



Council of the
European Union

Brussels, 21 October 2019
(OR. en)

13255/19

Interinstitutional File:
2019/0226(NLE)

PECHE 462

PROPOSAL

From:	Secretary-General of the European Commission, signed by Mr Jordi AYET PUIGARNAU, Director
date of receipt:	17 October 2019
To:	Mr Jeppe TRANHOLM-MIKKELSEN, Secretary-General of the Council of the European Union
No. Cion doc.:	COM(2019) 473 final
Subject:	Proposal for a COUNCIL DECISION on the conclusion, on behalf of the Union, of the Protocol on the implementation of the Fisheries Partnership Agreement between the Republic of Senegal and the European Union

Delegations will find attached document COM(2019) 473 final.

Encl.: COM(2019) 473 final



Brussels, 17.10.2019
COM(2019) 473 final

2019/0226 (NLE)

Proposal for a

COUNCIL DECISION

**on the conclusion, on behalf of the Union, of the Protocol on the implementation of the
Fisheries Partnership Agreement between the Republic of Senegal and the European
Union**

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

1. CONTEXT OF THE PROPOSAL

• Reasons for and objectives of the proposal

The Fisheries Partnership Agreement between the Republic of Senegal and the European Union¹ (hereinafter ‘the Agreement’) entered into force on 20 November 2014² and has since been renewed automatically. The Protocol currently in force will expire on 19 November 2019.

On the basis of the relevant negotiating directives³, the Commission conducted negotiations with the Government of the Republic of Senegal (hereinafter ‘Senegal’) with a view to concluding a new Protocol to the Agreement. Following these negotiations, a new Protocol was initialled on 19 July 2019. The Protocol covers a period of five years from the date of its provisional application, i.e. from the date on which it was signed, as stated in Article 16 thereof.

The purpose of this proposal is to authorise the conclusion of the Protocol.

• Consistency with existing provisions in the policy area

In accordance with the priorities of the fisheries policy reform⁴, the new Protocol provides fishing opportunities for EU vessels in Senegalese waters, on the basis of the best available scientific advice and following the recommendations of the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT). This new Protocol takes into account the results of an evaluation of the previous Protocol (2014-2019) and of a forward-looking assessment of whether a new Protocol should be concluded. Both were carried out by external experts. The Protocol will also enable the European Union and Senegal to work more closely on promoting sound exploitation of fishery resources in Senegalese waters and support efforts by Senegal to develop its blue economy, in the interests of both Parties.

The Protocol provides for fishing opportunities in the following categories:

- 28 freezer tuna seiners,
- 10 pole-and-line vessels,
- 5 longliners,
- 2 trawlers.

• Consistency with other Union policies

The negotiation of a new Protocol to the Fisheries Partnership Agreement with Senegal forms part of the EU’s external action in relation to African, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) countries and takes into account, in particular, Union objectives on respecting democratic principles and human rights.

¹ OJ L 304, 23.10.2014, p. 3.

² <https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/documents-publications/treaties-agreements/agreement/?id=2014055&DocLanguage=en>

³ Adopted at the Agriculture and Fisheries Council on 15 July 2019.

⁴ OJ L 354, 28.12.2013, p. 22.

The economic activity generated in Senegal's fisheries sector, although at local level, would help to address the root causes of irregular migration.

2. LEGAL BASIS, SUBSIDIARITY AND PROPORTIONALITY

- **Legal basis**

The legal basis chosen is the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, Article 43(2) of which establishes the common fisheries policy, Article 218(6)(a)(v) the stage for the conclusion of agreements between the Union and third countries, and Article 218(7) the provisions concerning the authorisation of amendments to the Protocol.

- **Subsidiarity (for non-exclusive competence)**

The proposal falls under the exclusive competence of the European Union.

- **Proportionality**

The proposal is proportionate to the objective of establishing a legal, environmental, economic and social governance framework for fishing activities carried out by Union vessels in third-country waters, as set out in Article 31 of the Regulation establishing the common fisheries policy. It complies with those provisions as well as with those on financial assistance to third countries laid down in Article 32 of that Regulation.

