the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50, before their adoption by ENIM.		
		Article 65(8)						
G		673b				8. The ENRRB shall prepare a self-standing section of the European performance review report referred to in Article 51, providing its assessment of and recommendations on the performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services. It shall provide advice to the Commission and the European Coordinators on matters related to performance of rail infrastructure services and rail transport services.		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
		Article 65(9)						
G		673c				9. The ENRRB shall provide its opinions or		9. The ENRRB shall provide its opinions or

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			recommendations to the Commission on any shortcomings of the coordination process referred to Article 14 and, on request of the Commission, on emergency measures adopted by Member States having a significant impact on cross-border traffic as referred to in Article 47(5).	~~recommendations~~ <u>recommendatio</u> <u>ns</u> to the Commission on any shortcomings of the coordination process referred to Article 14 and, on request of the Commission, on emergency measures adopted by Member States having a <u>significant</u> impact on cross-border traffic as referred to in Article 47(5). Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 65(10)				
673d			10. The ENRRB shall submit its opinion or recommendation to ENIM on the guidelines developed by ENIM on the definition of conditions giving rise to penalty, as referred to in Article 40(3).	10. The ENRRB shall submit its opinion or recommendation to ENIM on the guidelines developed by ENIM on the definition of conditions giving rise to penalty, as referred to in Article 40(3). Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 65(6e)				
673e		<u>6a. ENRRB shall assess the European frameworks for capacity managements, traffic management and performance review as well as the network statement common structure and issue an opinion. Regulatory bodies shall take utmost account</u>		<u>11. ENRRB can issue own-initiative opinions on matters within its competence.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>of the opinion of ENRRB in checking network statements.</u>		
Article 66				
674	Article 66 Organisation and structure of the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies (ENRRB)	Article 66 Organisation and structure of the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies (ENRRB)	Moved to row 664a [674 - 664a]	<i>deleted</i> <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 66, first paragraph				
675	For the purpose of this regulation, the ENRRB shall be organised into:	For the purpose of this regulation, the ENRRB shall be organised into:	Moved to row 664b [675 - 664b]	<i>deleted</i> <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 66, first paragraph, point (a)				
676	(a) a Board of Regulatory Bodies;	(a) a Board of Regulatory Bodies;	Moved to row 664c [676 - 664c]	<i>deleted</i> <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 66, first paragraph, point (b)				
677	(b) a Secretariat.	(b) a Secretariat.	Moved to row 664d [677 - 664d]	<i>deleted</i> <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 66, second paragraph				
678	Regulatory bodies shall ensure the operation of the Secretariat.	Regulatory bodies shall ensure the operation of the Secretariat.	Moved to row 664e [678 - 664e]	<i>deleted</i> <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 66, second paragraph a				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
678a		<u>ENRRB shall ensure that its methods of operation and all relevant information about its work are made publicly available on a common website. It shall include contact details for complaints, contact information for dedicated working groups, and information about procedures.</u> moved to 689a		<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 66, second paragraph b				
678b		<u>ENRRB shall publish its opinions and recommendations on a dedicated website.</u>		<i>deleted</i> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 67				
679	Article 67 Composition of the Board of Regulatory Bodies	Article 67 Composition of the Board of Regulatory Bodies	Article 67 Composition and organisation of the Board of Regulatory Bodies	Article 67 Composition and organisation of the Board Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 67(1)				
680	1. The Board of Regulatory Bodies shall be composed of one voting member from each Member State that has a rail network in use and one member appointed by the Commission.	1. The Board of Regulatory Bodies shall be composed of one voting member from each Member State that has a rail network in use and one member appointed by the	1. The Board of Regulatory Bodies shall be composed of one voting member from each Member State that has a rail network in use and one member appointed by the Commission shall be members of	1. The Regulatory Bodies from each Member State that has a rail network in use shall be members of the Board. They shall appoint a representative and an alternate to the Board.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		Commission. <u>ERA shall be an observer with no voting rights.</u>	the Board. They shall appoint a representative and an alternate to the Board.	<u>The Commission shall appoint a member who shall be a non-voting member of the Board.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 67(2)				
681	2. The voting members shall be the head, or a deputy head, of the regulatory body referred to in Article 55 of Directive 2012/34/EU. They shall have one alternate to represent the member in her or his absence. The alternate shall be appointed by the regulatory body from among the governance or the management of the regulatory body or, where this is not possible, from its staff.	2. The voting members shall be the head, or a deputy head, of the regulatory body referred to in Article 55 of Directive 2012/34/EU. They shall have one alternate to represent the member in her or his absence. The alternate shall be appointed by the regulatory body from among the governance or the management of the regulatory body or, where this is not possible, from its staff.	The ~~voting members~~ representatives shall be the head, or a deputy head, of the regulatory body referred to in Article 55 of Directive 2012/34/EU. ~~They shall have one alternate to represent the member in her or his absence.~~ The alternate shall be appointed by the regulatory body from among the governance or the management of the regulatory body or, where this is not possible, from its staff.	The representatives shall be the head, or a deputy head, of the regulatory body referred to in Article 55 of Directive 2012/34/EU. The alternate shall be appointed from among the governance or the management of the regulatory body or, where this is not possible, from its staff. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 67(3)				
682	3. Members of the Board and their alternates shall act independently and objectively in the interests of the Union, regardless of any particular national or personal interests. They shall neither seek nor take instructions from any government, institution, person or body.	3. Members of the Board and their alternates shall act independently and objectively in the interests of the Union, regardless of any particular national or personal interests. They shall neither seek nor take instructions from any government, institution, person or body.	3. Members of the Board ~~and their alternates~~ shall act independently and objectively in the interests of the Union, regardless of any particular national or personal interests. They shall neither seek nor take instructions from any government, institution, person or body.	3. Members of the Board <u>and their alternates</u> shall act independently and objectively in the interests of the Union, regardless of any particular national or personal interests. They shall neither seek nor take instructions from any government, institution, person or body.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 67(4)			
G	683 4. An up-to-date list of members of the Board and their alternates, together with their declarations of interest, shall be made public by the Secretariat of the ENRRB.	4. An up-to-date list of members of the Board and their alternates, together with their declarations of interest, shall be made public by the Secretariat of the ENRRB.	4. An up-to-date list of representatives of the members of the Board and their alternates, together with their declarations of interest, shall be made public by the Secretariat of the ENRRB.	4. An up-to-date list of ~~representatives of the~~ members of the Board and their alternates, together with their declarations of interest, <u>and contact details</u> shall be made ~~public~~ <u>publicly available</u> by the Secretariat of the ENRRB. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 67(5)			
R	683a	<u>4a. The Board shall adopt its rules of procedure, following approval by the Commission.</u> moved from 691 (15/07)	5. After consulting the Commission, the Board shall adopt and publish its rules of procedure. The rules of procedure may establish more detailed voting arrangements, in particular the procedure for voting on urgent matters.	
	Article 67(6)			
G	683b		6. The Board shall take decisions by a majority of two-thirds of its members, unless otherwise provided for in the rules of procedure. Each member shall have one vote. In the absence of a member representative, the alternate	6. The Board shall take decisions by a majority of two-thirds of its members, unless otherwise provided for in the rules of procedure. Each member shall have one vote. In the absence of a member representative, the alternate shall be entitled to exercise the right to vote.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			shall be entitled to exercise the right to vote.	agreed at 2nd trilogue
	Article 67(7)			
R	683c	<u>4b. The Commission shall attend, support and facilitate coordination during the meetings of the Board. It shall have no voting right.</u> moved from line 692 (15/07)	7. The Commission shall be a non-voting member of the Board. It shall coordinate and support the work of the Board and make recommendations to the Board, as appropriate.	~~74c. The Commission shall be a non-voting member of the Board.~~ # shall coordinate and support the work of the Board and make recommendations to the Board, as appropriate. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 Issue of the chair to be resolved
	Article 67(8)			
G	683d		8. The Secretariat shall provide the necessary services for organising the meetings and the work of the Board.	8. The Secretariat shall provide the necessary services for organising the meetings and the work of the Board. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 67(9), first subparagraph			
G	683e		9. In accordance with the Board's rules of procedure, the Board may decide to set up working groups to organise the work of the ENRRB on specific topics related to the implementation of this Regulation, in particular on	9. In accordance with the Board's rules of procedure, the Board may decide to set up working groups to organise the work of the ENRRB on specific topics related to the implementation of this Regulation, in particular on matters related to

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			matters related to the performance of rail infrastructure services.	the performance of rail infrastructure services. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 67(9), second subparagraph				
683f			The working groups shall be open to the participation of experts from rail regulatory bodies and the Commission. The experts from operational stakeholders and from other public or private bodies may be invited to participate in those working groups on an ad-hoc basis.	The working groups shall be open to the participation of experts from rail regulatory bodies and the Commission. The experts from operational stakeholders and from other public or private bodies may be invited to participate in those working groups on an ad-hoc basis. Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 68				
684	Article 68 Role and tasks of the Board	Article 68 Role and tasks of the Board	Article 68 Role and tasks of the Board	Article 68 Role and tasks of the Board Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 68(1)				
685	1. The Board shall perform all tasks of the ENRRB set out in this Regulation.	1. The Board shall perform all tasks of the ENRRB set out in this Regulation.	1. The Board shall perform all tasks of the ENRRB set out in this Regulation.	1. The Board shall perform all tasks of the ENRRB set out in this Regulation.
Article 68(2)				
686	2. In particular, the Board shall:	2. In particular, the Board shall:	2. In particular, the Board shall:	2. In particular, the Board shall:
Article 68(2), point (a)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 687	(a) adopt opinions or recommendations on complaints or investigations presented to it by its Chair or by a regulatory body or bodies in accordance with the rules laid down in Article 69;	(a) adopt opinions or recommendations on complaints or investigations presented to it by its Chair or by a regulatory body or bodies in accordance with the rules laid down in Article 69;	(a) adopt, in accordance with the procedural rules laid down in, or referred to in, Article 67, opinions or recommendations of the ENRRB on complaints or investigations ~~presented~~ transmitted to it by its ~~Chair or by a regulatory body or bodies in accordance with the rules laid down in~~ pursuant to Article ~~69~~ 64(3);	(a) adopt, in accordance with the procedural rules laid down in, or referred to in, Article ~~67~~ 67(6) or referred to in Article 67(5), opinions or recommendations of the ENRRB on complaints or investigations transmitted to it by a regulatory body or bodies pursuant to Article 64(3); Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 68(2), point (aa)				
G 687a		<u>(aa) adopt opinions and recommendations on decisions taken by ENIM working in cooperation with the network coordinator within the scope of this regulation</u>		<u>(aa) adopt opinions and recommendations on decisions taken by ENIM working in cooperation with the network coordinator within the scope of this regulation;</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 68(2), point (ab)				
G 687b		<u>(ab) following complaints from railway undertakings, operators of service facilities and other interested parties, adopt recommendations and opinions of the ENRRB in relation to the consultations referred to in Article 55 a, as well as to the decisions taken by ENIM</u>		<u>(ab) following complaints from railway undertakings, operators of service facilities and other interested parties, adopt recommendations and opinions of the ENRRB in relation to the consultations referred to in Article 55a.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
		<u>working in cooperation with the network coordinator.</u>		
	Article 68(2), point (b)			
G 688	(b) draft and adopt an annual report on the ENRRB's activities;	(b) draft and adopt an annual report on the ENRRB's activities;	(b) draft and adopt an annual report on the ENRRB's activities;	(b) draft and adopt an annual report on the ENRRB's activities;
	Article 68(2), point (c)			
G 689	(c) set up working groups and appoint their Chairs.	(c) set up working groups and appoint their Chairs.	(c) set up working groups and appoint their Chairs.	(c) set up working groups and appoint their Chairs.
	Article 68(2), point (ca)			
G 689a		<u>(ca) draft and adopt a recommendation to the ENIM as regards the establishment and review of the European framework for performance review.</u>		<u>(ca) ensure that ENRRB's methods of operation and all relevant information about its work including its opinions and recommendations are made publicly available on its website. It shall include contact details for complaints, contact information for dedicated working groups, and information about procedures.</u> Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 69			
G 690	Article 69 Organisation of the work of the Board	Article 69 Organisation of the work of the Board	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
	Article 69(1)			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
691	1. The Board shall adopt its rules of procedure, following approval by the Commission.	1. The Board shall adopt its rules of procedure, following approval by the Commission.	deleted	deleted <i>Should be covered under line 683a</i>
Article 69(1a)				
691a		<u>1a. The Board shall meet in regular intervals. It shall elect a Chair from among its members with a two-thirds majority of its members and shall serve a term of one year.</u>		deleted <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 69(2)				
692	2. The Commission shall chair the meetings of the Board. It shall have no voting right.	2. The Commission shall ~~chair~~ <u>attend, support and facilitate coordination during</u> the meetings of the Board. It shall have no voting right.	deleted	deleted <i>Should be covered under line 683a</i>
Article 69(3)				
693	3. The Secretariat shall provide the necessary services for organising the meetings and the work of the Board.	3. The Secretariat shall provide the necessary services for organising the meetings and the work of the Board.	deleted	deleted <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>
Article 69(4)				
694	4. The Board shall take decisions by a simple majority of its members, unless otherwise provided for in the rules of procedure.	4. The Board shall take decisions by a simple majority of its members, unless otherwise provided for in the rules of procedure.	deleted	deleted <i>Agreed at 3rd trilogue</i>

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
Article 69(5)								
G	695	5. Each member shall have one vote. In the absence of a member, the alternate shall be entitled to exercise the right to vote.		5. Each member shall have one vote. In the absence of a member, the alternate shall be entitled to exercise the right to vote.		deleted		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 69(6)								
G	696	6. The rules of procedure may establish more detailed voting arrangements, in particular the procedure for voting on urgent matters and on cases of recommendations on decisions of rail regulatory bodies.		6. The rules of procedure may establish more detailed voting arrangements, in particular the procedure for voting on urgent matters and on cases of recommendations on decisions of rail regulatory bodies.		deleted		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 70								
G	697	Article 70 Working groups of the ENRRB		Article 70 Working groups of the ENRRB		deleted		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 70(1)								
G	698	1. On its own initiative or upon a proposal by the Commission, and in accordance with the Board's rules of procedure, the Board may decide with a simple majority to set up working groups to organise the work of the ENRRB on specific topics related to the implementation of this Regulation.		1. On its own initiative or upon a proposal by the Commission, and in accordance with the Board's rules of procedure, the Board may decide with a simple majority to set up working groups to organise the work of the ENRRB on specific topics related to the implementation of this Regulation.		deleted		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Article 70(2)				
699	2. The Board shall define the mandate of the working group and appoint the Chairs of the working groups, representing, where possible, different rail regulatory bodies.	2. The Board shall define the mandate of the working group and appoint the Chairs of the working groups, representing, where possible, different rail regulatory bodies.	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 70(3)				
700	3. The working groups shall be open to the participation of experts from rail regulatory bodies, the Commission, operational stakeholders and, where relevant, from other public or private bodies.	3. The working groups shall be open, <u>upon invitation</u> , to the participation of experts from rail regulatory bodies, the Commission, ~~operational stakeholders~~ <u>ERA</u> , and, where relevant, from <u>operational stakeholders and from</u> other public or private bodies.	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 70(4)				
701	4. The Secretariat shall provide administrative support to the working groups.	4. The Secretariat shall provide administrative support to the working groups.	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 70(5)				
702	5. The Board or the Chairs of the working groups may invite individual experts recognised as competent in the relevant field to participate in the working group meetings if necessary on a case-by-case basis.	5. The Board or the Chairs of the working groups may invite <u>experts from rail regulatory bodies, the Commission, ERA, operational stakeholders and - where relevant - from other public or private bodies as well as</u>	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
				individual experts recognised as competent in the relevant field to participate in the working group meetings if necessary on a case-by-case basis.				
		CHAPTER VII						
G	703	CHAPTER VII FINAL PROVISIONS		CHAPTER VII FINAL PROVISIONS		CHAPTER VII FINAL PROVISIONS		CHAPTER VII FINAL PROVISIONS
		Article 70a						
Y	703a							<u>Article 70a</u> <u>Monitoring of European frameworks</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29
		Article 70a(1)						
Y	703b							<u>1. The Advisory Performance Panel shall monitor the adoption and application of the European framework on capacity management and the elements thereof referred to in Article 6, the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management and the elements thereof referred to in Article 44, and the European framework for performance review and the</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>elements thereof referred to in Article 50.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29
Article 70a(2)				
703c				<u>2. The Advisory Performance Panel shall, within 12 months after the adoption by ENIM of the frameworks referred in the previous paragraph, present to ENIM and the Commission a report on the application of these frameworks evaluating their effectiveness. The report may also include recommendations on how to address specific shortcomings. Where the Advisory Performance Panel has identified significant shortcomings in the frameworks, it shall ask ENIM to address them, updating accordingly the relevant framework, within [3] months.</u> <u>The report shall be made publicly available.</u> <u>The Advisory Performance Panel shall issue a report at least every three years.</u>

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
								Provisionally agreed in ITM 29
		Article 70a(3)						
Y		703d						<u>3. The Advisory Performance Panel shall closely cooperate with national regulatory bodies and the ENRRB when monitoring the frameworks and preparing the report. The Advisory Performance Panel may consult ERP and other operational stakeholders, where relevant.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29
		Article 70b						
Y		703e						<u>Article 70b</u> <u>[Implementing] acts relating to the monitoring of the European frameworks</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29
		Article 70b(1)						
Y		703f						<u>1. The Commission shall adopt [no later than 18 months from the moment when ENIM is to adopt the relevant framework] an [implementing] act setting out the European frameworks referred to in Articles 6, 44 and</u>

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement	
								<u>50, or elements thereof, in the following cases:</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29	
		Article 70b(1), point a							
Y		703g						<u>a. where ENIM fails to adopt the European framework on capacity management, or elements thereof, referred to in Article 6 within 18 months of the entry into force of this Regulation;</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29	Y
		Article 70b(1), point b							
Y		703h						<u>b. where ENIM fails to adopt the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, or elements thereof, referred to in Article 44 within [24] months of the entry into force of this Regulation;</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29	Y
		Article 70b(1), point c							
Y		703i						<u>c. where ENIM fails to adopt the European framework for performance review, or</u>	Y

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
								<u>elements thereof, referred to in Article 50 within [24] months of the entry into force of this Regulation.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29
		Article 70b(2)						
Y		703j						<u>2. The Commission shall adopt an [implementing] act [no later than 12 months (9+3)] setting out the European frameworks, or elements thereof, referred to in Articles 6, 44 and 50, where the Commission concludes, taking into account the report from the Advisory Performance Panel referred to in Article 70a, that:</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29
		Article 70b(2), point a						
Y		703k						<u>a. the common principles and procedures, as set out in the European framework on capacity management, are inadequate to meet their intended objectives and to ensure the management of rail infrastructure capacity or the coordination between infrastructure managers and other operational stakeholders;</u>

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
								Provisionally agreed in ITM 29
Article 70b(2), point b								
Y	703l							<u>b. the guidelines, as set out in the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, are inadequate to meet their intended objectives and to ensure cross-border traffic management between infrastructure managers, railway undertakings and other operational stakeholders; or</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29
Article 70b(2), point c								
Y	703m							<u>c. the common principles and procedures, as set out in the European framework for performance review, are inadequate to meet their intended objectives and to ensure performance review of the infrastructure managers falling under this Regulation; or</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29
Article 70b(2), point d								

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement	
Y		703n						<u>d. the European frameworks are not sufficient to ensure the uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29	Y
		Article 70b(2), sixth subparagraph							
		703o						<u>e. ENIM did not sufficiently address within the [3] month deadline the shortcomings identified by the Advisory Performance Panel in the report referred to in Article 70a(2).</u>	
		Article 70b(3)							
Y		703p						<u>3. Those [implementing] acts shall be adopted in accordance with the [examination procedure referred to in Article 72(3)].</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 29	Y
		Article 70b(4)							
Y		703q						<u>4. In the preparation of the draft [implementing] acts, the Commission shall take into account the work of ENIM and the report from the Advisory Performance Panel, where available.</u>	Y

