

Brussels, 24 June 2025 (OR. en)

10782/25

Interinstitutional File: 2025/0102 (COD)

> SAN 379 PHARM 91 MI 467 MAP 27 POLCOM 141 IND 223 COMPET 609 CODEC 898

COVER NOTE

From:	European Economic and Social Committee (EESC)
To:	General Secretariat of the Council
Subject:	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL laying a framework for strengthening the availability and security of supply of critical medicinal products as well as the availability of, and accessibility of, medicinal products of common interest, and amending Regulation (EU) 2024/795 - Opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee

Delegations will find attached the opinion adopted by the European Economic and Social Committee on the above-mentioned proposal. Other language versions, if needed, will soon be available on the following website: https://dmsearch.eesc.europa.eu/search/opinion



and Social Committee

OPINION

European Economic and Social Committee

Critical Medicines Act

Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council laying a framework for strengthening the availability and security of supply of critical medicinal products as well as the availability of, and accessibility of, medicinal products of common interest, and amending Regulation (EU) 2024/795 (COM (2025) 102 final)

CCMI/240

Rapporteur: Veselin MITOV (BG, Gr. II) Co-rapporteur: Elżbieta SZADZIŃSKA (PL. Cat. 3)



Advisor

Mihai IVAŞCU (for the rapporteur, Grou	p II)
--	-------

21/1/2025
Rule 52(2) of the Rules of Procedure
European Commission, 12/3/2025
Article 14 and 294 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the
European Union
Consultative Commission on Industrial Change
4/6/2025
18/6/2025
597
130/1/3

1. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The European Economic and Social Committee (EESC) recommends:

- 1.1 that the EU significantly increase the proposed funding for the implementation of the Critical Medicines Act to support its ambitious objectives, ensuring that resources extend beyond coordination efforts to actively support large-scale production shifts.
- 1.2 that the EU establish a dedicated European fund for starting materials, Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) and critical medicines, managed by a central EU body, with financial contributions from Member States, the European Investment Bank (EIB) and the private sector to compensate for the cost differences between pharmaceutical production in the EU and Asia. A dedicated and strong financial instrument is needed to support:
 - Investments in new and expanded EU-based production facilities.
 - Subsidies or tax incentives to offset higher regulatory and operational costs.
 - Research and development in cost-efficient, sustainable production technologies.

This fund should be included in the next Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) and coordinated with defence-related financing mechanisms, as referred to in point 1.13.

- 1.3 that public funding mechanisms be strategically aligned with the EIB, multilateral financial institutions and private sector banks to enhance access to financing for high-risk pharmaceutical projects, fostering innovation and strengthening supply chain resilience.
- 1.4 that a fully interoperable EU-wide database for critical medicines be created, integrating national databases and enhanced cybersecurity measures. This system should provide real-time visibility of supply chain vulnerabilities while ensuring data protection and resilience against cyber threats.
- 1.5 that significant funding for the implementation of dedicated real-time tracking systems and early warning mechanisms be adopted and allocated, utilising big data analytics, artificial intelligence and blockchain technology to enhance supply chain transparency, predict shortages and improve crisis response.
- 1.6 that a comprehensive, evidence-based impact assessment of the Critical Medicines Act be conducted, covering the entire pharmaceutical supply chain from production to patient access and addressing the socio-economic impact of the proposed measures. Given the urgency of the issue, the assessment must proceed alongside legislative work and should not delay the adoption of the proposed regulation. While assessing the short-, medium- and long-term effects of the Act, key provisions are essential for ensuring their effectiveness and guiding necessary adjustments.
- 1.7 that the EU establish dedicated competence centres and invest in large-scale upskilling and reskilling programmes to support workers in adapting to the increasing digitalisation and automation of the pharmaceutical sector.