3. RESULTS OF *EX POST* EVALUATIONS, STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATIONS AND IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

- ***Ex post* evaluations / fitness checks of existing legislation**

In 2019 the Commission carried out an *ex post* evaluation of the current Protocol to the Fisheries Partnership Agreement with Senegal and an *ex ante* evaluation of a possible renewal of the Protocol. The conclusions of the evaluation are presented in a separate working document⁵.

The evaluation report concluded that the EU tuna fishing and trawling sector has a strong interest in fishing in Senegal and that a renewal of the Protocol would help strengthen monitoring, control and surveillance and contribute to improved fisheries governance in the region. Senegal's important role in regional governance contributes to the relevance of the envisaged new Protocol, both for the EU tuna fisheries sector and for the partner country.

- **Stakeholder consultation**

The Member States, industry representatives and international civil society organisations, as well as Senegal's fisheries administration and civil society representatives, were consulted as part of the evaluation. Consultations also took place in the framework of the Long Distance Advisory Council.

- **Collection and use of expertise**

The Commission used an independent consultant for the *ex post* and *ex ante* evaluations, in accordance with the provisions of Article 31(10) of the Regulation establishing the common fisheries policy.

⁵ SWD(2019) 209, 18.6.2019.

4. BUDGETARY IMPLICATIONS

The annual financial contribution from the European Union is EUR 1 700 000, based on:

(a) an access-related amount set at EUR 800 000, specifically for a reference tonnage of 10 000 tonnes of tuna per year and for an authorised catch volume of black hake of 1 750 tonnes per year;

(b) support for the development of Senegal's sectoral fisheries policy for an amount of EUR 900 000 per year for the entire duration of the Protocol. This support meets the objectives of Senegal's national policy on the sustainable management of its fishery resources for the entire duration of the Protocol.

The annual amount for commitment and payment appropriations is established during the annual budgetary procedure, including for the reserve line for protocols not having entered into force at the beginning of the year⁶.

5. OTHER ELEMENTS

- **Implementation plans and monitoring, evaluation and reporting arrangements**

The monitoring arrangements are provided for in the Protocol.

This procedure is being initiated in parallel with the procedures relating to the Council Decision on the signing, on behalf of the Union, of the Protocol to the Fisheries Partnership Agreement between the Republic of Senegal and the European Union.

⁶ In accordance with the Interinstitutional Agreement on cooperation in budgetary matters (OJ C 373, 20.12.2013, p. 1).

Proposal for a

COUNCIL DECISION

on the conclusion, on behalf of the Union, of the Protocol on the implementation of the Fisheries Partnership Agreement between the Republic of Senegal and the European Union

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2) in conjunction with Article 218(6)(a)(v) and (7) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,

Having regard to the consent of the European Parliament⁷,

Whereas:

- (1) In accordance with Council Decision (EU) 2019/...⁸, the Protocol on the implementation of the Fisheries Partnership Agreement between the Republic of Senegal and the European Union (hereinafter ‘the Protocol’) was signed on [insert date of signature], subject to its conclusion at a later date.
- (2) The objective of the Protocol is to enable the European Union and Senegal to work more closely on promoting a sustainable fisheries policy and sound exploitation of fishery resources in Senegalese waters and to support Senegal’s efforts to develop its fisheries sector.
- (3) The Protocol should be approved on behalf of the Union.
- (4) Article 7 of the Agreement establishes a Joint Committee responsible for monitoring its implementation. Furthermore, the Joint Committee may approve certain amendments to the Protocol. In order to facilitate the approval of such amendments, the Commission should be empowered, subject to specific substantive and procedural conditions, to approve them on behalf of the Union under a simplified procedure.
- (5) The Union’s position on envisaged amendments to the Protocol should be established by the Council. The proposed amendments should be approved unless a blocking minority of Member States in accordance with Article 16(4) of the Treaty on European Union objects to the amendments,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The Protocol on the implementation of the Fisheries Partnership Agreement between the Republic of Senegal and the European Union (2019-2024) (hereinafter ‘the Protocol’) is hereby approved on behalf of the Union.