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Provisionally agreed in ITM 29
Article 71				
704	Article 71 Exercise of the delegation	Article 71 Exercise of the delegation	Article 71 Exercise of the delegation	Article 71 Exercise of the delegation
Article 71(1)				
705	1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.	1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.	1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.	1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.
Article 71(2)				
706	2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Article 10(8), Article 11(2), Article 21(9), Article 38(3), Article 39(9), Article 46(6), Article 48(3) and Article 53(5) shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of five years from [1 January 2026]. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the five-year period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such	2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Article <u>6(4a), Article 8(7), Article 10(8), Article 11(2) and (4a), Article 12(9a), Article 18(10a), Article 19(5), Article 20(4a), Article 21(9), Article 38(3), Article 39(9), Article 39(8a) and (9), Article 40(7), Article 41 (3), Article 44(3a), Article 46(6), Article 48(3) and Article 52 (1), Article 53(5) and Article 62(5a),</u> and shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of five years from [1 January 2026]. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months	2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Article 10(8), Article 11(2), Article 21(9), Article 38(3), Article 39(9), ~~Article 46(6), and Article 48(3) and Article 53(5)~~ shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of five years from [1 January ~~2026~~ 2029]. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the five-year period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such	2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Article 10(8), Article 11(2), Article 21(9), Article 38(3), Article 39(9), and Article 48(3) shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of five years from [1 January 2029]. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the five-year period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	extension not later than three months before the end of each period.	before the end of the five-year period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period.	extension not later than three months before the end of each period.	months before the end of each period. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) needs to be updated once it is decided which empowerments will be for a delegated act
Article 71(3)				
706a			3. The delegation of powers referred to in Article 10(8), Article 11(2), Article 21(9), Article 38(3), Article 39(9) and Article 48(3) may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.	~~3.2a.~~ The delegation of powers referred to in <u>Article 10(8), Article 11(2), Article 21(9), Article 38(3), Article 39(9) and Article 48(3)</u> may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 Update the articles
Article 71(4)				

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement	
G	706b				4.	Before adopting a delegated act, the Commission shall consult experts designated by each Member State in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making.	4.	Before adopting a delegated act, the Commission shall consult experts designated by each Member State in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32	G
	Article 71(5)								
G	706c				5.	As soon as it adopts a delegated act, the Commission shall notify it simultaneously to the European Parliament and to the Council.	5.	As soon as it adopts a delegated act, the Commission shall notify it simultaneously to the European Parliament and to the Council. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32	G
	Article 71(6)								
Y	706d				6.	A delegated act adopted pursuant to Article 10(8), Article 11(2), Article 21(9), Article 38(3), Article 39(9) and Article 48(3) shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or by the Council within a period of two months of notification of that act to the European Parliament and the Council or if, before the	~~62d.~~	A delegated act adopted pursuant to <u>Article 10(8)</u> , Article 11(2), Article 21(9), Article 38(3), Article 39(9) and Article 48(3) <u>L</u> shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or by the Council within a period of two months of notification of that act to the European Parliament and the Council or if, before the expiry of that period,	Y

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council.	the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 Update the articles
Article 72				
707	Article 72 Committee procedure	Article 72 Committee procedure	Article 72 Committee procedure	Article 72 Committee procedure
Article 72(1)				
G 708	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee referred to in Article 62 of Directive 2012/34/EU. That committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee referred to in Article 62 of Directive 2012/34/EU. That committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee referred to in Article 62 of Directive 2012/34/EU. That committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee referred to in Article 62 of Directive 2012/34/EU. That committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.
Article 72(2)				
R 709	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 4 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 4 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	deleted	
Article 72(3)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	710 3. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	3. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	3. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	3. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	G
Article 72(3), second subparagraph					
R	710a		Where the Committee delivers no opinion, the Commission shall not adopt the draft implementing act and Article 5(4), third subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.		R
Article 73					
	711 Article 73 Report and review	Article 73 Report and review	Article 73 Report and review	Article 73 Report and review	
Article 73, first paragraph					
Y	712 By [31 December 2030], the Commission shall evaluate the impact of this Regulation on the rail sector and shall submit to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions a report on its implementation.	By [31 December 2030], the Commission shall evaluate the impact of this Regulation on the rail sector and shall submit to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions a report on its implementation.	By [~~31 December 2030~~ 10 years after entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall evaluate the impact of this Regulation on the rail sector and shall submit to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions a report on its implementation.	By [~~3-5~~ 10 years after ~~entry into force of this~~ full application of the Regulation], the Commission shall evaluate the impact of this Regulation on the rail sector and shall submit to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions a report on its implementation. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32	Y

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				(22/10) 3-5 years after the Regulation has applied in full ??
	Article 73, second paragraph			
G	713 The report shall, in particular, evaluate:	The report shall, in particular, evaluate:	The report shall, in particular, evaluate:	The report shall, in particular, evaluate:
	Article 73, second paragraph, point (a)			
G	714 (a) the impact of this Regulation on the performance of rail infrastructure services;	(a) the impact of this Regulation on the performance of rail infrastructure services;	(a) the impact of this Regulation on the performance of rail infrastructure services;	(a) the impact of this Regulation on the performance of rail infrastructure services;
	Article 73, second paragraph, point (b)			
G	715 (b) the impact of this Regulation on the development of rail services, notably international services, long-distance services and freight services;	(b) the impact of this Regulation on the development of rail services, notably international services, long-distance services and freight services;	(b) the impact of this Regulation on the development of rail transport services, notably international services, long-distance services and freight services;	(b) the impact of this Regulation on the development of rail transport services, notably international services, long-distance services and freight services; Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
	Article 73, second paragraph, point (ba)			
G	715a		(ba) the financial and administrative impacts of this Regulation on the infrastructure managers and other operational stakeholders, regulatory bodies and Member States authorities;	<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
	Article 73, second paragraph, point (c)			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
716	(c) the work of the European Network of Infrastructure Managers, of the Network Coordinator, the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies and the Performance Review Body in general and in relation to the development, adoption and implementation of common criteria, methodologies and procedures.	(c) the work of the European Network of Infrastructure Managers, of the Network Coordinator, the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies and the Performance Review Body in general and in relation to the development, adoption and implementation of common criteria, methodologies and procedures.	(c) the work of the European Network of Infrastructure Managers, of the Network Coordinator, the European Network of Rail Regulatory Bodies and the Performance Review Body and of the ENRRB in general and in relation to the development, adoption and implementation of common criteria, methodologies and procedures-;	(c) the work of ENIM, of the Network Coordinator and of the ENRRB in general and in relation to the development, adoption and implementation of common criteria, methodologies and procedures; Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 73, second paragraph, point (d)				
717	(d) the need to reinforce coordination mechanisms by replacing elements of the European frameworks referred to in Articles 6 and 44 with binding rules;	(d) the need to reinforce coordination mechanisms by replacing elements of the European frameworks referred to in Articles 6 and 44 with binding rules;	(d) the need to reinforce effectiveness of the coordination mechanisms by replacing elements of the European frameworks for capacity management referred to in Articles 6 and 44 with binding rules Article 6, the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 and the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50;	(d) the effectiveness of the coordination mechanisms within the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6, the European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic management, disruption management and crisis management referred to in Article 44 and the European framework for performance review referred to in Article 50; Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 73, second paragraph, point (e)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
718	(e) the need to strengthen regulatory oversight by establishing a Union rail regulatory body.	(e) the need to strengthen regulatory oversight by establishing a Union rail regulatory body.	<i>deleted</i>	<u>(e) the effectiveness of the regulatory oversight at national and European level;</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 73, second paragraph, point (e)				
718a			(e) the functioning of the socioeconomic, operational and environmental criteria, and the need to submit, if appropriate, a proposal for possible amendments to Articles 25 and 37.	~~(e)(ea)~~ the functioning of the ~~socioeconomic~~ <u>socio-economic</u> , operational and environmental criteria, ~~and the need to submit, if appropriate, a proposal for possible amendments to Articles 25 and 37.~~ Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 73, second paragraph, point (eb)				
718b				<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 74				
719	Article 74 Amendments to Directive 2012/34/EU	Article 74 Amendments to Directive 2012/34/EU	Article 74 Amendments to Directive 2012/34/EU	Article 74 Amendments to Directive 2012/34/EU
Article 74(1)				
720	1. Directive 2012/34/EU is amended as follows:	1. Directive 2012/34/EU is amended as follows:	1. Directive 2012/34/EU is amended as follows:	1. Directive 2012/34/EU is amended as follows:
Article 74(1), point (a)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
721	(a) In Article 1, point (c) is replaced by the following:	(a) In Article 1, point (c) is replaced by the following:	(a) In Article 1, point (c) is replaced by the following:	(a) In Article 1, point (c) is replaced by the following:	
Article 74(1), point (a), amending provision, numbered paragraph (c)					
722	(c) the principles and procedures applicable to the setting and collecting of railway infrastructure charges as set out in Chapter IV.	(c) the principles and procedures applicable to the setting and collecting of railway infrastructure charges as set out in Chapter IV.	(c) the principles and procedures applicable to the setting and collecting of railway infrastructure charges as set out in Chapter IV.	(c) the principles and procedures applicable to the setting and collecting of railway infrastructure charges as set out in Chapter IV.	
Article 74(1), point (b)					
723	(b) In Article 2, paragraph 6 is deleted;	(b) In Article 2, paragraph 6 is deleted;	(b) In Article 2, paragraph 6 is deleted;	(b) In Article 2, paragraph 6 is deleted;	
Article 74(1), point (c)					
724	(c) points (20), (22), (23), (27) and (28) are deleted;	(c) <u>In Article 3</u> , points (20), (22), (23), (27) and (28) are deleted;	(c) In Article 3 , points (20), (22), (23), (27) and (28) are deleted;	(c) In Article 3, points (20), (22), (23), (27) and (28) are deleted;	
Article 74(1), point (d)					
725	(d) Article 7b is deleted;	(d) Article 7b is deleted;	(d) Article 7b is deleted;	(d) Article 7b is deleted;	
Article 74(1), point (da)					
725a			(da) Article 27(2) is replaced by the following:	(da) Article 27(2) is replaced by the following: Provisionally agreed in ITM 32	
Article 74(1), point (da), amending provision, numbered paragraph (2)					
725b					

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			2. The network statement shall contain information setting out the conditions for access to the relevant railway infrastructure. The network statement shall also contain information setting out the conditions for access to service facilities connected to the network of the infrastructure manager and for supply of services in these facilities or indicate a website where such information is made available free of charge in electronic format. The content of the network statement is laid down in Annex IV.	2. The network statement shall contain information setting out the conditions for access to the relevant railway infrastructure. The network statement shall also contain information setting out the conditions for access to service facilities connected to the network of the infrastructure manager and for supply of services in these facilities or indicate a website where such information is made available free of charge in electronic format. The content of the network statement is laid down in Annex IV. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
	Article 74(1), point (e)			
G	726 (e) Article 36 is deleted;	(e) Article 36 is deleted;	(e) Article 36 is deleted;	(e) Article 36 is deleted;
	Article 74(1), point (f)			
G	727 (f) Articles 38 to 54 are deleted;	(f) Articles 38 to 54 are deleted;	(f) Articles 38 to 54 are deleted;	(f) Articles 38 to 54 are deleted;
	Article 74(1), point (g)			
G	728 (g) In Annex IV, points (1) and (3) are deleted;	(g) In Annex IV, points (1) and (3) are deleted;	(g) In Annex IV, points (1) and (3) are deleted;	(g) In Annex IV, points (1) and (3) are deleted;
	Article 74(1), point (h)			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 729	(h) Annex VII is deleted.	(h) Annex VII is deleted.	(h) Annex VII is deleted.	(h) Annex VII is deleted.
Article 74(2)				
G 730	2. References to the deleted provisions of Directive 2012/34/EU shall be construed as references to this Regulation and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in Section 1 of Annex X.	2. References to the deleted provisions of Directive 2012/34/EU shall be construed as references to this Regulation and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in Section 1 of Annex X.	2. References to the deleted provisions of Directive 2012/34/EU shall be construed as references to this Regulation and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in section 1 of Annex X.	2. References to the deleted provisions of Directive 2012/34/EU shall be construed as references to this Regulation and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in Section 1 of Annex X.
Article 74a				
G 730a		<u>Article 74a Amendments to Regulation (EU) 2016/796</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Article 74a, first paragraph				
G 730b		<u>1. Regulation (EU) 2016/796 is amended as follows: a) In Article 19 (1), the following point is added:: (m) issue recommendations to the Commission, upon request, regarding the drafting and updating of implementing and delegated acts provided for in Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council (EU) .../.../Regulation on the use of railways infrastructure capacity in the single European railways area, amending</u>		deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.CEU	Draft Agreement
				<u>Directive 2012/34/EU and repealing Regulation (EU) No 913/2010</u>				
		Article 74b						
Y	730c			<u>Article 74b Amendments to Directive (EU) 2016/797</u>				deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) Commission is checking if we need this, or not
		Article 74b, first paragraph						
Y	730d			<u>1. Directive (EU) 2016/797 is amended as follows:</u> <u>(a) In point 2 of Annex II, the following point is added:</u> <u>2.9 Use of railway infrastructure capacity: the structures, bodies and procedures referred to in Regulation (EU) .../... of the European Parliament and of the Council [this Regulation]</u>				deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) Commission is checking if we need this, or not
		Article 75						
G	731	Article 75 Transitional provisions		Article 75 Transitional provisions		Article 75 Transitional provisions		Article 75 Transitional provisions
		Article 75(1)						

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G	732 1. Framework agreements concluded in accordance with Article 42 of Directive 2012/34/EU shall continue to apply until their expiration date.	1. Framework agreements concluded in accordance with Article 42 of Directive 2012/34/EU <u>before 1 January 2026</u> shall continue to apply until their expiration date.	1. Framework agreements concluded in accordance with Article 42 of Directive 2012/34/EU shall continue to apply until their expiration date.	1. Framework agreements concluded in accordance with Article 42 of Directive 2012/34/EU shall continue to apply until their expiration date. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Article 75(2)				
Y	733 2. Article 3, points (20), (22), (23), (27) and (28), Articles 7b, 36 and 38 to 54, Annex IV point (3) and Annex VII of Directive 2012/34/EU shall not apply to activities and tasks carried out in relation to the working timetables entering into force after [8 December 2029].	2. Article 3, points (20), (22), (23), (27) and (28), Articles 7b, 36 and 38 to 54, Annex IV point (3) and Annex VII of Directive 2012/34/EU shall not apply to activities and tasks carried out in relation to the working timetables entering into force after [8 December 2029].	2. Article 3, points (20), (22), (23), (27) and (28), Articles 7b, 36 and 38 to 54, Annex IV point (3) and Annex VII of Directive 2012/34/EU shall not apply to activities and tasks carried out in relation to the working timetables entering into force on or after [~~8~~ 13 December ~~2029~~ 2031].	2. Article 3, points (20), (22), (23), (27) and (28), Articles 7b, 36 and 38 to 54, Annex IV point (3) and Annex VII of Directive 2012/34/EU shall not apply to activities and tasks carried out in relation to the working timetables entering into force on or after [13 December 2031]. Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 Date to determined, linked to the entry into force of the next working timetable
Article 75(3)				
G	733a		3. The Commission shall, by [2 years after the date referred in Article 77(2), first subparagraph], submit a report to the Council and the European Parliament on the monitoring of	<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 32

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			the transition provisions referred to in Article 75.	
Article 76				
734	Article 76 Repeal	Article 76 Repeal	Article 76 Repeal	Article 76 Repeal
Article 76(1)				
735	1. Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 is repealed with effect from [9 December 2029].	1. Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 is repealed with effect from [9 December 2029].	1. Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 is repealed with effect from [98 December 2029].	1. Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 is repealed with effect from [8 December 2029]. (22/10) Commission is looking into the consequences of repealing the Rail freight corridors regulation
Article 76(2)				
736	2. References to the repealed Regulation shall be construed as references to this Regulation and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in Section 2 of Annex X.	2. References to the repealed Regulation shall be construed as references to this Regulation and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in Section 2 of Annex X.	2. References to the repealed Regulation shall be construed as references to this Regulation and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in Section 2 of Annex X.	2. References to the repealed Regulation shall be construed as references to this Regulation and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in Section 2 of Annex X.
Article 77				
737	Article 77 Entry into force and application	Article 77 Entry into force and application	Article 77 Entry into force and application	Article 77 Entry into force and application
Article 77(1)				
738	1. This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in	1. This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in	1. This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in	1. This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
	the Official Journal of the European Union.	the Official Journal of the European Union.	the Official Journal of the European Union.	the Official Journal of the European Union.	
	Article 77(2), first subparagraph				
Y	739	2. It shall apply from [1 January 2026]. However:	2. It shall apply from [1 January ~~2026~~ 2029]. However:		Y
	Article 77(2), first subparagraph, point (a)				
Y	740	(a) Articles 1, 2 and 3, Chapter II, with the exception of Article 9(1) and (2) and Article 27(4), and Chapter III, with the exception of Article 48, of this Regulation shall apply only to activities and tasks carried out in relation to the working timetables entering into force after [8 December 2029];	(a) Articles 1, 2 and 3, Chapter II, with the exception of Article 9(1) and (2) and ~~Article 27(4)~~ Articles 26, 27, 28, 31, 35, 39 and 40, and Chapter III, with the exception of Article 48, ~~of this Regulation~~ shall apply only to activities and tasks carried out in relation to the working timetables entering into force after ~~8~~ December ~~2029~~ 2027;	(a) Articles 1, 2 and 3, Chapter II, with the exception of Article 9(1) and (2) and Article 27(4), and Chapter III, with the exception of Article 48, of this Regulation shall apply only to activities and tasks carried out in relation to the working timetables entering into force ~~on or after~~ [813 December ~~2029~~ 2031];	Y
	Article 77(2), first subparagraph, point (b)				
Y	741	(b) Article 9(1) and (2) shall apply from [1 January 2028];	(b) Article 9(1) and (2) shall apply from ~~1~~ January ~~2028~~ 2027;	(b) Article 9(1) and (2) shall apply from [1 January ~~2028~~ 2030];	Y
	Article 77(2), first subparagraph, point (c)				
G	742	(c) Article 27(4) shall apply from [1 March 2026];	(c) Article 27(4) shall apply from [1 March 2026];	deleted	G
	Article 77(2), first subparagraph, point (ca)				
				Provisionally agreed in ITM 32	