- 1.8 that increased funding be secured for education and vocational training by supporting vocational schools, apprenticeship programmes, universities and industry-focused initiatives, including scholarships for graduate studies, commitments under the Pact for Skills and the development of curricula aligned with the pharmaceutical sector's future needs.
- 1.9 that the European Shortages Monitoring Platform (ESMP) provide real-time data on stock levels, product status (unfinished/finished medicines), availability for redistribution and stock utilisation.
- 1.10 that a unified EU framework for contingency stockpiling be created to ensure coordinated and equitable distribution of critical medicines across Member States, reduce unnecessary financial burdens on manufacturers and uphold the principle of European solidarity. Additionally, mechanisms should be established to compensate manufacturers for the added costs of stockpiling and to ensure an optimised rotation system that minimises waste, particularly for medicines with a short shelf life.
- 1.11 that a systematic review mechanism be introduced to evaluate the impact of existing and proposed EU legislation, particularly environmental regulations, on security of supply for critical medicines.
- 1.12 that joint procurement be used selectively while mitigating its potential downsides, such as pricing pressures and market limitations. Additionally, the EU should adopt an implementing act providing clear guidelines on procurement criteria and establish support mechanisms to promote best manufacturing practices.
- 1.13 that an analysis be carried out of the proposal to integrate the Critical Medicines Act into the EU's broader strategic autonomy and security framework, recognising pharmaceutical supply chains as a matter of public health and economic and military security. To ensure sufficient financial backing, the EU could leverage defence-related funding mechanisms to reinforce Europe's capacity to produce and supply critical medicines.
- 1.14 that entities responsible for the production of medicines be protected against restrictions on the supply and consumption of energy, gas and heat to ensure continuous manufacturing. Any disruption in energy supply could lead to shortages of critical medicines and risks to public health.
- 1.15 that action be taken to harmonise quality, safety and environmental standards for pharmaceutical production between EU and third-country manufacturers exporting to the EU. To ensure fair competition and prevent disadvantages for European producers, the Critical Medicines Act should include stronger regulatory measures requiring third-country manufacturers to adhere to standards equivalent to those in the EU for all imported medicines and active pharmaceutical ingredients.
- 1.16 stronger measures to guarantee the affordability of essential medicines, including those for rare diseases, ensuring that consumers do not face financial burdens due to shortages. The EU should introduce mechanisms to regulate medicine pricing, enhance transparency in pharmaceutical costs and prevent price inflation caused by supply disruptions.

- 1.17 that the EU should foster a more collaborative innovation ecosystem that integrates SMEs, startups and deep-tech innovators, alongside established pharmaceutical companies to strengthen the industry's resilience and competitiveness.
- 1.18 that the role of the Critical Medicines Coordination Group be expanded to include civil society representatives and independent experts.

2. **EXPLANATORY NOTES**

Arguments in support of recommendations 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3

- 2.1 The proposal for a regulation rightly emphasises reshoring pharmaceutical production and securing supply chains within the EU. However, the proposed budget of EUR 83 million for 2026-2027 is insufficient to drive substantial changes in pharmaceutical manufacturing or supply chain security. Ensuring a realistic and well-funded approach will help prevent a situation where legislative ambition is not matched by actionable results.
- 2.2 Higher production costs in the EU due to stricter environmental regulations, higher labour costs and investment in advanced manufacturing place European producers at a disadvantage compared to low-cost manufacturing hubs in Asia. Medicines are not just economic goods but a strategic asset for public health. The additional costs of EU-based production should be seen as an investment in security, similar to defence or energy infrastructure.
- 2.3 Aligning public funds with EIB financing, Horizon Europe and the Strategic Technologies for Europe Platform (STEP) would create a comprehensive financial ecosystem to support the pharmaceutical sector. A blended financing approach, combining grants, loans and guarantees, would support long-term investment in pharmaceutical innovation and infrastructure.

Arguments in support of recommendation 1.4 and 1.5

- 2.4 Currently, fragmented national databases limit the EU's ability to track and manage critical medicine shortages effectively. A harmonised EU database would enable real-time information sharing across Member States.
- 2.5 Cybersecurity risks in pharmaceutical supply chains are increasing. A centralised system with strong cybersecurity protocols will safeguard sensitive data and ensure operational resilience in the event of cyberattacks.

Arguments in support of recommendation 1.6

2.6 The absence of a thorough impact assessment limits understanding of how the Critical Medicines Act will affect manufacturing, distribution, pricing and access to medicines. A detailed assessment would provide data-driven insights to support better policymaking and ensure that the Act meets its objectives.