⁷ OJ C , , p. .

⁸ Council Decision (EU) 2019/... of ... 2019 on ... (OJ C , , p.).

The text of the Protocol is attached to this Decision as Annex I.

Article 2

In accordance with the provisions and conditions laid down in Annex II to this Decision, the Commission is hereby authorised to approve, on behalf of the Union, amendments to the Protocol adopted by the Joint Committee established under Article 9 of the Fisheries Partnership Agreement between the Republic of Senegal and the European Union.

Article 3

The President of the Council shall designate the person(s) empowered to carry out, on behalf of the Union, the notification provided for in Article 16 of the Protocol, in order to express the Union's consent to be bound by the Protocol.

Article 4

This Decision shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Done at Brussels,

For the Council
The President

LEGISLATIVE FINANCIAL STATEMENT

1. FRAMEWORK OF THE PROPOSAL/INITIATIVE

1.1. Title of the proposal/initiative

1.2. Policy area(s) concerned

1.3. The proposal/initiative relates to:

1.4. Objective(s)

1.4.1. General objective(s)

1.4.2. Specific objective(s)

1.4.3. Expected result(s) and impact

1.4.4. Performance indicators

1.5. Grounds for the proposal/initiative

1.5.1. Requirement(s) to be met in the short or long term, including a detailed timeline for implementing the initiative

1.5.2. Added value of Union involvement (it may result from different factors, e.g. coordination gains, legal certainty, greater effectiveness or complementarity). For the purposes of this point, 'added value of Union involvement' is the value resulting from Union intervention which is additional to the value that would have been otherwise created by Member States alone.

1.5.3. Lessons learned from similar experiences in the past

1.5.4. Compatibility with the multiannual financial framework and possible synergies with other appropriate instruments

1.5.5. Assessment of the different available financing options, including scope for redeployment

1.6. Duration and financial impact of the proposal/initiative

1.7. Management mode(s) planned

2. MANAGEMENT MEASURES

2.1. Monitoring and reporting rules

2.2. Management and control system(s)

2.2.1. Justification of the management mode(s), the funding implementation mechanism(s), the payment modalities and the control strategy proposed

2.2.2. Information concerning the risks identified and the internal control system(s) set up to mitigate them

2.2.3. Estimation and justification of the cost-effectiveness of the controls (ratio of 'control costs ÷ value of the related funds managed'), and assessment of the expected levels of risk of error (at payment and at closure)

2.3. Measures to prevent fraud and irregularities

3. ESTIMATED FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSAL/INITIATIVE

3.1. Heading(s) of the multiannual financial framework and expenditure budget line(s) affected

3.2. Estimated financial impact of the proposal on appropriations

3.2.1. Summary of estimated impact on operational appropriations

3.2.2. Estimated output funded with operational appropriations

3.2.3. Summary of estimated impact on administrative appropriations

3.2.4. Compatibility with the current multiannual financial framework

3.2.5. Third-party contributions

3.3. Estimated impact on revenue

LEGISLATIVE FINANCIAL STATEMENT

1. FRAMEWORK OF THE PROPOSAL/INITIATIVE

1.1. Title of the proposal/initiative

Proposal for a Council Decision on the signing, on behalf of the Union, and provisional application of the Protocol on the implementation of the Fisheries Partnership Agreement between the Republic of Senegal and the European Union.

1.2. Policy area(s) concerned

11 – Maritime affairs and fisheries

11.03 – Compulsory contributions to regional fisheries management organisations (RFMOs) and other international organisations and sustainable fisheries agreements (SFAs)

11.03.01 – Establishing a governance framework for fishing activities carried out by Union fishing vessels in third-country waters.