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
Y	742a	<u>(ca) Article 35 shall apply from 1 December 2028;</u> (22/10) Should be part of line 740			Y
Article 77(2), first subparagraph, point (cb)					
Y	742b	<u>(cb) Article 40 shall apply from 1 December 2027;</u> (22/10) Should be part of line 740			Y
Article 77(2), first subparagraph, point (d)					
Y	743	(d) Articles 48 and 62 shall apply from [13 December 2026];	(d) Articles 48 and 62 shall apply from [13 December 2026];	(d) Articles 27(4), 28(0), 48 and 62 shall apply from [4331 December 20262029]; Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 Deadlines to be decided later	Y
Article 77(2), first subparagraph, point (e)					
Y	744	(e) Article 74 shall apply from [9 December 2029].	(e) Article 74 shall apply from ~~f~~ 9 December ~~2029~~ 2027.	(e) Article 74 shall apply from [913 December 20292031].	Y
Article 77(2), second subparagraph					
G	745	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	G
Formula					
G	746	Done at Strasbourg,	Done at Strasbourg,	Done at ~~Strasbourg~~ ...,	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
	Formula				
G 747	For the European Parliament	For the European Parliament	For the European Parliament	For the European Parliament	G
	Formula				
G 748	The President	The President	The President	The President	G
	Formula				
G 749	For the Council	For the Council	For the Council	For the Council	G
	Formula				
G 750	The President	The President	The President	The President	G
	Annex I				
751	Annex I	Annex I	Annex IDELIVERABLES AND SCHEDULE FOR CAPACITY MANAGEMENT REFERRED TO IN ARTICLES 11, 16, 18 AND 38	Annex IDELIVERABLES AND SCHEDULE FOR CAPACITY MANAGEMENT REFERRED TO IN ARTICLES 11, 16, 18 AND 38 (15/10) we need to check the reference to articles in this title. Article 17 seems to be missing. Should we also mentiong Article 39?	
	Annex I, first paragraph				
752	DELIVERABLES AND SCHEDULE FOR CAPACITY MANAGEMENT	DELIVERABLES AND SCHEDULE FOR CAPACITY MANAGEMENT	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i>	
	Annex I, second paragraph				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
753	REFERRED TO IN ARTICLES 11, 16, 18 AND 38	REFERRED TO IN ARTICLES 11, 16, 18 AND 38	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i>
Annex I, section 1.				
754	1. Deliverables to be prepared by infrastructure managers in strategic capacity planning referred to in articles 11, 16, 17 and 18	1. Deliverables to be prepared by infrastructure managers in strategic capacity planning referred to in articles 11, 16, 17 and 18	1. Deliverables to be prepared by infrastructure managers in strategic capacity planning referred to in articles 11, 16, 17 and 18	1. Deliverables to be prepared by infrastructure managers in strategic capacity planning referred to in articles 11, 16, 17 and 18 Provisionally agreed in ITM 20
Annex I, section 1., Table 1				
755	Table 1	Table 1	Table 1	Table 1 Provisionally agreed in ITM 20
Annex I, section 2.				
756	2. Schedule for strategic capacity management referred to in articles 11, 16, 17 and 18	2. Schedule for strategic capacity management referred to in articles 11, 16, 17 and 18	2. Schedule for strategic capacity management referred to in articles 11, 16, 17 and 18	2. Schedule for strategic capacity management referred to in articles 11, 16, 17 and 18 Provisionally agreed in ITM 20
Annex I, section 2, first paragraph				
757	1. When preparing the deliverables of strategic capacity planning for a given working timetable period, infrastructure managers shall respect the schedule set out in this section.	1. When preparing the deliverables of strategic capacity planning for a given working timetable period, infrastructure managers shall respect the schedule set out in this section.	1. When preparing the deliverables of strategic capacity planning for a given working timetable period, infrastructure managers shall respect the schedule set out in this section.	1. When preparing the deliverables of strategic capacity planning for a given working timetable period, infrastructure managers shall respect the schedule set out in this section.
Annex I, Section 2, first paragraph, first subparagraph				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
758	Infrastructure managers may define earlier deadlines. Such deadlines shall be harmonised at EU level and included in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	Infrastructure managers may define earlier deadlines. Such deadlines shall be harmonised at EU level and included in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	Infrastructure managers may define earlier deadlines. Such earlier deadlines shall be ~~harmonised at EU level~~ coordinated by ENIM and included in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6.	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 20</i>
Annex I, Section 2, first paragraph, second subparagraph				
759	The consultation of stakeholders shall be carried out in accordance with Article 54 and shall involve at least railway undertakings and other applicants, operational stakeholders and public authorities. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate the deliverables on a continuous basis when coordinating in accordance with Article 53.	The consultation of stakeholders shall be carried out in accordance with Article 54 and shall involve at least railway undertakings and other applicants, operational stakeholders and public authorities. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate the deliverables on a continuous basis when coordinating in accordance with Article 53.	The consultation of stakeholders regarding European and cross-border matters shall be carried out in accordance with Article 54 and shall involve ~~at least railway undertakings and other applicants,~~ all operational stakeholders ~~and public authorities.~~ Infrastructure managers shall coordinate the deliverables on a continuous basis ~~when coordinating in accordance with~~ within the framework of the coordination process provided by Article 53.	The consultation of stakeholders regarding European and cross-border matters shall be carried out in accordance with Article 54 and shall involve all operational stakeholders. Infrastructure managers shall coordinate the deliverables on a continuous basis in the framework of the coordination process provided by Article 53. <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 20</i>
Annex I, section 2, Table 2				
760	Table 2	Table 2	Table 2	Table 2 <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 20</i>
Annex I, section 2, second paragraph				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G	761 2. By way of derogation to point 1, the following simplified and shortened schedule applies to the deliverable ‘Capacity strategy’ in relation to the working timetable periods starting in December 2029 and December 2030:	2. By way of derogation to point 1, the following simplified and shortened schedule applies to the deliverable ‘Capacity strategy’ in relation to the working timetable periods starting in December 2029 and December 2030:	2. By way of derogation to point 1, the following simplified and shortened schedule applies to the deliverable ‘Capacity strategy’ in relation to the working timetable periods starting in December ~~2029~~ 2030 and December ~~2030~~ 2031:	2. By way of derogation to point 1, the following simplified and shortened schedule applies to the deliverable ‘Capacity strategy’ in relation to the working timetable periods starting in December ~~2030~~ 2030 and December ~~2031~~ 2031: Provisionally agreed in ITM 20 The implementation deadline is still an outstanding issue
	Annex I, section 2, Table 3			
G	762 Table 3	Table 3	Table 3	Table 3 Provisionally agreed in ITM 20
	Annex I, section 3			
Y	763 3. Schedule for coordination, consultation and publication of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works referred to in Articles 10 and 35	3. Schedule for coordination, consultation and publication of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works referred to in Articles 10 and 35	3. Schedule and requirements for coordination, consultation and publication of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works referred to in Articles 10 and 35	3. Schedule and requirements for coordination, consultation and publication of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works referred to in Articles 10 and 35 Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
	Annex I, section 3, point 0			
Y	763a		0. Capacity restrictions shall be classified depending on their duration in number of	Capacity restrictions shall be classified depending on their duration <i>in number of consecutive</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			consecutive days and their impact on traffic (estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day that is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport) according to the following table.	~~days~~ and their impact on traffic (estimated <u>affected</u> traffic volume on a railway line per day ~~that is cancelled~~ , ~~re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport~~) according to the following table. Provisionally agreed in ITM 27 (30/09) Lines in section 3 are coloured YELLOW, because they are linked to the issue of penalties, and subject to an overall agreement.
Annex I, section 3, Table 3a				
763b			Table 3a	Table 3a Provisionally agreed in ITM 27 (30/09) conceptual agreement on the table and the categories / deadlines remain open
Annex I, section 3, point 1				
764	1. As regards temporary restrictions of the capacity of railway lines, for reasons such as infrastructure works, including associated speed restrictions, axle load, train length, traction, or structure gauge ('capacity restrictions'), of a duration of more than 7 consecutive days and for which more than 30 % of the	1. As regards temporary restrictions of the capacity of railway lines, for reasons such as infrastructure works, including associated speed restrictions, axle load, train length, traction, or structure gauge ('capacity restrictions'), of a duration of more than 7 consecutive days and for which more than 30 % of the	1. As regards ~~temporary capacity~~ restrictions of the capacity of railway lines, for reasons such as infrastructure works, including associated speed restrictions, axle load, train length, traction, or structure gauge ('capacity restrictions'), of a duration of more than 7 consecutive days and for which	1. ~~As regards Capacity~~ <u>restrictions shall be published in accordance with the deadlines set out in table 3a.</u> <u>Capacity restrictions with high or major impact shall be included in the capacity model referred to in Article 17.</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport, the infrastructure managers concerned shall publish all capacity restrictions and the preliminary results of a consultation with the applicants for a first time at least 24 months and, in an updated form, for a second time at least 12 months before the change of the working timetable concerned. These infrastructure restrictions shall be included in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport, the infrastructure managers concerned shall publish all capacity restrictions, <u>as far as they are known</u>, and the preliminary results of a consultation with the applicants for a first time at least 24 months and, in an updated form, for a second time at least 12 months before the change of the working timetable concerned. These infrastructure restrictions shall be included in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.	~~more than 30 % of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport,~~ that have a high or a major impact the infrastructure managers concerned shall publish all capacity restrictions and the preliminary results of a consultation with the applicants for a first time at least 24 months to the extent they are known and, in an updated form, for a second time at least 12 months before the change of the working timetable concerned. ~~These infrastructure restrictions shall be included in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.~~	<u><i>Capacity restrictions with a medium, high or major impact shall be included in the capacity supply plan referred to in Article 18.</i></u> <u><i>When publishing</i></u> capacity restrictions ~~of railway lines with medium, for reasons such as infrastructure works, including associated speed restrictions, axle load, train length, traction, or structure gauge ('capacity restrictions')~~, that have a high or a major impact ~~the~~ infrastructure managers ~~concerned~~ shall ~~publish~~ <u>also provide information about alternative</u> capacity ~~restrictions~~ <u>and the preliminary results of a consultation with the</u> <u>which is available to interested</u> applicants ~~for a first time at least 24 months to the extent they are known and, in an updated form, for a second time at least 12 months before the change of the working timetable concerned.~~ Provisionally agreed in ITM 27 (13/10) provisionally agreed to include 'medium, high or major' as the general rule

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Annex I, section 3, point 2				
765	2. As part of the coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53, the entities designated in paragraph 5 of that Article shall also jointly discuss those capacity restrictions, if the impact of the capacity restrictions is not limited to one network, with interested applicants and the main operators of service facilities concerned when they are published for the first time.	2. As part of the coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53, the entities designated in paragraph 5 of that Article shall also jointly discuss those capacity restrictions, if the impact of the capacity restrictions is not limited to one network, with interested applicants and the main operators of service facilities concerned when they are published for the first time.	2. As part of the coordination between themselves , infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53, the entities designated in paragraph 5 of that Article shall also jointly discuss those capacity restrictions, if the impact of the capacity restrictions is not limited to one network, with interested applicants and the main operators of service facilities concerned when they are published for the first time.	2. ~~As part of the coordination between themselves,~~ Infrastructure managers shall ~~jointly discuss those~~ <u>coordinate</u> capacity restrictions <u>among themselves</u> , if the impact of the capacity restrictions is not limited to one network, <u>in accordance with Article 53 and with the deadlines set out in table 3a. For capacity restrictions [with a high or major impact],</u> ~~with~~ interested applicants and ~~the main~~ operators of service facilities concerned ~~when they are published for the first time~~ <u>shall be invited to participate in that coordination.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 27 (30/09) the issue remaining is when applicants can participate in the coordination - linked to the deadlines in table 3a.
Annex I, section 3, point 3				
766	3. When publishing capacity restrictions in accordance with point (1) for a first time, the infrastructure manager shall launch a consultation with the applicants and the main operators	3. When publishing capacity restrictions in accordance with point (1) for a first time, the infrastructure manager shall launch a consultation with the applicants and the main operators	3. When publishing capacity restrictions in accordance with point (1) for a first time, the infrastructure manager shall launch a consultation with the applicants and the main operators	3. ~~When~~ <u>Before</u> publishing capacity restrictions ~~in accordance with point (1) for a first time,~~ the infrastructure manager shall ~~launch a consultation with the~~ <u>consult interested</u> applicants

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	of services facilities concerned on the capacity restrictions. Where a coordination in accordance with point (4) is required between the first and second publication of capacity restrictions, the entities designated in accordance with Article 53(5) shall consult with applicants and the main operators of service facilities concerned a second time between the end of that coordination and the second publication of the capacity restriction.	of services facilities concerned on the capacity restrictions. Where a coordination in accordance with point (4) is required between the first and second publication of capacity restrictions, the entities designated in accordance with Article 53(5) shall consult with applicants and the main operators of service facilities concerned a second time between the end of that coordination and the second publication of the capacity restriction.	of services facilities concerned on the capacity restrictions. Where a coordination in accordance with point (4) is required between the first and second publication of capacity restrictions, the ~~entities designated in accordance with Article 53(5)~~ infrastructure managers which are subject to this Regulation shall consult with applicants and the main operators of service facilities concerned a second time between the end of that coordination and the second publication of the capacity restriction.	and the ~~main~~ operators of services facilities concerned ~~on the capacity restrictions. Where a coordination in accordance with point (4) is required between the first and second publication of capacity restrictions, the infrastructure managers which are subject to this Regulation shall consult with applicants and the main operators of service facilities concerned a second time between the end of that coordination and the second publication of the capacity restriction~~ <u>the deadlines set out in table 3a.</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 4				
767	4. Before publishing capacity restrictions in accordance with point (1), if the impact of the capacity restrictions is not limited to one network, the entities designated in accordance with Article 53(5), including infrastructure managers that might be impacted by the rerouting of trains, shall coordinate between themselves capacity restrictions that could involve a cancellation,	4. Before publishing capacity restrictions in accordance with point (1), if the impact of the capacity restrictions is not limited to one network, the entities designated in accordance with Article 53(5), including infrastructure managers that might be impacted by the rerouting of trains, shall coordinate between themselves capacity restrictions that could involve a cancellation,	4. Before publishing capacity restrictions in accordance with point (1), if the impact of the capacity restrictions is not limited to one network, the ~~entities designated in accordance with Article 53(5)~~ infrastructure managers which are subject to this Regulation , including infrastructure managers that might be impacted by the rerouting of trains, shall coordinate between	~~deleted~~ Provisionally agreed in ITM 27

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	re-routing of a train path or a replacement by other modes.	re-routing of a train path or a replacement by other modes.	themselves capacity restrictions that could involve a cancellation, re-routing of a train path or a replacement by other modes.	
<i>Annex I, section 3, point 4, first subparagraph</i>				
768	The coordination before the second publication shall be completed:	The coordination before the second publication shall be completed:	The coordination before the second publication shall be completed:	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 27</i>
<i>Annex I, section 3, point 4, first subparagraph, point (a)</i>				
769	(a) no later than 18 months before the change of the working timetable if more than 50% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport for a duration of more than 30 consecutive days.	(a) no later than 18 months before the change of the working timetable if more than 50% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport for a duration of more than 30 consecutive days.	(a) no later than 18 months before the change of the working timetable if ~~more than 50% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport for~~ capacity restrictions with a major impact ~~a duration of more than 30 consecutive days.~~	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 27</i>
<i>Annex I, section 3, point 4, first subparagraph, point (b)</i>				
770	(b) no later than 13 months and 15 days before the change of the working timetable period if more than 30% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport for a duration of more than 7 consecutive days.	(b) no later than 13 months and 15 days before the change of the working timetable period if more than 30% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport for a duration of more than 7 consecutive days.	(b) no later than 13 months and 15 days before the change of the working timetable period if ~~more than 30% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport for~~ capacity restrictions	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 27</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			with a high impacta-duration of more than 7 consecutive days.	
Annex I, section 3, point 4, first subparagraph, point (c)				
771	(c) no later than 13 months and 15 days before the change of the working timetable period if more than 50% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport for a duration of 7 consecutive days or less.	(c) no later than 13 months and 15 days before the change of the working timetable period if more than 50% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport for a duration of 7 consecutive days or less.	(c) no later than 13 months and 15 days before the change of the working timetable period if more than 50% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes of transport for capacity restrictions with a medium impacta-duration of 7 consecutive days or less.	deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 4, second subparagraph				
772	The entities performing the coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53(5) shall, if necessary, invite the applicants active on the lines concerned and the main operators of service facilities concerned to get involved in that coordination.	The entities performing the coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53(5) shall, if necessary, invite the applicants active on the lines concerned and the main operators of service facilities concerned to get involved in that coordination.	The entities performing the coordination between infrastructure managers in accordance with Article 53(5) shall, if necessary, invite the applicants active on the lines concerned and the main operators of service facilities concerned to get involved in that coordination.	deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 5				
773	5. As regards capacity restrictions of a duration of 7 consecutive days or less that need not be published in accordance with point (1) and for which more	5. As regards capacity restrictions of a duration of 7 consecutive days or less that need not be published in accordance with point (1) and for which more	5. As regards capacity restrictions of a duration of 7 consecutive days or less that need not be published in accordance with point (1) and for which more	5. ~~As regards~~ Where capacity restrictions with a minor impact that occur during the following timetable period and that the has been reserved in the capacity

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	than 10% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes, that occur during the following timetable period and that the infrastructure manager becomes aware of no later than 6 months and 15 days before the change of the working timetable, the infrastructure manager shall consult the applicants concerned on the envisaged capacity restrictions and communicate the updated capacity restrictions at least four months before the change of the working timetable. The infrastructure manager shall provide details on the offered train paths for passenger trains no later than four months and for freight trains no later than one month before the beginning of the capacity restriction, unless the infrastructure manager and the concerned applicants agree on a shorter lead time.	than 10% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes, that occur during the following timetable period and that the infrastructure manager becomes aware of no later than 6 months and 15 days before the change of the working timetable, the infrastructure manager shall consult the applicants concerned on the envisaged capacity restrictions and communicate the updated capacity restrictions at least four months before the change of the working timetable. The infrastructure manager shall provide details on the offered train paths for passenger trains no later than four months and for freight trains no later than one month before the beginning of the capacity restriction, unless the infrastructure manager and the concerned applicants agree on a shorter lead time.	than 10% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line per day is cancelled, re-routed or replaced by other modes, with a minor impact that occur during the following timetable period and that the infrastructure manager becomes aware of no later than 6 months and 15 days before the change of the working timetable, the infrastructure manager shall consult the applicants concerned on the envisaged capacity restrictions and communicate the updated capacity restrictions at least four months before the change of the working timetable. The infrastructure manager shall provide details on the offered train paths for passenger trains no later than four months and for freight trains no later than one month before the beginning of the capacity restriction, unless the infrastructure manager and the concerned applicants agree on a shorter lead time.	<u>supply plan in the form of regular time windows for</u> infrastructure ~~manager becomes aware of no later than 6 months and 15 days before the change of the working timetable~~<u>works</u>, the infrastructure manager shall ~~consult the applicants concerned on the envisaged~~<u>strive to schedule</u> capacity restrictions ~~and communicate the updated capacity restrictions at least four months before the change of the working timetable. The infrastructure manager shall provide details on the offered train paths for passenger trains no later than four months and for freight trains no later than one month before the beginning of the capacity restriction, unless the infrastructure manager and the concerned applicants agree on a shorter lead time~~<u>[with minor impact] within those time windows</u>. Provisionally agreed in ITM 27 (30/09) the issue remaining is the reference to [minor] - linked to the deadlines in table 3a.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				(15/10) can we reinstate the last sentence from Commission proposal related to deadlines ??
Annex I, section 3, point 6				
G 774	6. Infrastructure managers may decide to apply more stringent thresholds for capacity restrictions based on lower percentages of estimated traffic volumes or shorter durations than indicated in section 3 of this Annex or to apply criteria in addition to the ones mentioned in this Annex, pursuant to a consultation with applicants and facility operators. They shall publish the thresholds and criteria for clustering capacity restrictions in their network statements under point 3 of Annex IV of Directive 2012/34/EU.	6. Infrastructure managers may decide to apply more stringent thresholds for capacity restrictions based on lower percentages of estimated traffic volumes or shorter durations than indicated in section 3 of this Annex or to apply criteria in addition to the ones mentioned in this Annex, pursuant to a consultation with applicants and facility operators. They shall publish the thresholds and criteria for clustering capacity restrictions in their network statements under point 3 of Annex IV of Directive 2012/34/EU.	6. Infrastructure managers may decide to apply more stringent thresholds for capacity restrictions based on lower percentages of estimated traffic volumes or shorter durations than indicated in section 3 of this Annex or to apply criteria in addition to the ones mentioned in this Annex, pursuant to a consultation with applicants and facility operators. They shall publish the thresholds and criteria for clustering capacity restrictions in their network statements under point 32 of Annex IV of Directive 2012/34/EU.	6. Infrastructure managers may decide to apply more stringent thresholds for capacity restrictions based on lower percentages of estimated traffic volumes or shorter durations than indicated in section 3 of this Annex or to apply criteria in addition to the ones mentioned in this Annex, pursuant to a consultation with applicants and facility operators. They shall publish the thresholds and criteria for clustering capacity restrictions in their network statements under point 2 of Annex IV. Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 7				
Y 775	7. Without prejudice to Article 40, the infrastructure manager may decide not to apply the periods laid down in points (1) to (5), if the capacity restriction is necessary to re-establish safe train operations, the timing of the	7. Without prejudice to Article 40, the infrastructure manager may decide not to apply the periods laid down in points (1) to (5), if the capacity restriction is necessary to re-establish safe train operations, the timing of the	7. ~~Without prejudice to Article 40,~~ The infrastructure manager may decide not to apply the periods laid down in points (1) to (5), if the capacity restriction is necessary to re-establish safe train operations, the timing of the	7. The infrastructure manager may ~~decide not to apply the periods~~ <u>derogate from the deadlines</u> laid down in ~~points (1) to (5), if~~ <u>table 3a and the requirements of the second sub-</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	restrictions is beyond the control of the infrastructure manager, the application of those periods would be cost ineffective or unnecessarily damaging in respect of asset life or condition, or if all concerned applicants agree. In those cases and in case of any other capacity restrictions that are not subject to consultation in accordance with other provisions of this Annex, the infrastructure manager shall consult the applicants and the main operators of service facilities concerned forthwith.	restrictions is beyond the control of the infrastructure manager, ~~the application of those periods would be cost ineffective~~ or unnecessarily damaging in respect of asset life or condition, or if all concerned applicants agree. In those cases and in case of any other capacity restrictions that are not subject to consultation in accordance with other provisions of this Annex, the infrastructure manager shall consult the applicants and the main operators of service facilities concerned forthwith.	restrictions is beyond the control of the infrastructure manager, the application of those periods would be cost ineffective or unnecessarily damaging in respect of asset life or condition, or if all concerned applicants agree. In those cases and in case of any other capacity restrictions that are not subject to consultation in accordance with other provisions of this Annex, the infrastructure manager shall consult the applicants and the main operators of service facilities concerned forthwith.	<u>paragraph of point (1), in the following cases:</u> <u>(i)</u> the capacity restriction is necessary to re-establish safe train operations, <u>(ii)</u> the timing of the restrictions is beyond the control of the infrastructure manager, <u>(iii)</u> the application of those periods would be cost ineffective or unnecessarily damaging in respect of asset life or condition, or <u>(iv)</u> if all concerned applicants agree. In those cases ~~and in case of any other capacity restrictions that are not subject to consultation in accordance with other provisions of this Annex~~, the infrastructure manager shall consult the applicants and the ~~main~~ operators of service facilities concerned ~~forthwith~~ <u>and keep them informed at regular intervals on progress towards the re-establishment of normal operations.</u> <u>Capacity restrictions that imply changes to capacity rights after allocation shall follow the</u>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				<u>requirements laid down in Article 39 and in section 8 of Annex I.</u> EP is asking to delete this line; EP thinks this is giving too much discretion to the IM. Council and Commission argue this is something that can happen and needs to be covered.
Annex I, section 3, point 8				
776	8. The information to be provided by the infrastructure manager when acting in accordance with points (1), (5) or (7) shall include:	8. The information to be provided by the infrastructure manager when acting in accordance with points (1), (5) or (7) shall include:	8. The information to be provided by the infrastructure manager when acting in accordance with points (1), (5) or (7) shall include:	8. The information to be provided by the infrastructure manager when acting in accordance with points (1), (5) or (7) shall include: Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 8, (a)				
777	(a) the planned day;	(a) the planned day;	(a) the planned day;	(a) the planned day; Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 8, (b)				
778	(b) time of day, and, as soon as it can be set, the hour of the beginning and of the end of the capacity restriction;	(b) time of day, and, as soon as it can be set, the hour of the beginning and of the end of the capacity restriction;	(b) time of day, and, as soon as it can be set, the hour of the beginning and of the end of the capacity restriction;	(b) time of day, and, as soon as it can be set, the hour of the beginning and of the end of the capacity restriction; Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 8, (c)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
779	(c) the section of line affected by the restriction;	(c) the section of line affected by the restriction;	(c) the section of line affected by the restriction;	(c) the section of line affected by the restriction; Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 8, (d)				
780	(d) where applicable, the capacity of diversionary lines.	(d) where applicable, the capacity of diversionary lines.	(d) where applicable, the capacity of diversionary lines.	(d) where applicable, the capacity of diversionary lines. Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 8, second paragraph				
781	The infrastructure manager shall publish that information, or a link where it can be found, in its network statement as referred to in point (3) of Annex IV of Directive 2012/34/EU. The infrastructure manager shall keep this information updated. In addition, infrastructure managers shall publish this information in digital format in accordance with Article 9 and 62.	The infrastructure manager shall publish that information, or a link where it can be found, in its network statement as referred to in point (3) of Annex IV of Directive 2012/34/EU. The infrastructure manager shall keep this information updated. In addition, infrastructure managers shall publish this information in digital format in accordance with Article 9 and 62.	The infrastructure manager shall publish that information, or a link where it can be found, in its network statement as referred to in point ~~(3)~~ (2) of Annex IV of ~~Directive 2012/34/EU~~ . The infrastructure manager shall keep this information updated. In addition, infrastructure managers shall publish this information in digital format in accordance with Article 9 and 62.	The infrastructure manager shall publish that information, or a link where it can be found, in its network statement as referred to in point (2) of Annex IV. The infrastructure manager shall keep this information updated. In addition, infrastructure managers shall publish this information in digital format in accordance with Article 9 and 62. Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 9				
782	9. As regards the capacity restrictions of a duration of at least 30 consecutive days and affecting more than 50% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line, the infra-structure manager shall	9. As regards the capacity restrictions of a duration of at least 30 consecutive days and affecting more than 50% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line, the infra-structure manager shall	9. As regards the capacity restrictions of a duration of at least 30 consecutive days and affecting more than 50% of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line, the infra-structure with a major	9. As regards capacity restrictions with ~~a~~ major impact <u>as referred to in table 3a</u> , the infrastructure manager shall provide the applicants upon their request during the first round of