- 2.7 Providing evidence-based findings would ensure accountability and support informed revisions of the Act as needed, and increase confidence among stakeholders, including industry, healthcare professionals and patients.
- 2.8 AI and big data analytics can identify patterns in medicine shortages and supply chain disruptions, allowing proactive interventions rather than reactive crisis management. Blockchain technology ensures secure and transparent tracking of medicines from production to distribution.
- 2.9 Logistics optimisation through real-time tracking and collaborative partnerships will reduce inefficiencies, ensuring timely delivery of critical medicines.

Arguments in support of recommendations 1.7 and 1.8

- 2.10 Competence centres can serve as hubs for research, innovation and specialised training, providing direct support to pharmaceutical companies and ensuring industry-wide best practices.
- 2.11 A structured upskilling and reskilling framework will ensure that the workforce remains competitive and adaptable. Investing in worker skills will enhance EU production resilience, ensuring that manufacturing scale-up phases are efficient and that stringent pharmaceutical quality standards are met. Greater consideration should be given to how the regulation supports job creation and regional development within the EU.
- 2.12 Strengthening collaboration between academia, vocational training providers and industry will ensure that educational programmes align with real-world industry needs. Apprenticeship programmes are urgently needed in the EU medicines production sector to address critical skills shortages and support industrial resilience. Scholarships and training programmes will increase accessibility for students and workers, particularly those from low-skilled backgrounds, helping address labour shortages in highly technical pharmaceutical roles.

Arguments in support of recommendation 1.9

2.13 Having detailed tracking of stock phases (unfinished vs. finished products) would help manufacturers and policymakers optimise production and distribution strategies. The coordinated redistribution of surplus medicines would prevent unnecessary wastage and ensure equitable access across all EU countries, ensuring that supply imbalances do not disproportionately affect smaller or economically weaker Member States. Tracking stock usage and expiration will help prevent medicine wastage, allowing Member States to implement more effective inventory management.

Arguments in support of recommendations 1.10

2.14 A centralised EU approach to contingency stocks would enhance supply chain stability and prevent stockpiling policies from disrupting market balance. Reimbursement mechanisms, such as state-backed reserves or direct financial compensation, would ensure that manufacturers are not disproportionately burdened.

2.15 A first-in, first-out (FIFO) system should be implemented to avoid unnecessary disposal of medicines, particularly for drugs with a limited shelf life. An EU-managed system would allow for strategic redistribution, ensuring that medicines reach regions facing acute shortages while reducing overall waste.

Arguments in support of recommendations 1.11

- 2.16 The EU's environmental policies impose necessary sustainability measures but may unintentionally burden pharmaceutical manufacturers, making EU-based production less competitive. Stricter regulations on emissions, wastewater treatment or restrictions on key substances could increase production costs or force companies to relocate outside the EU, exacerbating medicine shortages.
- 2.17 A well-balanced regulatory framework will ensure that Europe remains competitive while safeguarding patient access to critical medicines. A data-driven approach would help fine-tune environmental policies to safeguard medicine availability while maintaining high sustainability standards.

Arguments in support of recommendations 1.12

- 2.18 Excessive reliance on joint procurement can reduce market diversity by discouraging smaller pharmaceutical firms from participating, ultimately limiting innovation and flexibility in supply.
- 2.19 An implementing act outlining procurement rules would ensure fair competition, predictability and transparency across all Member States.

Arguments in support of recommendations 1.13

- 2.20 The COVID-19 pandemic and geopolitical tensions have demonstrated that medicine shortages pose serious risks to public health and national stability. The proposed funding amounts and sources are insufficient to support large-scale reshoring and supply chain diversification.
- 2.21 Maintaining uninterrupted production of medicines has a direct impact on military security. By linking medicine security with defence funding, the EU can accelerate investments in local production, increase preparedness for future health crises and ensure stable access to medicines across Member States.

Arguments in support of recommendations 1.14

2.22 In accordance with the European Commission's Communication on *Save gas for a safe winter*¹, industries considered critical or strategic from a societal perspective should be prioritised in the event of disruptions — particularly when such disruptions could negatively affect supply chains with repercussions for health, safety, the environment, security, defence and other critical sectors

^{1 &}lt;u>COM(2022) 360 final</u>.

such as food and refineries. The European Commission referred to the pharmaceutical sector as an example of a societally critical industry.