1.3. The proposal/initiative relates to:

X a new action

☐ **a new action following a pilot project / preparatory action⁹**

☐ **extension of an existing action**

☐ **a merger or redirection of one or more actions towards another / a new action**

1.4. Objective(s)

1.4.1. General objective(s)

The negotiation and conclusion of Sustainable Fisheries Partnership Agreements (SFPAs) with third countries meet the general objective of giving EU fishing vessels access to the fishing zones of third countries and developing a partnership with those countries with a view to strengthening the sustainable exploitation of fishery resources outside EU waters.

SFPAs also ensure consistency between the principles governing the common fisheries policy and commitments made under other European policies (sustainable use of third-country resources, combating illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing, integration of partner countries into the global economy and better political and financial governance of fisheries).

1.4.2. Specific objective(s)

Specific objective No

To contribute to sustainable fishing in non-EU waters, maintain a European presence in distant-water fisheries and protect the interests of the European fisheries sector and consumers by negotiating and concluding SFPAs with coastal states, consistent with other European policies.

1.4.3. Expected result(s) and impact

Specify the effects that the proposal/initiative should have on the targeted beneficiaries/groups.

⁹ As referred to in Article 58(2)(a) or (b) of the Financial Regulation.

The conclusion of the Protocol enables the establishment of a strategic fisheries partnership between the European Union and Senegal. The conclusion of the Protocol will create fishing opportunities for Union vessels in Senegalese waters.

The Protocol will also contribute to better management and conservation of fishery resources, through financial support (sectoral support) for the implementation of programmes adopted at national level by the partner country, in particular as regards monitoring and combating illegal fishing, and support for the small-scale fisheries sector.

Lastly, the Protocol will contribute to Senegal's fishing economy by promoting growth associated with fishing-related economic activities.

1.4.4. Performance indicators

Specify the indicators for monitoring progress and achievements.

Fishing opportunity utilisation rates (annual uptake of fishing authorisations as a percentage of availability under the Protocol).

Catch data (gathering and analysis) and the commercial value of the Agreement.

Contribution to employment and to added value in the Union and to stabilising the Union market (in aggregate with other SFPAs).

Contribution to improving research, surveillance and control of fishing activity by the partner country and the development of its fisheries sector, in particular its small-scale fisheries sector.

1.5. Grounds for the proposal/initiative

1.5.1. Requirement(s) to be met in the short or long term, including a detailed timeline for implementing the initiative

It is intended that the new Protocol will apply provisionally from the date of its signature so as to minimise any interruption of fishing operations which are ongoing under the current Protocol.

The new Protocol will provide a framework for the fishing activities of the Union fleet in the Senegalese fishing zones and will authorise EU vessel owners to apply for fishing authorisations to fish in those zones. In addition, the new Protocol enhances cooperation between the EU and Senegal with a view to promoting the development of a sustainable fisheries policy. It provides, in particular, for vessels to be monitored via VMS and for the electronic transmission of catch data. The sectoral support available under the Protocol will help Senegal with its national fisheries strategy, including the fight against IUU fishing.

1.5.2. Added value of Union involvement (it may result from different factors, e.g. coordination gains, legal certainty, greater effectiveness or complementarity). For the purposes of this point, 'added value of Union involvement' is the value resulting from Union intervention which is additional to the value that would have been otherwise created by Member States alone.

Failure by the Union to agree on a new Protocol would impede the fishing activity of Union vessels as the Agreement contains a clause excluding fishing activities not taking place in the framework defined by a protocol to the Agreement. Consequently, the added value for the EU's long-distance fleet is very clear. The Protocol also offers a framework for enhanced cooperation between the Union and Senegal.

