

Council of the European Union

> Brussels, 30 September 2019 (OR. en)

> > 11266/19 ADD 1 LIMITE PV CONS 43 RELEX 728

DRAFT MINUTES

COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION (Foreign Affairs) 15 July 2019

CONTENTS

Page

Non-legislative activities

3.	Current Affairs	. 3
4.	Iran	. 3
5.	Iraq	. 3
6.	Central African Republic	. 3
7.	Migration (external aspects)	.4
8.	Any other business	.4
ANN	EX - Statements for the Council minutes	. 5

Non-legislative activities

3. Current affairs

The <u>High Representative</u> gave a debrief of the EU-Ukraine Summit; informed about her recent visit to the Sahel region and the EU-G5 Sahel ministerial meeting; and reported on the situation in Venezuela. The <u>Finish minister</u> debriefed of his visit, on behalf of the EU, to Sudan and Ethiopia. <u>Ministers</u> also referred to developments in Hong Kong and the Helms-Burton Act (Cuba).

<u>The Council</u> adopted Conclusions on Turkish drillings in the Eastern Mediterranean (A-item), in which it (inter alia) decided on a number of measures and invited the High Representative and the Commission to continue work on options for targeted measures.

4. Iran

Exchange of views

In a restricted session, <u>the Council</u> had an exchange of views on a range of issues concerning EU-Iran relations.

5. <u>Iraq</u>

Exchange of views Conclusions Adoption

<u>The Council</u> held an exchange of views on the situation in Iraq and recalled the importance of economic reforms, fighting corruption, restoration of public service and security sector reform.

The Council adopted Council conclusions.

6. <u>Central African Republic</u>

Exchange of views

Following a debrief by the High Representative of her visit to the country, <u>the Council</u> had an exchange of views on the implementation of the Peace Agreement and underlined the need for the EU to support the country on this critical juncture.

LIMITE

₩¥

11025/1/19 REV 1

7. <u>Migration (external aspects)</u>

Exchange of views

The Council held an exchange of views on the external dimension of migration.

8. <u>Any other business</u>

Sweden informed about the EU Arctic Forum to be held 3-4 October 2019.

<u>Germany</u> informed about the next Conference on Facilitating the Entry into Force of the **Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty** (CTBT), to take place in New York on 25 September 2019.

Poland debriefed on the recent Western Balkans Summit in Poznan.

Lithuania informed about the Foreign Minister's visit to Chisinau on 28 June.

<u>Romania</u> informed about the visit of the Prime-Minister of the Republic of Moldova to Bucharest on 1-2 July.

 Restricted item

Statements to the non-legislative"A" items set out in 11013/19

Ad "A" item 11:Council Decision authorising the entering into negotiations on the
modernisation of the Energy Charter Treaty
AdoptionAd "A" item 12:Decision of the Member States authorising the European Commission to
negotiate an Agreement on the modernisation of the Energy Charter
Treaty
Adoption

STATEMENT BY POLAND, CZECH REPUBLIC, SLOVAKIA AND LITHUANIA on the Council Decision authorising the entering into negotiations on the modernisation of the Energy Charter Treaty

"Poland, Czech Republic, Slovakia and Lithuania support the necessity of modernizing the Energy Charter Treaty in line with the modern standards as reflected in the EU's reformed approach on investment protection. We also believe that the Energy Charter Treaty should contain sound references on sustainable development, including on climate change and clean energy transition in line with the Paris Agreement to better reflect the new realities of the energy sector, especially the growing need for enhanced regional and global cooperation on environmental protection.

In accordance with the Commission's explanatory memorandum (COM(2019) 231 final), Poland, Czech Republic, Slovakia and Lithuania understand that, as it stems from the EU reformed approach on the investment protection, the modernized provisions of the Energy Charter Treaty in the area of sustainable development and corporate social responsibility will have non-binding nature. Thus, we call upon the Commission to follow this approach and to seek guidance of the Member States on each and every text proposal submitted in the process of modernisation of the Energy Charter Treaty."

STATEMENT BY AUSTRIA, BELGIUM, BULGARIA, CROATIA, CYPRUS, CZECH REPUBLIC, DENMARK, ESTONIA, FRANCE, GERMANY, GREECE, IRELAND, ITALY, LATVIA, LITHUANIA, THE NETHERLANDS, POLAND, PORTUGAL, ROMANIA, SLOVAKIA AND SPAIN

"The Republic of Austria, the Kingdom of Belgium, the Republic of Bulgaria, the Republic of Croatia, the Republic of Cyprus, the Czech Republic, the Kingdom of Denmark, the Republic of Estonia, the French Republic, the Federal Republic of Germany, the Hellenic Republic, Ireland, the Italian Republic, the Republic of Latvia, the Republic of Lithuania, the Kingdom of the Netherlands, the Republic of Poland, the Portuguese Republic, Romania, the Slovak Republic and the Kingdom of Spain hereby reiterate and confirm their positions, expressed in the *Declaration on the Legal Consequences of the Judgement of the Court of Justice in Achmea and on Investment Protection the European Union* dated 15 January 2019, according to which, inter alia:

"International agreements concluded by the Union, including the Energy Charter Treaty, are an integral part of the EU legal order and must therefore be compatible with the Treaties. Arbitral tribunals have interpreted the Energy Charter Treaty as also containing an investor-State arbitration clause applicable between Member States. Interpreted in such a manner, that clause would be incompatible with the Treaties and thus would have to be disapplied."