Arguments in support of recommendation1.15

2.23 Third-country manufacturers often operate under less stringent regulatory requirements, allowing them to produce medicines more cheaply and creating an unequal competitive environment. Enforcing equal production standards for imported medicines will protect European manufacturers from unfair competition while maintaining high-quality pharmaceutical products in the EU market. EU-based production requirements should not lead to trade barriers or unintended disruptions in global supply chains; a risk assessment framework should be incorporated.

Arguments in support of recommendation 1.16

- 2.24 A recent survey from consumer organisations in Belgium, Italy, Spain and Portugal revealed that 40% of respondents struggled to obtain medicines, often resorting to more expensive substitutes. While the Critical Medicines Act aims to address supply chain vulnerabilities, it lacks specific provisions to prevent excessive possible price increases for consumers.
- 2.25 The EU should introduce measures to monitor and regulate the pricing of critical medicines. Strengthening pricing transparency, regulating cost increases and preventing financial burdens on consumers will help create a more equitable and resilient healthcare system across the EU.

Arguments in support of recommendation 1.17

2.26 A pharmaceutical ecosystem that relies solely on large players can become rigid and more vulnerable to disruptions (e.g., supply bottlenecks or production shutdowns). SMEs and start-ups play a crucial role in medicine production, innovation and supply chain diversification. Deep-tech innovators bring high-potential, science-based solutions that established firms may not be positioned to develop internally. Integrating them into the innovation ecosystem accelerates the development of resilient, cutting-edge supply chain solutions.

Arguments in support of recommendation 1.18

- 2.27 The current proposal for the Critical Medicines Coordination Group primarily focuses on industry and governmental actors, leaving patients, healthcare professionals and independent experts without direct representation.
- 2.28 Including civil society organisations, patient advocacy groups and independent researchers would increase public trust in the decision-making process, ensuring that policies reflect the needs of all stakeholders.

3. PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL OF THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Amendment 1

linked to recommendations 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.13 *Article 16, paragraph 1, new paragraphs 2 and 3*

Text proposed by the European Commission	EESC amendment
1. For the duration of the Multiannual Financial	1. For the duration of the Multiannual Financial
Framework 2021-202724 strategic projects may be	Framework 2021-2027, strategic projects may be
supported by Union funding, including but not	supported by Union funding, including but not
limited to such Union programmes as the	limited to such Union programmes as the
EU4Health Programme, Horizon Europe, and the	EU4Health Programme, Horizon Europe, and the
Digital Europe Programme provided that such	Digital Europe Programme, provided that such
support is in line with the objectives set out in the	support is in line with the objectives set out in the
regulations establishing those programmes.	regulations establishing those programmes. The
	Union shall work to significantly increase
	funding to support the implementation of this
	regulation and enable large-scale production
	shifts.
	2. A dedicated European fund for starting
	materials, APIs and critical medicines shall be
	established under the next Multiannual
	Financial Framework. It shall be managed by a
	central EU body, with contributions from
	Member States, the European Investment Bank
	and the private sector.
	3. Strategic projects intended to reinforce
	Europe's capacity to produce and supply critical
	medicines may also benefit from EU instruments
	supporting strategic autonomy and security. To
	this end, the Commission shall explore the
	integration of this regulation into the Union's
	broader strategic autonomy and security
	framework. Where appropriate, defence-related
	funding mechanisms may be leveraged, in
	accordance with Union law, to support
	pharmaceutical production as a matter of public
	health and economic and military security.

Ensuring a realistic and well-funded approach will help prevent a situation where legislative ambition is not matched by actionable results. Aligning public funds with EIB financing, Horizon Europe and the Strategic Technologies for Europe Platform would create a comprehensive financial ecosystem capable of supporting the pharmaceutical sector. A blended financing approach, combining grants, loans and guarantees, would support long-term investment in pharmaceutical innovation and infrastructure. By linking medicine security with defence funding, the EU can accelerate investments in local production, increase preparedness for future health crises and ensure stable access to medicines across Member States.