1.5.3. *Lessons learned from similar experiences in the past*

The analysis of past catches in the Senegalese fishing zone and of recent catches under similar protocols in the region, available assessments and scientific advice, have led the Parties to set a reference tonnage for tuna and tuna-like species of 10 000 tonnes per year with fishing opportunities for 28 freezer tuna seiners, 10 pole-and-line vessels and 5 longliners. An authorised catch volume of 1 750 tonnes of black hake is also planned for 2 trawlers. Sectoral support has been set at a high level in order to take account of the increased needs to build the capacity of Senegal's fisheries authorities and the priorities of the national fisheries strategy.

1.5.4. *Compatibility with the multiannual financial framework and possible synergies with other appropriate instruments*

Funds provided as financial compensation for access under the SFPA constitute fungible revenue in the national budget of Senegal. However, funds intended for sectoral support are allocated (generally by introduction in the annual budget law) to the Ministry responsible for fisheries, as a condition for the conclusion and monitoring of FPAs. These financial resources are compatible with other sources of funding from other providers of international funding for carrying out projects and/or programmes at national level in the fisheries sector.

1.5.5. *Assessment of the different available financing options, including scope for redeployment*

1.6. **Duration and financial impact of the proposal/initiative**

☒ limited duration

- **☒** In force from 2019 to 2024
- **☒** Financial impact from 2019 to 2024 for commitment appropriations and from 2019 to 2024 for payment appropriations.

☐ unlimited duration

- Implementation with a start-up period from YYYY to YYYY,
- followed by full-scale operation.

1.7. **Management mode(s) planned¹⁰**

☒ Direct management by the Commission

- **☒** by its departments, including by its staff in the Union delegations;
- ☐ by the executive agencies

☐ Shared management with the Member States

☐ Indirect management by entrusting budget implementation tasks to:

- ☐ third countries or the bodies they have designated;
- ☐ international organisations and their agencies (to be specified);

¹⁰ Details of management modes and references to the Financial Regulation can be found on the BudgWeb site: <https://myintracomm.ec.europa.eu/budgweb/EN/man/budgmanag/Pages/budgmanag.aspx>.

- ☐ the EIB and the European Investment Fund;
- ☐ bodies referred to in Articles 70 and 71 of the Financial Regulation;
- ☐ public-law bodies;
- ☐ bodies governed by private law with a public-service mission to the extent that they provide adequate financial guarantees;
- ☐ bodies governed by the private law of a Member State that are entrusted with the implementation of a public-private partnership and that provide adequate financial guarantees;
- ☐ persons entrusted with the implementation of specific actions in the CFSP pursuant to Title V of the TEU, and identified in the relevant basic act.
- *If more than one management mode is indicated, please provide details in the 'Comments' section.*

Comments

--

2. MANAGEMENT MEASURES

2.1. Monitoring and reporting rules

Specify frequency and conditions.

The Commission (DG MARE, in cooperation with its fisheries attaché responsible for the region) will ensure regular monitoring of the implementation of the Protocol as regards the use of fishing opportunities by operators, catch data and compliance with conditions for sectoral support.

Furthermore, the SFPA provides for at least one annual meeting of the Joint Committee, at which the Commission and Senegal will review the implementation of the Agreement and Protocol and, if necessary, adjust the programming and the financial contribution.

2.2. Management and control system(s)

2.2.1. *Justification of the management mode(s), the funding implementation mechanism(s), the payment modalities and the control strategy proposed*

Payments will be implemented in a decoupled manner as regards the contribution for access and the contribution for sectoral support.

The payments for access will be made annually on the anniversary date of the Protocol, except in the first year, when the payment will be made in the three months after the start of provisional application. Vessel access will be controlled by the issuing of fishing authorisations.

The support will be paid for the first time in the three months following agreement on an annual and multiannual implementation programme, then on the basis of the results obtained. The implementation rate, as established on the basis of reports or documentary evidence provided by the partner country and technical inspections carried out by the fisheries attaché, will be monitored.

2.2.2. *Information concerning the risks identified and the internal control system(s) set up to mitigate them*

The identified risk is the under-use of fishing opportunities by EU vessel owners and the under-use or delayed use by Senegal of funds intended to finance the sectoral fisheries policy.