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	provide the applicants upon their request during the first round of consultation with a comparison of the conditions to be encountered under at least two alternatives of capacity restrictions. The infrastructure manager shall design those alter-natives on the basis of the input provided by the applicants at the time of their requests and jointly with them.	provide the applicants upon their request during the first round of consultation with a comparison of the conditions to be encountered under at least two alternatives of capacity restrictions. The infrastructure manager shall design those alter-natives on the basis of the input provided by the applicants at the time of their requests and jointly with them.	impact, the infrastructure manager shall provide the applicants upon their request during the first round of consultation with a comparison of the conditions to be encountered under at least two alternatives of capacity restrictions. The infrastructure manager shall design those alter-nativesalternatives on the basis of the input provided by the applicants at the time of their requests and jointly with them.	consultation with a comparison of the conditions to be encountered under at least two alternatives of capacity restrictions. The infrastructure manager shall design those alternatives on the basis of the input provided by the applicants at the time of their requests and jointly with them. Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 9, first subparagraph				
783	The comparison shall, for each alternative, include at least:	The comparison shall, for each alternative, include at least:	The comparison shall, for each alternative, include at least:	The comparison shall, for each alternative, include at least: Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 9, first subparagraph, point (a)				
784	(a) the duration of the capacity restriction,	(a) the duration of the capacity restriction,	(a) the duration of the capacity restriction,	(a) the duration of the capacity restriction, Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 9, first subparagraph, point (b)				
785	(b) the expected indicative infrastructure charges due,	(b) the expected indicative infrastructure charges due,	(b) the expected indicative infrastructure charges due,	(b) the expected indicative infrastructure charges due, Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 9, first subparagraph, point (c)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	786	(c) the capacity available on diversionary lines,	(c) the capacity available on diversionary lines,	(c) the capacity available on diversionary lines, Provisionally agreed in ITM 27	G
Annex I, section 3, point 9, first subparagraph, point (d)					
G	787	(d) the available alternative routes, and	(d) the available alternative routes, and	(d) the available alternative routes, and Provisionally agreed in ITM 27	G
Annex I, section 3, point 9, first subparagraph, point (e)					
G	788	(e) the indicative travel times.	(e) the indicative travel times.	(e) the indicative travel times. Provisionally agreed in ITM 27	G
Annex I, section 3, point 9, second subparagraph					
G	789	Before making a choice between the alternatives of capacity restrictions, the infrastructure manager shall consult the interested applicants and take into account the impacts of the different alternatives on those applicants and on the users of the services.	Before making a choice between the alternatives of capacity restrictions, the infrastructure manager shall consult the interested applicants and take into account the impacts of the different alternatives on those applicants and on the users of the services.	Before making a choice between the alternatives of capacity restrictions, the infrastructure manager shall consult the interested applicants and take into account the impacts of the different alternatives on those applicants and on the users of the services. <u><i>If the analysis of alternative capacity restrictions concerns more than one infrastructure manager, the infrastructure managers shall coordinate in accordance with Article 53.</i></u>	G

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 9, third subparagraph				
790	The analysis of alternative capacity restrictions shall include situations concerning more than one infrastructure manager. In this case, the infrastructure managers shall coordinate the planning of alternative of the capacity restriction in accordance with Article 53.	The analysis of alternative capacity restrictions shall include situations concerning more than one infrastructure manager. In this case, the infrastructure managers shall coordinate the planning of alternative of the capacity restriction in accordance with Article 53.	The analysis of alternative capacity restrictions shall include situations concerning more than one infrastructure manager. In this case, the infrastructure managers shall coordinate the planning of alternative of the capacity restriction in accordance with Article 53.	<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 10				
791	10. As regards the capacity restrictions of a duration of more than 30 consecutive days and affecting more than 50 % of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line, the infrastructure manager shall establish criteria for which trains of each type of service should be re-routed, taking into account the applicant's commercial and operational constraints, unless those operational constraints result from managerial or organisational decisions of the applicant, and without prejudice to the aim of reducing costs of the infrastructure manager in accordance with Article 30(1) of Directive	10. As regards the capacity restrictions of a duration of more than 30 consecutive days and affecting more than 50 % of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line, the infrastructure manager shall establish criteria for which trains of each type of service should be re-routed, taking into account the applicant's commercial and operational constraints, unless those operational constraints result from managerial or organisational decisions of the applicant, and without prejudice to the aim of reducing costs of the infrastructure manager in accordance with Article 30(1) of Directive	10. As regards ~~the capacity restrictions of a duration of more than 30 consecutive days and affecting more than 50 % of the estimated traffic volume on a railway line~~ with a major impact , the infrastructure manager shall establish criteria for which trains of each type of service should be re-routed, taking into account the applicant's commercial and operational constraints, unless those operational constraints result from managerial or organisational decisions of the applicant, and without prejudice to the aim of reducing costs of the infrastructure manager in accordance with Article 30(1) of Directive	10. As regards capacity restrictions with a major impact, the infrastructure manager shall establish criteria for which trains of each type of service should be re-routed, taking into account the applicant's commercial and operational constraints, unless those operational constraints result from managerial or organisational decisions of the applicant, and without prejudice to the aim of reducing costs of the infrastructure manager in accordance with Article 30(1) of Directive 2012/34/EU. The infrastructure manager shall publish in the network statement those criteria.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	2012/34/EU. The infrastructure manager shall publish in the network statement those criteria.	2012/34/EU. The infrastructure manager shall publish in the network statement those criteria.	2012/34/EU. The infrastructure manager shall publish in the network statement those criteria.	Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 11				
G 792	11. ENIM shall publish the information required under point (8) on its website.	11. ENIM shall publish the information required under point (8) on its website.	11. ENIM shall publish the information required under point (8) on its website.	11. ENIM shall publish the information required under point (8) on its website. Provisionally agreed in ITM 27
Annex I, section 3, point 12				
G 793	12. The Commission shall review the implementation of section 3 of this Annex until 31 December 2024 and propose a legislative proposal if necessary.	12. The Commission shall review the implementation of section 3 of this Annex until 31 December 2024 and propose a legislative proposal if necessary.	deleted	deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 27 <i>This line is moved to Article 73 (line 718b)</i>
Annex I, section 4.				
G 794	4. Schedule for capacity allocation through the annual allocation process referred to in Articles 32 and 38	4. Schedule for capacity allocation through the annual allocation process referred to in Articles 32 and 38	4. Schedule for capacity allocation through the annual allocation process referred to in Articles 32 and 38	4. Schedule for capacity allocation through the annual allocation process referred to in Articles 32 and 38
Annex I, section 4, point 1				
G 795	1. The infrastructure manager and the applicants shall comply with the following schedule:	1. The infrastructure manager and the applicants shall comply with the following schedule:	1. The infrastructure manager and the applicants shall comply with the following schedule:	1. The infrastructure manager and the applicants shall comply with the following schedule:
Annex I, section 4, Table 4				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Y	796	Table 4	Table 4	Table 4 Provisionally agreed in ITM 21 Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 subject to deadlines
Annex I, section 4, point 2				
G	797	2. Infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity requests received in accordance with Article 32(8) on the basis of the first come, first served principle.	2. Infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity requests received in accordance with Article 32(8) on the basis of the first come, first served principle. <i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 21 moved into line 401
Annex I, section 4, point 3				
G	798	3. The deadline for applicants to submit requests for capacity rights laid down in the table under point 1 shall be the deadline for requests for infrastructure capacity referred to in Article 27(4) of Directive 2012/34/EU.	3. The deadline for applicants to submit requests for capacity rights laid down in the table under point 1 shall be the deadline for requests for infrastructure capacity referred to in Article 27(4) of Directive 2012/34/EU.	3. The deadline for applicants to submit requests for capacity rights laid down in the table under point 1 shall be the deadline for requests for infrastructure capacity referred to in Article 27(4) of Directive 2012/34/EU.
Annex I, section 5				
G	799	5. Schedule for capacity allocation through framework agreements referred to in Articles 31 and 38	5. Schedule for capacity allocation through framework agreements referred to in Articles 31 and 38	5. Schedule for capacity allocation through framework agreements referred to in Articles 31 and 38 Provisionally agreed in ITM 21
Annex I, section 5, point 1 (only point)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	800	1. The infrastructure manager shall comply with the following schedule:	1. The infrastructure manager shall comply with the following schedule:	1. The infrastructure manager shall comply with the following schedule: Provisionally agreed in ITM 21	G
Annex I, section 5, Table 5					
G	801	Table 5	Table 5	Table 5 Provisionally agreed in ITM 21	G
Annex I, section 6.					
G	802	6. Schedule for the rolling planning capacity allocation process referred to in Articles 33 and 38	6. Schedule for the rolling planning capacity allocation process referred to in Articles 33 and 38	6. Schedule for the rolling planning capacity allocation process referred to in Articles 33 and 38 Provisionally agreed in ITM 22	G
Annex I, section 6, point 1					
G	803	1. The infrastructure manager and the applicants shall comply with the following schedule during the rolling planning process,:	1. The infrastructure manager and the applicants shall comply with the following schedule during the rolling planning process,:	1. The infrastructure manager and the applicants shall comply with the following schedule during the rolling planning process: Provisionally agreed in ITM 22	G
Annex I, section 6, Table 6					
G	804	Table 6	Table 6	Table 6 Provisionally agreed in ITM 22	G
Annex I, section 6, point 2					

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
805	2. Infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity through the rolling planning process based on the first come, first served principle.	2. Infrastructure managers shall allocate capacity through the rolling planning process based on the first come, first served principle.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Annex I, section 7				
806	Schedule for capacity allocation through the ad hoc process referred to in Articles 34 and 38	Schedule for capacity allocation through the ad hoc process referred to in Articles 34 and 38	7. Schedule for capacity allocation through the ad hoc process referred to in Articles 34 and 38	Schedule for capacity allocation through the ad hoc process referred to in Articles 34 and 38 Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Annex I, section 7, point 1 (only point)				
807	When allocating infrastructure capacity through the ad hoc process, the infrastructure manager shall comply with the following schedule:	When allocating infrastructure capacity through the ad hoc process, the infrastructure manager shall comply with the following schedule:	When allocating infrastructure capacity through the ad hoc process, the infrastructure manager shall comply with the following schedule:	When allocating infrastructure capacity through the ad hoc process, the infrastructure manager shall comply with the following schedule: Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Annex I, section 7, Table 7				
808	Table 7	Table 7	Table 7	Table 7 Provisionally agreed in ITM 32
Annex I, section 8				
809	Schedule for changes to capacity allocated referred to in Article 39	Schedule for changes to capacity allocated referred to in Article 39	8. Schedule for changes to capacity allocated referred to in Article 39	8. Schedule for changes to capacity allocated referred to in Article 39 Provisionally agreed in ITM 22
Annex I, section 8, point 1 (only point)				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	810	When changing infrastructure capacity rights, the infrastructure manager shall comply with the following schedule:	When changing infrastructure capacity rights, the infrastructure manager shall comply with the following schedule:	When changing infrastructure capacity rights, the infrastructure manager shall comply with the following schedule: Provisionally agreed in ITM 22	G
Annex I, section 8, Table 8					
Y	811	Table 8	Table 8	Table 8 Provisionally agreed in ITM 32 (22/10) EP is double checking the days	Y
Annex II					
G	812	Annex II	Annex II Thresholds for the declaration of highly utilised and congested infrastructure referred to in Article 21	Annex II Thresholds for the declaration of highly utilised and congested infrastructure referred to in Article 21 Provisionally agreed in ITM 23	G
Annex II, first paragraph					
G	813	Highly utilized and congested infrastructure referred to in Article 20	Highly utilized and congested infrastructure referred to in Article 20	<i>deleted</i> <i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 23	G
Annex II, point 1.point					
G	814	Thresholds for the declaration of highly utilised and congested infrastructure	Thresholds for the declaration of highly utilised and congested infrastructure	~~Thresholds for the declaration of highly utilised and congested infrastructure~~ Provisionally agreed in ITM 23	G
Annex II, point 1.point, Table 9					

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Y	815 Table 9	Table 9	Table 9	Table 9 (22/10) Presidency will test new threshold value with delegations
	Annex II, point 1., first subparagraph			
G	816 Capacity utilisation means the ratio between capacity allocated, or for previous timetable periods, the actual number of trains running and the theoretical capacity available on an element of infrastructure on the basis of the methodology referred to in section 2.	Capacity utilisation means the ratio between capacity allocated, or for previous timetable periods, the actual number of trains running and the theoretical capacity available on an element of infrastructure on the basis of the methodology referred to in section 2.	deleted	<u>Capacity utilisation means the ratio between capacity allocated, or for previous timetable periods, the actual number of trains running and the theoretical capacity available on an element of infrastructure on the basis of the methodology referred to in Article 21(1a).</u> Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
	Annex II, first paragraph			
G	816a		Infrastructure managers shall take utmost account of the method referred to in Article 21(1a) to determine the utilisation of rail infrastructure capacity.	deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
	Annex II, point 1., second subparagraph			
G	817 'Homogenous traffic' means that trains on the section concerned generally have similar characteristics relevant for capacity utilisation, in particular	'Homogenous traffic' means that trains on the section concerned generally have similar characteristics relevant for capacity utilisation, in particular	'Homogenous traffic' means that trains on the section concerned generally have similar characteristics relevant for capacity utilisation, in particular	'Homogenous traffic' means that trains on the section concerned generally have similar characteristics relevant for capacity utilisation, in particular

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	speed, stopping pattern and acceleration.	speed, stopping pattern and acceleration.	speed, stopping pattern and acceleration.	speed, stopping pattern and acceleration.
Annex II, point 1., third subparagraph				
818	‘Heterogenous traffic’ means that trains on the section concerned differ in characteristics relevant for capacity utilisation, in particular speed, stopping pattern and acceleration.	‘Heterogenous traffic’ means that trains on the section concerned differ in characteristics relevant for capacity utilisation, in particular speed, stopping pattern and acceleration.	‘Heterogenous traffic’ means that trains on the section concerned differ in characteristics relevant for capacity utilisation, in particular speed, stopping pattern and acceleration.	‘Heterogenous traffic’ means that trains on the section concerned differ in characteristics relevant for capacity utilisation, in particular speed, stopping pattern and acceleration.
Annex II, point 2.point				
819	Procedures and methods to calculate the degree of capacity utilisation	Procedures and methods to calculate the degree of capacity utilisation	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 23</i>
Annex II, point 2., first subparagraph				
820	Infrastructure managers shall assess the degree of capacity utilisation on the basis of objective, transparent and appropriate procedures and methods.	Infrastructure managers shall assess the degree of capacity utilisation on the basis of objective, transparent and appropriate procedures and methods.	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 23</i>
Annex II, point 2., second subparagraph				
821	Infrastructure managers may continue to use existing procedure and methods that meet these criteria. At the latest 3 years of the entry into force of this Regulation, ENIM shall prepare a recommendation on the use of a	Infrastructure managers may continue to use existing procedure and methods that meet these criteria. At the latest 3 years of the entry into force of this Regulation, ENIM shall prepare a recommendation on the use of a	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 23</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	harmonised EU procedure and method to assess the utilisation of rail infrastructure capacity.	harmonised EU procedure and method to assess the utilisation of rail infrastructure capacity.		
Annex II, point 2., third subparagraph				
822	Alternatively, capacity utilisation can be assessed as the ratio between the demand for capacity (observed / past or estimate of future demand) and capacity available in the capacity utilisation plan in accordance with Article 18.	Alternatively, capacity utilisation can be assessed as the ratio between the demand for capacity (observed / past or estimate of future demand) and capacity available in the capacity utilisation plan in accordance with Article 18.	deleted	deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Annex III				
823	Annex III	Annex III	Annex III CONTENTS OF THE EUROPEAN FRAMEWORK FOR CAPACITY MANAGEMENT REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 6	Annex III CONTENTS OF THE EUROPEAN FRAMEWORK FOR CAPACITY MANAGEMENT REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 6 Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Annex III, first paragraph				
824	CONTENTS OF THE EUROPEAN FRAMEWORK FOR CAPACITY MANAGEMENT REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 6	CONTENTS OF THE EUROPEAN FRAMEWORK FOR CAPACITY MANAGEMENT REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 6	deleted	deleted Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Annex III, second paragraph				
825	The European framework for capacity management referred to	The European framework for capacity management referred to	The European framework for capacity management referred to	The European framework for capacity management referred to