Amendment 2

linked to recommendations 1.4 and 1.5 *Article 29, paragraphs 2 and 3*

Text proposed by the European Commission	EESC amendment
2. The Commission and national authorities of the	2. The Commission and national authorities of the
Member States shall aim to avoid duplication of the	Member States shall aim to avoid duplication of the
information requested and submitted.	information requested and submitted and shall
	ensure that such information is integrated into a
	fully interoperable EU-wide database,
	incorporating national databases and providing
	real-time visibility of supply chain vulnerabilities
	through secure and resilient digital
	infrastructure.
3. The Commission and national authorities of the	3. The Commission and national authorities of the
Member States shall assess the merits of duly	Member States shall assess the merits of duly
	substantiated confidentiality claims made by
marketing authorisation holders and other	marketing authorisation holders and other
economic operators, requested to provide	
information per paragraph 1, and shall protect any	information per paragraph 1, and shall protect any
information that is commercially confidential	information that is commercially confidential
against unjustified disclosure.	against unjustified disclosure. They shall also
	ensure that digital systems used for data
	collection and analysis include appropriate
	cybersecurity measures.

Reason

A harmonised EU database would enable real-time information sharing across Member States. A centralised system with strong cybersecurity protocols will safeguard sensitive data and ensure operational resilience in the event of cyberattacks.

Amendment 3

linked to recommendation 1.6 Article 30, title, new paragraph 1

Text proposed by the European Commission	EESC amendment
Evaluation	Impact assessment and evaluation
	1. The Commission shall, in parallel with the
	<i>legislative process, carry out a comprehensive,</i> <i>evidence-based impact assessment of this</i>
	regulation. This assessment shall not delay the
	adoption or implementation of the regulation and
	shall cover the short-, medium- and long-term socio-economic impacts of the proposed
	measures, with particular attention to the
	resilience, sustainability and accessibility of the
	pharmaceutical supply chain.

Reason

A detailed assessment would provide data-driven insights to support better policymaking and ensure that the Act meets its objectives. Providing evidence-based findings would ensure accountability, support informed revisions of the Act as needed, and increase confidence among stakeholders, including industry, healthcare professionals and patients.

Amendment 4

linked to recommendations 1.7 and 1.8 *Recital 4*

Text proposed by the European Commission	EESC amendment
(4) Industrial challenges and a lack of investments	(4) Industrial challenges and a lack of investments
in manufacturing capacities in the Union have	in manufacturing capacities in the Union have
contributed to increased dependency on third	contributed to increased dependency on third
country suppliers, in particular, for key raw	country suppliers, in particular, for key raw
pharmaceutical materials and active substances.	pharmaceutical materials and active substances.
Setting up new, or modernising existing	Setting up new, or modernising existing
manufacturing capacities in the Union for critical	manufacturing capacities in the Union for critical
medicinal products, their key inputs and active	medicinal products, their key inputs and active
substances, which have often been on the market	substances, which have often been on the market
for a long time and are considered to be relatively	for a long time and are considered to be relatively
inexpensive, is currently not seen as a sufficiently	inexpensive, is currently not seen as a sufficiently
attractive option for private investment, also in	attractive option for private investment, also in
view of lower energy costs, lesser environmental	view of lower energy costs, lesser environmental
and other legal requirements elsewhere in the	and other legal requirements elsewhere in the
world. Workforce shortages and the need for	world. Workforce shortages and the need for
specialised skills in pharmaceutical manufacturing	specialised skills in pharmaceutical manufacturing

further add to the industrial challenges to	further add to the industrial challenges to
manufacturing in the Union. Targeted financial	manufacturing in the Union. In this context, the
incentives, simplified administrative processes,	establishment of dedicated competence centres
and better Union-level coordination can contribute	and large-scale upskilling and reskilling
to supporting efforts to increase manufacturing	programmes is essential to help workers adapt to
capacities in the Union and strengthen the supply	increasing digitalisation and automation in the
chains for critical medicines.	sector. Action shall be taken to promote increased
	funding for education and vocational training,
	including support for vocational schools,
	apprenticeship programmes, universities,
	industry-led initiatives, scholarships for graduate
	studies, commitments under the Pact for Skills
	and the development of curricula aligned with the
	pharmaceutical sector's future needs. Targeted
	financial incentives, simplified administrative
	processes, and better Union-level coordination can
	contribute to supporting efforts to increase
	manufacturing capacities in the Union and
	strengthen the supply chains for critical medicines.