Extensive dialogue is planned on the programming and implementation of the sectoral policy laid down in the Agreement and the Protocol. Joint analysis of results, as referred to in Article 5 of the Protocol, also forms part of these control methods.

In addition, the Agreement and the Protocol contain specific clauses for their suspension, under certain conditions and in given circumstances.

2.2.3. *Estimation and justification of the cost-effectiveness of the controls (ratio of 'control costs ÷ value of the related funds managed'), and assessment of the expected levels of risk of error (at payment and at closure)*

Payments relating to access costs under Sustainable Fisheries Partnership Agreements (SFPAs) are subject to checks aimed at ensuring their compliance with the provisions of international agreements. Checks relating to sectoral support are

aimed at monitoring the implementation of the support. Monitoring is carried out by Commission staff in delegations and during Joint Committees. A multiannual programming matrix is used to evaluate progress. If progress is insufficient, the payment of the next tranche is suspended or possibly reduced. The overall cost of the checks on all SFPAs is estimated to be around 1.8% (of the contributions in 2018). The procedures for checks on SFPAs are based largely on essential regulatory requirements. If no shortcomings that could have a significant impact on the legality and regularity of the financial transactions are detected, the checks are considered effective. The average error rate is estimated at 0.0%.

2.3. Measures to prevent fraud and irregularities

Specify existing or envisaged prevention and protection measures, e.g. from the anti-fraud strategy.

The Commission undertakes to establish political dialogue and regular coordination with Senegal with a view to improving the management of the Agreement and the Protocol and strengthening the Union's contribution to sustainable resources management. Any payment which the Commission makes under an SFPA is subject to the Commission's standard rules and budgetary and financial procedures. In particular, the bank accounts of the third countries into which the financial contribution is paid are fully identified. Article 4(10) of the Protocol provides that the financial contribution for access and that intended for development of the sector are to be paid into a Public Treasury account.

3. ESTIMATED FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSAL/INITIATIVE

3.1. Heading(s) of the multiannual financial framework and expenditure budget line(s) affected

- Existing budget lines

In the order of multiannual financial framework headings and budget lines.

Heading of multiannual financial framework	Budget line	Type of expenditure	Contribution			
	Number	Diff./Non-diff. ¹¹	from EFTA countries ¹²	from candidate countries ¹³	from third countries	within the meaning of Article 21(2)(b) of the Financial Regulation
	11.03.01 Establishing a governance framework for fishing activities carried out by European Union fishing vessels in third-country waters (SFAs)	Diff.	NO	NO	NO	NO

- New budget lines requested

In the order of multiannual financial framework headings and budget lines.

Heading of multiannual financial framework	Budget line	Type of expenditure	Contribution			
	Number	Diff./Non-diff.	from EFTA countries	from candidate countries	from third countries	within the meaning of Article 21(2)(b) of the Financial Regulation
	[XX.YY.YY.YY]		YES/NO	YES/NO	YES/NO	YES/NO

¹¹ Diff. = Differentiated appropriations / Non-diff. = Non-differentiated appropriations.

¹² EFTA: European Free Trade Association.

¹³ Candidate countries and, where applicable, potential candidate countries from the Western Balkans.

3.2. Estimated financial impact of the proposal on appropriations

3.2.1. Summary of estimated impact on operational appropriations

- ☐ The proposal/initiative does not require the use of operational appropriations.
- ☒ The proposal/initiative requires the use of operational appropriations, as explained below:

EUR million (to three decimal places)

Heading of multiannual financial framework	No 2	Sustainable growth: natural resources
---	------	---------------------------------------

DG: MARE			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	TOTAL
• Operational appropriations								
Budget line ¹⁴ 11.03.01	Commitments	(1a)	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5
	Payments	(2a)	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5
Budget line	Commitments	(1b)						
	Payments	(2b)						
Appropriations of an administrative nature financed from the envelope of specific programmes ¹⁵								
Budget line		(3)						
TOTAL appropriations for DG MARE	Commitments	=1a+1b+3	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5
	Payments	=2a+2b+3	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5

¹⁴ According to the official budget nomenclature.