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	in Article 6 shall contain at least the following elements:	in Article 6 shall contain at least the following elements:	in Article 6 shall contain at least the following elements:	in Article 6 shall contain at least the following elements:
	Annex III, second paragraph, Table 10			
Y	826 Table 10	Table 10	Table 10	Table 10 Provisionally agreed in ITM 23 Annex will need to be cleaned up, once we have the full list of tasks in Article 6 agreed
	Annex IV			
G	827 Annex IV	Annex IV	Annex IV Contents of the Network Statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU – section on capacity management and traffic management	Annex IV Contents of the Network Statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU – section on capacity management and traffic management Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
	Annex IV, first paragraph			
G	828 Contents of the Network Statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU – section on capacity management and traffic management	Contents of the Network Statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU – section on capacity management and traffic management	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
	Annex IV, second paragraph			
G	829 The network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU shall contain:	The network statement referred to in Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU shall contain:	In addition to the elements referred to in Article 27(2) of Directive 2012/34/EU, the network statement referred to in	In addition to the elements referred to in Article 27(2) of Directive 2012/34/EU, the

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			that Article 27 of Directive 2012/34/EU shall contain:	network statement referred to in that Article shall contain: Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Annex IV, third paragraph				
830	(1) A section setting out the nature of the infrastructure, which is available to railway undertakings and the conditions of access to it. This section shall refer to information available in the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	(1) A section setting out the nature of the infrastructure, which is available to railway undertakings and the conditions of access to it. This section shall refer to information available in the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	(1) A section setting out the nature of the infrastructure, which is available to railway undertakings and the conditions of access to it. This section shall refer to information available in the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.	(1) A section setting out the nature of the infrastructure, which is available to railway undertakings and the conditions of access to it. This section shall refer to information available in the register of infrastructure referred to in Article 49 of Directive (EU) 2016/797.
Annex IV, 2 paragraph				
831	(2) A section on the principles and criteria for capacity management. This shall set out the general capacity characteristics of the infrastructure, which is available to railway undertakings and any restrictions relating to its use, including likely capacity requirements for maintenance. It shall also specify the procedures and deadlines which relate to the capacity management process. It shall contain specific criteria, which are employed during that process, in particular:	(2) A section on the principles and criteria for capacity management. This shall set out the general capacity characteristics of the infrastructure, which is available to railway undertakings and any restrictions relating to its use, including likely capacity requirements for maintenance. It shall also specify the procedures and deadlines which relate to the capacity management process. It shall contain specific criteria, which are employed during that process, in particular:	(2) A section on the principles and criteria for capacity management. This shall set out the general capacity characteristics of the infrastructure, which is available to railway undertakings and any restrictions relating to its use, including likely capacity requirements for maintenance. It shall also specify the procedures and deadlines which relate to the capacity management process. It shall contain specific criteria, which are employed during that process, in particular:	(2) A section on the principles and criteria for capacity management. This shall set out the general capacity characteristics of the infrastructure, which is available to railway undertakings and any restrictions relating to its use, including likely capacity requirements for maintenance. It shall also specify the procedures and deadlines which relate to the capacity management process. It shall contain specific criteria, which are employed during that process, in particular:

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Annex IV, 2 paragraph, point (a)				
832	(a) the procedures according to which applicants are consulted on strategic capacity planning;	(a) the procedures according to which applicants are consulted, <u>as referred to in Article 55 a</u> , on strategic capacity planning;	(a) the procedures according to which applicants are consulted on strategic capacity planning and provide capacity needs announcements ;	(a) the procedures according to which applicants are consulted on strategic capacity planning and provide capacity needs announcements; Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Annex IV, 2 paragraph, point (b)				
833	(b) the procedures according to which applicants may request capacity from the infrastructure manager;	(b) the procedures according to which applicants may request capacity from the infrastructure manager;	(b) the procedures according to which applicants may request capacity from the infrastructure manager;	(b) the procedures according to which applicants may request capacity from the infrastructure manager;
Annex IV, 2 paragraph, point (c)				
834	(c) the requirements governing applicants;	(c) the requirements governing applicants;	(c) the requirements governing applicants;	(c) the requirements governing applicants;
Annex IV, 2 paragraph, point (d)				
835	(d) the schedule for strategic capacity planning, for application, allocation, adaptation and rescheduling processes and the procedures which shall be followed to request information on the scheduling and the procedures for scheduling planned and unforeseen maintenance work;	(d) the schedule for strategic capacity planning, for application, allocation, adaptation and rescheduling processes and the procedures which shall be followed to request information on the scheduling and the procedures for scheduling planned and unforeseen maintenance work;	(d) the schedule for strategic capacity planning, for application, allocation, adaptation and rescheduling processes and the procedures which shall be followed to request information on the scheduling and the procedures for scheduling planned and unforeseen maintenance work;	(d) the schedule for strategic capacity planning, for application, allocation, adaptation and rescheduling processes and the procedures which shall be followed to request information on the scheduling and the procedures for scheduling planned and unforeseen maintenance work;
Annex IV, 2 paragraph, point (e)				
836	(e) the principles governing the consensual conflict resolution	(e) the principles governing the consensual conflict resolution	(e) the principles governing the consensual conflict resolution	(e) the principles governing the consensual conflict resolution

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	mechanism referred to in Article 36, including the dispute resolution system made available as part of this process, and the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37;	mechanism referred to in Article 36, including the dispute resolution system made available as part of this process, and the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37;	mechanism referred to in Article 36, including the dispute resolution system made available as part of this process, and the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37;	mechanism referred to in Article 36 and the formal conflict resolution mechanism referred to in Article 37; Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
	Annex IV, 2 paragraph, point (f)			
G 837	(f) the structure and level of compensations for changes to capacity rights;	(f) the structure and level of compensations for changes to capacity rights;	(f) the structure and level of compensations for changes to capacity rights;	(f) the structure and level of penalties for changes to capacity rights;
	Annex IV, 2 paragraph, point (g)			
G 838	(g) the procedures which shall be followed and criteria used where infrastructure is highly utilised or congested;	(g) the procedures which shall be followed and criteria used where infrastructure is highly utilised or congested;	(g) the procedures which shall be followed and criteria used where infrastructure is highly utilised or congested;	(g) the procedures which shall be followed and criteria used where infrastructure is highly utilised or congested;
	Annex IV, 2 paragraph, point (h)			
G 839	(h) details of restrictions on the use of infrastructure;	(h) details of restrictions on the use of infrastructure;	(h) details of restrictions on the use of infrastructure;	(h) details of restrictions on the use of infrastructure;
	Annex IV, 2 paragraph, point (i)			
G 840	(i) an explanation for any deviations from the European framework referred to in Article 6.	(i) an explanation for any deviations from the European framework referred to in Article 6.	(i) an explanation for any deviations from the European framework referred to in Article 6.	(i) an explanation for any deviations from the European framework referred to in Article 6.
	Annex IV, 3 paragraph			
G 841	(3) A section on operations, including on traffic management, disruption management and crisis management. This shall set out the	(3) A section on operations, including on traffic management, disruption management and crisis management. This shall set out the	(3) A section on operations, including on traffic management, disruption management and crisis management. This shall set out the	(3) A section on operations, including on traffic management, disruption management and crisis management. This shall set out the

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
	implementation of requirements laid out in this Regulation and in Directive (EU) 2016/797, Directive (EU) 2016/798 and Directive 2007/59/EC, including:	implementation of requirements laid out in this Regulation and in Directive (EU) 2016/797, Directive (EU) 2016/798 and Directive 2007/59/EC, including:	implementation of requirements laid out in this Regulation and in Directive (EU) 2016/797, Directive (EU) 2016/798 and Directive 2007/59/EC, including:	implementation of requirements laid out in this Regulation and in Directive (EU) 2016/797, Directive (EU) 2016/798 and Directive 2007/59/EC, including:
Annex IV, 3 paragraph, point (a)				
842	(a) operational rules, including priority rules or priority principles for traffic management, a list of or references to technical, operational and safety rules and to rules relating to operational staff;	(a) operational rules, including priority rules or priority principles for traffic management, a list of or references to technical, operational and safety rules and to rules relating to operational staff;	(a) operational rules, including priority rules or priority principles for traffic management, a list of or references to technical, operational and safety rules and to rules relating to operational staff;	(a) operational rules, including priority rules or priority principles for traffic management, a list of or references to technical, operational and safety rules and to rules relating to operational staff;
Annex IV, 3 paragraph, point (b)				
843	(b) operational measures, including rules and procedures for disruption management and crisis management, operational communication and data exchange with railway undertakings and other operational stakeholders;	(b) operational measures, including rules and procedures for disruption management and crisis management, operational communication and data exchange with railway undertakings and other operational stakeholders;	(b) operational measures, including rules and procedures for disruption management and crisis management, operational communication and data exchange with railway undertakings and other operational stakeholders;	(b) operational measures, including rules and procedures for disruption management and crisis management, operational communication and data exchange with railway undertakings and other operational stakeholders;
Annex IV, 3 paragraph, point (c)				
844	(c) a list of and references to information systems used in operations;	(c) a list of and references to information systems used in operations;	(c) a list of and references to information systems used in operations;	(c) a list of and references to information systems used in operations;
Annex IV, 3 paragraph, point (d)				
845	(d) an explanation for any deviations from the European framework referred to in Article 44.	(d) an explanation for any deviations from the European framework referred to in Article 44.	(d) an explanation for any deviations from the European framework referred to in Article 44.	(d) an explanation for any deviations from the European framework referred to in Article 44.

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Annex IV, 4 paragraph				
846	(4) A section on the key elements of performance management, including in particular:	(4) A section on the key elements of performance management, including in particular:	(4) A section on the key elements of performance management, including in particular:	(4) A section on the key elements of performance management, including in particular:
Annex IV, 4 paragraph, point (a)				
847	(a) references to performance objectives set out in the business plan referred to in Article 8(2) of Directive 2012/34/EU and in the contractual agreement referred to in Article 30 of that Directive;	(a) references to performance objectives set out in the business plan referred to in Article 8(2) of Directive 2012/34/EU and in the contractual agreement referred to in Article 30 of that Directive;	(a) references to performance objectives set out in the business plan referred to in Article ~~8(2)~~ 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU and in the contractual agreement referred to in Article 30 of that Directive;	(a) references to performance objectives set out in the business plan referred to in Article 8(3) of Directive 2012/34/EU and in the contractual agreement referred to in Article 30 of that Directive; Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Annex IV, 4 paragraph, point (b)				
848	(b) procedures to monitor and report on progress towards the achievement of the targets, to identify the causes of performance deficiencies with operational stakeholders and to design and implement remedial measures to improve performance;	(b) procedures to monitor and report on progress towards the achievement of the targets, to identify the causes of performance deficiencies with operational stakeholders and to design and implement remedial measures to improve performance;	(b) procedures to monitor and report on progress towards the achievement of the targets, to identify the causes of performance deficiencies with operational stakeholders and to design and implement remedial measures to improve performance;	(b) procedures to monitor and report on progress towards the achievement of the targets, to identify the causes of performance deficiencies with operational stakeholders and to design and implement remedial measures to improve performance;
Annex IV, 4 paragraph, point (c)				
849	(c) an explanation for any deviations from the European framework referred to in Article 50.	(c) an explanation for any deviations from the European framework referred to in Article 50.	(c) an explanation for any deviations from the European framework referred to in Article 50.	(c) an explanation for any deviations from the European framework referred to in Article 50.
Annex V				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
G 850	Annex V	Annex V	Annex V CONTENTS OF THE EUROPEAN FRAMEWORK FOR THE COORDINATION OF CROSS-BORDER TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT, DISRUPTION MANAGEMENT AND CRISIS MANAGEMENT REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 44	Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
Annex V, first paragraph				
G 851	CONTENTS OF THE EUROPEAN FRAMEWORK FOR THE CROSS-BORDER COORDINATION OF TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT, DISRUPTION MANAGEMENT AND CRISIS MANAGEMENT REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 44	CONTENTS OF THE EUROPEAN FRAMEWORK FOR THE CROSS-BORDER COORDINATION OF TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT, DISRUPTION MANAGEMENT AND CRISIS MANAGEMENT REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 44	<i>deleted</i>	Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
Annex V, second paragraph				
G 852	The European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic, disruption and crisis management shall contain at least the following elements:	The European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic, disruption and crisis management shall contain at least the following elements:	The European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic, disruption and crisis management shall contain at least the following elements:	The European framework for the coordination of cross-border traffic, disruption and crisis management shall contain at least the following elements: Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
Annex V, second paragraph, Table 11				
G 853	Table 11	Table 11	Table 11	Table 11

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
	Annex VI			
G 854	Annex VI	Annex VI	Annex VI Network Disruptions referred to in Article 46	Annex VI Network Disruptions referred to in Article 46 Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
	Annex VI, first paragraph			
G 855	Network Disruptions referred to in Article 46	Network Disruptions referred to in Article 46	<i>deleted</i>	Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
	Annex VI, Table 12			
G 856	Table 12	Table 12	Table 12	Table 12 Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
	Annex VI, second paragraph			
G 857	The conditions on duration and the likely impact on traffic are cumulative.	The conditions on duration and the likely impact on traffic are cumulative.	The conditions on duration and the likely impact on traffic are cumulative.	Provisionally agreed in ITM 24
	Annex VII			
G 858	Annex VII	Annex VII	Annex VII CONTENTS OF THE EUROPEAN FRAMEWORK FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEW REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 50	Annex VII CONTENTS OF THE EUROPEAN FRAMEWORK FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEW REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 50 Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
	Annex VII, first paragraph			

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
859	Performance areas subject to performance review referred to in Article 50	Performance areas subject to performance review referred to in Article 50	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 23</i>
Annex VII, Table 13				
860	Table 13	Table 13	Table 13	Table 13 <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 23</i>
Annex VIII				
861	Annex VIII	Annex VIII	Annex VIII Information to be provided to operational stakeholders referred to in Article 48	Annex VIII Information to be provided to operational stakeholders referred to in Article 48 <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 23</i>
Annex VIII, first paragraph				
862	Information to be provided to operational stakeholders referred to in Article 48	Information to be provided to operational stakeholders referred to in Article 48	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i> <i>Provisionally agreed in ITM 23</i>
Annex VIII, second paragraph				
863	The following information provided in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and relevant implementing acts under that Regulation shall be in the scope of Article 48:	The following information provided in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and relevant implementing acts under that Regulation shall be in the scope of Article 48:	The following information, provided where applicable in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the relevant implementing acts ~~under that~~ adopted on the basis thereof, and subject to the respective responsibilities of stakeholders as referred to by the	The following information, provided where applicable in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797 and the relevant implementing acts adopted on the basis thereof, and subject to the respective responsibilities of stakeholders as referred to by the [Commission Regulation (EU) No

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
			[~~Commission Regulation (EU) No 1305/2014 and Commission Regulation (EU) No 454/2011 as amended]~~ shall be in the scope of provided under Article 48:	1305/2014 and Commission Regulation (EU) No 454/2011 as amended] shall be provided under Article 48: Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
	Annex VIII, second paragraph			
G 863a			Information provided by the infrastructure manager:	Information provided by the infrastructure manager: Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
	Annex VIII, third paragraph			
G 864	- Train running number	- Train running number	- Train-running number	- Train number Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
	Annex VIII, -a paragraph			
G 865	- Train reporting	- Train reporting	- Train reporting	- Train reporting Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
	Annex VIII, second paragraph, third point			
G 865a			- Path allocation	- Path allocation Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
	Annex VIII, second paragraph, fourth point			
G 865b			- Train Running Information and Train Running Forecast	- Train running information and train running forecast Provisionally agreed in ITM 23

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
Annex VIII, second paragraph, fifth point				
865c			- Service Disruption Information	- Service disruption information Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Annex VIII, second paragraph, sixth point				
865d			- Data Exchange for Quality Improvement	- Data exchange for quality improvement Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Annex VIII, third paragraph				
865e			Information provided by other operational stakeholders:	Information provided by other operational stakeholders: Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Annex VIII, -a paragraph				
866	- Consignment Note data	- Consignment Note data	- Consignment Note data	- Consignment note data Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Annex VIII, -a paragraph				
867	- Path Request and path allocation	- Path Request and path allocation	- Path Request and path allocation	- Path request Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Annex VIII, -a paragraph				
868	- Train Preparation	- Train Preparation	- Train Preparation	- Train preparation Provisionally agreed in ITM 23
Annex VIII, -a paragraph				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement	
G	869	- Train Running Information and Train Running Forecast	- Train Running Information and Train Running Forecast	- Train running information and train running forecast Provisionally agreed in ITM 23	G
Annex VIII, -a paragraph					
G	870	- Service Disruption Information	- Service Disruption Information	- Service disruption information Provisionally agreed in ITM 23	G
Annex VIII, -a paragraph					
G	871	- Shipment Estimated Time of Departure (ETD), Estimated Time of Interchange (ETI), Estimated Time of Arrival (ETA)	- Shipment Estimated Time of Departure (ETD), Estimated Time of Interchange (ETI), Estimated Time of Arrival (ETA)	- Shipment Estimated Time of Departure (ETD), Estimated Time of Interchange (ETI), Estimated Time of Arrival (ETA) Provisionally agreed in ITM 23	G
Annex VIII, -a paragraph					
G	872	- Wagon Movement	- Wagon Movement	- Wagon movement Provisionally agreed in ITM 23	G
Annex VIII, -a paragraph					
G	873	- Data Exchange for Quality Improvement	- Data Exchange for Quality Improvement	- Data exchange for quality improvement Provisionally agreed in ITM 23	G
Annex IX					
G	874	Annex IX	Annex IX	<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i>

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
				Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Annex IX, first paragraph				
875	List of issues for coordination between infrastructure managers referred to in Article 53	List of issues for coordination between infrastructure managers referred to in Article 53	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Annex IX, Table 14				
876	Table 14	Table 14	deleted	deleted Agreed at 3rd trilogue
Annex X				
877	Annex X	Annex X	Annex X Correlation Tables	
Annex X, first paragraph				
878	Correlation Tables	Correlation Tables	deleted	
Annex X, second paragraph				
879	Correlation table for the provisions deleted in Directive 2012/34/EU	Correlation table for the provisions deleted in Directive 2012/34/EU	1. Correlation table for the provisions deleted in Directive 2012/34/EU	
Annex X, Table 15				
880	Table 15	Table 15	Table 15	
Annex X, third paragraph				

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	VS.EC EP Mandate	VS.EC Council Mandate	VS.CEU Draft Agreement
881	Correlation table for Regulation (EU) No 913/2010	Correlation table for Regulation (EU) No 913/2010	2. Correlation table for Regulation (EU) No 913/2010	
Annex X, Table 16				
882	Table 16	Table 16	Table 16	

Commission Proposal Table 1

Deliverable	Contents
Capacity strategy (Article 16)	– Planned development of physical infrastructure, including new construction, upgrades, renewals and closures /decommissioning; – Forecasted development of demand for rail transport services; – Strategic guidance on capacity utilisation by Member States, including an outlook on the evolution on public service obligations; – Capacity allocated in framework agreements and capacity required to provide transport services under public service contracts; – Infrastructure declared highly utilised or congested; – Major capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works.
Capacity model (Article 17)	– All information included in the capacity strategy, where relevant updated and further detailed – Volume of capacity available to applicants by rail transport market segment and/or by allocation process – Volume of capacity required for infrastructure works by impact on traffic (categories) – Geographical scope: at least the lines included in the TEN-T core and extended core network – Geographical detail: breakdown in appropriate planning sections reflecting infrastructure and demand characteristics – Temporal scope: one working timetable period – Temporal detail: at least annual overview (capacity restrictions) and one or more representative day/days (capacity available for requests)
Capacity supply plan (Article 18)	– All information included in the capacity model, where relevant updated further detailed – Prep-planned capacity available for requests, defined in the form of capacity objects – Capacity restrictions, defined in the form of capacity objects – Alternative capacity available during capacity restrictions – Alternative capacity available in the event of network disruptions

EP Mandate Table 1

Deliverable	Contents
Capacity strategy (Article 16)	– Planned development of physical infrastructure, including new construction, upgrades, renewals and closures /decommissioning; – Forecasted development of demand for rail transport services; – Strategic guidance on capacity utilisation by Member States, including an outlook on the evolution on public service obligations; – Capacity allocated in framework agreements and capacity required to provide transport services under public service contracts; – Infrastructure declared highly utilised or congested; – Major capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works.
Capacity model (Article 17)	– All information included in the capacity strategy, where relevant updated and further detailed – Volume of capacity available to applicants by rail transport market segment and/or by allocation process, <u>including safeguarded capacity for later requests and capacity assigned by Framework Agreements</u> – Volume of capacity required for infrastructure works by impact on traffic (categories) – Geographical scope: at least the lines included in the TEN-T core and extended core network – Geographical detail: breakdown in appropriate planning sections reflecting infrastructure and demand characteristics – Temporal scope: one working timetable period – Temporal detail: at least annual overview (capacity restrictions) and one or more representative day/days (capacity available for requests)
Capacity supply plan (Article 18)	– All information included in the capacity model, where relevant updated further detailed – Prep-planned capacity available for requests, defined in the form of capacity objects – Capacity restrictions, defined in the form of capacity objects – Alternative capacity available during capacity restrictions – Alternative capacity available in the event of network disruptions

Council Mandate Table 1

Deliverable	Contents
Capacity strategy (Article 16)	– Geographical scope of the capacity strategy: lines covered by the strategic capacity planning pursuant to Article 12(6); – Planned development of physical infrastructure, including new construction, upgrades, renewals and closures /decommissioning, detailing the characteristics of that infrastructure; – Forecasted development of demand for rail transport services; – The strategic guidance on capacity utilisation by Member States, including an outlook on the evolution on public service obligations where Member States have provided such guidance; – Capacity allocated in framework agreements and capacity that may be required to provide transport services under public service contracts; – Information about the availability and utilisation of railway infrastructure, including infrastructure declared highly utilised or congested; – ~~Major~~ Planned infrastructure works resulting in capacity restrictions ~~resulting from infrastructure works~~ with major impact.
Capacity model (Article 17)	– All information included in the capacity strategy, where relevant updated and further detailed – Total volume of capacity available, including multi-network capacity, to applicants by network section including volume of capacity available to applicants by rail transport-market segment for different segment of rail transport services and/or by allocation process – Volume of capacity ~~required for~~ reserved for capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works by impact on the estimated traffic (categories) – ~~Geographical scope: at least the lines included in the TEN-T core and extended core network~~ volume, as laid down in section 3 of Annex I. – Geographical detail: breakdown in appropriate planning sections reflecting infrastructure and demand characteristics – Temporal scope: one working timetable period – Temporal detail: at least annual overview (capacity restrictions) and one or more representative day/days (capacity available for requests)
Capacity supply plan (Article 18)	– All information included in the capacity model, where relevant updated and further detailed – ~~Pre-planned~~ Capacity available for allocation to applicants for the working timetable period to which the capacity supply plan refers: (i) Where applicable under Article 18(4) and in accordance with Article 18(4) and (5), pre-planned capacity available for requests, including multi-network capacity, defined in the form of capacity objects in accordance with Article 18(5); (ii) capacity, which has not been pre-planned by the infrastructure manager; (iii) capacity, which is available in the service facilities referred to in Article 29(1). – Capacity which is not available for allocation to applicants: (i) capacity restrictions, defined in the form of capacity objects, including capacity restrictions referred to in point 1, section 3, of this Annex; (ii) capacity already allocated through framework agreements in accordance with Article 31 or through the multi-annual rolling planning process in accordance with Article 33; (iii) capacity reserved for purposes other than those set out in points (i) and (ii), which shall be clearly indicated by the infrastructure manager; (iv) other capacity already allocated, when updating the capacity supply plan during the working timetable to which the capacity supply plan refers. – Alternative

	capacity available during capacity restrictions – Alternative capacity available in the event of network disruptions – Restrictions applicable for the use of specialised infrastructure referred to in Article 24.