Competence centres can serve as hubs for research, innovation and specialised training, providing direct support to pharmaceutical companies and ensuring industry-wide best practices. A structured upskilling and reskilling framework will ensure that the workforce remains competitive and adaptable. Greater consideration should be given to how the regulation supports job creation and regional development within the EU. Strengthening collaboration between academia, vocational training providers and industry will ensure that educational programmes are aligned with real-world industry needs. Scholarships and training programmes will increase accessibility for students and workers, helping address labour shortages in highly technical pharmaceutical roles.

Amendment 5

linked to recommendation 1.9

Context of the proposal, Consistency with existing policy provisions in the policy area

Text proposed by the European Commission

EESC amendment

The proposed Regulation also builds on the EMA's extended mandate. In this respect, the launch of the European Shortages Monitoring Platform was a European Shortages Monitoring Platform was a key requirement of this extended mandate to enhance the monitoring of shortages across the EU. This platform will enable both marketing authorisation holders and national competent authorities to submit data on the supply, demand and availability of centrally and nationally and availability of centrally and nationally

authorised medicine	es during	crises	and	authorised	medicines	during	crises	and
preparedness situation	ns. The platf	form will	l be	preparedness	situations.	The plat	form wil	ll be
further expanded in th	e context of t	he revisio	on of	further expan	nded in the c	ontext of	the revision	on of
the EU's pharmaceutic	cal legislation.			the EU's pl	narmaceutica	l legislati	on, <i>inclu</i>	ıding
				with a view i	to providing	real-time	data on ;	stock
				levels, pro	duct status	s (unfin	ished/fin	ished
				medicines),	availability	for redis	tribution	and
				stock utilisat	ion.			

Having detailed tracking of stock phases (unfinished vs. finished products) would help manufacturers and policymakers optimise production and distribution strategies. The coordinated redistribution of surplus medicines would prevent unnecessary wastage and ensure equitable access across all EU countries, ensuring that supply imbalances do not disproportionately affect smaller or economically weaker Member States. Tracking stock usage and expiration will help prevent medicine wastage, allowing Member States to implement more effective inventory management.

Amendment 6

linked to recommendation 1.10 *Article 20*

Text proposed by the European Commission	EESC amendment
Measures on security of supply applied in one	Measures on security of supply applied in one
Member State shall not result in any negative	Member State shall not result in any negative
impact in other Member States. Member States	impact in other Member States. To this end, a
shall, in particular, avoid such an impact when	unified EU framework for contingency
proposing and defining the scope and timing of any	stockpiling shall be established to ensure
form of requirements for companies to hold	coordinated and equitable distribution of critical
contingency stocks.	medicines across Member States. Member States
Member States shall ensure that any requirements	shall, in particular, align with this framework
they impose on companies in the supply chain to	when proposing and defining the scope and timing
hold contingency stocks are proportionate and	of any form of requirements for companies to hold
respect the principles of transparency and	contingency stocks.
solidarity.	Member States shall ensure that any requirements
	they impose on companies in the supply chain to
	hold contingency stocks are proportionate and
	respect the principles of transparency and
	solidarity. Mechanisms shall also be established
	to compensate manufacturers for the additional
	costs incurred, and to ensure an optimised stock
	rotation system that prevents waste, especially for
	medicines with a short shelf life.

A centralised EU approach to contingency stocks would enhance supply chain stability and prevent stockpiling policies from disrupting market balance. Reimbursement mechanisms, such as state-backed reserves or direct financial compensation, would ensure that manufacturers are not disproportionately burdened. A first-in, first-out system should be implemented to avoid unnecessary disposal of medicines, particularly for drugs with a limited shelf life. An EU-managed system would allow for strategic redistribution, ensuring medicines reach regions facing acute shortages while reducing overall waste.