¹⁵ Technical and/or administrative assistance and expenditure in support of the implementation of EU programmes and/or actions (former 'BA' lines), indirect research, direct research.

• TOTAL operational appropriations	Commitments	(4)	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5
	Payments	(5)	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5
•TOTAL appropriations of an administrative nature financed from the envelope for specific programmes		(6)						
TOTAL appropriations under HEADING 2 of the multiannual financial framework	Commitments	=4+6	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5
	Payments	=5+6	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5

If more than one operational heading is affected by the proposal/initiative, repeat the section above:

•TOTAL operational appropriations (all operational headings)	Commitments	(4)	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5
	Payments	(5)	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5
TOTAL appropriations of an administrative nature financed from the envelope for specific programmes (all operational headings)		(6)						
TOTAL appropriations under HEADINGS 1 to 4 of the multiannual financial framework (Reference amount)	Commitments	=4+6	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5
	Payments	=5+6	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5

Heading of multiannual financial framework	5	‘Administrative expenditure’
---	----------	------------------------------

This section should be completed using the ‘budget data of an administrative nature’ to be introduced first in the [Annex to the Legislative Financial Statement](#) (Annex V to the internal rules), which is uploaded to DECIDE for inter-service consultation purposes.

EUR million (to three decimal places)

		2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	TOTAL
DG: MARE							
• Human resources							
• Other administrative expenditure							
TOTAL DG MARE	Appropriations						

TOTAL appropriations under HEADING 5 of the multiannual financial framework	(Total commitments = Total payments)						
--	--------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

EUR million (to three decimal places)

		2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	TOTAL
TOTAL appropriations under HEADINGS 1 to 5 of the multiannual financial framework	Commitments	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5
	Payments	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.5

3.2.2. Estimated output funded with operational appropriations

Commitment appropriations in EUR million (to three decimal places)

Indicate objectives and outputs ↓			2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		TOTAL	
	Type ¹⁶	Ave rag e cost	Number	Cost	Number	Cost	Number	Cost	Number	Cost	Number	Cost	Total num ber	Total cost
SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE No 1 ¹⁷ ...														
- Access	Annual			0.800		0.800		0.800		0.800		0.800		4.000
- Sectoral	Annual			0.900		0.900		0.900		0.900		0.900		4.500
- Output														
Subtotal for specific objective No 1														
TOTALS				1.700		1.700		1.700		1.700		1.700		8.500

¹⁶

Outputs are products and services to be supplied (e.g. number of student exchanges financed, number of km of roads built).

¹⁷

As described in point 1.4.2. 'Specific objective(s)...'.

3.2.3. Summary of estimated impact on administrative appropriations

- ☒ X The proposal/initiative does not require the use of appropriations of an administrative nature.
- ☐ The proposal/initiative requires the use of appropriations of an administrative nature, as explained below:

EUR million (to three decimal places)

	Year N ¹⁸	Year N+1	Year N+2	Year N+3	Enter as many years as necessary to show the duration of the impact (see point 1.6)	TOTAL
--	----------------------	----------	----------	----------	---	-------

HEADING 5 of the multiannual financial framework								
Human resources								
Other administrative expenditure								
Subtotal HEADING 5 of the multiannual financial framework								

Outside HEADING 5¹⁹ of the multiannual financial framework								
Human resources								
Other expenditure of an administrative nature								
Subtotal outside HEADING 5 of the multiannual financial framework								

TOTAL								
--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

The appropriations required for human resources and other expenditure of an administrative nature will be met by appropriations from the DG that are already assigned to management of the action and/or have been redeployed within the DG, together if necessary with any additional allocation which may be granted to the managing DG under the annual allocation procedure and in the light of budgetary constraints.