Draft Agreement Table 1

Deliverable	Contents
Capacity strategy (Article 16)	– Geographical scope of the capacity strategy: lines covered by the strategic capacity planning pursuant to Article 12(6); – Planned development of physical infrastructure, including new construction, upgrades, renewals and closures /decommissioning, detailing the characteristics of that infrastructure; – Forecasted development of demand for rail transport services; – The strategic guidance on capacity utilisation by Member States, where Member States have provided such guidance; – Capacity allocated in framework agreements and capacity that may be required to provide transport services under public service contracts; – Information about the availability and utilisation of railway infrastructure, including infrastructure declared highly utilised or congested; – Planned infrastructure works resulting in capacity restrictions with major impact.
Capacity model (Article 17)	– All information included in the capacity strategy, where relevant updated and further detailed – Total volume of capacity available, including multi-network capacity, to applicants by network section including volume of capacity available by rail transport-market segment for different segment of rail transport services and/or by allocation process, <u>including safeguarded capacity for later requests and capacity assigned by Framework Agreements</u> – Volume of capacity reserved for capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works by impact on the estimated traffic volume, as laid down in section 3 of Annex I. – Geographical detail: breakdown in appropriate planning sections reflecting infrastructure and demand characteristics – Temporal scope: one working timetable period – Temporal detail: at least annual overview (capacity restrictions) and one or more representative day/days (capacity available for requests)
Capacity supply plan (Article 18)	– All information included in the capacity model, where relevant updated and further detailed – Capacity available for allocation to applicants for the working timetable period to which the capacity supply plan refers: (i) Where applicable under Article 18(4) and in accordance with Article 18(4) and (5), pre-planned capacity available for requests, including multi-network capacity, defined in the form of capacity objects in accordance with Article 18(5); (ii) capacity, which has not been pre-planned by the infrastructure manager; (iii) capacity, which is available in the service facilities referred to in Article 29(1). – Capacity which is not available for allocation to applicants: (i) capacity restrictions, defined in the form of capacity objects, including capacity restrictions referred to in point 1, section 3, of this Annex; (ii) capacity already allocated through framework agreements in accordance with Article 31 or through the multi-annual rolling planning process in accordance with Article 33; (iii) capacity reserved for purposes other than those set out in points (i) and (ii), which shall be clearly indicated by the infrastructure manager; (iv) other capacity already allocated, when updating the capacity supply plan during the working timetable to which the capacity supply plan refers. – Alternative capacity available during capacity restrictions – Alternative capacity available in the event of network disruptions – Restrictions applicable for the use of specialised infrastructure referred to in Article 24.

PUBLIC

Commission Proposal Table 2

Deliverable	Milestone	Deadline (at latest)
Capacity strategy (Article 16)	Publication of the first elements of the capacity strategy	X-60
	First consultation of stakeholders	X-58
	Publication of the draft strategy and second consultation of stakeholders	X-38
	Publication of final capacity strategy following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-36
Capacity model (Article 17)	Start of preparation	X-36
	Consultation of applicants and operational stakeholders	X-24
	Publication of draft capacity model	X-21
	Coordination with applicants and operational stakeholders	X-19
	Publication of final capacity model following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-18
Capacity supply plan (Article 18)	Start of preparation	X-18
	Consultation of applicants and operational stakeholders	X-14
	Publication of capacity restrictions referred to in point (1) of section (3) of this Annex	X-12
	Publication of final capacity supply plan following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-11
	Publication of capacity restrictions referred to in point (5) of section (3) of this Annex	X-4
	Re-purposing of capacity reserved for allocation through the working timetable for other allocation processes	X-2
	Update of capacity supply plan to reflect any changes to pre-planned or allocated capacity	Until X+12 without delay
Note: (1) 'X-m' means 'm' months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable ('X'), in accordance with section 4.		

EP Mandate Table 2

Deliverable	Milestone	Deadline (at latest)
Capacity strategy (Article 16)	~~Publication of the first elements of the~~ Capacity strategy <u>elaboration phase</u>	X-60
	First consultation of stakeholders	X-58
	Publication of the draft strategy and second consultation of stakeholders	X- ~~38~~ <u>48</u>
	Publication of final capacity strategy following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-36
	<u>Publication of capacity model</u>	<u>X-18</u>
	<u>Publication of final capacity supply plan following IM and ERP consultation</u>	<u>X-11</u>
Capacity model (Article 17)	Start of preparation	X-36
	Consultation of applicants and operational stakeholders	X-24
	<u>Coordination between infrastructure managers and operation participants and feasibility assessments for provided capacity needs that might not be fully considered in the draft capacity model</u>	<u>X-22</u>
	Publication of draft capacity model <u>and start of the second consultation with the applicants and operation participants</u>	X-21
	Coordination with applicants and operational stakeholders	X-19
	Publication of final capacity model following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-18
Capacity supply plan (Article 18)	Start of preparation	X-18
	Consultation of applicants and operational stakeholders	X-14
	<u>Regulatory Body shall analyse the draft capacity supply plan and may take a decision requiring the infrastructure manager to amend the capacity supply plan</u>	<u>X-14 to X-13</u>
	Publication of capacity restrictions referred to in point (1) of section (3) of this Annex	X-12

Publication of final capacity supply plan following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-11
<u><i>Update of capacity supply plan for late requests</i></u>	<u><i>X-6,5</i></u>
Publication of capacity restrictions referred to in point (5) of section (3) of this Annex	X-4
Re-purposing of capacity reserved for allocation through the working timetable for other allocation processes	X-2
Update of capacity supply plan to reflect any changes to pre-planned or allocated capacity	Until X+12 without delay
Note: (1) 'X-m' means 'm' months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable ('X'), in accordance with section 4.	

Council Mandate Table 2

Deliverable	Milestone	Deadline (at latest)
Capacity strategy (Article 16)	~~Publication of the first elements~~ Start of the capacity strategy phase	X-60
	First consultation of operational stakeholders	X-58
	Publication of the draft strategy ~~and~~ Second consultation of operational stakeholders on the draft strategy	X-39 X-38
	Publication of final capacity strategy following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-36
Capacity model (Article 17)	Start of preparation	X-36
	~~Consultation of~~ Capacity needs announcements by applicants and potential applicants and consultation of operational stakeholders	X-24
	Publication of draft capacity model	X-21
	Coordination with applicants and operational stakeholders	X-19
	Publication of final capacity model following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-18
Capacity supply plan (Article 18)	Start of preparation	X-18
	Consultation of applicants and operational stakeholders	X-14
	Publication of capacity restrictions referred to in point (1) of section (3) of this Annex	X-12
	Publication of final capacity supply plan following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-11
	Publication of capacity restrictions referred to in point (5) of section (3) of this Annex	X-4
	Re-purposing of capacity reserved for allocation through the working timetable for other allocation processes	X-2
	Update of capacity supply plan to reflect any changes to pre-planned or allocated capacity	Until X+12 without delay
Note: (1) 'X-m' means 'm' months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable ('X'), in accordance with section 4.		

Draft Agreement Table 2

Deliverable	Milestone	Deadline (at latest)
Capacity strategy (Article 16)	Start of the capacity strategy <u>elaboration</u> phase	X-60
	First consultation of operational stakeholders	X-58
	Publication of the draft strategy <u>and</u> second consultation of operational stakeholders on the draft strategy	X-39 ~~X-38~~
	Publication of final capacity strategy following final coordination between infrastructure managers <u>and taking into account any comments that the ERP might have issued following the publication of the draft capacity strategy</u>	X-36
Capacity model (Article 17)	Start of preparation	X-36
	Capacity needs announcements by applicants and potential applicants and consultation of operational stakeholders	X-24
	Publication of draft capacity model <u>and start of the second consultation of applicants, operational stakeholders.</u>	X-21
	~~Coordination~~ <u>End of consultation</u> with applicants and operational stakeholders <u>and taking into account any comments that the regulatory body might have issued following the publication of the draft capacity model</u>	X-19
	Publication of final capacity model following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-18
Capacity supply plan (Article 18)	Start of preparation	X-18
	Consultation of applicants and operational stakeholders	X-14
	<u>Regulatory Body shall analyse the draft capacity supply plan and may take a decision requiring the infrastructure manager to amend the capacity supply plan</u>	<u>X-14 to X-13</u>
	Publication of capacity restrictions referred to in point (1) of section (3) of this Annex	X-12
	Publication of final capacity supply plan following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-11
	<u>Update of capacity supply plan for late requests</u>	<u>X-6,5</u>
	Publication of capacity restrictions referred to in point (5) of section (3) of this Annex	X-4

	<u>Re-purposing of capacity reserved for allocation through the working timetable for other allocation processes</u>	<u>X-2</u>
	<u>Update of capacity supply plan to reflect any changes to pre-planned or allocated capacity</u>	<u>Until X+12 without delay</u>

Note: (1) 'X-m' means 'm' months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable ('X'), in accordance with section 4.

Commission Proposal Table 3

Capacity strategy (Article 16)	Publication of the draft strategy and consultation of stakeholders	X-38
	Publication of final capacity strategy following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-36

EP Mandate Table 3

Capacity strategy (Article 16)	Publication of the draft strategy and consultation of stakeholders	X-38
	Publication of final capacity strategy following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-36

Council Mandate Table 3

Capacity strategy (Article 16)	Publication of the draft strategy and consultation of stakeholders	X-38
	Consultation of operational stakeholders on the draft strategy	X-37
	Publication of final capacity strategy following final coordination between infrastructure managers	X-36

Draft Agreement Table 3

Capacity strategy (Article 16)	Publication of the draft strategy and consultation of <u>operational</u> stakeholders	X-38
	~~Consultation of operational stakeholders on the draft strategy~~	~~X-37~~
	Publication of final capacity strategy following final coordination between infrastructure managers <u>and taking into account any comments that the ERP might have issued following the publication of the draft capacity strategy</u>	X-36

Council Mandate Table 3a

	Duration	Impact on traffic
Major impact	More than 30 consecutive days	More than 50%
High impact	More than 7 consecutive days	More than 30%
Medium impact	7 consecutive days or less	More than 50%
Minor impact	Unspecified	More than 10%

Draft Agreement Table 3a

	Duration	Impact on traffic	<u>Preliminary coordination of capacity restrictions with impact on more than one network</u>	<u>Preliminary consultation on capacity restrictions</u>	<u>Publication of draft capacity restrictions</u>	<u>Final coordination of capacity restrictions with impact on more than one network</u>	<u>Final consultation on capacity restrictions</u>	<u>Publication of final capacity restrictions</u>
Major impact	More than 30 ~~consecutive~~ days	More than 50%	<u>X-26</u>	<u>X-25</u>	<u>X-24</u>	<u>X-18</u>	<u>X-17</u>	<u>X-12</u>
High impact	More than 7 ~~consecutive~~ days	More than 30%	<u>X-26</u>	<u>X-25</u>	<u>X-24</u>	<u>X-14</u>	<u>X-13</u>	<u>X-12</u>
Medium impact	7- ~~consecutive~~ days or less	More than 50%				<u>X-14</u>	<u>X-13</u>	<u>X-12</u>
<u>Low impact</u>	<u>Unspecified</u>	<u>More than 10%</u>				<u>X-7.5</u>	<u>X-6</u>	<u>X-4.25</u>
Minor impact	Unspecified	~~More~~ <u>Less</u> than 10%				<u>X-7.5</u>	<u>X-6</u>	<u>X-4.25</u>
<u>'X-m' means 'm' months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable ('X') in accordance with section 4</u>								

Commission Proposal Table 4

Milestone or time period	Deadline or duration(1)
Period of validity of the working timetable ('working timetable period')	One year
Entry into force of the working timetable	Midnight on the second Saturday in December
Publication of capacity supply plan	In accordance with section 2 of this Annex
Publication of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works	In accordance with sections 2 and 3 of this Annex
Deadline for applicants to submit requests for capacity rights	X-8.5
Preparation of draft working timetable Deadline for infrastructure manager(s) to submit draft capacity offer to applicants	X-6.5
Finalisation of coordination with applicants	X-6
Deadline for infrastructure manager(s) to submit final capacity offer to applicants	X-5.5
Publication of final working timetable Deadline for infrastructure manager to allocate capacity rights to applicants	X-5.25
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths	To be specified in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6
Note: (1) 'X-m' means 'm' months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable ('X')	

EP Mandate Table 4

Milestone or time period	Deadline or duration(1)
Period of validity of the working timetable ('working timetable period')	One year
Entry into force of the working timetable	Midnight on the second Saturday in December
Publication of capacity supply plan	In accordance with section 2 of this Annex
Publication of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works	In accordance with sections 2 and 3 of this Annex
Deadline for applicants to submit requests for capacity rights	X-8.5
Preparation of draft working timetable Deadline for infrastructure manager(s) to submit draft capacity offer to applicants	X-6.5
Finalisation of coordination with applicants <u>, ensuring that all prerequisites are met before this 2 week finalisation of 'observation phase'</u>	X-6
Deadline for infrastructure manager(s) to submit final capacity offer to applicants	X-5.5
Publication of final working timetable Deadline for infrastructure manager to allocate capacity rights to applicants	X-5.25
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths	To be specified in the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6
Note: (1) 'X-m' means 'm' months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable ('X')	

Council Mandate Table 4

Milestone or time period	Deadline or duration(1)
Period of validity of the working timetable ('working timetable period')	~~One year~~ Starting at midnight on the second Saturday of December up to midnight of the second Saturday of December of the following year.
Entry into force of the working timetable	Midnight on the second Saturday in December
Publication of capacity supply plan	In accordance with section 2 of this Annex
Publication of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works	In accordance with sections 2 and 3 of this Annex
Deadline for applicants to submit requests for capacity rights	X-8.5
Preparation of draft working timetable Deadline for infrastructure manager(s) to submit draft capacity offer to applicants	X-6.5
Finalisation of coordination with applicants	X- ~~6~~ 5.5
Deadline for infrastructure manager(s) to submit final capacity offer to applicants	X- ~~5~~ 54.5
Publication of final working timetable Deadline for infrastructure manager to allocate capacity rights to applicants	X- ~~5.25~~ 4.25
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths	To be specified ~~in~~ by the infrastructure managers taking into account the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6
Note: (1) 'X-m' means 'm' months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable ('X')	

Draft Agreement Table 4

Milestone or time period	Deadline or duration(1)
Period of validity of the working timetable ('working timetable period')	Starting at midnight on the second Saturday of December up to midnight of the second Saturday of December of the following year.
Entry into force of the working timetable	Midnight on the second Saturday in December
Publication of capacity supply plan	In accordance with section 2 of this Annex
Publication of capacity restrictions resulting from infrastructure works	In accordance with sections 2 and 3 of this Annex
Deadline for applicants to submit requests for capacity rights	X-8.5
Preparation of draft working timetable Deadline for infrastructure manager(s) to submit draft capacity offer to applicants	X-6.5
Finalisation of ~~coordination~~ <u>consultation</u> with applicants	X- <u>5.5</u>
Deadline for infrastructure manager(s) to submit final capacity offer to applicants	X- <u>4.5</u>
Publication of ~~final~~ working timetable Deadline for infrastructure manager to allocate capacity rights to applicants	X- <u>4.25</u>
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths	To be specified by the infrastructure managers <u>in the network statement</u> , taking into account the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6
Note: (1) 'X-m' means 'm' months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable ('X')	

Commission Proposal Table 5

Time period	Duration(1)
Standard period of validity of framework agreements	5 years
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths	Between X–8.5 and X–6.5 (jointly with coordination under the annual allocation process referred to in section 4)
Note: (1) ‘X–m’ means ‘m’ months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable (‘X’) in accordance with section 4	

EP Mandate Table 5

Time period	Duration(1)
Standard period of validity of framework agreements	5 years
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths	Between X–8.5 and X–6.5 (jointly with coordination under the annual allocation process referred to in section 4)
Note: (1) ‘X–m’ means ‘m’ months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable (‘X’) in accordance with section 4	

Council Mandate Table 5

Time period	Duration(1)
Standard period of validity of framework agreements	5 years
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths	Between X–8.5 and X–6.5 (jointly with coordination under the annual allocation process referred to in section 4)
Note: (1) ‘X–m’ means ‘m’ months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable (‘X’) in accordance with section 4	

PUBLIC

Draft Agreement Table 5

Time period	Duration(1)
Standard period of validity of framework agreements	5 years
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths	Between X–8.5 and X–6.5 (jointly with coordination under the annual allocation process referred to in section 4)
Note: (1) ‘X–m’ means ‘m’ months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable (‘X’) in accordance with section 4	

Commission Proposal Table 6

Milestone or time period	Deadline or duration(1)
Earliest point in time for applicants to submit capacity requests under the rolling planning allocation process	4 months before the first train run
Latest point in time for applicants to submit capacity to requests under the rolling planning allocation process	1 month before the first train run
Maximum duration of capacity rights granted under the rolling planning allocation process	36 months starting from the first train run
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths for capacity rights granted in accordance with paragraph 2, point (a) of Article 33	Between X–8.5 and X–6.5 (jointly with coordination under the annual allocation process referred to in section 4)
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths for capacity rights granted in accordance with paragraph 2, point (b) of Article 33	To be specified by the infrastructure managers taking into account the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6
Note: (1) ‘X–m’ means ‘m’ months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable (‘X’) in accordance with section 4	

EP Mandate Table 6

Milestone or time period	Deadline or duration(1)
Earliest point in time for applicants to submit capacity requests under the rolling planning allocation process	4 months before the first train run
Latest point in time for applicants to submit capacity to requests under the rolling planning allocation process	1 month before the first train run
Maximum duration of capacity rights granted under the rolling planning allocation process	36 months starting from the first train run
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths for capacity rights granted in accordance with paragraph 2, point (a) of Article 33	Between X–8.5 and X–6.5 (jointly with coordination under the annual allocation process referred to in section 4)
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths for capacity rights granted in accordance with paragraph 2, point (b) of Article 33	To be specified by the infrastructure managers taking into account the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6
Note: (1) ‘X–m’ means ‘m’ months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable (‘X’) in accordance with section 4	