Amendment 7

linked to recommendation 1.12 Article 19, paragraph 1

Text proposed by the European Commission	EESC amendment				
1. By 6 months after entry into force of this	1. By 6 months after entry into force of this				
Regulation each Member State shall establish a	Regulation each Member State shall establish a				
national programme supporting security of supply	national programme supporting security of supply				
of critical medicinal products, including in public	of critical medicinal products, including in public				
procurement procedures. Such programmes shall	procurement procedures, based on an				
promote the consistent use of procurement	implementing act issued by the Commission,				
requirements by contracting authorities within a	which will provide clear guidelines on				
given Member State as well as multi-winner	procurement criteria. Such programmes shall				
approaches, where beneficial in light of the market	promote the consistent use of procurement				
analysis. Such programmes may also include	requirements by contracting authorities within a				
measures for pricing and reimbursement	given Member State as well as multi-winner				
supporting security of supply of those critical	approaches, where beneficial in light of the market				
medicinal products that are not purchased through	analysis. Such programmes may also include				
public procurement procedures.	measures for pricing and reimbursement				
	supporting security of supply of those critical				
	medicinal products that are not purchased through				
	public procurement procedures.				

Reason

An implementing act outlining procurement rules would ensure fair competition, predictability and transparency across all Member States.

Amendment 8

linked to recommendation 1.14 *Article* 7

Text proposed by the European Commission					n		EES	C amen	dm	ent	
Strategic	projects	shall	be	considered	as Strateg	ic pro	jects	shall	be	considered	as
contributir	ng to the	security	of	supply of criti	cal contrib	outing to	the	security	of	supply of cri	tical

medicinal products in the Union and, therefore, to
be in the public interest.medicinal products in the Union and, therefore, to
be in the public interest.The Member States' authorities shall ensure that
the relevant permit granting processes related to
strategic projects are carried out in the fastest way
possible, making available, in particular, any form
of accelerated procedures that exists in applicable
Union and national law.The Member States in the Union and, therefore, to
be in the public interest.Union and national law.The Member States in the public interest.

The Member States shall provide strategic projects located within their borders with all necessary administrative and technical support to mitigate unplanned interruptions in the supply of energy, gas and heat required for the creation or expansion of manufacturing capacity.

pharmaceutical production between the Union

Reason

The EESC believes that, as part of the priority status granted to strategic projects under Article 7, appropriate administrative support must be provided, including but not limited to fast-track procedures and assistance in obtaining necessary authorisations. Moreover, strategic projects must be protected from restrictions on access to energy, gas or heat. Without a binding obligation on Member States to implement these measures, the objective of ensuring the security of supply of critical medicinal products within the Union will remain unattainable.

Amendment 9

linked to recommendation 1.15 *Article 27*

Text proposed by the European Commission	EESC amendment				
Without prejudice to the prerogatives of the	Without prejudice to the prerogatives of the				
Council, the Commission, shall explore	Council, the Commission, shall explore				
possibilities of concluding strategic partnerships	possibilities of concluding strategic partnerships				
aiming to diversify sourcing of critical medicinal	aiming to diversify sourcing of critical medicinal				
products, their active substances and key inputs to	products, their active substances and key inputs to				
increase the security of supply of critical medicinal	increase the security of supply of critical medicinal				
products in the Union. The Commission shall also	products in the Union. The Commission shall also				
explore the possibility of building on existing	explore the possibility of building on existing				
forms of cooperation, when possible, to support	forms of cooperation, when possible, to support				
security of supply and reinforce efforts to	security of supply and reinforce efforts to				
strengthen the production of critical medicinal	strengthen the production of critical medicinal				
products in the Union.	products in the Union.				
	To ensure fair competition and avoid				
	disadvantages for EU producers, the Commission				
	shall, within the framework of strategic				
	partnerships, promote the harmonisation of				
	quality, safety and environmental standards for				

and third countries. These partnerships shall
include stronger regulatory measures requiring
third-country manufacturers exporting to the EU
to comply with standards equivalent to those
applicable in the Union for imported medicinal
products and active pharmaceutical ingredients.

Enforcing equal production standards will protect European manufacturers from unfair competition, while maintaining high-quality pharmaceutical products in the EU market.

Amendment 10

linked to recommendation 1.16 Article 4, paragraphs 1 and 3

Text proposed by the European Commission	EESC amendment			
1. The security of supply and availability of critical	1. The security of supply, affordability and			
medicinal products for patients is a strategic	availability of critical medicinal products for			
objective of the Union.	patients is a strategic objective of the Union.			
2. The Member States and the Commission shall	2. The Member States and the Commission shall			
work together to strengthen the security of supply	work together to strengthen the security of supply			
and continuous availability of critical medicinal	and continuous availability of critical medicinal			
products in the Union through measures that take	products in the Union through measures that take			
full advantage of the potential of the internal	full advantage of the potential of the internal			
market.	market.			
3. The Commission shall support the coordinated	3. The Commission shall support the coordinated			
efforts of the Members States.	efforts of the Members States, including through			
	initiatives that enhance pricing transparency and			
	promote fair and affordable access to critical			
	medicinal products.			