They hereby declare that the adoption of the negotiating directives for the modernisation of the Energy Charter Treaty shall not affect their position regarding the non-application of Art. 26 of the Energy Charter Treaty in disputes between an investor from one EU member state and another EU member state, as expressed in the above-mentioned declaration. This issue will be dealt with as soon as possible."

STATEMENT BY LUXEMBOURG, MALTA, SLOVENIA AND SWEDEN:

"THE GRAND DUCHY OF LUXEMBOURG, THE REPUBLIC OF MALTA, THE REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA AND THE KINGDOM OF SWEDEN, hereby reiterate and confirm their positions, expressed in the *Declaration on the Legal Consequences of the Judgement of the Court of Justice in Achmea and on Investment Protection the European Union* dated 16 January 2019, which states that, inter alia:

"The Achmea case concerns the interpretation of EU law in relation to an investor-state arbitration clause in a bilateral investment treaty between Member States. The Member States note that the *Achmea* judgment is silent on the investor-state arbitration clause in the Energy Charter Treaty. A number of international arbitration tribunals post the *Achmea* judgment have concluded that the Energy Charter Treaty contains an investor-State arbitration clause applicable between EU Member States.¹ This interpretation is currently contested before a national court in a Member State². Against this background, the Member States underline the importance of allowing for due process and consider that it would be inappropriate, in the absence of a specific judgment on this matter, to express views as regards the compatibility with Union law of the intra EU application of the Energy Charter Treaty."

They hereby declare that the adoption of the negotiating directives for the modernisation of the Energy Charter Treaty shall not affect their position regarding the application of Art. 26 of the Energy Charter Treaty in disputes between an investor from one EU member state and another EU member state, as expressed in the above-mentioned declaration.

Masdar Solar & Wind Cooperatief U.A. vs the Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No ARB/14/1, Eiser Infrastructure Limited and Energía Solar Luxembourg S.à.r.l vs the Kingdom of Spain, ICSD Case No. ARB/13/36, Antin Infrastructure Services Luxembourg S.à.r.l vs the Kingdom of Spain and Antin Energia Termosolar B.V. vs the Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB, 13/2, Vattenfall AB; Vattenfall GMBH; Vattenfall Europé Nuclear Energy GMBH; Kernkraftwerk Krümmel GMBH & Co. oHG; Kernkraftwerk Brunbüttel GMBH & Co. oHG vs the Republic of Germany, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/12, Antaris Solar GmbH and Michael Gode vs Czech Republic, PCA CASE No. 2014-01, Athena Investments A/S vs the Kingdom of Spain, SCC Case No. 150/2015.

² Set-aside proceeding in Svea Court of Appeal, Case No 4658-18, *Novenergia II - Energy & Environment* (SCA) (Grand Duchy of Luxembourg), SICAR vs the Kingdom of Spain, SCC Arbitration (2015/06).

STATEMENT BY HUNGARY

of 15 July 2019, on the legal consequences of the judgement of the Court of Justice in Achmea and on investment protection in the European Union regarding the Energy Charter Treaty Modernisation

"THE REPRESENTATIVE OF HUNGARY, hereby reiterate and confirm its position, expressed in the Declaration of the Representative of the Government of Hungary of 16 January 2019, on the legal consequences of the judgement of the Court of Justice in Achmea and on investment protection, which states that, inter alia:

"Hungary further declares that in its view, the Achmea judgement concerns only the intra-EU bilateral investment treaties. The Achmea judgement is silent on the investor-state arbitration clause in the Energy Charter Treaty. (hereinafter: ECT) and it does not concern any pending or prospective arbitration proceedings initiated under the ECT.

Against this background, Hungary underlines the importance of allowing for due process and considers that it is inappropriate for a Member State to express its view as regards the compatibility with Union law of the intra-EU application of the ECT. The ongoing and future applicability of the ECT intra-EU relations requires further discussion and individual agreement amongst the Member States."

Hungary hereby declares that the adoption of the negotiating directives for the modernisation of the Energy Charter Treaty shall not affect its position regarding the application of Art. 26 of the Energy Charter Treaty in disputes between an investor from one EU member state and another EU member state, as expressed in the above-mentioned declaration."

STATEMENT BY THE COMMISSION

"The Commission takes note of the Council's request for the EU to engage on the matter of transparency for investment dispute settlement in the context of the ECT modernisation. The Commission notes that the Council has already requested the Commission to negotiate an agreement which provides for transparency for investment dispute settlement through the authorisation and negotiating directives granted to negotiate the United Nations Convention on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration (the "Mauritius Convention") in 2014. The Commission notes that the Commission made proposals for the EU to sign and conclude the Mauritius Convention on 20 February 2015 and that the Council has not yet acted on these proposals. Adopting these proposals would provide a mechanism for transparency to apply to disputes under the ECT and would avoid the duplication involved in seeking to create a new set of rules for transparency in the ECT. The Commission therefore urges the Council to adopt the proposals on the Mauritius Convention and then to work with the Commission to ensure that other countries party to the ECT ratify the Mauritius Convention."