Council Mandate Table 6

Milestone or time period	Deadline or duration(1)
Earliest point in time for applicants to submit capacity requests under the rolling planning allocation process	4 months before the first train run
Latest point in time for applicants to submit capacity to requests under the rolling planning allocation process	1 month before the first train run
Maximum duration of capacity rights granted under the rolling planning allocation process	36 months starting from the first train run
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths for capacity rights granted in accordance with paragraph 2, point (a) of Article 33	Between X–8.5 and X–6.5 (jointly with coordination under the annual allocation process referred to in section 4)
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths for capacity rights granted in accordance with paragraph 2, point (b) of Article 33	To be specified by the infrastructure managers taking into account the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6
Maximum period for infrastructure managers to prepare an offer of capacity rights under rolling planning process	15 days
Note: (1) ‘X–m’ means ‘m’ months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable (‘X’) in accordance with section 4	

Draft Agreement Table 6

Milestone or time period	Deadline or duration(1)
Earliest point in time for applicants to submit capacity requests under the rolling planning allocation process	4 months before the first train run
Latest point in time for applicants to submit capacity to requests under the rolling planning allocation process	1 month before the first train run
Maximum duration of capacity rights granted under the rolling planning allocation process	36 months starting from the first train run
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths for capacity rights granted in accordance with paragraph 2, point (a) of Article 33	Between X–8.5 and X–6.5 (jointly with coordination under the annual allocation process referred to in section 4)
Conversion of capacity specifications in train paths for capacity rights granted in accordance with paragraph 2, point (b) of Article 33	To be specified by the infrastructure managers taking into account the European framework for capacity management referred to in Article 6
_____ Maximum period for infrastructure managers to prepare an offer of capacity rights under rolling planning process	
	_____ 15 days
Note: (1) ‘X–m’ means ‘m’ months before the date of entry into force of the working timetable (‘X’) in accordance with section 4	

Commission Proposal Table 7

Time period	Duration
Maximum period for infrastructure managers to prepare an offer of capacity rights concerning a single network	1 day
Maximum period for infrastructure managers to prepare an offer of multi-network capacity rights	5 days

EP Mandate Table 7

Time period	Duration
Maximum period for infrastructure managers to prepare an offer of capacity rights concerning a single network <u>if the ad hoc request is placed after the publication date of the final working timetable</u>	~~1 day~~ <u>24 hours</u>
Maximum period for infrastructure managers to prepare an offer of multi-network capacity rights	5 days

Council Mandate Table 7

Time period	Duration
Maximum period for infrastructure managers to prepare an offer of capacity rights concerning a single network	~~1 day~~ 5 days
Maximum period for infrastructure managers to prepare an offer of multi-network capacity rights	~~5~~ 8 days

Draft Agreement Table 7

Time period	Duration
Maximum period for infrastructure managers to prepare an offer of capacity rights ~~concerning a single network~~	~~5 days~~ <u>7 calendar days and in any case 24h before the start of the train service</u>

Maximum period for infrastructure managers to prepare an offer of multi-network capacity rights

8 days

Commission Proposal Table 8

Milestone or time period	Deadline or duration
Maximum time for the infrastructure manager to offer an alternative capacity right involving a single network	24 hours
Maximum time for infrastructure managers concerned to offer an alternative multi-network capacity right	5 days

EP Mandate Table 8

Milestone or time period	Deadline or duration
Maximum time for the infrastructure manager to offer an alternative capacity right involving a single network <u>if for use within the next two working days.</u>	24 hours
Maximum time for infrastructure managers concerned to offer an alternative multi-network capacity right <u>with special parameters (e.g. extraordinary consignments, test runs)</u>	5 <u>calendar</u> days

Council Mandate Table 8

Milestone or time period	Deadline or duration
Maximum time for the infrastructure manager to offer an alternative capacity right involving a single network	~~24 hours~~ 5 days
Maximum time for infrastructure managers concerned to offer an alternative multi-network capacity right	~~5~~ 8 days

Draft Agreement Table 8

Milestone or time period	Deadline or duration
Maximum time for the infrastructure manager to offer an alternative capacity right involving a single network	<u><i>[5] calendar days and in any case 24h before the start of the train service</i></u> ~~5 days~~
Maximum time for infrastructure managers concerned to offer an alternative multi-network capacity right	<u><i>[8] calendar days and in any case 24h before the start of the train service</i></u> ~~8 days~~

Commission Proposal Table 9

Utilisation	Classification	Capacity utilisation	Reference period
Heterogenous traffic	Highly utilised	> 65% of theoretical capacity	More than 4 hours for more than 200 days per year
Heterogenous traffic	Congested	> 95% of theoretical capacity	More than 4 hours for more than 250 days per year
Homogeneous traffic	Highly utilised	> 80% of theoretical capacity	More than 4 hours for more than 200 days per year
Homogenous traffic	Congested	> 95% of theoretical capacity	More than 4 hours for more than 250 days per year

EP Mandate Table 9

Utilisation	Classification	Capacity utilisation	Reference period
Heterogenous traffic	Highly utilised	> ~~65%~~ of theoretical-capacity <u>/value to be determined by ENIM/</u>	More than 4 hours for more than 200 days per year
Heterogenous traffic	Congested	> ~~95%~~ of theoretical-capacity <u>/value to be determined by ENIM/</u>	More than 4 hours for more than 250 days per year
Homogeneous traffic	Highly utilised	> ~~80%~~ of theoretical-capacity <u>/value to be determined by ENIM/</u>	More than 4 hours for more than 200 days per year
Homogenous traffic	Congested	> ~~95%~~ of theoretical-capacity <u>/value to be determined by ENIM/</u>	More than 4 hours for more than 250 days per year

Council Mandate Table 9

Utilisation	Classification	Capacity utilisation	Reference period
Heterogenous traffic	Highly utilised	> ~~65%~~ 85% of theoretical capacity	More than 4 hours for more than 200 days per year
Heterogenous traffic	Congested	> 95% of theoretical capacity	More than 4 hours for more than 250 days per year
Homogeneous traffic	Highly utilised	> ~~80%~~ 90% of theoretical capacity	More than 4 hours for more than 200 days per year
Homogenous traffic	Congested	> 95% of theoretical capacity	More than 4 hours for more than 250 days per year

Draft Agreement Table 9

Utilisation	Classification	Capacity utilisation	Reference period
Heterogenous traffic	Highly utilised	> 85% of theoretical capacity	More than 4 hours for more than 200 days per year
Heterogenous traffic	Congested	> 95% of theoretical capacity	More than 4 hours for more than 250 days per year
Homogeneous traffic	Highly utilised	> 90% of theoretical capacity	More than 4 hours for more than 200 days per year
Homogenous traffic	Congested	> 95% of theoretical capacity	More than 4 hours for more than 250 days per year

Commission Proposal Table 10

Element	Reference(s)
Procedures and methodologies to manage and allocate scarce infrastructure capacity on the basis of socio-economic and environmental criteria.	Article 8(5), Article 8(6)
Types and description of rail transport services to be used for the purposes of strategic planning of rail infrastructure capacity.	Article 12(2)
Common principles, procedures and methodologies for strategic capacity planning, including for the coordination between infrastructure managers and the consultation of stakeholders.	Article 12(9), Article 13, Article 14
Means for publication of the capacity supply plan and process for consultation of applicants	Article 18(10)
Rules and procedures for the allocation of pre-planned capacity included in the capacity supply plan.	Article 20(3)
Characteristics of capacity specifications	Article 26(1)
Ranges for threshold quotas applicable to the cancelation of unused capacity rights	Article 27(6)
Procedures and methods to coordinate the allocation of multi-network capacity rights, including minimum quality requirements.	Article 28(5)
Guidelines on the limits regarding the differences between capacity requests by applicants and infrastructure capacity proposed by infrastructure managers in the consensual conflict resolution process.	Article 36(2)
Procedures to manage changes to capacity rights after allocation.	Article 39(8)
Conditions giving rise to compensation for changes to capacity rights.	Article 40(3)
Principles, rules and procedures to manage and allocate infrastructure capacity in the event of a network disruption.	Article 41(2)

EP Mandate Table 10

Element	Reference(s)
Procedures and methodologies to manage and allocate scarce infrastructure capacity on the basis of socio-economic and environmental criteria.	Article 8(5), Article 8(6)
Types and description of rail transport services to be used for the purposes of strategic planning of rail infrastructure capacity.	Article 12(2)
Common principles, procedures and methodologies for strategic capacity planning, including for the coordination between infrastructure managers and the consultation of stakeholders.	Article 12(9), Article 13, Article 14
Means for publication of the capacity supply plan and process for consultation of applicants	Article 18(10)
Rules and procedures for the allocation of pre-planned capacity included in the capacity supply plan.	Article 20(3)
Characteristics of capacity specifications	Article 26(1)
Ranges for threshold quotas applicable to the cancelation of unused capacity rights	Article 27(6)
Procedures and methods to coordinate the allocation of multi-network capacity rights, including minimum quality requirements.	Article 28(5)
Guidelines on the limits regarding the differences between capacity requests by applicants and infrastructure capacity proposed by infrastructure managers in the consensual conflict resolution process.	Article 36(2)
Procedures to manage changes to capacity rights after allocation.	Article 39(8)
Conditions giving rise to compensation for changes to capacity rights.	Article 40(3)
Principles, rules and procedures to manage and allocate infrastructure capacity in the event of a network disruption.	Article 41(2)

Council Mandate Table 10

Element	Reference(s)
~~Procedures and methodologies to manage and allocate scarce infrastructure capacity on the basis of socio-economic and environmental criteria.~~	~~Article 8(5), Article 8(6)~~
Types and description of rail transport services to be used for the purposes of strategic planning of rail infrastructure capacity.	Article 12(2)
Common principles, procedures and methodologies for strategic capacity planning, including for the coordination between infrastructure managers and the consultation of stakeholders, including for the Capacity Needs Announcements.	Article 12(4), Article 12(9), Article 13, Article 14, Article 17(1), Article 54
Guidelines setting out procedures and methodologies to be used for the transport market analysis _____ Means for publication of the capacity supply plan and process for consultation of applicants	Article 15(3) _____ Article 18(10)
Rules and procedures for the allocation of pre-planned capacity included in the capacity supply plan.	Article 20(3)
Common method on the utilisation of rail infrastructure capacity _____ Characteristics of capacity specifications	Article 21(1a) _____ Article 26(1)
Ranges for threshold quotas applicable to the cancelation of unused capacity rights	Article 27(6)
Procedures and methods to coordinate the allocation of multi-network capacity rights, including minimum quality requirements.	Article 28(5)
Guidelines on the definition of the limits regarding the differences between capacity requests by applicants and infrastructure capacity proposed by infrastructure managers in the consensual conflict resolution process. _____ Guidelines for the consensual conflict resolution mechanism for conflicts including at least one multi-network capacity request	Article 36(2) _____ Article 36(8)
Procedures and methodologies to resolve conflicts on infrastructure capacity on the basis of socio-economic and environmental criteria. _____ Procedures to manage changes to capacity rights after allocation.	Article 37(1b) _____ Article 39(8)

Guidelines for the definition of conditions giving rise to ~~compensation~~ penalties for changes to capacity rights.	Article 40(3)
~~Principles, rules and procedures to manage and allocate infrastructure capacity in the event of a network disruption.~~	Article 41(2)

Draft Agreement Table 10

Element	Reference(s)
Types and description of rail transport services to be used for the purposes of strategic planning of rail infrastructure capacity.	Article 12(2)
Common principles, procedures and methodologies for strategic capacity planning, including for the coordination between infrastructure managers and the consultation of stakeholders, including for the Capacity Needs Announcements.	Article 12(4), Article 12(9), Article 13, Article 14, Article 17(1), Article 54
Guidelines setting out procedures and methodologies to be used for the transport market analysis	Article 15(3)
_____ Means for publication of the capacity supply plan and process for consultation of applicants	Article 18(10)
Rules and procedures for the allocation of pre-planned capacity included in the capacity supply plan.	Article 20(3)
Common method on the utilisation of rail infrastructure capacity	Article 21(1a)
_____ Characteristics of capacity specifications	Article 26(1)
Ranges for threshold quotas applicable to the cancelation of unused capacity rights	Article 27(6)
Procedures and methods to coordinate the allocation of multi-network capacity rights, including minimum quality requirements.	Article 28(5)
Guidelines on the definition of the limits regarding the differences between capacity requests by applicants and infrastructure capacity proposed by infrastructure managers in the consensual conflict resolution process.	Article 36(2)
_____ Guidelines for the consensual conflict resolution mechanism for conflicts including at least one multi-network capacity request	Article 36(8)
Procedures and methodologies to resolve conflicts on infrastructure capacity on the basis of socio-economic and environmental criteria.	Article 37(1b)
_____ Procedures to manage changes to capacity rights after allocation.	Article 39(8)
Guidelines for the definition of conditions giving rise to penalties for changes to capacity rights.	Article 40(3)

PUBLIC

Commission Proposal Table 11

Element	Reference(s)
Common principles for traffic management, disruption management and crisis management to be taken into account by infrastructure managers when setting out rules and procedures for traffic management.	Article 43
Common rules and procedures for the coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management between infrastructure managers and with operational stakeholders.	Article 42, Article 43, Article 45, Article 46, Article 47, Article 48
Common rules and procedures for managing and allocating capacity in the event of network disruptions and crisis situations.	Article 41(1)
Definition of responsibilities of operational stakeholders involved in the management of cross-border traffic, based on a set of agreed operational procedures, milestones and interfaces.	Article 45
Procedures, rules, tools and interfaces for communication and the exchange of information, including harmonised digital tools and interfaces, between infrastructure managers, operational stakeholders and other stakeholders concerned, in particular public authorities.	Article 45, Article 48, Article 62
Principles to establish dedicated coordination groups in relation to traffic management, disruption management and crisis management.	Article 53(2)
Arrangements for simulation and training, in particular in relation to network disruptions and crisis situations.	Article 42, Article 46, Article 47
Arrangements to review the performance of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, including the coordination between operational stakeholders.	Article 50, Article 51

EP Mandate Table 11

Element	Reference(s)
Common principles for traffic management, disruption management and crisis management to be taken into account by infrastructure managers when setting out rules and procedures for traffic management.	Article 43
Common rules and procedures for the coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management between infrastructure managers and with operational stakeholders.	Article 42, Article 43, Article 45, Article 46, Article 47, Article 48
Common rules and procedures for managing and allocating capacity in the event of network disruptions and crisis situations.	Article 41(1)
Definition of responsibilities of operational stakeholders involved in the management of cross-border traffic, based on a set of agreed operational procedures, milestones and interfaces.	Article 45
Procedures, rules, tools and interfaces for communication and the exchange of information, including harmonised digital tools and interfaces, between infrastructure managers, operational stakeholders and other stakeholders concerned, in particular public authorities.	Article 45, Article 48, Article 62
Principles to establish dedicated coordination groups in relation to traffic management, disruption management and crisis management.	Article 53(2)
Arrangements for simulation and training, in particular in relation to network disruptions and crisis situations.	Article 42, Article 46, Article 47
Arrangements to review the performance of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, including the coordination between operational stakeholders.	Article 50, Article 51

Council Mandate Table 11

Element	Reference(s)
Common principles for traffic management, disruption management and crisis management to be taken into account by infrastructure managers when setting out rules and procedures for traffic management.	Article 43
Common rules and procedures for the coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management between infrastructure managers and with operational stakeholders.	Article 42, Article 43, Article 44(2) Article 45, Article 46, ~~Article 47, Article 48~~
Common rules and procedures for managing and allocating capacity in the event of network disruptions and crisis situations.	Article 41(1), Article 41(2)
Definition of responsibilities of operational stakeholders involved in the management of cross-border traffic, based on a set of agreed operational procedures, milestones and interfaces.	Article 45
Procedures, rules, tools and interfaces for communication and the exchange of information, including harmonised digital tools and interfaces, between infrastructure managers, operational stakeholders and other stakeholders concerned, in particular public authorities.	Article 45, Article ~~48, Article 62~~
~~Principles to establish dedicated coordination groups in relation to traffic management, disruption management and crisis management.~~	~~Article 53(2)~~
~~Arrangements for simulation and training, in particular in relation to~~ Common method to estimate the conditions on duration and likely impact on traffic and the content of the incident report of the Network disruptions and crisis situations.Coordinator	~~Article 42, Article 46, Article 47(5a)~~
~~Arrangements to review the performance of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management, including the coordination between operational stakeholders.~~ Guidelines with the purpose of ensuring appropriate and regular consultation of interested parties regarding European and cross-border matters	~~Article 50, Article 51~~ 54(1)

Draft Agreement Table 11

Element	Reference(s)
Common principles for traffic management, disruption management and crisis management to be taken into account by infrastructure managers when setting out rules and procedures for traffic management.	Article 43
Common rules and procedures for the coordination of traffic management, disruption management and crisis management between infrastructure managers and with operational stakeholders.	Article 42, Article 43, Article 44(2) Article 45, Article 46
Common rules and procedures for managing and allocating capacity in the event of network disruptions and crisis situations.	Article 41(1), Article 41(2)
Definition of responsibilities of operational stakeholders involved in the management of cross-border traffic, based on a set of agreed operational procedures, milestones and interfaces.	Article 45
Procedures, rules, tools and interfaces for communication and the exchange of information, including harmonised digital tools and interfaces, between infrastructure managers, operational stakeholders and other stakeholders concerned, in particular public authorities.	Article 45, Article 48 , Article 62
<u>Principles to establish dedicated coordination groups in relation to traffic management, disruption management and crisis management.</u>	<u>Article 53(2)</u>
<u>Arrangements for simulation and training, in particular in relation to disruptions and crisis situations</u>	<u>Article 47(7)</u>
Common method to estimate the conditions on duration and likely impact on traffic and the content of the incident report of the Network Coordinator	Article 46(5a)
~~<i>Guidelines with the purpose of ensuring appropriate and regular consultation of interested parties regarding European and cross-border matters</i>~~	~~<i>Article 54(1)</i>~~

Commission Proposal Table 12

Type of incident	Estimated likely duration	Estimated likely impact
Network disruption	The return to pre-incident levels of available capacity for train utilisation requires 3 or more days	– 50% or more of the trains on the affected section operating on a single network need an operational treatment – Less than 50% of trains on the affected section that operate on more than one network need or are expected to need an operational treatment
Multi-network disruption	The return to pre-incident levels of available capacity for train utilisation requires 3 or more days	– 50% or more of trains on the affected section that operate on more than one network need or are expected to need an operational treatment

EP Mandate Table 12

Type of incident	Estimated likely duration	Estimated likely impact
Network disruption	The return to pre-incident levels of available capacity for train utilisation requires 3 or more days	– 50% or more of the trains on the affected section operating on a single network need an operational treatment – Less than 50% of trains on the affected section that operate on more than one network need or are expected to need an operational treatment
Multi-network disruption	The return to pre-incident levels of available capacity for train utilisation requires 3 or more days	– 50% or more of trains on the affected section that operate on more than one network need or are expected to need an operational treatment

Council Mandate Table 12

Type of incident	Estimated likely duration	Estimated likely impact
Network disruption	The return to pre-incident levels of available capacity for train utilisation requires 3 or more days	– 50% or more of the trains on the affected section operating on a single network need an operational treatment – Less than 50% of trains on the affected section that operate on more than one network need or are expected to need an operational treatment
Multi-network disruption	The return to pre-incident levels of available capacity for train utilisation requires 3 or more days	– 50% or more of trains on the affected section that operate on more than one network need or are expected to need an operational treatment

Draft Agreement Table 12

Type of incident	Estimated likely duration	Estimated likely impact
Network disruption	The return to pre-incident levels of available capacity for train utilisation requires 3 or more days	– 50% or more of the trains on the affected section operating on a single network need an operational treatment – Less than 50% of trains on the affected section that operate on more than one network need or are expected to need an operational treatment
Multi-network disruption	The return to pre-incident levels of available capacity for train utilisation requires 3 or more days	– 50% or more of trains on the affected section that operate on more than one network need or are expected to need an operational treatment