Reason

While the Critical Medicines Act aims to address supply chain vulnerabilities, it lacks specific provisions to prevent excessive possible price increases for consumers. Strengthening pricing transparency, regulating cost increases and preventing financial burdens on consumers will help create a more equitable and resilient healthcare system across the EU.

Amendment 11

linked to recommendation 1.17 Article 27

Text proposed by the European Commission						E	ESC a	men	dmen	t					
Without	prejudio	ce to	the	prero	ogatives	of	the	Without	prejudi	ce to	the	prero	ogatives	of	the
Council,	the	Comr	nissi	on,	shall	exp	lore	Council,	the	Com	missi	on ,	shall	exp	lore

possibilities of concluding strategic partnerships possibilities of concluding strategic partnerships aiming to diversify sourcing of critical medicinal aiming to diversify sourcing of critical medicinal products, their active substances and key inputs to products, their active substances and key inputs to increase the security of supply of critical medicinal increase the security of supply of critical medicinal products in the Union. The Commission shall also products in the Union. The Commission shall also explore the possibility of building on existing explore the possibility of building on existing forms of cooperation, when possible, to support forms of cooperation, when possible, to support security of supply and reinforce efforts to security of supply and reinforce efforts to strengthen the production of critical medicinal strengthen the production of critical medicinal products in the Union. products in the Union. As part of these partnerships, the Commission shall promote a collaborative innovation ecosystem that

conaborative innovation ecosystem inal integrates small and medium-sized enterprises, start-ups and deep-tech innovators alongside established pharmaceutical companies in order to enhance resilience, foster technological advancement and boost the competitiveness of the Union's pharmaceutical sector.

Reason

A pharmaceutical ecosystem that relies solely on large players can become rigid and more vulnerable to disruptions (e.g., supply bottlenecks or production shutdowns). SMEs and start-ups play a crucial role in medicine production, innovation and supply chain diversification. Deep-tech innovators bring high-potential, science-based solutions that established firms may not be positioned to develop internally. Integrating them into the innovation ecosystem accelerates the development of resilient, cutting-edge supply chain solutions. A collaborative approach allows for shared resources, co-development opportunities and open innovation, which reduces duplication of efforts and fosters more sustainable and cost-efficient R&D.

Amendment 12

linked to recommendation 1.18 *Article 25, new paragraph 3*

Text proposed by the European Commission	EESC amendment				
	3. The Critical Medicines Group shall also				
	include civil society representatives and				
	independent experts with relevant experience in				
	public health, patient advocacy and				
	pharmaceutical supply chains. They shall				
	participate in an advisory or consultative				
	capacity.				

The current proposal for the Critical Medicines Coordination Group primarily focuses on industry and governmental actors, leaving patients, healthcare professionals and independent experts without direct representation. Including civil society organisations, patient advocacy groups and independent researchers would increase public trust in the decision-making process, ensuring that policies reflect the needs of all stakeholders.

Brussels, 18 June 2025.

The President of the European Economic and Social Committee Oliver RÖPKE

APPENDIX I to the OPINION

of the

European Economic and Social Committee

The following amendment, which received at least a quarter of the votes cast, was rejected in the course of the debate (Rule 74(3) of the Rules of Procedure):

AMENDMENT 1

Tabled by: PILAWSKI Lech

CCMI/240 Critical Medicines Act

Amendment 13

Article 8, New paragraph 3

Section opinion	Amendment
	3. Member States should consider, in accordance with their competences, recommending that employees of strategic projects necessary for creating or increasing manufacturing capacity are exempted from active military service in Member States or are provided with a mobilisation assignment at current strategic project.

Reason

The EESC believes that this is a necessary solution to ensure that, during an armed conflict, the production of medicines, especially critical ones, is not interrupted due to a lack of specialist staff.

Outcome of the vote:

In favour:	33
Against:	88
Abstentions:	8