¹⁸ Year N is the year in which implementation of the proposal/initiative starts. Please replace 'N' by the expected first year of implementation (for instance: 2021). Do the same for the following years.

3.2.3.1. Estimated requirements of human resources

- ☒ X The proposal/initiative does not require the use of human resources.
- ☐ The proposal/initiative requires the use of human resources, as explained below:

Estimate to be expressed in full-time equivalent units

	Year N	Year N +1	Year N +2	Year N +3	Enter as many years as necessary to show the duration of the impact (see point 1.6)		
• Establishment plan posts (officials and temporary staff)							
XX 01 01 01 (Headquarters and Commission’s Representation Offices)							
XX 01 01 02 (Delegations)							
XX 01 05 01/11/21 (Indirect research)							
10 01 05 01/11 (Direct research)							
• External staff (in full-time equivalent units: FTEs) ¹⁹							
XX 01 02 01 (AC, END, INT from the ‘global envelope’)							
XX 01 02 02 (AC, AL, END, INT and JPD in the Delegations)							
XX 01 04 yy ²⁰	- at Headquarters						
	- in Delegations						
XX 01 05 02/12/22 (AC, END, INT – Indirect research)							
10 01 05 02/12 (AC, END, INT – Direct research)							
Other budget lines (specify)							
TOTAL							

XX is the policy area or budget title concerned.

Human resources requirements will be met by staff from the DG who are already assigned to management of the action and/or have been redeployed within the DG, together if necessary with any additional allocation which may be granted to the managing DG under the annual allocation procedure and in the light of budgetary constraints.

Description of tasks to be carried out:

Officials and temporary staff	
External staff	

¹⁹ AC = Contract Staff; AL = Local Staff; END = Seconded National Expert; INT = Agency Staff; JPD = Junior Professionals in Delegations.

²⁰ Sub-ceiling for external staff covered by operational appropriations (former 'BA' lines).

3.2.4. *Compatibility with the current multiannual financial framework*

The proposal/initiative:

- ☒ can be fully financed through redeployment within the relevant heading of the multiannual financial framework (MFF).

This concerns the use of the reserve line (Chapter 40).

- ☐ requires use of the unallocated margin under the relevant heading of the MFF and/or use of the special instruments as defined in the MFF Regulation.

Explain what is required, specifying the headings and budget lines concerned, the corresponding amounts, and the instruments proposed to be used.

- ☐ requires a revision of the MFF.

Explain what is required, specifying the headings and budget lines concerned and the corresponding amounts.

3.2.5. *Third-party contributions*

The proposal/initiative:

- ☒ does not provide for co-financing by third parties
- ☐ provides for the co-financing by third parties estimated below:

Appropriations in EUR million (to three decimal places)

	Year N ¹	Year N+ 1	Year N+ 2	Year N+ 3	Enter as many years as necessary to show the duration of the impact (see point 1.6)			Total
Specify the co-financing body								
TOTAL appropriations co-financed								

¹ Year N is the year in which implementation of the proposal/initiative starts. Please replace 'N' by the expected first year of implementation (for instance: 2021). Do the same for the following years.

3.3. Estimated impact on revenue

X The proposal/initiative has no financial impact on revenue.

– ☐ The proposal/initiative has the following financial impact:

☐ on own resources

☐ on other revenue

– please indicate if the revenue is assigned to expenditure lines ☐

EUR million (to three decimal places)

Budget revenue line:	Appropriations available for the current financial year	Impact of the proposal/initiative ²						
		Year N	Year N+1	Year N+2	Year N+3	Enter as many years as necessary to show the duration of the impact (see point 1.6)		
Article								

For assigned revenue, specify the budget expenditure line(s) affected.

--

Other remarks (e.g. method/formula used for calculating the impact on revenue or any other information).

--

² As regards traditional own resources (customs duties, sugar levies), the amounts indicated must be net amounts, i.e. gross amounts after deduction of 20% for collection costs.