Commission Proposal Table 13

Performance area	Relevant issues (indicative)
Infrastructure and equipment	– Capacity and capabilities of the physical infrastructure and its equipment, including deployment of TEN-T standards – Reductions in infrastructure capacity or capability due to deferred infrastructure renewal, maintenance or repair
Infrastructure capacity	– Capacity offer in terms of quantity and quality – Capacity utilisation, spare capacity to accommodate traffic growth – Consistency between available capacity (planned or unplanned) and market needs – Stability of the capacity offer, in particular in connection with infrastructure works – Congested infrastructure – Planned dwelling times of trains at border stations
Traffic management	– Punctuality / delays of different types of rail services, at origin, intermediate stops and destination and at operationally important locations – Train cancellations – Actual dwelling times of trains at border stations
Disruption management and crisis management	– Share of traffic that could be re-routed or re-scheduled during the disruption or crisis – Impact of disruptions on rail traffic in terms of delays and cancellations – Impact of disruptions on operators of rail services and their customers – Specific issues encountered (qualitative)
Deployment and performance of digital services, tools and interfaces	– Support of the processes related to capacity management, traffic management and disruption management – Completeness and quality of the information and data provided – Alignment with European architecture developed in ERJU and with the relevant technical specifications in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797
Compliance with regulation; regulatory oversight	– Process indicators monitoring compliance with rules and procedures – Complaints lodged with regulatory bodies and ENRRB

EP Mandate Table 13

Performance area	Relevant issues (indicative)
Infrastructure and equipment	– Capacity and capabilities of the physical infrastructure and its equipment, including deployment of TEN-T standards – Reductions in infrastructure capacity or capability due to deferred infrastructure renewal, maintenance or repair
Infrastructure capacity	– Capacity offer in terms of quantity and quality, <u>including consistency across network borders</u> – Capacity utilisation, spare capacity to accommodate traffic growth – Consistency between available capacity (planned or unplanned) and market needs – Stability of the capacity offer, in particular in connection with infrastructure works – Congested infrastructure – Planned dwelling times of trains at border stations
Traffic management	– Punctuality / delays of different types of rail services, at origin, intermediate stops and destination and at operationally important locations – Train cancellations – Actual dwelling times of trains at border stations
Disruption management and crisis management	– Share of traffic that could be re-routed or re-scheduled during the disruption or crisis – Impact of disruptions on rail traffic in terms of delays and cancellations – Impact of disruptions on operators of rail services and their customers – Specific issues encountered (qualitative)
Deployment and performance of digital services, tools and interfaces	– Support of the processes related to capacity management, traffic management and disruption management – Completeness and quality of the information and data provided <u>as well as ease of access for operational stakeholders</u> – Alignment with European architecture developed in ERJU and with the relevant technical specifications in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797
Compliance with regulation; regulatory oversight	– Process indicators monitoring compliance with rules and procedures – Complaints lodged with regulatory bodies and ENRRB

Council Mandate Table 13

Performance area	Relevant issues (indicative)
Infrastructure and equipment	– Capacity and capabilities of the physical infrastructure and its equipment, including deployment of TEN-T standards – Reductions in infrastructure capacity or capability due to deferred infrastructure renewal, maintenance or repair
Infrastructure capacity	– Capacity offer in terms of quantity and quality – Capacity utilisation, spare capacity to accommodate traffic growth – Consistency between available capacity (planned or unplanned) and market needs, at single and multi-network levels – Stability of the capacity offer, in particular in connection with infrastructure works – Congested infrastructure – Planned dwelling times of trains at border stations
Traffic management	– Punctuality / delays of different types of rail services, at origin, intermediate stops and destination and at operationally important locations – Train cancellations – Actual dwelling times of trains at border stations
Disruption management and crisis management	– Share of traffic that could be re-routed or re-scheduled during the disruption or crisis – Impact of disruptions on rail traffic in terms of delays and cancellations – Impact of disruptions on operators of rail services and their customers – Specific issues encountered (qualitative)
Deployment and performance of digital services, tools and interfaces	– Support of the processes related to capacity management, traffic management and disruption management – Completeness and quality of the information and data provided – Alignment with European architecture developed in ERJU Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking and with the relevant technical specifications in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797
Compliance with regulation; regulatory oversight	– Process indicators monitoring compliance with rules and procedures – Complaints lodged with regulatory bodies and ENRRB

Draft Agreement Table 13

Performance area	Relevant issues (indicative)
Infrastructure and equipment	– Capacity and capabilities of the physical infrastructure and its equipment, including deployment of TEN-T standards – Reductions in infrastructure capacity or capability due to deferred infrastructure renewal, maintenance or repair
Infrastructure capacity	– Capacity offer in terms of quantity and quality – Capacity utilisation, spare capacity to accommodate traffic growth – Consistency between available capacity (planned or unplanned) and market needs, at single and multi-network levels – Stability of the capacity offer, in particular in connection with infrastructure works – Congested infrastructure – Planned dwelling times of trains at border stations
Traffic management	– Punctuality / delays of different types of rail services, at origin, intermediate stops and destination and at operationally important locations – Train cancellations – Actual dwelling times of trains at border stations
Disruption management and crisis management	– Share of traffic that could be re-routed or re-scheduled during the disruption or crisis – Impact of disruptions on rail traffic in terms of delays and cancellations – Impact of disruptions on operators of rail services and their customers – Specific issues encountered (qualitative)
Deployment and performance of digital services, tools and interfaces	– Support of the processes related to capacity management, traffic management and disruption management – Completeness and quality of the information and data provided <u>as well as ease of access for operational stakeholders</u> – Alignment with European architecture developed in Europe's Rail Joint Undertaking and with the relevant technical specifications in accordance with Directive (EU) 2016/797
Compliance with regulation; regulatory oversight	– Process indicators monitoring compliance with rules and procedures – Complaints lodged with regulatory bodies and ENRRB
<u>Arrangements to review the performance of traffic management, disruptions management and crisis management, including the coordination between operational stakeholders</u>	

Commission Proposal Table 14

Issues for coordination	Provisions to be covered by coordination
Strategic capacity planning	Section 1 of Chapter II, in particular: – Article 10 Section 2 of Chapter II, in particular: – Article 11 – Article 13 – Article 14 – Article 15 – Article 16 – Article 17 – Article 18 – Article 19 – Article 21 – Article 22 – Article 25
Scheduling, capacity allocation and rescheduling	Section 3 of Chapter II, in particular: – Article 27 – Article 28 – Article 31 – Article 32 – Article 33 – Article 34 – Article 35 – Article 36 – Article 37 Section 4 of Chapter II – Article 39 – Article 40 – Article 41
Traffic management, disruption management and crisis management	Chapter III, in particular: – Article 45 – Article 46 – Article 47
Performance review	Chapter IV, in particular: – Article 51
Deployment of digital services, tools and interfaces; contribution to development of technical specifications	– Article 9(2) – Article 20(4) – Article 27(4) – Article 29(5), Article 29(6) – Article 42(3), point (c) – Article 45, point (c) – Article 48(2), Article 48(3) – Article 62

EP Mandate Table 14

Issues for coordination	Provisions to be covered by coordination
Strategic capacity planning	Section 1 of Chapter II, in particular: – Article 10 Section 2 of Chapter II, in particular: – Article 11 – Article 13 – Article 14 – Article 15 – Article 16 – Article 17 – Article 18 – Article 19 – Article 21 – Article 22 – Article 25
Scheduling, capacity allocation and rescheduling	Section 3 of Chapter II, in particular: – Article 27 – Article 28 – Article 31 – Article 32 – Article 33 – Article 34 – Article 35 – Article 36 – Article 37 Section 4 of Chapter II – Article 39 – Article 40 – Article 41
Traffic management, disruption management and crisis management	Chapter III, in particular: – Article 45 – Article 46 – Article 47
Performance review	Chapter IV, in particular: – Article 51
Deployment of digital services, tools and interfaces; contribution to development of technical specifications	– Article 9(2) – Article 20(4) – Article 27(4) – Article 29(5), Article 29(6) – Article 42(3), point (c) – Article 45, point (c) – Article 48(2), Article 48(3) – Article 62

Commission Proposal Table 15

Directive 2012/34/EU	This Regulation
Article 2(6)	Article 36(2)
Article 3, point 20	Article 21
Article 3, point 22	Article 36
Article 3, point 23	Article 31
Article 3, point 27	Article 4, point 8
Article 3, point 28	Article 4, point 13
Article 7b(1)	Article 3(1)
Article 7b(2)	Article 3(2)
Article 7b(3)	Article 3(3) and Article 2(3), point b
Article 36	Article 40
Article 38(1)	Article 26(1), second subparagraph and Article 26(6)
Article 38(2)	Article 26(3)
Article 38(3)	Article 26(4)
Article 38(4)	Article 26(5)
Article 39(1)	Article 11(3)
Article 39(2)	Article 27(3)
Article 40(1)	Article 14(2), (3) and Article 28
Article 40(2)	Article 55(7), Article 57(2), Article 63(1), (4), Article 64(1) and (7)
Article 40(3)	Article 55 (2), (5) and (7)
Article 40(4)	Article 57(1)
Article 40(5)	
Article 41(1)	Article 7(1)

Article 41(2)	Article 7 (2)
Article 41(3)	Article 7(3)
Article 42(1)	Article 31(1)
Article 42(2)	Article 31(4)
Article 42(3)	Article 31(5)
Article 42(4)	Article 31(5) and (6)
Article 42(5)	Article 31(7) and Annex I, section 5
Article 42(6)	Article 31(8)
Article 42(7)	Article 31(10)
Article 42(8)	Article 31(11)
Article 43(1)	Article 38(1), Article 32(6), (7), (8) and Article 33(1) and (2)
Article 43(2)	Article 10(8), Article 11(2), Article 21(9), Article 38(3), and Article 39(9)
Article 43(3)	n/a
Article 44(1)	Article 26(1)
Article 44(2)	Article 32(7) and 32(8)
Article 44(3)	Article 31(2)
Article 44(4)	Article 28
Article 45(1)	Article 32(2)
Article 45(2)	Article 32(4)
Article 45(3)	Article 32(10)
Article 45(4)	Article 32(11)
Article 46(1)	Article 8(3) Article 20(3) Article 32(3)
Article 46(2)	Article 36(2)
Article 46(3)	Article 36(3)
Article 46(4)	Article 36(4)
Article 46(5)	Article 36(5)

Article 46(6)	Article 36(6)
Article 47(1)	Article 21(1)
Article 47(2)	Article 21(4)
Article 47(3)	Article 21(5), Article 25(1)
Article 47(4)	Article 8(1), (2), (4) and Article 11(3)
Article 47(5)	Article 8(1) and (4)
Article 47(6)	Article 21(6)
Article 48(1)	Article 34(1)
Article 48(2)	Article 18(4)
Article 49(1)	Article 24(1)
Article 49(2)	Article 24(2)
Article 49(3)	Article 24(3)
Article 50(1)	Article 22(1)
Article 50(2)	Article 22(2)
Article 50(3)	Article 22(1)
Article 51(1)	Article 23(1)
Article 51(2)	Article 23(1) and (2)
Article 51(3)	Article 23(4)
Article 51(4)	Article 23(5)
Article 52(1)	Article 12(8)
Article 52(2)	Article 27(6)
Article 53(1)	Article 35(1)
Article 53(2)	Article 10(2), (4) and Article 35(4)
Article 53(3)	Article 9(1)
Article 54(1)	Article 43(3)
Article 54(2)	Article 43(5)

Article 54(3)

Article 43(6)

PUBLIC

EP Mandate Table 15

Directive 2012/34/EU	This Regulation
Article 2(6)	Article 36(2)
Article 3, point 20	Article 21
Article 3, point 22	Article 36
Article 3, point 23	Article 31
Article 3, point 27	Article 4, point 8
Article 3, point 28	Article 4, point 13
Article 7b(1)	Article 3(1)
Article 7b(2)	Article 3(2)
Article 7b(3)	Article 3(3) and Article 2(3), point b
Article 36	Article 40
Article 38(1)	Article 26(1), second subparagraph and Article 26(6)
Article 38(2)	Article 26(3)
Article 38(3)	Article 26(4)
Article 38(4)	Article 26(5)
Article 39(1)	Article 11(3)
Article 39(2)	Article 27(3)
Article 40(1)	Article 14(2), (3) and Article 28
Article 40(2)	Article 55(7), Article 57(2), Article 63(1), (4), Article 64(1) and (7)
Article 40(3)	Article 55 (2), (5) and (7)
Article 40(4)	Article 57(1)
Article 40(5)	
Article 41(1)	Article 7(1)

Article 41(2)	Article 7 (2)
Article 41(3)	Article 7(3)
Article 42(1)	Article 31(1)
Article 42(2)	Article 31(4)
Article 42(3)	Article 31(5)
Article 42(4)	Article 31(5) and (6)
Article 42(5)	Article 31(7) and Annex I, section 5
Article 42(6)	Article 31(8)
Article 42(7)	Article 31(10)
Article 42(8)	Article 31(11)
Article 43(1)	Article 38(1), Article 32(6), (7), (8) and Article 33(1) and (2)
Article 43(2)	Article 10(8), Article 11(2), Article 21(9), Article 38(3), and Article 39(9)
Article 43(3)	n/a
Article 44(1)	Article 26(1)
Article 44(2)	Article 32(7) and 32(8)
Article 44(3)	Article 31(2)
Article 44(4)	Article 28
Article 45(1)	Article 32(2)
Article 45(2)	Article 32(4)
Article 45(3)	Article 32(10)
Article 45(4)	Article 32(11)
Article 46(1)	Article 8(3) Article 20(3) Article 32(3)
Article 46(2)	Article 36(2)
Article 46(3)	Article 36(3)
Article 46(4)	Article 36(4)
Article 46(5)	Article 36(5)

Article 46(6)	Article 36(6)
Article 47(1)	Article 21(1)
Article 47(2)	Article 21(4)
Article 47(3)	Article 21(5), Article 25(1)
Article 47(4)	Article 8(1), (2), (4) and Article 11(3)
Article 47(5)	Article 8(1) and (4)
Article 47(6)	Article 21(6)
Article 48(1)	Article 34(1)
Article 48(2)	Article 18(4)
Article 49(1)	Article 24(1)
Article 49(2)	Article 24(2)
Article 49(3)	Article 24(3)
Article 50(1)	Article 22(1)
Article 50(2)	Article 22(2)
Article 50(3)	Article 22(1)
Article 51(1)	Article 23(1)
Article 51(2)	Article 23(1) and (2)
Article 51(3)	Article 23(4)
Article 51(4)	Article 23(5)
Article 52(1)	Article 12(8)
Article 52(2)	Article 27(6)
Article 53(1)	Article 35(1)
Article 53(2)	Article 10(2), (4) and Article 35(4)
Article 53(3)	Article 9(1)
Article 54(1)	Article 43(3)
Article 54(2)	Article 43(5)

Article 54(3)

Article 43(6)

PUBLIC

Council Mandate Table 15

Directive 2012/34/EU	This Regulation
Article 2(6)	Article ~~36(2)~~ 38(2)
Article 3, point 20	Article 21 and Annex II
Article 3, point 22	~~Article 36~~ Articles 36 and 37
Article 3, point 23	Article 4, point 5 and Article 31
Article 3, point 27	Article 4, point 8
Article 3, point 28	Article 4, point 13
Article 7b(1)	Article 3(1)
Article 7b(2)	Article 3(2)
Article 7b(3)	Article 3(3) and Article 2(3), point b
Article 36	Article 40
Article 38(1)	Article 26(1), second subparagraph and Article 26(6)
Article 38(2)	Article 26(3)
Article 38(3)	Article 26(4)
Article 38(4)	Article ~~26(5)~~ 26(7)
Article 39(1)	Article 11(3)
Article 39(2)	Article ~~27(3)~~ 3(1a)
Article 40(1)	Article ~~14(2)~~ 14, (3) and Article 28 and Article 53
Article 40(2)	Article 55(7), Article 57(2), Article 63(1), ~~(4)~~ , Article 64(1) and (7)
Article 40(3)	Article ~~55 (2), (5) and (7)~~ 55(2) and (5)
Article 40(4)	Article 57(1)
Article 40(5)	
Article 41(1)	Article 7(1)

Article 41(2)	Article 7 (2)
Article 41(3)	Article 7(3)
Article 42(1)	Article 31(1)
Article 42(2)	Article 31(4)
Article 42(3)	Article 31(5)
Article 42(4)	Article 31(5)-and (6)
Article 42(5)	Article 31(7) and Annex I, section 5
Article 42(6)	Article 31(8)
Article 42(7)	Article 31(10)
Article 42(8)	Article 31(11)
Article 43(1)	Article 38(1), Article 32(6), (7), (8)-and, Article 33(1) and (2) and Annex I, sections 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7
Article 43(2)	Article 10(8), Article 11(2), Article 21(9), Article 38(3), and Article 39(9)
Article 43(3)	n/a
Article 44(1)	Article 26(1)
Article 44(2)	Article 32(7) and ~~32(8)~~ (8)
Article 44(3)	Article 31(2)
Article 44(4)	Article 28 and Article 62(5)
Article 45(1)	Article 32(2)
Article 45(2)	Article ~~32(4)~~ 25
Article 45(3)	Article 32(10)
Article 45(4)	Article 32(11)
Article 46(1)	Article ~~8(3)~~ 8(2a) and (3) , Article 20(3)-and Article ~~32(3)~~ 36(1)
Article 46(2)	Article 36(2)
Article 46(3)	Article 36(3)
Article 46(4)	Article 36(4)
Article 46(5)	Article ~~36(5)~~ 36(2)

Article 46(6)	Article ~~36(6)~~ 37
Article 47(1)	Article 21(1) and Annex II
Article 47(2)	Article 21(4)
Article 47(3)	Article ~~21(5)~~ 21(6) , Article 25(1)
Article 47(4)	Article 8(1), ~~(2), (4)~~ and (2) and Article 11(3)
Article 47(5)	Article 8(1) and ~~(4)~~ Article 33
Article 47(6)	Article 21(6)
Article 48(1)	Article 34(1)
Article 48(2)	Article 18(4)
Article 49(1)	Article 24(1)
Article 49(2)	Article 24(2)
Article 49(3)	Article 24(3)
Article 50(1)	Article 22(1)
Article 50(2)	Article 22(2)
Article 50(3)	Article 22(1)
Article 51(1)	Article 23(1)
Article 51(2)	Article 23(1) and (2)
Article 51(3)	Article 23(4)
Article 51(4)	Article 23(5)
Article 52(1)	Article 12(8)
Article 52(2)	Article 27(6)
Article 53(1)	Article 35(1)
Article 53(2)	Article 10(2), (4) and Article 35(4)
Article 53(3)	Article 9(1)
Article 54(1)	Article 43(3)
Article 54(2)	Article 43(5)

Article 54(3)	Article 43(6)

PUBLIC

Commission Proposal Table 16

Regulation (EU) No 913/2010	This Regulation
Articles 1 to 7	
Article 8	Articles 55(1) to (4), (6), (7) and (8) and Article 56(1)(a) to (c), (f) and (2)
Article 9(1)	Article 14(1), Article 22(3) and (4), Article 23(3) and Article 57
Article 9(1)(a), (c), (d), (e), (2), (3), (4) and (5)	
Article 9(1)(b)	Article 15 and Article 22(3) and (4)
Article 10	
Article 11	Article 55(1) to (4), (6), (7) and (8)
Articles 12-18	
Article 19	Article 49 and Article 52
Articles 20 to 25	

EP Mandate Table 16

Regulation (EU) No 913/2010	This Regulation
Articles 1 to 7	
Article 8	Articles 55(1) to (4), (6), (7) and (8) and Article 56(1)(a) to (c), (f) and (2)
Article 9(1)	Article 14(1), Article 22(3) and (4), Article 23(3) and Article 57
Article 9(1)(a), (c), (d), (e), (2), (3), (4) and (5)	
Article 9(1)(b)	Article 15 and Article 22(3) and (4)
Article 10	
Article 11	Article 55(1) to (4), (6), (7) and (8)
Articles 12-18	
Article 19	Article 49 and Article 52
Articles 20 to 25	

Council Mandate Table 16

Regulation (EU) No 913/2010	This Regulation
Articles 1 to 7	
Article 8	Articles 55(1) to (4), (6), (7) and (8) and Article 56(1)(a) to (c), (f) and (2)
Article 9(1)	Article 14(1), Article 22(3) and (4), Article 23(3) and Article 57
Article 9(1)(a), (c), (d), (e), (2), (3), (4) and (5)	
Article 9(1)(b)	Article 15 and Article 22(3) and (4)
Article 10	
Article 11	Article 55(1) to (4), (6), (7) and (8)
Articles 12-18	
Article 19	Article 49 and Article ~~52~~ 65(7) and (8)
Articles 20 to 25	